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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) provides millions of Americans with the means to purchase food for a nutritious diet. The FSP is the largest of the 15 domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). This report presents the characteristics of food stamp households nationwide in fiscal year 2004 (October 2003 to September 2004). This information on household characteristics comes from FSP household data collected by FNS for quality control purposes.

## FSP Participation and Costs

In an average month in fiscal year 2004, the FSP provided benefits to approximately 23.9 million people living in 10.3 million households across the United States. The total cost of the program over fiscal year 2004 was $\$ 27.2$ billion, $\$ 24.7$ billion of which was for food stamp benefits. The average monthly food stamp benefit in fiscal year 2004 was $\$ 200$ per household. The number of FSP participants increased by almost 40 percent between 2000 and 2004. Compared with fiscal year 2003, the number of FSP participants increased by 12 percent and FSP benefit costs increased by 15 percent. ${ }^{1}$

## Characteristics of Food Stamp Households and Participants

In fiscal year 2004, about half of all food stamp participants were children, 42 percent were nonelderly adults, and 8 percent were elderly people. About 66 percent of the children were school age, and 68 percent of nonelderly adult participants were women. Twenty-nine percent of food stamp households had earned income, 27 percent received Supplemental Security Income (SSI), 23 percent received Social Security income, and 16 percent received support from Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF).

Approximately 88 percent of food stamp households lived in poverty, as measured by the federal poverty guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix C). Food stamp benefits were concentrated among poorer households- 39 percent of all food stamp households had a gross income less than or equal to half of the poverty guideline, and these households received 57 percent of all benefits. If the value of food stamps is included as income, 9 percent of all food stamp households moved above the poverty guideline as a result of receiving food stamps, and 16 percent moved from below to above half of the poverty guideline.

Of all food stamp households, 84 percent contained either a child or an elderly or a disabled nonelderly person, and these households received 89 percent of all benefits. Households with children received a relatively large average monthly food stamp benefit (\$280), reflecting their

[^0]larger household size. The average household with children had 3.3 people compared with an average of 2.3 people for all households. A majority ( 63 percent) of the food stamp households with children were single-adult households. Thirty-one percent of these single-adult households with children received cash benefits from TANF. About 44 percent of all food stamp households with children had earned income; 38 percent of single-adult households with children and 65 percent of married-head households with children had earnings. Six percent of all households with children had both TANF and earned income.

Households with an elderly member received a relatively small average monthly food stamp benefit (\$84), reflecting their relatively small average size ( 1.3 people) and higher incomes compared to other FSP participants. Seventy-eight percent of food stamp households with an elderly member consisted of an elderly person living alone. These individuals received an average monthly benefit of $\$ 65$ compared with an average monthly benefit of $\$ 152$ for households with elderly people not living alone and $\$ 220$ for households without any elderly.

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) is a central component of America's nutrition assistance safety net. The stated purpose of the FSP is "to permit low-income households to obtain a more nutritious diet by increasing their purchasing power" (The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, P.L. 95-113). The FSP is the largest of the domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). According to FNS administrative records, during fiscal year 2004, the FSP served approximately 23.9 million people in an average month at a total cost of $\$ 27.2$ billion, $\$ 24.7$ billion of which was for food stamp benefits. ${ }^{1}$

The FSP is the only low-income assistance program available nationwide to essentially all financially needy households because it imposes relatively few nonfinancial categorical criteria. ${ }^{2}$ The FSP provides benefits electronically, and the benefits can be redeemed for food in approximately 152,500 authorized stores across the nation.

Federal, state, and local governments share the costs and administration of the FSP. Congress authorizes the program and appropriates necessary funds. The Department of Agriculture establishes program regulations under the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended. FNS administers the FSP nationally, while state and local welfare agencies operate the program locally. The federal government fully funds the benefits of the FSP. Administrative costs are shared by the cooperating agencies, with FNS usually paying 50 percent of the costs.

Since food stamps are available to most people who meet the income and resource standards set by Congress, the FSP serves a broad spectrum of the needy population. Using FSP household data collected periodically for quality control purposes, FNS sponsors several analyses to enhance its understanding of the people served by the FSP. The agency also produces a series of reports to document these analyses (see Appendix G for a list of titles). This report presents a picture of households and individuals participating in the FSP in fiscal year 2004.

Chapter 2 provides an overview of the FSP, including the regulations used to determine eligibility and benefits, and the factors that affect program participation and costs, such as trends in the national economy.

Chapter 3 describes the characteristics of individuals and households participating in the FSP in fiscal year 2004.

The appendices include detailed tabulations of household and participant characteristics for the nation and by state, and a brief description of the sample design and the sampling error associated with the estimates presented in the report.
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## CHAPTER 2: AN OVERVIEW OF THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

The characteristics of food stamp households and the level of FSP participation change over time in response to legislative changes to the FSP as well as economic and demographic trends. This chapter explains FSP eligibility requirements, application procedures, benefit computation, and food stamp issuance. The chapter concludes with a summary of program participation and costs, including a discussion of how these costs are related to the economy in fiscal year 2004.

## PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, establishes uniform national eligibility standards for the FSP and defines the basic FSP unit as the "household." The eligibility criteria include gross and net income limits, an asset limit, and various nonfinancial criteria. There are exceptions to these criteria for certain high-cost areas, such as Alaska and Hawaii, and for certain individuals such as elderly people (age 60 and over) and people with disabilities.

## The Household

Under FSP rules, a household is defined as individuals who share a residential unit and purchase and prepare food together. The income and assets of each household member are aggregated to determine eligibility and benefits. Individuals who live together in a residential unit but do not purchase and prepare food together can apply as separate household units and their income and assets are considered separately in eligibility and benefit determinations. People who are elderly and disabled and cannot prepare and purchase food because of a substantial disability may apply as a separate household as long as the gross monthly income of the remainder of their residential unit is less than 165 percent of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services poverty guidelines. ${ }^{1}$

## Categorical Eligibility

Certain households are categorically eligible for the FSP and therefore not subject to income or asset limits. A household is categorically eligible if all of its members receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI), cash or in-kind Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), or in some places, General Assistance (GA). ${ }^{2}$ A broader interpretation of categorical eligibility rules implemented on November 21, 2000, requires states to confer categorical eligibility on families receiving or certified as eligible to receive benefits or services that are at least 50 percent funded by TANF or Maintenance of Effort (MOE) funds. States have the option of conferring categorical eligibility on families receiving or certified to receive benefits or services that are less than 50 percent funded by TANF/MOE. They may also confer categorical eligibility on

[^2]households where one member receives the benefit or service, but the state determines that the whole household benefits. If the purpose of the program conferring categorical eligibility is to prevent out-of-wedlock pregnancies or to foster or strengthen marriage, the household's gross income must be under 200 percent of poverty. However, if the purpose of the program is to further work, this income limit does not apply.

## Income Eligibility Standards

Monthly income is the most important determinant of household eligibility. The majority of households that apply for food stamps must meet two income eligibility standards: a gross income standard and a net income standard. ${ }^{3}$

As defined in the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, gross income includes most cash income (with the exception of specific types of income such as loans) and excludes most noncash income, or in-kind benefits. To be eligible for the FSP, a household that is not categorically eligible and does not contain an elderly or disabled member must have a monthly gross income that is at or below 130 percent of the poverty guideline ( $\$ 1,994$ for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2004). Households with elderly or disabled members are not subject to the gross income standard. Net income is determined by subtracting deductions permitted under the FSP from monthly gross income. The FSP deducts the following from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at the net monthly income: ${ }^{4}$

- Standard Deduction. Households receive a standard deduction based on location and household size. A household with one to four members received $\$ 134$ in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2004, with a larger standard for larger households. The standard deduction for outlying states and territories varies to reflect price differences between these areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix C). The standard deductions are adjusted annually for cost-of-living increases.
- Earned Income Deduction. Households with earnings receive a deduction equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members.
- Dependent Care Deduction. Households with dependents receive a deduction for expenses involved in caring for children and other dependents while other household members work, seek employment, or go to school. The maximum dependent care deduction in fiscal year 2004 was $\$ 200$ per month per dependent under age 2 and $\$ 175$ per month per dependent age 2 or older.
${ }^{3}$ Individuals participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) or an SSI Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP) are subject to different eligibility and benefit determination rules. (See Acronyms and Definitions for more information.)
${ }^{4}$ There is a distinction between a household's deduction entitlement and the amount actually used to compute food stamp benefits. The entitlement is the deduction that a household receives if the total of allowable deductions is less than the household's gross income. Because net income cannot be less than zero, households with total deductions greater than their gross income can only claim a portion of their deduction entitlement.
- Medical Deduction. A medical deduction is available only to households that contain elderly or disabled members. These households can deduct combined out-of-pocket medical costs exceeding $\$ 35$ that are incurred on behalf of elderly or disabled members of the household. Medical expenses reimbursed by insurance or government programs are not deductible.
- Child Support Payment Deduction. Households can deduct legally obligated child support payments made to or for a non-household member. States may choose to exclude child support payments from gross income rather than using the deduction.
- Excess Shelter Expense Deduction. A household is entitled to a deduction equal to shelter costs (such as rent, mortgage payments, utility bills, property taxes, and insurance) that exceed 50 percent of its countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. The limit on the excess shelter expense deduction in the contiguous United States for households without elderly or disabled members was $\$ 378$ in fiscal year 2004. This amount is indexed to inflation increases in subsequent years. Households that contain elderly or disabled members are entitled to subtract the full value of shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of their adjusted income. The limit on the excess shelter expense deduction for outlying states and territories varies to reflect price differences between these areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix C). Some states allow homeless households up to $\$ 143$ for shelter costs.

To be eligible for the FSP, a household must have a net monthly income at or below 100 percent of the poverty guideline ( $\$ 1,534$ for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2004). The gross and net income eligibility standards vary by household size, as well as for residents of Alaska and Hawaii (see Appendix C).


#### Abstract

Assets The second most important determinant of FSP eligibility is a household's assets. Households are permitted up to $\$ 2,000$ in countable assets, or $\$ 3,000$ in countable assets if at least one member is age 60 or older or disabled. ${ }^{5}$ Countable assets include cash, assets that can easily be converted into cash (such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks or bonds, or lump-sum payments), and some nonliquid resources such as certain vehicles. ${ }^{6}$ However, some types of property such as family homes, tools of a trade, or business property used to earn income are not counted.

Regulations implemented in January 2001 exclude from the asset test any vehicle with equity below $\$ 1,500$, and exempt from the equity test one vehicle per adult in the household as well as


[^3]any vehicles used by a teenager to drive to work or school. ${ }^{7}$ If there are no qualifying adults or teenagers in the household, one vehicle is still exempted from the equity test. For vehicles exempt from the equity test but not excluded entirely from the asset test, any fair market value exceeding $\$ 4,650$ is counted toward the asset limit. For any remaining vehicles, the higher of either any fair market value in excess of $\$ 4,650$ or any equity is counted.

In addition, the fiscal year 2001 Agricultural Appropriations Act (enacted in September 2000 and effective on July 1, 2001) allowed states to use TANF vehicle rules in place of food stamp rules if the TANF rules were more generous. By January 2004, 25 states had adopted policies that excluded the value of all vehicles from the asset test. ${ }^{8}$ Other states adopted policies that excluded the value of one vehicle per adult or per household or increased the allowable value of one or more vehicles. Only 3 states were still using the federal FSP rules.

These changes were designed to make it easier for low-income workers to keep a vehicle and still receive food stamps.

## Nonfinancial Eligibility Standards

The FSP has some nonfinancial eligibility standards, such as restrictions on the participation of students, strikers, people who are institutionalized, unauthorized immigrants, nonimmigrant visitors to the United States, and some lawful permanent resident noncitizens. In addition, nondisabled nonelderly adults living in households without children are subject to work registration requirements and time limits on benefit receipt.

The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) severely limited eligibility for legal noncitizens. However, the 2002 Farm Security and Rural Investment Act restored food stamp benefits to certain groups of legal noncitizens who meet the program's requirements:

- Noncitizens who are receiving disability benefits, effective October 1, 2002
- Noncitizens who have lived legally in the United States for over 5 years, effective April 1, 2003
- Noncitizens under age 18 regardless of date of entry, effective October 1, 2003

Refugees, asylees, and deportees are also eligible for food stamp benefits.
Nondisabled nonelderly adults living in households without children can receive benefits only if they work or participate in work-related activities. With certain exceptions, those who do not meet these work requirements are restricted to 3 months of food stamp benefits in any 36 -month

[^4]period. ${ }^{9}$ Participants age 18 to 49 are subject to this time limit unless they meet one of the following conditions:

- People who are disabled
- People who are mentally or physically unfit for employment
- Women who are pregnant
- People needed in the home to care for an ill or incapacitated person
- Relatives or other caretakers of dependent children
- Students meeting FSP eligibility requirements
- People who work at least 20 hours per week
- People who receive unemployment compensation
- People complying with work requirements under another program
- People participating in a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program
- People participating in a work experience program


## APPLICATION PROCEDURES

To apply for food stamps, individuals are required to appear in person at their local food stamp office. However, elderly and disabled people and people who have transportation problems can be interviewed by telephone or at their home. All states must allow individuals to apply for food stamps when they apply for TANF or SSI benefits.

The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, requires that local offices process applications for food stamps within 30 days after they are received. However, applications from households with extremely low income or resources can be processed more quickly through the expedited food stamp eligibility verification procedures, allowing people to receive food stamp benefits within 7 days after they apply. Those eligible for expedited service include (1) migrant or seasonal farm workers with assets equal to or less than $\$ 100$, (2) households with gross income equal to or less than $\$ 150$ and assets equal to or less than $\$ 100$, and (3) households whose shelter costs exceed their combined income and cash resources.

FSP participants are required to appear in person at their local food stamp offices periodically for recertification. The certification period varies according to the likelihood of a change in a food stamp household's financial circumstances. In fiscal year 2004, food stamp households were certified for food stamps for an average of 10 months.

## BENEFIT COMPUTATION

After a household is certified for food stamps, its monthly food stamp benefit is computed on the basis of its net monthly income, the benefit reduction rate, and the maximum food stamp benefit for its household size and location. The maximum benefit to which a household is entitled is based on the June cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) for a family of four, adjusted for
${ }^{9}$ These nondisabled nonelderly adults living in households without children may be exempt from the work requirements if they live in a waiver area (e.g., a high-unemployment area) or receive a state exemption.
household size and geographic areas outside the contiguous United States. The cost of the TFP is based on an economical and nutritious diet, adjusted for household size and composition. Maximum benefits are revised annually to reflect changes in the cost of the foods in the TFP. As specified in the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, the maximum benefit is 100 percent of the TFP. ${ }^{10}$ In fiscal year 2004, the maximum monthly benefit for a family of four in the contiguous United States was $\$ 471$ (Appendix C).

The benefit reduction rate is the rate at which benefits are reduced for every additional dollar of net income. The benefit reduction rate is 30 percent, reflecting the assumption that a household will spend 30 percent of its net income on food and that the FSP will provide the difference between that amount and the maximum benefit. Thus, benefits are reduced by 30 cents for every additional dollar of net income.

A household's monthly food stamp benefit is computed by subtracting 30 percent of its net income from the maximum benefit. If a household has zero net income, it receives the maximum food stamp benefit. All eligible one- and two-person households are guaranteed a minimum benefit of at least $\$ 10$ per month (except during the initial month of participation). For new participants, benefits are prorated for the first month.

## FOOD STAMP ISSUANCE

By the end of fiscal year 2004, Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) systems had been implemented in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. There are two types of EBT systems:

- On-Line EBT. Participant receives a "debit" card, similar to a bank card, which is used to purchase food at authorized retail stores. The household's monthly benefit is electronically transferred to an account created specifically for FSP benefits. When a purchase is made, the amount of the purchase is debited from the account.
- Off-Line EBT. Two states (Ohio and Wyoming) issue "smart cards." Unlike on-line EBT cards, these cards contain food stamp benefit information in a chip on the card.


## PROGRAM CHANGES SINCE THE PREVIOUS FISCAL YEAR

The 2002 Farm Security and Rural Investment Act restored eligibility to certain qualified noncitizens. The eligibility of qualified noncitizens who are receiving disability payments or who have lived in the United States for 5 years as a legal immigrant beginning on the date of entry was restored in fiscal year 2003. The eligibility of noncitizen children was restored October 1, 2003.

[^5]
## FSP PARTICIPATION AND COSTS

After declining slowly from 1983 through 1989, FSP participation grew substantially during the early 1990s. As illustrated in Figure 2.1, FSP participation increased by 37 percent from fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 1994. Since peaking at 28.0 million people in March 1994, the number of FSP participants declined steadily through 2000 but began to rise in 2001, increasing by almost 40 percent between 2000 and 2004. There were 23.3 million participants at the beginning of fiscal year 2004, rising to 24.9 million by the end of the fiscal year. ${ }^{11}$

The increase in FSP participation from fiscal year 2001 to fiscal year 2004 occurred during a period when unemployment increased from 5 percent to 6 percent and the percentage of the population in poverty rose from 11.7 percent to 12.7 percent. At the same time, eligibility for some noncitizens was restored; states took advantage of opportunities to expand categorical eligibility, relax vehicle rules, and implement simpler reporting requirements; and FNS was encouraging the states to conduct outreach efforts. In addition, the proportion of eligible people who chose to participate in the FSP increased from 2001 to $2003 .{ }^{12}$

Total FSP costs increased from $\$ 23.9$ billion in fiscal year 2003 to $\$ 27.2$ billion in fiscal year 2004, largely because of the increase in the FSP caseload.

[^6]FIGURE 2.1

FOOD STAMP PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS, UNEMPLOYED
INDIVIDUALS, AND INDIVIDUALS IN POVERTY, 1985-2004

Millions

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Annual values. Source: Bureau of the Census, Poverty in the United States: 2004.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average monthly values. Source: Food and Nutrition Service.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Average monthly values. Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table 2.1--Major Economic Indicators, Calendar Years 1992-2004

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent change from preceding year.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percent change from preceding year in output per hour, business sector.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Unemployment rate for all civilian workers.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Percentage change from preceding year in the implicit price deflator for Gross Domestic Product.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Corporate AAA bond yield.

## Sources:

First line of data: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts. Second line of data: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Major Sector Productivity and Costs Index." Third line of data: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Fourth line of data: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts
Fifth line of data: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Sixth and Seventh lines of data: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Poverty in the United States
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## CHAPTER 3: CHARACTERISTICS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS AND PARTICIPANTS

The FSP serves the nutritional needs of a broad spectrum of low-income Americans. ${ }^{1}$ In an average month in fiscal year 2004, the FSP provided benefits to 23.5 million people living in 10.1 million households (Tables 3.5 and 3.3). ${ }^{2}$ The vast majority of food stamp households lived in poverty (according to the federal poverty guidelines for program eligibility in fiscal year 2004). The vast majority of food stamp households contained a child (under age 18), an elderly person (over age 59), or a disabled nonelderly person. The average food stamp household received a monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 196$, had a gross monthly income of $\$ 643$, had a net monthly income of $\$ 321$, and was entitled to a total deduction of $\$ 381$ per month (Tables 3.4 and 3.6). ${ }^{3,4}$ The average household size was 2.3 people. This chapter discusses the composition and economic status of food stamp households, the characteristics of food stamp participants, and the changes in the characteristics of food stamp households from fiscal year 2003 through fiscal year 2004.

## THE POVERTY STATUS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS ${ }^{5}$

The FSP provides benefits to households in need. In fiscal year 2004 the gross monthly income of 88 percent of food stamp households was less than or equal to 100 percent of the federal
${ }^{1}$ The information in this chapter and the estimates in Appendices A and B are based on a sample of 48,806 households that participated in the FSP in fiscal year 2004. The sample was drawn from food stamp households in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Households in Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands were not included in the sample because Puerto Rico has its own Nutritional Assistance Program, which replaced the FSP there in July 1982, and the Northern Mariana Islands participate in another block grant program instead of the FSP.
${ }^{2}$ The estimates of 23.5 million participants and 10.1 million households differ slightly from the numbers of food stamp participants and households according to FNS administrative records ( 23.9 million people and 10.3 million households) because the sample estimate is adjusted to account for receipt of benefits in error or for disaster assistance. (See Appendix D for more information.)
${ }^{3}$ Because household net income is not used in their benefit determination, the average monthly net income estimate excludes households participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) and households participating in an SSI Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP) in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, or Texas.
${ }^{4}$ The average total deduction estimate reflects the entire deduction to which households are entitled. Because households cannot deduct more than their gross income, this figure is greater than the average deduction actually received by households. Since deductions are not used in their benefit determination, SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this estimate.
${ }^{5}$ For more information on the economic status of food stamp households, see Appendix Tables A-3 through A-8.
poverty guideline (Table 3.1). ${ }^{6}$ The gross monthly income of 62 percent of all food stamp households was less than or equal to 75 percent of the poverty guideline, and the income of 40 percent of all food stamp households was less than or equal to 50 percent of the guideline (Table A-4).

The FSP effectively targets benefits to the neediest households; poorer households receive larger food stamp benefits than do households with more income. The 39 percent of all food stamp households that had a gross monthly income less than or equal to 50 percent of the poverty guideline in fiscal year 2004 received 57 percent of all benefits. In contrast, the 12 percent of households with a gross monthly income over the poverty guideline received only 5 percent of all benefits (Table A-1).

The impact of food stamps on a household's purchasing power is estimated by adding the dollar value of the food stamps to household income and examining the distribution of households by poverty status. ${ }^{7}$ As shown in Table 3.2, the combination of cash and food stamps yields a significantly different distribution of food stamp households by poverty status. Specifically, when food stamps are included in gross income, the resulting increase in income of food stamp households was enough to move 9 percent of them above the poverty guideline. Food stamp benefits had an even greater impact on the poorest food stamp households, moving 16 percent of them above 50 percent of the poverty guideline.

## HOUSEHOLDS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS ${ }^{8}$

The FSP effectively serves many households that contain people with special needs-children, elderly, and disabled people. In fiscal year 2004, 84 percent of all food stamp households contained a child, an elderly person, or a disabled nonelderly person. These households received 89 percent of all food stamp benefits (Table A-14).

## Households with Children

In fiscal year 2004, the FSP served approximately 5.5 million households with children each month, representing more than half (54 percent) of all households (Table 3.3). Households with children and earnings constituted 84 percent of all food stamp households with earnings. Six percent of all households with children received a combination of TANF and earnings (Table A6). Compared with other food stamp households, those that contained children received a relatively high average food stamp benefit of $\$ 280$ per month (Table 3.4). This relatively high benefit primarily reflects the fact that the average household size among food stamp households with children ( 3.3 people) was larger than the average household size among all food stamp households (2.3 people).

[^7]Table 3.1-- Distribution of Households and Their Benefits by Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Fiscal Year 2004

| Countable Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percentage of: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Households | All Benefits |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 25\% or less | 23.1 | 31.8 |
| 26-50\% | 16.4 | 25.3 |
| 51-75\% | 22.1 | 22.4 |
| 76-100\% ..................... | 26.3 | 15.5 |
| 101-130\% | 10.5 | 4.7 |
| 131\% or more .................................. | 1.6 | 0.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 2004 poverty guidelines published by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix D).

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.2--Effect of Food Stamp Benefits on the Poverty Status of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2004

| Gross Income as a <br> Percentage of Poverty Guideline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Distribution of Households in Relation to Poverty Guideline |  | Difference in Percentage Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Based on Cash Only | Based on Cash and Food Stamps |  |
| Total................................ | 100\% | 100\% | 0 |
| $50 \%$ or less......................... | 39.5 | 23.3 | -16.2 |
| 51-100............................. | 48.4 | 56.0 | 7.6 |
| 101 or more......................... | 12.1 | 20.7 | 8.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 2004 FSP net income screen (see Appendix C).
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.3-- Household Composition and Selected Characteristics of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 2004

| Households With: | All Households |  | Households With Countable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Earned Income |  | Social Security |  | TANF |  | General Assistance |  | SSI |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10,070 | 100.0 | 2,896 | 100.0 | 2,313 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 100.0 | 576 | 100.0 | 2,713 | 100.0 |
| Children .............................................. | 5,472 | 54.3 | 2,422 | 83.6 | 517 | 22.4 | 1,584 | 97.4 | 165 | 28.7 | 732 | 27.0 |
| Single-Adult Household ${ }^{\text {b }}$........................ | 3,450 | 34.3 | 1,303 | 45.0 | 319 | 13.8 | 1,060 | 65.2 | 112 | 19.5 | 465 | 17.1 |
| Male Adult | 177 | 1.8 | 56 | 1.9 | 32 | 1.4 | 56 | 3.5 | 4 | 0.8 | 26 | 0.9 |
| Female Adult . | 3,272 | 32.5 | 1,246 | 43.0 | 287 | 12.4 | 1,004 | 61.7 | 108 | 18.7 | 439 | 16.2 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ....................... | 1,493 | 14.8 | 900 | 31.1 | 182 | 7.9 | 289 | 17.7 | 36 | 6.3 | 249 | 9.2 |
| Married Head Household ..................... | 1,004 | 10.0 | 650 | 22.5 | 116 | 5.0 | 164 | 10.1 | 14 | 2.4 | 140 | 5.2 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household ........... | 489 | 4.9 | 250 | 8.6 | 66 | 2.9 | 125 | 7.7 | 23 | 3.9 | 109 | 4.0 |
| Children Only ......................................... | 530 | 5.3 | 218 | 7.5 | 16 | 0.7 | 235 | 14.5 | 16 | 2.8 | 18 | 0.7 |
| Elderly Individuals ................................ | 1,741 | 17.3 | 80 | 2.8 | 1,177 | 50.9 | 45 | 2.8 | 84 | 14.7 | 1,001 | 36.9 |
| Living Alone ........................................ | 1,357 | 13.5 | 34 | 1.2 | 936 | 40.5 | 2 | 0.1 | 58 | 10.1 | 792 | 29.2 |
| Not Living Alone .................................. | 385 | 3.8 | 46 | 1.6 | 240 | 10.4 | 44 | 2.7 | 26 | 4.6 | 209 | 7.7 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {c ............ }}$ | 2,310 | 22.9 | 233 | 8.1 | 1,024 | 44.3 | 288 | 17.7 | 108 | 18.7 | 1,755 | 64.7 |
| Living Alone ......................................... | 1,243 | 12.3 | 55 | 1.9 | 610 | 26.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 52 | 9.1 | 908 | 33.5 |
| Not Living Alone .................................. | 1,067 | 10.6 | 178 | 6.1 | 414 | 17.9 | 286 | 17.6 | 56 | 9.6 | 848 | 31.2 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {d }}$................................. | 1,564 | 15.5 | 337 | 11.6 | 4 | 0.2 | 32 | 2.0 | 274 | 47.5 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Single-Person Household ........................ | 1,426 | 14.2 | 269 | 9.3 | 3 | 0.2 | 25 | 1.5 | 264 | 45.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Multi-Person Household ......................... | 138 | 1.4 | 69 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.5 | 10 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Single-Person Households ...................... | 4,228 | 42.0 | 439 | 15.2 | 1,555 | 67.2 | 116 | 7.1 | 379 | 65.8 | 1,701 | 62.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Because gender is missing for some individuals in the FSPQC sample, the sum of single-adult households headed by males plus the number headed by females may not add up to the total number of single-adult households.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled nonelderly individuals.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.4-- Average Values of Selected Characteristics by Household Composition, Fiscal Year 2004

| Households With: | Average Values |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Monthly Countable Income (Dollars) | Net Monthly Countable Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Monthly Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) |
| Total ................................................... | 643 | 321 | 196 | 2.3 |
| Children | 766 | 403 | 280 | 3.3 |
| Single-Adult Household .......................... | 675 | 339 | 272 | 3.1 |
| Male Adult | 673 | 321 | 249 | 2.8 |
| Female Adult | 675 | 340 | 273 | 3.1 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ....................... | 1,069 | 625 | 327 | 4.4 |
| Married Head Household ....................... | 1,123 | 659 | 323 | 4.5 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household ............ | 958 | 554 | 334 | 4.3 |
| Children Only ........................................ | 502 | 189 | 204 | 2.0 |
| Elderly Individuals ................................. | 682 | 352 | 84 | 1.3 |
| Living Alone ....................................... | 619 | 290 | 65 | 1.0 |
| Not Living Alone .................................... | 903 | 540 | 152 | 2.5 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$............. | 792 | 444 | 136 | 2.1 |
| Living Alone ......................................... | 621 | 272 | 68 | 1.0 |
| Not Living Alone ................................... | 991 | 630 | 215 | 3.4 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {c }}$................................. | 191 | 53 | 139 | 1.1 |
| Single-Person Household ........................ | 165 | 41 | 131 | 1.0 |
| Multi-Person Household ......................... | 453 | 171 | 221 | 2.1 |
| Single-Person Households ...................... | 453 | 185 | 91 | 1.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 households participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) and 272,701 households participating in an SSI Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP) in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, or Texas are excluded from this column.
${ }^{b}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{c}$ Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Children who received food stamps in fiscal year 2004 tended to live in households that were headed by a single adult, usually a female. Sixty-three percent of all food stamp households with children were headed by a single adult, representing 34 percent of all food stamp households (Table 3.3).

Thirty-one percent of these single-adult food stamp households received TANF, 38 percent had earnings, and 13 percent received SSI. Ten percent of all food stamp households contained a married head of household and children, representing 18 percent of all food stamp households with children. Of all married-head households with children, 65 percent had earned income and 16 percent received TANF (Table 3.3).

The characteristics of married-head households with children varied considerably from those of single-adult households with children. The average monthly food stamp benefit for single-adult households with children was lower than that of married-head households with children (\$272 versus $\$ 323$ ) due to the smaller size of single-adult households (Table 3.4). The per capita benefit was higher for people in single-adult households with children than for people in married-head households with children ( $\$ 88$ versus $\$ 72$ ) in part because single-adult households were poorer. Single-adult households with children had a substantially lower gross monthly income than married-head households with children ( $\$ 675$ versus $\$ 1,123$ ).

Among all households with children, 18 percent received child support, and 9 percent had no countable income (Table A-6).

## Households with Elderly People

In fiscal year 2004, the FSP served an average of 1.7 million households containing elderly people (age 60 or older) each month, representing 17 percent of all households (Table 3.3). These households received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 84$ per month and had an average household size of 1.3 people (Table 3.4).

Elderly people who received food stamps tended to live alone and thus received relatively small food stamp benefits. In fiscal year 2004, 78 percent of all food stamp households with elderly members were single-person households (Table 3.3). These households received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 65$ per month compared with $\$ 152$ in benefits for households with elderly people not living alone and $\$ 220$ for households without elderly members (Tables 3.4 and A-2). The average size of households containing elderly people not living alone was 2.5 people.

A majority of food stamp households that contained elderly people received SSI or Social Security income. In fiscal year 2004, 57 percent of all food stamp households with elderly members received SSI and 68 percent received Social Security (Table 3.3). Thirty-two percent of households with elderly members received both SSI and Social Security income (Table A-6). Food stamp households with elderly members represented 37 percent of all food stamp households with SSI and 51 percent of food stamp households with Social Security income (Table 3.3).

## Households with Disabled Nonelderly People

In fiscal year 2004, the FSP served an average of 2.3 million households containing disabled nonelderly people (Table 3.3). ${ }^{9}$ Households that contained disabled nonelderly people represented 23 percent of all food stamp households and received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 136$ (Table 3.4).

About 54 percent of food stamp households that contained disabled nonelderly people were single-person households (Table 3.3). Households containing a disabled nonelderly person living alone received a lower average monthly food stamp benefit than did households containing disabled nonelderly people not living alone (\$68 compared with \$215) (Table 3.4). Again, the difference in benefits between the two groups reflects differences in average household size. Disabled nonelderly people who did not live alone lived in households with an average of 3.4 individuals. Seventy-six percent of households containing disabled nonelderly people received SSI and 44 percent received Social Security income (Table 3.3).

## Other Households Served by the FSP

The FSP serves needy households other than those that contain children, elderly people, or disabled people. In fiscal year 2004, 16 percent of all food stamp households consisted solely of one or more nonelderly, nondisabled adults (Table 3.3). These households tended to be singleperson households (91 percent) and were the largest category ( 48 percent) of households that received General Assistance. However, 82 percent of these households did not receive General Assistance. These households had a very low average monthly gross income (\$191), with 50 percent having no gross income at all (Table A-16). Households consisting solely of one or more nonelderly, nondisabled adults received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 139$ per month (Table 3.4).

## Single-Person Households

Of all food stamp households in fiscal year 2004, 42 percent were individuals who lived alone (Table 3.3). ${ }^{10}$ These households received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 91$ (Table 3.4). A majority of these individuals ( 59 percent) were female, and 32 percent were elderly (Table A-14 and Table A-24). Compared with all food stamp households, a relatively small proportion of food stamp participants living alone had earned income (10 percent), and a relatively high proportion had zero gross income (19 percent) (Table 3.3 and Table A-4). By comparison, 42 percent of all multiple-person households had earned income, and 9 percent had zero gross income.

[^8]
## CHARACTERISTICS OF FSP PARTICIPANTS

The FSP serves a broad spectrum of individuals. In fiscal year 2004, 50 percent of FSP participants were children (younger than 18 years old), and they received 50 percent of pro-rated FSP benefits (Table 3.5). Two-thirds of the children served by the FSP were school age (age 5 to 17). Forty-two percent of participants were nonelderly adults (age 18 to 59 ), and 8 percent were elderly adults.

Sixty-eight percent of both nonelderly and elderly adults were female (Table A-23). Six percent of participants were citizen children living with noncitizens. Four percent of participants were noncitizens- 1 percent were refugees and another 3 percent were other noncitizens. Three percent were naturalized citizens.

## CHANGES IN THE ECONOMIC CONDITIONS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS

The overall economic conditions of the average food stamp household worsened from fiscal year 2003 to fiscal year 2004. While average gross income decreased in real dollars from $\$ 640$ in fiscal year 2003 to $\$ 626$ in fiscal year 2004, the average total deduction to which households are entitled increased by 8 percent in real dollars, resulting in a 10 -percent decrease in real dollars in average net income (Table 3.6). ${ }^{11}$ The percentage of households with zero net income increased from 26 percent in fiscal year 2003 to 29 percent in fiscal year 2004. The percentage of households with earnings remained relatively stable, as did the percentage of households with TANF income. About 29 percent of food stamp households had earnings in fiscal year 2004, compared to 28 percent in fiscal year 2003. Sixteen percent of food stamp households received TANF in fiscal year 2004, representing a decrease of only 1 percentage point from fiscal year 2003 (Table A-25).

In real dollars, the average food stamp benefit increased 2 percent, from $\$ 185$ in fiscal year 2003 to $\$ 189$ in fiscal year 2004, while the maximum benefit for a family of four in the continental United States decreased from $\$ 465$ to $\$ 453$ (Table 3-6).
${ }^{11}$ Some of the change in average total deductions and average net income may be attributable to two changes in the FSPQC database development process. First, we revised the way certain deductions are calculated to correct for inconsistencies and data entry errors. Second, we developed a process for identifying households participating in SSI Combined Application Projects (SSI-CAP). Since deductions and net income are not used in their benefit determination, SSI-CAP participants in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from the average total deduction and average net income calculations in the FY 2004 FSPQC database. In addition to SSI-CAP households, households participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) are excluded from the average net income calculation, because net income is not used in their benefit calculation and because they are not eligible for the same deductions as households participating in the federal FSP. In the 2003 Characteristics Report, MFIP and SSI-CAP households were included in all average calculations.

Table 3.5 -- Food Stamp Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristics, Fiscal Year 2004

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total | 23,486 | 100.0 | 1,978,371 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Born Citizen | 21,954 | 93.5 | 1,852,625 | 93.6 |
| Naturalized Citizen | 608 | 2.6 | 49,246 | 2.5 |
| Refugee | 216 | 0.9 | 17,144 | 0.9 |
| Other Noncitizen .... | 693 | 3.0 | 58,281 | 2.9 |
| Unknown ........................ | 15 | 0.1 | 1,075 | 0.1 |
| Citizen Children Living with Noncitizens ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,507 | 6.4 | 131,428 | 6.6 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults | 881 | 3.8 | 100,862 | 5.1 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 11,797 | 50.2 | 995,697 | 50.3 |
| Preschool Age Children | 3,967 | 16.9 | 358,124 | 18.1 |
| 0-1 | 1,550 | 6.6 | 143,486 | 7.3 |
| 2-4 | 2,417 | 10.3 | 214,637 | 10.8 |
| School Age Children | 7,830 | 33.3 | 637,574 | 32.2 |
| 5-7 | 2,095 | 8.9 | 178,774 | 9.0 |
| 8-11 | 2,589 | 11.0 | 211,806 | 10.7 |
| 12-15 | 2,275 | 9.7 | 179,749 | 9.1 |
| 16-17 | 871 | 3.7 | 67,245 | 3.4 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18-59) | 9,765 | 41.6 | 861,313 | 43.5 |
| Elderly Adults (60 or more) | 1,919 | 8.2 | 121,088 | 6.1 |
| Unknown Age ..................... | 4 | 0.0 | 273 | 0.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.
b Noncitizens may be inside or outside the food stamp unit.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.6--Nominal and Real Values of Selected Characteristics, Fiscal Year 2003 and Fiscal Year 2004

| Selected Characteristics | Nominal Values |  |  | Real Values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal Year $2003$ | Fiscal Year $2004$ | Percentage Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal Year } \\ 2004 \\ \text { (in } 2003 \\ \text { dollars) } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage Change |
| Average Gross Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Per Household <br> Per Person | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 640 \\ 336 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 643 \\ 335 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +0.5 \\ -0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 626 \\ 326 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.2 \\ & -3.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Per Household <br> Per Person | 348 171 | 321 151 | -7.8 -11.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 312 \\ & 147 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -10.3 \\ & -14.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average Total Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 343 | 381 | +11.1 | 371 | +8.2 |
| Average Household Benefit ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 185 | 196 | +5.9 | 189 | +2.2 |
| Maximum Coupon Benefit for a Family of Four in the Continental U.S. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 465 | 471 | +1.3 | 453 | -2.3 |
| Consumer Price Index All Items Food at Home | $\begin{aligned} & 184.0 \\ & 179.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 188.9 \\ & 186.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +2.7 \\ & +3.8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
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## ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

## ACRONYMS

| AFDC | -Aid to Families with Dependent Children |
| :---: | :---: |
| EBT | - Electronic Benefit Transfer |
| FNS | -U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service |
| FSP | -Food Stamp Program |
| FSPQC | -Food Stamp Program Quality Control |
| GA | -General Assistance |
| HHS | -U.S. Department of Health and Human Services |
| MFIP | -Minnesota Family Investment Program |
| MOE | -Maintenance of Effort |
| PRWORA | -Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 |
| SSI | -Supplemental Security Income |
| SSI-CAP | -SSI Combined Application Project |
| TANF | -Temporary Assistance to Needy Families |
| TFP | -Thrifty Food Plan |
| USDA | -U.S. Department of Agriculture |

## DEFINITIONS

Asylees. Noncitizens granted political asylum. In tables in this report, the term "refugee" includes refugees, asylees, and deportees.

## Categorically Eligible Households.

 Households in which all members receive TANF, SSI, or general assistance. Includes households receiving benefits or services that are at least 50 percent funded by TANF or MOE funds. Some states also confer categorical eligibility based on benefits or services that are less than 50 percent funded by TANF/MOE and on households where one member receives abenefit or service, but the state determines that the whole household benefits. If the purpose of the program conferring categorical eligibility is to prevent out-of-wedlock pregnancies or to foster or strengthen marriage, the household's gross income must be under 200 percent of poverty. However, if the purpose of the program is to further work, this income limit does not apply.

Certification Period. Length of time a household is certified to receive FSP benefits. When the certification period expires, households must be recertified in order to continue receiving benefits.

Child Only Households. Households where all food stamp recipients are under age 18. The household may contain adults who are not food stamp recipients.

Children. Individuals under age 18.
Child Support Payment Deduction. Deduction for households with legally obligated child support payments made to or for a nonhousehold member. States may choose to exclude child support payments from gross income rather than using the deduction. See also Deductions.

Countable Resources. Cash on hand and assets that can be converted easily to cash, such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks or bonds, and lump sum payments. They also include some nonliquid assets, although the family home, certain family vehicles, and business tools or property are not counted. See also Resource Limit.

Deductions. Allowable deductions from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at FSP net monthly income. The deductions shown in the tables are those to which households were entitled. (MFIP and SSI-CAP participants are subject to different rules.) Some of the deductions may not have been used, however, before a household reached zero net income status. Therefore, total deductions do
not equal the difference between gross and net income amounts. See also Child Support Payment Deduction, Dependent Care Deduction, Earned Income Deduction, Excess Shelter Expense Deduction, Medical Deduction, Minnesota Family Investment Program, Standard Deduction, and Total Deduction.

Dependent Care Deduction. Deduction received by food stamp households for expenses involved in caring for dependents while other members work, seek employment, or go to school. See Appendix C. See also Deductions.

Deportees. Noncitizens granted a stay of deportation. In tables in this report, the term "refugee" includes refugees, asylees, and deportees.

Earned Income Deduction. Deduction received by households with earnings, equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members. (MFIP participants are entitled to a 38 percent earned income deduction.) See also Deductions and Minnesota Family Investment Program.

Earned Income. Includes wages, salaries, and self-employment income.

Elderly People. Adults age 60 or older.
Electronic Benefit Transfer. Means of benefit delivery via electronic "debit" card, similar to a bank card, which is used to purchase food at authorized retail stores.

Entrant Households. Households newly certified during fiscal year 2004 that have not been recertified.

Excess Shelter Expense Deduction. Deduction received by households with shelter costs, equal to those shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of the household's countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. There is a limit on the shelter deduction for households that do not contain elderly or disabled members. See Appendix C. See also Deductions and Homeless Household Shelter Estimate.

Expedited Service Households. Households which initially received expedited service for the certification period in effect.

Gross Income. Total monthly countable income of household in dollars, before applying deductions.

Gross Income Limit. FSP monthly gross income eligibility standards, determined by household size; equal to 130 percent of the HHS poverty guidelines. See Appendix C.

Homeless Household Shelter Estimate. Some states allow homeless households a set amount for shelter expenses.

Household. Individuals who live in a residential unit and purchase and prepare food together.

Households With Elderly People. Households with at least one member age 60 or older.

Households With Disabled Nonelderly People. Households with either nonelderly SSI recipients, a medical deduction and no elderly individuals, or nonelderly adults who do not appear to be working and who are receiving Social Security, Veteran's benefits, or Worker's compensation.

Households With Children. Households with at least one member age 17 or less.

Initial Certification Households. Includes both households certified for the first time within the current certification period and previously certified households that have not received benefits for at least 30 days.

Lawful Permanent Residents. Noncitizens lawfully admitted for permanent resident status.

Married-Head Households. Households containing a spouse of the household head.

Maximum Benefit. Based on 100 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in the preceding June for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment. Maximum benefit varies from the Continental
U.S. in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam and the Virgin Islands. See Appendix C.

Medical Deduction. Deduction available to households that contain elderly or disabled members, equal to all unreimbursed medical expenses incurred by the elderly or disabled person that exceed $\$ 35$. See page 4 . See also Deductions.

Metropolitan Households. Households whose FSP application was processed at an agency in a Census Bureau-defined Metropolitan Statistical Area. A Metropolitan Statistical Area has at least one urbanized area with population of 50,000 or more and includes adjacent territory that has a high degree of social and economic integration with the core as measured by commuting ties.

Micropolitan Households. Households whose FSP application was processed at an agency in a Census Bureau-defined Micropolitan Statistical Area. A Micropolitan Statistical Area has at least one urban cluster of at least 10,000 but less than 50,000 population and includes adjacent territory that has a high degree of social and economic integration with the core as measured by commuting ties.

Minimum Benefit. $\$ 10$ for one- or two-person households.

Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP). Minnesota's cash assistance program. MFIP participants' FSP benefit is calculated at the same time as the cash assistance benefit by subtracting total income from an income threshold that is based on family size and is larger for families with earnings. If the difference between total income and the threshold is larger than the maximum benefit set by Minnesota, the family receives the full food portion of the benefit and possibly an additional cash benefit. Families with income closer to the income threshold may not receive a cash benefit and will receive a smaller food benefit as well. MFIP participants are credited with a 36 percent earnings deduction but receive no other deductions from their income.

Net Income. Total monthly countable income of household in dollars, after applying
deductions. Net income is not calculated for MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.

Net Income Limit. FSP monthly net income eligibility standard, determined by household size, equal to 100 percent of the HHS poverty guidelines. See Appendix C.

Nonelderly Adults. Adults age 18 to 59.

## Nonimmigrant Visitors to the United States.

 Noncitizens who have been admitted for a specified period, including tourists, students, and foreign nationals with work permits.Nonparticipating Household Head Households. These households are headed by someone who is ineligible for the FSP, such as an ineligible noncitizen.

Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA). Legislation enacted in 1996 that made sweeping changes to the nation's public assistance programs. This act disqualified many legal permanent resident aliens and nonelderly nondisabled adults from the Food Stamp Program. In addition, the legislation changed cash welfare from an entitlement to temporary assistance designed to move parents to work. Since many cash welfare recipients also participate in the FSP, changes to the cash welfare program significantly affect FSP participants.

Poverty Guidelines. The poverty guidelines used by FNS are issued by the Department of Health and Human Services. Dividing these guidelines by 12 yields the monthly net income limits for the FSP. The Bureau of the Census establishes other poverty thresholds which are used primarily for statistical purposes. See Appendix C.

Preschool-Age Children. Children less than 5 years old.

Refugees. Noncitizens accorded refugee status. In tables in this report, the term "refugee" includes refugees, asylees, and deportees. See also Asylees and Deportees.

Resource Limit. For most households the resource limit was $\$ 2,000$ in fiscal year 2004. Households containing an elderly or disabled person were allowed up to $\$ 3,000$ of countable resources. See also Countable Resources.

Rural. A household is considered to be located in a rural area if the county in which its local food stamp agency is located is not in a Metropolitan Statistical Area or a Micropolitan Statistical Area.

School-Age Children. Children ages 5 to 17.
Shelter Deduction. See Excess Shelter Expense Deduction.

Single-Adult with Children Households. Households with exactly one person age 18 or older, no spouse, and at least one person under age 18 .

Single-Person Households. Households containing exactly one person.

SSI-Combined Application Project (SSICAP). Joint FNS-SSA partnerships with a goal of streamlining the procedures for providing food stamp benefits to certain households that are eligible for both food stamps and Supplemental Security Income (SSI). In FY 2004, five states had SSI-CAP demonstrations: Mississippi, New York, Texas, South Carolina, and Washington. The demonstrations in Mississippi, New York, Texas, and South Carolina have standardized benefit amounts. All five states have standardized shelter expenses.

Standard Deduction. Deduction received by all households which varies by area and household size to reflect price differences among areas. See Appendix C. See also Deductions.

Student. Participant age 18 or older enrolled at least half time in a recognized school, training program, or institution of higher education.

Thrifty Food Plan (TFP). Market basket of goods based on an economical and nutritious diet, adjusted for household size and composition. Used to determine maximum food stamp benefit amounts.

Total Deduction. Includes child support payment, dependent-care, earned income, excess shelter expense, medical, and standard deductions to which the FSP household is entitled. In some cases this exceeds the amount deducted from gross income because net income cannot be less than zero. See also Deductions.

Unearned Income. Includes TANF, General Assistance, SSI, Social Security, unemployment benefits, Veterans' benefits, Workers' Compensation, other government benefits, contributions, deemed income, educational loans, child support, wage supplementation, energy assistance, state diversion payments, and other unearned income.

Work Registration. Many nonelderly nondisabled FSP participants are required to register for work with their welfare office or state unemployment agency and must agree to accept any suitable job that is offered to them. Individuals who are exempt from FSP work registration rules include the following:

- All individuals under age 16 or over age 60 , and some individuals age 16 and 17
- Individuals responsible for the care of a dependent child under age 6 or the care of an incapacitated person
- Individuals who are physically or mentally unfit for work
- Individuals complying with work requirements of other assistance programs
- Students enrolled at least half time in a school, training program, or institution of higher education
- Regular participants in a drug addiction or alcoholic treatment program
- Individuals working 30 hours a week or earning more than an amount equal to 30 hours times the minimum wage.
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Table A-1. Distribution of Participating Households, Individuals, and Benefits by Household Composition, Locality, Countable Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ..................................................................................... | 10,070 | 100.0 | 23,486 | 100.0 | 1,978,371 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 5,472 | 54.3 | 18,260 | 77.7 | 1,532,401 | 77.5 |
| School Age ........................................................................ | 4,134 | 41.1 | 14,895 | 63.4 | 1,210,993 | 61.2 |
| Preschool Age ................................................................... | 2,901 | 28.8 | 10,131 | 43.1 | 876,196 | 44.3 |
| No Children ......................................................................... | 4,598 | 45.7 | 5,226 | 22.3 | 445,971 | 22.5 |
| Elderly Individuals ................................................................ | 1,741 | 17.3 | 2,307 | 9.8 | 146,497 | 7.4 |
| No Elderly Individuals .......................................................... | 8,329 | 82.7 | 21,179 | 90.2 | 1,831,875 | 92.6 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,310 | 22.9 | 4,840 | 20.6 | 314,216 | 15.9 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ....................................... | 7,761 | 77.1 | 18,646 | 79.4 | 1,664,156 | 84.1 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {b }}$.............................. | 804 | 8.0 | 1,397 | 5.9 | 143,334 | 7.2 |
| No Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults .......................... | 9,266 | 92.0 | 22,088 | 94.1 | 1,835,037 | 92.8 |
| Noncitizens | 625 | 6.2 | 1,732 | 7.4 | 137,236 | 6.9 |
| No Noncitizens | 9,446 | 93.8 | 21,753 | 92.6 | 1,841,135 | 93.1 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan | 7,770 | 77.2 | 18,076 | 77.0 | 1,545,657 | 78.1 |
| Micropolitan ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,273 | 12.6 | 3,028 | 12.9 | 242,439 | 12.3 |
| Rural | 995 | 9.9 | 2,349 | 10.0 | 187,276 | 9.5 |
| Countable Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income | 8,762 | 87.0 | 21,156 | 90.1 | 1,678,496 | 84.8 |
| No Gross Income ................................................................. | 1,308 | 13.0 | 2,329 | 9.9 | 299,875 | 15.2 |
| Net Income | 6,804 | 67.6 | 17,242 | 73.4 | 1,199,087 | 60.6 |
| No Net Income | 2,956 | 29.4 | 5,864 | 25.0 | 744,927 | 37.7 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {d }}$....................................................................................................................... | 310 | 3.1 | 379 | 1.6 | 34,357 | 1.7 |
| Earned Income | 2,896 | 28.8 | 9,249 | 39.4 | 665,817 | 33.7 |
| No Earned Income | 7,174 | 71.2 | 14,236 | 60.6 | 1,312,555 | 66.3 |
| Unearned Income | 7,027 | 69.8 | 15,843 | 67.5 | 1,262,462 | 63.8 |
| No Unearned Income | 3,043 | 30.2 | 7,642 | 32.5 | 715,909 | 36.2 |
| TANF Income | 1,626 | 16.1 | 5,055 | 21.5 | 443,705 | 22.4 |
| No TANF Income ............................................................ | 8,444 | 83.9 | 18,430 | 78.5 | 1,534,667 | 77.6 |
| GA Income ............................................................................. | 576 | 5.7 | 1,004 | 4.3 | 94,290 | 4.8 |
| No GA Income ................................................................... | 9,494 | 94.3 | 22,482 | 95.7 | 1,884,082 | 95.2 |
| SSI | 2,713 | 26.9 | 4,953 | 21.1 | 327,303 | 16.5 |
| No SSI | 7,358 | 73.1 | 18,533 | 78.9 | 1,651,068 | 83.5 |
| Social Security Income .......................................................... | 2,313 | 23.0 | 3,897 | 16.6 | 235,316 | 11.9 |
| No Social Security Income .................................................... | 7,757 | 77.0 | 19,589 | 83.4 | 1,743,055 | 88.1 |
| Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline No income | 1,308 | 13.0 | 2,329 | 9.9 | 299,875 | 15.2 |
| $>0-50 \%$ | 2,669 | 26.5 | 7,497 | 31.9 | 830,384 | 42.0 |
| 51-100 | 4,874 | 48.4 | 10,706 | 45.6 | 749,405 | 37.9 |
| $101+$................................................................................ | 1,219 | 12.1 | 2,954 | 12.6 | 98,708 | 5.0 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit .................................................................. | 611 | 6.1 | 723 | 3.1 | 6,109 | 0.3 |
| Maximum Benefit ..................................................................... | 3,086 | 30.6 | 5,998 | 25.5 | 763,680 | 38.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.
c A micropolitan area has at least one urban cluster of between 10,000 and 50,000 people and includes adjacent territory with a high degree of social and economic integration with the core as measured by commuting ties.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-2. Average Gross and Net Countable Income, Total Deduction, Countable Resources, Food Stamp Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition, Locality, Countable Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Gross <br> Countable Income (Dollars) | Net Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Countable <br> Resources (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Household } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { (Individuals) } \end{aligned}$ | Certification Period (Months) |
| Total ......................................... | 10,070 | 100.0 | 643 | 321 | 381 | 143 | 196 | 2.3 | 10.5 |
| Household Composition Children | 5,472 | 54.3 | 766 | 403 | 417 | 119 | 280 | 3.3 | 8.5 |
| School Age ..................................... | 4,134 | 41.1 | 827 | 451 | 425 | 119 | 293 | 3.6 | 8.6 |
| Preschool Age | 2,901 | 28.8 | 745 | 382 | 421 | 117 | 302 | 3.5 | 8.3 |
| No Children ............................. | 4,598 | 45.7 | 496 | 219 | 336 | 171 | 97 | 1.1 | 12.8 |
| Elderly Individuals .................... | 1,741 | 17.3 | 682 | 352 | 360 | 293 | 84 | 1.3 | 15.8 |
| No Elderly Individuals .............. | 8,329 | 82.7 | 634 | 316 | 385 | 111 | 220 | 2.5 | 9.3 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ No Disabled Nonelderly | 2,310 | 22.9 | 792 | 444 | 369 | 150 | 136 | 2.1 | 12.6 |
| Individuals ............................ | 7,761 | 77.1 | 598 | 285 | 384 | 141 | 214 | 2.4 | 9.8 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 804 | 8.0 | 342 | 152 | 312 | 67 | 178 | 1.7 | 8.0 |
| No Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults $\qquad$ | 9,266 | 92.0 | 669 | 337 | 387 | 149 | 198 | 2.4 | 10.7 |
| Noncitizens ........... | 625 | 6.2 | 786 | 408 | 436 | 177 | 220 | 2.8 | 11.4 |
| No Noncitizens ......................... | 9,446 | 93.8 | 633 | 316 | 377 | 141 | 195 | 2.3 | 10.4 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ............................ | 7,770 | 77.2 | 637 | 310 | 388 | 141 | 199 | 2.3 | 10.4 |
| Micropolitan ............................ | 1,273 | 12.6 | 672 | 360 | 369 | 139 | 190 | 2.4 | 10.3 |
| Rural ....................................... | 995 | 9.9 | 648 | 369 | 341 | 166 | 188 | 2.4 | 10.8 |
| Countable Income Source Gross Income | 8,762 | 87.0 | 738 | 371 | 401 | 157 | 192 | 2.4 | 10.9 |
| No Gross Income .............................. | 1,308 | 13.0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 50 | 229 | 1.8 | 7.3 |
| Net Income | 6,804 | 67.6 | 844 | 461 | 383 | 177 | 176 | 2.5 | 10.6 |
| No Net Income ......................... | 2,956 | 29.4 | 183 | 0 | 381 | 71 | 252 | 2.0 | 8.2 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {e }}$........................ | 310 | 3.1 | 611 | - | 59 | 83 | 111 | 1.2 | 29.4 |
| Earned Income .......................... | 2,896 | 28.8 | 1,011 | 519 | 517 | 166 | 230 | 3.2 | 8.2 |
| No Earned Income ..................... | 7,174 | 71.2 | 494 | 238 | 324 | 133 | 183 | 2.0 | 11.4 |
| Unearned Income ....................... | 7,027 | 69.8 | 689 | 355 | 365 | 162 | 180 | 2.3 | 11.7 |
| No Unearned Income ................. | 3,043 | 30.2 | 535 | 248 | 417 | 98 | 235 | 2.5 | 7.6 |
| TANF Income ............................ | 1,626 | 16.1 | 664 | 349 | 340 | 58 | 273 | 3.1 | 9.4 |
| No TANF Income ...................... | 8,444 | 83.9 | 638 | 316 | 389 | 159 | 182 | 2.2 | 10.6 |
| GA Income .............................. | 576 | 5.7 | 484 | 209 | 323 | 54 | 164 | 1.7 | 10.8 |
| No GA Income ......................... | 9,494 | 94.3 | 652 | 328 | 385 | 148 | 198 | 2.4 | 10.4 |
| SSI .......................................... | 2,713 | 26.9 | 727 | 402 | 345 | 174 | 121 | 1.8 | 14.8 |
| No SSI ................................... | 7,358 | 73.1 | 611 | 294 | 393 | 131 | 224 | 2.5 | 8.9 |
| Social Security Income ............... | 2,313 | 23.0 | 764 | 419 | 368 | 252 | 102 | 1.7 | 13.6 |
| No Social Security Income ......... | 7,757 | 77.0 | 606 | 293 | 385 | 110 | 225 | 2.5 | 9.5 |
| Food Stamp Benefit <br> Minimum Benefit $\qquad$ | 611 3,086 | 6.1 30.6 | 873 | 643 | 230 381 | 343 | 10 | 1.2 | 12.9 9.9 |
| Maximum Benefit ...................... | 3,086 | 30.6 | 202 | 0 | 381 | 72 | 247 | 1.9 | 9.2 |

[^10]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-3. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Amount of Gross and Net Countable Income, Countable Resources, and Gross and Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................ | 10,070 | 100.0 | 5,472 | 100.0 | 1,741 | 100.0 | 2,310 | 100.0 |
| Gross Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | 1,308 | 13.0 | 495 | 9.0 | 33 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 1-199 | 565 | 5.6 | 312 | 5.7 | 15 | 0.8 | 6 | 0.3 |
| 200-399 ..................... | 1,164 | 11.6 | 792 | 14.5 | 64 | 3.7 | 50 | 2.2 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 2,364 | 23.5 | 815 | 14.9 | 682 | 39.1 | 910 | 39.4 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 1,718 | 17.1 | 732 | 13.4 | 531 | 30.5 | 545 | 23.6 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 1,045 | 10.4 | 622 | 11.4 | 261 | 15.0 | 325 | 14.1 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 1,906 | 18.9 | 1,703 | 31.1 | 156 | 9.0 | 470 | 20.4 |
| Net Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,956 | 29.4 | 1,473 | 26.9 | 174 | 10.0 | 186 | 8.0 |
| 1-199 | 1,717 | 17.1 | 886 | 16.2 | 299 | 17.2 | 395 | 17.1 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 1,856 | 18.4 | 803 | 14.7 | 489 | 28.1 | 644 | 27.9 |
| 400-599 | 1,365 | 13.6 | 729 | 13.3 | 355 | 20.4 | 426 | 18.5 |
| 600-799 | 790 | 7.8 | 563 | 10.3 | 157 | 9.0 | 227 | 9.8 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 479 | 4.8 | 409 | 7.5 | 59 | 3.4 | 136 | 5.9 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 597 | 5.9 | 573 | 10.5 | 30 | 1.7 | 202 | 8.8 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$............... | 310 | 3.1 | 36 | 0.7 | 180 | 10.3 | 94 | 4.1 |
| Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .... | 6,967 | 69.2 | 3,858 | 70.5 | 928 | 53.3 | 1,507 | 65.2 |
| 1-500 ... | 2,222 | 22.1 | 1,228 | 22.4 | 492 | 28.3 | 566 | 24.5 |
| 501-1,000 ....................... | 498 | 4.9 | 219 | 4.0 | 179 | 10.3 | 140 | 6.1 |
| 1,001-1,500 ..................... | 199 | 2.0 | 87 | 1.6 | 73 | 4.2 | 50 | 2.2 |
| 1,501-1,750 ..................... | 60 | 0.6 | 29 | 0.5 | 23 | 1.3 | 12 | 0.5 |
| 1,751-2,000 .................... | 54 | 0.5 | 28 | 0.5 | 15 | 0.9 | 12 | 0.5 |
| 2,001-3,000 ..................... | 48 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.2 | 26 | 1.5 | 15 | 0.7 |
| $3,001+\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 24 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.3 |
| Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income ............. | 1,308 | 13.0 | 495 | 9.0 | 33 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.1 |
| >0-25\% ... | 1,013 | 10.1 | 763 | 13.9 | 20 | 1.2 | 15 | 0.6 |
| 26-50 | 1,656 | 16.4 | 1,280 | 23.4 | 77 | 4.5 | 197 | 8.5 |
| 51-75 | 2,230 | 22.1 | 1,304 | 23.8 | 360 | 20.7 | 838 | 36.3 |
| 76-100. | 2,644 | 26.3 | 941 | 17.2 | 965 | 55.4 | 944 | 40.8 |
| 101-125. | 971 | 9.6 | 579 | 10.6 | 215 | 12.4 | 248 | 10.7 |
| 126-130 . | 90 | 0.9 | 49 | 0.9 | 23 | 1.3 | 18 | 0.8 |
| 131-150 .......................... | 97 | 1.0 | 33 | 0.6 | 34 | 2.0 | 31 | 1.3 |
|  | 61 | 0.6 | 27 | 0.5 | 13 | 0.7 | 18 | 0.8 |
| Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income ................. | 2,956 | 29.4 | 1,473 | 26.9 | 174 | 10.0 | 186 | 8.0 |
| >0-25\% .......... | 2,284 | 22.7 | 1,459 | 26.7 | 305 | 17.5 | 485 | 21.0 |
| 26-50 | 2,292 | 22.8 | 1,251 | 22.9 | 502 | 28.8 | 764 | 33.1 |
| 51-75 ............................. | 1,587 | 15.8 | 871 | 15.9 | 412 | 23.6 | 558 | 24.1 |
| 76-100 ........................... | 582 | 5.8 | 355 | 6.5 | 155 | 8.9 | 200 | 8.7 |
| 101-125 .......................... | 38 | 0.4 | 15 | 0.3 | 12 | 0.7 | 18 | 0.8 |
| 126-130 .......................... | 4 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 131-150 .......................... | 9 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 151+ .............................. | 9 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$............... | 310 | 3.1 | 36 | 0.7 | 180 | 10.3 | 94 | 4.1 |

[^11]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-4. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Size and Amount of Countable Gross and Net Income, Resources, and Gross and Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6+ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total .......................... | 10,070 | 100.0 | 4,228 | 100.0 | 2,069 | 100.0 | 1,648 | 100.0 | 1,126 | 100.0 | 583 | 100.0 | 416 | 100.0 |
| Gross Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ............................ | 1,308 | 13.0 | 788 | 18.6 | 229 | 11.0 | 160 | 9.7 | 81 | 7.2 | 32 | 5.5 | 18 | 4.3 |
| 1-199 | 565 | 5.6 | 265 | 6.3 | 141 | 6.8 | 90 | 5.4 | 45 | 4.0 | 16 | 2.7 | 8 | 2.0 |
| 200-399 .................... | 1,164 | 11.6 | 442 | 10.4 | 321 | 15.5 | 229 | 13.9 | 111 | 9.9 | 42 | 7.2 | 18 | 4.2 |
| 400-599 | 2,364 | 23.5 | 1,484 | 35.1 | 405 | 19.6 | 235 | 14.2 | 149 | 13.2 | 63 | 10.9 | 28 | 6.7 |
| 600-799 | 1,718 | 17.1 | 928 | 22.0 | 300 | 14.5 | 249 | 15.1 | 138 | 12.3 | 60 | 10.3 | 43 | 10.3 |
| 800-999 .................... | 1,045 | 10.4 | 251 | 5.9 | 345 | 16.7 | 216 | 13.1 | 135 | 12.0 | 58 | 10.0 | 39 | 9.4 |
| 1,000+ ..................... | 1,906 | 18.9 | 71 | 1.7 | 328 | 15.8 | 468 | 28.4 | 466 | 41.4 | 311 | 53.3 | 263 | 63.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-199 ....................... | 1,717 | 17.1 | 815 | 19.3 | 398 | 19.2 | 267 | 16.2 | 147 | 13.0 | 61 | 10.5 | 30 | 7.1 |
| 200-399 ................... | 1,856 | 18.4 | 971 | 23.0 | 356 | 17.2 | 271 | 16.4 | 151 | 13.4 | 67 | 11.4 | 41 | 9.8 |
| 400-599 ................... | 1,365 | 13.6 | 542 | 12.8 | 300 | 14.5 | 245 | 14.9 | 153 | 13.6 | 73 | 12.6 | 51 | 12.3 |
| 600-799 .................... | 790 | 7.8 | 124 | 2.9 | 220 | 10.6 | 189 | 11.5 | 147 | 13.1 | 67 | 11.5 | 43 | 10.2 |
| 800-999 .................... | 479 | 4.8 | 13 | 0.3 | 94 | 4.5 | 144 | 8.7 | 119 | 10.6 | 64 | 11.1 | 44 | 10.7 |
| 1,000+ ..................... | 597 | 5.9 | 7 | 0.2 | 36 | 1.8 | 82 | 5.0 | 166 | 14.7 | 151 | 25.9 | 155 | 37.2 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$......... | 310 | 3.1 | 279 | 6.6 | 13 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6,967 | 69.2 | 2,953 | 69.8 | 1,454 | 70.3 | 1,158 | 70.3 | 760 | 67.5 | 370 | 63.5 | 271 | 65.1 |
| 1-500 ...................... | 2,222 | 22.1 | 853 | 20.2 | 455 | 22.0 | 377 | 22.9 | 278 | 24.7 | 155 | 26.5 | 104 | 24.9 |
| 501-1,000 ................. | 498 | 4.9 | 244 | 5.8 | 85 | 4.1 | 67 | 4.1 | 51 | 4.6 | 30 | 5.2 | 21 | 4.9 |
| 1,001-1,500 ............... | 199 | 2.0 | 88 | 2.1 | 43 | 2.1 | 25 | 1.5 | 19 | 1.7 | 15 | 2.5 | 10 | 2.3 |
| 1,501-1,750 .............. | 60 | 0.6 | 27 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.4 | 6 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.8 |
| 1,751-2,000 .............. | 54 | 0.5 | 22 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.4 | 8 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.6 | 5 | 1.2 |
| 2,001-3,000 .............. | 48 | 0.5 | 31 | 0.7 | 9 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 |
| 3,001 + .................... | 24 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Gross Countable <br> Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income ....... | 1,308 | 13.0 | 788 | 18.6 | 229 | 11.0 | 160 | 9.7 | 81 | 7.2 | 32 | 5.5 | 18 | 4.3 |
| >0-25\% .................... | 1,013 | 10.1 | 253 | 6.0 | 251 | 12.1 | 237 | 14.4 | 153 | 13.6 | 73 | 12.5 | 47 | 11.3 |
| 26-50 ........................ | 1,656 | 16.4 | 414 | 9.8 | 357 | 17.3 | 361 | 21.9 | 272 | 24.2 | 139 | 23.9 | 112 | 27.0 |
| 51-75 ....................... | 2,230 | 22.1 | 831 | 19.6 | 505 | 24.4 | 382 | 23.2 | 262 | 23.3 | 139 | 23.8 | 112 | 26.9 |
| 76-100 ..................... | 2,644 | 26.3 | 1,518 | 35.9 | 416 | 20.1 | 286 | 17.3 | 215 | 19.1 | 129 | 22.1 | 80 | 19.2 |
| 101-125 .................... | 971 | 9.6 | 305 | 7.2 | 242 | 11.7 | 189 | 11.5 | 129 | 11.4 | 63 | 10.8 | 42 | 10.1 |
| 126-130 .................... | 90 | 0.9 | 36 | 0.9 | 18 | 0.9 | 21 | 1.2 | 8 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.8 |
| 131-150 .................... | 97 | 1.0 | 53 | 1.3 | 26 | 1.2 | 9 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 151+ ........................ | 61 | 0.6 | 30 | 0.7 | 26 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income .......... | 2,956 | 29.4 | 1,478 | 35.0 | 652 | 31.5 | 440 | 26.7 | 240 | 21.3 | 96 | 16.4 | 51 | 12.1 |
| >0-25\% .................... | 2,284 | 22.7 | 773 | 18.3 | 510 | 24.7 | 445 | 27.0 | 292 | 26.0 | 151 | 25.9 | 113 | 27.3 |
| 26-50 ....................... | 2,292 | 22.8 | 925 | 21.9 | 426 | 20.6 | 378 | 22.9 | 291 | 25.9 | 153 | 26.3 | 118 | 28.3 |
| 51-75 ....................... | 1,587 | 15.8 | 588 | 13.9 | 311 | 15.0 | 270 | 16.4 | 206 | 18.3 | 120 | 20.6 | 91 | 21.9 |
| 76-100 ..................... | 582 | 5.8 | 160 | 3.8 | 125 | 6.1 | 105 | 6.4 | 94 | 8.4 | 58 | 10.0 | 39 | 9.4 |
| 101-125 ................... | 38 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.4 | 19 | 0.9 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 126-130 .................... | 4 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 131-150 .................... | 9 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 151+ ........................ | 9 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$......... | 310 | 3.1 | 279 | 6.6 | 13 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.5 |

[^12]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-5. Average Gross and Net Countable Income, Average Gross and Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Average Countable Resources, and Average Benefit of Participating Households by Household Composition and Size

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Gross Countable Income (Dollars) | Net <br> Countable Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Gross <br> Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) | Net <br> Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Countable Resources (Dollars) |  | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Over All <br> Households | Over <br> Households With Countable Resources |  |
| Total ......................................... | 10,070 | 100.0 | 643 | 321 | 58.9 | 27.7 | 143 | 463 | 196 |
| Children | 5,472 | 54.3 | 766 | 403 | 55.7 | 28.2 | 119 | 404 | 280 |
| School Age ............................ | 4,134 | 41.1 | 827 | 451 | 57.6 | 30.4 | 119 | 387 | 293 |
| Preschool Age ......................... | 2,901 | 28.8 | 745 | 382 | 52.3 | 25.5 | 117 | 413 | 302 |
| No Children ............................. | 4,598 | 45.7 | 496 | 219 | 62.7 | 27.2 | 171 | 528 | 97 |
| Elderly Individuals .................... | 1,741 | 17.3 | 682 | 352 | 82.2 | 40.9 | 293 | 628 | 84 |
| No Elderly Individuals ............... | 8,329 | 82.7 | 634 | 316 | 54.0 | 25.2 | 111 | 405 | 220 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ No Disabled Nonelderly | 2,310 | 22.9 | 792 | 444 | 78.6 | 40.9 | 150 | 430 | 136 |
| Individuals ............................ | 7,761 | 77.1 | 598 | 285 | 53.0 | 23.9 | 141 | 475 | 214 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ........................................... | 4,228 | 42.0 | 453 | 185 | 60.4 | 24.6 | 161 | 535 | 91 |
| 2 | 2,069 | 20.5 | 597 | 279 | 59.1 | 27.6 | 142 | 477 | 179 |
| 3 | 1,648 | 16.4 | 710 | 354 | 55.7 | 27.8 | 100 | 336 | 266 |
| 4 ............................................ | 1,126 | 11.2 | 875 | 487 | 57.0 | 31.7 | 119 | 368 | 326 |
| 5 ............................................. | 583 | 5.8 | 1,066 | 629 | 59.3 | 35.0 | 150 | 412 | 374 |
| 6 | 261 | 2.6 | 1,212 | 740 | 58.8 | 35.9 | 182 | 523 | 454 |
| 7 ............................................ | 92 | 0.9 | 1,352 | 881 | 58.1 | 37.8 | 143 | 413 | 486 |
| 8+ ........................................... | 63 | 0.6 | 1,604 | 1110 | 57.9 | 40.0 | 242 | 672 | 596 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.

[^13]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-6. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Type of Countable Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total | 10,070 | 100.0 | 5,472 | 100.0 | 1,741 | 100.0 | 2,310 | 100.0 |
| Countable Earned Income | 2,896 | 28.8 | 2,422 | 44.3 | 80 | 4.6 | 233 | 10.1 |
| Wages and Salaries ...................................... | 2,589 | 25.7 | 2,200 | 40.2 | 64 | 3.7 | 206 | 8.9 |
| Self-Employment ...................................... | 324 | 3.2 | 248 | 4.5 | 17 | 0.9 | 26 | 1.1 |
| Other Earned Income ................................... | 37 | 0.4 | 22 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 |
| Countable Unearned Income | 7,027 | 69.8 | 3,558 | 65.0 | 1,684 | 96.7 | 2,305 | 99.8 |
| TANF | 1,626 | 16.1 | 1,583 | 28.9 | 45 | 2.6 | 288 | 12.5 |
| General Assistance | 576 | 5.7 | 165 | 3.0 | 84 | 4.8 | 108 | 4.7 |
| Supplemental Security Income ...................... | 2,713 | 26.9 | 732 | 13.4 | 1,001 | 57.5 | 1,755 | 76.0 |
| Social Security | 2,313 | 23.0 | 517 | 9.5 | 1,177 | 67.6 | 1,024 | 44.3 |
| Unemployment Income | 248 | 2.5 | 187 | 3.4 | 6 | 0.3 | 17 | 0.7 |
| Veterans' Benefits ... | 105 | 1.0 | 20 | 0.4 | 56 | 3.2 | 40 | 1.7 |
| Workers' Compensation ............................... | 30 | 0.3 | 21 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 24 | 1.0 |
| Other Government Benefits ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 63 | 0.6 | 25 | 0.5 | 28 | 1.6 | 14 | 0.6 |
| Household Contributions | 361 | 3.6 | 253 | 4.6 | 26 | 1.5 | 26 | 1.1 |
| Household Deemed Income | 4 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Educational Loans | 4 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments ............. | 992 | 9.8 | 970 | 17.7 | 11 | 0.6 | 160 | 6.9 |
| State Diversion Payments ............................ | 8 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Energy Assistance Income ............................. | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wage Supplementation ................................. | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Other Unearned Income ${ }^{\text {d }}$.............................. | 341 | 3.4 | 172 | 3.1 | 113 | 6.5 | 59 | 2.5 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,191 | 21.8 | 1,737 | 31.7 | 129 | 7.4 | 392 | 17.0 |
| TANF and Earnings ...................................... | 314 | 3.1 | 309 | 5.6 | 5 | 0.3 | 24 | 1.0 |
| TANF and SSI . | 283 | 2.8 | 277 | 5.1 | 23 | 1.3 | 263 | 11.4 |
| TANF or SSI or GA .................................... | 4,485 | 44.5 | 2,151 | 39.3 | 1,059 | 60.8 | 1,793 | 77.6 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings ................ | 523 | 5.2 | 435 | 7.9 | 29 | 1.6 | 183 | 7.9 |
| TANF and Child Support | 94 | 0.9 | 93 | 1.7 | 3 | 0.2 | 26 | 1.1 |
| SSI and Social Security .................................. | 1,048 | 10.4 | 186 | 3.4 | 555 | 31.9 | 523 | 22.6 |
| SSI or Social Security .................................. | 3,978 | 39.5 | 1,063 | 19.4 | 1,622 | 93.2 | 2,256 | 97.7 |
| SSI and Earnings ......................................... | 200 | 2.0 | 125 | 2.3 | 23 | 1.3 | 179 | 7.8 |
| GA and Earnings | 39 | 0.4 | 26 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.3 |
| Earnings and Child Support ........................... | 411 | 4.1 | 406 | 7.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 22 | 1.0 |
| No Countable Income | 1,308 | 13.0 | 495 | 9.0 | 33 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.1 |

[^14]${ }^{c}$ Examples of other government benefits include Black Lung Benefits, Railroad Retirement payments, and USDA payments to farmers.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Examples of other unearned income include alimony, foster care payments, and dividends and interest payments.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-7. Average Income, Total Deduction, Food Stamp Benefit, and Household Size of Participating Households by Type of Countable Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent | Gross <br> Countable <br> Income (Dollars) | Net Countable Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Income Source (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Household } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { (Individuals) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total ............................................................ | 10,070 | 100.0 | 643 | 321 | - | 381 | 196 | 2.3 |
| Countable Earned Income | 2,896 | 28.8 | 1,011 | 519 | 851 | 517 | 230 | 3.2 |
| Wages and Salaries | 2,589 | 25.7 | 1,057 | 552 | 895 | 526 | 225 | 3.2 |
| Self-Employment ........................................ | 324 | 3.2 | 714 | 305 | 422 | 464 | 275 | 3.0 |
| Other Earned Income ................................... | 37 | 0.4 | 534 | 197 | 257 | 402 | 255 | 2.6 |
| Countable Unearned Income ........................ | 7,027 | 69.8 | 689 | 355 | 570 | 365 | 180 | 2.3 |
| TANF | 1,626 | 16.1 | 664 | 349 | 383 | 340 | 273 | 3.1 |
| General Assistance | 576 | 5.7 | 484 | 209 | 251 | 323 | 164 | 1.7 |
| Supplemental Security Income | 2,713 | 26.9 | 727 | 402 | 445 | 345 | 121 | 1.8 |
| Social Security .. | 2,313 | 23.0 | 764 | 419 | 580 | 368 | 102 | 1.7 |
| Unemployment Income ................................. | 248 | 2.5 | 913 | 545 | 689 | 390 | 217 | 3.1 |
| Veterans' Benefits | 105 | 1.0 | 755 | 403 | 332 | 373 | 104 | 1.7 |
| Workers' Compensation | 30 | 0.3 | 946 | 581 | 653 | 401 | 187 | 2.9 |
| Other Government Benefits ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 63 | 0.6 | 839 | 481 | 337 | 370 | 141 | 2.2 |
| Household Contributions | 361 | 3.6 | 491 | 196 | 190 | 364 | 252 | 2.5 |
| Household Deemed Income | 4 | 0.0 | 664 | 299 | 401 | 388 | 166 | 2.0 |
| Educational Loans | 4 | 0.0 | 584 | 113 | 117 | 533 | 274 | 2.6 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments ............. | 992 | 9.8 | 837 | 467 | 287 | 407 | 275 | 3.5 |
| State Diversion Payments ............................. | 8 | 0.1 | 761 | 444 | 91 | 339 | 241 | 3.1 |
| Energy Assistance Income ............................. | 2 | 0.0 | 711 | 239 | 156 | 529 | 142 | 1.6 |
| Wage Supplementation | 2 | 0.0 | 1,033 | 827 | 516 | 200 | 203 | 3.7 |
| Other Unearned Income ${ }^{\text {f }}$............................... | 341 | 3.4 | 785 | 413 | 253 | 403 | 174 | 2.3 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,191 | 21.8 | 615 | 310 | 350 | 336 | 245 | 2.7 |
| TANF and Earnings | 314 | 3.1 | 1,030 | 593 | 964 | 446 | 248 | 3.5 |
| TANF and SSI . | 283 | 2.8 | 1,031 | 711 | 863 | 321 | 206 | 3.5 |
| TANF or SSI or GA .................................... | 4,485 | 44.5 | 651 | 332 | 440 | 342 | 176 | 2.2 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings ................ | 523 | 5.2 | 1,086 | 647 | 1015 | 448 | 215 | 3.4 |
| TANF and Child Support .............................. | 94 | 0.9 | 808 | 497 | 465 | 324 | 287 | 3.7 |
| SSI and Social Security ................................. | 1,048 | 10.4 | 712 | 399 | 654 | 331 | 94 | 1.6 |
| SSI or Social Security .................................. | 3,978 | 39.5 | 753 | 413 | 641 | 363 | 117 | 1.8 |
| SSI and Earnings ......................................... | 200 | 2.0 | 1,232 | 799 | 1058 | 445 | 161 | 3.3 |
| GA and Earnings | 39 | 0.4 | 1,013 | 561 | 888 | 462 | 212 | 3.1 |
| Earnings and Child Support ........................... | 411 | 4.1 | 1,210 | 702 | 1150 | 518 | 224 | 3.7 |
| No Countable Income | 1,308 | 13.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 229 | 1.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Average value of specified source over households with income from source.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Examples of other government benefits include Black Lung Benefits, Railroad Retirement payments, and USDA payments to farmers.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Examples of other unearned income include alimony, foster care payments, and dividends and interest payments.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-8. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Countable Earned and Unearned Income Amounts

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................... | 10,070 | 100.0 | 5,472 | 100.0 | 1,741 | 100.0 | 2,310 | 100.0 |
| Countable Earned Income \$0 | 7,174 | 71.2 | 3,050 | 55.7 | 1,661 | 95.4 | 2,077 | 89.9 |
| 1-199 | 314 | 3.1 | 170 | 3.1 | 20 | 1.1 | 58 | 2.5 |
| 200-399 | 330 | 3.3 | 236 | 4.3 | 15 | 0.9 | 36 | 1.6 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 375 | 3.7 | 295 | 5.4 | 14 | 0.8 | 37 | 1.6 |
| 600-799 | 428 | 4.3 | 347 | 6.3 | 10 | 0.6 | 32 | 1.4 |
| 800-999 .................... | 401 | 4.0 | 358 | 6.5 | 9 | 0.5 | 24 | 1.0 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 1,049 | 10.4 | 1,016 | 18.6 | 12 | 0.7 | 45 | 2.0 |
| Countable Unearned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ... | 3,043 | 30.2 | 1,914 | 35.0 | 57 | 3.3 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 1-199 ... | 740 | 7.3 | 545 | 10.0 | 17 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.4 |
| 200-399 | 1,296 | 12.9 | 964 | 17.6 | 64 | 3.7 | 65 | 2.8 |
| 400-599 | 2,332 | 23.2 | 792 | 14.5 | 693 | 39.8 | 1,014 | 43.9 |
| 600-799 | 1,406 | 14.0 | 507 | 9.3 | 531 | 30.5 | 550 | 23.8 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 676 | 6.7 | 308 | 5.6 | 257 | 14.8 | 320 | 13.8 |
| 1,000+ .................. | 576 | 5.7 | 442 | 8.1 | 123 | 7.0 | 345 | 15.0 |
| Countable TANF Income \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8,444 | 83.9 | 3,889 | 71.1 | 1,696 | 97.4 | 2,022 | 87.5 |
| 1-199 ........................... | 331 | 3.3 | 321 | 5.9 | 19 | 1.1 | 87 | 3.8 |
| 200-399 | 653 | 6.5 | 629 | 11.5 | 17 | 1.0 | 119 | 5.1 |
| 400-599 | 405 | 4.0 | 396 | 7.2 | 5 | 0.3 | 60 | 2.6 |
| 600-799 | 172 | 1.7 | 171 | 3.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.7 |
| 800-999 | 44 | 0.4 | 44 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 21 | 0.2 | 21 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Countable GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | 9,494 | 94.3 | 5,307 | 97.0 | 1,657 | 95.2 | 2,202 | 95.3 |
| 1-199. | 227 | 2.3 | 36 | 0.7 | 52 | 3.0 | 68 | 2.9 |
| 200-399 .. | 262 | 2.6 | 67 | 1.2 | 25 | 1.4 | 25 | 1.1 |
| 400-599 ..... | 60 | 0.6 | 37 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.5 |
| 600-799 ... | 19 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 800-999 ........................... | 5 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 3 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Countable TANF or GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ............................... | 7,880 | 78.2 | 3,735 | 68.3 | 1,612 | 92.6 | 1,918 | 83.0 |
| 1-199 ............................ | 553 | 5.5 | 352 | 6.4 | 71 | 4.1 | 152 | 6.6 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 907 | 9.0 | 688 | 12.6 | 42 | 2.4 | 142 | 6.1 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 462 | 4.6 | 430 | 7.9 | 11 | 0.6 | 71 | 3.1 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 194 | 1.9 | 193 | 3.5 | 4 | 0.2 | 18 | 0.8 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 49 | 0.5 | 49 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 27 | 0.3 | 25 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Countable Earned and Unearned Income Amounts - Continued

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Countable SSI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................ | 7,358 | 73.1 | 4,741 | 86.6 | 741 | 42.5 | 554 | 24.0 |
| 1-199 | 636 | 6.3 | 86 | 1.6 | 345 | 19.8 | 298 | 12.9 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 395 | 3.9 | 75 | 1.4 | 191 | 11.0 | 211 | 9.1 |
| 400-599 | 1,319 | 13.1 | 454 | 8.3 | 313 | 18.0 | 1,015 | 44.0 |
| 600-799 | 187 | 1.9 | 27 | 0.5 | 88 | 5.0 | 104 | 4.5 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 92 | 0.9 | 16 | 0.3 | 56 | 3.2 | 46 | 2.0 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 83 | 0.8 | 73 | 1.3 | 7 | 0.4 | 81 | 3.5 |
| Maximum for 1 Person ${ }^{\text {b }}$... | 595 | 5.9 | 183 | 3.3 | 146 | 8.4 | 451 | 19.5 |
| Maximum for 2 Persons ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$.. | 23 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.0 | 17 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.4 |
| Countable Social Security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .............................. | 7,757 | 77.0 | 4,955 | 90.5 | 565 | 32.4 | 1,286 | 55.7 |
| 1-199 .............................. | 126 | 1.3 | 65 | 1.2 | 39 | 2.2 | 50 | 2.2 |
| 200-399 | 399 | 4.0 | 100 | 1.8 | 193 | 11.1 | 169 | 7.3 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 793 | 7.9 | 136 | 2.5 | 428 | 24.6 | 344 | 14.9 |
| 600-799 | 623 | 6.2 | 94 | 1.7 | 334 | 19.2 | 274 | 11.9 |
| 800-999 | 241 | 2.4 | 52 | 0.9 | 129 | 7.4 | 109 | 4.7 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 132 | 1.3 | 70 | 1.3 | 54 | 3.1 | 77 | 3.3 |
| Other Countable <br> Unearned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................................. | 8,042 | 79.9 | 3,928 | 71.8 | 1,505 | 86.4 | 1,984 | 85.9 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 792 | 7.9 | 536 | 9.8 | 141 | 8.1 | 141 | 6.1 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 617 | 6.1 | 509 | 9.3 | 54 | 3.1 | 88 | 3.8 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 273 | 2.7 | 214 | 3.9 | 22 | 1.3 | 42 | 1.8 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 156 | 1.6 | 122 | 2.2 | 11 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.9 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 80 | 0.8 | 60 | 1.1 | 6 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.6 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 109 | 1.1 | 103 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.1 | 18 | 0.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b The fiscal year 2004 maximum monthly SSI benefit for one person is $\$ 564$. The number shown is the number of households where one person receives an SSI benefit of this amount. (The household may contain more than one person.)
c The fiscal year 2004 maximum monthly SSI benefit for two persons is $\$ 846$. The number shown is the number of households where two persons receive an SSI benefit of this amount. (The household may contain more than two persons.)

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-9. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Deduction and Household Composition, Countable Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Type of Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Earned Income |  | Dependent Care |  |  | Excess Shelter |  |  | Medical |  | Child Support |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Percent With Maximum $^{a}$ | Number (000) | Percent | Percent With Maximum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................... | 10,070 | 100.0 | 2,887 | 28.7 | 460 | 4.6 | 10.9 | 6,861 | 68.1 | 18.5 | 452 | 4.5 | 151 | 1.5 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 5,472 | 100.0 | 2,420 | 44.2 | 458 | 8.4 | 10.8 | 3,865 | 70.6 | 26.3 | 68 | 1.2 | 101 | 1.8 |
| School Age ....................... | 4,134 | 100.0 | 1,829 | 44.2 | 305 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 2,945 | 71.2 | 26.5 | 62 | 1.5 | 82 | 2.0 |
| Preschool Age .................. | 2,901 | 100.0 | 1,374 | 47.4 | 347 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 1,996 | 68.8 | 26.9 | 19 | 0.6 | 53 | 1.8 |
| No Children ........................ | 4,598 | 100.0 | 467 | 10.2 | 2 | 0.0 | 29.8 | 2,996 | 65.2 | 8.4 | 384 | 8.4 | 50 | 1.1 |
| Elderly Individuals | 1,741 | 100.0 | 77 | 4.4 | 3 | 0.2 | 35.1 | 1,214 | 69.7 | 0.1 | 254 | 14.6 | 6 | 0.3 |
| No Elderly Individuals | 8,329 | 100.0 | 2,810 | 33.7 | 456 | 5.5 | 10.7 | 5,647 | 67.8 | 22.5 | 198 | 2.4 | 145 | 1.7 |
| Disabled Nonelderly <br> Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2,310 | 100.0 | 229 | 9.9 | 21 | 0.9 | 5.9 | 1,713 | 74.2 | 0.1 | 206 | 8.9 | 43 | 1.9 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 7,761 | 100.0 | 2,658 | 34.3 | 439 | 5.7 | 11.2 | 5,148 | 66.3 | 24.6 | 246 | 3.2 | 108 | 1.4 |
| Countable Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ...................... | 8,762 | 100.0 | 2,887 | 33.0 | 454 | 5.2 | 10.8 | 6,353 | 72.5 | 16.5 | 452 | 5.2 | 147 | 1.7 |
| No Gross Income ................. | 1,308 | 100.0 | , | - | 6 | 0.5 | 22.6 | 507 | 38.8 | 43.5 | 1 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.3 |
| Net Income ......................... | 6,804 | 100.0 | 2,416 | 35.5 | 388 | 5.7 | 9.9 | 4,895 | 72.0 | 11.6 | 394 | 5.8 | 117 | 1.7 |
| No Net Income ................... | 2,956 | 100.0 | 461 | 15.6 | 71 | 2.4 | 16.5 | 1,965 | 66.5 | 35.8 | 58 | 2.0 | 34 | 1.1 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {c }}$.................. | 310 | 100.0 | 10 | 3.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Earned Income ..................... | 2,896 | 100.0 | 2,887 | 99.7 | 415 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 2,076 | 71.7 | 27.4 | 36 | 1.2 | 88 | 3.0 |
| No Earned Income ............... | 7,174 | 100.0 | - | - | 45 | 0.6 | 16.5 | 4,784 | 66.7 | 14.6 | 416 | 5.8 | 63 | 0.9 |
| Unearned Income ................ | 7,027 | 100.0 | 1,153 | 16.4 | 206 | 2.9 | 9.4 | 5,062 | 72.0 | 12.9 | 448 | 6.4 | 94 | 1.3 |
| No Unearned Income ........... | 3,043 | 100.0 | 1,735 | 57.0 | 254 | 8.3 | 12.1 | 1,799 | 59.1 | 34.2 | 5 | 0.1 | 57 | 1.9 |
| TANF Income .................... | 1,626 | 100.0 | 313 | 19.3 | 40 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 1,149 | 70.7 | 23.6 | 14 | 0.8 | 9 | 0.6 |
| No TANF Income ................ | 8,444 | 100.0 | 2,574 | 30.5 | 420 | 5.0 | 11.6 | 5,711 | 67.6 | 17.5 | 439 | 5.2 | 141 | 1.7 |
| GA Income ......................... | 576 | 100.0 | 39 | 6.7 | 6 | 1.0 | 19.9 | 401 | 69.7 | 19.8 | 12 | 2.1 | 2 | 0.3 |
| No GA Income ................... | 9,494 | 100.0 | 2,849 | 30.0 | 454 | 4.8 | 10.8 | 6,459 | 68.0 | 18.4 | 440 | 4.6 | 149 | 1.6 |
| SSI .................................... | 2,713 | 100.0 | 194 | 7.1 | 19 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 1,914 | 70.6 | 0.1 | 100 | 3.7 | 24 | 0.9 |
| No SSI .............................. | 7,358 | 100.0 | 2,694 | 36.6 | 441 | 6.0 | 11.2 | 4,946 | 67.2 | 25.6 | 352 | 4.8 | 126 | 1.7 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 2,313 | 100.0 | 163 | 7.1 | 12 | 0.5 | 15.6 | 1,698 | 73.4 | 1.9 | 395 | 17.1 | 33 | 1.4 |
| No Social Security Income ... | 7,757 | 100.0 | 2,724 | 35.1 | 447 | 5.8 | 10.8 | 5,162 | 66.5 | 24.0 | 57 | 0.7 | 117 | 1.5 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit ................ | 611 | 100.0 | 109 | 17.8 | 6 | 1.0 | 37.1 | 258 | 42.2 | 0.9 | 91 | 14.8 | 5 | 0.8 |
| Maximum Benefit .............. | 3,086 | 100.0 | 463 | 15.0 | 71 | 2.3 | 16.5 | 1,968 | 63.8 | 35.7 | 58 | 1.9 | 34 | 1.1 |

[^15]c Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-10. Average Values of Deductions of Participating Households by Household Composition, Countable Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households (000) | Average Amount of Deduction (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Earned Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Dependent Care ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Excess Shelter ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Medical ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Child Support ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  |  | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction |
| Total ............................. | 10,070 | 50 | 171 | 6 | 137 | 178 | 254 | 7 | 140 | 3 | 199 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children ....................... | 5,472 | 82 | 186 | 11 | 137 | 181 | 254 | 1 | 104 | 4 | 218 |
| School Age ................. | 4,134 | 86 | 194 | 10 | 138 | 184 | 257 | 2 | 106 | 4 | 223 |
| Preschool Age ............ | 2,901 | 90 | 189 | 17 | 140 | 172 | 248 | 1 | 82 | 4 | 233 |
| No Children ................. | 4,598 | 10 | 90 | 0 | 146 | 175 | 253 | 13 | 146 | 2 | 161 |
| Elderly Individuals ........ | 1,741 | 6 | 112 | 0 | 192 | 196 | 253 | 22 | 134 | 1 | 188 |
| No Elderly Individuals .. | 8,329 | 59 | 172 | 8 | 136 | 175 | 254 | 4 | 147 | 4 | 200 |
| Disabled Nonelderly <br> Individuals ${ }^{\text {d }}$ $\qquad$ | 2,310 | 13 | 122 | 1 | 137 | 202 | 261 | 14 | 147 | 3 | 153 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 7,761 | 61 | 175 | 8 | 137 | 171 | 251 | 4 | 134 | 3 | 218 |
| Countable Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ............... | 8,762 | 58 | 171 | 7 | 137 | 188 | 250 | 8 | 140 | 3 | 201 |
| No Gross Income .......... | 1,308 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 116 | 300 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 126 |
| Net Income ................... | 6,804 | 68 | 191 | 8 | 136 | 160 | 222 | 6 | 106 | 3 | 194 |
| No Net Income .............. | 2,956 | 9 | 60 | 3 | 137 | 222 | 333 | 7 | 368 | 2 | 217 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {e }}$............ | 310 | 59 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Earned Income ............. | 2,896 | 170 | 171 | 20 | 140 | 179 | 249 | 2 | 176 | 7 | 216 |
| No Earned Income ........ | 7,174 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 104 | 178 | 256 | 8 | 137 | 2 | 175 |
| Unearned Income .......... | 7,027 | 25 | 145 | 4 | 142 | 188 | 250 | 9 | 140 | 3 | 187 |
| No Unearned Income .... | 3,043 | 107 | 188 | 11 | 132 | 157 | 265 | 0 | 169 | 4 | 220 |
| TANF Income .............. | 1,626 | 25 | 132 | 2 | 100 | 177 | 245 | 1 | 106 | 1 | 170 |
| No TANF Income ......... | 8,444 | 55 | 175 | 7 | 140 | 179 | 255 | 8 | 141 | 3 | 201 |
| GA Income ................... | 576 | 8 | 124 | 1 | 112 | 169 | 241 | 3 | 148 | 1 | 191 |
| No GA Income ............. | 9,494 | 53 | 171 | 7 | 137 | 179 | 255 | 7 | 140 | 3 | 199 |
| SSI .............................. | 2,713 | 10 | 122 | 1 | 143 | 192 | 245 | 4 | 107 | 1 | 145 |
| No SSI ........................ | 7,358 | 64 | 174 | 8 | 136 | 174 | 257 | 7 | 149 | 4 | 210 |
| Social Security Income | 2,313 | 9 | 114 | 1 | 157 | 196 | 252 | 25 | 140 | 3 | 166 |
| Income | 7,757 | 63 | 174 | 8 | 136 | 173 | 254 | 1 | 137 | 3 | 209 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit .......... | 611 | 31 | 174 | 1 | 116 | 50 | 118 | 12 | 80 | 2 | 207 |
| Maximum Benefit ......... | 3,086 | 9 | 60 | 3 | 137 | 222 | 333 | 7 | 366 | 2 | 217 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 310,069 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
b Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 310,069 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
${ }^{c}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.

[^16]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-11. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Amount of Deduction

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly <br> Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 10,070 | 100.0 | 5,472 | 100.0 | 1,741 | 100.0 | 2,310 | 100.0 | 2,896 | 100.0 |
| Total Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0-117 | 30 | 0.3 | 29 | 0.5 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 118-133 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 134 | 1,799 | 17.9 | 718 | 13.1 | 266 | 15.3 | 312 | 13.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 135-150 | 199 | 2.0 | 121 | 2.2 | 37 | 2.1 | 70 | 3.0 | 34 | 1.2 |
| 151-200 | 574 | 5.7 | 279 | 5.1 | 130 | 7.5 | 201 | 8.7 | 98 | 3.4 |
| 201-250 | 655 | 6.5 | 282 | 5.1 | 169 | 9.7 | 220 | 9.5 | 131 | 4.5 |
| 251-300 | 759 | 7.5 | 373 | 6.8 | 147 | 8.4 | 217 | 9.4 | 176 | 6.1 |
| 301-350 | 843 | 8.4 | 468 | 8.6 | 154 | 8.8 | 212 | 9.2 | 237 | 8.2 |
| 351-400 | 762 | 7.6 | 419 | 7.7 | 129 | 7.4 | 188 | 8.1 | 247 | 8.5 |
| 401-450 | 654 | 6.5 | 392 | 7.2 | 103 | 5.9 | 161 | 7.0 | 250 | 8.6 |
| 451-500 | 584 | 5.8 | 358 | 6.5 | 83 | 4.8 | 145 | 6.3 | 231 | 8.0 |
| 501+ | 2,938 | 29.2 | 2,032 | 37.1 | 344 | 19.8 | 489 | 21.2 | 1,482 | 51.2 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$............ | 273 | 2.7 | - | - | 179 | 10.3 | 93 | 4.0 | 5 | 0.2 |
| Earned Income Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1-50 | 406 | 4.0 | 230 | 4.2 | , 24 | 1.4 | -69 | 3.0 | 406 | 14.0 |
| 51-100 | 420 | 4.2 | 320 | 5.9 | 16 | 0.9 | 39 | 1.7 | 420 | 14.5 |
| 101-150 | 514 | 5.1 | 415 | 7.6 | 13 | 0.8 | 46 | 2.0 | 514 | 17.7 |
| 151-200 | 505 | 5.0 | 445 | 8.1 | 11 | 0.6 | 30 | 1.3 | 505 | 17.4 |
| 201-250 | 409 | 4.1 | 387 | 7.1 | 8 | 0.5 | 18 | 0.8 | 409 | 14.1 |
| 251-300 | 294 | 2.9 | 286 | 5.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.6 | 294 | 10.1 |
| 301+ | 341 | 3.4 | 337 | 6.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.6 | 341 | 11.8 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {c .................. }}$ | 310 | 3.1 | - | - | 191 | 11.0 | 119 | 5.2 | 5 | 0.2 |
| Dependent Care Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1-50 | 109 | 1.1 | 108 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 2, 6 | 0.3 | 2, 89 | 3.1 |
| 51-100 | 94 | 0.9 | 94 | 1.7 | - | - | 4 | 0.2 | 86 | 3.0 |
| 101-150 | 77 | 0.8 | 77 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 75 | 2.6 |
| 151-200 | 100 | 1.0 | 99 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.2 | 90 | 3.1 |
| 201+ | 79 | 0.8 | 79 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.3 | 75 | 2.6 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {d }}$.................. | 348 | 3.5 | 36 | 0.7 | 191 | 11.0 | 119 | 5.2 | 15 | 0.5 |
| Medical Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 9,271 | 92.1 | 5,368 | 98.1 | 1,296 | 74.4 | 1,984 | 85.9 | 2,845 | 98.2 |
| \$1-25 | 85 | 0.8 | 14 | 0.3 | 41 | 2.4 | 45 | 1.9 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 26-50 | 101 | 1.0 | 20 | 0.4 | 46 | 2.7 | 56 | 2.4 | 6 | 0.2 |
| 51-75 | 48 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.1 | 29 | 1.7 | 20 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.1 |
| 76-100 .. | 31 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.1 | 19 | 1.1 | 12 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 101-150 | 75 | 0.7 | 12 | 0.2 | 49 | 2.8 | 27 | 1.2 | 7 | 0.2 |
| 151-200 | 35 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.1 | 23 | 1.3 | 14 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 201-300 | 33 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.1 | 21 | 1.2 | 12 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.1 |
| 301+ | 43 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.1 | 24 | 1.4 | 20 | 0.9 | 8 | 0.3 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {d }}$..................... | 348 | 3.5 | 36 | 0.7 | 191 | 11.0 | 119 | 5.2 | 15 | 0.5 |
| Child Support Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 9,609 | 95.4 | 5,335 | 97.5 | 1,556 | 89.4 | 2,173 | 94.1 | 2,793 | 96.4 |
| \$1-50 | 29 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.4 |
| 51-100 | 23 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.5 | 12 | 0.4 |
| 101-150 | 21 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.5 |
| 151-200 ............................ | 19 | 0.2 | 12 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.5 |
| 201-250 | 18 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.4 |
| 251-300 ............................ | 10 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.2 |
| 301+ ........ | 32 | 0.3 | 26 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.7 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {e }}$..................... | 310 | 3.1 | 36 | 0.7 | 180 | 10.3 | 94 | 4.1 | 15 | 0.5 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-11. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Amount of Deduction - Continued

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Excess Shelter Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 2,899 | 28.8 | 1,571 | 28.7 | 348 | 20.0 | 503 | 21.8 | 805 | 27.8 |
| \$1-50 | 556 | 5.5 | 322 | 5.9 | 115 | 6.6 | 158 | 6.8 | 184 | 6.3 |
| 51-100 | 672 | 6.7 | 325 | 5.9 | 157 | 9.0 | 212 | 9.2 | 180 | 6.2 |
| 101-150 | 742 | 7.4 | 397 | 7.2 | 155 | 8.9 | 197 | 8.5 | 219 | 7.6 |
| 151-200 | 810 | 8.0 | 428 | 7.8 | 154 | 8.8 | 210 | 9.1 | 214 | 7.4 |
| 201-250 | 733 | 7.3 | 388 | 7.1 | 134 | 7.7 | 185 | 8.0 | 191 | 6.6 |
| 251-300 | 640 | 6.4 | 353 | 6.5 | 109 | 6.2 | 157 | 6.8 | 190 | 6.6 |
| 301-350 | 542 | 5.4 | 311 | 5.7 | 85 | 4.9 | 147 | 6.4 | 192 | 6.6 |
| 351-377 | 250 | 2.5 | 149 | 2.7 | 37 | 2.1 | 57 | 2.5 | 83 | 2.9 |
| 378 | 1,267 | 12.6 | 1,015 | 18.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 568 | 19.6 |
| 379+ ................................. | 649 | 6.4 | 177 | 3.2 | 267 | 15.3 | 388 | 16.8 | 55 | 1.9 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {e }}$................... | 310 | 3.1 | 36 | 0.7 | 180 | 10.3 | 94 | 4.1 | 15 | 0.5 |
| No Deduction | 2,899 | 28.8 | 1,571 | 28.7 | 348 | 20.0 | 503 | 21.8 | 805 | 27.8 |
| Deduction Less Than Cap ${ }^{\text {f }}$...... | 4,947 | 49.1 | 2,675 | 48.9 | 946 | 54.3 | 1,324 | 57.3 | 1,454 | 50.2 |
| Deduction Equal to Cap .......... | 1,269 | 12.6 | 1,017 | 18.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 569 | 19.7 |
| Benefit Less than Maximum <br> Benefit $\qquad$ | 566 | 5.6 | 515 | 9.4 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 379 | 13.1 |
| Benefit Equal to Maximum Benefit $\qquad$ | 703 | 7.0 | 501 | 9.2 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 191 | 6.6 |
| Deduction Greater Than Cap | 644 | 6.4 | 174 | 3.2 | 267 | 15.3 | 387 | 16.8 | 53 | 1.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b Deductions are not used in the benefit determination of SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.
c This deduction is not used in the benefit determination of SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ This deduction is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington.
e This deduction is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Households without elderly or disabled members are subject to a cap on their excess shelter deduction.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-12. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Food Stamp Benefit Amount, Food Stamp Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum Benefit, and Certification Period

|  | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number $(000)$ <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  | Countable TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 10,070 | 100.0 | 5,472 | 100.0 | 1,741 | 100.0 | 2,310 | 100.0 | 2,896 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 100.0 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or less | 624 | 6.2 | 75 | 1.4 | 309 | 17.7 | 241 | 10.4 | 112 | 3.9 | 22 | 1.3 |
| 11-25 | 332 | 3.3 | 42 | 0.8 | 147 | 8.5 | 148 | 6.4 | 45 | 1.6 | 12 | 0.7 |
| 26-50 | 635 | 6.3 | 100 | 1.8 | 306 | 17.6 | 253 | 10.9 | 94 | 3.2 | 25 | 1.5 |
| 51-75 | 565 | 5.6 | 127 | 2.3 | 195 | 11.2 | 263 | 11.4 | 122 | 4.2 | 28 | 1.7 |
| 76-100 | 587 | 5.8 | 183 | 3.3 | 174 | 10.0 | 242 | 10.5 | 145 | 5.0 | 50 | 3.1 |
| 101-150 | 2,665 | 26.5 | 588 | 10.7 | 435 | 25.0 | 489 | 21.2 | 538 | 18.6 | 191 | 11.8 |
| 151-200. | 612 | 6.1 | 522 | 9.5 | 56 | 3.2 | 159 | 6.9 | 322 | 11.1 | 157 | 9.7 |
| 201-300 | 1,879 | 18.7 | 1,678 | 30.7 | 85 | 4.9 | 266 | 11.5 | 721 | 24.9 | 543 | 33.4 |
| 301 or more | 2,171 | 21.6 | 2,156 | 39.4 | 34 | 1.9 | 250 | 10.8 | 798 | 27.5 | 597 | 36.7 |
| Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum .............................. | 611 | 6.1 | 70 | 1.3 | 303 | 17.4 | 235 | 10.2 | 109 | 3.8 | 22 | 1.3 |
| < $25 \%$ b | 896 | 8.9 | 366 | 6.7 | 298 | 17.1 | 362 | 15.7 | 279 | 9.6 | 76 | 4.7 |
| 25-50 | 1,702 | 16.9 | 920 | 16.8 | 426 | 24.5 | 601 | 26.0 | 686 | 23.7 | 202 | 12.4 |
| 51-75 | 1,885 | 18.7 | 1,263 | 23.1 | 283 | 16.2 | 556 | 24.1 | 769 | 26.6 | 401 | 24.7 |
| 76-99 | 1,889 | 18.8 | 1,379 | 25.2 | 182 | 10.5 | 319 | 13.8 | 588 | 20.3 | 577 | 35.5 |
| Maximum | 3,086 | 30.6 | 1,476 | 27.0 | 250 | 14.4 | 236 | 10.2 | 464 | 16.0 | 349 | 21.4 |
| Months in Certification Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average ${ }^{\text {c }}$. | 10 | - | 8 | - | 16 | - | 13 | - | 8 | - | 9 | - |
| 1 | 25 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 2 | 45 | 0.4 | 17 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.2 |
| 3 | 276 | 2.7 | 128 | 2.3 | 8 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.9 | 66 | 2.3 | 27 | 1.7 |
| 4 | 158 | 1.6 | 61 | 1.1 | 4 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.5 | 42 | 1.4 | 17 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 164 | 1.6 | 110 | 2.0 | 7 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.9 | 55 | 1.9 | 32 | 1.9 |
| 6 | 3,569 | 35.4 | 2,668 | 48.7 | 149 | 8.6 | 499 | 21.6 | 1,566 | 54.1 | 578 | 35.5 |
| 7 | 213 | 2.1 | 137 | 2.5 | 11 | 0.6 | 28 | 1.2 | 82 | 2.8 | 35 | 2.2 |
| 8 | 63 | 0.6 | 37 | 0.7 | 7 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.2 | 21 | 0.7 | 9 | 0.6 |
| 9 | 32 | 0.3 | 21 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.4 |
| 10 | 59 | 0.6 | 33 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.5 | 16 | 0.6 | 15 | 0.9 |
| 11 | 131 | 1.3 | 62 | 1.1 | 31 | 1.8 | 33 | 1.4 | 28 | 1.0 | 24 | 1.5 |
| 12 | 4,368 | 43.4 | 2,100 | 38.4 | 1,004 | 57.7 | 1,266 | 54.8 | 961 | 33.2 | 840 | 51.7 |
| 13+ | 947 | 9.4 | 79 | 1.4 | 500 | 28.7 | 395 | 17.1 | 37 | 1.3 | 32 | 2.0 |
| Unknown | 19 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Does not include households with the minimum benefit.
c Average number of months in certification period. Percent not applicable in this row.

- Not Applicable.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-13. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Most Recent Action and Expedited Service

| Most Recent Action and Expedited Service | Total Households |  | Entrants |  | Other Households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................................. | 10,070 | 100.0 | 564 | 100.0 | 9,506 | 100.0 |
| Initial Certification | 3,832 | 38.1 | 564 | 100.0 | 3,267 | 34.4 |
| Eligible For and Receiving Expedited Service | 975 | 9.7 | 234 | 41.4 | 741 | 7.8 |
| Eligible For But Did Not Receive Expedited Service $\qquad$ | 215 | 2.1 | 36 | 6.4 | 179 | 1.9 |
| Not Eligible For Expedited Service | 2,642 | 26.2 | 295 | 52.2 | 2,347 | 24.7 |
| Recertification ..... | 6,238 | 61.9 | - | - | 6,238 | 65.6 |
| Eligible For and Receiving <br> Expedited Service | 83 | 0.8 | - | - | 83 | 0.9 |
| Eligible For But Did Not Receive Expedited Service $\qquad$ | 67 | 0.7 | - | - | 67 | 0.7 |
| Not Eligible For Expedited Service | 6,088 | 60.5 | - | - | 6,088 | 64.0 |

[^17]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-14. Distribution of Participating Households, Individuals, and Benefits by Household Composition

| Household Composition | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10,070 | 100.0 | 23,486 | 100.0 | 1,978,371 | 100.0 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5,472 | 54.3 | 18,260 | 77.8 | 1,532,441 | 77.5 |
| Single-Adult Householdc ............... | 3,450 | 34.3 | 10,548 | 44.9 | 937,112 | 47.4 |
| Male Adult | 177 | 1.8 | 493 | 2.1 | 44,081 | 2.2 |
| Female Adult | 3,272 | 32.5 | 10,054 | 42.8 | 893,024 | 45.1 |
| Multiple-Adult Household | 1,493 | 14.8 | 6,636 | 28.3 | 487,524 | 24.6 |
| Married Head Household ............ | 1,004 | 10.0 | 4,533 | 19.3 | 324,359 | 16.4 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household | 489 | 4.9 | 2,103 | 9.0 | 163,166 | 8.2 |
| Children Only .............................. | 530 | 5.3 | 1,076 | 4.6 | 107,804 | 5.4 |
| Elderly Individuals ....................... | 1,741 | 17.3 | 2,307 | 9.8 | 146,497 | 7.4 |
| Living Alone ............................... | 1,357 | 13.5 | 1,357 | 5.8 | 88,020 | 4.4 |
| Not Living Alone ......................... | 385 | 3.8 | 950 | 4.0 | 58,477 | 3.0 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {d }}$.. | 2,310 | 22.9 | 4,840 | 20.6 | 314,216 | 15.9 |
| Living Alone ............................... | 1,243 | 12.3 | 1,243 | 5.3 | 84,248 | 4.3 |
| Not Living Alone ......................... | 1,067 | 10.6 | 3,597 | 15.3 | 229,967 | 11.6 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1,564 | 15.5 | 1,715 | 7.3 | 216,657 | 11.0 |
| Single-Person Household ............... | 1,426 | 14.2 | 1,426 | 6.1 | 186,216 | 9.4 |
| Multi-Person Household ................ | 138 | 1.4 | 289 | 1.2 | 30,442 | 1.5 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{f}$ | 804 | 8.0 | 1,397 | 5.9 | 143,334 | 7.2 |
| Single-Person Household ............... | 527 | 5.2 | 527 | 2.2 | 68,851 | 3.5 |
| Multi-Person Household .. | 277 | 2.7 | 870 | 3.7 | 74,483 | 3.8 |
| Single-Person Households ............. | 4,228 | 42.0 | 4,228 | 18.0 | 382,741 | 19.3 |

${ }^{a}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
b Individuals with missing age were assigned child or adult status based on their relationship to the household head
c Because gender is missing for some individuals in the FSPQC sample, the sum of single-adult households headed by males plus the number headed by females may not add up to the total number of single-adult households.
${ }^{d}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
e Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
f These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-15. Average Gross and Net Countable Income, Total Deduction, Countable Resources, Food Stamp Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition

| Household Composition | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Gross Countable Income (Dollars) | Net <br> Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) $^{\text {b }}$ | Countable <br> Resources <br> (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size <br> (Individuals) | Certification Period <br> (Months) |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$................................. | 10,070 | 100.0 | 643 | 321 | 381 | 143 | 196 | 2.3 | 10.5 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 5,472 | 54.3 | 766 | 403 | 417 | 119 | 280 | 3.3 | 8.5 |
| Single-Adult Householde ..... | 3,450 | 34.3 | 675 | 339 | 392 | 105 | 272 | 3.1 | 8.5 |
| Male Adult ....................... | 177 | 1.8 | 673 | 321 | 418 | 116 | 249 | 2.8 | 8.8 |
| Female Adult ................... | 3,272 | 32.5 | 675 | 340 | 391 | 105 | 273 | 3.1 | 8.5 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ... | 1,493 | 14.8 | 1069 | 625 | 489 | 175 | 327 | 4.4 | 8.3 |
| Married Head Household .. Other Multiple-Adult | 1,004 | 10.0 | 1123 | 659 | 508 | 209 | 323 | 4.5 | 8.2 |
| Household ...................... | 489 | 4.9 | 958 | 554 | 448 | 106 | 334 | 4.3 | 8.6 |
| Children Only ..................... | 530 | 5.3 | 502 | 189 | 374 | 52 | 204 | 2.0 | 9.3 |
| Elderly Individuals .............. | 1,741 | 17.3 | 682 | 352 | 360 | 293 | 84 | 1.3 | 15.8 |
| Living Alone ...................... | 1,357 | 13.5 | 619 | 290 | 349 | 304 | 65 | 1.0 | 16.9 |
| Not Living Alone ................ | 385 | 3.8 | 903 | 540 | 392 | 255 | 152 | 2.5 | 11.9 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{f}$ | 2,310 | 22.9 | 792 | 444 | 369 | 150 | 136 | 2.1 | 12.6 |
| Living Alone ...................... | 1,243 | 12.3 | 621 | 272 | 365 | 150 | 68 | 1.0 | 15.0 |
| Not Living Alone ................ | 1,067 | 10.6 | 991 | 630 | 374 | 149 | 215 | 3.4 | 9.8 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {g .............. }}$ | 1,564 | 15.5 | 191 | 53 | 286 | 49 | 139 | 1.1 | 7.6 |
| Single-Person Household ..... | 1,426 | 14.2 | 165 | 41 | 273 | 47 | 131 | 1.0 | 7.6 |
| Multi-Person Household ...... | 138 | 1.4 | 453 | 171 | 422 | 70 | 221 | 2.1 | 7.8 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 804 | 8.0 | 342 | 152 | 312 | 67 | 178 | 1.7 | 8.0 |
| Single-Person Household ..... | 527 | 5.2 | 137 | 39 | 252 | 31 | 131 | 1.0 | 7.3 |
| Multi-Person Household ...... | 277 | 2.7 | 733 | 367 | 427 | 136 | 269 | 3.1 | 9.3 |
| Single-Person Households .... | 4,228 | 42.0 | 453 | 185 | 326 | 161 | 91 | 1.0 | 12.8 |

a Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.

> b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
> c The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
> d Individuals with missing age were assigned child or adult status based on their relationship to the household head
> e Because gender is missing for some individuals in the FSPQC sample, the sum of single-adult households headed by males plus the number headed by females may not add up to the total number of single-adult households.
> f Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003 . Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
g Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
${ }^{h}$ These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-16. Distribution of Participating Households by Countable Income Type and Household Composition

| Household Composition | Total Households |  | Countable Income Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Zero Gross <br> Income |  | TANF Income |  | GA Income |  | SSI |  | Social Security Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10,070 | 100.0 | 2,896 | 100.0 | 1,308 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 100.0 | 576 | 100.0 | 2,713 | 100.0 | 2,313 | 100.0 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {b }}$............... | 5,472 | 54.3 | 2,422 | 83.6 | 495 | 37.8 | 1,584 | 97.4 | 165 | 28.7 | 732 | 27.0 | 517 | 22.4 |
| Single-Adult <br> Household ${ }^{c}$ | 3,450 | 34.3 | 1,303 | 45.0 | 351 | 26.8 | 1,060 | 65.2 | 112 | 19.5 | 465 | 17.1 | 319 | 13.8 |
| Male Adult .......... | 177 | 1.8 | 56 | 1.9 | 20 | 1.6 | 56 | 3.5 | 4 | 0.8 | 26 | 0.9 | 32 | 1.4 |
| Female Adult ....... | 3,272 | 32.5 | 1,246 | 43.0 | 330 | 25.2 | 1,004 | 61.7 | 108 | 18.7 | 439 | 16.2 | 287 | 12.4 |
| Multiple-Adult <br> Household $\qquad$ <br> Married Head | 1,493 | 14.8 | 900 | 31.1 | 105 | 8.1 | 289 | 17.7 | 36 | 6.3 | 249 | 9.2 | 182 | 7.9 |
| Household | 1,004 | 10.0 | 650 | 22.5 | 73 | 5.6 | 164 | 10.1 | 14 | 2.4 | 140 | 5.2 | 116 | 5.0 |
| Other <br> Multiple-Adult <br> Household | 489 | 4.9 | 250 | 8.6 | 32 | 2.5 | 125 | 7.7 | 23 | 3.9 | 109 | 4.0 | 66 | 2.9 |
| Children Only ......... | 530 | 5.3 | 218 | 7.5 | 39 | 3.0 | 235 | 14.5 | 16 | 2.8 | 18 | 0.7 | 16 | 0.7 |
| Elderly Individuals | 1,741 | 17.3 | 80 | 2.8 | 33 | 2.6 | 45 | 2.8 | 84 | 14.7 | 1,001 | 36.9 | 1,177 | 50.9 |
| Living Alone .......... | 1,357 | 13.5 | 34 | 1.2 | 24 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.1 | 58 | 10.1 | 792 | 29.2 | 936 | 40.5 |
| Not Living Alone ... | 385 | 3.8 | 46 | 1.6 | 9 | 0.7 | 44 | 2.7 | 26 | 4.6 | 209 | 7.7 | 240 | 10.4 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,310 | 22.9 | 233 | 8.1 | 2 | 0.2 | 288 | 17.7 | 108 | 18.7 | 1,755 | 64.7 | 1,024 | 44.3 |
| Living Alone .......... | 1,243 | 12.3 | 55 | 1.9 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 52 | 9.1 | 908 | 33.5 | 610 | 26.4 |
| Not Living Alone ... | 1,067 | 10.6 | 178 | 6.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 286 | 17.6 | 56 | 9.6 | 848 | 31.2 | 414 | 17.9 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1,564 | 15.5 | 337 | 11.6 | 782 | 59.8 | 32 | 2.0 | 274 | 47.5 | 1 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.2 |
| Single-Person ........ | 1,426 | 14.2 | 269 | 9.3 | 743 | 56.8 | 25 | 1.5 | 264 | 45.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.2 |
| Multi-Person .......... | 138 | 1.4 | 69 | 2.4 | 39 | 3.0 | 8 | 0.5 | 10 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Nonelderly, <br> Nondisabled, Childless <br> Adults ${ }^{f}$ $\qquad$ | 804 | 8.0 | 228 | 7.9 | 359 | 27.5 | 29 | 1.8 | 59 | 10.2 | 77 | 2.8 | 51 | 2.2 |
| Single-Person <br> Household | 527 | 5.2 | 103 | 3.6 | 323 | 24.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 47 | 8.2 | 8 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.3 |
| Multi-Person <br> Household | 277 | 2.7 | 125 | 4.3 | 36 | 2.7 | 27 | 1.6 | 12 | 2.0 | 68 | 2.5 | 43 | 1.9 |
| Single-Person Households | 4,228 | 42.0 | 439 | 15.2 | 788 | 60.2 | 116 | 7.1 | 379 | 65.8 | 1,701 | 62.7 | 1,555 | 67.2 |

[^18]e Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
f These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-17. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Selected Characteristics

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Household With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | School Age Children |  | Preschool Age Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................... | 10,070 | 100.0 | 5,472 | 100.0 | 4,134 | 100.0 | 2,901 | 100.0 | 1,741 | 100.0 | 2,310 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School Age | 4,134 | 41.1 | 4,134 | 75.5 | 4,134 | 100.0 | 1,563 | 53.9 | 104 | 6.0 | 767 | 33.2 |
| Preschool Age .................. | 2,901 | 28.8 | 2,901 | 53.0 | 1,563 | 37.8 | 2,901 | 100.0 | 28 | 1.6 | 316 | 13.7 |
| Elderly Individuals | 1,741 | 17.3 | 113 | 2.1 | 104 | 2.5 | 28 | 1.0 | 1,741 | 100.0 | 56 | 2.4 |
| Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$........ | 2,310 | 22.9 | 864 | 15.8 | 767 | 18.5 | 316 | 10.9 | 56 | 3.2 | 2,310 | 100.0 |
| Countable Income Source and Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income .. | 8,762 | 87.0 | 4,977 | 91.0 | 3,818 | 92.3 | 2,599 | 89.6 | 1,708 | 98.1 | 2,308 | 99.9 |
| No Gross Income .... | 1,308 | 13.0 | 495 | 9.0 | 317 | 7.7 | 302 | 10.4 | 33 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Net Income | 6,804 | 67.6 | 3,963 | 72.4 | 3,126 | 75.6 | 2,031 | 70.0 | 1,388 | 79.7 | 2,031 | 87.9 |
| No Net Income | 2,956 | 29.4 | 1,473 | 26.9 | 984 | 23.8 | 851 | 29.3 | 174 | 10.0 | 186 | 8.0 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 310 | 3.1 | 36 | 0.7 | 24 | 0.6 | 19 | 0.7 | 180 | 10.3 | 94 | 4.1 |
| Earned Income . | 2,896 | 28.8 | 2,422 | 44.3 | 1,830 | 44.3 | 1,374 | 47.4 | 80 | 4.6 | 233 | 10.1 |
| Unearned Income | 7,027 | 69.8 | 3,558 | 65.0 | 2,812 | 68.0 | 1,740 | 60.0 | 1,684 | 96.7 | 2,305 | 99.8 |
| TANF Income ....... | 1,626 | 16.1 | 1,583 | 28.9 | 1,160 | 28.1 | 831 | 28.7 | 45 | 2.6 | 288 | 12.5 |
| GA Income | 576 | 5.7 | 165 | 3.0 | 130 | 3.1 | 77 | 2.7 | 84 | 4.8 | 108 | 4.7 |
| SSI | 2,713 | 26.9 | 732 | 13.4 | 651 | 15.7 | 262 | 9.0 | 1,001 | 57.5 | 1,755 | 76.0 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 2,313 | 23.0 | 517 | 9.5 | 474 | 11.5 | 161 | 5.6 | 1,177 | 67.6 | 1,024 | 44.3 |
| Countable Resources ........... | 3,104 | 30.8 | 1,614 | 29.5 | 1,272 | 30.8 | 823 | 28.4 | 814 | 46.7 | 803 | 34.8 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction | 9,770 | 97.0 | 5,445 | 99.5 | 4,115 | 99.5 | 2,887 | 99.5 | 1,562 | 89.7 | 2,216 | 96.0 |
| Earned Income Deduction .... | 2,887 | 28.7 | 2,420 | 44.2 | 1,829 | 44.2 | 1,374 | 47.4 | 77 | 4.4 | 229 | 9.9 |
| Dependent Care Deduction .. | 460 | 4.6 | 458 | 8.4 | 305 | 7.4 | 347 | 11.9 | 3 | 0.2 | 21 | 0.9 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ..... | 6,861 | 68.1 | 3,865 | 70.6 | 2,945 | 71.2 | 1,996 | 68.8 | 1,214 | 69.7 | 1,713 | 74.2 |
| Medical Deduction ............. | 452 | 4.5 | 68 | 1.2 | 62 | 1.5 | 19 | 0.6 | 254 | 14.6 | 206 | 8.9 |
| Child Support Deduction ...... | 151 | 1.5 | 101 | 1.8 | 82 | 2.0 | 53 | 1.8 | 6 | 0.3 | 43 | 1.9 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or Less .... | 624 | 6.2 21.0 | + 452 | 1.4 | 344 | 1.3 | 24 | 6.8 | 309 | 17.7 | 241 | 10.4 |
| 101-200 | 3,277 | 32.5 | 1,110 | 20.3 | 787 | 19.0 | 518 | 17.9 | 492 | 28.3 | 648 | 28.1 |
| 201-300 | 1,879 | 18.7 | 1,678 | 30.7 | 1,131 | 27.4 | 876 | 30.2 | 85 | 4.9 | 266 | 11.5 |
| 301 or More ........................ | 2,171 | 21.6 | 2,156 | 39.4 | 1,820 | 44.0 | 1,308 | 45.1 | 34 | 1.9 | 250 | 10.8 |
| Minimum Benefit ................ | 611 | 6.1 | 70 | 1.3 | 47 | 1.1 | 23 | 0.8 | 303 | 17.4 | 235 | 10.2 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 3,086 | 30.6 | 1,476 | 27.0 | 986 | 23.8 | 852 | 29.4 | 250 | 14.4 | 236 | 10.2 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ....................................... | 4,228 | 42.0 | 210 | 3.8 | 97 | 2.3 | 113 | 3.9 | 1,357 | 77.9 | 1,243 | 53.8 |
| 2 ....................................... | 2,069 | 20.5 | 1,532 | 28.0 | 883 | 21.4 | 708 | 24.4 | 291 | 16.7 | 398 | 17.2 |
| 3 ....................................... | 1,648 | 16.4 | 1,611 | 29.4 | 1,222 | 29.6 | 821 | 28.3 | 47 | 2.7 | 262 | 11.3 |
| 4 ........................................ | 1,126 | 11.2 | 1,121 | 20.5 | 966 | 23.4 | 634 | 21.8 | 24 | 1.4 | 188 | 8.1 |
| 5 ....................................... | 583 | 5.8 | 582 | 10.6 | 556 | 13.4 | 343 | 11.8 | 10 | 0.6 | 122 | 5.3 |
| 6+ ...................................... | 416 | 4.1 | 416 | 7.6 | 411 | 9.9 | 283 | 9.7 | 12 | 0.7 | 98 | 4.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-18. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals

| Household Characteristic | Average Values for Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Children | School Age Children | Preschool Age Children | Elderly Individuals | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Countable Income and Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income | 643 | 766 | 827 | 745 | 682 | 792 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 321 | 403 | 451 | 382 | 352 | 444 |
| Earned Income ............................. | 245 | 411 | 430 | 448 | 26 | 61 |
| Unearned Income ............................... | 398 | 355 | 397 | 297 | 656 | 731 |
| TANF Income | 62 | 112 | 113 | 113 | 7 | 40 |
| GA Income | 14 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 9 |
| SSI | 120 | 73 | 87 | 51 | 216 | 371 |
| Social Security Income ...................... | 133 | 56 | 68 | 32 | 395 | 266 |
| Countable Resources ........................... | 143 | 119 | 119 | 117 | 293 | 150 |
| Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guildeline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ...................................... | 58.9 | 55.7 | 57.6 | 52.3 | 82.2 | 78.6 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$...................................... | 26.9 | 28.0 | 30.2 | 25.4 | 36.7 | 39.2 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ${ }^{\text {c ........................... }}$ | 381 | 417 | 425 | 421 | 360 | 369 |
| Earned Income Deduction ${ }^{\text {d }}$................... | 50 | 82 | 86 | 90 | 6 | 13 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 171 | 186 | 194 | 189 | 112 | 122 |
| Dependent Care Deductione ................. | 6 | 11 | 10 | 17 | 0 | 1 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 137 | 137 | 138 | 140 | 192 | 137 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {f }}$.................... | 178 | 181 | 184 | 172 | 196 | 202 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 254 | 254 | 257 | 248 | 253 | 261 |
|  | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 22 | 14 |
| Over Households With Deduction ........ | 140 | 104 | 106 | 82 | 134 | 147 |
| Child Support Deduction ${ }^{\text {f }}$..................... | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 199 | 218 | 223 | 233 | 188 | 153 |
| Food Stamp Benefit ................................ | 196 | 280 | 293 | 302 | 84 | 136 |
| Household Size ...................................... | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 2.1 |
| Certification Period ............................... | 10.5 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 15.8 | 12.6 |

[^19]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-19. Distribution of Participating Households With Countable Earned and Unearned Income by Selected Characteristics

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Countable Income Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Earned Income |  | Unearned Income |  | TANF Income |  | GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent |
| Total | 10,070 | 100.0 | 2,896 | 100.0 | 7,027 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 100.0 | 576 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 5,472 | 54.3 | 2,422 | 83.6 | 3,558 | 50.6 | 1,583 | 97.4 | 165 | 28.7 |
| School Age | 4,134 | 41.1 | 1,830 | 63.2 | 2,812 | 40.0 | 1,160 | 71.3 | 130 | 22.5 |
| Preschool Age .................. | 2,901 | 28.8 | 1,374 | 47.5 | 1,740 | 24.8 | 831 | 51.1 | 77 | 13.4 |
| Elderly Individuals .............. | 1,741 | 17.3 | 80 | 2.8 | 1,684 | 24.0 | 45 | 2.8 | 84 | 14.7 |
| Disabled Nonelderly <br> Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,310 | 22.9 | 233 | 8.1 | 2,305 | 32.8 | 288 | 17.7 | 108 | 18.7 |
| Countable Income Source and Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8,762 | 87.0 | 2,896 | 100.0 | 7,027 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 100.0 | 576 | 100.0 |
| No Gross Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,308 | 13.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Net Income ... | 6,804 | 67.6 | 2,419 | 83.5 | 5,443 | 77.5 | 1,248 | 76.8 | 363 | 63.1 |
| No Net Income | 2,956 | 29.4 | 462 | 16.0 | 1,277 | 18.2 | 348 | 21.4 | 211 | 36.6 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {c .................. }}$ | 310 | 3.1 | 15 | 0.5 | 306 | 4.4 | 30 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Earned Income | 2,896 | 28.8 | 2,896 | 100.0 | 1,161 | 16.5 | 314 | 19.3 | 39 | 6.7 |
| Unearned Income | 7,027 | 69.8 | 1,161 | 40.1 | 7,027 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 100.0 | 576 | 100.0 |
| TANF Income | 1,626 | 16.1 | 314 | 10.8 | 1,626 | 23.1 | 1,626 | 100.0 | 11 | 2.0 |
| GA Income .... | 576 | 5.7 | 39 | 1.3 | 576 | 8.2 | 11 | 0.7 | 576 | 100.0 |
| SSI Income .. | 2,713 | 26.9 | 200 | 6.9 | 2,713 | 38.6 | 283 | 17.4 | 140 | 24.3 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 2,313 | 23.0 | 168 | 5.8 | 2,313 | 32.9 | 131 | 8.0 | 64 | 11.2 |
| Countable Resources ........... | 3,104 | 30.8 | 1,085 | 37.4 | 2,295 | 32.7 | 277 | 17.0 | 70 | 12.2 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction .................. | 9,770 | 97.0 | 2,891 | 99.8 | 6,728 | 95.8 | 1,602 | 98.5 | 574 | 99.7 |
| Earned Income Deduction .... | 2,887 | 28.7 | 2,887 | 99.7 | 1,153 | 16.4 | 313 | 19.3 | 39 | 6.7 |
| Dependent Care Deduction .. | 460 | 4.6 | 415 | 14.3 | 206 | 2.9 | 40 | 2.4 | 6 | 1.0 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ..... | 6,861 | 68.1 | 2,076 | 71.7 | 5,062 | 72.0 | 1,149 | 70.7 | 401 | 69.7 |
| Medical Deduction .............. | 452 | 4.5 | 36 | 1.2 | 448 | 6.4 | 14 | 0.8 | 12 | 2.1 |
| Child Support Deduction ...... | 151 | 1.5 | 88 | 3.0 | 94 | 1.3 | 9 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or Less ......... | 624 | 6.2 | 112 | 3.9 | 585 | 8.3 | 22 | 1.3 | 32 | 5.6 |
| 11-100. | 2,119 | 21.0 | 406 | 14.0 | 1,925 | 27.4 | 115 | 7.1 | 90 | 15.7 |
| 101-200 | 3,277 | 32.5 | 860 | 29.7 | 1,937 | 27.6 | 349 | 21.4 | 321 | 55.7 |
| 201-300 ............................. | 1,879 | 18.7 | 721 | 24.9 | 1,202 | 17.1 | 543 | 33.4 | 67 | 11.7 |
| 301 or More ....................... | 2,171 | 21.6 | 798 | 27.5 | 1,378 | 19.6 | 597 | 36.7 | 65 | 11.2 |
| Minimum Benefit ................ | 611 | 6.1 | 109 | 3.8 | 572 | 8.1 | 22 | 1.3 | 31 | 5.5 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 3,086 | 30.6 | 464 | 16.0 | 1,406 | 20.0 | 349 | 21.4 | 213 | 36.9 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4,228 | 42.0 | 439 | 15.2 | 3,122 | 44.4 | 116 | 7.1 | 379 | 65.8 |
| 2 ....................................... | 2,069 | 20.5 | 627 | 21.7 | 1,461 | 20.8 | 547 | 33.6 | 92 | 16.0 |
| 3 ...................................... | 1,648 | 16.4 | 711 | 24.5 | 1,080 | 15.4 | 447 | 27.5 | 43 | 7.5 |
| 4 ....................................... | 1,126 | 11.2 | 565 | 19.5 | 710 | 10.1 | 268 | 16.5 | 28 | 4.9 |
| 5 ...................................... | 583 | 5.8 | 317 | 11.0 | 375 | 5.3 | 137 | 8.4 | 16 | 2.8 |
| 6+ ..................................... | 416 | 4.1 | 237 | 8.2 | 279 | 4.0 | 111 | 6.8 | 17 | 3.0 |

[^20]b Some states allow child support expenses to be subtracted before gross income is calculated. As a result, it is possible to have countable income but no gross income.
c Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-20. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households With Countable Earned and Unearned Income

| Household Characteristic | Average Values for Households With: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Countable <br> Earned <br> Income | Countable <br> Unearned Income | Countable TANF Income | Countable GA Income |
| Countable Income and Resources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ..................................... | 643 | 1,011 | 689 | 664 | 484 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$...................................... | 321 | 519 | 355 | 349 | 209 |
| Earned Income. | 245 | 851 | 119 | 126 | 42 |
| Unearned Income ................................ | 398 | 160 | 570 | 539 | 442 |
| TANF Income .................................... | 62 | 34 | 89 | 383 | 7 |
| GA Income ......................................... | 14 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 251 |
| SSI .................................................... | 120 | 32 | 172 | 95 | 116 |
| Social Security Income ....................... | 133 | 30 | 191 | 37 | 54 |
| Countable Resources ........................... | 143 | 166 | 162 | 58 | 54 |
| Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ..................................... | 58.9 | 76.4 | 66.5 | 50.8 | 50.1 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$...................................... | 26.9 | 37.1 | 30.8 | 24.8 | 19.3 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ${ }^{\text {b ................................. }{ }^{\text {a }} \text {, }}$ | 381 | 517 | 365 | 340 | 323 |
| Earned Income Deduction ${ }^{\text {c ................... }}$ | 50 | 170 | 25 | 25 | 8 |
| Over Households With Deduction ........ | 171 | 171 | 145 | 132 | 124 |
| Dependent Care Deduction ${ }^{\text {d }}$................. | 6 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 137 | 140 | 142 | 100 | 112 |
| Excess Shelter Deductione ................... | 178 | 179 | 188 | 177 | 169 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 254 | 249 | 250 | 245 | 241 |
|  | 7 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 3 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 140 | 176 | 140 | 106 | 148 |
| Child Support Deduction ${ }^{\text {e }}$.................... | 3 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Over Households With Deduction ........ | 199 | 216 | 187 | 170 | 191 |
| Food Stamp Benefit ................................ | 196 | 230 | 180 | 273 | 164 |
| Household Size ....................................... | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| Certification Period ................................ | 10.5 | 8.2 | 11.7 | 9.4 | 10.8 |

[^21]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-21. Distribution of Participating Households With Selected Household Characteristics by the Race of the Household Head

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  | Countable TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 10,070 | 100.0 | 5,472 | 100.0 | 1,741 | 100.0 | 2,310 | 100.0 | 2,896 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 100.0 |
| Race of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 4,627 | 45.9 | 2,157 | 39.4 | 910 | 52.3 | 1,277 | 55.3 | 1,295 | 44.7 | 500 | 30.8 |
| African-American | 3,144 | 31.2 | 1,740 | 31.8 | 421 | 24.2 | 723 | 31.3 | 829 | 28.6 | 548 | 33.7 |
| Hispanic | 1,349 | 13.4 | 820 | 15.0 | 284 | 16.3 | 237 | 10.2 | 419 | 14.5 | 269 | 16.5 |
| Asian ............................................. | 217 | 2.2 | 94 | 1.7 | 96 | 5.5 | 29 | 1.3 | 58 | 2.0 | 41 | 2.5 |
| Native American ............................. | 116 | 1.2 | 80 | 1.5 | 12 | 0.7 | 17 | 0.7 | 35 | 1.2 | 25 | 1.6 |
| Race Unknown ................................ | 44 | 0.4 | 17 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.2 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {b .. }}$ | 573 | 5.7 | 564 | 10.3 | 4 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.8 | 251 | 8.7 | 239 | 14.7 |

[^22] contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b This category includes some households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-22 Distribution of Participating Households By Presence of a Household Member With Selected Characteristics

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  | Countable TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ..................................................... | 10,070 | 100.0 | 5,472 | 100.0 | 1,741 | 100.0 | 2,310 | 100.0 | 2,896 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. Born Citizen .................................. | 9,455 | 93.9 | 5,402 | 98.7 | 1,334 | 76.6 | 2,234 | 96.7 | 2,830 | 97.7 | 1,594 | 98.0 |
| Naturalized Citizen ................................ | 494 | 4.9 | 186 | 3.4 | 249 | 14.3 | 70 | 3.0 | 117 | 4.0 | 63 | 3.9 |
| Refugee | 94 | 0.9 | 49 | 0.9 | 28 | 1.6 | 10 | 0.4 | 31 | 1.1 | 24 | 1.5 |
| Other Noncitizen .................................... | 533 | 5.3 | 307 | 5.6 | 177 | 10.1 | 69 | 3.0 | 188 | 6.5 | 86 | 5.3 |
| Unknown .............................................. | 12 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Citizen Children Living with Participating Noncitizen Adults $\qquad$ | 280 | 2.8 | 280 | 5.1 | 14 | 0.8 | 36 | 1.6 | 163 | 5.6 | 79 | 4.9 |
| Citizen Children Living with Nonparticipating Noncitizen Adults ....... | 445 | 4.4 | 445 | 8.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.6 | 251 | 8.7 | 167 | 10.3 |

[^23] a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-23. Gender and Food Stamp Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristic

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Female Participants |  | Male Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number $(000)$ | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number $(000)$ | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total | 23,486 | 100.0 | 13,809 | 58.8 | 9,668 | 41.2 | 1,978,371 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. Born Citizen ....................... | 21,954 | 93.5 | 12,847 | 54.7 | 9,105 | 38.8 | 1,852,625 | 93.6 |
| Naturalized Citizen ..................... | 608 | 2.6 | 398 | 1.7 | 209 | 0.9 | 49,246 | 2.5 |
| Refugee ... | 216 | 0.9 | 117 | 0.5 | 99 | 0.4 | 17,144 | 0.9 |
| Other Noncitizen ......................... | 693 | 3.0 | 440 | 1.9 | 253 | 1.1 | 58,281 | 2.9 |
| Unknown . | 15 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 1,075 | 0.1 |
| Citizen Children Living with <br> Noncitizen Adults ${ }^{c}$ | 1,507 | 6.4 | 759 | 3.2 | 748 | 3.2 | 131,428 | 6.6 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 881 | 3.8 | 405 | 1.7 | 476 | 2.0 | 100,862 | 5.1 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child | 11,797 | 50.2 | 5,852 | 24.9 | 5,944 | 25.3 | 995,697 | 50.3 |
| Preschool (4 or Less) ................... | 3,967 | 16.9 | 1,976 | 8.4 | 1,990 | 8.5 | 358,124 | 18.1 |
| School Age (5-17) ....... | 7,830 | 33.3 | 3,875 | 16.5 | 3,953 | 16.8 | 637,574 | 32.2 |
| Nonelderly Adult ..... | 9,765 | 41.6 | 6,643 | 28.3 | 3,119 | 13.3 | 861,313 | 43.5 |
| 18-35.. | 5,134 | 21.9 | 3,792 | 16.1 | 1,340 | 5.7 | 458,770 | 23.2 |
| 36-59 | 4,631 | 19.7 | 2,851 | 12.1 | 1,778 | 7.6 | 402,543 | 20.3 |
| Elderly Individual (60 or More) ..... | 1,919 | 8.2 | 1,313 | 5.6 | 605 | 2.6 | 121,088 | 6.1 |
| Unknown Age .............................. | 4 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 273 | 0.0 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 10,148 | 43.2 | 5,886 | 25.1 | 4,261 | 18.1 | 832,125 | 42.1 |
| African-American | 7,773 | 33.1 | 4,737 | 20.2 | 3,034 | 12.9 | 672,239 | 34.0 |
| Hispanic | 4,507 | 19.2 | 2,602 | 11.1 | 1,905 | 8.1 | 380,674 | 19.2 |
| Asian ... | 586 | 2.5 | 330 | 1.4 | 256 | 1.1 | 51,861 | 2.6 |
| Native American | 359 | 1.5 | 198 | 0.8 | 161 | 0.7 | 31,693 | 1.6 |
| Unknown Race . | 113 | 0.5 | 55 | 0.2 | 52 | 0.2 | 9,779 | 0.5 |

[^24]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-24. Distribution of Participants by Thrifty Food Plan Sex-Age Groups and Household Size

| Participant Characteristic | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| Total .................................. | 23,486 | 4,228 | 4,139 | 4,944 | 4,504 | 2,915 | 1,568 | 641 | 546 |
| Children Under Age 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 years ...... | 2,363 | 77 | 492 | 645 | 547 | 315 | 163 | 66 | 58 |
| 3-5 years ........ | 2,345 | 47 | 358 | 629 | 597 | 371 | 203 | 77 | 63 |
| 6-8 years ........ | 2,005 | 21 | 237 | 499 | 532 | 360 | 208 | 80 | 68 |
| 9-11 years ...................... | 1,937 | 17 | 196 | 460 | 498 | 380 | 200 | 95 | 92 |
| Females ... | 13,809 | 2,476 | 2,676 | 3,017 | 2,580 | 1,584 | 842 | 350 | 284 |
| 0-2 years .... | 1,180 | 37 | 249 | 314 | 271 | 158 | 83 | 36 | 32 |
| 3-5 years ...... | 1,158 | 25 | 174 | 309 | 302 | 177 | 101 | 38 | 32 |
| 6-8 years.... | 997 | 9 | 113 | 255 | 256 | 181 | 106 | 42 | 35 |
| 9-11 years ..... | 926 | 1 | 97 | 218 | 239 | 180 | 94 | 52 | 39 |
| 12-14 years ...................... | 880 | 11 | 101 | 198 | 226 | 159 | 101 | 43 | 41 |
| 15-19 years ...................... | 1,049 | 53 | 214 | 251 | 211 | 150 | 89 | 42 | 40 |
| 20-50 years ..................... | 5,544 | 861 | 1,307 | 1,374 | 1,032 | 556 | 257 | 92 | 64 |
| $51+$ years .......................... | 2,073 | 1,472 | 420 | 98 | 42 | 23 | 11 | 5 | 2 |
| Males | 9,668 | 1,752 | 1,460 | 1,925 | 1,923 | 1,331 | 723 | 291 | 262 |
| 0-2 years ....................... | 1,182 | 39 | 243 | 330 | 275 | 157 | 81 | 30 | 26 |
| $3-5$ years .... | 1,187 | 22 | 184 | 320 | 295 | 193 | 103 | 39 | 31 |
| 6-8 years ......................... | 1,008 | 12 | 124 | 244 | 276 | 179 | 102 | 38 | 33 |
| 9-11 years ..................... | 1,011 | 9 | 99 | 242 | 259 | 200 | 105 | 43 | 53 |
| 12-14 years .................... | 883 | 11 | 105 | 203 | 216 | 170 | 100 | 42 | 36 |
| 15-19 years ..................... | 898 | 26 | 150 | 214 | 197 | 144 | 85 | 43 | 38 |
| 20-50 years ..................... | 2,396 | 963 | 247 | 315 | 368 | 265 | 141 | 53 | 43 |
| 51+ years ........................ | 1,102 | 669 | 306 | 56 | 35 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 2 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-25. Comparison of Participating Households With Key Food Stamp Household Characteristics for Fiscal Years 1989 to $2004{ }^{\text {a }}$

| Time Period | Total Households (000) | Percentage of Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Zero Net Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Minimum Benefit | Elderly People | Children | Disabled People ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | AFDC/ TANF | Earnings | SSI | Any <br> Noncitizen |
| Fiscal Year 1989 | 7,217 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 7.5 | 19.3 | 60.4 | 9.1 | 41.9 | 19.6 | 20.6 | 9.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 | 7,811 | 7.4 | 19.3 | 5.0 | 18.1 | 60.3 | 8.9 | 42.0 | 19.0 | 19.6 | 10.3 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 | 8,863 | 8.3 | 20.5 | 4.1 | 16.5 | 60.4 | 9.0 | 40.5 | 19.8 | 18.6 | 11.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 | 10,059 | 9.6 | 21.9 | 3.6 | 15.4 | 62.2 | 9.5 | 39.5 | 20.2 | 18.4 | 10.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 | 10,791 | 9.7 | 23.7 | 4.0 | 15.5 | 62.1 | 10.7 | 39.4 | 20.6 | 19.4 | 11.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 | 11,091 | 10.2 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 15.8 | 61.1 | 12.5 | 38.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 | 10,883 | 9.7 | 25.0 | 4.3 | 16.0 | 59.7 | 18.9 | 38.3 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 | 10,552 | 10.2 | 24.9 | 4.5 | 16.2 | 59.5 | 20.2 | 36.6 | 22.5 | 24.1 | 10.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 | 9,452 | 9.2 | 22.7 | 6.6 | 17.6 | 58.3 | 22.3 | 34.6 | 24.2 | 26.5 | 8.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 | 8,246 | 8.8 | 20.8 | 8.3 | 18.2 | 58.3 | 24.4 | 31.4 | 26.3 | 28.1 | 4.3 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 | 7,670 | 8.5 | 20.6 | 9.7 | 20.1 | 55.7 | 26.4 | 27.3 | 26.8 | 30.2 | 6.0 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 | 7,335 | 8.4 | 20.1 | 10.9 | 21.0 | 53.9 | 27.5 | 25.8 | 27.2 | 31.7 | 6.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2001 | 7,450 | 9.4 | 22.2 | 11.2 | 20.4 | 53.6 | 27.7 | 23.1 | 27.0 | 31.8 | 5.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2002 | 8,201 | 10.5 | 24.3 | 10.7 | 18.7 | 54.1 | 27.0 | 20.9 | 28.0 | 29.5 | 5.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2003 | 8,971 | 11.7 | 25.8 | 8.4 | 18.0 | 54.7 | 23.3 | 17.0 | 28.2 | 28.1 | 5.5 |
| Fiscal Year 2004 | 10,070 | 13.0 | 29.4 | 6.1 | 17.3 | 54.3 | 22.9 | 16.1 | 28.8 | 26.9 | 6.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fiscal year analysis files were not developed for the years prior to 1989 .
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Beginning in 2004, net income is not calculated for MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The substantial increase in 1995 and decrease in 2003 are due in part to the changes in definition of a disabled household. Prior to 1995, disabled households were defined as households with SSI but no members over age 59. In 1995, that definition changed to households with at least one member under age 65 who received SSI, or at least one member age 18 to 61 who received Social Security, veterans benefits, or other government benefits as a result of a disability. Due to changes in the FSPQC data in 2003, the definition of a disabled household changed again to households either with SSI income or a medical expense deduction and without an elderly person, and households containing a nonelderly adult who does not appear to be working and who is receiving Social Security, Veteran's benefits, or Worker's compensation.

Note: Beginning with 2003, the weighting of the FSPQC data reflects adjustments to FNS' administrative counts to account for receipt of benefits in error or disaster assistance. Thus, any differences in levels of participation between 2003 and prior years will include both the true difference and the impact of the adjustments.

Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

Table A-26. Comparison of Average Nominal and Real Values of Key Food Stamp Household Characteristics for Fiscal Years 1989 to 2004

| Time Period | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Household Size (Persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Income (Dollars) |  | Net Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Countable Resources (Dollars) |  | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) |  | Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guidelines (Percent) |  |
|  | Nominal Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value }^{\text {c }} \end{gathered}$ | Nominal Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value }^{\text {c }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nominal } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value }^{\text {c }} \end{gathered}$ | Nominal Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value }^{\text {c }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nominal } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value }^{\mathrm{d}} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 1989 | 442 | 674 | 247 | 377 | 216 | 330 | 79 | 120 | 132 | 203 | 60 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 | 453 | 655 | 251 | 363 | 225 | 326 | 79 | 114 | 150 | 219 | 59 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 | 464 | 644 | 253 | 351 | 235 | 326 | 78 | 108 | 162 | 227 | 58 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 | 478 | 644 | 258 | 347 | 250 | 337 | 78 | 105 | 170 | 231 | 57 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 | 490 | 641 | 258 | 338 | 262 | 343 | 77 | 101 | 170 | 224 | 56 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 | 507 | 646 | 268 | 342 | 272 | 347 | 81 | 104 | 168 | 217 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 | 514 | 638 | 265 | 329 | 283 | 351 | 83 | 103 | 172 | 216 | 56 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 | 528 | 636 | 275 | 331 | 287 | 346 | 93 | 112 | 174 | 212 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 | 558 | 657 | 299 | 352 | 291 | 343 | 92 | 108 | 169 | 201 | 58 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 | 584 | 677 | 321 | 372 | 294 | 341 | 118 | 137 | 165 | 193 | 60 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 | 603 | 684 | 338 | 383 | 299 | 339 | 142 | 161 | 162 | 186 | 62 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 | 620 | 680 | 355 | 389 | 298 | 327 | 156 | 172 | 158 | 175 | 63 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2001 | 624 | 665 | 353 | 377 | 311 | 332 | 148 | 158 | 163 | 175 | 62 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2002 | 633 | 664 | 355 | 373 | 324 | 340 | 134 | 141 | 173 | 184 | 61 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2003 | 640 | 657 | 348 | 357 | 343 | 352 | 154 | 158 | 185 | 192 | 60 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2004 | 643 | 643 | 321 | 321 | 381 | 381 | 143 | 143 | 196 | 196 | 59 | 2.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Beginning in 2004, net income is not calculated for MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Some of the change in average total deductions and average net income between 2003 to 2004 may be attributable to two changes in the FSPQC database development process. First, we revised the way certain deductions are calculated to correct for inconsistencies and data entry errors. Second, since deductions are not used in their benefit determination, SSI-CAP participants in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from the average total deduction calculation beginning in 2004.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Real values are in constant 2004 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI-U for all items.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Real values are in constant 2004 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI-U for food at home.
Note: Beginning with 2003, the weighting of the FSPQC data reflects adjustments to FNS' administrative counts to account for receipt of benefits in error or disaster assistance. Thus, any differences in levels of participation between 2003 and prior years will include both the true difference and the impact of the adjustments.
Source of CPI-U values: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Source of nominal values: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

Table A-27. Comparison of Number of Food Stamp Participants by Gender and Age for Fiscal Years 1989 to 2004

| Table A-27. Comparison of Number of Food Stamp Participants by Gender and Age for Fiscal Years 1989 to 2004 |
| :--- |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Total participants may not equal the sum of male and female participants if there are persons whose sex was not coded on the file.
Note: Beginning with 2003, the weighting of the FSPQC data reflects adjustments to FNS' administrative counts to account for receipt of benefits in error or disaster assistance. Thus, any differences in levels of participation between 2003 and prior years will include both the true difference and the impact of the adjustments.

Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.
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Table B-1. Distribution of Participating Households, Individuals, and Benefits by State

| State | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10,070 | 100.0 | 23,486 | 100.0 | 1,978,371 | 100.0 |
| Alabama | 192 | 1.9 | 489 | 2.1 | 39,829 | 2.0 |
| Alaska .................. | 17 | 0.2 | 52 | 0.2 | 5,641 | 0.3 |
| Arizona ................... | 200 | 2.0 | 521 | 2.2 | 46,825 | 2.4 |
| Arkansas ................. | 137 | 1.4 | 334 | 1.4 | 27,638 | 1.4 |
| California ................ | 723 | 7.2 | 1,876 | 8.0 | 156,645 | 7.9 |
| Colorado .................. | 103 | 1.0 | 238 | 1.0 | 20,900 | 1.1 |
| Connecticut ............. | 100 | 1.0 | 201 | 0.9 | 17,100 | 0.9 |
| Delaware ................. | 23 | 0.2 | 56 | 0.2 | 4,407 | 0.2 |
| District of Columbia | 41 | 0.4 | 85 | 0.4 | 7,689 | 0.4 |
| Florida .................... | 563 | 5.6 | 1,177 | 5.0 | 98,346 | 5.0 |
| Georgia ................... | 344 | 3.4 | 823 | 3.5 | 73,259 | 3.7 |
| Guam ...................... | 7 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.1 | 3,914 | 0.2 |
| Hawaii .................... | 48 | 0.5 | 97 | 0.4 | 12,106 | 0.6 |
| Idaho ...................... | 35 | 0.3 | 87 | 0.4 | 7,201 | 0.4 |
| Illinois .................... | 467 | 4.6 | 1,080 | 4.6 | 96,522 | 4.9 |
| Indiana .................... | 219 | 2.2 | 519 | 2.2 | 45,417 | 2.3 |
| Iowa ........................ | 76 | 0.8 | 176 | 0.7 | 14,585 | 0.7 |
| Kansas .................... | 72 | 0.7 | 164 | 0.7 | 13,162 | 0.7 |
| Kentucky ................. | 226 | 2.2 | 540 | 2.3 | 44,549 | 2.3 |
| Louisiana ................. | 267 | 2.7 | 692 | 2.9 | 61,390 | 3.1 |
| Maine ..................... | 70 | 0.7 | 137 | 0.6 | 10,218 | 0.5 |
| Maryland ................. | 122 | 1.2 | 271 | 1.2 | 22,861 | 1.2 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 153 | 1.5 | 348 | 1.5 | 26,549 | 1.3 |
| Michigan ................. | 410 | 4.1 | 947 | 4.0 | 74,643 | 3.8 |
| Minnesota ................ | 113 | 1.1 | 232 | 1.0 | 18,922 | 1.0 |
| Mississippi .............. | 150 | 1.5 | 378 | 1.6 | 29,094 | 1.5 |
| Missouri ................. | 269 | 2.7 | 614 | 2.6 | 52,752 | 2.7 |
| Montana ................. | 32 | 0.3 | 79 | 0.3 | 6,852 | 0.3 |
| Nebraska ................. | 47 | 0.5 | 115 | 0.5 | 9,016 | 0.5 |
| Nevada ...... | 52 | 0.5 | 118 | 0.5 | 9,745 | 0.5 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 23 | 0.2 | 51 | 0.2 | 3,907 | 0.2 |
| New Jersey .............. | 172 | 1.7 | 365 | 1.6 | 31,140 | 1.6 |
| New Mexico ............ | 84 | 0.8 | 222 | 0.9 | 18,201 | 0.9 |
| New York ................ | 793 | 7.9 | 1,549 | 6.6 | 141,158 | 7.1 |
| North Carolina ......... | 315 | 3.1 | 751 | 3.2 | 63,206 | 3.2 |
| North Dakota ............ | 18 | 0.2 | 41 | 0.2 | 3,412 | 0.2 |
| Ohio ........................ | 409 | 4.1 | 944 | 4.0 | 79,023 | 4.0 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 161 | 1.6 | 382 | 1.6 | 29,641 | 1.5 |
| Oregon .................... | 206 | 2.0 | 421 | 1.8 | 35,843 | 1.8 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 426 | 4.2 | 976 | 4.2 | 79,614 | 4.0 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 34 | 0.3 | 77 | 0.3 | 5,869 | 0.3 |
| South Carolina ......... | 203 | 2.0 | 501 | 2.1 | 41,107 | 2.1 |
| South Dakota ............ | 21 | 0.2 | 55 | 0.2 | 4,686 | 0.2 |
| Tennessee ................ | 343 | 3.4 | 767 | 3.3 | 64,403 | 3.3 |
| Texas ...................... | 856 | 8.5 | 2,237 | 9.5 | 186,091 | 9.4 |
| Utah ........................ | 48 | 0.5 | 125 | 0.5 | 10,344 | 0.5 |
| Vermont ................. | 21 | 0.2 | 41 | 0.2 | 3,231 | 0.2 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 4 | 0.0 | 14 | 0.1 | 1,672 | 0.1 |
| Virginia .................. | 194 | 1.9 | 448 | 1.9 | 34,543 | 1.7 |
| Washington ............. | 213 | 2.1 | 439 | 1.9 | 36,927 | 1.9 |
| West Virginia ........... | 107 | 1.1 | 256 | 1.1 | 20,038 | 1.0 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 129 | 1.3 | 325 | 1.4 | 24,374 | 1.2 |
| Wyoming ................. | 10 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.1 | 2,167 | 0.1 |

[^25]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-2. Average Values of Selected Characteristics by State

| State | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Countable Income (Dollars) | Net <br> Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Countable Resources (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) | Certification <br> Period <br> (Months) |
| Total | 643 | 321 | 381 | 143 | 196 | 2.3 | 10.5 |
| Alabama ................ | 640 | 352 | 348 | 55 | 208 | 2.6 | 11.0 |
| Alaska .................... | 983 | 579 | 486 | 130 | 323 | 3.0 | 6.9 |
| Arizona ................... | 607 | 279 | 399 | 113 | 234 | 2.6 | 9.3 |
| Arkansas ................ | 584 | 333 | 321 | 98 | 201 | 2.4 | 14.0 |
| California ................ | 665 | 339 | 373 | 82 | 217 | 2.6 | 11.8 |
| Colorado .................. | 611 | 282 | 406 | 195 | 203 | 2.3 | 8.6 |
| Connecticut ............. | 595 | 287 | 379 | 96 | 170 | 2.0 | 12.1 |
| Delaware ................. | 748 | 377 | 432 | 0 | 191 | 2.4 | 7.6 |
| District of Columbia | 423 | 246 | 231 | 12 | 186 | 2.1 | 9.0 |
| Florida ..................... | 612 | 297 | 376 | 256 | 175 | 2.1 | 8.2 |
| Georgia ................... | 577 | 280 | 363 | 124 | 213 | 2.4 | 7.4 |
| Guam ...................... | 498 | 221 | 417 | 127 | 537 | 3.5 | 8.9 |
| Hawaii .................... | 704 | 414 | 326 | 204 | 254 | 2.0 | 11.6 |
| Idaho ...................... | 670 | 335 | 410 | 173 | 206 | 2.5 | 8.4 |
| Illinois ..................... | 549 | 267 | 359 | 92 | 207 | 2.3 | 11.1 |
| Indiana .................... | 642 | 289 | 419 | 165 | 208 | 2.4 | 8.4 |
| Iowa ........................ | 633 | 324 | 371 | 256 | 193 | 2.3 | 11.0 |
| Kansas .................... | 649 | 340 | 358 | 137 | 182 | 2.3 | 13.1 |
| Kentucky ................ | 616 | 334 | 344 | 153 | 197 | 2.4 | 11.1 |
| Louisiana ................. | 631 | 292 | 397 | 105 | 230 | 2.6 | 13.4 |
| Maine | 733 | 347 | 432 | 315 | 146 | 2.0 | 10.3 |
| Maryland ................. | 606 | 307 | 360 | 67 | 187 | 2.2 | 8.3 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 743 | 378 | 418 | 169 | 173 | 2.3 | 10.4 |
| Michigan ................. | 750 | 359 | 450 | 322 | 182 | 2.3 | 11.7 |
| Minnesota ................ | 593 | 364 | 232 | 189 | 168 | 2.1 | 11.7 |
| Mississippi .............. | 649 | 391 | 314 | 61 | 194 | 2.5 | 12.3 |
| Missouri ................. | 590 | 296 | 371 | 97 | 196 | 2.3 | 10.9 |
| Montana .................. | 621 | 297 | 396 | 448 | 212 | 2.4 | 11.9 |
| Nebraska ................ | 672 | 376 | 351 | 182 | 192 | 2.5 | 8.3 |
| Nevada ................... | 626 | 324 | 361 | 78 | 187 | 2.3 | 7.8 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 755 | 371 | 445 | 216 | 170 | 2.2 | 8.2 |
| New Jersey .............. | 642 | 288 | 393 | 65 | 181 | 2.1 | 8.5 |
| New Mexico ............ | 651 | 356 | 357 | 128 | 217 | 2.6 | 9.6 |
| New York ................ | 706 | 286 | 484 | 123 | 178 | 2.0 | 15.4 |
| North Carolina ......... | 622 | 320 | 367 | 118 | 201 | 2.4 | 7.3 |
| North Dakota ........... | 730 | 317 | 469 | 2 | 187 | 2.3 | 8.4 |
| Ohio ........................ | 650 | 313 | 397 | 151 | 193 | 2.3 | 7.8 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 644 | 373 | 334 | 122 | 184 | 2.4 | 12.0 |
| Oregon .................... | 623 | 292 | 410 | 35 | 174 | 2.0 | 8.8 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 674 | 328 | 398 | 233 | 187 | 2.3 | 11.7 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 706 | 372 | 365 | 68 | 174 | 2.3 | 11.2 |
| South Carolina ......... | 586 | 337 | 312 | 5 | 202 | 2.5 | 13.5 |
| South Dakota ............ | 651 | 320 | 424 | 171 | 225 | 2.6 | 14.5 |
| Tennessee ................ | 578 | 313 | 322 | 96 | 188 | 2.2 | 7.7 |
| Texas ...................... | 647 | 347 | 374 | 202 | 217 | 2.6 | 8.6 |
| Utah ........................ | 662 | 342 | 392 | 135 | 215 | 2.6 | 6.5 |
| Vermont ................. | 729 | 331 | 450 | 434 | 153 | 2.0 | 9.3 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 598 | 356 | 271 | 174 | 377 | 3.2 | 7.3 |
| Virginia .................. | 650 | 367 | 329 | 125 | 178 | 2.3 | 11.8 |
| Washington ............. | 658 | 290 | 417 | 61 | 173 | 2.1 | 9.1 |
| West Virginia ........... | 637 | 366 | 324 | 198 | 187 | 2.4 | 11.6 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 748 | 419 | 393 | 102 | 188 | 2.5 | 9.0 |
| Wyoming ................. | 740 | 373 | 417 | 282 | 213 | 2.7 | 5.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column.

[^26]Table B-3. Distribution of Participating Households by Poverty Status and by State

| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of the Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 50\% or Less |  | 51\%-100\% |  | 101\% or More |  |
|  |  | Number <br> (000) | Row Percent | Number (000) | Row Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Row Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10,070 | 3,978 | 39.5 | 4,874 | 48.4 | 1,219 | 12.1 |
| Alabama ................. | 192 | 76 | 39.8 | 95 | 49.7 | 20 | 10.5 |
| Alaska .................... | 17 | 6 | 34.9 | 8 | 44.4 | 4 | 20.8 |
| Arizona ................... | 200 | 99 | 49.4 | 78 | 39.1 | 23 | 11.5 |
| Arkansas ............. | 137 | 61 | 44.6 | 65 | 47.2 | 11 | 8.1 |
| California ................ | 723 | 296 | 41.0 | 371 | 51.3 | 56 | 7.8 |
| Colorado .................. | 103 | 43 | 41.6 | 49 | 47.4 | 11 | 11.0 |
| Connecticut ............. | 100 | 43 | 42.4 | 43 | 43.1 | 15 | 14.5 |
| Delaware ................. | 23 | 9 | 37.1 | 10 | 43.4 | 4 | 19.5 |
| District of Columbia | 41 | 27 | 64.9 | 12 | 29.6 | 2 | 5.5 |
| Florida ..................... | 563 | 200 | 35.5 | 302 | 53.6 | 61 | 10.9 |
| Georgia ................... | 344 | 158 | 45.8 | 151 | 43.7 | 36 | 10.4 |
| Guam ...................... | 7 | 5 | 67.6 | 2 | 26.0 | 0 | 6.4 |
| Hawaii .................... | 48 | 18 | 38.2 | 26 | 54.1 | 4 | 7.7 |
| Idaho ...................... | 35 | 12 | 34.6 | 19 | 54.9 | 4 | 10.5 |
| Illinois ..................... | 467 | 210 | 45.0 | 214 | 45.8 | 43 | 9.2 |
| Indiana .................... | 219 | 88 | 40.1 | 100 | 45.5 | 31 | 14.4 |
| Iowa ........................ | 76 | 32 | 42.0 | 35 | 46.0 | 9 | 12.0 |
| Kansas .................... | 72 | 26 | 35.9 | 38 | 52.4 | 8 | 11.7 |
| Kentucky ................ | 226 | 90 | 39.8 | 114 | 50.5 | 22 | 9.7 |
| Louisiana ................. | 267 | 109 | 40.9 | 133 | 49.7 | 25 | 9.4 |
| Maine ..................... | 70 | 16 | 23.2 | 39 | 55.7 | 15 | 21.1 |
| Maryland ................. | 122 | 56 | 45.4 | 53 | 43.1 | 14 | 11.5 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 153 | 52 | 34.0 | 76 | 49.4 | 26 | 16.7 |
| Michigan ................. | 410 | 136 | 33.1 | 197 | 48.1 | 77 | 18.9 |
| Minnesota ................ | 113 | 50 | 44.5 | 53 | 47.1 | 9 | 8.3 |
| Mississippi .............. | 150 | 55 | 36.8 | 83 | 55.2 | 12 | 8.0 |
| Missouri .................. | 269 | 123 | 45.6 | 113 | 41.9 | 34 | 12.5 |
| Montana ................. | 32 | 14 | 42.9 | 15 | 45.1 | 4 | 12.0 |
| Nebraska ................. | 47 | 18 | 38.7 | 22 | 46.4 | 7 | 14.9 |
| Nevada ................... | 52 | 20 | 37.9 | 26 | 50.0 | 6 | 12.0 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 23 | 6 | 24.4 | 13 | 56.1 | 4 | 19.5 |
| New Jersey .............. | 172 | 68 | 39.4 | 86 | 50.1 | 18 | 10.5 |
| New Mexico ............ | 84 | 38 | 45.9 | 37 | 44.6 | 8 | 9.5 |
| New York ................ | 793 | 216 | 27.2 | 459 | 57.8 | 119 | 14.9 |
| North Carolina ......... | 315 | 128 | 40.5 | 144 | 45.8 | 43 | 13.7 |
| North Dakota ........... | 18 | 6 | 32.4 | 9 | 49.1 | 3 | 18.5 |
| Ohio ........................ | 409 | 150 | 36.7 | 206 | 50.4 | 53 | 13.0 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 161 | 62 | 38.4 | 79 | 49.0 | 20 | 12.6 |
| Oregon ..................... | 206 | 88 | 42.8 | 78 | 38.0 | 40 | 19.2 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 426 | 154 | 36.2 | 225 | 52.9 | 46 | 10.9 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 34 | 13 | 38.7 | 17 | 49.9 | 4 | 11.4 |
| South Carolina ......... | 203 | 95 | 46.6 | 89 | 43.6 | 20 | 9.8 |
| South Dakota ........... | 21 | 9 | 42.4 | 9 | 45.2 | 3 | 12.5 |
| Tennessee ................ | 343 | 149 | 43.4 | 151 | 44.1 | 43 | 12.5 |
| Texas ...................... | 856 | 380 | 44.5 | 368 | 43.0 | 108 | 12.6 |
| Utah ........................ | 48 | 22 | 46.0 | 20 | 41.9 | 6 | 12.2 |
| Vermont ................. | 21 | 5 | 23.6 | 12 | 57.3 | 4 | 19.1 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 4 | 3 | 64.1 | 1 | 29.2 | 0 | 6.7 |
| Virginia ................... | 194 | 69 | 35.4 | 105 | 54.2 | 20 | 10.4 |
| Washington ............. | 213 | 83 | 38.7 | 105 | 49.1 | 26 | 12.2 |
| West Virginia .......... | 107 | 39 | 36.4 | 60 | 56.4 | 8 | 7.2 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 129 | 46 | 35.5 | 56 | 43.0 | 28 | 21.5 |
| Wyoming ................. | 10 | 3 | 33.0 | 5 | 53.1 | 1 | 13.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-4. Distribution of Participating Households by Shelter-Related Characteristics and by State

| State | Households With Shelter Deduction |  | Households at the Shelter Cap |  | Average <br> Monthly <br> Shelter <br> Expense <br> (Dollars) | Average <br> Monthly Shelter <br> Expense Among Households With Expense (Dollars) | Average Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6,861 | 68.1 | 1,269 | 12.6 | 405 | 483 | 254 |
| Alabama | 129 | 67.3 | 10 | 5.2 | 326 | 386 | 186 |
| Alaska .................... | 10 | 58.6 | 1 | 4.6 | 444 | 517 | 273 |
| Arizona ................... | 143 | 71.4 | 35 | 17.6 | 419 | 507 | 262 |
| Arkansas .................. | 81 | 58.8 | 9 | 6.5 | 309 | 392 | 203 |
| California ................ | 535 | 74.0 | 137 | 18.9 | 433 | 487 | 249 |
| Colorado ................ | 78 | 76.1 | 17 | 16.7 | 456 | 516 | 265 |
| Connecticut ............. | 73 | 72.7 | 16 | 16.1 | 457 | 538 | 287 |
| Delaware ................ | 17 | 73.1 | 4 | 16.4 | 475 | 555 | 273 |
| District of Columbia | 17 | 41.0 | 2 | 3.9 | 199 | 320 | 188 |
| Florida .................... | 425 | 75.6 | 66 | 11.7 | 424 | 485 | 250 |
| Georgia ................... | 236 | 68.5 | 38 | 11.0 | 364 | 462 | 235 |
| Guam ...................... | 3 | 47.4 | 0 | 2.1 | 141 | 195 | 131 |
| Hawaii .................... | 22 | 46.2 | 1 | 2.3 | 265 | 352 | 187 |
| Idaho ...................... | 27 | 76.8 | 7 | 18.8 | 437 | 497 | 243 |
| Illinois .................... | 322 | 69.1 | 49 | 10.4 | 375 | 484 | 242 |
| Indiana .................... | 164 | 75.2 | 34 | 15.7 | 452 | 540 | 276 |
| Iowa ........................ | 56 | 73.5 | 11 | 13.9 | 404 | 465 | 236 |
| Kansas | 54 | 74.9 | 8 | 10.7 | 388 | 431 | 215 |
| Kentucky ................ | 162 | 71.6 | 17 | 7.6 | 359 | 409 | 214 |
| Louisiana ................. | 186 | 69.6 | 30 | 11.1 | 393 | 494 | 256 |
| Maine ..................... | 57 | 81.8 | 9 | 12.9 | 521 | 588 | 284 |
| Maryland ................. | 86 | 70.6 | 15 | 12.0 | 386 | 453 | 232 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 117 | 76.1 | 23 | 15.2 | 542 | 585 | 309 |
| Michigan ................ | 308 | 75.2 | 73 | 17.8 | 529 | 609 | 308 |
| Minnesota ................ | 47 | 41.9 | 7 | 5.9 | 246 | 453 | 234 |
| Mississippi .............. | 76 | 50.6 | 7 | 4.6 | 307 | 369 | 189 |
| Missouri .................. | 189 | 70.0 | 35 | 13.0 | 373 | 445 | 235 |
| Montana ................. | 24 | 74.8 | 5 | 15.3 | 415 | 465 | 251 |
| Nebraska ................. | 33 | 70.7 | 5 | 10.9 | 384 | 422 | 211 |
| Nevada ........ | 35 | 67.6 | 5 | 9.6 | 383 | 471 | 241 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 18 | 77.5 | 4 | 18.8 | 574 | 612 | 313 |
| New Jersey .............. | 125 | 73.1 | 22 | 12.8 | 442 | 514 | 281 |
| New Mexico ............ | 54 | 64.4 | 10 | 12.4 | 352 | 414 | 222 |
| New York ................ | 472 | 59.5 | 148 | 18.6 | 494 | 598 | 377 |
| North Carolina ......... | 232 | 73.5 | 32 | 10.1 | 387 | 457 | 221 |
| North Dakota ............ | 14 | 77.2 | 3 | 17.1 | 471 | 516 | 285 |
| Ohio ....................... | 287 | 70.3 | 52 | 12.7 | 429 | 508 | 275 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 101 | 62.5 | 13 | 8.2 | 340 | 399 | 202 |
| Oregon .................... | 145 | 70.6 | 39 | 18.8 | 437 | 563 | 271 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 333 | 78.2 | 63 | 14.9 | 470 | 508 | 269 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 21 | 62.6 | 4 | 13.0 | 456 | 516 | 307 |
| South Carolina ......... | 98 | 48.2 | 10 | 4.9 | 274 | 353 | 196 |
| South Dakota ............ | 15 | 74.1 | 4 | 20.8 | 426 | 488 | 267 |
| Tennessee ................ | 210 | 61.2 | 29 | 8.6 | 326 | 416 | 214 |
| Texas ...................... | 498 | 58.1 | 71 | 8.3 | 332 | 412 | 204 |
| Utah ........................ | 34 | 70.9 | 9 | 17.8 | 430 | 508 | 245 |
| Vermont ................. | 18 | 84.3 | 4 | 17.4 | 564 | 609 | 310 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 2 | 38.5 | 0 | 4.0 | 165 | 219 | 115 |
| Virginia .................. | 123 | 63.1 | 16 | 8.0 | 336 | 394 | 201 |
| Washington ............. | 179 | 83.8 | 36 | 16.7 | 488 | 540 | 270 |
| West Virginia .......... | 73 | 67.9 | 7 | 6.7 | 351 | 398 | 201 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 88 | 68.3 | 18 | 14.3 | 443 | 519 | 247 |
| Wyoming ................. | 8 | 74.6 | 1 | 12.0 | 443 | 502 | 251 |

[^27]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-5. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Composition and by State

| State | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Single Adults with Children |  | Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5,472 | 54.3 | 1,741 | 17.3 | 2,310 | 22.9 | 3,450 | 34.3 | 804 | 8.0 |
| Alabama ................. | 118 | 61.3 | 26 | 13.7 | 56 | 29.4 | 88 | 46.0 | 10 | 5.4 |
| Alaska .................... | 11 | 60.6 | 2 | 11.1 | 3 | 16.4 | 5 | 29.9 | 1 | 5.8 |
| Arizona . | 132 | 65.9 | 21 | 10.6 | 35 | 17.8 | 65 | 32.7 | 13 | 6.7 |
| Arkansas .................. | 75 | 54.7 | 22 | 15.8 | 34 | 24.9 | 49 | 35.5 | 59 | 42.7 |
| California ................ | 569 | 78.7 | 26 | 3.6 | 10 | 1.3 | 249 | 34.4 | 40 | 5.5 |
| Colorado .................. | 57 | 55.5 | 16 | 15.8 | 21 | 20.7 | 37 | 36.2 | 5 | 4.8 |
| Connecticut ............. | 43 | 42.8 | 18 | 18.3 | 26 | 26.2 | 33 | 33.0 | 12 | 12.1 |
| Delaware ................ | 13 | 58.5 | 3 | 13.9 | 6 | 25.6 | 10 | 43.4 | 1 | 3.7 |
| District of Columbia | 18 | 43.6 | 6 | 14.6 | 8 | 18.3 | 15 | 36.8 | 9 | 22.9 |
| Florida .................... | 249 | 44.2 | 162 | 28.7 | 132 | 23.5 | 144 | 25.5 | 47 | 8.3 |
| Georgia ................... | 201 | 58.3 | 52 | 15.2 | 68 | 19.6 | 153 | 44.4 | 21 | 6.0 |
| Guam ...................... | 6 | 77.7 | 1 | 16.6 | 0 | 4.8 | 3 | 35.4 | 0 | 0.7 |
| Hawaii | 18 | 38.6 | 13 | 26.9 | 10 | 21.6 | 11 | 22.4 | 3 | 5.9 |
| Idaho ...................... | 21 | 58.9 | 5 | 13.3 | 9 | 26.6 | 11 | 31.6 | 1 | 4.0 |
| Illinois | 228 | 48.9 | 80 | 17.1 | 110 | 23.6 | 155 | 33.1 | 80 | 17.1 |
| Indiana .................... | 121 | 55.4 | 29 | 13.3 | 61 | 27.8 | 82 | 37.7 | 15 | 6.7 |
| Iowa ........................ | 41 | 54.8 | 10 | 13.5 | 19 | 25.3 | 26 | 34.9 | 3 | 3.7 |
| Kansas .................... | 36 | 49.8 | 11 | 14.6 | 22 | 30.0 | 22 | 30.6 | 3 | 3.7 |
| Kentucky ................. | 122 | 53.9 | 39 | 17.1 | 73 | 32.3 | 73 | 32.3 | 15 | 6.8 |
| Louisiana . | 158 | 59.3 | 37 | 13.9 | 64 | 24.0 | 117 | 43.7 | 43 | 16.2 |
| Maine ..................... | 27 | 38.2 | 16 | 23.0 | 24 | 33.9 | 15 | 21.6 | 8 | 11.7 |
| Maryland ................ | 61 | 50.2 | 20 | 16.6 | 30 | 24.2 | 49 | 39.6 | 3 | 2.1 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 84 | 55.1 | 22 | 14.6 | 51 | 33.2 | 67 | 43.8 | 6 | 3.6 |
| Michigan ................. | 213 | 51.9 | 59 | 14.5 | 119 | 29.0 | 148 | 36.0 | 13 | 3.1 |
| Minnesota ................ | 57 | 50.3 | 17 | 15.2 | 29 | 25.6 | 32 | 28.6 | 7 | 5.8 |
| Mississippi ............. | 88 | 58.9 | 28 | 18.9 | 45 | 30.0 | 62 | 41.3 | 8 | 5.2 |
| Missouri ................. | 145 | 53.8 | 39 | 14.6 | 62 | 22.9 | 100 | 37.0 | 21 | 7.8 |
| Montana ................. | 18 | 54.3 | 5 | 14.4 | 8 | 23.4 | 10 | 30.4 | 5 | 15.3 |
| Nebraska ................. | 27 | 56.8 | 7 | 14.2 | 12 | 24.9 | 17 | 36.4 | 3 | 5.6 |
| Nevada ................... | 26 | 49.1 | 12 | 22.8 | 13 | 25.9 | 15 | 29.3 | 2 | 3.4 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 12 | 50.3 | 4 | 16.0 | 8 | 36.3 | 9 | 37.0 | 1 | 2.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 81 | 47.2 | 40 | 23.1 | 38 | 22.2 | 55 | 31.8 | 10 | 5.7 |
| New Mexico ............ | 54 | 64.6 | 12 | 14.0 | 14 | 16.9 | 33 | 38.8 | 7 | 7.8 |
| New York ................ | 311 | 39.2 | 221 | 27.9 | 231 | 29.1 | 199 | 25.1 | 67 | 8.4 |
| North Carolina ......... | 178 | 56.5 | 60 | 19.1 | 76 | 24.3 | 126 | 40.1 | 16 | 5.2 |
| North Dakota ............ | 9 | 49.0 | 4 | 19.3 | 4 | 23.9 | 5 | 29.3 | 1 | 6.7 |
| Ohio ....................... | 208 | 50.9 | 67 | 16.4 | 123 | 30.1 | 141 | 34.4 | 22 | 5.5 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 89 | 55.1 | 28 | 17.1 | 38 | 23.7 | 55 | 34.0 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Oregon .................... | 86 | 42.0 | 29 | 13.9 | 40 | 19.6 | 47 | 22.9 | 38 | 18.6 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 202 | 47.5 | 84 | 19.6 | 127 | 29.9 | 132 | 31.1 | 42 | 9.8 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 19 | 57.5 | 6 | 17.3 | 9 | 26.2 | 15 | 43.7 | 2 | 6.3 |
| South Carolina ......... | 117 | 57.4 | 32 | 15.8 | 45 | 22.2 | 84 | 41.5 | 20 | 10.0 |
| South Dakota ............ | 12 | 59.8 | 3 | 16.6 | 5 | 22.1 | 8 | 36.1 | 2 | 7.4 |
| Tennessee ................ | 171 | 49.9 | 70 | 20.3 | 72 | 20.9 | 118 | 34.4 | 29 | 8.3 |
| Texas ...................... | 561 | 65.6 | 156 | 18.3 | 126 | 14.7 | 321 | 37.5 | 36 | 4.2 |
| Utah ........................ | 28 | 58.3 | 6 | 11.7 | 9 | 18.7 | 16 | 33.7 | 2 | 4.5 |
| Vermont .................. | 8 | 39.5 | 5 | 24.2 | 6 | 29.7 | 6 | 27.6 | 1 | 3.6 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 3 | 68.3 | 1 | 19.7 | 0 | 5.6 | 2 | 46.6 | 0 | 2.2 |
| Virginia .................. | 106 | 54.8 | 43 | 22.1 | 48 | 24.8 | 79 | 40.7 | 10 | 5.2 |
| Washington ............. | 93 | 43.7 | 31 | 14.4 | 61 | 28.4 | 52 | 24.6 | 17 | 7.8 |
| West Virginia .......... | 56 | 52.2 | 18 | 16.7 | 39 | 36.2 | 28 | 26.5 | 11 | 10.6 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 78 | 60.5 | 18 | 13.9 | 27 | 21.0 | 54 | 41.8 | 13 | 10.2 |
| Wyoming ................ | 6 | 62.2 | 1 | 11.7 | 3 | 26.3 | 4 | 40.6 | 0 | 4.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.

[^28]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-6. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Countable Income Sources and by State

| State | Households With Countable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANFa |  | GA |  | SSI |  | Social Security |  | Earned Income |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1,626 | 16.1 | 576 | 5.7 | 2,713 | 26.9 | 2,313 | 23.0 | 2,896 | 28.8 |
| Alabama .................. | 12 | 6.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 61 | 31.6 | 52 | 26.9 | 59 | 30.8 |
| Alaska ..................... | 4 | 23.4 | 4 | 24.2 | 2 | 13.4 | 3 | 16.5 | 6 | 35.6 |
| Arizona ................... | 33 | 16.3 | 9 | 4.6 | 35 | 17.6 | 30 | 15.0 | 72 | 36.0 |
| Arkansas .................. | 7 | 5.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 36 | 25.9 | 34 | 25.1 | 39 | 28.8 |
| California ................ | 420 | 58.1 | 70 | 9.7 | - | - | 29 | 4.0 | 210 | 29.0 |
| Colorado .................. | 3 | 2.7 | 24 | 23.7 | 22 | 21.6 | 24 | 23.4 | 33 | 32.5 |
| Connecticut ............. | 18 | 17.5 | 14 | 13.8 | 29 | 28.8 | 26 | 26.3 | 19 | 18.7 |
| Delaware ................. | 3 | 14.7 | 2 | 7.3 | 5 | 22.3 | 5 | 23.3 | 8 | 34.5 |
| District of Columbia | 13 | 32.6 | 2 | 4.0 | 8 | 18.9 | 7 | 17.7 | 4 | 8.6 |
| Florida .................... | 41 | 7.2 | 10 | 1.8 | 187 | 33.3 | 167 | 29.6 | 138 | 24.6 |
| Georgia ................... | 41 | 12.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 82 | 23.8 | 78 | 22.5 | 108 | 31.2 |
| Guam ...................... | 1 | 14.5 | 1 | 9.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 12.2 | 3 | 34.5 |
| Hawaii .................... | 9 | 19.7 | 5 | 11.3 | 14 | 29.9 | 11 | 23.7 | 13 | 26.6 |
| Idaho ...................... | 1 | 2.2 | 6 | 17.4 | 10 | 27.7 | 9 | 25.3 | 13 | 36.6 |
| Illinois .................... | 13 | 2.8 | 49 | 10.5 | 144 | 30.8 | 87 | 18.6 | 130 | 27.9 |
| Indiana ..................... | 43 | 19.7 | 0 | 0.1 | 52 | 23.8 | 59 | 27.1 | 68 | 30.9 |
| Iowa ....................... | 18 | 23.7 | 0 | 0.2 | 18 | 24.0 | 19 | 24.5 | 25 | 32.6 |
| Kansas .................... | 14 | 18.7 | 4 | 5.4 | 21 | 29.8 | 19 | 26.7 | 21 | 29.0 |
| Kentucky ................ | 29 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.1 | 84 | 37.3 | 60 | 26.3 | 60 | 26.4 |
| Louisiana ................. | 11 | 4.2 | 3 | 1.0 | 70 | 26.2 | 61 | 23.0 | 100 | 37.3 |
| Maine ..................... | 11 | 15.4 | 1 | 1.0 | 22 | 32.1 | 28 | 40.5 | 16 | 23.0 |
| Maryland ................. | 20 | 16.3 | 14 | 11.4 | 33 | 27.3 | 25 | 20.6 | 27 | 21.9 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 44 | 29.0 | 12 | 7.5 | 56 | 36.3 | 37 | 24.0 | 29 | 18.9 |
| Michigan ................. | 72 | 17.5 | 4 | 1.1 | 119 | 28.9 | 100 | 24.3 | 140 | 34.2 |
| Minnesota ................ | 30 | 26.6 | 8 | 6.9 | 36 | 32.3 | 24 | 21.0 | 25 | 22.6 |
| Mississippi .............. | 17 | 11.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 56 | 37.2 | 48 | 31.8 | 44 | 29.1 |
| Missouri ................. | 44 | 16.3 | 1 | 0.5 | 59 | 22.0 | 65 | 24.0 | 83 | 30.6 |
| Montana ................. | 5 | 14.0 | 0 | 0.5 | 7 | 22.4 | 8 | 24.4 | 11 | 32.8 |
| Nebraska ................. | 9 | 19.6 | 3 | 6.1 | 10 | 21.1 | 13 | 27.2 | 15 | 31.4 |
| Nevada ................... | 6 | 12.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 16 | 29.9 | 16 | 30.0 | 13 | 24.2 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 4 | 17.2 | 5 | 21.4 | 6 | 27.8 | 8 | 33.5 | 5 | 21.9 |
| New Jersey .............. | 34 | 19.9 | 29 | 16.9 | 55 | 31.9 | 40 | 23.1 | 33 | 19.4 |
| New Mexico ............. | 16 | 19.7 | 2 | 2.2 | 18 | 20.9 | 16 | 19.1 | 32 | 37.6 |
| New York ................ | 101 | 12.7 | 147 | 18.5 | 340 | 42.8 | 202 | 25.5 | 142 | 17.9 |
| North Carolina ......... | 24 | 7.7 | 3 | 1.0 | 81 | 25.9 | 92 | 29.3 | 89 | 28.2 |
| North Dakota ............ | 2 | 11.8 | 0 | 1.7 | 4 | 23.9 | 6 | 30.9 | 7 | 40.3 |
| Ohio ....................... | 63 | 15.3 | 12 | 2.8 | 135 | 33.1 | 93 | 22.8 | 114 | 27.8 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 8 | 5.0 | 30 | 18.8 | 41 | 25.3 | 41 | 25.6 | 51 | 31.4 |
| Oregon .................... | 12 | 5.9 | 17 | 8.1 | 35 | 17.2 | 47 | 22.8 | 71 | 34.7 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 79 | 18.6 | 39 | 9.1 | 152 | 35.6 | 110 | 25.8 | 107 | 25.2 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 14 | 42.0 | 1 | 2.2 | 11 | 31.7 | 8 | 22.7 | 6 | 17.4 |
| South Carolina ......... | 19 | 9.1 | 1 | 0.4 | 54 | 26.6 | 43 | 21.0 | 63 | 31.1 |
| South Dakota ............ | 2 | 9.4 | 0 | 1.3 | 5 | 24.4 | 5 | 24.2 | 7 | 35.8 |
| Tennessee ................ | 58 | 16.8 | - | - | 76 | 22.3 | 100 | 29.0 | 101 | 29.4 |
| Texas ...................... | 96 | 11.2 | - | - | 198 | 23.1 | 182 | 21.3 | 331 | 38.6 |
| Utah ........................ | 7 | 15.0 | 2 | 4.0 | 9 | 17.9 | 9 | 18.6 | 17 | 35.5 |
| Vermont .................. | 4 | 19.1 | 3 | 15.3 | 6 | 29.0 | 8 | 40.1 | 5 | 23.7 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 0 | 6.2 | 1 | 14.5 | - | - | 1 | 16.0 | 2 | 39.7 |
| Virginia .................. | 30 | 15.6 | 2 | 1.0 | 59 | 30.4 | 56 | 28.8 | 56 | 28.8 |
| Washington ............. | 38 | 17.9 | 27 | 12.6 | 64 | 30.0 | 44 | 20.6 | 52 | 24.3 |
| West Virginia ........... | 12 | 10.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 43 | 39.9 | 28 | 26.0 | 26 | 24.2 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 9 | 7.2 | 7 | 5.2 | 24 | 18.7 | 29 | 22.6 | 48 | 36.8 |
| Wyoming ................. | 1 | 6.4 | - | - | 2 | 22.1 | 3 | 26.9 | 4 | 41.6 |

[^29]${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-7. Average Values of Selected Countable Income Sources by State

| State | Average Countable Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANFb | GA | SSI | Social Security | Earned Income |
| Total ...................... | 383 | 251 | 445 | 580 | 851 |
| Alabama ................. | 198 | 136 | 447 | 540 | 863 |
| Alaska .................... | 635 | 305 | 455 | 670 | 1,167 |
| Arizona ................... | 301 | 267 | 460 | 590 | 866 |
| Arkansas .................. | 181 | 140 | 407 | 576 | 849 |
| California ................ | 560 | 253 | - | 654 | 786 |
| Colorado .................. | 334 | 205 | 381 | 564 | 845 |
| Connecticut ............. | 450 | 171 | 444 | 583 | 761 |
| Delaware ................. | 269 | 128 | 473 | 600 | 1,080 |
| District of Columbia | 385 | 275 | 471 | 548 | 808 |
| Florida .................... | 237 | 285 | 431 | 584 | 856 |
| Georgia ................... | 240 | 256 | 394 | 545 | 799 |
| Guam ...................... | 126 | 166 | 602 | 592 | 983 |
| Hawaii .................... | 507 | 370 | 452 | 597 | 898 |
| Idaho ...................... | 316 | 55 | 375 | 550 | 878 |
| Illinois .................... | 237 | 166 | 501 | 547 | 790 |
| Indiana .................... | 216 | 256 | 434 | 624 | 842 |
| Iowa ........................ | 347 | 218 | 393 | 593 | 742 |
| Kansas .................... | 311 | 160 | 420 | 572 | 830 |
| Kentucky ................. | 229 | 225 | 452 | 569 | 794 |
| Louisiana ................. | 237 | 242 | 412 | 571 | 798 |
| Maine ..................... | 416 | 26 | 363 | 626 | 1,003 |
| Maryland ................ | 373 | 172 | 466 | 583 | 971 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 506 | 295 | 512 | 580 | 926 |
| Michigan ................. | 453 | 243 | 430 | 640 | 921 |
| Minnesota ................ | 369 | 201 | 474 | 523 | 716 |
| Mississippi .............. | 146 | 125 | 396 | 550 | 823 |
| Missouri ................. | 253 | 256 | 402 | 601 | 807 |
| Montana .................. | 363 | 81 | 373 | 566 | 851 |
| Nebraska ................. | 341 | 188 | 374 | 623 | 856 |
| Nevada ................... | 293 | 438 | 401 | 583 | 925 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 497 | 200 | 418 | 611 | 1,011 |
| New Jersey .............. | 345 | 180 | 477 | 571 | 937 |
| New Mexico ............ | 332 | 270 | 400 | 564 | 880 |
| New York ................ | 437 | 389 | 524 | 590 | 842 |
| North Carolina ......... | 225 | 195 | 410 | 600 | 854 |
| North Dakota ............ | 362 | 315 | 343 | 579 | 860 |
| Ohio ....................... | 329 | 110 | 470 | 572 | 875 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 236 | 57 | 405 | 561 | 963 |
| Oregon .................... | 436 | 114 | 401 | 665 | 832 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 353 | 204 | 465 | 569 | 810 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 495 | 187 | 470 | 605 | 917 |
| South Carolina ......... | 163 | 205 | 432 | 529 | 848 |
| South Dakota ............ | 338 | 162 | 392 | 561 | 813 |
| Tennessee ................ | 179 | - | 392 | 619 | 760 |
| Texas ...................... | 168 | - | 377 | 520 | 905 |
| Utah ........................ | 387 | 247 | 400 | 584 | 926 |
| Vermont ................. | 491 | 127 | 395 | 617 | 766 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 306 | 205 | - | 502 | 925 |
| Virginia .................. | 282 | 193 | 411 | 574 | 861 |
| Washington ............. | 432 | 294 | 458 | 583 | 900 |
| West Virginia .......... | 373 | 401 | 463 | 533 | 855 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 509 | 477 | 532 | 642 | 937 |
| Wyoming ................. | 292 | - | 397 | 582 | 937 |

[^30]- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-8. Distribution of Participating Households by Earnings-Related Characteristics and by State

| State | Households with Earnings |  |  | Average Earned Income Deduction (Dollars) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Average Earnings (Dollars) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | All Households ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Households with Deduction |
| Total ......... | 2,896 | 28.8 | 851 | 50 | 171 |
| Alabama ............... | 59 | 30.8 | 863 | 53 | 172 |
| Alaska ................... | 6 | 35.6 | 1,167 | 83 | 233 |
| Arizona ................ | 72 | 36.0 | 866 | 62 | 173 |
| Arkansas ................ | 39 | 28.8 | 849 | 49 | 169 |
| California .............. | 210 | 29.0 | 786 | 45 | 157 |
| Colorado .................. | 33 | 32.5 | 845 | 55 | 169 |
| Connecticut ............. | 19 | 18.7 | 761 | 28 | 152 |
| Delaware ................. | 8 | 34.5 | 1,080 | 74 | 216 |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 8.6 | 808 | 14 | 161 |
| Florida .................. | 138 | 24.6 | 856 | 42 | 171 |
| Georgia ................... | 108 | 31.2 | 799 | 50 | 159 |
| Guam ...................... | 3 | 34.5 | 983 | 68 | 196 |
| Hawaii .................... | 13 | 26.6 | 898 | 48 | 179 |
| Idaho ...................... | 13 | 36.6 | 878 | 64 | 175 |
| Illinois .................... | 130 | 27.9 | 790 | 44 | 158 |
| Indiana .................... | 68 | 30.9 | 842 | 52 | 168 |
| Iowa ........................ | 25 | 32.6 | 742 | 48 | 149 |
| Kansas .................... | 21 | 29.0 | 830 | 48 | 166 |
| Kentucky ................. | 60 | 26.4 | 794 | 42 | 159 |
| Louisiana ................. | 100 | 37.3 | 798 | 59 | 159 |
| Maine ................... | 16 | 23.0 | 1,003 | 46 | 200 |
| Maryland ................. | 27 | 21.9 | 971 | 42 | 194 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 29 | 18.9 | 926 | 35 | 185 |
| Michigan ................ | 140 | 34.2 | 921 | 63 | 184 |
| Minnesota ................ | 25 | 22.6 | 716 | 41 | 193 |
| Mississippi .............. | 44 | 29.1 | 823 | 55 | 164 |
| Missouri .................. | 83 | 30.6 | 807 | 49 | 161 |
| Montana .................. | 11 | 32.8 | 851 | 56 | 170 |
| Nebraska ................. | 15 | 31.4 | 856 | 54 | 171 |
| Nevada ............... | 13 | 24.2 | 925 | 45 | 185 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 5 | 21.9 | 1,011 | 44 | 204 |
| New Jersey .............. | 33 | 19.4 | 937 | 36 | 187 |
| New Mexico ............ | 32 | 37.6 | 880 | 66 | 176 |
| New York ..... | 142 | 17.9 | 842 | 39 | 171 |
| North Carolina ........ | 89 | 28.2 | 854 | 48 | 171 |
| North Dakota ........... | 7 | 40.3 | 860 | 69 | 173 |
| Ohio ... | 114 | 27.8 | 875 | 48 | 175 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 51 | 31.4 | 963 | 60 | 192 |
| Oregon .................... | 71 | 34.7 | 832 | 58 | 166 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 107 | 25.2 | 810 | 41 | 162 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 6 | 17.4 | 917 | 32 | 183 |
| South Carolina ........ | 63 | 31.1 | 848 | 58 | 169 |
| South Dakota ............ | 7 | 35.8 | 813 | 58 | 162 |
| Tennessee ............... | 101 | 29.4 | 760 | 45 | 152 |
| Texas ...................... | 331 | 38.6 | 905 | 74 | 181 |
| Utah ........................ | 17 | 35.5 | 926 | 66 | 185 |
| Vermont .................. | 5 | 23.7 | 766 | 36 | 155 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 2 | 39.7 | 925 | 73 | 185 |
| Virginia .................. | 56 | 28.8 | 861 | 49 | 172 |
| Washington ............. | 52 | 24.3 | 900 | 53 | 180 |
| West Virginia ........... | 26 | 24.2 | 855 | 41 | 171 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 48 | 36.8 | 937 | 69 | 188 |
| Wyoming ................ | 4 | 41.6 | 937 | 78 | 188 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because the earnings deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 310,069 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this column.

[^31]Table B-9. Distribution of Entrant Households With and Without Expedited Service by State

| State | Total Entrant Households (000) | Entrant Households Eligible For and Receiving Expedited Service |  | Entrant Households Eligible For But Not Receiving Expedited Service |  | Entrant Households Not Eligible For Expedited Service |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$....... | 564 | 234 | 41.4 | 36 | 6.4 | 295 | 52.2 |
| Alabama ................ | 8 | 1 | 17.6 | 0 | 2.2 | 6 | 80.2 |
| Alaska .................... | 1 | 0 | 28.1 | 0 | 10.7 | 1 | 61.2 |
| Arizona ................... | 11 | 3 | 25.7 | 1 | 13.4 | 7 | 60.9 |
| Arkansas .................. | 9 | 3 | 33.7 | 1 | 11.2 | 5 | 55.1 |
| California ............... | 31 | 17 | 55.5 | 2 | 5.1 | 12 | 39.4 |
| Colorado .................. | 5 | 2 | 40.1 | 1 | 19.3 | 2 | 40.6 |
| Connecticut ............. | 5 | 2 | 35.5 | 0 | 9.0 | 3 | 55.6 |
| Delaware ................. | 2 | 1 | 46.6 | 0 | 11.2 | 1 | 42.1 |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 2 | 57.2 | 0 | 4.2 | 1 | 38.6 |
| Florida .................... | 30 | 11 | 36.2 | 1 | 4.2 | 18 | 59.6 |
| Georgia .................... | 26 | 9 | 33.3 | 0 | 1.3 | 17 | 65.4 |
| Guam ...................... | 0 | 0 | 45.0 | 0 | 9.0 | 0 | 46.0 |
| Hawaii .................... | 2 | 0 | 12.9 | 1 | 31.3 | 1 | 55.9 |
| Idaho ...................... | 2 | 1 | 39.1 | 0 | 6.5 | 1 | 54.4 |
| Illinois .................... | 22 | 8 | 37.9 | 1 | 4.3 | 12 | 57.8 |
| Indiana .................... | 10 | 4 | 39.8 | 1 | 14.1 | 5 | 46.1 |
| Iowa ........................ | 6 | 3 | 45.6 | 1 | 14.1 | 3 | 40.3 |
| Kansas .................... | 3 | 1 | 25.6 | 0 | 7.7 | 2 | 66.7 |
| Kentucky ................ | 12 | 6 | 48.6 | - | - | 6 | 51.4 |
| Louisiana ................ | 15 | 6 | 39.7 | 1 | 4.9 | 8 | 55.4 |
| Maine ..................... | 1 | 0 | 30.4 | 0 | 8.8 | 1 | 60.8 |
| Maryland ................. | 7 | 3 | 51.0 | 0 | 6.7 | 3 | 42.3 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 10 | 4 | 41.7 | 0 | 1.7 | 6 | 56.6 |
| Michigan ................. | 18 | 9 | 48.7 | 1 | 4.8 | 8 | 46.4 |
| Minnesota ................ | 4 | 2 | 48.2 | 0 | 7.9 | 2 | 43.9 |
| Mississippi .............. | 3 | 2 | 59.9 | 0 | 5.1 | 1 | 34.9 |
| Missouri ................. | 18 | 11 | 60.9 | 1 | 3.0 | 7 | 36.1 |
| Montana ................. | 2 | 1 | 41.7 | 0 | 3.0 | 1 | 55.4 |
| Nebraska ................. | 2 | 1 | 33.5 | 0 | 8.8 | 1 | 57.7 |
| Nevada ................... | 4 | 1 | 35.3 | 0 | 9.9 | 2 | 54.9 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 1 | 1 | 77.6 | - | - | 0 | 22.4 |
| New Jersey .............. | 6 | 3 | 40.5 | 1 | 19.1 | 3 | 40.4 |
| New Mexico ............ | 8 | 4 | 48.2 | 0 | 4.0 | 4 | 47.9 |
| New York ................ | 31 | 16 | 50.6 | 3 | 9.8 | 12 | 39.6 |
| North Carolina ......... | 20 | 13 | 66.4 | - | - | 7 | 33.6 |
| North Dakota ........... | 1 | 0 | 35.8 | 0 | 6.3 | 0 | 57.9 |
| Ohio ....................... | 22 | 7 | 30.1 | 2 | 9.9 | 13 | 60.0 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 13 | 7 | 55.0 | 1 | 6.4 | 5 | 38.6 |
| Oregon .................... | 8 | 5 | 59.7 | 1 | 7.2 | 3 | 33.1 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 20 | 6 | 31.6 | 1 | 6.1 | 12 | 62.4 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 1 | 0 | 29.0 | 0 | 6.8 | 0 | 64.2 |
| South Carolina ......... | 7 | 3 | 37.8 | - | - | 4 | 62.2 |
| South Dakota ............ | 2 | 1 | 36.2 | 0 | 6.0 | 1 | 57.8 |
| Tennessee ................ | 17 | 7 | 41.5 | 2 | 8.7 | 9 | 49.8 |
| Texas ...................... | 92 | 24 | 26.0 | 8 | 8.4 | 60 | 65.6 |
| Utah ....................... | 4 | 3 | 78.5 | 0 | 3.8 | 1 | 17.7 |
| Vermont .................. | 1 | 0 | 36.7 | 0 | 19.8 | 0 | 43.5 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 0 | 0 | 75.6 | - | - | 0 | 24.4 |
| Virginia .................. | 9 | 5 | 50.6 | 0 | 2.1 | 4 | 47.3 |
| Washington ............. | 16 | 9 | 59.8 | 0 | 1.1 | 6 | 39.1 |
| West Virginia .......... | 3 | 2 | 44.0 | - | - | 2 | 56.0 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 10 | 4 | 42.9 | 1 | 9.4 | 5 | 47.7 |
| Wyoming ................ | 1 | 1 | 52.2 | - | - | 0 | 47.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-10. Distribution of Participating Households by Race/Ethnic Origin of Household Head and by State

| State | Race/Ethnic Origin of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | African-American |  | Hispanic |  | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4,627 | 45.9 | 3,144 | 31.2 | 1,349 | 13.4 | 377 | 3.7 | 573 | 5.7 |
| Alabama ................. | 75 | 39.1 | 113 | 58.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 2 | 1.1 |
| Alaska .................... | 8 | 47.8 | 1 | 4.3 | 1 | 3.0 | 8 | 44.1 | 0 | 0.8 |
| Arizona ................... | 77 | 38.6 | 13 | 6.7 | 51 | 25.5 | 28 | 13.9 | 31 | 15.3 |
| Arkansas .................. | 79 | 57.2 | 55 | 40.1 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.9 |
| California ............... | 140 | 19.4 | 113 | 15.6 | 193 | 26.7 | 46 | 6.4 | 230 | 31.8 |
| Colorado .................. | 53 | 51.4 | 11 | 10.6 | 30 | 29.1 | 3 | 2.7 | 6 | 6.1 |
| Connecticut ............. | 36 | 35.5 | 29 | 28.5 | 33 | 33.3 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Delaware ................. | 10 | 41.7 | 11 | 47.6 | 1 | 5.5 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | 1.6 |
| District of Columbia | 2 | 4.6 | 39 | 93.4 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.8 |
| Florida .................... | 190 | 33.7 | 167 | 29.7 | 165 | 29.3 | 6 | 1.1 | 35 | 6.2 |
| Georgia ................... | 118 | 34.3 | 212 | 61.7 | 5 | 1.4 | 3 | 0.8 | 6 | 1.8 |
| Guam ...................... | 0 | 2.4 | - | - | 0 | 0.7 | 6 | 81.3 | 1 | 15.7 |
| Hawaii .................... | 13 | 26.4 | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 1.7 | 32 | 66.2 | 2 | 3.4 |
| Idaho ...................... | 28 | 81.2 | 0 | 0.6 | 3 | 7.2 | 1 | 3.4 | 3 | 7.5 |
| Illinois .................... | 178 | 38.2 | 223 | 47.8 | 37 | 8.0 | 13 | 2.8 | 15 | 3.2 |
| Indiana .................... | 150 | 68.4 | 57 | 26.0 | 6 | 2.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 6 | 2.8 |
| Iowa ........................ | 61 | 81.2 | 8 | 10.9 | 2 | 2.2 | 3 | 4.0 | 1 | 1.6 |
| Kansas .................... | 53 | 73.4 | 12 | 16.8 | 4 | 5.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 2 | 2.8 |
| Kentucky ................. | 193 | 85.5 | 30 | 13.1 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Louisiana ................. | 78 | 29.2 | 185 | 69.3 | 1 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Maine ..................... | 67 | 95.7 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Maryland ................. | 41 | 33.8 | 75 | 61.2 | 2 | 1.6 | 3 | 2.6 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 82 | 53.6 | 23 | 14.9 | 36 | 23.5 | 7 | 4.8 | 5 | 3.1 |
| Michigan ................ | 237 | 57.7 | 156 | 38.0 | 7 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.1 | 6 | 1.4 |
| Minnesota ................ | 67 | 59.4 | 27 | 23.6 | 3 | 2.5 | 15 | 13.2 | 2 | 1.4 |
| Mississippi .............. | 38 | 25.6 | 105 | 70.0 | 1 | 0.6 | - | - | 6 | 3.9 |
| Missouri ................. | 171 | 63.4 | 88 | 32.7 | 2 | 0.6 | 4 | 1.3 | 5 | 1.9 |
| Montana .................. | 24 | 75.6 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.0 | 7 | 21.9 | 0 | 1.0 |
| Nebraska ................. | 31 | 66.0 | 10 | 21.1 | 3 | 6.7 | 2 | 4.0 | 1 | 2.3 |
| Nevada ................... | 28 | 54.3 | 11 | 21.5 | 6 | 12.2 | 3 | 6.0 | 3 | 6.0 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 21 | 92.4 | 1 | 2.6 | 1 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 1.0 |
| New Jersey .............. | 46 | 26.9 | 66 | 38.3 | 45 | 26.2 | 6 | 3.3 | 9 | 5.3 |
| New Mexico ............ | 19 | 22.7 | 2 | 2.7 | 46 | 54.9 | 12 | 14.2 | 5 | 5.5 |
| New York ................ | 283 | 35.7 | 224 | 28.2 | 209 | 26.3 | 48 | 6.0 | 30 | 3.7 |
| North Carolina ......... | 133 | 42.1 | 159 | 50.6 | 3 | 1.0 | 8 | 2.4 | 12 | 3.8 |
| North Dakota ........... | 13 | 73.7 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.9 | 4 | 22.2 | 0 | 1.6 |
| Ohio ....................... | 253 | 61.9 | 130 | 31.8 | 11 | 2.7 | 3 | 0.6 | 12 | 2.9 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 109 | 67.6 | 31 | 19.0 | 4 | 2.7 | 15 | 9.5 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Oregon .................... | 167 | 81.0 | 9 | 4.4 | 9 | 4.6 | 9 | 4.6 | 11 | 5.4 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 239 | 56.2 | 135 | 31.8 | 38 | 9.0 | 10 | 2.4 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 18 | 53.0 | 3 | 10.0 | 8 | 24.4 | 3 | 7.9 | 2 | 4.7 |
| South Carolina ......... | 69 | 34.1 | 131 | 64.3 | 2 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.3 |
| South Dakota ............ | 12 | 59.0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.0 | 7 | 34.9 | 1 | 3.7 |
| Tennessee ................ | 224 | 65.2 | 112 | 32.8 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.5 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Texas ...................... | 214 | 25.1 | 200 | 23.4 | 345 | 40.3 | 13 | 1.5 | 84 | 9.8 |
| Utah ........................ | 36 | 74.7 | 1 | 2.5 | 5 | 10.5 | 4 | 7.6 | 2 | 4.7 |
| Vermont .................. | 19 | 87.8 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.7 | 2 | 9.5 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 0 | 2.5 | 3 | 72.4 | 1 | 22.8 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 1.9 |
| Virginia .................. | 90 | 46.2 | 93 | 48.1 | 3 | 1.4 | 4 | 2.3 | 4 | 2.0 |
| Washington ............. | 153 | 71.9 | 18 | 8.6 | 12 | 5.8 | 17 | 8.2 | 12 | 5.6 |
| West Virginia ........... | 100 | 93.7 | 6 | 5.2 | - | - | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 71 | 55.2 | 42 | 32.8 | 7 | 5.3 | 3 | 2.7 | 5 | 4.1 |
| Wyoming ................. | 8 | 75.9 | 0 | 2.2 | 1 | 8.7 | 1 | 11.3 | 0 | 2.0 |

[^32]- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-11. Distribution of Participants by Age and by State

| State | Preschool <br> Age Child |  | School Age Child |  | Nonelderly Adult |  | Elderly Adult |  | Unknown Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,967 | 16.9 | 7,830 | 33.3 | 9,765 | 41.6 | 1,919 | 8.2 | 4 | 0.0 |
| Alabama ................ | 81 | 16.6 | 175 | 35.8 | 205 | 41.9 | 28 | 5.7 | - | - |
| Alaska | 8 | 15.6 | 18 | 35.5 | 23 | 44.6 | 2 | 4.3 | - | - |
| Arizona .................... | 111 | 21.3 | 187 | 35.9 | 199 | 38.3 | 24 | 4.6 | - | - |
| Arkansas ................. | 56 | 16.7 | 105 | 31.4 | 150 | 44.9 | 23 | 6.9 | - | - |
| California ................ | 377 | 20.1 | 865 | 46.1 | 603 | 32.1 | 29 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Colorado .................. | 47 | 19.6 | 77 | 32.4 | 97 | 40.9 | 17 | 7.2 | - | - |
| Connecticut ............. | 28 | 13.7 | 60 | 29.7 | 94 | 46.6 | 20 | 10.0 | - | - |
| Delaware ................. | 10 | 18.7 | 18 | 32.8 | 24 | 42.3 | 3 | 6.2 | - | - |
| District of Columbia | 12 | 14.4 | 28 | 32.7 | 38 | 45.3 | 6 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Florida .................... | 181 | 15.4 | 352 | 29.9 | 463 | 39.4 | 180 | 15.3 | - | - |
| Georgia ................... | 134 | 16.3 | 287 | 34.9 | 345 | 41.9 | 57 | 6.9 | - | - |
| Guam ...................... | 6 | 23.2 | 11 | 42.8 | 7 | 28.1 | 1 | 5.8 | - | - |
| Hawaii .................... | 14 | 14.1 | 28 | 28.5 | 40 | 41.9 | 15 | 15.5 | - | - |
| Idaho ...................... | 19 | 21.5 | 27 | 31.2 | 36 | 41.8 | 5 | 5.5 | - | - |
| Illinois .................... | 184 | 17.0 | 345 | 32.0 | 464 | 43.0 | 86 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Indiana .................... | 97 | 18.6 | 163 | 31.5 | 228 | 43.9 | 31 | 6.0 | - | - |
| Iowa ........................ | 31 | 17.6 | 52 | 29.9 | 81 | 46.3 | 11 | 6.2 | - | - |
| Kansas .................... | 28 | 17.1 | 50 | 30.5 | 75 | 45.5 | 11 | 6.9 | - | - |
| Kentucky ................. | 80 | 14.9 | 155 | 28.7 | 261 | 48.3 | 44 | 8.2 | - | - |
| Louisiana ................. | 118 | 17.1 | 237 | 34.3 | 297 | 42.9 | 40 | 5.8 | - | - |
| Maine ..................... | 16 | 11.7 | 34 | 24.9 | 69 | 50.8 | 17 | 12.5 | - | - |
| Maryland ................. | 40 | 14.9 | 89 | 32.7 | 119 | 44.1 | 22 | 8.3 | - | - |
| Massachusetts .......... | 52 | 14.9 | 127 | 36.5 | 143 | 41.2 | 26 | 7.5 | - | - |
| Michigan ................. | 137 | 14.4 | 313 | 33.0 | 434 | 45.8 | 64 | 6.8 | - | - |
| Minnesota ................ | 37 | 15.8 | 78 | 33.6 | 98 | 42.3 | 19 | 8.3 | - | - |
| Mississippi .............. | 65 | 17.2 | 136 | 35.8 | 148 | 39.1 | 30 | 7.9 | - | - |
| Missouri ................. | 106 | 17.2 | 186 | 30.3 | 280 | 45.6 | 42 | 6.9 | - | - |
| Montana .................. | 14 | 17.9 | 23 | 29.0 | 37 | 46.9 | 5 | 6.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Nebraska ................. | 21 | 18.5 | 37 | 32.2 | 50 | 43.2 | 7 | 6.1 | - | - |
| Nevada .................... | 23 | 19.2 | 37 | 31.2 | 46 | 38.5 | 13 | 11.1 | - | - |
| New Hampshire ....... | 8 | 15.0 | 17 | 32.5 | 23 | 44.7 | 4 | 7.8 | - | - |
| New Jersey .............. | 57 | 15.5 | 120 | 32.7 | 143 | 39.2 | 46 | 12.6 | - | - |
| New Mexico ............ | 44 | 19.9 | 75 | 33.8 | 89 | 40.2 | 14 | 6.1 | - | - |
| New York ................ | 207 | 13.4 | 434 | 28.0 | 656 | 42.3 | 252 | 16.2 | - | - |
| North Carolina ......... | 129 | 17.2 | 257 | 34.2 | 300 | 39.9 | 65 | 8.7 | - | - |
| North Dakota .... | 6 | 15.3 | 12 | 29.9 | 19 | 45.6 | 4 | 9.2 | - | - |
| Ohio ........................ | 153 | 16.2 | 313 | 33.2 | 405 | 42.9 | 73 | 7.7 | - | - |
| Oklahoma ................ | 66 | 17.1 | 115 | 30.0 | 173 | 45.3 | 29 | 7.6 | - | - |
| Oregon .................... | 63 | 14.9 | 123 | 29.2 | 203 | 48.3 | 32 | 7.6 | - | - |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 134 | 13.7 | 314 | 32.1 | 436 | 44.7 | 92 | 9.5 | - | - |
| Rhode Island ............ | 13 | 16.7 | 27 | 35.1 | 30 | 39.7 | 6 | 8.4 | - | - |
| South Carolina ......... | 80 | 15.9 | 171 | 34.0 | 216 | 43.1 | 35 | 7.0 | - | - |
| South Dakota ............ | 10 | 18.0 | 19 | 34.3 | 22 | 40.9 | 4 | 6.8 | - | - |
| Tennessee ................ | 125 | 16.3 | 219 | 28.5 | 347 | 45.2 | 76 | 9.9 | - | - |
| Texas ...................... | 465 | 20.8 | 791 | 35.4 | 808 | 36.1 | 173 | 7.7 | - | - |
| Utah ........................ | 26 | 21.1 | 41 | 32.4 | 52 | 41.7 | 6 | 4.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vermont .................. | 6 | 13.4 | 10 | 25.2 | 20 | 47.3 | 6 | 14.1 | - | - |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 3 | 18.0 | 6 | 42.3 | 5 | 32.8 | 1 | 6.9 | - | - |
| Virginia .................. | 72 | 16.1 | 145 | 32.4 | 183 | 40.9 | 48 | 10.6 | - | - |
| Washington ............. | 67 | 15.2 | 126 | 28.8 | 211 | 48.1 | 34 | 7.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| West Virginia .......... | 35 | 13.5 | 75 | 29.1 | 127 | 49.7 | 20 | 7.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 64 | 19.6 | 113 | 34.7 | 129 | 39.8 | 19 | 5.9 | - | - |
| Wyoming ................. | 6 | 20.3 | 9 | 32.2 | 12 | 42.8 | 1 | 4.7 | - | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-12. Distribution of Participants by Citizenship Status and by State

| State | All Participants |  | U. S. Born Citizen |  | NaturalizedCitizen |  | Refugee |  | Other Noncitizen |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total | 23,486 | 100.0 | 21,954 | 100.0 | 608 | 100.0 | 216 | 100.0 | 693 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 |
| Alabama | 489 | 2.1 | 488 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Alaska | 52 | 0.2 | 49 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 2 | 0.2 | - | - |
| Arizona | 521 | 2.2 | 485 | 2.2 | 8 | 1.3 | 3 | 1.4 | 25 | 3.6 | - | - |
| Arkansas | 334 | 1.4 | 329 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.3 | - | - | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 9.5 |
| California .. | 1,876 | 8.0 | 1,640 | 7.5 | 82 | 13.5 | 39 | 17.9 | 111 | 16.0 | 5 | 32.9 |
| Colorado ........ | 238 | 1.0 | 226 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.6 | 3 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.7 |
| Connecticut ..... | 201 | 0.9 | 188 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.9 | 0 | 2.9 |
| Delaware ... | 56 | 0.2 | 54 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 |
| District of Columbia | 85 | 0.4 | 82 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Florida .............. | 1,177 | 5.0 | 966 | 4.4 | 87 | 14.4 | 38 | 17.8 | 85 | 12.3 | - | - |
| Georgia .... | 823 | 3.5 | 809 | 3.7 | 7 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.9 | - | - |
| Guam .... | 25 | 0.1 | 24 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Hawaii ................... | 97 | 0.4 | 84 | 0.4 | 8 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.6 | - | - |
| Idaho ..................... | 87 | 0.4 | 85 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | - | - |
| Illinois ... | 1,080 | 4.6 | 1,022 | 4.7 | 29 | 4.8 | 3 | 1.6 | 23 | 3.3 | 3 | 20.3 |
| Indiana | 519 | 2.2 | 516 | 2.4 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Iowa ....... | 176 | 0.7 | 171 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.3 | - | - |
| Kansas | 164 | 0.7 | 160 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.3 | - | - |
| Kentucky .... | 540 | 2.3 | 538 | 2.5 | - | - | 2 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Louisiana .. | 692 | 2.9 | 688 | 3.1 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | - | - |
| Maine | 137 | 0.6 | 132 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Maryland ..... | 271 | 1.2 | 251 | 1.1 | 12 | 2.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.7 | 1 | 4.3 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 348 | 1.5 | 307 | 1.4 | 14 | 2.3 | 5 | 2.1 | 22 | 3.2 | 0 | 3.2 |
| Michigan ....... | 947 | 4.0 | 913 | 4.2 | 15 | 2.4 | 4 | 2.0 | 15 | 2.2 | - | - |
| Minnesota .... | 232 | 1.0 | 206 | 0.9 | 9 | 1.4 | 12 | 5.6 | 6 | 0.8 | - | - |
| Mississippi .... | 378 | 1.6 | 377 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Missouri ........ | 614 | 2.6 | 602 | 2.7 | 4 | 0.6 | 5 | 2.2 | 4 | 0.5 | - | - |
| Montana | 79 | 0.3 | 77 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.2 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Nebraska | 115 | 0.5 | 110 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Nevada ..... | 118 | 0.5 | 109 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.7 | - | - |
| New Hampshire ....... | 51 | 0.2 | 49 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.1 | - | - |
| New Jersey .............. | 365 | 1.6 | 318 | 1.5 | 22 | 3.6 | 4 | 2.0 | 20 | 2.9 | - | - |
| New Mexico ........... | 222 | 0.9 | 209 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.1 | 9 | 1.3 | - | - |
| New York | 1,549 | 6.6 | 1,247 | 5.7 | 154 | 25.2 | 13 | 6.1 | 135 | 19.5 | 1 | 5.0 |
| North Carolina ..... | 751 | 3.2 | 735 | 3.3 | 5 | 0.8 | 8 | 3.7 | 4 | 0.5 | - | - |
| North Dakota ..... | 41 | 0.2 | 40 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Ohio | 944 | 4.0 | 911 | 4.1 | 9 | 1.4 | 12 | 5.5 | 10 | 1.4 | 2 | 15.2 |
| Oklahoma | 382 | 1.6 | 376 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | - | - |
| Oregon ............ | 421 | 1.8 | 397 | 1.8 | 6 | 1.0 | 7 | 3.3 | 10 | 1.5 | - | - |
| Pennsylvania .......... | 976 | 4.2 | 936 | 4.3 | 21 | 3.4 | 4 | 2.0 | 15 | 2.1 | 0 | 2.8 |
| Rhode Island ..... | 77 | 0.3 | 68 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | - | - | 7 | 1.0 | - | - |
| South Carolina ....... | 501 | 2.1 | 497 | 2.3 | 1 | 0.2 | - | - | 3 | 0.4 | - | - |
| South Dakota ......... | 55 | 0.2 | 54 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Tennessee ....... | 767 | 3.3 | 758 | 3.5 | 4 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.2 |  | 0.6 | - | - |
| Texas ....... | 2,237 | 9.5 | 2,074 | 9.4 | 46 | 7.6 | 12 | 5.7 | 104 | 15.0 | - | - |
| Utah | 125 | 0.5 | 120 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Vermont | 41 | 0.2 | 41 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 14 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Virginia ................ | 448 | 1.9 | 432 | 2.0 | 8 | 1.3 | 4 | 1.7 | 4 | 0.6 | - | - |
| Washington ......... | 439 | 1.9 | 393 | 1.8 | 13 | 2.2 | 18 | 8.5 | 13 | 1.9 | 0 | 1.2 |
| West Virginia ..... | 256 | 1.1 | 256 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Wisconsin ......... | 325 | 1.4 | 317 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.4 | - | - | 6 | 0.9 | - | - |
| Wyoming ............... | 27 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |

[^33]Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-13. Distribution of Noncitizen ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Participants by Age and by State

| State | Total | Children |  | Nonelderly Adult |  | Elderly Adult |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Total | 909 | 177 | 19.5 | 501 | 55.2 | 231 | 25.4 |
| Alabama | 1 | - | - | 1 | 100.0 | - | - |
| Alaska ................................ | 2 | 0 | 22.6 | 1 | 70.6 | 0 | 6.9 |
| Arizona | 28 | 4 | 13.3 | 20 | 70.5 | 5 | 16.3 |
| Arkansas ................................ | 2 | 1 | 32.1 | 1 | 54.4 | 0 | 13.6 |
| California | 149 | 34 | 22.5 | 105 | 70.5 | 10 | 7.0 |
| Colorado ... | 9 | 3 | 29.8 | 4 | 47.6 | 2 | 22.6 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 1 | 15.4 | 4 | 55.1 | 2 | 29.5 |
| Delaware ............................... | 1 | 0 | 30.0 | 0 | 43.3 | 0 | 26.6 |
| District of Columbia ................ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 51.2 | 0 | 48.8 |
| Florida | 124 | 18 | 14.7 | 63 | 50.6 | 43 | 34.7 |
| Georgia .................................. | 7 | 1 | 15.1 | 4 | 50.0 | 2 | 34.9 |
| Guam ...................................... | 1 | 0 | 11.9 | 0 | 56.4 | 0 | 31.7 |
| Hawaii ................................... | 5 | 0 | 9.0 | 2 | 40.9 | 2 | 50.0 |
| Idaho .... | 2 | 1 | 35.4 | 1 | 53.8 | 0 | 10.8 |
| Illinois .. | 26 | 4 | 16.9 | 13 | 48.5 | 9 | 34.6 |
| Indiana .. | 1 | - | - | 1 | 58.8 | 0 | 41.2 |
| Iowa | 3 | 1 | 25.0 | 2 | 59.8 | 0 | 15.2 |
| Kansas .................................. | 2 | 0 | 19.3 | 1 | 49.3 | 1 | 31.4 |
| Kentucky | 2 | 1 | 28.9 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 21.1 |
| Louisiana ..... | 2 | 0 | 12.8 | 1 | 49.2 | 1 | 37.9 |
| Maine | 4 | 1 | 41.7 | 2 | 43.5 | 1 | 14.8 |
| Maryland | 7 | 2 | 27.9 | 3 | 40.1 | 2 | 32.0 |
| Massachusetts | 27 | 7 | 25.4 | 14 | 53.2 | 6 | 21.4 |
| Michigan | 19 | 1 | 4.6 | 10 | 50.8 | 9 | 44.6 |
| Minnesota | 18 | 5 | 30.7 | 9 | 48.2 | 4 | 21.0 |
| Mississippi ............................ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 100.0 | - | - |
| Missouri . | 8 | 1 | 16.2 | 5 | 56.5 | 2 | 27.2 |
| Montana ... | 1 | 0 | 18.2 | 0 | 72.9 | 0 | 8.9 |
| Nebraska | 4 | 1 | 36.1 | 2 | 52.9 | 0 | 11.0 |
| Nevada ... | 5 | 1 | 13.0 | 3 | 55.0 | 2 | 32.1 |
| New Hampshire ...................... | 2 | 1 | 47.9 | 1 | 44.1 | 0 | 8.1 |
| New Jersey ............................. | 25 | 3 | 14.1 | 11 | 43.7 | 10 | 42.3 |
| New Mexico ........................... | 9 | 1 | 15.4 | 6 | 65.1 | 2 | 19.4 |
| New York | 148 | 22 | 14.9 | 73 | 49.3 | 53 | 35.8 |
| North Carolina | 12 | 4 | 35.4 | 6 | 54.8 | 1 | 9.8 |
| North Dakota .......................... | 1 | 1 | 46.3 | 1 | 44.4 | 0 | 9.3 |
| Ohio . | 22 | 11 | 49.7 | 8 | 36.2 | 3 | 14.1 |
| Oklahoma .... | 4 | 1 | 19.0 | 3 | 73.5 | 0 | 7.5 |
| Oregon ..... | 18 | 4 | 21.9 | 11 | 60.1 | 3 | 18.1 |
| Pennsylvania ........................... | 19 | 4 | 19.6 | 10 | 52.1 | 5 | 28.2 |
| Rhode Island .......................... | 7 | 1 | 12.4 | 5 | 73.3 | 1 | 14.3 |
| South Carolina | 3 | 2 | 61.8 | 1 | 31.1 | 0 | 7.1 |
| South Dakota ..... | 0 | 0 | 28.2 | 0 | 57.8 | 0 | 14.0 |
| Tennessee ................................ | 5 | 0 | 8.3 | 3 | 57.6 | 2 | 34.1 |
| Texas .................................... | 117 | 16 | 13.5 | 67 | 57.8 | 33 | 28.7 |
| Utah | 4 | 1 | 22.1 | 2 | 58.8 | 1 | 19.1 |
| Vermont | 0 | - | - | 0 | 66.6 | 0 | 33.4 |
| Virgin Islands .......................... | 1 | 0 | 22.0 | 0 | 43.4 | 0 | 34.6 |
| Virginia .................................. | 8 | 2 | 26.4 | 3 | 42.7 | 2 | 30.9 |
| Washington ............................. | 32 | 12 | 38.2 | 14 | 43.3 | 6 | 18.5 |
| West Virginia .......................... | 0 | - | - | 0 | 100.0 | - | - |
| Wisconsin ............................... | 6 | 2 | 26.6 | 4 | 64.8 | 1 | 8.5 |
| Wyoming ................................. | 0 | - | - | 0 | 47.0 | 0 | 53.0 |

[^34]- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
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Table C-1. Fiscal Year 2003 HHS Poverty Income Guidelines ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 8,980$ | $\$ 11,210$ | $\$ 10,330$ |
| 2 | 12,120 | 15,140 | 13,940 |
| 3 | 15,260 | 19,070 | 17,550 |
| 4 | 18,400 | 23,000 | 21,160 |
| 5 | 21,540 | 26,930 | 24,770 |
| 6 | 24,680 | 30,860 | 28,380 |
| 7 | 27,820 | 34,790 | 31,990 |
| 8 | $+3,140$ | $+3,930$ | $+3,610$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ These numbers, upon which the fiscal year 2004 FSP gross and net monthly income eligibility standards are based, were issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). The Bureau of the Census establishes different poverty thresholds which are used primarily for statistical purposes.

Source: 68 Federal Register 26, February 7, 2003.

Table C-2. FSP Maximum Allowable Gross Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year 2004

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 973$ | $\$ 1,215$ | $\$ 1,120$ |
| 2 | 1,313 | 1,641 | 1,511 |
| 3 | 1,654 | 2,066 | 1,902 |
| 4 | 1,994 | 2,492 | 2,293 |
| 5 | 2,334 | 2,918 | 2,684 |
| 7 | 3,014 | 3,344 | 3,075 |
| 7 | 3,354 | 4,195 | 3,857 |
| 7 | +341 | +426 | +392 |
| Each Additional Member |  |  | 3,466 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fiscal year 2004 FSP gross monthly income limits are based on the 2003 poverty guidelines issued by HHS. (See Table C-1.) FNS derived the fiscal year 2004 gross income limits by multiplying the 2003 poverty guidelines by 130 percent, dividing the results by 12 and then rounding up to the nearest dollar. The 2003 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 2002 Census poverty thresholds, so the gross income limits applied to food stamp households in fiscal year 2004 are based on 2002 poverty measures.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Table C-3. FSP Maximum Allowable Net Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year 2004

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 749$ | $\$ 935$ | $\$ 861$ |
| 2 | 1,010 | 1,262 | 1,162 |
| 3 | 1,272 | 1,590 | 1,463 |
| 4 | 1,534 | 1,917 | 1,764 |
| 5 | 1,795 | 2,245 | 2,065 |
| 7 | 2,057 | 2,572 | 2,365 |
| 7 | 2,319 | 2,900 | 2,666 |
| 8 | +262 | +328 | +301 |

[^35]Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Table C-4. Value of Standard, Maximum Dependent-Care, and Excess Shelter Expense Deductions in the Continental United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year 2004

| Continental <br> U.S. | Alaska | Hawaii | Guam | Virgin Islands |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1-3$ people | $\$ 134$ | $\$ 229$ | $\$ 189$ | $\$ 269$ | $\$ 118$ |
| 4 people | 134 | 229 | 189 | 269 | 127 |
| 5 people | 149 | 229 | 189 | 298 | 149 |
| 6 or more people | 171 | 229 | 197 | 342 | 171 |
| Maximum Excess Shelter <br> Expense Deduction | 378 | 604 | 509 | 444 | 298 |

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

The Homeless Household Shelter Estimate is $\$ 143$.
The Maximum Dependent Care Deduction is $\$ 200$ for each dependent under age 2 and $\$ 175$ for each dependent age 2 or older.

Note: The benefit calculation procedures of certain state-specific programs do not apply all the deductions that are used in the federal FSP. The Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) only uses the earnings deduction. SSI Combined Application Projects (SSI-CAP) in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas do not use any deductions. Washington's SSI Combined Application Project only uses the standard deduction and the excess shelter deduction.

Table C-5. Value of Maximum Food Stamp Benefit in the Continental United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year 2004, ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Household <br> Size | Continental <br> U.S. | Alaska <br> Urban | Alaska <br> Rural I | Alaska <br> Rural II | Hawaii | Guam | Virgin <br> Islands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 141$ | $\$ 167$ | $\$ 213$ | $\$ 259$ | $\$ 210$ | $\$ 208$ | $\$ 182$ |
| 2 | 259 | 307 | 391 | 476 | 386 | 382 | 333 |
| 3 | 371 | 439 | 560 | 682 | 553 | 547 | 477 |
| 4 | 471 | 558 | 712 | 866 | 702 | 695 | 606 |
| 5 | 560 | 663 | 845 | 1,029 | 834 | 826 | 720 |
| 6 | 672 | 795 | 1,014 | 1,234 | 1,001 | 991 | 864 |
| 7 | 743 | 879 | 1,121 | 1,364 | 1,106 | 1,095 | 955 |
| 8 | 849 | 1,005 | 1,281 | 1,559 | 1,264 | 1,252 | 1,092 |
| +106 | +126 | +160 | +195 | +158 | +157 | +137 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The maximum benefit values are effective from October 1, 2003 to September 30, 2004 and are based on 100 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in the preceding June for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to the unusual nature of Alaskan terrain and climate, areas outside major urban centers are less accessible to food distributors. Therefore the value of the maximum benefit is adjusted to account for differences in the estimated cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in various regions. All regions of the state are classified as Rural I, Rural II, or Urban for this purpose.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
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## SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATES

The estimates in this report are derived from a sample of households selected for review as part of the FSP Quality Control System, an ongoing review of food stamp household circumstances. The Quality Control System is designed to determine (1) if households are eligible to participate and are receiving the correct benefit amount or (2) if household participation is correctly denied or terminated. The Quality Control System is based on a national sample of approximately 57,000 participating food stamp households and a somewhat smaller number of denials and terminations. The sample is stratified by month and by the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. Annual required state samples range from a minimum of 300 to 2,400 reviews, depending on the size of the state's caseload. State agencies select an independent sample each month that is generally proportional to the size of the monthly participating caseload.

## Target Universe

The target universe of this study included all participating households (active cases) subject to quality control review in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. ${ }^{1}$

While almost all participating food stamp households are included in the target universe, certain types of households not subject to review are not included. Specifically, the universe includes all households receiving food stamps during the review period except those in which the participants (1) died or moved outside the state; (2) received benefits through a disaster certification authorized by FNS; (3) were under investigation for FSP fraud (including those with pending fraud hearings) and/or were appealing a notice of adverse action; or (4) received restored benefits in accordance with the state manual, but were otherwise ineligible. The sampling unit within the universe each month is the active food stamp household as specified in FNS regulations.

## Data Editing

The estimates presented in this report are derived from the fiscal year 2004 FSPQC datafile, an edited version of the raw datafile generated by the Quality Control System. The raw fiscal year 2004 data are made up of monthly samples from October 2003 through September 2004.

Households that have an incomplete Quality Control review or that were found to be ineligible were dropped from the edited datafile. Of the 57,350 sample cases on the raw datafile, 3,162 were determined to not be subject to review. Two were dropped to correct for oversampling and one was dropped due to an out-of-range interview date (Table D-1). Of those cases subject to review, 4,109 were not completed because the household failed to cooperate, could not be located, or all members had died or moved. An additional 1,031 households were found to be

[^36]ineligible for a positive benefit. These 1,031 households were dropped from the datafile because data on their characteristics are not collected.

Failure to complete reviews for all cases subject to review can bias the sample results if the characteristics of unreviewed households are significantly different from those of reviewed households. While there are no direct measures of such differences, the ratio of completed reviews to total cases subject to review provides an indication of the magnitude of any potential bias. For fiscal year 2004, the completion rate is 92 percent.

Consistent measures of unit size, income, and benefit level are very important to any analysis of food stamp households. However, data for these measures are inconsistent for a number of records on the raw datafile. These inconsistencies can be rooted in the initial case record information, the transcription and data entry process, or the extraction of the food stamp information for the selected months. The raw data is edited to correct such inconsistencies and to insure that certain basic relationships between variables hold for almost all cases. For instance, except for households participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) or in an SSI Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP) in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, or Texas, a household's net countable income always equals the household's gross countable income minus the total deductions for which the household is eligible, and the food stamp benefit level always equals the household's maximum benefit minus 30 percent of the household's net countable income. Households participating in MFIP or SSI-CAP are subject to different eligibility and benefit determination rules and have been edited accordingly. Households for which the editing process was unable to resolve inconsistencies, a total of 239 , were dropped from the file.

## Weighting

The estimates for fiscal year 2004 in this report are based on a sample of 48,806 valid observations. The sample records have been weighted to replicate the monthly number of FSP units by state, as reflected in the FSP Program Operations data adjusted to eliminate those receiving disaster assistance benefits and those receiving benefits in error. A separate personlevel weight was not created, however.

## Comparison to Participation Data

Table D-2 compares the quality control sample-based estimates to aggregate program participation data for fiscal year 2004. ${ }^{2}$ Table D-3 compares the reported and calculated values for selected variables for fiscal year 2004.
${ }^{2}$ The Program Data are adjusted downward before the FSPQC sample is weighted to account for households receiving benefits in error or disaster assistance. These households are not represented in the FSPQC sample because data is not collected for them. The adjusted total of $10,070,318$ households is about two percent lower than Program Data figures. Although the FSPQC sample data are not weighted at the person-level, the sample number of participants should also be expected to be lower than the Program Data.

Table D-1. Number of Cases Sampled, Dropped From the Edited File, and Included on the Edited File, Fiscal Year 2004

|  | Fiscal Year 2004 <br> QC Sample |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of cases sampled | 57,350 |
| Cases not subject to review | 3,162 |
| Cases deselected to correct for oversampling | 2 |
| Case dropped due to date out of range (FY2005) | 1 |
| Cases subject to review | 54,185 |
| Incomplete cases | 4,109 |
| Cases completed | 50,076 |
| Households not eligible for a positive benefit | 1,031 |
| Households eligible for a positive benefit | 49,045 |
| Households dropped due to inconsistencies | 239 |
| Households on the final file | 48,806 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table D-2. Comparison of Program Data to Edited FSPQC Datafile, Fiscal Year 2004

| Average Monthly Value | Fiscal Year 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Program Data | Adjusted Program Data | Edited FSPQC Datafile |
| Number of households | 10,278,250 | 10,070,318 | 10,070,318 |
| Number of participants | 23,854,049 | 23,355,462 | 23,485,535 |
| Value of benefits | \$2,059,320,552 | 1,987,747,398 | \$1,978,371,466 |
| Average household size | 2.32 | 2.32 | 2.35 |
| Average benefit per person | \$86.03 | 85.11 | \$84.24 |

Sources: Fiscal Year 2004 Program Data and FSPQC datafile.
NA: Not available

Table D-3. Comparison of Calculated and Reported Values for Selected Variables of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 2004


Source: Fiscal Year 2004 FSPQC datafile.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 households participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) and 272,701 households participating in an SSI Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP) in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, or Texas are excluded from this comparison.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this comparison.
${ }^{c}$ Reported benefit adjusted for reported overissuance errors, underissuance errors, and prorated benefits.
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## SAMPLING ERROR OF ESTIMATES

The estimates of the characteristics of food stamp households in this report are based on a sample of households and, consequently, are subject to statistical sampling error. One indicator of the magnitude of the sampling error associated with a given estimate is its standard error. Standard errors measure the variation in estimated values that would be observed if multiple replications of the sample were drawn. The magnitude of the standard errors depends on: (1) the degree of variation in the variable within the population from which the sample is drawn; (2) the design of the sample, including such issues as stratification and sampling probabilities; and (3) the size of the sample on which the estimate is based. This appendix presents estimates of the standard errors associated with key statistics and outlines methods for estimating the standard errors of other statistics for which standard errors have not been directly calculated.

## Standard Errors

The standard error of an estimated proportion of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, based on a simple random sample is:
(1) $s_{p}=\sqrt{[p(1-p)(N-n)] /[(n-1) N]}$
where p is the weighted estimate of the proportion, N is the number of households in the population, and n is the sample size. ${ }^{1}$ The standard error of an estimated number of households, $s_{N}$, based on a simple random sample is:
(2) $S_{N}=N S_{p}$

These formulas for the standard errors of estimates based on a simple random sample do not necessarily apply to estimates derived from more complex samples, such as the stratified sample of the FSPQC. In this appendix, standard errors calculated using equations (1) and (2) are referred to as "naive standard errors." Standard errors can be estimated more accurately using Taylor series linearization.

[^37]The following discussion presents standard errors of selected estimates that were computed using the Taylor series method. It then presents a simple method for approximating standard errors of estimates for which individual standard errors have not been computed.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Households

The standard errors of selected estimates of food stamp households in fiscal year 2004 are shown in Table E-1. These standard errors can be used to compute confidence intervals for the estimated number of households with a particular characteristic. ${ }^{2}$ For example, the estimated number of food stamp households that receive the minimum benefit is 611,000 (Table A-1), and the corresponding standard error is 13,650 (Table E-1). Therefore, the 95 percent confidence interval extends from 583,700 to 638,300 . $^{3}$

For standard errors not shown in Table E-1, the approximate standard error, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}}$, of an estimated number of households for fiscal year 2004 can be calculated using equation (3):
(3) $S_{E}=S_{N} x d$
where $S_{N}$ is the naive standard error from equation (2) above, and $d$ is the square root of the design effect for the population subgroup and characteristic of interest, from Table E-2. The design effect reflects the loss of precision due to the different sampling rates in different strata of the FSPQC sample. It is the ratio of the variance computed by the Taylor series method (Table E-1) to the naive variance. ${ }^{4}$ When the population subgroup (for example, households with elderly) is listed in Table E-2, but the characteristic of interest (for example, zero net income) is not, use the average square root of the design effect for the subgroup, from the rightmost column of Table E-2. When neither the subgroup nor the characteristic is listed, use the average square root of the design effect for all FSP households, 1.64.

For example, to estimate the standard error of the number of households containing an elderly person with zero net income, the first step is to obtain the size of the estimate. As shown in appendix Table A-17, 174,000 elderly households have zero net income. The next step is to

[^38]calculate the naive standard error. Using equation (2), the value is $5,762 .{ }^{5}$ Multiplying 5,762 by the square root of the design effect (d), 2.24, from Table E-2 yields an estimated standard error of 12,907 (compared with the Taylor series standard error from Table E-1, 8,630).

## Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages

Comparing equations (1) and (2), it is apparent that the standard error of an estimated percentage of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, is equal to the standard error of the corresponding count of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$, divided by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentage. That is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}=S_{N} / N \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, appendix Table A-17 shows that, of the 5.5 million households with children, 495 thousand ( 9.0 percent) have no gross income. The standard error ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) of the number of households with children with no gross income is 12,520 (Table E-1). To calculate $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}$ the standard error of the corresponding percentage estimate, simply divide $S_{N}$ by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentage-in this case, 5.5 million households with children. The resulting standard error of the percentage estimate is 0.2 percentage points, and the corresponding 95 percent confidence interval extends from 8.6 to 9.4 percent, around the point estimate of 9.0 percent.

Equation (4) can also be applied to standard errors that are not shown in Table E-1. First, calculate the adjusted naive standard error of the number of households using equation (3). Then divide the resulting standard error by the size of the population that forms the base of the percentage. Returning to an earlier example-of the 1.7 million households with elderly individuals, 174 thousand ( 10.0 percent) have zero net income. Dividing the adjusted naive standard error (calculated above as 12,907 ) by 1.7 million yields an adjusted naive standard error of the percentage estimate of 0.8 percentage points.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Means

The standard errors for selected estimated means for fiscal year 2004 are provided in Table E-3. For example, the standard error of the mean gross income for all food stamp households in fiscal year 2004 is $\$ 2.82$ (Table E-3), and the mean itself is $\$ 643$ (Table A-20). Therefore, a 95 percent confidence interval extends from approximately $\$ 637$ to $\$ 649$.

Generalized approximation methods such as the one used in equation (3) work well for standard errors of estimated numbers and percentages, because the standard errors depend only on the

[^39]sample size, the estimated proportion, and the design effects. Generalized methods are less appropriate for standard errors of means because the standard error depends on the variance as well as the sample size and design effects. Nevertheless, a rough approximation of the magnitude of standard errors of means not included in Table E-3 can be obtained from Table E4. Table E-4 shows for each variable in Table E-3 the average, minimum, and maximum value of that variable's standard error as a percentage of the variable's mean value. These three values are shown for all food stamp households and for selected subgroups. The standard errors in Table E-4 include design effects.
Table E-1. Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2004

|  | Households (000) With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sample Size | Estimated Population (000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zero Gross Income |  | Minimum Benefits | Earned Income | Elderly Individuals | Children | School Age Children | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals |  |  |
| All FSP Households....... | 19.39 | 27.28 | 13.65 | 26.93 | 22.25 | 28.53 | 29.16 | 24.04 | 48,806 | 10,070 |
| With Elderly <br> Individuals | 3.82 | 8.63 | 9.48 | 5.54 | 22.25 | 6.36 | 6.13 | 4.46 | 8,176 | 1,741 |
| Without Elderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 19.11 | 26.60 | 10.15 | 26.66 | NA | 28.66 | 29.09 | 23.84 | 40,630 | 8,329 |
| With Children ..... | 12.52 | 21.11 | 5.37 | 25.52 | 6.36 | 28.53 | 29.16 | 16.17 | 26,270 | 5,472 |
| With School Age Children | 10.14 | 17.82 | 4.31 | 23.24 | 6.13 | 29.16 | 29.16 | 15.36 | 19,651 | 4,134 |
| Without Children .... | 15.79 | 21.41 | 12.64 | 12.39 | 21.59 | NA | NA | 20.04 | 22,536 | 4,598 |
| With Earnings .......... | NA | 12.54 | 6.30 | 26.93 | 5.54 | 25.52 | 23.24 | 8.47 | 14,200 | 2,896 |
| With Disabled <br> Nonelderly <br> Individuals $\qquad$ | 0.92 | 8.04 | 8.51 | 8.47 | 4.46 | 16.17 | 15.36 | 24.04 | 11,850 | 2,310 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors were estimated using the Taylor Series method.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
Table E-2. Square Root of Design Effects (d) for Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers or Percentages of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2004

| Base of Estimated Number | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average Square Root of Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zero Gross Income | Zero Net Income | Minimum Benefits | Earned Income | Elderly Individuals | Children | School Age Children | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals |  |
| All FSP Households ........ | 1.60 | 1.73 | 1.57 | 1.70 | 1.67 | 1.58 | 1.69 | 1.57 | 1.64 |
| With Elderly <br> Individuals. | 2.09 | 2.24 | 1.69 | 1.89 | NA | 1.79 | 1.81 | 1.72 | 1.89 |
| Without Elderly Individuals. | 1.65 | 1.86 | 1.69 | 1.86 | NA | 2.10 | 1.98 | 1.69 | 1.83 |
| With Children........... | 1.67 | 1.99 | 2.01 | 2.32 | 1.75 | NA | 4.04 | 1.73 | 2.22 |
| With School Age Children. | 1.67 | 2.01 | 1.91 | 2.52 | 1.77 | NA | NA | 1.80 | 1.95 |
| Without Children....... | 1.82 | 2.24 | 1.64 | 1.77 | 2.17 | NA | NA | 1.99 | 1.94 |
| With Earnings........... | NA | 1.98 | 1.86 | NA | 1.93 | 8.05 | 3.93 | 1.64 | 3.23 |
| With Disabled Nonelderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 2.10 | 1.94 | 1.76 | 1.76 | 1.86 | 2.48 | 2.36 | NA | 2.04 |

${ }^{a}$ The design effect is the ratio of the variance computed by the Taylor Series method to the naive variance for the specific cell of the table. The average square root of design effect for each row is a simple arithmetic average of the values for each cell in the row.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
Table E-3. Standard Errors of Estimated Means, Fiscal Year 2004 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Base of Estimated Mean | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross <br> Income | Net Income | Benefits | All <br> Deductions | Total Resources | Household Size | Certification Period | Earnings ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TANF ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SSI ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| All FSP Households.. | 2.82 | 2.23 | 0.85 | 1.52 | 6.96 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 5.86 | 3.65 | 2.99 | 1.14 |
| With Elderly Individuals | 3.76 | 4.11 | 1.20 | 3.77 | 27.59 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 32.71 | 18.49 | 5.27 | 3.56 |
| Without Elderly Individuals | 3.32 | 2.54 | 0.95 | 1.68 | 6.12 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 5.94 | 3.72 | 3.56 | 1.19 |
| With Children ...... | 4.41 | 3.50 | 1.18 | 1.81 | 8.92 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 6.42 | 3.73 | 6.14 | 1.42 |
| With School Age Children $\qquad$ | 5.19 | 4.22 | 1.44 | 2.11 | 4.12 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 7.59 | 4.80 | 6.75 | 1.68 |
| Without Children . | 2.75 | 2.08 | 0.54 | 2.60 | 10.96 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 9.38 | 14.74 | 3.32 | 1.93 |
| With Earnings ...... | 5.90 | 4.98 | 1.61 | 2.37 | 16.48 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 5.86 | 8.38 | 9.01 | 1.79 |
| With Disabled Nonelderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 4.15 | 4.53 | 1.52 | 4.43 | 5.98 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 17.39 | 7.09 | 3.59 | 2.89 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Standard errors were estimated using the Taylor Ser ies method.
Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table E-4. Range of Standard Errors of Mean Amounts Expressed as a Percentage of the Mean Amount, Fiscal Year 2004 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Number of Households <br> in Base of Mean <br> (Thousands) | Standard Error as <br> Percent of Mean Amount |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Lowest $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Highest $^{\mathrm{d}}$ |  |
| 10,070 (All FSP Households) ........ | 0.9 | 0.4 | 4.9 |
| 1,741 (Households With Elderly Individuals) | 3.4 | 0.6 | 9.4 |
| 5,472 (Households With Children) | 1.2 | 0.2 | 7.5 |
| 2,896 (Households With Earnings) | 1.8 | 0.4 | 9.9 |
| 2,310 (Households With Disabled Nonelderly Individuals) | 1.5 | 0.5 | 4.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors from table E-3 and mean amounts from applicable text tables.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average standard error across all 11 variables in table E-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Lowest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in table E-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Highest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in table E-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.

Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

## APPENDIX F

## DATA COLLECTION INSTRUMENT
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## Quality Control Review Schedule

PRIVACY ACT/PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0584-0299. The time required to complete this collection is estimated to average 1.05 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. This report is required under provisions of 7 CFR 275.14. This information is needed for the review of State performance in determining recipient eligibility. This information is used to determine State compliance, and failure to report may result in a finding of non-compliance.

## Section 1 - Review Summary



## Section 2- Detailed Error Findings

| 12. Element | 13. Nature | 14. Cause | 15. Error Finding | 16. Error Amount | 17. Discovery | 18. Verified | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19. Occurrence } \\ & \text { a. Date } \end{aligned}$ | b.Time Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 |  | $1 \times 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1-1 |  |
| $2 \quad 1$ |  | 1 |  | $1 \times 1$ | 1 | 1 | $1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1$ |  |
| $3 \quad$ | 1 | 1 |  | $1 \times 1$ | 1 | 1 | $1 \times 111$ |  |
| $4 \quad$ | 1 | 1 |  | 1-1, | 1 | $\perp$ | 1 + - 1 1 |  |
| $5 \quad 1$ | 1 | $\perp$ |  | $1 \quad 1 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 | + 1 |  |
| ${ }^{6} \quad 1$ | 11 | 1 |  | $1 \quad 1 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1-11 |  |
| $7 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 |  | $1 \times 11$ | 1 | 1 | $1+1$ |  |
| $8 \longdiv { 1 }$ | 1 | 1 |  | 1-1_1 | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |

## Section 3 - Household Characteristics



## Income:

35. Gross
36. Net

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |


|  |
| :--- |

## Deductions:

37. Earned Income


Additional Information on Shelter Costs:
38. Medical

43. Rent/Mortgage

39. Dependent Care

44. Use of SUA a. Usage b. Proration


45. Utilities (SUA or Actual)
$\square$
42. Homeless
$\square$

## Section 4 - Information on Each Household Members



You may record information on up to 16 individuals using additional pages.
FNS-380-1 (10-01-2003) Previous editions obsolete.

## Section 5 - Income Identified by Household Member



You may record income on up to 10 individuals by using additional pages.
Section 6 - Reserved Coding


Section 7 - Optional For State Use


## APPENDIX G
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Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2003. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition and Evaluation; 2004.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2002. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition and Evaluation; 2003.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2001. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition and Evaluation; 2003.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2000. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition and Evaluation; 2001.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 1999. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition and Evaluation; 2000.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 1998. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition and Evaluation; 2000.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 1997. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1999.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 1996. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1998.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 1995. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Consumer Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1997.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1994. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Consumer Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1996.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1993. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1995.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1992. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1994.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1991. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1993.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1990. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1992.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1989. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1991.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1988. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1990.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Winter 1988. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1990.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1987. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1990.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1986. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1988.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer 1985. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1987.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, August 1984. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, February 1983. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, August 1982. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, August 1981. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation; 1984.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, August 1980. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Policy, Planning, and Evaluation; 1981.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, November 1979. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Policy, Planning, and Evaluation; 1981.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, February 1978. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service; 1980.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, September 1976. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service; 1977.

Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, September 1975. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service; 1976.
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## INDEX

Able-bodied adults (see Nonelderly nondisabled childless adults)
Age
Assets, Countable (Countable resources)
Vehicles
Asset limit (Resource limit) 5,30
Asylees 6,27
19, 21, 22, 57, 58, 61, 75, 77
$3,5,7,27,34-37,49,52-54,60,66$
5, 6

Benefits $\quad 7,13,14,15,16,18,19,20,21,22,23,33,34,37,39,46,48,49,51-54,57,60,65,66,83$
Maximum 7, 8, 21, 23, 28, 33, 34, 42, 43, 45, 46, 51, 53
Minimum
$8,29,33,34,42,43,51,53,59$
Pro-rated
8, 21, 22, 57
Categorically Eligible Households
3, 27
Certification period (see also Expedited service, Recertification) 7, 27, 34, 46, 49, 52, 54, 66
Child support payment deduction
5, 27, 42-44, 51-54
Child support income
19, 38, 39
Children
21, 22, 27, 57, 58, 61, 75
Households containing $\quad 14,17,18,19,28,33-35,38,40,42-46,48-53,55,59,69$
Citizenship (see also Noncitizen)
$6,21,22,56,57,76$
Contributions 38, 39

Countable resources (see Assets)
Deductions
$4,13,21,23,27,30,34,39,42-45,49,51-54,60,66$
Child support payment deduction
Dependent care deduction
Earned income deduction
Excess shelter expense deduction
Medical deduction
Standard deduction
Deemed income

$$
5,27,42-44,51-54
$$

4, 28, 42-44, 51-54, 84
4, 28, 42-44, 51-54, 72
5, 28, 42-45, 51-54, 68, 84
5, 29, 42-44, 51-54
4, 30, 84

Dependent care deduction
4, 28, 42-44, 51-54, 84
Deportees
6,28
Disabled nonelderly individuals
Households containing $\quad 3,4,5,6,13,17,18,28,33,34,37,38,40-46,48-53,55,56,59,69$

Maximum benefit ..... $7,8,21,23,28,33,34,44,45,46,51,53$
Medical deduction5, 29, 42-44, 51-54
Metropolitan households ..... 29, 33, 34
Micropolitan households ..... 29, 33, 34
Minimum benefit$8,29,33,34,42,43,51,53,59$
Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) ..... 4, 29
Net income $4,7,13,18,21,23,29,33-37,39,42,43,49,51-54,59,60,66$
Net income screen ..... 4, 29, 83
Noncitizens ..... $6,8,9,21,22,57,76,77$
Households containing ..... 33, 34, 56
Asylees ..... 6,27
Deportees ..... 6,28
Lawful permanent resident noncitizens ..... 6, 28
Nonimmigrant visitors to the United States ..... 6, 29
Refugees ..... 6, 29
Nonelderly adults ..... 21, 22, 29, 57, 75, 77
Households containing ..... 22
Nonelderly nondisabled childless adults ..... 6, 10, 57
Households containing ..... 33, 34, 69
Nonimmigrant visitors to the United States ..... 6, 29Nonparticipating household head households29, 55, 74
Participants $1,9,13,21,22,33,48,57,58,61,65,75-77$
Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) ..... 6, 27, 29
Poverty, individuals in ..... 9, 10, 11
Poverty guidelines $3,4,5,13,14,15,16,29,33,35-37,60,67$
Preschool-age children
Households containing
Pro-rated benefitRace/ethnicity22, 29, 57, 75 33, 34, 37, 42, 43, 51-53
8, 21, 22, 57 55, 57, 74
Recertification47
Refugees ..... 6, 29
Resources (see Assets)
Resource limit (see Asset limit)
Rural30, 33, 34
School-age childrenHouseholds containing
Self-employment income38, 39
Sex (see Gender)
Shelter deduction (see Excess shelter expense deduction)
Single adult with children households
Single person households
Social Security
Standard deduction
State diversion payments
States
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)
Time limits
Thrifty Food Plan (TFP)
Unearned income
Unemployment compensation 38, 39
Vehicles 5,6
Veterans' benefits 38,39
Wage supplementation 38, 39
Wages 38, 39
Work registration 6,30
Workers' compensation 38,39


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The figures cited in this paragraph are based on FNS administrative records. The participant counts of 23.5 million people and 10.1 million households and the average monthly household benefit of $\$ 196$ cited later in this report and other figures provided throughout the report are estimates from the Food Stamp Program Quality Control (FSPQC) sample. For an explanation of the difference in the counts see Appendix D.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The total cost of the FSP in fiscal year 2004 also included $\$ 2.6$ billion in other costs, including the federal share of state administrative costs and employment and training programs, printing and processing, anti-fraud funding, and program evaluation.
    ${ }^{2}$ The FSP eligibility requirements include nonfinancial categorical criteria for certain groups. Specifically, some nonelderly nondisabled childless adults and some noncitizens were ineligible for food stamps in fiscal year 2004.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Federal poverty guidelines for many assistance programs are established annually by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. See Appendix C for a listing of the fiscal year 2004 FSP poverty guidelines and a description of how they are determined.
    ${ }^{2}$ Benefits for these categorically eligible households are determined according to the same rules used for other eligible households.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Some states have implemented higher asset limits by matching the rules of other programs' eligibility rules, as allowed by recent legislation.
    ${ }^{6}$ Vehicles used as a home, to produce income, to transport fuel or water, or to transport disabled people are exempt from the asset test.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ The equity test counts all equity--fair market value minus remaining liens--of the vehicle.
    ${ }^{8}$ In six of these states, almost all low-income households are eligible for a TANF/MOEfunded benefit that confers categorical eligibility.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ In 1993, the Act was amended to require that 1993 maximum benefit amounts in the contiguous United States remain constant at 1992 values despite a drop in the value of the TFP in June 1992. In 1995, legislation was adopted to freeze maximum benefit amounts for Alaska at their 1994 levels.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ FSP participation continued to rise early in fiscal year 2005, reaching 25.6 million in December 2004. Participation has remained high, exceeding 25 million, through April 2005.
    ${ }^{12}$ Cunnyngham, Karen. "Food Stamp Participation Rates: 2003." Alexandria, VA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 2005.

[^7]:    ${ }^{6}$ See Appendix Table C-1 for the poverty guidelines.
    ${ }^{7}$ This comparison assumes that program participants value their food stamp benefits at face value.
    ${ }^{8}$ See Appendix Tables A-3, A-6, A-8, A-11, A-12, A-14, A-15, A-16, A-17, A-18, A-19, A21, A-22, and A-23 for more details concerning these households.

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ In this report, we identify households containing a disabled nonelderly person as households with either nonelderly SSI recipients, a medical expense deduction and no elderly individuals, or nonelderly adults who do not appear to be working and who are receiving Social Security, Veteran's benefits, or Worker's compensation.
    ${ }^{10}$ These individuals apply for food stamps alone. Other people may be living in the household.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Real values are in constant fiscal year 2003 dollars. Fiscal year 2004 values were deflated by the change in the CPI-U for all items between fiscal year 2003 and fiscal year 2004 (2.7 percent).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Real values are in constant fiscal year 2003 dollars. Fiscal year 2004 values were deflated by the change in the CPI-U for food between fiscal year 2003 and fiscal year 2004 ( 3.8 percent).

    Source of CPI-U average values: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
    Source of nominal values: Fiscal Year 2003 and Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
    b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
    c Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    ${ }^{d}$ These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.
    e Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.

    - Not Applicable.

[^11]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    b Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.

    - No sample households in this category.

[^13]:    b Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^15]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent of households with deduction that receive the maximum.
    b Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^16]:    ${ }^{d}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    ${ }^{e}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas.

[^17]:    - By definition these are mutually exclusive categories; therefore, no households will be found in these categories.

[^18]:    ${ }^{a}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
    b Individuals with missing age were assigned child or adult status based on their relationship to the household head
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Because gender is missing for some individuals in the FSPQC sample, the sum of single-adult households headed by males plus the number headed by females may not add up to the total number of single-adult households.
    d Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households
    that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^19]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    b Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.
    ${ }^{d}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 310,069 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this category.
    e Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 310,069 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this category.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.

[^20]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^21]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.
    ${ }^{c}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 310,069 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this category.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 310,069 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this category.
    e Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 37,608 MFIP households and 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.

[^22]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that

[^23]:    ${ }^{a}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain

[^24]:    ${ }^{a}$ Percent of all participants.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Noncitizens may be inside or outside the food stamp unit.
    ${ }^{d}$ These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.

[^25]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^26]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 272,701 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column.

[^27]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Over households with a shelter deduction.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^28]:    c Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^29]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ This does not include households receiving a noncash benefit or a noncountable cash benefit (e.g. households participating in Minnesota's Family Investment Program who may not receive a cash TANF benefit.)

[^30]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average values are over households with income source.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This does not include households receiving a noncash benefit or a noncountable cash benefit (e.g. households participating in Minnesota's Family Investment Program who may not receive a cash TANF benefit.)

[^31]:    Source: Fiscal Year 2004 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

[^32]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Other includes Asian, American Indian and Unknown.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This category includes some households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.
    ${ }^{c}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^33]:    - No sample data in this category.

[^34]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, individuals in citizenship subcategories (such as permanent resident aliens and refugees) cannot be identified reliably at the state level. State citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and noncitizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in Characteristics of Food Stamp Households reports prior to the Fiscal Year 1999 edition.

[^35]:    ${ }^{a}$ The fiscal year 2004 FSP net monthly income limits are based on the 2003 poverty guidelines issued by HHS. (See Table C-1.) FNS derived the fiscal year 2004 net income limits by dividing the 2003 poverty guidelines by 12 and rounding up to the nearest dollar. The 2003 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 2002 Census poverty thresholds, so the net income limits applied to food stamp households in fiscal year 2004 are based on 2002 poverty measures.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Participating households in Guam and the Virgin Islands have been included in the target universe since fiscal year 1993. Prior to that year the universe excluded households in those areas.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ More precisely, $n$ is the sample size corresponding to the population that forms the denominator or "base" of the proportion being estimated. When the base is all food stamp households in fiscal year 2004, $n=48,806$. Sample sizes for selected demographic subgroups for fiscal year 2004 are shown in the sample size column of Table E-1. For subgroups not shown in Table E-1, the sample size can be approximated by multiplying the total sample size $(48,806)$ by the ratio of the subgroup population size to the total population size ( $N$ ). For fiscal year 2004, $\boldsymbol{N}=10,070$ thousand and there are 1,741 thousand elderly households. Hence the approximate sample size for elderly households in fiscal year 2004 would be calculated as $(1,741 / 10,070) \mathrm{x}$ $(48,806)=8,438$. In this case the approximation can be compared to the true elderly sample size of 8,176 , as shown in Table E-1.

[^38]:    ${ }^{2}$ A confidence interval is a range of values that will contain the true value of an estimated characteristic with a known probability. For instance, a 95 percent confidence interval extends approximately two standard errors above and below the estimated value for a characteristic, and 95 percent of all confidence intervals will contain the true value.
    ${ }^{3}$ Calculated as: $(611-(2 \times 13.65))=583.70$ and $(611+(2 \times 13.65))=638.30$.
    ${ }^{4}$ The variance and naive variance are the standard error and naive standard error squared, respectively.

[^39]:    ${ }^{5}$ Calculated as:

    $$
    1,741,000 \times \sqrt{[(174,000 / 1,741,000) \times(1-(174,000 / 1,741,000)) \times(1,741,000-8,176)] /[(8,176-1) \times 1,741,000]}=5,762
    $$

    where $1,741,000$ is the estimated population of elderly households, 174,000 is the estimated population of elderly households with zero net income, 8,176 is the sample size of elderly households (Table E-1), and 5,762 is the standard error.

