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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) provides millions of Americans with the means to purchase food for a nutritious diet. The FSP is the largest of the 15 domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). In an average month in fiscal year 2000, the FSP served approximately 17.2 million people. ${ }^{1}$ This report presents the characteristics of food stamp households nationwide in fiscal year 2000 (October 1999 to September 2000). This information on household characteristics comes from FSP household data for fiscal year 2000 collected by FNS for quality control purposes.

## FSP Participation and Costs

In an average month in fiscal year 2000, the FSP provided benefits to approximately 17.2 million people living in 7.3 million households across the United States. The total cost of the program over fiscal year 2000 was $\$ 17.1$ billion, $\$ 15.0$ billion of which were for food stamp benefits. The average monthly food stamp benefit in fiscal year 2000 was $\$ 158$ per household. Compared with fiscal year 1999, the number of FSP participants decreased by 6 percent and FSP benefit costs decreased by 5 percent.

## Characteristics of Food Stamp Households and Participants

In fiscal year 2000, slightly over half of all food stamp participants were children, 39 percent were nonelderly adults, and 10 percent were elderly people. About 68 percent of the children were school age, and 70 percent of adult participants were women.

Approximately 89 percent of food stamp households lived in poverty, as measured by the fiscal year 2000 federal poverty guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix D). Food stamp benefits were concentrated among poorer households- 33 percent of all food stamp households had a gross income less than or equal to half of the poverty guideline, and these households received 54 percent of all benefits. If the value of food stamps is included as income, 6 percent of all food stamp households moved above the poverty guideline as a result of receiving food stamps, and 16 percent moved from below to above half of the poverty guideline.

Of all food stamp households, 89 percent contained either a child or an elderly or disabled person, and these households received 91 percent of all benefits. Households with children received a relatively large average monthly food stamp benefit (\$234), reflecting their larger household size. The average household with children had 3.4 people compared with an average

[^0]of 2.3 people for all households. Most of the food stamp households with children were singleadult households. Almost half of these single-adult households with children received support from Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF). About 43 percent of all food stamp households with children had earned income; 39 percent of single-adult households with children and 65 percent of married-couple households with children had earnings. Twelve percent of all households with children had both TANF and earned income.

Households with an elderly member received a relatively small average monthly food stamp benefit ( $\$ 59$ ), reflecting their relatively small average size (1.3). Almost 80 percent of food stamp households with an elderly member consisted of an elderly person living alone. These individuals received an average monthly benefit of $\$ 44$ compared with average monthly benefits of $\$ 116$ for households with elderly people not living alone and $\$ 184$ for households without any elderly.

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) is a central component of America's anti-poverty program. The stated purpose of the FSP is "to permit low-income households to obtain a more nutritious diet by increasing their purchasing power" (The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, P.L. 95-113). The FSP is the largest of the domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). According to FNS administrative records, during fiscal year 2000, the FSP served approximately 17.2 million people in an average month at a total cost of $\$ 17.1$ billion, $\$ 15.0$ billion of which were for food stamp benefits.

The FSP is the only low-income assistance program available nationwide to essentially all financially needy households because it imposes few nonfinancial categorical criteria. ${ }^{1}$ The FSP provides benefits electronically or through coupons. These benefits can be redeemed for food in approximately 155,000 authorized stores across the nation.

Federal, state, and local governments share the costs and administration of the FSP. Congress authorizes the program and appropriates necessary funds. The Department of Agriculture establishes program regulations under the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended. FNS administers the FSP nationally, while state and local welfare agencies operate the program locally. The federal government fully funds the benefits of the FSP. Administrative costs are shared by the cooperating agencies, with FNS usually paying 50 percent of the costs.

Since food stamps are available to most people who meet the income and resource standards set by Congress, the FSP serves a broad spectrum of the needy population. Using FSP household data collected periodically for quality control review, FNS sponsors several analyses to enhance its understanding of the people served by the FSP. The agency also produces a series of reports to document these analyses (see Appendix H for a list of titles). This report presents a picture of households and individuals participating in the FSP in fiscal year 2000.

Chapter 2 provides an overview of the FSP, including the regulations used to determine eligibility and benefits, and the factors that affect program participation and costs, such as trends in the national economy. Chapter 3 describes the characteristics of individuals and households participating in the FSP in fiscal year 2000. The appendices include detailed tabulations of household and participant characteristics for the nation and by state; details of changes brought about by the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA), the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, and the Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 (AREERA); and a brief description of the sample design and the sampling error associated with the estimates presented in the report.

[^1]
## CHAPTER 2: AN OVERVIEW OF THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

The characteristics of food stamp households and the level of FSP participation change over time in response to legislative changes to the FSP as well as economic and demographic trends. This chapter explains FSP eligibility requirements, application procedures, benefit computation, and food stamp issuance. The chapter concludes with a summary of program participation and costs, including a discussion of how these costs are related to the economy in fiscal year 2000.

## PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, establishes uniform national eligibility standards for the FSP and defines the basic FSP unit as the "household." The eligibility criteria include gross and net income limits, an asset limit, and various nonfinancial criteria. There are exceptions to these criteria for certain high-cost areas, such as Alaska and Hawaii, and for certain individuals such as elderly people (age 60 and over) and people with disabilities.

## The Household

Under FSP rules, a household is defined as individuals who live in a residential unit and purchase and prepare food together. The income and assets of each household member are aggregated to determine eligibility and benefits. Individuals who live together in a residential unit but do not purchase and prepare food together can apply as separate household units and their income and assets are considered separately in eligibility and benefit determinations. People who are elderly and disabled and cannot prepare and purchase food because of a substantial disability may apply as a separate household as long as the gross monthly income of the remainder of their residential unit is less than 165 percent of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services poverty guidelines. ${ }^{1}$

## Income Eligibility Standards

Monthly income is the most important determinant of household eligibility. The majority of households that apply for food stamps must meet two income eligibility standards: a gross income standard and a net income standard.

[^2]As defined in the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, gross income includes most cash income (with the exception of specific types of income such as loans) and excludes most noncash income, or in-kind benefits. To be eligible for the FSP, a household without an elderly or disabled member must have a monthly gross income that is at or below 130 percent of the poverty guideline ( $\$ 1,810$ for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2000). Households with elderly or disabled members are not subject to the gross income standard. Net income is determined by subtracting deductions permitted under the FSP from monthly gross income. The FSP deducts the following from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at the net monthly income: ${ }^{2}$

- Standard Deduction. All households automatically receive a standard deduction, equal to $\$ 134$ in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2000. The standard deduction for outlying states and territories varies to reflect price differences between these areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix D).
- Earned Income Deduction. Households with earnings receive a deduction equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members.
- Dependent-Care Deduction. Households with dependents receive a deduction for expenses involved in caring for children and other dependents while other household members work, seek employment, or go to school. The maximum dependent-care deduction in fiscal year 2000 was $\$ 200$ per month per dependent under age 2 and $\$ 175$ per month per dependent age 2 or older (Appendix D).
- Medical Deduction. A medical deduction is available only to households that contain elderly or disabled members. These households can deduct combined out-of-pocket medical costs exceeding $\$ 35$ that are incurred on behalf of elderly or disabled members of the household. Medical expenses reimbursed by insurance or government programs are not deductible.
- Child Support Payment Deduction. Households can deduct legally obligated child support payments made to or for a nonhousehold member.
- Excess Shelter Expense Deduction. A household is entitled to a deduction equal to shelter costs (such as rent, mortgage payments, utility bills, property taxes, and insurance) that exceed 50 percent of its countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. The limit on the excess shelter expense deduction for households without elderly or disabled members was $\$ 275$ in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2000. Households that contain elderly or disabled members are entitled to subtract the full value of shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of their adjusted income. The limit on the excess shelter expense deduction
${ }^{2}$ There is a distinction between a household's deduction entitlement and the amount actually used to compute food stamp benefits. The entitlement is the deduction that a household receives if the total of allowable deductions is less than the household's gross income. Because net income cannot be less than zero, households with total deductions greater than their gross income can only claim a portion of their deduction entitlement.
for outlying states and territories varies to reflect price differences between these areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix D). ${ }^{3}$

To be eligible for the FSP, a household must have a net monthly income at or below 100 percent of the poverty guideline ( $\$ 1,392$ for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2000). The gross and net income eligibility standards vary by household size, as well as for residents of Alaska and Hawaii (see Appendix D). A household is exempt from these income tests (as well as the asset test described below) if all of its members receive General Assistance, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), or cash or in-kind Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) benefits. ${ }^{4}$

## Assets

The second most important determinant of FSP eligibility is a household's assets. Households are permitted up to $\$ 2,000$ in countable assets, or $\$ 3,000$ in countable assets if at least one member is age 60 or older. Countable assets include cash, assets that can easily be converted into cash (such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks or bonds, or lump-sum payments), and some nonliquid resources such as vehicles. However, certain types of property such as family homes, tools of a trade, or business property used to earn income are not counted.

Certain vehicles are also not counted as assets for the purpose of determining eligibility. Any vehicle used as a home, to produce income, or as a means to transport disabled people is not included. Vehicles used for other purposes are counted in the following way: for the first vehicle and any additional vehicles used to commute to work or qualifying job training programs, any fair market value exceeding $\$ 4,650$ is counted toward the asset limit; for all other vehicles, the higher of either any fair market value in excess of $\$ 4,650$ or any equity (fair market value minus remaining liens) is counted. ${ }^{5}$

[^3]
## Nonfinancial Eligibility Standards

The FSP has some nonfinancial eligibility standards, such as restrictions on the participation of students, strikers, and people who are institutionalized. In addition, the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) made most legal permanent resident aliens (PRAs) ineligible for benefits and imposed work registration requirements and time limits on able-bodied adults living in households without children.

PRAs with 40 quarters of work in the United States were exempted from the alien restrictions on FSP participation. Veterans and PRAs who were serving in the U.S. Armed Forces were also exempted along with their spouses and minor children. In addition, PRAs who were accorded refugee, asylee or deportee status were exempted from the restrictions for five years after they entered the country. Effective November 1, 1998, the Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 (AREERA) restored eligibility to PRAs who were in the United States when PRWORA was enacted and were over 65 on August 22, 1996, or are currently under age 18 or disabled. The Act also extended the eligibility period for refugees, asylees, and deportees from five to seven years.

Certain other aliens, such as Hmong or Highland Laotians, American Indians born in Canada, and battered spouses and children, may be eligible, as well. Additionally, PRAs who became naturalized U.S. citizens are eligible. ${ }^{6}$

Able-bodied adults living in households without children can receive benefits only if they work or participate in work-related activities. With certain exceptions, those who do not meet these work requirements are restricted to 3 months of food stamp benefits in any 36 -month period. Participants age 18 to 49 are subject to this time limit unless they meet one of the following conditions:

- People who are disabled
- People who are mentally or physically unfit for employment
- Women who are pregnant
- People needed in the home to care for an ill or incapacitated person
- Relatives or other caretakers of dependent children
- Students meeting FSP eligibility requirements
- People who work at least 20 hours per week
- People who receive unemployment compensation
- People complying with work requirements under another program
- People participating in a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program
- People participating in a work experience program

[^4]
## APPLICATION PROCEDURES

To apply for food stamps, individuals are required to appear in person at their local food stamp office. However, elderly and disabled people, and people who have transportation problems can be interviewed by telephone or at their home. All states must allow individuals to apply for food stamps when they apply for TANF or SSI benefits.

The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, requires that local offices process applications for food stamps within 30 days after they are received. However, applications from households with extremely low income or resources can be processed more quickly through the expedited food stamp eligibility verification procedures, allowing people to receive food stamp benefits within seven days after they apply. Those eligible for expedited service include (1) migrant or seasonal farm workers with assets equal to or less than $\$ 100$, and (2) households with gross income equal to or less than $\$ 150$ and assets equal to or less than $\$ 100$.

FSP participants are required to appear in person at their local food stamp offices periodically for recertification. The certification period varies according to the likelihood of a change in a food stamp household's financial circumstances. In fiscal year 2000, food stamp households were certified for food stamps for an average of almost 10 months.

## BENEFIT COMPUTATION

After a household is certified for food stamps, its monthly food stamp benefit is computed on the basis of its net monthly income, the benefit reduction rate, and the maximum food stamp benefit for its household size and location. The maximum benefit to which a household is entitled is based on the June cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) for a family of four, adjusted for household size and geographic areas outside the contiguous United States. The cost of the TFP is based on an economical and nutritious diet, adjusted for household size and composition. Maximum benefits are revised annually to reflect changes in the cost of the foods in the TFP. As specified in the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, the maximum benefit was 100 percent of the TFP through 1988, 100.65 percent in 1989, 102.05 percent in 1990, 103 percent from 1991 through 1996, and 100 percent of the TFP beginning in 1997. ${ }^{7}$ In fiscal year 2000 the maximum monthly benefit for a family of four in the contiguous United States was $\$ 426$ (Appendix D).

The benefit reduction rate is the rate at which benefits are reduced for every additional dollar of net income. The benefit reduction rate is 30 percent, reflecting the assumption that a household will spend 30 percent of its net income on food and that the FSP will provide the difference between that amount and the maximum benefit. Thus, benefits are reduced by 30 cents for every additional dollar of net income.

A household's monthly food stamp benefit is computed by subtracting 30 percent of its net income from the maximum benefit. If a household has zero net income, it receives the maximum

[^5]food stamp benefit. All eligible one- and two-person households are guaranteed a minimum benefit of at least $\$ 10$ per month (except during the initial month of participation). For new participants, benefits are prorated for the first month.

## FOOD STAMP ISSUANCE

State and local food stamp offices issue food stamp benefits in five main ways:

- On-Line Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT). The participant receives a "debit" card, similar to a bank card, which is used to purchase food at authorized retail stores. The household's monthly benefit is electronically transferred to an account created specifically for FSP benefits. When a purchase is made, the amount of the purchase is debited from the account.
- Off-Line Electronic Benefit Transfer. Two states (Ohio and Wyoming) issue "smart cards." Unlike on-line electronic benefit transfer cards, these cards contain food stamp benefit information in a chip on the card.
- ATP Card. An authorization-to-participate identification card is mailed to the participant each month; the participant then exchanges the card for food stamps at an authorized issuance office.
- Mail. State and local offices mail the food stamps directly to the participant.
- Manually. The participant goes directly to the food stamp office for coupons.

By the end of Fiscal Year 2000, EBT projects operated statewide in 37 states and in parts of five others. More than three-fourths of all food stamp benefits were issued through EBT.

## PROGRAM CHANGES SINCE THE PREVIOUS FISCAL YEAR

Effective July 1999, categorical eligibility was expanded to include households in which all members are authorized to receive benefits from means-tested programs funded over 50 percent by TANF and/or State Maintenance of Effort (MOE) funds. At a State's option, other programs that further the purposes of welfare reform may also be used to confer categorical eligibility. Thus, a family who leaves welfare to work but still receives transitional assistance, such as child support subsidies or transportation assistance, may still be considered categorically eligible for the FSP. States began implementing expanded definitions of categorical eligibility throughout Fiscal Year 2000.

## FSP PARTICIPATION AND COSTS

After declining slowly from 1983 through 1989, FSP participation grew substantially during the early 1990s. As illustrated in Figure 2.1, FSP participation increased by 37 percent from fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 1994. Since peaking at 28.0 million people in March 1994, the
number of FSP participants declined steadily through July 2000 . There were 17.5 million participants at the beginning of fiscal year 2000, 16.9 million in July 2000 and 17.0 million at the end of fiscal year 2000. Thus, FSP participation declined by 39 percent from the 1994 peak to the end of fiscal year 2000.

The decline in FSP participation was caused by several factors. Part of the decline is associated with the improved economy in the second half of the 1990's. Major economic indicators generally showed improvement from 1994 to 1998 (Table 2.1). However, participation fell more sharply than expected during this period of sustained economic growth. Recent research suggests that about a third of the total decline in FSP participation occurred because rising income and assets lifted people above the program's eligibility limits. Another eight percent of the decline reflects welfare reform's restrictions on the eligibility of non-citizens and limits on the time during which childless able-bodied unemployed adults can receive benefits. The remainder of the decline-just over half-occurred because fewer eligible people participated in the program. ${ }^{8}$

Total FSP costs decreased from $\$ 17.7$ billion in fiscal year 1999 to $\$ 17.1$ billion in fiscal year 2000. The reduction in costs occurred in part because of the reduction in the FSP caseload and in part because the average monthly benefit fell from $\$ 69$ per person in fiscal year 1999 to $\$ 68$ per person in fiscal year 2000. The total cost of the FSP in fiscal year 2000 included $\$ 15.0$ billion in benefits and $\$ 2.1$ billion in other costs, including the federal share of state administrative costs and employment and training programs, printing and processing, anti-fraud funding, and program evaluation.

[^6]Figure 2.1--Food Stamp Program Participants, Unemployed Individuals and Individuals in Poverty (1984-2000)

${ }^{a}$ Annual values. The number of individuals in poverty in Fiscal Year 2000 was not available when this report went to print. Source: Bureau of the Census, Poverty in the United States: 1999, Series P60-210
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average monthly values. Source: Food and Nutrition Service, Fiscal Years 1984-2000 FSP Participation and Issuance.

[^7]Table 2.1--Major Economic Indicators, Calendar Years 1989-2000

| Economic Indicator | Calendar Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| Real GDP Increase ${ }^{\text {a }}$........... | 3.5 | 1.8 | -0.5 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| Productivity Increase ${ }^{\text {b }}$........ | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 4.2 |
| Unemployment Rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$......... | 5.3 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| Inflation Rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$.................. | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Interest Rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$.................... | 9.3 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 7.6 |
| Individuals Below 100 <br> Percent of Poverty Line...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number in Thousands ... | 31,528 | 33,585 | 35,708 | 38,014 | 39,265 | 38,059 | 36,425 | 36,529 | 35,574 | 34,476 | 32,258 | NA |
| Percentage of Total <br> Population | 12.8 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 14.8 | 15.1 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 11.8 | NA |

${ }^{a}$ Percent change from preceding year.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percent change from preceding year in output per hour, business sector.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Unemployment rate for all civilian workers.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Percentage change from preceding year in the implicit price deflator for Gross Domestic Product.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Corporate Aaa bond yield.
NA: Fiscal Year 2000 Poverty Data was not available for this draft.

## Sources:

First line of data: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts.
Second line of data: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Major Sector Productivity and Costs Index."
Third line of data: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Fourth line of data: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts
Fifth line of data: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Sixth and Seventh lines of data: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Poverty in the United States.

## CHAPTER 3: CHARACTERISTICS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS AND PARTICIPANTS

The FSP serves the nutritional needs of a broad spectrum of low-income Americans. ${ }^{1}$ In an average month in fiscal year 2000, the FSP provided benefits to 17.1 million people living in 7.3 million households. ${ }^{2}$ Almost all food stamp households lived in poverty (according to the federal poverty guidelines for program eligibility in fiscal year 2000). The vast majority of food stamp households contained either a child (under age 18), an elderly person (over age 59), or a disabled person. The average food stamp household received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 158$, had an average gross monthly income of $\$ 620$, had an average net monthly income of $\$ 355$, and was entitled to an average total deduction of $\$ 298$ per month (Tables 3.4 and 3.6). ${ }^{3}$ The average household size was 2.3 people. Compared with fiscal year 1999, the number of FSP participants decreased by 6 percent and FSP benefit costs decreased by 5 percent. This chapter discusses the composition and economic status of food stamp households, the characteristics of food stamp participants, and the changes in the characteristics of food stamp households from fiscal year 1999 through fiscal year 2000.

## THE POVERTY STATUS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS ${ }^{4}$

The FSP provides benefits to households in need. In fiscal year 2000, the gross monthly income of 89 percent of food stamp households was less than or equal to 100 percent of the federal poverty guideline (Table 3.1). ${ }^{5}$ The gross monthly income of almost three-fifths of all food stamp households was less than or equal to 75 percent of the poverty guideline, and the income of one-third of all food stamp households was less than or equal to 50 percent of the guideline.

[^8]Table 3.1-- Distribution of Households and Their Benefits by Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Fiscal Year 2000

| Gross Income as a <br> Percentage of Poverty Guideline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percentage of: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Households | All Benefits |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 25\% or less | 16.8 | 26.9 |
| 26-50\% .. | 16.2 | 26.8 |
| 51-75\% | 25.3 | 26.3 |
| 76-100\% | 30.3 | 15.7 |
| 101-130\% | 10.4 | 4.1 |
| 131\% or more | 1.0 | 0.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 2000 poverty guidelines published by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix D).

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

The FSP effectively targets benefits to the most needy households; poorer households receive larger food stamp benefits than do households with more income. The 33 percent of all food stamp households that had a gross monthly income less than or equal to 50 percent of the poverty guideline in fiscal year 2000 received 54 percent of all benefits. In contrast, the 11 percent of households with a gross monthly income over the poverty guideline received only 4 percent of all benefits.

The impact of food stamps on a household's purchasing power is estimated by adding the dollar value of the food stamps to household income and examining the distribution of households by poverty status. ${ }^{6}$ As shown in Table 3.2, the combination of cash and food stamps yields a significantly different distribution of food stamp households by poverty status. Specifically, when food stamps are included in gross income, the resulting increase in income of food stamp households was enough to move 6 percent of them above the poverty guideline. Food stamp benefits had an even greater impact on the poorest food stamp households, moving 16 percent of them above 50 percent of the poverty guideline.

## HOUSEHOLDS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS ${ }^{7}$

The FSP effectively serves many households that contain people with special needs-children, elderly, or disabled people. In fiscal year 2000, 89 percent of all food stamp households contained a child, an elderly person, or a disabled person. These households received 91 percent of all food stamp benefits (Table A-17).

## Households with Children

In fiscal year 2000, the FSP served approximately 4.0 million households with children each month, representing more than half (54 percent) of all households (Table 3.3). These households constituted 86 percent of all food stamp households with earnings. Twelve percent of all households with children received a combination of TANF and earnings (Table A-6). Compared with other food stamp households, those that contained children received a relatively high average food stamp benefit of $\$ 234$ per month (Table 3.4). This relatively high benefit primarily reflects the fact that the average household size among food stamp households with children (3.4 people) was larger than the average household size among all food stamp households (2.3 people).

Children who received food stamps in fiscal year 2000 tended to live in households that were headed by a single adult. Sixty-eight percent of all food stamp households with children were headed by a single adult, representing 37 percent of all food stamp households (Table 3.3).

[^9]Table 3.2--Effect Of Food Stamp Benefits On The Poverty Status Of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2000

| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline ${ }^{a}$ | Distribution of Households in Relation to Poverty Guideline |  | Difference in Percentage Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Based on Cash Only | Based on Cash and Food Stamps |  |
| Total................................. | 100\% | 100\% | 0 |
| $50 \%$ or less........................ | 33.4 | 17.9 | -15.5 |
| 51-100............................. | 55.4 | 64.6 | 9.2 |
| 101 or more......................... | 11.2 | 17.5 | 6.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 2000 poverty guidelines published by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix D).

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.3-- Household Composition and Selected Characteristics of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 2000

| Households With: | All Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Earned Income |  | Social Security |  | TANF |  | General Assistance |  | SSI |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number $(000)$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$.................................................... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 1,870 | 100.0 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 395 | 100.0 | 2,324 | 100.0 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {b }}$............................................ | 3,955 | 53.9 | 1,707 | 85.6 | 360 | 19.3 | 1,842 | 97.4 | 37 | 9.3 | 622 | 26.8 |
| Single-Adult Household .......................... | 2,704 | 36.9 | 1,049 | 52.7 | 239 | 12.8 | 1,332 | 70.4 | 24 | 6.0 | 421 | 18.1 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ....................... | 867 | 11.8 | 525 | 26.3 | 112 | 6.0 | 290 | 15.3 | 12 | 2.9 | 192 | 8.3 |
| Married Couple Household ................... | 573 | 7.8 | 371 | 18.6 | 71 | 3.8 | 162 | 8.6 | 8 | 2.0 | 113 | 4.9 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household ........... | 294 | 4.0 | 154 | 7.7 | 42 | 2.2 | 128 | 6.7 | 4 | 1.0 | 79 | 3.4 |
| Children Only ....................................... | 382 | 5.2 | 132 | 6.6 | 9 | 0.5 | 220 | 11.6 | 2 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.4 |
| Unknown .............................................. | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Elderly ................................................... | 1,542 | 21.0 | 54 | 2.7 | 1,068 | 57.1 | 49 | 2.6 | 79 | 19.9 | 914 | 39.3 |
| Living Alone ......................................... | 1,226 | 16.7 | 23 | 1.2 | 866 | 46.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 62 | 15.7 | 723 | 31.1 |
| Not Living Alone ................................... | 316 | 4.3 | 30 | 1.5 | 202 | 10.8 | 48 | 2.5 | 17 | 4.2 | 191 | 8.2 |
| Disabled ................................................. | 2,017 | 27.5 | 202 | 10.1 | 836 | 44.7 | 379 | 20.1 | 79 | 20.0 | 1,634 | 70.3 |
| Living Alone ........................................ | 1,154 | 15.7 | 71 | 3.5 | 536 | 28.6 | 2 | 0.1 | 55 | 13.9 | 895 | 38.5 |
| Not Living Alone ................................... | 863 | 11.8 | 131 | 6.6 | 300 | 16.1 | 377 | 19.9 | 24 | 6.0 | 740 | 31.8 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {c }}$.................................. | 837 | 11.4 | 167 | 8.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 38 | 2.0 | 232 | 58.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Single-Person Household ........................ | 774 | 10.5 | 136 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 28 | 1.5 | 225 | 56.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Multi-Person Household ......................... | 64 | 0.9 | 31 | 1.6 | - | - | 9 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.8 | - | - |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Household composition categories are based on characteristics of participating individuals only, and do not factor in characteristics of nonparticipants who may live in the same household with the participants. For example, single-adult households have exactly one participating adult and at least one participating child, but may have one or more nonparticipating adult or an adult participating in a separate case not included in the FSPQC sample.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons.

- No sample households are found in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.4-- Average Values of Selected Characteristics by Household Composition, Fiscal Year 2000

| Households With: | Average Values |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross <br> Monthly Income (Dollars) | Net <br> Monthly Income (Dollars) | Monthly Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household <br> Size <br> (Persons) |
| Total | 620 | 355 | 158 | 2.3 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 727 | 436 | 234 | 3.4 |
| Single-Adult Household | 667 | 387 | 230 | 3.2 |
| Multiple-Adult Household | 1031 | 678 | 271 | 4.5 |
| Married Couple Household | 1081 | 715 | 269 | 4.6 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household | 933 | 606 | 276 | 4.4 |
| Children Only . | 465 | 233 | 174 | 2.1 |
| Unknown | 675 | 399 | 333 | 4.3 |
| Elderly | 617 | 356 | 59 | 1.3 |
| Living Alone | 564 | 308 | 44 | 1.0 |
| Not Living Alone | 821 | 546 | 116 | 2.4 |
| Disabled | 711 | 440 | 99 | 2.0 |
| Living Alone | 565 | 294 | 47 | 1.0 |
| Not Living Alone .............. | 906 | 635 | 169 | 3.3 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 192 | 57 | 121 | 1.1 |
| Single-Person Household | 170 | 45 | 116 | 1.0 |
| Multi-Person Household | 462 | 202 | 189 | 2.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Household composition categories are based on characteristics of participating individuals only, and do not factor in characteristics of nonparticipants who may live in the same household with the participants. For example, single-adult households have exactly one participating adult and at least one participating child, but may have one or more nonparticipating adult or an adult participating in a separate case not included in the FSPQC sample.
b Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Almost half (49 percent) of these single-adult food stamp households received TANF, 39 percent had earnings, and 16 percent received SSI. In addition, 15 percent received child support, and 6 percent had no income.

Eight percent of food stamp households contained married couples and children, representing 14 percent of all food stamp households with children. Of all married-couple households with children, 65 percent had earned income and 28 percent received TANF (Table 3.3).

The characteristics of married-couple households with children varied considerably from those of single-adult households with children. The average monthly food stamp benefit for singleadult households was lower than that of married-couple households ( $\$ 230$ versus $\$ 269$ ) due to the smaller size of single-adult households (Table 3.4). The per capita benefit was higher for people in single-adult households than for people in married-couple households ( $\$ 72$ versus $\$ 58$ ) in part because single-adult households were poorer. Single-adult households with children had a substantially lower gross monthly income (\$667 versus $\$ 1,081$ ).

## Households with Elderly People

In fiscal year 2000, the FSP served an average of 1.5 million households containing elderly people (age 60 or older) each month, representing 21 percent of all households (Table 3.3). These households received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 59$ per month and had an average household size of 1.3 people (Table 3.4).

Elderly people who received food stamps tended to live alone and thus received relatively small food stamp benefits. In fiscal year 2000, 80 percent of all food stamp households with elderly members were single-person households (Table 3.3). These households received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 44$ per month compared with $\$ 116$ in benefits for households with elderly people not living alone and $\$ 184$ for households without elderly members (Tables 3.4 and A-2). The average size of households containing elderly people not living alone was 2.4 people.

A majority of food stamp households that contained elderly people received SSI or Social Security income. In fiscal year 2000, 59 percent of all food stamp households with elderly members received SSI and 69 percent received Social Security (Table 3.3). Thirty-four percent of households with elderly members received both SSI and Social Security income (Table A-6). Food stamp households with elderly members represented 39 percent of all food stamp households with SSI and 57 percent of food stamp households with Social Security income.

## Households with Disabled People

In fiscal year 2000, the FSP served an average of 2.0 million households containing disabled people (Table 3.3). ${ }^{8}$ Households that contain disabled people represented 28 percent of all food stamp households and received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 99$ (Table 3.4).

About 57 percent of food stamp households that contained disabled people were single-person households (Table 3.3). Households containing a disabled person living alone received a lower average monthly food stamp benefit than did households containing disabled people not living alone ( $\$ 47$ compared with $\$ 169$ ) (Table 3.4). Once again, the difference in benefits between the two groups reflects differences in average household size. Disabled people who did not live alone lived in households with an average of 3.3 individuals.

## Other Households Served by the FSP

The FSP serves needy households other than those that contain children, elderly people, or disabled people. In fiscal year 2000, 11 percent of all food stamp households consisted solely of one or more nonelderly, nondisabled adults (Table 3.3). These households tended to be singleperson households ( 92 percent) and represented the majority ( 59 percent) of households that received General Assistance. They received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 121$ per month (Table 3.4).

## Single-Person Households

Of all food stamp households in fiscal year 2000, 43 percent were individuals who lived alone. These households received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 65$. Most of these individuals ( 62 percent) were female, and 39 percent were elderly. Compared with all food stamp households, a relatively small proportion of food stamp participants living alone had earned income ( 9 percent), and a relatively high proportion had zero gross income ( 12 percent) (Tables A-4, A-5, A-15, A-20, A-22 and A-29).

## CHARACTERISTICS OF FSP PARTICIPANTS

The FSP serves a broad spectrum of individuals. In fiscal year 2000, 51 percent of FSP participants were children (younger than 18 years old), and they received 53 percent of pro-rated FSP benefits (Table 3.5). Over two-thirds of the children served by the FSP were school age (age 5 to 17). Thirty-nine percent of participants were nonelderly adults (age 18 to 59), and 10 percent were elderly adults. Seventy percent of nonelderly adults and 71 percent of elderly adults were

[^10]Table 3.5 -- Food Stamp Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristics

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total .................................................... | 17,091 | 100.0 | 1,159,008 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Citizen ................................................. | 16,291 | 95.3 | 1,107,151 | 95.5 |
| Non-Citizen ........................................... | 745 | 4.4 | 48,031 | 4.1 |
| Unknown .............................................. | 55 | 0.3 | 3,825 | 0.3 |
| Able-bodied Adults Living in Households Without Children and Subject to Work Registration ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 347 | 2.0 | 38,492 | 3.3 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 8,765 | 51.3 | 613,761 | 53.0 |
| Preschool Age Children .......................... | 2,846 | 16.7 | 215,086 | 18.6 |
| 0-1 | 1,132 | 6.6 | 88,012 | 7.6 |
| 2-4 | 1,714 | 10.0 | 127,074 | 11.0 |
| School Age Children .............................. | 5,919 | 34.6 | 398,675 | 34.4 |
| 5-7 | 1,709 | 10.0 | 121,127 | 10.5 |
| 8-11 | 2,056 | 12.0 | 137,795 | 11.9 |
| 12-15 | 1,559 | 9.1 | 101,360 | 8.7 |
| 16-17 .............................................. | 594 | 3.5 | 38,394 | 3.3 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18-59) ...................... | 6,623 | 38.7 | 470,141 | 40.6 |
| Elderly Adults (60 or more) ..................... | 1,702 | 10.0 | 74,945 | 6.5 |
| Unknown Age ...................................... | 2 | 0.0 | 159 | 0.0 |
| Disabled ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2,197 | 12.9 | 104,401 | 9.0 |
| Children (0-17) ....................................... | 256 | 1.5 | 12,345 | 1.1 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18-59) ....................... | 1,710 | 10.0 | 81,671 | 7.0 |
| Elderly Adults (60-64) ............................ | 231 | 1.4 | 10,384 | 0.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent of all participants.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.
c Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in Characteristics of Food Stamp Households reports prior to the Fiscal Year 1999 edition.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ These participants are age $18-49$, not disabled, not living with participating children under age 18 , and not exempt from work registration. With some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), these participants must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt. See Appendix C for more details on work requirements and time limits.
e Disability status of nonelderly adults age 18 to 64 is determined partially on the basis of SSI and Social Security receipt. Because identifiers such as SSI and Social Security receipt are not valid indicators of disability for adults over age 64 (Social Security is not a valid indicator after age 61), disability status of adults over age 64 cannot be identifed accurately in the Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
female (Table A-28). The majority ( 67 percent) of nonelderly adult food stamp participants lived in households with children-approximately 60 percent were single adults and 26 percent were married adults (Table A-31). Thirteen percent of FSP participants were disabled. ${ }^{9}$

With the exception of certain groups of individuals, such as caretakers of small children and people working at least 30 hours per week, able-bodied nonelderly adult food stamp participants are required to register for work and accept suitable employment as a condition of receiving food stamps. Like participants in the FSP, participants in other assistance programs often are required to register for work. In fiscal year 2000, 14 percent of all food stamp household heads were registered for work under the FSP or another assistance program (Table A-30). ${ }^{10}$ Most food stamp household heads ( 78 percent) were exempt from work registration requirements. Twentynine percent of household heads were exempt due to physical or mental disability, 15 percent were exempt because they were caretakers of a child under age 6 or an incapacitated adult, and 10 percent were exempt because they were already employed full-time.

## CHANGES IN THE ECONOMIC CONDITIONS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS

The overall economic conditions of the average food stamp household improved slightly from fiscal year 1999 to fiscal year 2000, although not as much as in previous years. While average gross income decreased in real dollars from $\$ 603$ in fiscal year 1999 to $\$ 600$ in fiscal year 2000, the average total deduction to which households are entitled decreased by 4 percent, resulting in a 2 percent increase in real dollars in average net income (Table 3.6). The percentage of households with zero net income decreased from 21 percent in fiscal year 1999 to 20 percent in fiscal year 2000. The percentage of households with earnings remained fairly constant at 27 percent. The percentage of households receiving TANF dropped from 27 percent to 26 percent as the percentage of all FSP households with children decreased from 56 percent to 54 percent (Table A-32).

The average food stamp benefit decreased in real dollars from \$162 in fiscal year 1999 to $\$ 155$ in fiscal year 2000. The real drop in the average monthly benefit reflects the real increase in net income (Table 3.6).

[^11]Table 3.6 Nominal and Real Values of Selected Characteristics, Fiscal Year 1999 and Fiscal Year 2000

| Selected Characteristics | Nominal Values |  |  | Real Values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal Year 1999 | Fiscal Year 2000 | Percentage Change | Fiscal Year 2000 (in 1999 dollars) | Percentage Change |
| Average Gross Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Household | \$603 | \$620 | +2.8 | \$600 | -0.5 |
| Per Person | 317 | 331 | +4.4 | 320 | +1.0 |
| Average Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Per Household | 338 | 355 | +5.0 | 343 | +1.6 |
| Per Person | 165 | 178 | +7.9 | 172 | +4.4 |
| Average Total Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 299 | 298 | -0.3 | 288 | -3.6 |
| Average Household Benefit ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 162 | 158 | -2.5 | 155 | -4.6 |
| Maximum Coupon Benefit for a Family of Four in the Continental U.S. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 419 | 426 | +1.7 | 417 | -0.6 |
| Consumer Price Index <br> All Items <br> Food at Home | $\begin{aligned} & 166.6 \\ & 164.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172.2 \\ & 167.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +3.4 \\ & +2.3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Real values are in constant fiscal year 1999 dollars. Fiscal year 2000 values were deflated by the change in the CPI-U for all items between fiscal year 1999 and fiscal year 2000 (3.4 percent).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Real values are in constant fiscal year 1999 dollars. Fiscal year 2000 values were deflated by the change in the CPI-U for food at home between fiscal year 1999 and fiscal year 2000 ( 2.3 percent).

Source of CPI-U average values: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Source of nominal values: Fiscal Year 1999 and Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

## ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

## ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

## ACRONYMS

| AFDC | -Aid to Families with Dependent <br> Children |
| :--- | :--- |
| AREERA | -Agricultural Research, Extension <br> and Education Reform Act of 1998 |
| E\&T | -Employment and Training Program |
| FNS | -U.S. Department of Agriculture, <br> Food and Nutrition Service |
| FSP | -Food Stamp Program |
| FSPQC | -Food Stamp Program Quality <br> Control |
| GA | -General Assistance |
| HHS | -U.S. Department of Health and <br> Human Services |
| JOBS | -Job Opportunities and Basic Skills |
| PRA | -Permanent Resident Alien |
| PRWORA | -Personal Responsibility and Work <br> Opportunity Reconciliation Act of |
|  | 1996 |
| SSI | -Supplemental Security Income |
| TANF | -Temporary Assistance to Needy <br> Families |
| TFP | -Thrifty Food Plan <br> UI |
| -Unemployment Insurance |  |

## DEFINITIONS

Alien. Participant who is a non-citizen, including permanent residents, immigrants accorded permanent resident status, refugees, individuals granted political asylum, aliens granted a stay of deportation, aliens residing in the United States under color of law, nonimmigrants admitted for a specified period, Mexican citizens with a "border" card, and undocumented aliens. See also Legal Immigrants, Other Alien, Permanent Resident Alien.

Children. Individuals under age 18.
Child Support Payment Deduction. Deduction for households with legally obligated child support payments made to or for a non-household member. See also Deductions.

Countable Resources. Cash on hand and assets that can be converted easily to cash, such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks or bonds, and lump sum payments. They also include some nonliquid assets, although the family home, one or more family vehicles if necessary to transport disabled individuals or to produce income, and business tools or property are not counted. See also Resource Limit.

Deductions. Allowable deductions from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at FSP net monthly income. The deductions shown in the tables are those to which households were entitled. Some of the deductions may not have been used, however, before a household reached zero net income status. Therefore, total deductions do not equal the difference between gross and net income amounts. See also Total Deduction, Standard Deduction, Earned Income Deduction, Dependent-Care Deduction, Excess Shelter Deduction, Medical Deduction and Child Support Payment Deduction.

Dependent-Care Deduction. Deduction received by food stamp households for expenses involved in caring for dependents while other members work, seek employment, or go to school. In fiscal year 2000 the deduction was subject to a maximum of $\$ 200$ per month for each dependent under age 2 and $\$ 175$ per month for each dependent age 2 or more. See also Deductions.

Disabled Individuals. Disabled individuals are defined as individuals under age 65 who receive SSI and individuals age 18 to 61 who receive Social Security, veterans benefits, or other government benefits as a result of disability.

Because identifiers such as Social Security and SSI receipt are not valid indicators of disability for individuals over age 64 (Social Security is not a valid indicator for individuals over age 61), disability status of adults over age 64 cannot be identified accurately in the FSPQC sample.

Earned Income Deduction. Deduction received by households with earnings, equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members. See also Deductions.

Earned Income. Includes wages, salaries, and self-employment income.

Elderly. Adults age 60 or older.
Employed Full Time. Employed at least 30 hours per week or receiving weekly earnings equal to or greater than the federal minimum wage multiplied by 30 hours. This estimate is based on an employment status variable.

Employed Part Time. Employed less than 30 hours per week.

Employment and Training (E\&T). Refers to employment and training services received under FSP E\&T programs. Services provided include work experience, educational programs, and job search training.

Entrant Households. Includes households newly certified during fiscal year 2000.

Excess Shelter Deduction. Deduction received by households with shelter costs, equal to those shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of the household's countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. There is a limit on the shelter deduction for households that do not contain elderly or disabled members. See Appendix D. See also Deductions.

Exempt from Work Registration. See Work Registration Status Definitions and Notes below.

Expedited Service Households. Households which initially received expedited service for the certification period in effect during fiscal year 2000.

Gross Income. Total monthly income of household in dollars, before applying deductions.

Gross Income Limit. Food stamp program monthly gross income eligibility standards, determined by household size; equal to 130 percent of the poverty guidelines. See Appendix D.

Household. Individuals who live in a residential unit and purchase and prepare food together.

Households With Preschool-Age Children. Households with at least one member under age 5.

Households With Elderly. Households with at least one member age 60 or older.

Households With Elderly or Disabled. Households in which at least one member is age 60 or over or at least one member is under age 65 and receives SSI, or at least one member is age 18 to 61 and receives Social Security, veterans' benefits, or other government benefits as a result of disability.

## Households With School-Age Children.

 Households with at least one member age 5 to 17 .Households With Disabled. Households with at least one member who is under age 65 and receives SSI or at least one member who is age 18 to 61 and receives Social Security, veterans' benefits, or other government benefits as a result of disability.

Households With Children. Households with at least one member age 17 or less.

Initial Certification Households. Includes both households certified for the first time within the current certification period and previously certified households that have not received benefits for at least 30 days.

Legal Immigrants. All immigrants legally residing in the United States, including all
permanent resident aliens, refugees, asylees, and deportees. See also Other Aliens, Permanent Resident Aliens, and Refugees.

Married-Couple Household. Household with two or more individuals age 18 or older and at least one spouse.

Maximum Benefit. Based on 100 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in the preceding June for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment. Maximum benefit varies from the Continental U.S. in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam and the Virgin Islands. See Appendix D.

Medical Deduction. Deduction available to households that contain elderly or disabled members, equal to all medical expenses incurred by the elderly or disabled person that exceed $\$ 35$. See page 4. See also Deductions.

Minimum Benefit. $\$ 10$ for one- or two-person households.

Multiple-Adult Household. Household with two or more individuals age 18 or older.

Net Income. Total monthly income of household in dollars, after applying deductions.

Net Income Limit. FSP monthly net income eligibility standard, determined by household size. See Appendix D.

Nonelderly Adults. Adults age 18 to 59.
Not Employed. Not working and not looking for work, and therefore not part of the labor force.

Other Alien. A nonimmigrant admitted for a specified period, a Mexican citizen with a "border" card, an undocumented alien, or an alien permanently residing in the United States under color of law. See also Legal Immigrants, Permanent Resident Alien, Refugee.

Permanent Resident Alien. An immigrant lawfully admitted for permanent resident status. See also Legal Immigrants, Other Alien, Refugee. Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA). This act disqualified many
permanent resident aliens and able-bodied adults from the Food Stamp Program.

Poverty Guideline. The poverty guidelines used in fiscal year 2000 were issued by the Department of Health and Human Services and published in the 1999 Federal Register. Dividing these guidelines by 12 yields the monthly net income limits for the FSP. The Bureau of the Census establishes other poverty thresholds which are used primarily for statistical purposes. See Appendix D.

Preschool-Age Children. Children less than 5 years old.

Public Assistance. Includes Temporary Assistance to Needy Families and General Assistance.

Refugee. An alien accorded refugee status, an alien granted political asylum, or an alien granted a stay of deportation. See also Legal Immigrants, Permanent Resident Alien, Other Alien.

Resource Limit. For most households the resource limit was $\$ 2,000$ in fiscal year 2000. Households with at least one member age 60 or older were allowed up to $\$ 3,000$ of resources. See also Countable Resources.

Rural. A household is considered to be located in a rural area if the county in which its local food stamp agency is located is not in a Metropolitan Statistical Area as defined by the Census Bureau.

School-Age Children. Children ages 5 to 17 .
Shelter Deduction. See Excess Shelter Deduction.

Single-Adult Household. Food stamp household with one person age 18 or older and no spouse.

Standard Deduction. Deduction received by all households which varies by area to reflect price differences among areas. See Appendix D. See also Deductions.

Student. Participant age 18 or older enrolled at least half time in a recognized school, training program, or institution of higher education.

Thrifty Food Plan (TFP). Market basket of goods based on an economical and nutritious diet, adjusted for household size and composition. Used to determine maximum food stamp benefit amounts.

Total Deduction. Includes earned income, child support payment, dependent-care, excess shelter, medical, and standard deductions to which the FSP household is entitled. In some cases this exceeds the amount deducted from gross income because net income cannot be less than zero. See also Deductions.

Unearned Income. Includes Temporary Assistance to Needy Families, General Assistance, Supplemental Security Income, Social Security, Unemployment Income, Veterans' Benefits, Workers' Compensation, Other Government Benefits, Household Contributions, Household Deemed Income, Educational Loans, Child Support Enforcement Payments, and other unearned income.

Unemployed. Not working but looking for work, and therefore part of the labor force.

Urban. A household is considered to be located in an urban area if the county in which its local food stamp agency is located is in a Metropolitan Statistical Area as defined by the Census Bureau.

Work Registration. Many able-bodied food stamp household heads are required to register for work with their welfare office or state unemployment agency and must agree to accept any suitable job that is offered to them. Individuals who are exempt from FSP work registration rules include the following:

- All individuals under age 16 or over age 60 , and some individuals age 16 and 17
- Individuals responsible for the care of a dependent child under age 6 or the care of an incapacitated person
- Individuals who are physically or mentally unfit for work
- Individuals complying with work requirements of other assistance programs
- Students enrolled at least half time in a school, training program, or institution of higher education
- Regular participants in a drug addiction or alcoholic treatment program
- Individuals working 30 hours a week or earning more than an amount equal to 30 hours times the minimum wage.

Workfare. A program in which food stamp participants perform work in a public service capacity in exchange for the food stamp benefits to which their household is entitled. The positions are in public or private not-for-profit organizations only. The hours of participation are limited to the number of hours needed to pay off the household's monthly benefit allotment at the higher of the applicable federal or state minimum wage.

## APPENDIX A

DETAILED TABLES OF FOOD STAMP
HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

Table A-1. Distribution of Participating Households, Persons, and Benefits by Household Composition, Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ...................................................... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 17,091 | 100.0 | 1,159,008 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 3,955 | 53.9 | 13,294 | 77.8 | 925,373 | 79.8 |
| School Age | 3,036 | 41.4 | 10,953 | 64.1 | 736,758 | 63.6 |
| Preschool Age ..................................... | 2,055 | 28.0 | 7,287 | 42.6 | 527,731 | 45.5 |
| No Children ........................................... | 3,380 | 46.1 | 3,797 | 22.2 | 233,634 | 20.2 |
| Elderly Persons ..................................... | 1,542 | 21.0 | 1,987 | 11.6 | 90,364 | 7.8 |
| No Elderly Persons ................................ | 5,793 | 79.0 | 15,104 | 88.4 | 1,068,644 | 92.2 |
| Disabled Persons .................................... | 2,017 | 27.5 | 4,005 | 23.4 | 200,247 | 17.3 |
| No Disabled Persons .............................. | 5,317 | 72.5 | 13,087 | 76.6 | 958,761 | 82.7 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5,543 | 75.6 | 12,891 | 75.4 | 888,241 | 76.6 |
| Rural ..................................................... | 1,789 | 24.4 | 4,194 | 24.5 | 270,450 | 23.3 |
| Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ......................................... | 6,717 | 91.6 | 15,984 | 93.5 | 1,030,262 | 88.9 |
| No Gross Income .................................. | 617 | 8.4 | 1,107 | 6.5 | 128,746 | 11.1 |
| Net Income .. | 5,857 | 79.9 | 14,300 | 83.7 | 836,333 | 72.2 |
| No Net Income ...................................... | 1,477 | 20.1 | 2,792 | 16.3 | 322,674 | 27.8 |
| Earned Income ... | 1,993 | 27.2 | 6,550 | 38.3 | 382,185 | 33.0 |
| No Earned Income ................................ | 5,342 | 72.8 | 10,542 | 61.7 | 776,822 | 67.0 |
| Unearned Income ................................... | 5,775 | 78.7 | 13,016 | 76.2 | 835,408 | 72.1 |
| No Unearned Income ............................. | 1,560 | 21.3 | 4,076 | 23.8 | 323,600 | 27.9 |
| TANF Income ........................................ | 1,891 | 25.8 | 6,091 | 35.6 | 430,831 | 37.2 |
| No TANF Income .................................. | 5,444 | 74.2 | 11,000 | 64.4 | 728,177 | 62.8 |
| GA Income ............................................ | 395 | 5.4 | 515 | 3.0 | 44,143 | 3.8 |
| No GA Income ...................................... | 6,940 | 94.6 | 16,576 | 97.0 | 1,114,865 | 96.2 |
| SSI | 2,324 | 31.7 | 4,173 | 24.4 | 207,295 | 17.9 |
| No SSI ................................................. | 5,010 | 68.3 | 12,918 | 75.6 | 951,713 | 82.1 |
| Social Security Income ........................... | 1,870 | 25.5 | 2,957 | 17.3 | 130,020 | 11.2 |
| No Social Security Income ..................... | 5,464 | 74.5 | 14,134 | 82.7 | 1,028,988 | 88.8 |
| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No income ............................................. | 617 | 8.4 | 1,107 | 6.5 | 128,746 | 11.1 |
| >0-50\% ................................................ | 1,804 | 24.6 | 5,123 | 30.0 | 493,477 | 42.6 |
| 51-100 ................................................ | 4,076 | 55.6 | 8,855 | 51.8 | 487,518 | 42.1 |
|  | 837 | 11.4 | 2,006 | 11.7 | 49,266 | 4.3 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit ................................... | 801 | 10.9 | 901 | 5.3 | 8,008 | 0.7 |
| Maximum Benefit .................................. | 1,481 | 20.2 | 2,799 | 16.4 | 323,540 | 27.9 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-2. Average Gross and Net Income, Total Deduction, Countable Resources, Food Stamp Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition, Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Gross Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Total Deduction (Dollars) | Countable Resources (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Certification } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { (Months) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 7,335 | 100.0 | 620 | 355 | 298 | 156 | 158 | 2.3 | 9.6 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 3,955 | 53.9 | 727 | 436 | 321 | 133 | 234 | 3.4 | 7.9 |
| School Age | 3,036 | 41.4 | 782 | 483 | 326 | 146 | 243 | 3.6 | 8.0 |
| Preschool Age | 2,055 | 28.0 | 708 | 416 | 324 | 112 | 257 | 3.5 | 7.6 |
| No Children ........... | 3,380 | 46.1 | 494 | 261 | 272 | 183 | 69 | 1.1 | 11.6 |
| Elderly Persons ..... | 1,542 | 21.0 | 617 | 356 | 277 | 275 | 59 | 1.3 | 12.9 |
| No Elderly Persons ............. | 5,793 | 79.0 | 621 | 355 | 304 | 124 | 184 | 2.6 | 8.7 |
| Disabled Persons ...... | 2,017 | 27.5 | 711 | 440 | 282 | 150 | 99 | 2.0 | 11.5 |
| No Disabled Persons .......... | 5,317 | 72.5 | 585 | 323 | 305 | 158 | 180 | 2.5 | 8.9 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5,543 | 75.6 | 618 | 345 | 307 | 139 | 160 | 2.3 | 9.7 |
| Rural ............ | 1,789 | 24.4 | 626 | 387 | 272 | 209 | 151 | 2.3 | 9.5 |
| Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ............. | 6,717 | 91.6 | 677 | 388 | 306 | 164 | 153 | 2.4 | 9.9 |
| No Gross Income ......... | 617 | 8.4 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 70 | 209 | 1.8 | 6.3 |
| Net Income | 5,857 | 79.9 | 734 | 445 | 289 | 174 | 143 | 2.4 | 10.2 |
| No Net Income ........... | 1,477 | 20.1 | 167 | 0 | 335 | 82 | 218 | 1.9 | 7.3 |
| Earned Income .... | 1,993 | 27.2 | 942 | 555 | 402 | 178 | 192 | 3.3 | 7.2 |
| No Earned Income ............. | 5,342 | 72.8 | 500 | 281 | 259 | 147 | 145 | 2.0 | 10.5 |
| Unearned Income .. | 5,775 | 78.7 | 646 | 378 | 285 | 165 | 145 | 2.3 | 10.5 |
| No Unearned Income ........ | 1,560 | 21.3 | 521 | 273 | 347 | 123 | 207 | 2.6 | 6.3 |
| TANF Income ................... | 1,891 | 25.8 | 677 | 415 | 274 | 91 | 228 | 3.2 | 9.3 |
| No TANF Income ............. | 5,444 | 74.2 | 600 | 335 | 307 | 178 | 134 | 2.0 | 9.7 |
| GA Income ...................... | 395 | 5.4 | 413 | 179 | 274 | 88 | 112 | 1.3 | 11.2 |
| No GA Income ................. | 6,940 | 94.6 | 632 | 365 | 300 | 160 | 161 | 2.4 | 9.5 |
| TANF or GA Income .... | 2,274 | 31.0 | 630 | 372 | 275 | 90 | 208 | 2.9 | 9.6 |
| No TANF or GA Income ....... | 5,060 | 69.0 | 615 | 348 | 309 | 185 | 136 | 2.1 | 9.6 |
| SSI .................................. | 2,324 | 31.7 | 670 | 406 | 273 | 149 | 89 | 1.8 | 12.1 |
| No SSI ............................... | 5,010 | 68.3 | 596 | 332 | 310 | 159 | 190 | 2.6 | 8.5 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 1,870 | 25.5 | 683 | 418 | 275 | 261 | 70 | 1.6 | 12.2 |
| No Social Security Income ... | 5,464 | 74.5 | 598 | 334 | 306 | 120 | 188 | 2.6 | 8.7 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit ............. | 801 | 10.9 | 714 | 536 | 178 | 287 | 10 | 1.1 | 12.7 |
| Maximum Benefit ................ | 1,481 | 20.2 | 167 | 0 | 335 | 82 | 218 | 1.9 | 7.3 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-3. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Elderly or Disabled Persons by Amount of Gross and Net Income, Countable Resources, and Gross and Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Elderly or Disabled |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 3,301 | 100.0 |
| Gross Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | 617 | 8.4 | 250 | 6.3 | 17 | 1.1 | 21 | 0.6 |
| 1-199 | 373 | 5.1 | 218 | 5.5 | 14 | 0.9 | 20 | 0.6 |
| 200-399 ... | 878 | 12.0 | 564 | 14.3 | 65 | 4.2 | 110 | 3.3 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 2,343 | 31.9 | 704 | 17.8 | 831 | 53.9 | 1,671 | 50.6 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 1,285 | 17.5 | 641 | 16.2 | 419 | 27.2 | 819 | 24.8 |
| 800-999 ... | 725 | 9.9 | 541 | 13.7 | 125 | 8.1 | 328 | 9.9 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 1,115 | 15.2 | 1,036 | 26.2 | 71 | 4.6 | 332 | 10.1 |
| Net Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ....... | 1,477 | 20.1 | 688 | 17.4 | 134 | 8.7 | 228 | 6.9 |
| 1-199 | 1,312 | 17.9 | 698 | 17.7 | 228 | 14.8 | 504 | 15.3 |
| 200-399 | 1,909 | 26.0 | 709 | 17.9 | 644 | 41.8 | 1,264 | 38.3 |
| 400-599 | 1,175 | 16.0 | 635 | 16.0 | 339 | 22.0 | 687 | 20.8 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 706 | 9.6 | 527 | 13.3 | 137 | 8.9 | 338 | 10.3 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 370 | 5.0 | 322 | 8.1 | 41 | 2.6 | 144 | 4.3 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 385 | 5.3 | 377 | 9.5 | 18 | 1.2 | 136 | 4.1 |
| Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................... | 4,864 | 66.3 | 2,705 | 68.4 | 830 | 53.8 | 1,953 | 59.2 |
| 1-500 | 1,616 | 22.0 | 853 | 21.6 | 405 | 26.3 | 838 | 25.4 |
| 501-1,000 ....................... | 445 | 6.1 | 201 | 5.1 | 157 | 10.2 | 277 | 8.4 |
| 1,001-1,500 .................... | 215 | 2.9 | 109 | 2.8 | 71 | 4.6 | 115 | 3.5 |
| 1,501-1,750 ..................... | 62 | 0.8 | 31 | 0.8 | 20 | 1.3 | 35 | 1.1 |
| 1,751-2,000 ..................... | 51 | 0.7 | 27 | 0.7 | 16 | 1.0 | 25 | 0.8 |
| 2,001-3,000 ..................... | 41 | 0.6 | 7 | 0.2 | 32 | 2.1 | 36 | 1.1 |
| 3,001+ ........................... | 10 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.2 |
| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income ............. | 617 | 8.4 | 250 | 6.3 | 17 | 1.1 | 21 | 0.6 |
| >0-25\% .......................... | 618 | 8.4 | 477 | 12.1 | 14 | 0.9 | 24 | 0.7 |
| 26-50 | 1,187 | 16.2 | 916 | 23.2 | 56 | 3.6 | 182 | 5.5 |
| 51-75 | 1,854 | 25.3 | 1,106 | 28.0 | 308 | 20.0 | 974 | 29.5 |
| 76-100 | 2,222 | 30.3 | 754 | 19.1 | 924 | 59.9 | 1,655 | 50.1 |
| 101-125 | 707 | 9.6 | 398 | 10.1 | 189 | 12.3 | 365 | 11.1 |
| 126-130. | 54 | 0.7 | 36 | 0.9 | 9 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.6 |
| 131-150 .......................... | 53 | 0.7 | 10 | 0.3 | 18 | 1.2 | 44 | 1.3 |
| 151+ ............................. | 23 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.5 |
| Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income ................. | 1,477 | 20.1 | 688 | 17.4 | 134 | 8.7 | 228 | 6.9 |
| >0-25\% .......................... | 1,602 | 21.8 | 1,047 | 26.5 | 211 | 13.7 | 498 | 15.1 |
| 26-50 ............................. | 1,875 | 25.6 | 1,093 | 27.6 | 403 | 26.1 | 970 | 29.4 |
| 51-75 ............................. | 1,808 | 24.6 | 806 | 20.4 | 624 | 40.5 | 1,241 | 37.6 |
| 76-100 ........................... | 526 | 7.2 | 307 | 7.8 | 159 | 10.3 | 330 | 10.0 |
| 101-125 .......................... | 30 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.5 | 25 | 0.7 |
| 126-130 .......................... | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 131-150 .......................... | 4 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 151+ .............................. | 9 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 |

[^12]Table A-4. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Size and Amount of Gross and Net Income, Countable Resources, and Gross and Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | $6+$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total .. | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,126 | 100.0 | 1,481 | 100.0 | 1,160 | 100.0 | 832 | 100.0 | 429 | 100.0 | 306 | 100.0 |
| Gross Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .......................... | 617 | 8.4 | 372 | 11.9 | 103 | 6.9 | 78 | 6.7 | 39 | 4.7 | 17 | 3.9 | 8 | 2.7 |
| 1-199 ........................ | 373 | 5.1 | 163 | 5.2 | 102 | 6.9 | 64 | 5.5 | 28 | 3.4 | 11 | 2.5 | 4 | 1.3 |
| 200-399 .................... | 878 | 12.0 | 364 | 11.6 | 238 | 16.0 | 150 | 12.9 | 78 | 9.4 | 33 | 7.6 | 15 | 5.0 |
| 400-599 .................... | 2,343 | 31.9 | 1,583 | 50.6 | 364 | 24.6 | 205 | 17.7 | 113 | 13.6 | 51 | 12.0 | 25 | 8.3 |
| 600-799 .................... | 1,285 | 17.5 | 526 | 16.8 | 320 | 21.6 | 214 | 18.4 | 150 | 18.0 | 47 | 10.8 | 29 | 9.6 |
| 800-999 .................... | 725 | 9.9 | 99 | 3.2 | 215 | 14.5 | 190 | 16.4 | 119 | 14.3 | 58 | 13.6 | 44 | 14.5 |
| 1,000+ ...................... | 1,115 | 15.2 | 19 | 0.6 | 140 | 9.5 | 259 | 22.3 | 304 | 36.5 | 213 | 49.6 | 180 | 58.7 |
| Net Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ..... | 1,477 | 20.1 | 799 | 25.6 | 304 | 20.5 | 207 | 17.8 | 105 | 12.6 | 43 | 10.0 | 19 | 6.4 |
| 1-199 ....................... | 1,312 | 17.9 | 627 | 20.1 | 324 | 21.9 | 197 | 17.0 | 99 | 11.9 | 42 | 9.8 | 21 | 7.0 |
| 200-399 .................... | 1,909 | 26.0 | 1,146 | 36.7 | 314 | 21.2 | 231 | 19.9 | 145 | 17.4 | 46 | 10.6 | 27 | 8.8 |
| 400-599 .................... | 1,175 | 16.0 | 466 | 14.9 | 260 | 17.6 | 210 | 18.1 | 137 | 16.5 | 66 | 15.4 | 36 | 11.6 |
| 600-799 ................... | 706 | 9.6 | 71 | 2.3 | 211 | 14.2 | 174 | 15.0 | 134 | 16.2 | 68 | 15.9 | 48 | 15.6 |
| 800-999 .................... | 370 | 5.0 | 12 | 0.4 | 56 | 3.8 | 112 | 9.7 | 104 | 12.5 | 50 | 11.7 | 35 | 11.4 |
| 1,000+ ...................... | 385 | 5.3 | 5 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.8 | 29 | 2.5 | 107 | 12.8 | 114 | 26.5 | 120 | 39.2 |
| Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | 4,864 | 66.3 | 2,059 | 65.9 | 1,023 | 69.0 | 779 | 67.2 | 546 | 65.5 | 270 | 63.0 | 188 | 61.4 |
| 1-500 ....................... | 1,616 | 22.0 | 670 | 21.4 | 317 | 21.4 | 265 | 22.9 | 194 | 23.3 | 99 | 23.1 | 70 | 23.0 |
| 501-1,000 ................. | 445 | 6.1 | 210 | 6.7 | 76 | 5.2 | 57 | 5.0 | 52 | 6.2 | 28 | 6.4 | 21 | 7.0 |
| 1,001-1,500 ............... | 215 | 2.9 | 93 | 3.0 | 31 | 2.1 | 34 | 2.9 | 23 | 2.8 | 18 | 4.2 | 15 | 4.9 |
| 1,501-1,750 .............. | 62 | 0.8 | 26 | 0.8 | 13 | 0.9 | 9 | 0.7 | 8 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.8 | 3 | 1.0 |
| 1,751-2,000 ............... | 51 | 0.7 | 20 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.7 | 5 | 1.2 | 3 | 1.1 |
| 2,001-3,000 ............... | 41 | 0.6 | 28 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.5 |
| 3,001 + ..................... | 10 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income ....... | 617 | 8.4 | 372 | 11.9 | 103 | 6.9 | 78 | 6.7 | 39 | 4.7 | 17 | 3.9 | 8 | 2.7 |
| >0-25\% .................. | 618 | 8.4 | 144 | 4.6 | 157 | 10.6 | 146 | 12.6 | 92 | 11.0 | 47 | 10.9 | 32 | 10.4 |
| 26-50 ....................... | 1,187 | 16.2 | 301 | 9.6 | 260 | 17.6 | 247 | 21.3 | 189 | 22.7 | 102 | 23.8 | 87 | 28.3 |
| 51-75 ....................... | 1,854 | 25.3 | 684 | 21.9 | 408 | 27.6 | 316 | 27.2 | 239 | 28.7 | 117 | 27.4 | 90 | 29.3 |
| 76-100 ...................... | 2,222 | 30.3 | 1,314 | 42.0 | 352 | 23.7 | 232 | 20.0 | 170 | 20.5 | 96 | 22.4 | 59 | 19.1 |
| 101-125 .................... | 707 | 9.6 | 246 | 7.9 | 165 | 11.1 | 127 | 11.0 | 94 | 11.3 | 46 | 10.7 | 29 | 9.5 |
| 126-130 .................... | 54 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.5 | 14 | 1.0 | 12 | 1.1 | 8 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.6 |
| 131-150 ................... | 53 | 0.7 | 38 | 1.2 | 12 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 151+ ........................ | 23 | 0.3 | 12 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.7 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income .......... | 1,477 | 20.1 | 799 | 25.6 | 304 | 20.5 | 207 | 17.8 | 105 | 12.6 | 43 | 10.0 | 19 | 6.4 |
| >0-25\% .................... | 1,602 | 21.8 | 550 | 17.6 | 373 | 25.2 | 305 | 26.3 | 214 | 25.7 | 92 | 21.5 | 68 | 22.2 |
| 26-50 ........................ | 1,875 | 25.6 | 715 | 22.9 | 360 | 24.3 | 316 | 27.3 | 245 | 29.4 | 137 | 31.8 | 102 | 33.3 |
| 51-75 ....................... | 1,808 | 24.6 | 880 | 28.1 | 306 | 20.7 | 243 | 21.0 | 187 | 22.5 | 109 | 25.5 | 82 | 26.8 |
| 76-100 ...................... | 526 | 7.2 | 157 | 5.0 | 119 | 8.0 | 88 | 7.6 | 80 | 9.7 | 48 | 11.2 | 34 | 11.3 |
| 101-125 .................... | 30 | 0.4 | 18 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.8 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | 0.1 |
| 126-130 .................... | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 131-150 .................... | 4 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 151+ ........................ | 9 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^13]Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-5. Average Gross and Net Income, Average Gross and Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, and Average Countable Resources of Participating Households by Household Composition and Size

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Gross Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Gross Income <br> as a <br> Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) | Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) | Countable Resources (Dollars) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Over All <br> Households | Over <br> Households With Countable Resources |
| Total ......................................... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 620 | 355 | 62.8 | 34.7 | 156 | 466 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 3,955 | 53.9 | 727 | 436 | 57.9 | 33.7 | 133 | 424 |
| School Age ............................. | 3,036 | 41.4 | 782 | 483 | 59.9 | 36.1 | 146 | 441 |
| Preschool Age ......................... | 2,055 | 28.0 | 708 | 416 | 54.2 | 30.7 | 112 | 394 |
| No Children ............................. | 3,380 | 46.1 | 494 | 261 | 68.6 | 35.9 | 183 | 510 |
| Elderly or Disabled <br> Persons | 3,301 | 45.0 | 670 | 403 | 80.2 | 46.4 | 206 | 509 |
| No Elderly or Disabled Persons .. | 4,034 | 55.0 | 579 | 316 | 48.6 | 25.1 | 114 | 415 |
| Elderly Persons ......................... | 1,542 | 21.0 | 617 | 356 | 82.0 | 46.6 | 275 | 600 |
| No Elderly Persons .................... | 5,793 | 79.0 | 621 | 355 | 57.7 | 31.5 | 124 | 412 |
| Disabled Persons ....................... | 2,017 | 27.5 | 711 | 440 | 79.1 | 46.6 | 150 | 414 |
| No Disabled Persons .................. | 5,317 | 72.5 | 585 | 323 | 56.6 | 30.2 | 158 | 488 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 .............................................. | 3,126 | 42.6 | 456 | 230 | 66.3 | 33.4 | 170 | 503 |
| 2 ............................................ | 1,481 | 20.2 | 572 | 314 | 61.9 | 34.0 | 130 | 422 |
| 3 ............................................ | 1,160 | 15.8 | 676 | 384 | 58.4 | 33.1 | 133 | 409 |
| 4 | 832 | 11.3 | 837 | 519 | 60.0 | 37.2 | 144 | 420 |
| 5 ............................................ | 429 | 5.9 | 991 | 662 | 60.8 | 40.7 | 184 | 503 |
| 6 ............................................. | 180 | 2.5 | 1,127 | 789 | 60.4 | 42.3 | 203 | 544 |
| 7 ............................................. | 77 | 1.0 | 1,174 | 845 | 55.9 | 40.2 | 206 | 536 |
| 8+ | 49 | 0.7 | 1,513 | 1137 | 60.0 | 45.0 | 258 | 627 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-6. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Elderly or Disabled Persons by Type of Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Elderly or Disabled |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 3,301 | 100.0 |
| Earned Income | 1,993 | 27.2 | 1,707 | 43.2 | 54 | 3.5 | 246 | 7.4 |
| Wages and Salaries | 1,857 | 25.3 | 1,607 | 40.6 | 42 | 2.7 | 216 | 6.5 |
| Self-Employment ......................................... | 129 | 1.8 | 95 | 2.4 | 10 | 0.7 | 27 | 0.8 |
| Other Earned Income | 34 | 0.5 | 27 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Unearned Income ......................................... | 5,775 | 78.7 | 2,912 | 73.6 | 1,511 | 98.0 | 3,265 | 98.9 |
| TANF | 1,891 | 25.8 | 1,842 | 46.6 | 49 | 3.2 | 413 | 12.5 |
| General Assistance ...................................... | 395 | 5.4 | 37 | 0.9 | 79 | 5.1 | 144 | 4.4 |
| Supplemental Security Income ...................... | 2,324 | 31.7 | 622 | 15.7 | 914 | 59.3 | 2,324 | 70.4 |
| Social Security ............................................ | 1,870 | 25.5 | 360 | 9.1 | 1,068 | 69.3 | 1,765 | 53.5 |
| Unemployment Income | 130 | 1.8 | 109 | 2.7 | 3 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.3 |
| Veterans' Benefits ........................................ | 103 | 1.4 | 15 | 0.4 | 63 | 4.1 | 91 | 2.8 |
| Workers' Compensation | 28 | 0.4 | 22 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.2 |
| Other Government Benefits .......................... | 50 | 0.7 | 20 | 0.5 | 22 | 1.4 | 37 | 1.1 |
| Household Contributions | 265 | 3.6 | 213 | 5.4 | 20 | 1.3 | 52 | 1.6 |
| Household Deemed Income | 5 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Educational Loans ..... | 3 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments ............. | 485 | 6.6 | 479 | 12.1 | 8 | 0.5 | 94 | 2.8 |
| Other Unearned Income ................................ | 442 | 6.0 | 218 | 5.5 | 163 | 10.6 | 242 | 7.3 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,274 | 31.0 | 1,869 | 47.3 | 127 | 8.2 | 549 | 16.6 |
| TANF and Earnings | 477 | 6.5 | 470 | 11.9 | 6 | 0.4 | 43 | 1.3 |
| TANF and SSI .... | 367 | 5.0 | 360 | 9.1 | 27 | 1.7 | 367 | 11.1 |
| TANF or SSI or GA | 4,141 | 56.5 | 2,123 | 53.7 | 975 | 63.3 | 2,416 | 73.2 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings ................ | 636 | 8.7 | 542 | 13.7 | 27 | 1.8 | 189 | 5.7 |
| TANF and Child Support | 101 | 1.4 | 100 | 2.5 | 3 | 0.2 | 31 | 0.9 |
| SSI and Social Security ................................ | 911 | 12.4 | 151 | 3.8 | 530 | 34.4 | 911 | 27.6 |
| SSI or Social Security .................................. | 3,284 | 44.8 | 831 | 21.0 | 1,452 | 94.2 | 3,178 | 96.3 |
| SSI and Earnings ......................................... | 181 | 2.5 | 103 | 2.6 | 22 | 1.5 | 181 | 5.5 |
| GA and Earnings ......................................... | 21 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Earnings and Child Support .......................... | 217 | 3.0 | 216 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 15 | 0.4 |
| No Income ................................................... | 617 | 8.4 | 250 | 6.3 | 17 | 1.1 | 21 | 0.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-7. Average Income, Total Deduction, Food Stamp Benefit, and Household Size of Participating Households by Type of Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent | Gross Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Source <br> (Dollars) | Total Deduction (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) |
| Total | 7,335 | 100.0 | 620 | 355 | - | 298 | 158 | 2.3 |
| Earned Income | 1,993 | 27.2 | 942 | 555 | 749 | 402 | 192 | 3.3 |
| Wages and Salaries | 1,857 | 25.3 | 959 | 567 | 770 | 407 | 190 | 3.3 |
| Self-Employment ... | 129 | 1.8 | 750 | 419 | 384 | 366 | 226 | 3.2 |
| Other Earned Income | 34 | 0.5 | 863 | 538 | 429 | 337 | 178 | 3.0 |
| Unearned Income | 5,775 | 78.7 | 646 | 378 | 529 | 285 | 145 | 2.3 |
| TANF | 1,891 | 25.8 | 677 | 415 | 374 | 274 | 228 | 3.2 |
| General Assistance | 395 | 5.4 | 413 | 179 | 213 | 274 | 112 | 1.3 |
| Supplemental Security Income | 2,324 | 31.7 | 670 | 406 | 403 | 273 | 89 | 1.8 |
| Social Security | 1,870 | 25.5 | 683 | 418 | 515 | 275 | 70 | 1.6 |
| Unemployment Income | 130 | 1.8 | 827 | 557 | 536 | 281 | 202 | 3.4 |
| Veterans' Benefits ... | 103 | 1.4 | 670 | 416 | 254 | 261 | 67 | 1.5 |
| Workers' Compensation | 28 | 0.4 | 871 | 579 | 558 | 299 | 148 | 2.9 |
| Other Government Benefits | 50 | 0.7 | 751 | 512 | 357 | 242 | 102 | 2.2 |
| Household Contributions | 265 | 3.6 | 586 | 336 | 201 | 289 | 219 | 2.9 |
| Household Deemed Income | 5 | 0.1 | 558 | 339 | 359 | 228 | 105 | 1.7 |
| Educational Loans . | 3 | 0.0 | 503 | 275 | 118 | 300 | 188 | 2.4 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments | 485 | 6.6 | 809 | 506 | 242 | 317 | 227 | 3.5 |
| Other Unearned Income | 442 | 6.0 | 730 | 435 | 133 | 314 | 136 | 2.3 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,274 | 31.0 | 630 | 372 | 348 | 275 | 208 | 2.9 |
| TANF and Earnings | 477 | 6.5 | 1,002 | 658 | 941 | 348 | 196 | 3.6 |
| TANF and SSI . | 367 | 5.0 | 938 | 686 | 785 | 253 | 173 | 3.5 |
| TANF or SSI or GA | 4,141 | 56.5 | 625 | 363 | 417 | 275 | 148 | 2.3 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings | 636 | 8.7 | 1,007 | 663 | 953 | 349 | 175 | 3.4 |
| TANF and Child Support | 101 | 1.4 | 783 | 507 | 449 | 281 | 238 | 3.6 |
| SSI and Social Security .... | 911 | 12.4 | 651 | 401 | 598 | 255 | 64 | 1.5 |
| SSI or Social Security .. | 3,284 | 44.8 | 683 | 414 | 579 | 279 | 85 | 1.8 |
| SSI and Earnings ........ | 181 | 2.5 | 1,102 | 762 | 911 | 344 | 122 | 3.1 |
| GA and Earnings | 21 | 0.3 | 873 | 529 | 690 | 356 | 133 | 2.4 |
| Earnings and Child Support ............. | 217 | 3.0 | 1,074 | 679 | 995 | 400 | 190 | 3.7 |
| No Income | 617 | 8.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 | 209 | 1.8 |

[^14]Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-8. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Elderly or Disabled Persons by Earned and Unearned Income Amounts

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Elderly or Disabled |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................ | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 3,301 | 100.0 |
| Earned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................. | 5,342 | 72.8 | 2,248 | 56.8 | 1,488 | 96.5 | 3,055 | 92.6 |
| 1-199 | 260 | 3.5 | 155 | 3.9 | 18 | 1.2 | 80 | 2.4 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 256 | 3.5 | 194 | 4.9 | 12 | 0.8 | 50 | 1.5 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 293 | 4.0 | 233 | 5.9 | 10 | 0.6 | 38 | 1.2 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 307 | 4.2 | 275 | 7.0 | 3 | 0.2 | 22 | 0.7 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 314 | 4.3 | 295 | 7.5 | 6 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.7 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 563 | 7.7 | 555 | 14.0 | 5 | 0.3 | 32 | 1.0 |
| Unearned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 1,560 | 21.3 | 1,043 | 26.4 | 31 | 2.0 | 36 | 1.1 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 599 | 8.2 | 463 | 11.7 | 14 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.8 |
| 200-399 ......................... | 1,047 | 14.3 | 754 | 19.1 | 68 | 4.4 | 125 | 3.8 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 2,349 | 32.0 | 713 | 18.0 | 840 | 54.5 | 1,756 | 53.2 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 1,077 | 14.7 | 483 | 12.2 | 414 | 26.9 | 815 | 24.7 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 409 | 5.6 | 258 | 6.5 | 115 | 7.5 | 307 | 9.3 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 293 | 4.0 | 240 | 6.1 | 58 | 3.8 | 235 | 7.1 |
| TANF Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .. | 5,444 | 74.2 | 2,112 | 53.4 | 1,492 | 96.8 | 2,888 | 87.5 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 407 | 5.6 | 395 | 10.0 | 21 | 1.4 | 148 | 4.5 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 743 | 10.1 | 714 | 18.1 | 19 | 1.2 | 182 | 5.5 |
| 400-599 | 480 | 6.5 | 474 | 12.0 | 6 | 0.4 | 58 | 1.8 |
| 600-799 | 190 | 2.6 | 189 | 4.8 | 4 | 0.2 | 18 | 0.5 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 52 | 0.7 | 52 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 19 | 0.3 | 18 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................................. | 6,940 | 94.6 | 3,918 | 99.1 | 1,463 | 94.9 | 3,157 | 95.6 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 169 | 2.3 | 15 | 0.4 | 47 | 3.0 | 97 | 2.9 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 196 | 2.7 | 12 | 0.3 | 25 | 1.7 | 38 | 1.1 |
| 400-599 | 25 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.2 |
| 600-799 | 3 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TANF or GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 5,060 | 69.0 | 2,085 | 52.7 | 1,415 | 91.8 | 2,751 | 83.4 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 566 | 7.7 | 403 | 10.2 | 67 | 4.3 | 239 | 7.2 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 936 | 12.8 | 724 | 18.3 | 44 | 2.8 | 219 | 6.6 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 504 | 6.9 | 480 | 12.1 | 12 | 0.8 | 66 | 2.0 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 192 | 2.6 | 191 | 4.8 | 4 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.6 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 55 | 0.8 | 53 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.2 |
| $1,000+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 20 | 0.3 | 19 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| SSI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 5,010 | 68.3 | 3,332 | 84.3 | 627 | 40.7 | 977 | 29.6 |
| 1-199 .............................. | 591 | 8.1 | 73 | 1.9 | 347 | 22.5 | 591 | 17.9 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 331 | 4.5 | 62 | 1.6 | 177 | 11.5 | 331 | 10.0 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 1,219 | 16.6 | 410 | 10.4 | 320 | 20.7 | 1,218 | 36.9 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 90 | 1.2 | 19 | 0.5 | 47 | 3.1 | 90 | 2.7 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 40 | 0.5 | 14 | 0.4 | 18 | 1.2 | 40 | 1.2 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 53 | 0.7 | 45 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.3 | 53 | 1.6 |

Table A-8. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Elderly or Disabled Persons by Earned and Unearned Income Amounts - Continued

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Elderly or Disabled |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Social Security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ............. | 5,464 | 74.5 | 3,594 | 90.9 | 474 | 30.7 | 1,535 | 46.5 |
| 1-199 | 111 | 1.5 | 55 | 1.4 | 43 | 2.8 | 80 | 2.4 |
| 200-399 ...... | 410 | 5.6 | 87 | 2.2 | 239 | 15.5 | 380 | 11.5 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 789 | 10.8 | 100 | 2.5 | 465 | 30.2 | 765 | 23.2 |
| 600-799 ....... | 406 | 5.5 | 55 | 1.4 | 248 | 16.1 | 395 | 12.0 |
| 800-999 | 107 | 1.5 | 34 | 0.9 | 54 | 3.5 | 102 | 3.1 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 48 | 0.6 | 30 | 0.8 | 20 | 1.3 | 44 | 1.3 |
| Other Unearned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................ | 5,913 | 80.6 | 2,949 | 74.6 | 1,275 | 82.7 | 2,792 | 84.6 |
| 1-199 | 763 | 10.4 | 472 | 11.9 | 195 | 12.6 | 340 | 10.3 |
| 200-399 | 333 | 4.5 | 268 | 6.8 | 42 | 2.7 | 94 | 2.9 |
| 400-599 | 173 | 2.4 | 136 | 3.4 | 17 | 1.1 | 42 | 1.3 |
| 600-799 . | 83 | 1.1 | 64 | 1.6 | 9 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.6 |
| 800-999 ......................... | 39 | 0.5 | 37 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 31 | 0.4 | 29 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.2 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-9. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Deduction and Household Composition, Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Type of Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Dependent Care |  |  | Excess Shelter |  |  | Medical |  | Child Support |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Percent With Maximum $^{\text {a }}$ | Number (000) | Percent | Percent With Maximum $^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent |
| Total . | 7,335 | 100.0 | 1,990 | 27.1 | 290 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 4,357 | 59.4 | 16.9 | 326 | 4.4 | 79 | 1.1 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 3,955 | 100.0 | 1,706 | 43.1 | 283 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 2,321 | 58.7 | 25.3 | 40 | 1.0 | 58 | 1.5 |
| School Age ....................... | 3,036 | 100.0 | 1,342 | 44.2 | 198 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 1,764 | 58.1 | 25.0 | 35 | 1.1 | 47 | 1.5 |
| Preschool Age ................... | 2,055 | 100.0 | 925 | 45.0 | 201 | 9.8 | 5.2 | 1,189 | 57.9 | 26.7 | 13 | 0.6 | 31 | 1.5 |
| No Children ........................ | 3,380 | 100.0 | 284 | 8.4 | 7 | 0.2 | - | 2,036 | 60.2 | 7.2 | 287 | 8.5 | 21 | 0.6 |
| Elderly Persons ................... | 1,542 | 100.0 | 54 | 3.5 | 3 | 0.2 | - | 908 | 58.9 | 0.3 | 212 | 13.8 | 4 | 0.2 |
| No Elderly Persons .............. | 5,793 | 100.0 | 1,936 | 33.4 | 287 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 3,449 | 59.5 | 21.2 | 114 | 2.0 | 75 | 1.3 |
| Disabled Persons .. | 2,017 | 100.0 | 199 | 9.9 | 16 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1,247 | 61.8 | 0.2 | 133 | 6.6 | 23 | 1.1 |
| No Disabled Persons ........... | 5,317 | 100.0 | 1,790 | 33.7 | 274 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 3,110 | 58.5 | 23.5 | 193 | 3.6 | 56 | 1.1 |
| Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ...................... | 6,717 | 100.0 | 1,990 | 29.6 | 287 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 4,120 | 61.3 | 15.2 | 326 | 4.9 | 77 | 1.2 |
| No Gross Income ................. | 617 | 100.0 | - | - | 3 | 0.5 | 13.3 | 237 | 38.4 | 45.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Net Income ......................... | 5,857 | 100.0 | 1,787 | 30.5 | 254 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 3,354 | 57.3 | 11.5 | 303 | 5.2 | 68 | 1.2 |
| No Net Income ................... | 1,477 | 100.0 | 203 | 13.7 | 36 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 1,003 | 67.9 | 34.9 | 23 | 1.6 | 10 | 0.7 |
| Earned Income ..................... | 1,993 | 100.0 | 1,990 | 99.9 | 263 | 13.2 | 4.7 | 1,086 | 54.5 | 26.2 | 23 | 1.1 | 44 | 2.2 |
| No Earned Income ............... | 5,342 | 100.0 | - | - | 27 | 0.5 | 10.6 | 3,271 | 61.2 | 13.8 | 304 | 5.7 | 34 | 0.6 |
| Unearned Income ................ | 5,775 | 100.0 | 1,048 | 18.1 | 149 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 3,545 | 61.4 | 13.0 | 326 | 5.6 | 54 | 0.9 |
| No Unearned Income ........... | 1,560 | 100.0 | 942 | 60.4 | 141 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 812 | 52.1 | 33.8 | 1 | 0.0 | 25 | 1.6 |
| TANF Income .................... | 1,891 | 100.0 | 476 | 25.2 | 52 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 1,136 | 60.1 | 23.3 | 13 | 0.7 | 13 | 0.7 |
| No TANF Income ................ | 5,444 | 100.0 | 1,513 | 27.8 | 238 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 3,221 | 59.2 | 14.6 | 314 | 5.8 | 66 | 1.2 |
| GA Income ......................... | 395 | 100.0 | 21 | 5.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 41.9 | 278 | 70.5 | 20.5 | 3 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.3 |
| No GA Income ................... | 6,940 | 100.0 | 1,969 | 28.4 | 289 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 4,079 | 58.8 | 16.6 | 323 | 4.7 | 78 | 1.1 |
| TANF or GA Income ........... | 2,274 | 100.0 | 497 | 21.9 | 54 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 1,410 | 62.0 | 22.8 | 16 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.6 |
| No TANF or GA Income ..... | 5,060 | 100.0 | 1,493 | 29.5 | 237 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 2,947 | 58.2 | 14.0 | 311 | 6.1 | 65 | 1.3 |
| SSI .................................... | 2,324 | 100.0 | 180 | 7.7 | 15 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1,422 | 61.2 | 0.3 | 80 | 3.5 | 16 | 0.7 |
| No SSI ............................... | 5,010 | 100.0 | 1,810 | 36.1 | 276 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 2,935 | 58.6 | 24.9 | 246 | 4.9 | 63 | 1.2 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 1,870 | 100.0 | 129 | 6.9 | 12 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 1,093 | 58.5 | 1.3 | 292 | 15.6 | 16 | 0.8 |
| No Social Security Income ... | 5,464 | 100.0 | 1,861 | 34.0 | 278 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 3,264 | 59.7 | 22.1 | 35 | 0.6 | 63 | 1.2 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit ............... | 801 | 100.0 | 101 | 12.7 | 5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 191 | 23.9 | 0.4 | 92 | 11.5 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 1,481 | 100.0 | 204 | 13.8 | 36 | 2.5 | 6.9 | 1,007 | 68.0 | 34.9 | 23 | 1.6 | 10 | 0.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent of households with deduction that receive the maximum.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-10. Average Values of Deductions of Participating Households by Household Composition, Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total <br> House- <br> holds <br> (000) | Average Amount of Deduction (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Earned Income |  | Dependent Care |  | Excess Shelter |  | Medical |  | Child Support |  |
|  |  | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction |
| Total ............................. | 7,335 | 41 | 150 | 5 | 125 | 111 | 186 | 6 | 124 | 2 | 162 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children .. | 3,955 | 70 | 162 | 9 | 122 | 104 | 177 | 1 | 89 | 2 | 169 |
| School Age ................. | 3,036 | 74 | 168 | 8 | 128 | 105 | 180 | 1 | 90 | 3 | 176 |
| Preschool Age ............ | 2,055 | 75 | 167 | 12 | 121 | 99 | 172 | 0 | 67 | 3 | 174 |
| No Children .................. | 3,380 | 6 | 74 | 0 | 221 | 119 | 197 | 11 | 129 | 1 | 141 |
| Elderly Persons ............ | 1,542 | 3 | 89 | 0 | 260 | 123 | 209 | 15 | 111 | 0 | 142 |
| No Elderly Persons ........ | 5,793 | 51 | 151 | 6 | 124 | 107 | 180 | 3 | 148 | 2 | 163 |
| Disabled Persons ........... | 2,017 | 10 | 98 | 2 | 197 | 125 | 203 | 9 | 142 | 1 | 123 |
| No Disabled Persons ..... | 5,317 | 52 | 156 | 6 | 121 | 105 | 180 | 4 | 112 | 2 | 178 |
| Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ............... | 6,717 | 44 | 150 | 5 | 125 | 114 | 185 | 6 | 124 | 2 | 160 |
| No Gross Income .......... | 617 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 126 | 80 | 209 | 0 | 546 | 1 | 257 |
| Net Income ................... | 5,857 | 49 | 162 | 5 | 119 | 94 | 163 | 5 | 90 | 2 | 151 |
| No Net Income ............. | 1,477 | 6 | 47 | 4 | 166 | 179 | 263 | 9 | 572 | 2 | 235 |
| Earned Income ............. | 1,993 | 150 | 150 | 16 | 124 | 94 | 173 | 3 | 307 | 4 | 186 |
| No Earned Income ........ | 5,342 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 134 | 117 | 191 | 6 | 110 | 1 | 131 |
| Unearned Income .......... | 5,775 | 24 | 130 | 3 | 127 | 115 | 188 | 7 | 124 | 1 | 157 |
| No Unearned Income .... | 1,560 | 104 | 172 | 11 | 122 | 95 | 182 | 0 | 288 | 3 | 173 |
| TANF Income .............. | 1,891 | 31 | 124 | 3 | 103 | 103 | 172 | 1 | 127 | 1 | 149 |
| No TANF Income ......... | 5,444 | 44 | 158 | 6 | 130 | 113 | 191 | 7 | 124 | 2 | 164 |
| GA Income ................... | 395 | 5 | 95 | 0 | 126 | 130 | 185 | 1 | 171 | 0 | 74 |
| No GA Income ............. | 6,940 | 43 | 150 | 5 | 125 | 110 | 187 | 6 | 124 | 2 | 163 |
| TANF or GA Income .... No TANF or GA | 2,274 | 27 | 123 | 2 | 104 | 108 | 175 | 1 | 136 | 1 | 144 |
| Income | 5,060 | 47 | 159 | 6 | 130 | 112 | 192 | 8 | 123 | 2 | 166 |
| SSI ............................. | 2,324 | 8 | 99 | 1 | 195 | 124 | 202 | 5 | 133 | 1 | 124 |
| No SSI ........................ | 5,010 | 56 | 155 | 7 | 121 | 105 | 179 | 6 | 121 | 2 | 172 |
| Social Security Income No Social Security | 1,870 | 6 | 89 | 1 | 182 | 113 | 194 | 19 | 122 | 1 | 116 |
| Income ..................... | 5,464 | 52 | 154 | 6 | 122 | 110 | 184 | 1 | 145 | 2 | 173 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit .......... | 801 | 15 | 120 | 1 | 119 | 20 | 83 | 8 | 67 | 0 | 60 |
| Maximum Benefit ......... | 1,481 | 6 | 47 | 4 | 166 | 179 | 263 | 9 | 569 | 2 | 235 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-11. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Amount of Deduction

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF or GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ..... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 2,017 | 100.0 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 2,274 | 100.0 |
| Total Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | 1,904 | 26.0 | 799 | 20.2 | 511 | 33.2 | 609 | 30.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 570 | 25.0 |
| 135-150 | 220 | 3.0 | 95 | 2.4 | 69 | 4.5 | 74 | 3.7 | 34 | 1.7 | 80 | 3.5 |
| 151-200 | 662 | 9.0 | 306 | 7.7 | 174 | 11.3 | 218 | 10.8 | 134 | 6.7 | 235 | 10.3 |
| 201-250 | 698 | 9.5 | 337 | 8.5 | 161 | 10.4 | 214 | 10.6 | 175 | 8.8 | 263 | 11.6 |
| 251-300 | 697 | 9.5 | 388 | 9.8 | 122 | 7.9 | 198 | 9.8 | 233 | 11.7 | 257 | 11.3 |
| 301-350 | 645 | 8.8 | 408 | 10.3 | 104 | 6.7 | 160 | 7.9 | 279 | 14.0 | 199 | 8.7 |
| 351-400 | 539 | 7.3 | 330 | 8.3 | 101 | 6.5 | 135 | 6.7 | 226 | 11.3 | 152 | 6.7 |
| 401-450 | 857 | 11.7 | 587 | 14.8 | 76 | 5.0 | 120 | 5.9 | 240 | 12.0 | 339 | 14.9 |
| 451-500 | 306 | 4.2 | 200 | 5.1 | 53 | 3.4 | 72 | 3.6 | 195 | 9.8 | 59 | 2.6 |
| 501+ ........................... | 804 | 11.0 | 504 | 12.8 | 171 | 11.1 | 218 | 10.8 | 478 | 24.0 | 120 | 5.3 |
| Earned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1-50 | 335 | 4.6 | 208 | 5.3 | 22 | 1.4 | 76 | 3.8 | 335 | 16.8 | 108 | 4.8 |
| 51-100 | 334 | 4.6 | 261 | 6.6 | 14 | 0.9 | 46 | 2.3 | 334 | 16.8 | 110 | 4.8 |
| 101-150 | 381 | 5.2 | 331 | 8.4 | 6 | 0.4 | 29 | 1.5 | 381 | 19.1 | 110 | 4.8 |
| 151-200 | 386 | 5.3 | 361 | 9.1 | 7 | 0.4 | 21 | 1.0 | 386 | 19.4 | 93 | 4.1 |
| 201-250 | 272 | 3.7 | 267 | 6.8 | 2 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.6 | 272 | 13.7 | 42 | 1.9 |
| 251-300 ...................... | 156 | 2.1 | 154 | 3.9 | 1 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.4 | 156 | 7.8 | 18 | 0.8 |
| 301+ ........................... | 125 | 1.7 | 124 | 3.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 | 125 | 6.3 | 16 | 0.7 |
| Dependent Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1-50 | 78 | 1.1 | 76 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.2 | 68 | 3.4 | 23 | 1.0 |
| 51-100 ........................ | 75 | 1.0 | 73 | 1.9 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 70 | 3.5 | 14 | 0.6 |
| 101-150 ...................... | 47 | 0.6 | 47 | 1.2 | - | - | 2 | 0.1 | 45 | 2.2 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 151-200 ....................... | 44 | 0.6 | 44 | 1.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | 40 | 2.0 | 6 | 0.3 |
| 201+ .......................... | 46 | 0.6 | 43 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.3 | 41 | 2.1 | 6 | 0.3 |
| Medical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None ........................... | 7,008 | 95.6 | 3,915 | 99.0 | 1,330 | 86.2 | 1,884 | 93.4 | 1,970 | 98.9 | 2,258 | 99.3 |
| \$1-25 | 110 | 1.5 | 22 | 0.6 | 64 | 4.2 | 53 | 2.6 | 5 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.3 |
| 26-50 | 47 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.1 | 33 | 2.1 | 19 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 51-75 ......................... | 33 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.1 | 25 | 1.6 | 10 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 76-100 | 23 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.1 | 18 | 1.1 | 6 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 101-150 | 35 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.1 | 26 | 1.7 | 10 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 151-200 ...................... | 27 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.1 | 18 | 1.2 | 9 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| 201-300 ....................... | 20 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 301+ ........................... | 32 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.0 | 15 | 1.0 | 18 | 0.9 | 7 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Child Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None ........................... | 7,256 | 98.9 | 3,896 | 98.5 | 1,538 | 99.8 | 1,994 | 98.9 | 1,948 | 97.8 | 2,260 | 99.4 |
| \$1-50 .......................... | 18 | 0.3 | 12 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.3 |
| 51-100 ........................ | 15 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 101-150 ...................... | 14 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 151-200 ....................... | 9 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 201-250 ...................... | 9 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 251-300 ....................... | 4 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 301+ ........................... | 11 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Excess Shelter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None ........................... | 2,977 | 40.6 | 1,634 | 41.3 | 633 | 41.1 | 770 | 38.2 | 907 | 45.5 | 864 | 38.0 |
| \$1-50 .......................... | 657 | 9.0 | 345 | 8.7 | 153 | 9.9 | 186 | 9.2 | 169 | 8.5 | 221 | 9.7 |
| 51-100 | 680 | 9.3 | 354 | 8.9 | 146 | 9.5 | 200 | 9.9 | 172 | 8.6 | 215 | 9.4 |
| 101-150 ....................... | 644 | 8.8 | 342 | 8.6 | 124 | 8.0 | 192 | 9.5 | 161 | 8.1 | 226 | 9.9 |
| 151-200 ...................... | 500 | 6.8 | 263 | 6.7 | 103 | 6.7 | 149 | 7.4 | 117 | 5.9 | 160 | 7.0 |
| 201-250 ...................... | 420 | 5.7 | 212 | 5.4 | 93 | 6.0 | 130 | 6.4 | 105 | 5.3 | 117 | 5.2 |
| 251-274 ...................... | 188 | 2.6 | 96 | 2.4 | 38 | 2.5 | 65 | 3.2 | 46 | 2.3 | 57 | 2.5 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-11. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Amount of Deduction - Continued

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF or GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Excess Shelter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275 ............................. | 731 | 10.0 | 585 | 14.8 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 283 | 14.2 | 319 | 14.0 |
| 276-300 ...................... | 79 | 1.1 | 18 | 0.5 | 32 | 2.0 | 53 | 2.6 | 5 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.6 |
| 301+ ........................... | 456 | 6.2 | 106 | 2.7 | 216 | 14.0 | 268 | 13.3 | 28 | 1.4 | 81 | 3.6 |
| None | 2,977 | 40.6 | 1,634 | 41.3 | 633 | 41.1 | 770 | 38.2 | 907 | 45.5 | 864 | 38.0 |
| Less Than Cap .............. | 3,096 | 42.2 | 1,615 | 40.8 | 659 | 42.7 | 924 | 45.8 | 772 | 38.7 | 998 | 43.9 |
| Equal to Cap ................ | 735 | 10.0 | 588 | 14.9 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 285 | 14.3 | 321 | 14.1 |
| Benefit < Max ............ | 384 | 5.2 | 354 | 9.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 202 | 10.2 | 191 | 8.4 |
| Benefit $=$ Max ............ | 351 | 4.8 | 234 | 5.9 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 82 | 4.1 | 131 | 5.8 |
| Greater Than Cap ......... | 526 | 7.2 | 118 | 3.0 | 247 | 16.0 | 320 | 15.9 | 30 | 1.5 | 90 | 4.0 |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-12. Average Total Deduction for Participating Households by Amount of Gross Income and Household Size

| Gross Income | Average Total Deduction by Household Size (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All <br> Households (Dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |  |
| Total ........................................... | 267 | 292 | 325 | 343 | 348 | 352 | 340 | 400 | 298 |
| \$0 ............................................. | 192 | 233 | 260 | 270 | 287 | 300 | 296 | 304 | 217 |
| 1-99 ........................................ | 214 | 248 | 239 | 262 | 252 | 322 | 213 | - | 230 |
| 100-199 ................................... | 244 | 229 | 265 | 302 | 308 | 284 | 247 | 396 | 250 |
| 200-299 ................................... | 259 | 249 | 251 | 256 | 236 | 258 | 236 | 279 | 253 |
| 300-399 ................................... | 285 | 276 | 278 | 268 | 275 | 224 | 280 | 354 | 279 |
| 400-499 .................................... | 288 | 303 | 274 | 287 | 277 | 267 | 278 | 180 | 289 |
| 500-599 .................................... | 262 | 278 | 300 | 311 | 272 | 289 | 274 | 291 | 268 |
| 600-699 .................................... | 305 | 289 | 302 | 324 | 304 | 301 | 251 | 277 | 303 |
| 700-799 ................................... | 293 | 268 | 311 | 302 | 278 | 266 | 266 | 242 | 288 |
| 800-899 ................................... | 356 | 338 | 335 | 318 | 295 | 317 | 269 | 387 | 332 |
| 900-999 .................................... | 422 | 351 | 365 | 358 | 339 | 303 | 308 | 279 | 358 |
| 1000+ ........................................ | 650 | 398 | 422 | 412 | 410 | 404 | 386 | 450 | 416 |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-13. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Food Stamp Benefit Amount, Food Stamp Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum Benefit, and Certification Period

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 2,017 | 100.0 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 1,891 | 100.0 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or less ... | 808 | 11.0 | 65 | 1.7 | 459 | 29.8 | 339 | 16.8 | 106 | 5.3 | 36 | 1.9 |
| 11-25. | 449 | 6.1 | 40 | 1.0 | 223 | 14.5 | 236 | 11.7 | 39 | 2.0 | 21 | 1.1 |
| 26-50. | 543 | 7.4 | 111 | 2.8 | 236 | 15.3 | 264 | 13.1 | 94 | 4.7 | 48 | 2.5 |
| 51-75 | 490 | 6.7 | 156 | 3.9 | 157 | 10.2 | 232 | 11.5 | 117 | 5.9 | 73 | 3.9 |
| 76-100 | 516 | 7.0 | 209 | 5.3 | 144 | 9.4 | 214 | 10.6 | 146 | 7.3 | 97 | 5.1 |
| 101-150 ... | 1,563 | 21.3 | 544 | 13.8 | 223 | 14.5 | 311 | 15.4 | 367 | 18.4 | 284 | 15.0 |
| 151-200 ...... | 590 | 8.0 | 534 | 13.5 | 37 | 2.4 | 131 | 6.5 | 280 | 14.0 | 269 | 14.2 |
| 201-300 | 1,233 | 16.8 | 1,156 | 29.2 | 45 | 2.9 | 173 | 8.6 | 464 | 23.3 | 569 | 30.1 |
| 301 or more .................. | 1,143 | 15.6 | 1,139 | 28.8 | 17 | 1.1 | 117 | 5.8 | 380 | 19.0 | 494 | 26.2 |
| Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 801 | 10.9 | 59 | 1.5 | 459 | 29.8 | 337 | 16.7 | 102 | 5.1 | 32 | 1.7 |
| < $25 \%$ a . | 921 | 12.6 | 337 | 8.5 | 342 | 22.2 | 421 | 20.9 | 256 | 12.8 | 135 | 7.1 |
| 25-50. | 1,373 | 18.7 | 845 | 21.4 | 292 | 18.9 | 546 | 27.1 | 577 | 29.0 | 355 | 18.8 |
| 51-75. | 1,501 | 20.5 | 1,063 | 26.9 | 219 | 14.2 | 419 | 20.8 | 538 | 27.0 | 573 | 30.3 |
| 76-99 ... | 1,258 | 17.2 | 960 | 24.3 | 95 | 6.1 | 187 | 9.3 | 314 | 15.8 | 577 | 30.5 |
| Maximum | 1,481 | 20.2 | 690 | 17.5 | 135 | 8.7 | 109 | 5.4 | 205 | 10.3 | 219 | 11.6 |
| Months in Certification Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 35 | 0.5 | 18 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.3 |
| 2 | 83 | 1.1 | 56 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.3 | 37 | 1.8 | 14 | 0.7 |
| 3 | 1,240 | 16.9 | 953 | 24.1 | 41 | 2.7 | 128 | 6.4 | 682 | 34.2 | 199 | 10.5 |
| 4 .................................. | 332 | 4.5 | 230 | 5.8 | 18 | 1.1 | 46 | 2.3 | 144 | 7.2 | 58 | 3.1 |
| 5 .................................. | 139 | 1.9 | 99 | 2.5 | 8 | 0.5 | 27 | 1.3 | 45 | 2.3 | 45 | 2.4 |
| 6. | 935 | 12.8 | 701 | 17.7 | 84 | 5.5 | 254 | 12.6 | 265 | 13.3 | 389 | 20.6 |
| 7 .................................... | 156 | 2.1 | 114 | 2.9 | 9 | 0.6 | 39 | 1.9 | 43 | 2.2 | 67 | 3.6 |
| 8 .................................... | 52 | 0.7 | 35 | 0.9 | 5 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.6 | 13 | 0.7 | 23 | 1.2 |
| 9 .................................. | 41 | 0.6 | 24 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.4 | 11 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.5 | 14 | 0.8 |
| 10 ................................ | 43 | 0.6 | 22 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.6 | 14 | 0.7 | 8 | 0.4 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 11 .................................. | 126 | 1.7 | 48 | 1.2 | 38 | 2.5 | 45 | 2.2 | 19 | 0.9 | 30 | 1.6 |
| 12 ................................ | 3,506 | 47.8 | 1,521 | 38.5 | 1,043 | 67.6 | 1,129 | 55.9 | 656 | 32.9 | 942 | 49.8 |
| 13+. | 619 | 8.4 | 124 | 3.1 | 267 | 17.3 | 291 | 14.4 | 55 | 2.8 | 81 | 4.3 |
| Unknown ......................... | 29 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.7 | 11 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Does not include households with the minimum benefit.
Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-14. Distribution of Participating Households by Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline and Food Stamp Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum

| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline | Total Households |  | Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Minimum |  | $<25^{\text {a }}$ |  | 25-50 |  | 51-75 |  | 76-99 |  | Maximum |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| All Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 7,335 | 100.0 | 801 | 100.0 | 921 | 100.0 | 1,373 | 100.0 | 1,501 | 100.0 | 1,258 | 100.0 | 1,481 | 100.0 |
| No Income ........ | 617 | 8.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 617 | 41.7 |
| >0-50\% .......... | 1,804 | 24.6 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.2 | 297 | 19.8 | 836 | 66.4 | 669 | 45.2 |
| 51-100 ........... | 4,076 | 55.6 | 495 | 61.8 | 653 | 70.9 | 1,170 | 85.2 | 1,161 | 77.4 | 413 | 32.8 | 184 | 12.4 |
| 101-130 ......... | 761 | 10.4 | 251 | 31.4 | 260 | 28.3 | 196 | 14.2 | 39 | 2.6 | 8 | 0.6 | 7 | 0.5 |
| 131+ ............... | 76 | 1.0 | 54 | 6.8 | 8 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.2 |
| Households With Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 3,955 | 100.0 | 59 | 100.0 | 337 | 100.0 | 845 | 100.0 | 1,063 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 | 690 | 100.0 |
| No Income ........ | 250 | 6.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 250 | 36.2 |
| >0-50\% .......... | 1,394 | 35.2 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.3 | 251 | 23.6 | 720 | 75.0 | 420 | 60.8 |
| 51-100 ........... | 1,860 | 47.0 | 10 | 16.2 | 112 | 33.1 | 688 | 81.3 | 793 | 74.6 | 239 | 24.9 | 20 | 2.9 |
| 101-130 ......... | 433 | 11.0 | 36 | 61.9 | 222 | 65.9 | 154 | 18.2 | 20 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 131+ ............... | 17 | 0.4 | 13 | 21.8 | 3 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Households With Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 1,542 | 100.0 | 459 | 100.0 | 342 | 100.0 | 292 | 100.0 | 219 | 100.0 | 95 | 100.0 | 135 | 100.0 |
| No Income ........ | 17 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 12.9 |
| >0-50\% .......... | 70 | 4.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 8.6 | 19 | 19.6 | 33 | 24.3 |
| 51-100 ........... | 1,232 | 79.9 | 314 | 68.4 | 314 | 91.9 | 267 | 91.3 | 186 | 85.1 | 71 | 74.6 | 80 | 59.5 |
| 101-130 ......... | 198 | 12.9 | 129 | 28.1 | 26 | 7.6 | 24 | 8.2 | 12 | 5.5 | 4 | 4.4 | 3 | 2.3 |
| 131+ ............... | 24 | 1.6 | 16 | 3.5 | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Households With Disabled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 2,017 | 100.0 | 337 | 100.0 | 421 | 100.0 | 546 | 100.0 | 419 | 100.0 | 187 | 100.0 | 109 | 100.0 |
| No Income ........ | 4 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3.4 |
| >0-50\% .......... | 141 | 7.0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 58 | 13.8 | 58 | 31.0 | 25 | 23.4 |
| 51-100 ........... | 1,619 | 80.2 | 207 | 61.6 | 354 | 84.1 | 510 | 93.4 | 348 | 83.1 | 126 | 67.3 | 74 | 68.2 |
| 101-130 ......... | 215 | 10.6 | 103 | 30.5 | 62 | 14.8 | 33 | 6.0 | 11 | 2.7 | 2 | 1.3 | 3 | 3.1 |
| 131+ ............... | 39 | 1.9 | 27 | 7.9 | 4 | 1.0 | 4 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.5 | 2 | 1.8 |
| Households With Earned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 1,993 | 100.0 | 102 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 | 577 | 100.0 | 538 | 100.0 | 314 | 100.0 | 205 | 100.0 |
| >0-50\% .......... | 395 | 19.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | 9.2 | 168 | 53.6 | 177 | 86.1 |
| 51-100 ........... | 1,125 | 56.5 | 20 | 19.4 | 49 | 19.3 | 423 | 73.2 | 466 | 86.5 | 143 | 45.5 | 25 | 12.0 |
| 101-130 ......... | 439 | 22.0 | 55 | 54.4 | 202 | 79.1 | 154 | 26.6 | 22 | 4.2 | 3 | 0.9 | 2 | 1.2 |
| 131+ ............... | 34 | 1.7 | 27 | 26.3 | 4 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.7 |
| Households With TANF Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 1,891 | 100.0 | 32 | 100.0 | 135 | 100.0 | 355 | 100.0 | 573 | 100.0 | 577 | 100.0 | 219 | 100.0 |
| >0-50\% .......... | 847 | 44.8 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.8 | 171 | 29.9 | 461 | 79.8 | 212 | 96.6 |
| 51-100 ........... | 926 | 49.0 | 7 | 21.0 | 69 | 50.9 | 328 | 92.4 | 399 | 69.7 | 116 | 20.0 | 7 | 3.3 |
| 101-130 ......... | 105 | 5.5 | 14 | 43.2 | 64 | 47.7 | 24 | 6.7 | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 131+ ............... | 14 | 0.7 | 11 | 35.8 | 2 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^15]Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-15. Average Food Stamp Benefit of Participating Households by Amount of Gross Income and Household Size

| Gross Income | Average Food Stamp Benefit by Household Size (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All <br> Households (Dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |  |
| Average Food Stamp Benefit Per <br> Household $\qquad$ | 65 | 144 | 221 | 273 | 310 | 375 | 424 | 510 | 158 |
| \$0 | 128 | 235 | 336 | 428 | 506 | 612 | 675 | 815 | 209 |
| 1-99 ...................................... | 128 | 234 | 335 | 427 | 507 | 607 | 671 | - | 222 |
| 100-199 ................................. | 127 | 231 | 333 | 424 | 507 | 606 | 678 | 791 | 235 |
| 200-299 ................................. | 118 | 220 | 321 | 414 | 489 | 596 | 667 | 808 | 236 |
| 300-399 .................................. | 102 | 205 | 306 | 395 | 480 | 571 | 644 | 810 | 207 |
| 400-499 ................................. | 76 | 186 | 284 | 375 | 456 | 548 | 613 | 701 | 209 |
| 500-599 .................................. | 45 | 157 | 262 | 351 | 421 | 527 | 601 | 781 | 93 |
| 600-699 ................................. | 38 | 126 | 233 | 333 | 404 | 502 | 558 | 713 | 133 |
| 700-799 ................................. | 24 | 88 | 203 | 293 | 367 | 471 | 537 | 673 | 143 |
| 800-899 ................................. | 22 | 81 | 183 | 270 | 347 | 445 | 499 | 660 | 164 |
| 900-999 .................................. | 30 | 60 | 161 | 249 | 324 | 424 | 483 | 611 | 174 |
| 1000+ ...................................... | 23 | 36 | 103 | 159 | 205 | 275 | 327 | 422 | 162 |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-16. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Most Recent Action and Expedited Service

| Most Recent Action and Expedited Service | Total Households |  | Entrants |  | Other Households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................................. | 7,335 | 100.0 | 401 | 100.0 | 6,934 | 100.0 |
| Initial Certification | 1,692 | 23.1 | 401 | 100.0 | 1,291 | 18.6 |
| Expedited Service ........................ | 297 | 4.0 | 131 | 32.7 | 166 | 2.4 |
| No Expedited Service .................... | 1,395 | 19.0 | 270 | 67.3 | 1,125 | 16.2 |
| Recertification ................................ | 4,291 | 58.5 | - | - | 4,291 | 61.9 |
| Expedited Service ........................ | 158 | 2.2 | - | - | 158 | 2.3 |
| No Expedited Service .................... | 4,133 | 56.4 | - | - | 4,133 | 59.6 |

- By definition these are mutually exclusive categories; therefore, no households will be found in these categories.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-17. Distribution of Participating Households, Persons, and Benefits by Household Composition

| Household Composition ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7,335 | 100.0 | 17,091 | 100.0 | 1,159,008 | 100.0 |
| Children | 3,955 | 53.9 | 13,294 | 77.8 | 925,373 | 79.8 |
| Single-Adult Household ................. | 2,704 | 36.9 | 8,541 | 50.0 | 623,315 | 53.8 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ............. | 867 | 11.8 | 3,939 | 23.0 | 235,231 | 20.3 |
| Married Couple Household ......... | 573 | 7.8 | 2,652 | 15.5 | 153,882 | 13.3 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household | 294 | 4.0 | 1,286 | 7.5 | 81,349 | 7.0 |
| Children Only ............................. | 382 | 5.2 | 812 | 4.7 | 66,637 | 5.7 |
| Unknown .................................... | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 191 | 0.0 |
| Elderly ......................................... | 1,542 | 21.0 | 1,987 | 11.6 | 90,364 | 7.8 |
| Living Alone ............................... | 1,226 | 16.7 | 1,226 | 7.2 | 53,697 | 4.6 |
| Not Living Alone ......................... | 316 | 4.3 | 762 | 4.5 | 36,666 | 3.2 |
| Disabled | 2,017 | 27.5 | 4,005 | 23.4 | 200,247 | 17.3 |
| Living Alone ............................... | 1,154 | 15.7 | 1,154 | 6.8 | 54,333 | 4.7 |
| Not Living Alone ......................... | 863 | 11.8 | 2,851 | 16.7 | 145,914 | 12.6 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {c }}$........................ | 837 | 11.4 | 909 | 5.3 | 101,634 | 8.8 |
| Single-Person Household ............... | 774 | 10.5 | 774 | 4.5 | 89,614 | 7.7 |
| Multi-Person Household ................ | 64 | 0.9 | 135 | 0.8 | 12,021 | 1.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Household composition categories are based on characteristics of participating individuals only, and do not factor in characteristics of nonparticipants who may live in the same household with the participants. For example, single-adult households have exactly one participating adult and at least one participating child, but may have one or more nonparticipating adult or an adult participating in a separate case not included in the FSPQC sample.
b The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
c Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons.
Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-18. Average Gross and Net Income, Total Deduction, Countable Resources, Food Stamp Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition

| Household Composition ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total Households |  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Gross Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Total Deduction (Dollars) | Countable <br> Resources <br> (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) | Certification Period (Months) |
| Total ................................... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 620 | 355 | 298 | 156 | 158 | 2.3 | 9.6 |
| Children | 3,955 | 53.9 | 727 | 436 | 321 | 133 | 234 | 3.4 | 7.9 |
| Single-Adult Household ....... | 2,704 | 36.9 | 667 | 387 | 309 | 111 | 230 | 3.2 | 7.8 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ... | 867 | 11.8 | 1031 | 678 | 376 | 235 | 271 | 4.5 | 7.5 |
| Married Couple Household Other Multiple-Adult | 573 | 7.8 | 1081 | 715 | 392 | 280 | 269 | 4.6 | 7.3 |
| Household ....................... | 294 | 4.0 | 933 | 606 | 345 | 146 | 276 | 4.4 | 7.7 |
| Children Only ..................... | 382 | 5.2 | 465 | 233 | 281 | 59 | 174 | 2.1 | 9.4 |
| Unknown ........................... | 1 | 0.0 | 675 | 399 | 276 | 19 | 333 | 4.3 | 5.9 |
| Elderly ............................... | 1,542 | 21.0 | 617 | 356 | 277 | 275 | 59 | 1.3 | 12.9 |
| Living Alone ..................... | 1,226 | 16.7 | 564 | 308 | 272 | 275 | 44 | 1.0 | 13.3 |
| Not Living Alone ................ | 316 | 4.3 | 821 | 546 | 298 | 274 | 116 | 2.4 | 11.2 |
| Disabled .............................. | 2,017 | 27.5 | 711 | 440 | 282 | 150 | 99 | 2.0 | 11.5 |
| Living Alone ...................... | 1,154 | 15.7 | 565 | 294 | 283 | 146 | 47 | 1.0 | 13.4 |
| Not Living Alone ................ | 863 | 11.8 | 906 | 635 | 280 | 155 | 169 | 3.3 | 9.0 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 837 | 11.4 | 192 | 57 | 245 | 64 | 121 | 1.1 | 7.2 |
| Single-Person Household ..... | 774 | 10.5 | 170 | 45 | 238 | 58 | 116 | 1.0 | 7.2 |
| Multi-Person Household ...... | 64 | 0.9 | 462 | 202 | 335 | 126 | 189 | 2.1 | 7.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Household composition categories are based on characteristics of participating individuals only, and do not factor in characteristics of nonparticipants who may live in the same household with the participants. For example, single-adult households have exactly one participating adult and at least one participating child, but may have one or more nonparticipating adult or an adult participating in a separate case not included in the FSPQC sample.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons.
Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-19. Distribution of Participating Households by Income Type and Household Composition

| Household Composition ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total Households |  | Income Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Unearned Income |  | TANF Income |  | GA Income |  | SSI |  | Social Security Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}\right.$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7,335 | 100.0 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 5,775 | 100.0 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 395 | 100.0 | 2,324 | 100.0 | 1,870 | 100.0 |
| Children $\qquad$ | 3,955 | 53.9 | 1,707 | 85.6 | 2,912 | 50.4 | 1,842 | 97.4 | 37 | 9.3 | 622 | 26.8 | 360 | 19.3 |
| Household .......... | 2,704 | 36.9 | 1,049 | 52.7 | 2,075 | 35.9 | 1,332 | 70.4 | 24 | 6.0 | 421 | 18.1 | 239 | 12.8 |
| Household $\qquad$ Other | 573 | 7.8 | 371 | 18.6 | 343 | 5.9 | 162 | 8.6 | 8 | 2.0 | 113 | 4.9 | 71 | 3.8 |
| Multiple-Adult <br> Household | 294 | 4.0 | 154 | 7.7 | 227 | 3.9 | 128 | 6.7 | 4 | 1.0 | 79 | 3.4 | 42 | 2.2 |
| Children Only ......... | 382 | 5.2 | 132 | 6.6 | 267 | 4.6 | 220 | 11.6 | 2 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.5 |
| Unknown ............... | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Elderly ................... | 1,542 | 21.0 | 54 | 2.7 | 1,511 | 26.2 | 49 | 2.6 | 79 | 19.9 | 914 | 39.3 | 1,068 | 57.1 |
| Living Alone .......... | 1,226 | 16.7 | 23 | 1.2 | 1,204 | 20.8 | 1 | 0.1 | 62 | 15.7 | 723 | 31.1 | 866 | 46.3 |
| Not Living Alone ... | 316 | 4.3 | 30 | 1.5 | 308 | 5.3 | 48 | 2.5 | 17 | 4.2 | 191 | 8.2 | 202 | 10.8 |
| Disabled ................. | 2,017 | 27.5 | 202 | 10.1 | 2,012 | 34.8 | 379 | 20.1 | 79 | 20.0 | 1,634 | 70.3 | 836 | 44.7 |
| Living Alone ......... | 1,154 | 15.7 | 71 | 3.5 | 1,151 | 19.9 | 2 | 0.1 | 55 | 13.9 | 895 | 38.5 | 536 | 28.6 |
| Not Living Alone ... | 863 | 11.8 | 131 | 6.6 | 861 | 14.9 | 377 | 19.9 | 24 | 6.0 | 740 | 31.8 | 300 | 16.1 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 837 | 11.4 | 167 | 8.4 | 350 | 6.1 | 38 | 2.0 | 232 | 58.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Single-Person ........ | 774 | 10.5 | 136 | 6.8 | 323 | 5.6 | 28 | 1.5 | 225 | 56.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Multi-Person .......... | 64 | 0.9 | 31 | 1.6 | 27 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.8 | - | - | - | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Household compositions categories are based on characteristics of participating individuals only, and do not factor in characteristics of nonparticipants who may live in the same household with the participants For example, single-adult households have exactly one participating adult and at least one participating child, but may have one or more nonparticipating adult or an adult participating in a separate case not included in the FSPQC sample.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
c Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-20. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Disabled Persons by Selected Characteristics

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Household With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | School Age Children |  | Preschool Age Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 3,036 | 100.0 | 2,055 | 100.0 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 2,017 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 3,955 | 53.9 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 3,036 | 100.0 | 2,055 | 100.0 | 92 | 6.0 | 694 | 34.4 |
| School Age ....................... | 3,036 | 41.4 | 3,036 | 76.8 | 3,036 | 100.0 | 1,136 | 55.3 | 86 | 5.5 | 604 | 29.9 |
| Preschool Age .................. | 2,055 | 28.0 | 2,055 | 52.0 | 1,136 | 37.4 | 2,055 | 100.0 | 18 | 1.2 | 254 | 12.6 |
| Elderly Persons . | 1,542 | 21.0 | 92 | 2.3 | 86 | 2.8 | 18 | 0.9 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 258 | 12.8 |
| Disabled Persons ................. | 2,017 | 27.5 | 694 | 17.6 | 604 | 19.9 | 254 | 12.4 | 1,542258 | 16.8 | 2,017 | 100.0 |
| Income Source and Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ...................... | 6,717 | 91.6 | 3,704 | 93.7 | 2,874 | 94.7 | 1,906 | 92.8 | 1,524 | 98.9 | 2,013 | 99.8 |
| No Gross Income ................. | 617 | 8.4 | 250 | 6.3 | 2,874 | 5.3 | 149 | 7.2 | 17 | 1.1 | 2,013 4 | 0.2 |
| Net Income . | $\begin{aligned} & 5,857 \\ & 1,477 \end{aligned}$ | 20.1 |  | 82.6 | 2,577 | 84.9 | 1,664 | 81.0 | 1,407 | 91.3 | 1,909 | 94.6 |
| No Net Income |  |  | $688$ | 17.4 | 2,579 | 15.1 | 1,664 | 19.0 | 134 | 8.7 | 108 | 5.4 |
| Earned Income .................... | 1,993 | 27.2 | 1,707 | 43.2 | 1,343 | 44.2 | 9251,443 | 45.0 | 541.511 | 3.598.0 | 202 | 10.099.7 |
| Unearned Income ................ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,775 \\ & 1,891 \end{aligned}$ | 78.7 | 2,912 | 73.6 | 2,287 | 75.3 |  | 70.2 |  |  | 2,012 |  |
| TANF Income .................... |  | 7.725.85.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,842 \\ 37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.6 \\ 0.9 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 45.9 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | 1,443 954 | $\begin{array}{r} 46.4 \\ 0.9 \end{array}$ | 4979 | 3.25.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 379 \\ 79 \end{array}$ | 99.7 18.8 |
| GA Income | $\begin{array}{r} 1,891 \\ 395 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 1,393 30 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 954 \\ 19 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 18.8 3.9 |
| SSI | $\begin{aligned} & 2,324 \\ & 1,870 \\ & 2,440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.7 \\ & 25.5 \\ & 33.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 622 \\ 360 \\ 1,234 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.7 \\ 9.1 \\ 31.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 541 \\ 327 \\ 1,001 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.8 \\ & 10.8 \\ & 33.0 \end{aligned}$ | 229 | 11.1 | 914 | 59.3 | 1,634 | 81.0 |
| Social Security Income ......... |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | 5.3 | 1,068 | 69.3 | 836 | 41.5 |
| Countable Resources ........... |  |  |  |  |  |  | 581 | 28.3 | 704 | 45.7 | 725 | 36.0 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction .............. | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 3,036 | 100.0 | 2,055 | 100.0 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 2,017 | 100.0 |
| Earned Income Deduction .... | 1,990 | 27.1 | 1,706 | 43.1 | 1,342 | 44.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 925 \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | 45.0 | 543 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | 199 | 9.90.8 |
| Dependent Deduction .......... | 290 | 4.0 | 283 | 7.2 | 198 | 6.5 |  | 9.8 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ..... | $\begin{array}{r} 4,357 \\ 326 \\ 79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.4 \\ 4.4 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,321 \\ 40 \\ 58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.2 \\ 58.7 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ | 1,764 | 58.1 | 1,189 | 57.9 | 908 | 58.9 | 1,247 | 61.8 |
| Medical Deduction .............. |  |  |  |  | 35 | 1.1 | 13 | 0.6 | 212 | 13.8 | 133 | 6.6 |
| Child Support Deduction ...... |  |  |  |  | 47 | 1.5 | 31 | 1.5 | 4 | 0.2 | 23 | 1.1 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or Less ........................ | $\begin{array}{r} 808 \\ 1,997 \end{array}$ | 11.0 | 65 | 1.7 | 45 | 1.5 | 22 | 1.1 | 459 | 29.8 | 339 | 16.8 |
| 11-100 |  | 27.2 | 516 | 13.0 | 401 | 13.2 | 191 | 9.3 | 760 | $\begin{aligned} & 49.3 \\ & 16.9 \end{aligned}$ | 946 | 46.921.9 |
| 101-200 ............................. | 2,153 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.4 \\ & 16.8 \\ & 15.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,0 / 8 \\ & 1,156 \\ & 1,139 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.3 \\ & 29.2 \\ & 28.8 \end{aligned}$ | 790 | 26.0 | 500 | 24.3 | 260 |  | 442 |  |
| 201-300 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,233 \\ & 1,143 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 830 | 27.4 | 627 | 30.5 | 45 | 2.9 | 173 | 8.6 |
| 301 or More ....................... |  |  |  |  | 970 | 31.9 | 715 | 34.8 | 17 | 1.1 | 117 | 5.8 |
| Minimum Benefit ................ | $\begin{array}{r} 801 \\ 1,481 \end{array}$ | 10.9 | 59 | 1.5 | 40 | 1.3 | 19 | 0.9 | 459 | 29.8 | 337 | 16.7 |
| Maximum Benefit ......... |  | 20.2 | 690 | 17.5 | 460 | 15.1 | 393 | 19.1 | 135 | 8.7 | 109 | 5.4 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ........................................ | 3,126 | 42.6 | 139 | 3.5 | 78 | 2.6 | 60 | 2.9 | 1,226 | 79.5 | 1,154 | 57.2 |
| 2 ....................................... | 1,481 | 20.2 | 1,112 | 28.1 | 660 | 21.7 | 494 | 24.0 | 250 | 16.2 | 347 | 17.2 |
| 3 ....................................... | 1,160 | 15.8 | 1,139 | 28.8 | 861 | 28.4 | 574 | 27.9 | 35 | 2.3 | 205 | 10.1 |
| 4 ....................................... | 832 | 11.3 | 831 | 21.0 | 727 | 23.9 | 470 | 22.9 | 15 | 1.0 | 152 | 7.5 |
| 5 ...................................... | 429 | 5.9 | 429 | 10.8 | 407 | 13.4 | 257 | 12.5 | 7 | 0.4 | 83 | 4.1 |
| $6+$ | 306 | 4.2 | 306 | 7.7 | 303 | 10.0 | 200 | 9.7 | 9 | 0.6 | 77 | 3.8 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-21. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Disabled Persons

| Household Characteristic | Average Monthly Values for Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Children | School Age Children | Preschool Age Children | Elderly | Disabled |
| Income and Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income | 620 | 727 | 782 | 708 | 617 | 711 |
| Net Income ........................................ | 355 | 436 | 483 | 416 | 356 | 440 |
| Earned Income .................................. | 204 | 351 | 372 | 376 | 16 | 49 |
| Unearned Income ............................... | 416 | 376 | 410 | 332 | 601 | 662 |
| TANF Income ................................... | 96 | 175 | 179 | 179 | 9 | 54 |
| GA Income | 11 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 4 |
| SSI .................................................. | 128 | 78 | 89 | 57 | 198 | 359 |
| Social Security Income ....................... | 131 | 47 | 56 | 26 | 359 | 217 |
| Countable Resources .......................... | 156 | 133 | 146 | 112 | 275 | 150 |
| Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guildeline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income .................................... | 62.8 | 57.9 | 59.9 | 54.2 | 82.0 | 79.1 |
| Net Income ........................................ | 34.7 | 33.7 | 36.1 | 30.7 | 46.6 | 46.6 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ................................. | 298 | 321 | 326 | 324 | 277 | 282 |
| Earned Income Deduction .................... | 41 | 70 | 74 | 75 | 3 | 10 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 150 | 162 | 168 | 167 | 89 | 98 |
| Dependent Deduction .......................... | 5 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 2 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 125 | 122 | 128 | 121 | 260 | 197 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction .................... | 111 | 104 | 105 | 99 | 123 | 125 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 186 | 177 | 180 | 172 | 209 | 203 |
| Medical Deduction ............................. | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 9 |
| Over Households With Deduction ........ | 124 | 89 | 90 | 67 | 111 | 142 |
| Child Support Deduction ..................... | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Over Households With Deduction ........ | 162 | 169 | 176 | 174 | 142 | 123 |
| Food Stamp Benefit ................................. | 158 | 234 | 243 | 257 | 59 | 99 |
| Household Size ...................................... | 2.3 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Certification Period ............................... | 9.6 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 12.9 | 11.5 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-22. Distribution of Participating Households With Earned Income and Unearned Income by Selected Characteristics

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Income Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Unearned Income |  | TANF Income |  | GA Income |  | TANF or GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 5,775 | 100.0 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 395 | 100.0 | 2,274 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 3,955 | 53.9 | 1,707 | 85.6 | 2,912 | 50.4 | 1,842 | 97.4 | 37 | 9.3 | 1,869 | 82.2 |
| School Age ....................... | 3,036 | 41.4 | 1,343 | 67.4 | 2,287 | 39.6 | 1,393 | 73.7 | 30 | 7.7 | 1,415 | 62.2 |
| Preschool Age .................. | 2,055 | 28.0 | 925 | 46.4 | 1,443 | 25.0 | 954 | 50.5 | 19 | 4.7 | 970 | 42.7 |
| Elderly Persons ................... | 1,542 | 21.0 | 54 | 2.7 | 1,511 | 26.2 | 49 | 2.6 | 79 | 19.9 | 127 | 5.6 |
| Disabled Persons ................. | 2,017 | 27.5 | 202 | 10.1 | 2,012 | 34.8 | 379 | 20.1 | 79 | 20.0 | 451 | 19.8 |
| Income Source and Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ....................... | 6,717 | 91.6 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 5,775 | 100.0 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 395 | 100.0 | 2,274 | 100.0 |
| No Gross Income ................. | 617 | 8.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net Income ........................ | 5,857 | 79.9 | 1,789 | 89.8 | 5,065 | 87.7 | 1,673 | 88.5 | 243 | 61.5 | 1,905 | 83.8 |
| No Net Income ................... | 1,477 | 20.1 | 204 | 10.2 | 710 | 12.3 | 218 | 11.5 | 152 | 38.5 | 369 | 16.2 |
| Earned Income .................... | 1,993 | 27.2 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 1,050 | 18.2 | 477 | 25.2 | 21 | 5.4 | 498 | 21.9 |
| Unearned Income ................ | 5,775 | 78.7 | 1,050 | 52.7 | 5,775 | 100.0 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 395 | 100.0 | 2,274 | 100.0 |
| TANF Income .................... | 1,891 | 25.8 | 477 | 23.9 | 1,891 | 32.7 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 12 | 2.9 | 1,891 | 83.1 |
| GA Income ......................... | 395 | 5.4 | 21 | 1.1 | 395 | 6.8 | 12 | 0.6 | 395 | 100.0 | 395 | 17.4 |
| SSI Income ......................... | 2,324 | 31.7 | 181 | 9.1 | 2,324 | 40.3 | 367 | 19.4 | 98 | 24.7 | 458 | 20.1 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 1,870 | 25.5 | 130 | 6.5 | 1,870 | 32.4 | 133 | 7.0 | 48 | 12.1 | 180 | 7.9 |
| Countable Resources ........... | 2,440 | 33.3 | 802 | 40.2 | 1,962 | 34.0 | 395 | 20.9 | 79 | 20.0 | 470 | 20.7 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ................... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 5,775 | 100.0 | 1,891 | 100.0 | 395 | 100.0 | 2,274 | 100.0 |
| Earned Income Deduction .... | 1,990 | 27.1 | 1,990 | 99.9 | 1,048 | 18.1 | 476 | 25.2 | 21 | 5.4 | 497 | 21.9 |
| Dependent Deduction .......... | 290 | 4.0 | 263 | 13.2 | 149 | 2.6 | 52 | 2.8 | 1 | 0.3 | 54 | 2.4 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ..... | 4,357 | 59.4 | 1,086 | 54.5 | 3,545 | 61.4 | 1,136 | 60.1 | 278 | 70.5 | 1,410 | 62.0 |
| Medical Deduction .............. | 326 | 4.4 | 23 | 1.1 | 326 | 5.6 | 13 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.8 | 16 | 0.7 |
| Child Support Deduction ...... | 79 | 1.1 | 44 | 2.2 | 54 | 0.9 | 13 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.6 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or Less ....................... | 808 | 11.0 | 106 | 5.3 | 789 | 13.7 | 36 | 1.9 | 45 | 11.3 | 80 | 3.5 |
| 11-100 ............................... | 1,997 | 27.2 | 397 | 19.9 | 1,839 | 31.8 | 239 | 12.6 | 85 | 21.6 | 320 | 14.1 |
| 101-200 | 2,153 | 29.4 | 647 | 32.5 | 1,450 | 25.1 | 553 | 29.2 | 237 | 59.9 | 785 | 34.5 |
| 201-300 ............................. | 1,233 | 16.8 | 464 | 23.3 | 904 | 15.7 | 569 | 30.1 | 18 | 4.6 | 586 | 25.8 |
| 301 or More ........................ | 1,143 | 15.6 | 380 | 19.0 | 793 | 13.7 | 494 | 26.2 | 10 | 2.6 | 503 | 22.1 |
| Minimum Benefit ................ | 801 | 10.9 | 102 | 5.1 | 782 | 13.5 | 32 | 1.7 | 44 | 11.3 | 76 | 3.4 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 1,481 | 20.2 | 205 | 10.3 | 712 | 12.3 | 219 | 11.6 | 152 | 38.5 | 371 | 16.3 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ....................................... | 3,126 | 42.6 | 267 | 13.4 | 2,608 | 45.2 | 115 | 6.1 | 332 | 84.0 | 445 | 19.6 |
| 2 ....................................... | 1,481 | 20.2 | 405 | 20.3 | 1,177 | 20.4 | 597 | 31.6 | 37 | 9.2 | 631 | 27.7 |
| 3 ...................................... | 1,160 | 15.8 | 498 | 25.0 | 852 | 14.8 | 510 | 27.0 | 10 | 2.4 | 517 | 22.7 |
| 4 ........................................ | 832 | 11.3 | 423 | 21.2 | 592 | 10.3 | 342 | 18.1 | 10 | 2.4 | 349 | 15.4 |
| 5 ....................................... | 429 | 5.9 | 237 | 11.9 | 312 | 5.4 | 181 | 9.6 | 4 | 1.0 | 185 | 8.1 |
| 6+ .................................... | 306 | 4.2 | 164 | 8.2 | 234 | 4.0 | 145 | 7.7 | 3 | 0.9 | 147 | 6.5 |

[^16]Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-23. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households With Earned and Unearned Income

| Household Characteristic | Average Monthly Values for Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Earned Income | Unearned Income | TANF Income | GA Income | TANF or GA Income |
| Income and Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income | 620 | 942 | 646 | 677 | 413 | 630 |
| Net Income | 355 | 555 | 378 | 415 | 179 | 372 |
| Earned Income | 204 | 749 | 118 | 157 | 26 | 135 |
| Unearned Income | 416 | 192 | 529 | 520 | 387 | 495 |
| TANF Income | 96 | 76 | 122 | 374 | 10 | 311 |
| GA Income ........................................ | 11 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 213 | 37 |
| SSI | 128 | 38 | 162 | 97 | 101 | 97 |
| Social Security Income ....................... | 131 | 29 | 167 | 29 | 55 | 33 |
| Countable Resources .......................... | 156 | 178 | 165 | 91 | 88 | 90 |
| Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income | 62.8 | 77.1 | 68.1 | 55.7 | 52.9 | 55.1 |
| Net Income ....................................... | 34.7 | 43.8 | 38.2 | 32.8 | 21.4 | 30.7 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ................................. | 298 | 402 | 285 | 274 | 274 | 275 |
| Earned Income Deduction .................... | 41 | 150 | 24 | 31 | 5 | 27 |
| Over Households With Deduction ........ | 150 | 150 | 130 | 124 | 95 | 123 |
| Dependent Deduction .......................... | 5 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 125 | 124 | 127 | 103 | 126 | 104 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction .................... | 111 | 94 | 115 | 103 | 130 | 108 |
| Over Households With Deduction ........ | 186 | 173 | 188 | 172 | 185 | 175 |
| Medical Deduction .............................. | 6 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 124 | 307 | 124 | 127 | 171 | 136 |
| Child Support Deduction ..................... | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Over Households With Deduction ........ | 162 | 186 | 157 | 149 | 74 | 144 |
| Food Stamp Benefit ................................ | 158 | 192 | 145 | 228 | 112 | 208 |
| Household Size ...................................... | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.9 |
| Certification Period ............................... | 9.6 | 7.2 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 11.2 | 9.6 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-24. Distribution of Participating Households With Selected Household Characteristics by the Race and Citizenship of the Household Head

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF or GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................................ | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 2,017 | 100.0 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 2,274 | 100.0 |
| Race of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White ........................................... | 3,238 | 44.1 | 1,416 | 35.8 | 792 | 51.4 | 1,085 | 53.8 | 811 | 40.7 | 700 | 30.8 |
| African-American | 2,411 | 32.9 | 1,402 | 35.5 | 415 | 26.9 | 658 | 32.6 | 655 | 32.8 | 833 | 36.6 |
| Hispanic | 952 | 13.0 | 560 | 14.2 | 238 | 15.4 | 202 | 10.0 | 280 | 14.0 | 372 | 16.3 |
| Asian ............................................. | 187 | 2.5 | 89 | 2.2 | 74 | 4.8 | 27 | 1.3 | 53 | 2.7 | 86 | 3.8 |
| Native American | 92 | 1.3 | 59 | 1.5 | 11 | 0.7 | 18 | 0.9 | 27 | 1.4 | 31 | 1.4 |
| Race Unknown .................. | 28 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.3 | 9 | 0.4 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {a }}$.... | 428 | 5.8 | 418 | 10.6 | 3 | 0.2 | 17 | 0.9 | 162 | 8.1 | 243 | 10.7 |
| Citizenship of Household Head ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citizen | 6,514 | 88.8 | 3,358 | 84.9 | 1,380 | 89.5 | 1,923 | 95.3 | 1,725 | 86.6 | 1,895 | 83.3 |
| Non-Citizen .................................... | 366 | 5.0 | 168 | 4.3 | 153 | 9.9 | 65 | 3.2 | 101 | 5.1 | 127 | 5.6 |
| Citizenship Unknown ...................... | 27 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.4 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {a }}$.... | 428 | 5.8 | 418 | 10.6 | 3 | 0.2 | 17 | 0.9 | 162 | 8.1 | 243 | 10.7 |

[^17]Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-25. Distribution of Participating Households With Selected Household Characteristics by Selected Employment Characteristics

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF or GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ..... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 3,955 | 100.0 | 1,542 | 100.0 | 2,017 | 100.0 | 1,993 | 100.0 | 2,274 | 100.0 |
| Employment Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Military Employee ............ | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Striker . | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Student | 146 | 2.0 | 121 | 3.1 | 4 | 0.3 | 34 | 1.7 | 61 | 3.1 | 56 | 2.5 |
| Non-citizens ................ | 469 | 6.4 | 255 | 6.4 | 170 | 11.0 | 78 | 3.9 | 155 | 7.8 | 172 | 7.6 |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-26. Distribution of Participating Households and Persons by Household Composition

| Household Composition ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Participating Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ..................................................... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 17,091 | 100.0 |
| Single Adult Without Children ................... | 2,987 | 40.7 | 2,987 | 17.5 |
| Female Head | 1,878 | 25.6 | 1,878 | 11.0 |
| Male Head | 1,099 | 15.0 | 1,099 | 6.4 |
| Sex Unknown | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {b }}$......... | 9 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.1 |
| Multiple Adults Without Children | 392 | 5.3 | 809 | 4.7 |
| Female Head, No Spouse ........................ | 96 | 1.3 | 200 | 1.2 |
| Male Head, No Spouse .......................... | 20 | 0.3 | 40 | 0.2 |
| Female Head, Spouse Present .................. | 116 | 1.6 | 240 | 1.4 |
| Male Head, Spouse Present ..................... | 159 | 2.2 | 327 | 1.9 |
| Sex Unknown | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {b }}$.......... | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Single Adult With Children ....................... | 2,704 | 36.9 | 8,541 | 50.0 |
| Female Head | 2,533 | 34.5 | 7,980 | 46.7 |
| Male Head . | 124 | 1.7 | 371 | 2.2 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {b }}$.......... | 47 | 0.6 | 190 | 1.1 |
| Multiple Adults With Children .................. | 867 | 11.8 | 3,939 | 23.0 |
| Female Head, No Spouse ....................... | 274 | 3.7 | 1,199 | 7.0 |
| Male Head, No Spouse ......................... | 18 | 0.2 | 75 | 0.4 |
| Female Head, Spouse Present .................. | 425 | 5.8 | 1,964 | 11.5 |
| Male Head, Spouse Present .................... | 146 | 2.0 | 676 | 4.0 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {b }}$.......... | 6 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.1 |
| Children Only .......................................... | 382 | 5.2 | 812 | 4.7 |
| Unknown ................................................. | 1 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |

[^18]Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-27. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Size, Number of Elderly Persons, Disabled Persons, Children, Preschool Age Children, and School Age Children

| Household Characterictic | Total Households (000) | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |
| Total ............................... | 7,335 | 3,126 | 1,481 | 1,160 | 832 | 429 | 306 |
| Number of Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 ................................ | 5,793 | 1,900 | 1,231 | 1,124 | 817 | 422 | 297 |
| 1 ................................. | 1,382 | 1,226 | 103 | 28 | 12 | 5 | 8 |
| 2 ................................. | 160 | * | 147 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3+ ................................ | 0 | * | * | 0 | - | - | - |
| Number of Disabled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 ................................. | 5,317 | 1,972 | 1,134 | 955 | 681 | 346 | 229 |
| 1 .................................. | 1,851 | 1,154 | 286 | 170 | 126 | 59 | 56 |
| 2 ................................. | 154 | * | 61 | 33 | 24 | 21 | 15 |
| 3+ ................................ | 12 | * | * | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 ................................. | 3,380 | 2,988 | 370 | 21 | 2 | 0 | - |
| 1 ................................. | 1,360 | 139 | 981 | 216 | 23 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 ................................. | 1,266 | * | 131 | 859 | 255 | 19 | 2 |
| 3 ................................. | 778 | * | * | 64 | 522 | 178 | 15 |
| 4 ................................. | 342 | * | * | * | 31 | 217 | 94 |
| 5+ ............................... | 208 | * | * | * | * | 13 | 195 |
| Number of School Age Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 .................................. | 4,299 | 3,048 | 821 | 299 | 105 | 22 | 3 |
| 1 .................................. | 1,339 | 78 | 597 | 406 | 190 | 56 | 12 |
| 2 ................................. | 947 | * | 63 | 432 | 295 | 116 | 40 |
| 3 .................................. | 460 | * | * | 23 | 228 | 141 | 68 |
| 4 ................................. | 194 | * | * | * | 13 | 90 | 91 |
| 5+ ............................... | 96 | * | * | * | * | 4 | 92 |
| Number of Preschool Age Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 ................................... | 5,280 | 3,066 | 987 | 586 | 363 | 172 | 106 |
| 1 ................................. | 1,391 | 60 | 468 | 366 | 271 | 136 | 89 |
| 2 ............................... | 549 | * | 26 | 202 | 163 | 85 | 73 |
| 3 ................................. | 102 | * | * | 5 | 36 | 33 | 29 |
| 4 .................................. | 11 | * | * | * | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| 5+ ................................ | 1 | * | * | * | * | - | 1 |

* By definition these are mutually exclusive categories; therefore, no households will be found in these categories.
- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-28. Gender and Food Stamp Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristic

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Female Participants |  | Male Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollars } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Total ............................... | 17,091 | 100.0 | 10,198 | 59.7 | 6,891 | 40.3 | 1,159,008 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citizen ........................... | 16,291 | 95.3 | 9,750 | 57.0 | 6,539 | 38.3 | 1,107,151 | 95.5 |
| Non-Citizen ..................... | 745 | 4.4 | 417 | 2.4 | 327 | 1.9 | 48,031 | 4.1 |
| Unknown ......................... | 55 | 0.3 | 30 | 0.2 | 24 | 0.1 | 3,825 | 0.3 |
| Able-bodied Adults Living in Households Without Children and Subject to Work Registration ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 347 | 2.0 | 159 | 0.9 | 188 | 1.1 | 38,492 | 3.3 |
| Citizen Children Living with Non-Citizens ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1,043 | 6.1 | 512 | 3.0 | 531 | 3.1 | 72,629 | 6.3 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child ................................ | 8,765 | 51.3 | 4,313 | 25.2 | 4,451 | 26.0 | 613,761 | 53.0 |
| 4 or Less ......................... | 2,846 | 16.7 | 1,388 | 8.1 | 1,458 | 8.5 | 215,086 | 18.6 |
| 5-17 ............................... | 5,919 | 34.6 | 2,925 | 17.1 | 2,993 | 17.5 | 398,675 | 34.4 |
| Nonelderly Adult ............... | 6,623 | 38.7 | 4,667 | 27.3 | 1,954 | 11.4 | 470,141 | 40.6 |
| 18-35 ............................. | 3,396 | 19.9 | 2,594 | 15.2 | 803 | 4.7 | 250,941 | 21.7 |
| 36-59 .............................. | 3,226 | 18.9 | 2,074 | 12.1 | 1,151 | 6.7 | 219,201 | 18.9 |
| Elderly (60 or More) ........... | 1,702 | 10.0 | 1,216 | 7.1 | 485 | 2.8 | 74,945 | 6.5 |
| Unknown Age ................... | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 159 | 0.0 |
| Disabled ${ }^{\text {f }}$........................ | 2,197 | 12.9 | 1,302 | 7.6 | 895 | 5.2 | 104,401 | 9.0 |
| Children (0-17) ................. | 256 | 1.5 | 98 | 0.6 | 158 | 0.9 | 12,345 | 1.1 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18-59) | 1,710 | 10.0 | 1,050 | 6.1 | 660 | 3.9 | 81,671 | 7.0 |
| Elderly Adults (60-64) ....... | 231 | 1.4 | 154 | 0.9 | 77 | 0.5 | 10,384 | 0.9 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White .............................. | 6,837 | 40.0 | 4,057 | 23.7 | 2,779 | 16.3 | 441,629 | 38.1 |
| African-American ............ | 6,123 | 35.8 | 3,805 | 22.3 | 2,316 | 13.6 | 432,767 | 37.3 |
| Hispanic ......................... | 3,168 | 18.5 | 1,801 | 10.5 | 1,367 | 8.0 | 214,999 | 18.6 |
| Asian .............................. | 591 | 3.5 | 319 | 1.9 | 271 | 1.6 | 41,517 | 3.6 |
| Native American .............. | 290 | 1.7 | 168 | 1.0 | 123 | 0.7 | 22,389 | 1.9 |
| Unknown Race ................. | 83 | 0.5 | 47 | 0.3 | 34 | 0.2 | 5,706 | 0.5 |

${ }^{a}$ Percent of all participants.
${ }^{b}$ Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.
c Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in Characteristics of Food Stamp Households reports prior to the Fiscal Year 1999 edition.
${ }^{d}$ These participants are age 18-49, not disabled, not living with participating children under age 18, and not exempt from work registration. With some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), these participants must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt. See Appendix C for more details on work requirements and time limits.
e Non-citizens may be inside or outside the food stamp unit.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Disability status of nonelderly adults age 18 to 64 is determined partially on the basis of SSI and Social Security receipt. Because identifiers such as SSI and Social Security receipt are not valid indicators of disability for adults over age 64 (Social Security is not a valid indicator after age 61), disability status of adults over age 64 cannot be identifed accurately in the Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-29. Distribution of Participants by Thrifty Food Plan Sex-Age Groups and Household Size

| Participant Characteristic | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| Total ................................... | 17,091 | 3,126 | 2,963 | 3,479 | 3,330 | 2,146 | 1,080 | 538 | 431 |
| Children Under Age 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 years ....................... | 1,708 | 41 | 339 | 475 | 415 | 238 | 108 | 46 | 47 |
| 3-5 years ........................ | 1,701 | 28 | 253 | 455 | 448 | 270 | 134 | 64 | 50 |
| 6-8 years ........................ | 1,688 | 20 | 191 | 383 | 460 | 319 | 162 | 84 | 69 |
| 9-11 years ...................... | 1,513 | 17 | 157 | 309 | 389 | 307 | 171 | 90 | 75 |
| Females | 10,198 | 1,948 | 1,924 | 2,154 | 1,891 | 1,189 | 585 | 284 | 223 |
| 0-2 years ........................ | 829 | 18 | 163 | 228 | 202 | 119 | 54 | 23 | 22 |
| 3-5 years ........................ | 842 | 14 | 120 | 231 | 214 | 139 | 67 | 33 | 25 |
| 6-8 years ........................ | 816 | 7 | 95 | 192 | 213 | 156 | 85 | 37 | 31 |
| 9-11 years ....................... | 728 | 7 | 69 | 154 | 192 | 145 | 80 | 43 | 37 |
| 12-14 years ..................... | 594 | 11 | 70 | 137 | 145 | 104 | 65 | 36 | 27 |
| 15-19 years .................... | 749 | 30 | 160 | 182 | 146 | 110 | 60 | 34 | 27 |
| 20-50 years ..................... | 3,884 | 578 | 910 | 960 | 745 | 397 | 167 | 77 | 51 |
| 51+ years ......................... | 1,753 | 1,283 | 336 | 70 | 34 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| Unknown Age .................. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Males | 6,891 | 1,177 | 1,038 | 1,324 | 1,438 | 957 | 495 | 254 | 207 |
| 0-2 years ........................ | 879 | 23 | 176 | 246 | 213 | 119 | 54 | 23 | 25 |
| 3-5 years ......................... | 858 | 14 | 133 | 223 | 234 | 131 | 67 | 31 | 25 |
| 6-8 years ........................ | 872 | 13 | 95 | 191 | 247 | 163 | 78 | 47 | 38 |
| 9-11 years ...................... | 786 | 10 | 87 | 155 | 196 | 162 | 91 | 46 | 39 |
| 12-14 years ..................... | 597 | 5 | 72 | 124 | 160 | 113 | 60 | 37 | 26 |
| 15-19 years .................... | 588 | 16 | 99 | 154 | 128 | 88 | 49 | 31 | 24 |
| 20-50 years ..................... | 1,505 | 626 | 135 | 187 | 236 | 169 | 88 | 36 | 27 |
| 51+ years ......................... | 805 | 470 | 239 | 44 | 24 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| Unknown Age .................. | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-30. Distribution of Household Heads, All Participants, and Nonelderly Adult Participants by Work Registration Status and Employment Status

| Employment/Work Registration Status | Household Heads |  | All Participants |  | Nonelderly Adult Participants |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................................................... | 7,335 | 100.0 | 17,091 | 100.0 | 6,623 | 100.0 |
| Work Registration Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Registered for Work ........................................... | 1,043 | 14.2 | 1,364 | 8.0 | 1,338 | 20.2 |
| Not Registered for Work and Not Exempt ............ | 131 | 1.8 | 216 | 1.3 | 182 | 2.7 |
| Exempt ............................................................ | 5,716 | 77.9 | 15,091 | 88.3 | 5,085 | 76.8 |
| Under or Over Required Age ............................ | 1,240 | 16.9 | 9,081 | 53.1 | 43 | 0.6 |
| Under 18 and Student, Working, or in E\&T Program | 7 | 0.1 | 489 | 2.9 | 39 | 0.6 |
| Disabled ........................................................ | 2,117 | 28.9 | 2,468 | 14.4 | 2,110 | 31.9 |
| Complying with Work for Another Program ........ | 216 | 2.9 | 268 | 1.6 | 261 | 3.9 |
| Caretaker of Ill or Incapacitated Person .............. | 74 | 1.0 | 106 | 0.6 | 103 | 1.5 |
| Caretaker of Dependent Child Under Age 6 ......... | 1,053 | 14.4 | 1,216 | 7.1 | 1,175 | 17.7 |
| Recipient of UI .............................................. | 59 | 0.8 | 76 | 0.4 | 76 | 1.2 |
| In Drug and Alcohol Treatment ......................... | 34 | 0.5 | 34 | 0.2 | 33 | 0.5 |
| Employed at Least 30 Hours per Week ............... | 695 | 9.5 | 924 | 5.4 | 916 | 13.8 |
| Student | 44 | 0.6 | 144 | 0.8 | 98 | 1.5 |
| Other | 178 | 2.4 | 283 | 1.7 | 231 | 3.5 |
| Work Registration Status Unknown ..................... | 17 | 0.2 | 421 | 2.5 | 18 | 0.3 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {a }}$...................... | 428 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - |
| Workfare Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participating on Workfare Program .................... | 157 | 2.1 | 194 | 1.1 | 176 | 2.7 |
| Participating in Comparable Program ................. | 112 | 1.5 | 197 | 1.2 | 133 | 2.0 |
| Not Participating ............................................. | 6,379 | 87.0 | 15,129 | 88.5 | 6,008 | 90.7 |
| Workfare Status Unknown ............................... | 258 | 3.5 | 1,572 | 9.2 | 307 | 4.6 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {a }}$.................... | 428 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed Full-Time ........................................ | 708 | 9.7 | 902 | 5.3 | 893 | 13.5 |
| Employed Part-Time ........................................ | 623 | 8.5 | 776 | 4.5 | 729 | 11.0 |
| Employed, Hours Unspecified ........................... | 84 | 1.2 | 105 | 0.6 | 100 | 1.5 |
| Migrant Farm Labor ........................................ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Primarily Self-Employed, Farming .................... | 3 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| Primarily Self-Employed, Nonfarming ............... | 57 | 0.8 | 81 | 0.5 | 75 | 1.1 |
| Active Duty Military Service ............................. | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Unemployed ................................................... | 412 | 5.6 | 641 | 3.8 | 463 | 7.0 |
| Not Employed ................................................. | 4,743 | 64.7 | 12,774 | 74.7 | 4,031 | 60.9 |
| Employment Status Unknown .......................... | 276 | 3.8 | 1,807 | 10.6 | 328 | 4.9 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {a }}$.................... | 428 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Household heads who are not participating with the household. Some household heads in this category are in separate food stamp cases not included in the FSPQC sample. This category also includes some households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.

- Not Applicable.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-31. Distribution of Participants by Age-Related Characteristics

| Age-Related Characteristic | Participants |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total | 17,091 | 100.0 |
| Children | 8,765 | 51.3 |
| Preschool Age | 2,846 | 16.7 |
| School Age | 5,919 | 34.6 |
| Nonelderly Adults | 6,623 | 38.7 |
| Adults with Children ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4,426 | 25.9 |
| Single Adults | 2,655 | 15.5 |
| Disabled | 333 | 1.9 |
| Living With Disabled | 114 | 0.7 |
| Other | 2,208 | 12.9 |
| Married Adults | 1,168 | 6.8 |
| Living With Elderly | 24 | 0.1 |
| Disabled | 128 | 0.8 |
| Living With Disabled | 151 | 0.9 |
| Other | 865 | 5.1 |
| Other Multiple-Adults | 603 | 3.5 |
| Living With Elderly | 22 | 0.1 |
| Disabled | 76 | 0.4 |
| Living With Disabled | 90 | 0.5 |
| Other | 415 | 2.4 |
| Adults without Children ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,197 | 12.9 |
| Single Adults | 1,762 | 10.3 |
| Disabled | 988 | 5.8 |
| Other | 773 | 4.5 |
| Married Adults | 236 | 1.4 |
| Living With Elderly | 46 | 0.3 |
| Disabled | 87 | 0.5 |
| Living With Disabled | 40 | 0.2 |
| Other .............. | 64 | 0.4 |
| Other Multiple-Adults | 199 | 1.2 |
| Living With Elderly | 35 | 0.2 |
| Disabled | 54 | 0.3 |
| Living With Disabled | 39 | 0.2 |
| Other . | 71 | 0.4 |
| Elderly Adults ................................. | 1,702 | 10.0 |
| Unknown Age | 2 | 0.0 |

[^19][^20]Table A-32. Comparison of Participating Households With Key Food Stamp Household Characteristics for Fiscal Years 1989 to $2000^{\text {a }}$

| Time Period | Total Households (000) | Percentage of Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Zero Gross <br> Income | Zero Net Income | Minimum Food Stamp Benefit | Elderly | Children | School Age Children | $\begin{gathered} \text { AFDC/ } \\ \text { TANF } \end{gathered}$ | Earnings | SSI | Any NonCitizen |
| Fiscal Year 1989 .... | 7,217 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 7.5 | 19.3 | 60.4 | 46.1 | 41.9 | 19.6 | 20.6 | 9.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 .... | 7,811 | 7.4 | 19.3 | 5.0 | 18.1 | 60.3 | 45.3 | 42.0 | 19.0 | 19.6 | 10.3 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 .... | 8,863 | 8.3 | 20.5 | 4.1 | 16.5 | 60.4 | 44.8 | 40.5 | 19.8 | 18.6 | 11.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 .... | 10,059 | 9.6 | 21.9 | 3.6 | 15.4 | 62.2 | 43.5 | 39.5 | 20.2 | 18.4 | 10.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 .... | 10,791 | 9.7 | 23.7 | 4.0 | 15.5 | 62.1 | 43.7 | 39.4 | 20.6 | 19.4 | 11.6 |
| Fiscal Year $1994 . .$. | 11,091 | 10.2 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 15.8 | 61.1 | 43.0 | 38.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 .... | 10,883 | 9.7 | 25.0 | 4.3 | 16.0 | 59.7 | 42.5 | 38.3 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 .... | 10,552 | 10.2 | 24.9 | 4.5 | 16.2 | 59.5 | 43.0 | 36.6 | 22.5 | 24.1 | 10.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 .... | 9,452 | 9.2 | 22.7 | 6.6 | 17.6 | 58.3 | 43.5 | 34.6 | 24.2 | 26.5 | 8.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 .... | 8,246 | 8.8 | 20.8 | 8.3 | 18.2 | 58.3 | 44.2 | 31.4 | 26.3 | 28.1 | 4.3 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 .... | 7,670 | 8.5 | 20.6 | 9.7 | 20.1 | 55.7 | 42.7 | 27.3 | 26.8 | 30.2 | 6.0 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 .... | 7,335 | 8.4 | 20.1 | 10.9 | 21.0 | 53.9 | 41.4 | 25.8 | 27.2 | 31.7 | 6.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fiscal year analysis files were not developed for the years prior to 1989 .
Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

Table A-33. Comparison of Average Nominal and Real Values of Key Food Stamp Household Characteristics for Fiscal Years 1989 to 2000

|  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Household Size <br> (Persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Income (Dollars) |  | Net Income (Dollars) |  | Total Deduction (Dollars) |  | Countable Resources (Dollars) |  | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) |  | Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guidelines (Percent) |  |
| Time Period | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Nominal Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 1989 ....... | 442 | 614 | 247 | 343 | 216 | 300 | 79 | 110 | 132 | 179 | 60 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 ....... | 453 | 596 | 251 | 331 | 225 | 297 | 79 | 104 | 150 | 190 | 59 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 ....... | 464 | 587 | 253 | 319 | 235 | 297 | 78 | 98 | 162 | 200 | 58 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 ....... | 478 | 587 | 258 | 316 | 250 | 307 | 78 | 96 | 170 | 209 | 57 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 ....... | 490 | 584 | 258 | 307 | 262 | 312 | 77 | 92 | 170 | 203 | 56 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 ....... | 507 | 589 | 268 | 311 | 272 | 316 | 81 | 94 | 168 | 195 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 ....... | 514 | 544 | 265 | 300 | 283 | 319 | 83 | 94 | 172 | 194 | 56 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 ....... | 528 | 543 | 275 | 302 | 287 | 315 | 93 | 102 | 174 | 189 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 ....... | 558 | 560 | 299 | 320 | 291 | 312 | 92 | 98 | 169 | 180 | 58 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 ....... | 584 | 578 | 321 | 339 | 294 | 310 | 118 | 125 | 165 | 172 | 60 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 ....... | 603 | 623 | 338 | 349 | 299 | 309 | 142 | 147 | 162 | 166 | 62 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 ....... | 620 | 620 | 355 | 355 | 298 | 298 | 156 | 156 | 158 | 158 | 63 | 2.3 |

${ }^{a}$ Real values are in constant 2000 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI-U for all items.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Real values are in constant 2000 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI-U for food at home.
Source of CPI-U values: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Source of nominal values: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

|  | Total Participants ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (000) | Female |  |  |  | Male |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-17 | 18--59 | 60+ | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0-17 | 18-59 | 60+ | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Fiscal Year 1989 ........................ | 18,956 | 4,681 | 5,359 | 1,132 | 11,334 | 4,761 | 2,262 | 429 | 7,612 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 ....................... | 20,440 | 4,998 | 5,802 | 1,139 | 12,169 | 5,141 | 2,442 | 435 | 8,265 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 ....................... | 22,988 | 5,952 | 6,556 | 1,171 | 13,679 | 6,008 | 2,840 | 452 | 9,300 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 ....................... | 25,775 | 6,618 | 7,348 | 1,235 | 15,204 | 6,746 | 3,350 | 468 | 10,566 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 ........................ | 27,595 | 7,080 | 7,855 | 1,334 | 16,276 | 7,131 | 3,643 | 536 | 11,316 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 ........................ | 28,009 | 7,102 | 7,949 | 1,389 | 16,453 | 7,305 | 3,666 | 566 | 11,552 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 ........................ | 26,955 | 6,927 | 7,714 | 1,369 | 16,025 | 6,952 | 3,403 | 554 | 10,926 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 ....................... | 25,926 | 6,573 | 7,427 | 1,354 | 15,373 | 6,639 | 3,355 | 541 | 10,549 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 ....................... | 23,117 | 5,950 | 6,588 | 1,328 | 13,880 | 5,918 | 2,796 | 506 | 9,233 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 ........................ | 19,969 | 5,258 | 5,505 | 1,197 | 11,967 | 5,258 | 2,236 | 430 | 7,926 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 ....................... | 18,149 | 4,654 | 5,006 | 1,217 | 10,878 | 4,676 | 2,066 | 482 | 7,226 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 ........................ | 17,091 | 4,313 | 4,667 | 1,216 | 10,198 | 4,451 | 1,954 | 485 | 6,891 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Total participants may not equal the sum of male and female participants if there are persons whose sex was not coded on the file.
Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

## APPENDIX B

## DETAILED TABLES OF

 FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS BY STATETable B-1. Distribution of Participating Households by State

| State | Number (000) | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$..................... | 7,335 | 100.0 |
| Alabama .................. | 156 | 2.1 |
| Alaska ................. | 13 | 0.2 |
| Arizona .................... | 96 | 1.3 |
| Arkansas ................. | 99 | 1.3 |
| California ................ | 672 | 9.2 |
| Colorado .................. | 70 | 1.0 |
| Connecticut ............. | 84 | 1.1 |
| Delaware ................ | 13 | 0.2 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 36 | 0.5 |
| Florida .................. | 416 | 5.7 |
| Georgia ................... | 230 | 3.1 |
| Guam ...................... | 7 | 0.1 |
| Hawaii ................. | 54 | 0.7 |
| Idaho | 23 | 0.3 |
| Illinois .................. | 338 | 4.6 |
| Indiana .................... | 128 | 1.7 |
| Iowa ... | 53 | 0.7 |
| Kansas | 53 | 0.7 |
| Kentucky .............. | 168 | 2.3 |
| Louisiana ............... | 192 | 2.6 |
| Maine | 52 | 0.7 |
| Maryland ................ | 101 | 1.4 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 110 | 1.5 |
| Michigan ................ | 272 | 3.7 |
| Minnesota ................ | 91 | 1.2 |
| Mississippi .............. | 109 | 1.5 |
| Missouri ................. | 180 | 2.5 |
| Montana ................ | 25 | 0.3 |
| Nebraska ............... | 35 | 0.5 |
| Nevada ................ | 28 | 0.4 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 18 | 0.2 |
| New Jersey .............. | 152 | 2.1 |
| New Mexico ............. | 64 | 0.9 |
| New York ................ | 720 | 9.8 |
| North Carolina ......... | 209 | 2.9 |
| North Dakota ..... | 14 | 0.2 |
| Ohio ............ | 279 | 3.8 |
| Oklahoma ............... | 107 | 1.5 |
| Oregon .................... | 114 | 1.6 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 352 | 4.8 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 33 | 0.5 |
| South Carolina ......... | 122 | 1.7 |
| South Dakota .......... | 16 | 0.2 |
| Tennessee ................ | 215 | 2.9 |
| Texas ...................... | 489 | 6.7 |
| Utah ........................ | 33 | 0.4 |
| Vermont | 20 | 0.3 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 5 | 0.1 |
| Virginia .................. | 150 | 2.1 |
| Washington ............. | 133 | 1.8 |
| West Virginia .......... | 96 | 1.3 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 77 | 1.0 |
| Wyoming ................. | 9 | 0.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-2. Average Monthly Values of Selected Characteristics by State

| State | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross <br> Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Total Deduction (Dollars) | Countable <br> Resources <br> (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Certification } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { (Months) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 620 | 355 | 298 | 156 | 158 | 2.3 | 9.6 |
| Alabama | 592 | 334 | 297 | 133 | 178 | 2.5 | 10.8 |
| Alaska | 938 | 600 | 407 | 179 | 294 | 3.0 | 11.0 |
| Arizona ................... | 560 | 308 | 293 | 113 | 208 | 2.7 | 5.0 |
| Arkansas .................. | 606 | 367 | 280 | 136 | 172 | 2.5 | 14.4 |
| California ............... | 700 | 456 | 260 | 170 | 170 | 2.8 | 12.5 |
| Colorado .. | 601 | 336 | 304 | 288 | 148 | 2.2 | 8.3 |
| Connecticut ............. | 585 | 333 | 292 | 150 | 142 | 2.1 | 15.2 |
| Delaware ................. | 627 | 367 | 293 | 56 | 157 | 2.4 | 7.9 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 465 | 282 | 223 | 30 | 179 | 2.4 | 10.9 |
| Florida .................... | 601 | 331 | 302 | 282 | 142 | 2.1 | 7.5 |
| Georgia ................... | 609 | 357 | 282 | 183 | 165 | 2.4 | 6.9 |
| Guam ...................... | 585 | 256 | 389 | 44 | 430 | 3.2 | 6.8 |
| Hawaii .................... | 664 | 371 | 324 | 248 | 265 | 2.1 | 12.0 |
| Idaho ...................... | 677 | 421 | 300 | 213 | 150 | 2.4 | 7.8 |
| Illinois .................... | 540 | 300 | 290 | 104 | 164 | 2.2 | 13.0 |
| Indiana .................... | 602 | 355 | 283 | 240 | 159 | 2.4 | 8.4 |
| Iowa ....................... | 648 | 407 | 270 | 256 | 142 | 2.3 | 11.3 |
| Kansas .................... | 630 | 374 | 283 | 145 | 131 | 2.1 | 12.7 |
| Kentucky ................ | 602 | 390 | 252 | 231 | 152 | 2.4 | 11.7 |
| Louisiana ................. | 598 | 346 | 289 | 123 | 185 | 2.6 | 9.0 |
| Maine ..................... | 624 | 331 | 323 | 184 | 117 | 1.8 | 8.2 |
| Maryland ................. | 522 | 269 | 295 | 69 | 164 | 2.2 | 8.4 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 674 | 391 | 309 | 145 | 137 | 2.2 | 10.8 |
| Michigan ................ | 674 | 359 | 344 | 71 | 150 | 2.3 | 12.0 |
| Minnesota ................ | 485 | 296 | 283 | 245 | 158 | 2.1 | 11.9 |
| Mississippi .............. | 608 | 396 | 238 | 164 | 159 | 2.5 | 9.9 |
| Missouri ................. | 597 | 336 | 302 | 117 | 157 | 2.3 | 8.2 |
| Montana ................. | 640 | 349 | 330 | 331 | 156 | 2.3 | 11.6 |
| Nebraska ................. | 670 | 391 | 300 | 206 | 137 | 2.2 | 8.5 |
| Nevada ................... | 582 | 296 | 336 | 80 | 168 | 2.3 | 7.7 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 640 | 333 | 344 | 198 | 144 | 2.1 | 7.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 591 | 303 | 313 | 83 | 155 | 2.2 | 9.7 |
| New Mexico ............ | 649 | 408 | 271 | 116 | 172 | 2.7 | 6.1 |
| New York ................ | 660 | 287 | 409 | 38 | 143 | 2.0 | 10.0 |
| North Carolina ......... | 581 | 343 | 276 | 214 | 155 | 2.3 | 7.5 |
| North Dakota ........... | 663 | 357 | 337 | 445 | 151 | 2.3 | 9.1 |
| Ohio ....................... | 639 | 388 | 275 | 168 | 135 | 2.2 | 8.0 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 611 | 381 | 273 | 115 | 157 | 2.4 | 9.4 |
| Oregon .................... | 586 | 336 | 291 | 265 | 133 | 2.0 | 8.2 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 618 | 365 | 280 | 213 | 139 | 2.2 | 11.7 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 675 | 406 | 285 | 186 | 140 | 2.3 | 11.2 |
| South Carolina ......... | 564 | 343 | 256 | 118 | 162 | 2.4 | 13.4 |
| South Dakota ............ | 635 | 340 | 343 | 227 | 198 | 2.7 | 14.8 |
| Tennessee ................ | 605 | 382 | 263 | 227 | 144 | 2.3 | 6.8 |
| Texas ...................... | 595 | 340 | 292 | 99 | 197 | 2.7 | 5.6 |
| Utah ........................ | 647 | 366 | 319 | 179 | 163 | 2.4 | 7.0 |
| Vermont .................. | 732 | 423 | 326 | 218 | 124 | 2.2 | 11.7 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 507 | 302 | 228 | 101 | 322 | 3.0 | 6.3 |
| Virginia .................. | 615 | 378 | 263 | 238 | 134 | 2.2 | 8.1 |
| Washington ............. | 632 | 376 | 279 | 128 | 135 | 2.2 | 7.0 |
| West Virginia ........... | 597 | 344 | 293 | 208 | 163 | 2.4 | 10.3 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 726 | 463 | 301 | 184 | 143 | 2.5 | 6.7 |
| Wyoming ................ | 669 | 401 | 316 | 359 | 176 | 2.7 | 5.7 |

[^21]Table B-3. Distribution of Participating Households by Poverty Status and by State

| State | Number (000) | Percent | Gross Income as a Percentage of the Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 50\% or Less |  | 51\%-100\% |  | 101\% or More |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7,335 | 100.0 | 2,422 | 33.0 | 4,076 | 55.6 | 837 | 11.4 |
| Alabama ................. | 156 | 100.0 | 54 | 34.6 | 88 | 56.5 | 14 | 8.9 |
| Alaska .................... | 13 | 100.0 | 5 | 35.6 | 5 | 40.9 | 3 | 23.5 |
| Arizona ................... | 96 | 100.0 | 46 | 48.2 | 43 | 44.9 | 7 | 7.0 |
| Arkansas .................. | 99 | 100.0 | 36 | 36.4 | 53 | 53.5 | 10 | 10.1 |
| California ................ | 672 | 100.0 | 211 | 31.4 | 393 | 58.4 | 68 | 10.1 |
| Colorado .................. | 70 | 100.0 | 23 | 32.6 | 38 | 54.5 | 9 | 12.9 |
| Connecticut ............. | 84 | 100.0 | 31 | 37.4 | 38 | 45.0 | 15 | 17.5 |
| Delaware ................. | 13 | 100.0 | 5 | 35.6 | 7 | 53.9 | 1 | 10.4 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 36 | 100.0 | 22 | 60.5 | 12 | 33.4 | 2 | 6.0 |
| Florida .................... | 416 | 100.0 | 120 | 28.8 | 248 | 59.6 | 48 | 11.6 |
| Georgia ................... | 230 | 100.0 | 79 | 34.5 | 123 | 53.8 | 27 | 11.8 |
| Guam ...................... | 7 | 100.0 | 4 | 57.2 | 2 | 36.8 | 0 | 6.0 |
| Hawaii .................... | 54 | 100.0 | 17 | 31.5 | 33 | 61.4 | 4 | 7.1 |
| Idaho ...................... | 23 | 100.0 | 7 | 29.2 | 13 | 57.3 | 3 | 13.4 |
| Illinois .................... | 338 | 100.0 | 132 | 39.1 | 172 | 50.9 | 34 | 10.0 |
| Indiana .................... | 128 | 100.0 | 43 | 33.9 | 68 | 53.5 | 16 | 12.6 |
| Iowa ........................ | 53 | 100.0 | 17 | 32.2 | 28 | 53.8 | 7 | 14.1 |
| Kansas | 53 | 100.0 | 15 | 28.0 | 31 | 58.5 | 7 | 13.5 |
| Kentucky ................. | 168 | 100.0 | 56 | 33.2 | 98 | 58.2 | 14 | 8.6 |
| Louisiana ................. | 192 | 100.0 | 73 | 38.1 | 104 | 54.1 | 15 | 7.8 |
| Maine ..................... | 52 | 100.0 | 11 | 20.7 | 33 | 64.5 | 8 | 14.8 |
| Maryland ................. | 101 | 100.0 | 44 | 43.2 | 49 | 48.6 | 8 | 8.2 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 110 | 100.0 | 32 | 29.4 | 63 | 56.8 | 15 | 13.8 |
| Michigan ................. | 272 | 100.0 | 72 | 26.6 | 162 | 59.7 | 37 | 13.7 |
| Minnesota ................ | 91 | 100.0 | 36 | 39.9 | 47 | 51.6 | 8 | 8.5 |
| Mississippi .............. | 109 | 100.0 | 36 | 32.8 | 63 | 57.9 | 10 | 9.2 |
| Missouri ................. | 180 | 100.0 | 63 | 34.9 | 93 | 51.3 | 25 | 13.8 |
| Montana .................. | 25 | 100.0 | 8 | 30.2 | 14 | 56.4 | 3 | 13.3 |
| Nebraska ................. | 35 | 100.0 | 10 | 27.1 | 20 | 55.8 | 6 | 17.2 |
| Nevada ................... | 28 | 100.0 | 10 | 34.8 | 15 | 53.5 | 3 | 11.7 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 18 | 100.0 | 5 | 25.7 | 11 | 59.7 | 3 | 14.6 |
| New Jersey .............. | 152 | 100.0 | 59 | 38.7 | 77 | 50.5 | 17 | 10.9 |
| New Mexico ............ | 64 | 100.0 | 25 | 38.8 | 33 | 51.9 | 6 | 9.3 |
| New York ................ | 720 | 100.0 | 157 | 21.8 | 462 | 64.1 | 102 | 14.1 |
| North Carolina ......... | 209 | 100.0 | 73 | 35.0 | 112 | 53.5 | 24 | 11.5 |
| North Dakota ............ | 14 | 100.0 | 4 | 30.5 | 7 | 53.7 | 2 | 15.8 |
| Ohio ........................ | 279 | 100.0 | 74 | 26.7 | 173 | 62.0 | 32 | 11.3 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 107 | 100.0 | 35 | 32.3 | 64 | 59.6 | 9 | 8.2 |
| Oregon .................... | 114 | 100.0 | 43 | 37.2 | 55 | 48.1 | 17 | 14.7 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 352 | 100.0 | 125 | 35.4 | 186 | 52.9 | 42 | 11.8 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 33 | 100.0 | 13 | 39.2 | 16 | 47.2 | 5 | 13.6 |
| South Carolina ......... | 122 | 100.0 | 43 | 35.3 | 70 | 57.1 | 9 | 7.6 |
| South Dakota ............ | 16 | 100.0 | 6 | 37.9 | 8 | 48.7 | 2 | 13.3 |
| Tennessee ................ | 215 | 100.0 | 66 | 30.9 | 123 | 57.1 | 26 | 12.1 |
| Texas ...................... | 489 | 100.0 | 211 | 43.2 | 230 | 46.9 | 48 | 9.9 |
| Utah ........................ | 33 | 100.0 | 12 | 37.7 | 16 | 47.6 | 5 | 14.7 |
| Vermont ................. | 20 | 100.0 | 3 | 16.6 | 13 | 64.4 | 4 | 18.9 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 5 | 100.0 | 3 | 63.5 | 2 | 29.7 | 0 | 6.8 |
| Virginia .................. | 150 | 100.0 | 44 | 29.5 | 87 | 58.0 | 19 | 12.5 |
| Washington ............. | 133 | 100.0 | 48 | 36.3 | 73 | 55.0 | 12 | 8.7 |
| West Virginia .......... | 96 | 100.0 | 32 | 33.4 | 56 | 58.3 | 8 | 8.3 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 77 | 100.0 | 19 | 25.0 | 41 | 53.0 | 17 | 22.0 |
| Wyoming ................. | 9 | 100.0 | 3 | 33.5 | 4 | 50.2 | 1 | 16.3 |

[^22]Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-4. Distribution of Participating Households by Shelter-Related Characteristics and by State

| State | Households With Shelter Deduction |  | Households at the Shelter Cap |  | Average <br> Monthly Shelter Expense (Dollars) | Average Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 4,357 | 59.4 | 735 | 10.0 | 310 | 186 |
| Alabama ............. | 98 | 62.8 | 10 | 6.3 | 275 | 157 |
| Alaska | 6 | 49.0 | 1 | 7.9 | 354 | 236 |
| Arizona ................... | 57 | 59.7 | 9 | 9.5 | 267 | 172 |
| Arkansas .................. | 52 | 52.2 | 7 | 7.1 | 257 | 152 |
| California .............. | 352 | 52.4 | 45 | 6.8 | 263 | 141 |
| Colorado | 44 | 62.8 | 9 | 12.6 | 322 | 182 |
| Connecticut ........ | 53 | 63.2 | 14 | 16.7 | 359 | 215 |
| Delaware ............... | 8 | 58.7 | 1 | 8.9 | 296 | 173 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 15 | 41.1 | 2 | 4.5 | 190 | 157 |
| Florida ................... | 276 | 66.4 | 31 | 7.4 | 325 | 186 |
| Georgia ................... | 119 | 51.9 | 16 | 7.0 | 260 | 163 |
| Guam ...................... | 4 | 58.0 | 0 | 6.2 | 211 | 150 |
| Hawaii | 28 | 50.9 | 2 | 4.4 | 259 | 176 |
| Idaho .. | 12 | 52.7 | 3 | 10.8 | 294 | 156 |
| Illinois ................... | 207 | 61.3 | 34 | 10.1 | 293 | 181 |
| Indiana | 74 | 57.5 | 11 | 8.5 | 284 | 161 |
| Iowa ........................ | 29 | 55.4 | 5 | 8.6 | 277 | 142 |
| Kansas ..... | 32 | 60.5 | 5 | 8.6 | 298 | 159 |
| Kentucky ................ | 78 | 46.6 | 8 | 4.9 | 229 | 136 |
| Louisiana ................. | 107 | 55.5 | 13 | 6.6 | 257 | 156 |
| Maine ..................... | 36 | 70.0 | 5 | 10.5 | 377 | 216 |
| Maryland ................. | 63 | 62.5 | 10 | 9.5 | 291 | 186 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 75 | 68.0 | 14 | 12.3 | 396 | 213 |
| Michigan ................ | 186 | 68.3 | 36 | 13.1 | 366 | 201 |
| Minnesota ................ | 51 | 55.7 | 14 | 14.8 | 295 | 197 |
| Mississippi .............. | 44 | 40.2 | 3 | 2.5 | 198 | 116 |
| Missouri .................. | 111 | 61.5 | 19 | 10.3 | 290 | 167 |
| Montana ................. | 18 | 71.9 | 3 | 13.2 | 344 | 180 |
| Nebraska ................. | 23 | 64.8 | 3 | 9.6 | 319 | 161 |
| Nevada ....... | 20 | 71.5 | 4 | 14.1 | 359 | 202 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 14 | 75.7 | 4 | 21.1 | 447 | 231 |
| New Jersey .............. | 101 | 66.0 | 16 | 10.8 | 347 | 220 |
| New Mexico ............ | 31 | 48.9 | 5 | 7.4 | 253 | 157 |
| New York ................ | 579 | 80.5 | 179 | 24.9 | 536 | 303 |
| North Carolina ......... | 111 | 53.1 | 17 | 8.3 | 261 | 163 |
| North Dakota ............ | 9 | 64.7 | 2 | 11.1 | 321 | 180 |
| Ohio ........................ | 150 | 53.9 | 21 | 7.5 | 287 | 168 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 54 | 50.8 | 8 | 7.4 | 259 | 155 |
| Oregon .................... | 64 | 55.7 | 13 | 11.0 | 286 | 172 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 214 | 60.6 | 29 | 8.1 | 297 | 161 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 18 | 54.3 | 4 | 12.7 | 320 | 191 |
| South Carolina ......... | 66 | 53.9 | 7 | 6.0 | 229 | 134 |
| South Dakota ........... | 11 | 67.2 | 3 | 15.7 | 346 | 194 |
| Tennessee ................ | 106 | 49.4 | 9 | 4.3 | 247 | 150 |
| Texas ...................... | 253 | 51.7 | 35 | 7.1 | 244 | 152 |
| Utah ........................ | 20 | 62.3 | 5 | 14.0 | 333 | 182 |
| Vermont .................. | 14 | 68.7 | 3 | 15.9 | 410 | 209 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 2 | 38.2 | 0 | 6.8 | 134 | 98 |
| Virginia .................. | 76 | 50.7 | 8 | 5.0 | 246 | 142 |
| Washington ............. | 80 | 59.9 | 16 | 11.9 | 313 | 174 |
| West Virginia .......... | 61 | 63.8 | 7 | 6.8 | 294 | 172 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 40 | 52.8 | 10 | 12.6 | 337 | 187 |
| Wyoming ................ | 5 | 51.6 | 1 | 8.7 | 273 | 165 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Over households with a shelter deduction.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.
Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-5. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Characteristics and by State

| State | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF or GA |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,955 | 53.9 | 1,542 | 21.0 | 2,017 | 27.5 | 1,993 | 27.2 | 2,274 | 31.0 |
| Alabama ............. | 91 | 58.1 | 34 | 22.0 | 49 | 31.3 | 45 | 28.9 | 16 | 10.0 |
| Alaska | 8 | 57.0 | 2 | 13.2 | 3 | 20.1 | 4 | 31.6 | 8 | 59.1 |
| Arizona ... | 62 | 64.4 | 13 | 13.4 | 21 | 21.9 | 30 | 31.9 | 28 | 29.4 |
| Arkansas . | 57 | 58.0 | 22 | 22.2 | 28 | 28.3 | 29 | 29.0 | 10 | 10.0 |
| California ................ | 557 | 82.8 | 25 | 3.8 | 10 | 1.4 | 221 | 32.9 | 525 | 78.1 |
| Colorado .................. | 35 | 49.4 | 16 | 23.3 | 23 | 32.2 | 21 | 30.4 | 25 | 36.1 |
| Connecticut ............. | 37 | 44.6 | 16 | 18.7 | 28 | 32.7 | 11 | 12.7 | 41 | 48.9 |
| Delaware | 7 | 54.3 | 2 | 15.1 | 4 | 31.3 | 4 | 26.9 | 4 | 33.3 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 20 | 54.6 | 5 | 13.2 | 8 | 21.4 | 5 | 12.9 | 15 | 42.8 |
| Florida .................... | 179 | 43.1 | 146 | 35.1 | 116 | 28.0 | 104 | 24.9 | 74 | 17.8 |
| Georgia ................... | 128 | 55.8 | 56 | 24.4 | 62 | 26.9 | 67 | 29.4 | 42 | 18.2 |
| Guam ...................... | 5 | 71.1 | 1 | 20.5 | 0 | 2.4 | 1 | 16.5 | 4 | 66.4 |
| Hawaii | 24 | 44.9 | 12 | 21.2 | 10 | 19.2 | 16 | 28.8 | 25 | 45.9 |
| Idaho ...................... | 13 | 55.8 | 5 | 19.4 | 7 | 29.6 | 9 | 37.1 | 7 | 30.5 |
| Illinois .................... | 162 | 47.9 | 64 | 19.0 | 106 | 31.2 | 94 | 27.9 | 110 | 32.6 |
| Indiana .................... | 68 | 53.2 | 26 | 20.4 | 46 | 36.0 | 35 | 27.1 | 28 | 21.5 |
| Iowa ........................ | 29 | 54.7 | 10 | 18.8 | 17 | 32.8 | 17 | 31.9 | 16 | 29.7 |
| Kansas .................... | 24 | 45.0 | 11 | 21.2 | 21 | 38.6 | 15 | 28.5 | 12 | 22.7 |
| Kentucky ................. | 87 | 51.8 | 36 | 21.6 | 65 | 38.5 | 43 | 25.8 | 32 | 18.9 |
| Louisiana ................. | 116 | 60.6 | 38 | 19.6 | 56 | 29.4 | 66 | 34.3 | 26 | 13.5 |
| Maine ... | 18 | 34.3 | 16 | 30.4 | 20 | 38.9 | 10 | 20.0 | 9 | 18.1 |
| Maryland ................. | 49 | 48.7 | 21 | 20.5 | 31 | 30.9 | 20 | 19.5 | 33 | 32.7 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 57 | 51.9 | 21 | 18.9 | 38 | 34.6 | 18 | 16.7 | 48 | 43.9 |
| Michigan ................. | 136 | 49.9 | 47 | 17.2 | 103 | 37.7 | 80 | 29.5 | 68 | 25.1 |
| Minnesota ............... | 47 | 51.8 | 17 | 18.5 | 26 | 28.3 | 22 | 23.7 | 16 | 17.5 |
| Mississippi .............. | 62 | 56.7 | 29 | 26.7 | 35 | 32.1 | 31 | 28.2 | 10 | 9.1 |
| Missouri ................. | 91 | 50.6 | 37 | 20.3 | 52 | 28.9 | 52 | 28.7 | 42 | 23.2 |
| Montana .................. | 13 | 51.7 | 5 | 19.7 | 7 | 29.3 | 9 | 34.1 | 4 | 17.4 |
| Nebraska ................. | 17 | 48.7 | 7 | 20.9 | 12 | 32.8 | 11 | 30.4 | 11 | 30.9 |
| Nevada ................... | 14 | 50.5 | 7 | 23.4 | 9 | 31.4 | 6 | 21.2 | 4 | 14.4 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 9 | 47.4 | 3 | 19.2 | 7 | 38.0 | 3 | 15.3 | 8 | 41.5 |
| New Jersey .............. | 72 | 47.6 | 38 | 25.3 | 45 | 29.6 | 24 | 15.9 | 61 | 40.3 |
| New Mexico ............ | 40 | 62.5 | 11 | 17.7 | 16 | 24.9 | 20 | 32.1 | 22 | 34.9 |
| New York ................ | 292 | 40.5 | 218 | 30.3 | 207 | 28.7 | 122 | 16.9 | 288 | 40.0 |
| North Carolina ......... | 112 | 53.3 | 53 | 25.4 | 58 | 27.6 | 58 | 27.6 | 33 | 15.8 |
| North Dakota ............ | 7 | 51.1 | 3 | 21.6 | 3 | 25.6 | 6 | 43.0 | 2 | 15.0 |
| Ohio ........................ | 137 | 49.0 | 58 | 20.6 | 120 | 43.0 | 66 | 23.6 | 83 | 29.6 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 58 | 54.2 | 26 | 24.0 | 31 | 28.8 | 33 | 31.1 | 15 | 14.0 |
| Oregon .................... | 51 | 44.9 | 22 | 18.8 | 30 | 26.6 | 34 | 30.0 | 30 | 26.2 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 162 | 45.9 | 68 | 19.2 | 115 | 32.6 | 98 | 27.9 | 115 | 32.5 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 20 | 61.1 | 5 | 16.3 | 9 | 26.8 | 7 | 21.2 | 18 | 53.9 |
| South Carolina ......... | 66 | 54.0 | 30 | 24.7 | 35 | 29.0 | 28 | 22.8 | 16 | 13.2 |
| South Dakota ............ | 10 | 59.5 | 3 | 21.1 | 5 | 28.3 | 7 | 41.2 | 3 | 15.5 |
| Tennessee ................ | 106 | 49.3 | 53 | 24.7 | 74 | 34.1 | 52 | 24.2 | 48 | 22.3 |
| Texas ...................... | 340 | 69.5 | 89 | 18.1 | 85 | 17.3 | 198 | 40.5 | 119 | 24.3 |
| Utah ........................ | 19 | 56.8 | 5 | 16.4 | 9 | 26.1 | 11 | 35.0 | 8 | 25.1 |
| Vermont .................. | 10 | 49.0 | 5 | 27.5 | 6 | 31.8 | 4 | 21.4 | 10 | 52.2 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 3 | 68.1 | 1 | 24.4 | 0 | 2.8 | 2 | 43.6 | 1 | 24.5 |
| Virginia .................. | 71 | 47.2 | 43 | 28.7 | 47 | 31.0 | 40 | 26.3 | 24 | 15.9 |
| Washington ............. | 63 | 47.4 | 24 | 18.2 | 40 | 29.9 | 31 | 22.9 | 54 | 40.5 |
| West Virginia .......... | 47 | 48.7 | 18 | 18.6 | 40 | 41.8 | 24 | 24.9 | 10 | 10.7 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 42 | 54.3 | 17 | 21.8 | 23 | 30.4 | 26 | 34.1 | 12 | 15.3 |
| Wyoming ................. | 6 | 64.6 | 1 | 13.5 | 2 | 26.5 | 4 | 45.6 | 1 | 5.8 |

[^23]Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-6. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Income Sources and by State

| State | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANF |  | GA |  | SSI |  | Social Security |  | Earned Income |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,891 | 25.8 | 395 | 5.4 | 2,324 | 31.7 | 1,870 | 25.5 | 1,993 | 27.2 |
| Alabama | 15 | 9.9 | 0 | 0.2 | 56 | 36.1 | 47 | 30.0 | 45 | 28.9 |
| Alaska .................... | 5 | 34.4 | 4 | 30.0 | 2 | 18.5 | 2 | 15.6 | 4 | 31.6 |
| Arizona ................... | 26 | 27.5 | 2 | 2.0 | 24 | 24.6 | 16 | 17.1 | 30 | 31.9 |
| Arkansas .................. | 10 | 10.0 | - | - | 33 | 33.1 | 32 | 32.4 | 29 | 29.0 |
| California | 476 | 70.8 | 52 | 7.7 | - | - | 29 | 4.3 | 221 | 32.9 |
| Colorado .................. | 9 | 13.0 | 16 | 23.4 | 25 | 36.3 | 21 | 30.5 | 21 | 30.4 |
| Connecticut ............. | 23 | 27.3 | 20 | 23.4 | 27 | 31.9 | 22 | 26.2 | 11 | 12.7 |
| Delaware ................. | 3 | 24.9 | 1 | 8.4 | 4 | 29.7 | 3 | 22.3 | 4 | 26.9 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 15 | 42.8 | 0 | 0.3 | 9 | 24.5 | 5 | 14.0 | 5 | 12.9 |
| Florida .................... | 73 | 17.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 165 | 39.6 | 148 | 35.7 | 104 | 24.9 |
| Georgia .................... | 42 | 18.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 71 | 31.1 | 71 | 30.9 | 67 | 29.4 |
| Guam ...................... | 2 | 33.1 | 2 | 33.9 | - | - | 1 | 9.7 | 1 | 16.5 |
| Hawaii .................... | 19 | 34.1 | 6 | 11.9 | 14 | 25.7 | 9 | 17.1 | 16 | 28.8 |
| Idaho ...................... | 1 | 2.8 | 6 | 27.8 | 8 | 33.5 | 7 | 29.0 | 9 | 37.1 |
| Illinois .................... | 70 | 20.6 | 41 | 12.2 | 119 | 35.2 | 72 | 21.3 | 94 | 27.9 |
| Indiana .................... | 27 | 21.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 44 | 34.1 | 41 | 32.2 | 35 | 27.1 |
| Iowa ........................ | 15 | 29.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 17 | 32.4 | 15 | 28.3 | 17 | 31.9 |
| Kansas ..................... | 10 | 18.7 | 2 | 4.1 | 19 | 35.7 | 19 | 35.0 | 15 | 28.5 |
| Kentucky ................ | 32 | 18.9 | - | - | 73 | 43.5 | 49 | 29.0 | 43 | 25.8 |
| Louisiana ................ | 26 | 13.5 | - | - | 67 | 34.7 | 49 | 25.4 | 66 | 34.3 |
| Maine ..................... | 9 | 17.9 | 0 | 0.1 | 20 | 38.1 | 24 | 46.6 | 10 | 20.0 |
| Maryland ................. | 23 | 22.8 | 10 | 9.9 | 33 | 32.7 | 24 | 23.7 | 20 | 19.5 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 38 | 34.2 | 11 | 9.7 | 44 | 39.6 | 24 | 21.7 | 18 | 16.7 |
| Michigan ................. | 65 | 23.9 | 3 | 1.2 | 98 | 36.1 | 68 | 25.1 | 80 | 29.5 |
| Minnesota ................ | 12 | 13.3 | 4 | 4.3 | 30 | 33.1 | 22 | 23.8 | 22 | 23.7 |
| Mississippi .............. | 10 | 9.1 | - | - | 44 | 40.6 | 40 | 36.9 | 31 | 28.2 |
| Missouri ................. | 35 | 19.3 | 7 | 3.9 | 56 | 31.2 | 55 | 30.3 | 52 | 28.7 |
| Montana ................. | 4 | 15.3 | 1 | 2.3 | 7 | 29.4 | 7 | 28.1 | 9 | 34.1 |
| Nebraska ................. | 8 | 21.6 | 3 | 9.5 | 11 | 32.6 | 12 | 33.2 | 11 | 30.4 |
| Nevada ................... | 4 | 13.8 | 0 | 0.6 | 9 | 33.4 | 9 | 31.3 | 6 | 21.2 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 4 | 23.9 | 3 | 19.3 | 5 | 30.3 | 7 | 38.5 | 3 | 15.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 44 | 28.9 | 17 | 11.4 | 54 | 35.3 | 41 | 26.7 | 24 | 15.9 |
| New Mexico ............ | 21 | 33.1 | 1 | 2.0 | 17 | 26.5 | 14 | 22.6 | 20 | 32.1 |
| New York ................ | 198 | 27.5 | 90 | 12.5 | 301 | 41.8 | 186 | 25.9 | 122 | 16.9 |
| North Carolina ......... | 33 | 15.8 | - | 0 | 67 | 31.8 | 75 | 35.6 | 58 | 27.6 |
| North Dakota ............ | 2 | 14.4 | 0 | 0.7 | 4 | 28.5 | 4 | 32.7 | 6 | 43.0 |
| Ohio ....................... | 76 | 27.2 | 7 | 2.5 | 124 | 44.4 | 77 | 27.4 | 66 | 23.6 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 12 | 11.1 | 4 | 3.6 | 38 | 35.2 | 33 | 31.0 | 33 | 31.1 |
| Oregon .................... | 16 | 14.0 | 16 | 13.9 | 30 | 25.9 | 32 | 28.2 | 34 | 30.0 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 77 | 21.8 | 38 | 10.9 | 115 | 32.6 | 87 | 24.8 | 98 | 27.9 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 17 | 51.4 | 1 | 2.4 | 9 | 27.6 | 8 | 23.3 | 7 | 21.2 |
| South Carolina ......... | 16 | 13.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 44 | 36.0 | 30 | 24.3 | 28 | 22.8 |
| South Dakota ............ | 2 | 12.6 | 1 | 4.3 | 5 | 27.8 | 5 | 29.7 | 7 | 41.2 |
| Tennessee ................ | 47 | 22.0 | 0 | 0.2 | 79 | 36.9 | 73 | 34.0 | 52 | 24.2 |
| Texas ...................... | 119 | 24.3 | - | - | 121 | 24.8 | 108 | 22.1 | 198 | 40.5 |
| Utah ........................ | 7 | 21.2 | 1 | 3.9 | 9 | 27.9 | 7 | 21.2 | 11 | 35.0 |
| Vermont .................. | 6 | 31.5 | 5 | 23.7 | 6 | 32.0 | 7 | 36.8 | 4 | 21.4 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 1 | 13.9 | 1 | 10.9 | - | - | 1 | 17.7 | 2 | 43.6 |
| Virginia .................. | 22 | 14.9 | 2 | 1.0 | 58 | 38.4 | 51 | 33.9 | 40 | 26.3 |
| Washington ............. | 43 | 32.4 | 11 | 8.2 | 44 | 33.1 | 28 | 20.7 | 31 | 22.9 |
| West Virginia ........... | 9 | 9.6 | 1 | 1.1 | 40 | 41.1 | 27 | 28.0 | 24 | 24.9 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 11 | 15.0 | 0 | 0.3 | 24 | 31.2 | 25 | 32.3 | 26 | 34.1 |
| Wyoming ................ | 0 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.6 | 2 | 21.7 | 2 | 25.8 | 4 | 45.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-7. Average Monthly Values of Selected Income Sources by State

| State | Average Monthly Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANF | GA | SSI | Social Security | Earned Income |
| Total ... | 374 | 213 | 403 | 515 | 749 |
| Alabama .................. | 156 | 101 | 372 | 497 | 796 |
| Alaska .................... | 686 | 360 | 427 | 592 | 941 |
| Arizona .................... | 283 | 182 | 394 | 505 | 757 |
| Arkansas .................. | 165 | - | 340 | 513 | 855 |
| California ................ | 532 | 227 | - | 474 | 719 |
| Colorado .................. | 286 | 140 | 353 | 501 | 680 |
| Connecticut ............. | 455 | 188 | 424 | 513 | 804 |
| Delaware ................. | 298 | 144 | 437 | 513 | 896 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 372 | 239 | 409 | 463 | 823 |
| Florida .................... | 225 | 196 | 390 | 503 | 736 |
| Georgia ................... | 242 | 225 | 356 | 519 | 786 |
| Guam ...................... | 547 | 406 | - | 447 | 986 |
| Hawaii .................... | 526 | 349 | 425 | 528 | 733 |
| Idaho ...................... | 267 | 47 | 336 | 490 | 875 |
| Illinois .................... | 254 | 81 | 421 | 505 | 661 |
| Indiana .................... | 232 | 288 | 392 | 540 | 711 |
| Iowa ........................ | 344 | 143 | 384 | 540 | 681 |
| Kansas .................... | 285 | 158 | 354 | 531 | 747 |
| Kentucky ................. | 234 | - | 414 | 515 | 717 |
| Louisiana ................. | 186 | - | 388 | 480 | 758 |
| Maine ..................... | 380 | 384 | 302 | 543 | 720 |
| Maryland ................. | 343 | 138 | 398 | 511 | 725 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 499 | 324 | 492 | 521 | 720 |
| Michigan ................. | 377 | 231 | 434 | 534 | 841 |
| Minnesota ................ | 285 | 189 | 392 | 512 | 687 |
| Mississippi ............. | 144 | - | 366 | 487 | 768 |
| Missouri .................. | 267 | 114 | 335 | 499 | 816 |
| Montana ................. | 427 | 246 | 352 | 517 | 772 |
| Nebraska ................. | 324 | 54 | 350 | 520 | 853 |
| Nevada | 308 | 229 | 355 | 520 | 945 |
| New Hampshire | 467 | 115 | 365 | 531 | 824 |
| New Jersey .............. | 325 | 171 | 448 | 536 | 873 |
| New Mexico ............ | 356 | 247 | 392 | 521 | 808 |
| New York ................ | 455 | 337 | 477 | 533 | 716 |
| North Carolina ......... | 228 | - | 321 | 526 | 694 |
| North Dakota ............ | 402 | 322 | 300 | 485 | 610 |
| Ohio ........................ | 343 | 142 | 430 | 501 | 745 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 263 | 58 | 345 | 493 | 791 |
| Oregon | 423 | 72 | 356 | 524 | 758 |
| Pennsylvania | 351 | 198 | 448 | 510 | 695 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 466 | 193 | 382 | 518 | 814 |
| South Carolina ......... | 150 | 244 | 421 | 514 | 862 |
| South Dakota ............ | 283 | 170 | 332 | 539 | 678 |
| Tennessee ................ | 187 | 189 | 377 | 530 | 718 |
| Texas ...................... | 165 | - | 335 | 497 | 762 |
| Utah ........................ | 349 | 252 | 365 | 501 | 878 |
| Vermont .................. | 501 | 82 | 360 | 572 | 880 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 254 | 123 | - | 469 | 734 |
| Virginia | 262 | 139 | 362 | 517 | 744 |
| Washington | 442 | 304 | 432 | 511 | 742 |
| West Virginia .......... | 288 | 270 | 415 | 521 | 801 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 491 | 212 | 451 | 579 | 732 |
| Wyoming ................ | 234 | 320 | 342 | 531 | 834 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average values are over households with income source.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-8. Distribution of Entrant Households With and Without Expedited Service by State

| State | Total Entrant Households (000) | Entrant Households With Expedited Service |  | Entrant Households Without Expedited Service |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$.................... | 401 | 131 | 32.7 | 270 | 67.3 |
| Alabama ................. | 8 | 1 | 9.4 | 7 | 90.6 |
| Alaska .................... | 1 | 0 | 31.6 | 1 | 68.4 |
| Arizona ................... | 9 | 1 | 15.2 | 8 | 84.8 |
| Arkansas .................. | 5 | 1 | 25.9 | 4 | 74.1 |
| California ................ | 21 | 11 | 51.0 | 10 | 49.0 |
| Colorado .................. | 6 | 2 | 30.4 | 4 | 69.6 |
| Connecticut ............. | 3 | 1 | 22.2 | 3 | 77.8 |
| Delaware ............... | 1 | 0 | 29.2 | 1 | 70.8 |
| Dist. of Col. .............. | 2 | 1 | 52.2 | 1 | 47.8 |
| Florida .................... | 33 | 8 | 24.5 | 25 | 75.5 |
| Georgia ................... | 20 | 4 | 18.7 | 16 | 81.3 |
| Guam ...................... | 0 | 0 | 10.2 | 0 | 89.8 |
| Hawaii .................... | 1 | 0 | 21.6 | 1 | 78.4 |
| Idaho ...................... | 2 | 1 | 34.5 | 1 | 65.5 |
| Illinois .................... | 18 | 7 | 40.8 | 10 | 59.2 |
| Indiana .................... | 8 | 2 | 26.2 | 6 | 73.8 |
| Iowa ....................... | 4 | 2 | 46.1 | 2 | 53.9 |
| Kansas .................... | 3 | 1 | 26.2 | 2 | 73.8 |
| Kentucky ................. | 11 | 5 | 45.9 | 6 | 54.1 |
| Louisiana ................. | 18 | 4 | 25.1 | 13 | 74.9 |
| Maine ...................... | 2 | 1 | 32.5 | 1 | 67.5 |
| Maryland ................. | 6 | 3 | 41.2 | 4 | 58.8 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 10 | 4 | 38.0 | 6 | 62.0 |
| Michigan ................. | 14 | 4 | 32.7 | 9 | 67.3 |
| Minnesota ................ | 4 | 1 | 28.6 | 3 | 71.4 |
| Mississippi .............. | 4 | 1 | 22.6 | 3 | 77.4 |
| Missouri .................. | 9 | 5 | 57.0 | 4 | 43.0 |
| Montana .................. | 1 | 1 | 62.1 | 1 | 37.9 |
| Nebraska ................. | 2 | 0 | 20.2 | 2 | 79.8 |
| Nevada ................... | 3 | 1 | 25.7 | 2 | 74.3 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 1 | 1 | 57.0 | 1 | 43.0 |
| New Jersey .............. | 5 | 1 | 21.6 | 4 | 78.4 |
| New Mexico ............ | 5 | 1 | 26.0 | 3 | 74.0 |
| New York ................ | 21 | 7 | 33.7 | 14 | 66.3 |
| North Carolina ......... | 15 | 6 | 37.1 | 10 | 62.9 |
| North Dakota ............ | 1 | 0 | 9.9 | 0 | 90.1 |
| Ohio ........................ | 12 | 2 | 13.1 | 10 | 86.9 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 9 | 3 | 34.3 | 6 | 65.7 |
| Oregon .................... | 5 | 2 | 43.2 | 3 | 56.8 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 15 | 7 | 47.7 | 8 | 52.3 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 1 | 0 | 36.1 | 1 | 63.9 |
| South Carolina ......... | 6 | 1 | 11.8 | 6 | 88.2 |
| South Dakota ............ | 1 | 1 | 36.5 | 1 | 63.5 |
| Tennessee ................ | 12 | 3 | 26.2 | 9 | 73.8 |
| Texas ...................... | 32 | 13 | 40.0 | 19 | 60.0 |
| Utah ........................ | 2 | 1 | 53.6 | 1 | 46.4 |
| Vermont .................. | 1 | 0 | 7.4 | 1 | 92.6 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 0 | 0 | 58.0 | 0 | 42.0 |
| Virginia .................. | 7 | 3 | 41.9 | 4 | 58.1 |
| Washington ............. | 10 | 3 | 34.8 | 7 | 65.2 |
| West Virginia .......... | 4 | 1 | 22.4 | 3 | 77.6 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 7 | 2 | 29.1 | 5 | 70.9 |
| Wyoming ................. | 1 | 0 | 48.6 | 0 | 51.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Table B-9. Distribution of Participating Households by Race/Ethnic Origin of Household Head and by State

| State | Race/Ethnic Origin of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | African-American |  | Hispanic |  | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3,238 | 44.1 | 2,411 | 32.9 | 952 | 13.0 | 307 | 4.2 | 428 | 5.83 |
| Alabama ................. | 59 | 38.1 | 96 | 61.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.37 |
| Alaska .................... | 6 | 45.1 | 1 | 5.6 | 0 | 2.4 | 6 | 44.6 | 0 | 2.34 |
| Arizona ................... | 35 | 37.1 | 6 | 6.7 | 25 | 26.2 | 18 | 18.8 | 11 | 11.19 |
| Arkansas .................. | 55 | 55.4 | 42 | 42.4 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.57 |
| California ................ | 167 | 24.8 | 112 | 16.6 | 144 | 21.4 | 60 | 8.9 | 190 | 28.26 |
| Colorado .................. | 36 | 51.4 | 8 | 11.6 | 21 | 30.4 | 2 | 2.2 | 3 | 4.38 |
| Connecticut ............. | 32 | 37.6 | 24 | 28.0 | 27 | 31.8 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.66 |
| Delaware ................. | 5 | 38.5 | 7 | 52.6 | 1 | 5.7 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 1.31 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 1 | 2.1 | 34 | 94.8 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.08 |
| Florida .................... | 138 | 33.1 | 131 | 31.6 | 125 | 30.1 | 3 | 0.8 | 18 | 4.38 |
| Georgia ................... | 77 | 33.4 | 147 | 64.1 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.5 | 3 | 1.52 |
| Guam ...................... | 0 | 3.0 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 85.4 | 1 | 11.57 |
| Hawaii .................... | 14 | 25.6 | 1 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.6 | 37 | 67.7 | 2 | 3.61 |
| Idaho ...................... | 20 | 85.1 | 0 | 1.3 | 2 | 6.6 | 1 | 2.8 | 1 | 4.25 |
| Illinois ..................... | 114 | 33.8 | 185 | 54.7 | 21 | 6.3 | 10 | 2.9 | 8 | 2.37 |
| Indiana .................... | 82 | 63.8 | 40 | 31.3 | 3 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.96 |
| Iowa ........................ | 44 | 83.4 | 5 | 10.3 | 1 | 1.6 | 2 | 3.3 | 1 | 1.39 |
| Kansas ..................... | 38 | 71.6 | 10 | 19.2 | 2 | 4.1 | 1 | 2.3 | 1 | 2.71 |
| Kentucky ................. | 141 | 84.0 | 23 | 14.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.95 |
| Louisiana ................ | 55 | 28.5 | 133 | 69.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.86 |
| Maine ..................... | 50 | 96.9 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.87 |
| Maryland ................. | 36 | 35.8 | 59 | 58.5 | 2 | 1.5 | 3 | 2.7 | 2 | 1.54 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 65 | 58.8 | 11 | 9.6 | 24 | 21.8 | 4 | 4.0 | 6 | 5.80 |
| Michigan ................ | 136 | 49.9 | 119 | 43.7 | 7 | 2.7 | 6 | 2.3 | 4 | 1.31 |
| Minnesota ................ | 51 | 55.6 | 16 | 18.0 | 2 | 2.3 | 11 | 11.8 | 11 | 12.31 |
| Mississippi .............. | 28 | 25.4 | 76 | 69.9 | - | - | 0 | 0.4 | 5 | 4.23 |
| Missouri ................. | 111 | 61.5 | 64 | 35.6 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.65 |
| Montana .................. | 19 | 75.6 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.8 | 6 | 22.1 | 0 | 0.83 |
| Nebraska ................. | 25 | 70.3 | 6 | 17.7 | 2 | 6.7 | 2 | 4.3 | 0 | 1.03 |
| Nevada ................... | 17 | 58.9 | 7 | 23.5 | 3 | 10.2 | 1 | 3.9 | 1 | 3.49 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 15 | 84.6 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 1.7 | 2 | 11.7 | 0 | 1.71 |
| New Jersey .............. | 42 | 27.6 | 62 | 40.4 | 39 | 25.8 | 5 | 3.4 | 4 | 2.85 |
| New Mexico ............ | 17 | 27.3 | 2 | 3.7 | 33 | 51.4 | 8 | 12.3 | 3 | 5.37 |
| New York ................ | 249 | 34.6 | 221 | 30.7 | 178 | 24.7 | 27 | 3.8 | 45 | 6.21 |
| North Carolina ......... | 83 | 39.6 | 111 | 53.1 | 2 | 0.8 | 5 | 2.6 | 8 | 3.88 |
| North Dakota ............ | 10 | 75.9 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 3 | 20.5 | 0 | 1.55 |
| Ohio ........................ | 170 | 60.8 | 96 | 34.2 | 5 | 1.7 | 3 | 1.1 | 6 | 2.15 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 71 | 66.0 | 21 | 19.9 | 3 | 3.2 | 10 | 9.1 | 2 | 1.78 |
| Oregon .................... | 95 | 82.9 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 4.1 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 4.09 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 189 | 53.5 | 122 | 34.6 | 29 | 8.1 | 9 | 2.6 | 4 | 1.14 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 18 | 54.6 | 4 | 11.3 | 4 | 12.3 | 4 | 12.0 | 3 | 9.86 |
| South Carolina ......... | 36 | 29.6 | 83 | 68.1 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.99 |
| South Dakota ............ | 10 | 58.6 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 1.5 | 6 | 37.9 | 0 | 1.42 |
| Tennessee ................ | 130 | 60.3 | 81 | 37.8 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 1.40 |
| Texas ...................... | 106 | 21.6 | 113 | 23.1 | 215 | 44.0 | 6 | 1.2 | 50 | 10.22 |
| Utah ....................... | 25 | 76.0 | 1 | 2.3 | 3 | 8.3 | 3 | 9.2 | 1 | 4.23 |
| Vermont .................. | 19 | 96.7 | 0 | 1.4 | - | - | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.55 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 0 | 2.1 | 3 | 60.1 | 1 | 22.6 | 0 | 9.1 | 0 | 6.14 |
| Virginia .................. | 63 | 42.0 | 77 | 50.9 | 3 | 2.0 | 6 | 4.0 | 2 | 1.13 |
| Washington ............. | 99 | 74.2 | 11 | 8.1 | 7 | 5.3 | 11 | 8.5 | 5 | 3.87 |
| West Virginia .......... | 90 | 93.4 | 6 | 6.3 | - | - | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.19 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 40 | 52.2 | 27 | 34.8 | 4 | 5.5 | 3 | 4.4 | 2 | 3.08 |
| Wyoming ................. | 7 | 77.0 | 0 | 2.3 | 1 | 9.2 | 1 | 9.9 | 0 | 1.54 |

${ }^{a}$ Other includes Asian, American Indian and Unknown.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Household heads who are not participating with the household. Some household heads in this category are in separate food stamp cases not included in the FSPQC sample. This category also includes some households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.
${ }^{c}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-10. Distribution of Participants by Age and by State

| State | Preschool <br> Age Child |  | School Age Child |  | Nonelderly Adult |  | Elderly Adult |  | Unknown Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,846 | 16.7 | 5,919 | 34.6 | 6,623 | 38.7 | 1,702 | 10.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Alabama | 62 | 16.0 | 139 | 35.6 | 152 | 39.0 | 37 | 9.4 | - | - |
| Alaska .................... | 6 | 15.0 | 15 | 37.1 | 17 | 42.5 | 2 | 5.3 | - | - |
| Arizona ................... | 54 | 20.7 | 99 | 37.7 | 95 | 36.2 | 14 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas .................. | 39 | 15.7 | 81 | 32.3 | 105 | 42.1 | 24 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| California | 357 | 19.1 | 898 | 47.9 | 591 | 31.6 | 27 | 1.4 | - | - |
| Colorado .. | 25 | 16.6 | 50 | 32.8 | 60 | 39.3 | 17 | 11.3 | - | - |
| Connecticut ............. | 23 | 13.4 | 59 | 33.7 | 76 | 43.4 | 17 | 9.6 | - | - |
| Delaware ................. | 5 | 15.7 | 11 | 35.6 | 13 | 41.7 | 2 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 15 | 17.5 | 29 | 34.4 | 36 | 42.4 | 5 | 5.7 | - | - |
| Florida | 136 | 15.6 | 269 | 30.8 | 308 | 35.2 | 162 | 18.5 | - | - |
| Georgia .................... | 95 | 17.1 | 197 | 35.4 | 203 | 36.5 | 61 | 11.0 | - | - |
| Guam ...................... | 5 | 21.9 | 8 | 39.1 | 7 | 31.5 | 2 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Hawaii .................... | 17 | 15.1 | 35 | 29.8 | 51 | 43.7 | 13 | 11.4 | - | - |
| Idaho ... | 10 | 17.7 | 19 | 33.6 | 23 | 39.8 | 5 | 8.9 | - | - |
| Illinois | 131 | 17.3 | 248 | 32.7 | 307 | 40.5 | 72 | 9.5 | - | - |
| Indiana | 57 | 18.8 | 97 | 32.2 | 120 | 39.9 | 27 | 9.1 | - | - |
| Iowa ........................ | 22 | 18.0 | 37 | 30.5 | 52 | 42.8 | 11 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Kansas .................... | 19 | 16.5 | 34 | 29.8 | 48 | 42.8 | 12 | 10.9 | - | - |
| Kentucky ................ | 57 | 14.3 | 120 | 29.9 | 183 | 45.5 | 42 | 10.4 | - | - |
| Louisiana ................. | 88 | 17.5 | 180 | 35.9 | 193 | 38.4 | 41 | 8.2 | - | - |
| Maine ..................... | 11 | 11.3 | 23 | 24.4 | 44 | 46.5 | 17 | 17.8 | - | - |
| Maryland ................. | 31 | 14.4 | 73 | 33.5 | 90 | 41.2 | 23 | 10.4 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 39 | 15.8 | 90 | 36.8 | 92 | 37.7 | 24 | 9.7 | - | - |
| Michigan ................. | 99 | 16.0 | 215 | 34.5 | 258 | 41.3 | 51 | 8.2 | - | - |
| Minnesota ................ | 33 | 16.8 | 70 | 36.0 | 73 | 37.5 | 19 | 9.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi .............. | 40 | 14.7 | 103 | 38.0 | 96 | 35.3 | 33 | 12.1 | - | - |
| Missouri ................. | 66 | 16.1 | 134 | 32.6 | 171 | 41.8 | 39 | 9.5 | - | - |
| Montana .................. | 11 | 18.3 | 17 | 29.7 | 25 | 43.3 | 5 | 8.8 | - | - |
| Nebraska ................. | 14 | 17.3 | 24 | 30.4 | 33 | 42.4 | 8 | 9.9 | - | - |
| Nevada .................... | 11 | 17.2 | 22 | 34.0 | 25 | 38.1 | 7 | 10.6 | - | - |
| New Hampshire ....... | 6 | 16.1 | 12 | 29.9 | 17 | 44.4 | 4 | 9.6 | - | - |
| New Jersey .............. | 49 | 15.0 | 111 | 33.8 | 125 | 38.1 | 43 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| New Mexico ............ | 29 | 17.2 | 61 | 35.9 | 66 | 39.2 | 13 | 7.6 | - | - |
| New York ................ | 203 | 14.3 | 424 | 29.8 | 553 | 38.8 | 244 | 17.1 | - | - |
| North Carolina ......... | 86 | 18.2 | 147 | 31.1 | 180 | 38.1 | 59 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Dakota ............ | 5 | 17.2 | 9 | 30.4 | 13 | 42.3 | 3 | 10.1 | - | - |
| Ohio ........................ | 102 | 16.5 | 201 | 32.7 | 253 | 41.1 | 60 | 9.7 | - | - |
| Oklahoma ................ | 44 | 17.1 | 84 | 32.6 | 101 | 39.2 | 28 | 11.0 | - | - |
| Oregon .................... | 36 | 15.7 | 67 | 28.9 | 105 | 45.5 | 23 | 9.9 | - | - |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 103 | 13.5 | 252 | 32.8 | 339 | 44.2 | 73 | 9.5 | - | - |
| Rhode Island ............ | 16 | 20.8 | 26 | 34.3 | 28 | 37.4 | 6 | 7.6 | - | - |
| South Carolina ......... | 44 | 15.3 | 103 | 35.7 | 109 | 37.8 | 32 | 11.2 | - | - |
| South Dakota ............ | 8 | 17.9 | 16 | 35.2 | 17 | 38.5 | 4 | 8.4 | - | - |
| Tennessee ................ | 77 | 15.7 | 154 | 31.6 | 198 | 40.6 | 59 | 12.1 | - | - |
| Texas ...................... | 267 | 20.2 | 487 | 36.8 | 463 | 35.0 | 106 | 8.0 | - | - |
| Utah ........................ | 14 | 18.2 | 27 | 34.1 | 32 | 40.3 | 6 | 7.5 | - | - |
| Vermont .................. | 6 | 14.4 | 13 | 29.3 | 18 | 43.0 | 6 | 13.2 | - | - |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 2 | 14.2 | 7 | 44.0 | 5 | 32.5 | 1 | 9.3 | - | - |
| Virginia .................. | 49 | 15.0 | 100 | 30.6 | 129 | 39.7 | 48 | 14.7 | - | - |
| Washington ............. | 51 | 17.5 | 84 | 28.8 | 128 | 44.0 | 28 | 9.8 | - | - |
| West Virginia ........... | 29 | 12.9 | 63 | 27.4 | 116 | 51.0 | 20 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 35 | 18.3 | 68 | 35.9 | 67 | 35.7 | 19 | 10.1 | - | - |
| Wyoming ................ | 4 | 17.6 | 8 | 35.4 | 10 | 41.7 | 1 | 5.2 | - | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-11. Distribution of Participants by Citizenship Status and State

| State | All Participants ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Citizens ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Non-Citizens |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total | 17,091 | 100.0 | 16,291 | 100.0 | 745 | 100.0 |
| Alabama | 390 | 2.3 | 390 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska | 39 | 0.2 | 39 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Arizona ................... | 262 | 1.5 | 254 | 1.6 | 8 | 1.1 |
| Arkansas | 250 | 1.5 | 239 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.2 |
| California | 1,873 | 11.0 | 1,682 | 10.3 | 190 | 25.5 |
| Colorado . | 152 | 0.9 | 149 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Connecticut | 175 | 1.0 | 170 | 1.0 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Delaware | 32 | 0.2 | 32 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 85 | 0.5 | 85 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Florida | 875 | 5.1 | 790 | 4.8 | 84 | 11.3 |
| Georgia ................... | 557 | 3.3 | 550 | 3.4 | 7 | 1.0 |
| Guam .. | 22 | 0.1 | 21 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 116 | 0.7 | 111 | 0.7 | 5 | 0.6 |
| Idaho | 57 | 0.3 | 55 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Illinois | 758 | 4.4 | 739 | 4.5 | 19 | 2.6 |
| Indiana | 302 | 1.8 | 300 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Iowa .. | 122 | 0.7 | 119 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Kansas | 113 | 0.7 | 110 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Kentucky ................. | 403 | 2.4 | 401 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Louisiana | 502 | 2.9 | 501 | 3.1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Maine | 95 | 0.6 | 94 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Maryland ................. | 218 | 1.3 | 212 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Massachusetts | 244 | 1.4 | 224 | 1.4 | 21 | 2.8 |
| Michigan ................. | 623 | 3.6 | 586 | 3.6 | 29 | 3.9 |
| Minnesota .. | 195 | 1.1 | 175 | 1.1 | 20 | 2.7 |
| Mississippi .............. | 272 | 1.6 | 271 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Missouri .. | 410 | 2.4 | 405 | 2.5 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Montana | 59 | 0.3 | 58 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Nebraska | 79 | 0.5 | 76 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Nevada .... | 64 | 0.4 | 62 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.2 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 39 | 0.2 | 38 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| New Jersey .............. | 328 | 1.9 | 312 | 1.9 | 16 | 2.2 |
| New Mexico .. | 169 | 1.0 | 164 | 1.0 | 5 | 0.7 |
| New York | 1,424 | 8.3 | 1,255 | 7.7 | 140 | 18.8 |
| North Carolina | 473 | 2.8 | 469 | 2.9 | 4 | 0.6 |
| North Dakota .. | 31 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Ohio .... | 616 | 3.6 | 607 | 3.7 | 9 | 1.2 |
| Oklahoma | 258 | 1.5 | 255 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Oregon .................... | 231 | 1.4 | 221 | 1.4 | 10 | 1.4 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 766 | 4.5 | 756 | 4.6 | 11 | 1.4 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 76 | 0.4 | 71 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.5 |
| South Carolina ......... | 287 | 1.7 | 286 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.1 |
| South Dakota ............ | 45 | 0.3 | 44 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Tennessee . | 488 | 2.9 | 486 | 3.0 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Texas ...... | 1,322 | 7.7 | 1,262 | 7.7 | 59 | 7.9 |
| Utah ..... | 79 | 0.5 | 76 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Vermont | 43 | 0.3 | 42 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 15 | 0.1 | 15 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Virginia .................. | 326 | 1.9 | 317 | 1.9 | 10 | 1.3 |
| Washington ............. | 290 | 1.7 | 254 | 1.6 | 35 | 4.8 |
| West Virginia .......... | 228 | 1.3 | 227 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 189 | 1.1 | 182 | 1.1 | 6 | 0.8 |
| Wyoming ................. | 24 | 0.1 | 24 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This category includes participants whose citizenship status is unknown or missing; therefore, the total may not equal the sum of the other columns.
b Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in Characteristics of Food Stamp Households reports prior to the Fiscal Year 1999 edition.

Table B-12. Distribution of All Non-Citizen ${ }^{\text {a }}$ FSP Participants by State and Age

| State | Total | Children |  | Nonelderly Adult |  | Elderly Adult |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 745 | 204 | 27.4 | 345 | 46.3 | 196 | 26.3 |
| Alabama ............................. | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 100.0 |
| Alaska ................................... | 1 | 0 | 25.9 | 0 | 66.5 | 0 | 7.6 |
| Arizona ................................... | 8 | 1 | 14.0 | 5 | 56.7 | 2 | 29.2 |
| Arkansas ................................ | 1 | 0 | 28.3 | 1 | 49.7 | 0 | 22.0 |
| California | 190 | 64 | 33.6 | 117 | 61.8 | 9 | 4.6 |
| Colorado ............................ | 3 | 0 | 9.1 | 1 | 47.4 | 1 | 43.6 |
| Connecticut | 4 | 1 | 14.5 | 1 | 28.9 | 2 | 56.6 |
| Delaware | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 100.0 |
| Dist. of Col. | 1 | 0 | 20.3 | 0 | 39.9 | 0 | 39.8 |
| Florida .. | 84 | 12 | 14.0 | 36 | 42.9 | 36 | 43.1 |
| Georgia ................................. | 7 | 3 | 41.0 | 3 | 36.3 | 2 | 22.7 |
| Guam ..................................... | 0 | 0 | 24.1 | 0 | 31.3 | 0 | 44.6 |
| Hawaii | 5 | 0 | 10.9 | 1 | 31.2 | 3 | 57.8 |
| Idaho | 2 | 1 | 33.7 | 1 | 41.3 | 1 | 25.0 |
| Illinois | 19 | 3 | 15.5 | 5 | 27.7 | 11 | 56.8 |
| Indiana | 2 | 1 | 39.9 | 0 | 26.6 | 1 | 33.5 |
| Iowa | 2 | 1 | 36.5 | 1 | 39.4 | 1 | 24.1 |
| Kansas | 3 | 1 | 23.9 | 1 | 43.3 | 1 | 32.8 |
| Kentucky | 2 | 1 | 46.3 | 1 | 53.7 | - | - |
| Louisiana | 1 | - | - | 1 | 42.6 | 1 | 57.4 |
| Maine | 1 | 0 | 8.2 | 0 | 49.4 | 0 | 42.4 |
| Maryland | 5 | 1 | 23.1 | 2 | 34.0 | 2 | 42.0 |
| Massachusetts | 21 | 7 | 35.2 | 8 | 37.0 | 6 | 27.8 |
| Michigan | 29 | 14 | 50.1 | 10 | 34.6 | 4 | 15.3 |
| Minnesota | 20 | 9 | 46.4 | 8 | 39.6 | 3 | 14.0 |
| Mississippi ............................ | 1 | - | - | 0 | 78.3 | 0 | 21.7 |
| Missouri | 5 | 2 | 43.0 | 2 | 39.2 | 1 | 17.8 |
| Montana | 1 | 0 | 45.5 | 0 | 39.8 | 0 | 14.7 |
| Nebraska | 3 | 1 | 48.9 | 1 | 44.0 | 0 | 7.1 |
| Nevada | 2 | 0 | 15.5 | 1 | 54.6 | 1 | 29.9 |
| New Hampshire ...................... | 1 | 0 | 9.4 | 0 | 62.9 | 0 | 27.8 |
| New Jersey .. | 16 | 2 | 12.6 | 6 | 35.2 | 9 | 52.2 |
| New Mexico | 5 | 0 | 5.0 | 3 | 64.4 | 2 | 30.7 |
| New York | 140 | 30 | 21.3 | 50 | 36.0 | 60 | 42.7 |
| North Carolina | 4 | 2 | 41.0 | 2 | 41.2 | 1 | 17.7 |
| North Dakota ........................... | 1 | 0 | 38.0 | 1 | 58.1 | 0 | 3.9 |
| Ohio | 9 | 3 | 38.1 | 3 | 30.2 | 3 | 31.7 |
| Oklahoma | 2 | 0 | 11.6 | 1 | 36.4 | 1 | 52.1 |
| Oregon ...... | 10 | 3 | 27.5 | 5 | 47.8 | 2 | 24.7 |
| Pennsylvania ........................... | 11 | 2 | 21.1 | 3 | 28.0 | 5 | 50.8 |
| Rhode Island ........................... | 4 | 2 | 42.5 | 1 | 25.3 | 1 | 32.2 |
| South Carolina ....................... | 1 | 1 | 60.3 | 0 | 30.3 | 0 | 9.4 |
| South Dakota . | 1 | 0 | 38.7 | 0 | 47.2 | 0 | 14.2 |
| Tennessee .. | 2 | 1 | 49.4 | 0 | 24.4 | 1 | 26.1 |
| Texas ...................................... | 59 | 12 | 20.5 | 39 | 66.9 | 7 | 12.6 |
| Utah ....................................... | 4 | 1 | 35.6 | 2 | 43.5 | 1 | 20.9 |
| Vermont | 1 | 0 | 73.6 | 0 | 18.0 | 0 | 8.5 |
| Virgin Islands .......................... | 0 | 0 | 39.9 | 0 | 25.5 | 0 | 34.6 |
| Virginia .................................. | 10 | 3 | 35.1 | 3 | 30.9 | 3 | 34.0 |
| Washington ............................. | 35 | 14 | 38.3 | 13 | 36.1 | 9 | 25.6 |
| West Virginia .......................... | 0 | 0 | 20.8 | 0 | 59.6 | - | - |
| Wisconsin ................................ | 6 | 1 | 21.9 | 3 | 45.6 | 2 | 32.5 |
| Wyoming ............................... | 0 | - | - | 0 | 100.0 | - | - |

[^24]- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

## APPENDIX C

## CHANGES TO THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM DUE TO RECENT FEDERAL LEGISLATION

Recent federal legislation has had a significant impact on the FSP, affecting eligibility, program requirements, and benefit levels. The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) enacted on August 22, 1996, disqualified many permanent resident aliens (PRAs), expanded work requirements and set time limits on benefits for able-bodied adults living in households without dependents, and changed deduction amounts and the maximum benefit calculation.

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997, which took effect in fiscal year 1998, allowed states to exempt a percentage of their participants who were subject to work requirements from the FSP time limits set by PRWORA. The Balanced Budget Act also increased funding for the Food Stamp Employment and Training Program and set up requirements for states' use of those funds.

The Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 (AREERA) reversed another PRWORA provision, restoring eligibility to certain legal aliens.

## Permanent Resident Alien Disqualification

Legally resident aliens are immigrants entitled to live permanently in the United States. They have either chosen not to apply for citizenship, or they do not meet citizenship requirements. Prior to PRWORA, four types of legally resident aliens were eligible to participate in the FSP: immigrants accorded permanent resident status; aliens accorded refugee status; aliens granted political asylum; and aliens granted a stay of deportation. ${ }^{1}$ Title IV of PRWORA disqualified from the FSP most aliens with permanent resident status.

Beginning in September 1996, new FSP applicants were subject to these alien restrictions. PRAs already receiving food stamps were not subject to the alien restrictions until their first recertification after March 31, 1997, or one year after the date PRWORA was enacted, whichever came first. If an alien became a naturalized citizen, he or she became eligible for benefits on the same basis as other citizens.

PRAs with significant work history and those with military service to the United States were exempt from the alien restrictions on FSP participation in PRWORA. Significant work history is defined as 40 or more quarters ( 10 or more years) of work experience in the United States and can be acquired either through an alien's own work, work by a spouse while married, work by a parent while a minor child, or any combination of the three. Veterans and individuals who were serving in the United States Armed Forces were also exempt, along with their spouses and minor children.

Refugees, asylees and deportees were exempt from the alien provision of PRWORA for five years after they entered the country. At that point, if these aliens had not naturalized, they became subject to the same restrictions as PRAs.

[^25]Effective November 1, 1998, the AREERA restored eligibility to some PRAs who were living in the United States when PRWORA was enacted. In addition, it extended the eligibility period for refugees, asylees, and deportees from five years to seven years. Thus, for most of fiscal year 1999, the following aliens were eligible for benefits:

Aliens eligible for seven years after admitted or granted status:

- People refugee status
- People granted political asylum
- People granted a stay of deportation
- Cuban or Haitian immigrants admitted under the Refugee Education Assistance Act (REEA)
- Amerasian immigrants admitted under the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Program Appropriations Act

Permanent Resident Aliens eligible indefinitely:

- PRAs credited with 40 quarters of work, as well as their spouse and/or children
- PRAs with a military connection (veteran, active duty, spouse, and/or children)
- PRAs lawfully in the United States on August 22, 1996 and age 65 or older on August 22, 1996
- PRAs lawfully in the United States on August 22, 1996 and under age 18
- PRAs lawfully in the United States on August 22, 1996 and disabled or blind

Certain non-PRAs may also be eligible, provided they meet one of the criteria listed above. For example, some parolees, conditional entrants, and battered spouses and/or children are eligible if they meet one of the criteria listed above, even though they are not PRAs.

Two additional groups of aliens may be eligible indefinitely, without meeting any of the above criteria:

- Certain Hmong or Highland Laotians and spouse and children (many are admitted as refugees)
- American Indians born in Canada to whom section 289 of the INA applies, and members of Indian tribes defined in section 4(e) of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act.

Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens, and individual classifications of PRAs cannot be identified. Therefore, citizenship tables in this report include data only for citizens and noncitizens.

## Work Requirements

PRWORA instituted a work requirement on able-bodied FSP participants age 18 to 49 who were living in households without children. Individuals subject to the work requirement need to do one of the following: (1) work at least 20 hours per week; (2) participate in an approved employment and training (E\&T) program for at least 20 hours per week; or (3) participate in Workfare or a comparable program. Individuals subject to, but not meeting, the work requirement can only receive food stamp benefits for three months in a 36-month period.

Most food stamp participants are not subject to the work requirement. Any individual who is under 18 or over 49 , physically or mentally unfit for employment, pregnant, or a parent or other member of a household with responsibility for a dependent child is not subject to the work requirement. Furthermore, people who are also exempt from the FSP work registration provision under subsection (d)(2) of 7 U.S.C. 2015 are not subject to the work requirement. This includes anyone who is:

- Responsible for the care of an incapacitated person or a dependent child under age 6
- A student who meets FSP eligibility requirements
- A regular participant in a drug addiction or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation program
- Working at least 30 hours per week (or earning more than what would be earned if working 30 hours per week at the minimum wage)
- Subject to and complying with a work registration requirement under another program (either under Title IV of the Social Security Act or under the federal-state unemployment compensation system).

An individual who lost eligibility under PRWORA can regain it by working or participating in an E\&T program for 80 or more hours in a 30-day period or by complying with a workfare program for 30 days. An individual who regains eligibility in this way remains eligible as long as he or she continues to meet the work requirement. If, after regaining eligibility, an individual fails to meet the work requirement, he or she remains eligible for three consecutive months starting on the date the individual notifies the state agency that he or she no longer meets the work requirement. An individual may only receive these 3 additional months once in any 36month period.

At a state's request, USDA may waive the work requirement for any group of individuals if the Secretary determines that where they live has either an unemployment rate of over 10 percent or an insufficient number of jobs to provide employment. In addition, each state may exempt up to 15 percent of the people who, after applying all other exemptions and waivers, would still lose eligibility because of the work requirement. Figure C shows which FSP participants can lose eligibility under PRWORA.

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997, which took effect in fiscal year 1998, allowed states to exempt 15 percent of the state's ABAWDs from the FSP time limits imposed by PROWRA. In addition, the Balanced Budget Act increased funds for the Food Stamp Employment and Training Program, restricted how the funds could be used and made them available to states until expended. States were required to earmark 80 percent of their federal food stamp employment and training funds to provide approved work or training programs for childless, able-bodied 18to 49-year-olds.

## Income Deductions and Vehicle Allowance

Before PRWORA, the standard income deduction was typically adjusted for inflation each October. PRWORA froze the standard deduction at the 1996 level of $\$ 134$ for the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia. The amounts for Alaska and Hawaii were also frozen (see Appendix D).

The excess shelter deduction remained at $\$ 247$ until December 31, 1996, but rose to $\$ 250$ on January 1, 1997 and $\$ 275$ at the beginning of fiscal year 1999. It is set to rise once more to $\$ 300$ at the beginning of fiscal year 2001, with no additional planned increases.

The vehicle allowance was scheduled to be increased to $\$ 5,000$ in fiscal year 1997, and indexed for inflation beginning in October 1996. However, PRWORA raised the allowance to only $\$ 4,650$ with no scheduled increase.

## Household Definition

Prior to PRWORA, children in the household age 21 and under who were married or parents were excluded from the household. With the enactment of PRWORA, these children and their families must be included in the household.

## Benefit Calculation

Since 1991, the maximum food stamp benefit had been set at $103 \%$ of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP), which is indexed annually for inflation in food prices. Under PRWORA, beginning in 1997 the maximum benefit was set at $100 \%$ of the TFP, with the requirement that benefits not drop lower than the 1996 levels. The maximum benefit levels in 1998 and 1999 increased at the rate of inflation in food prices.

FIGURE C

## FOOD STAMP PARTICIPANTS AFFECTED BY PRWORA'S WORK REQUIREMENT AND TIME LIMIT


 meeting the FSP's student eligibility criteria; (5) in a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program.

APPENDIX D
FISCAL YEAR 2000 FSP PARAMETERS AND MAXIMUM BENEFIT AMOUNTS

Table D-1. HHS Poverty Income Guidelines for Fiscal Year 2000 FSP $^{\text {a }}$

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 8,240$ | $\$ 10,320$ | $\$ 9,490$ |
| 2 | 11,060 | 13,840 | 12,730 |
| 3 | 13,880 | 17,360 | 15,970 |
| 4 | 16,700 | 20,880 | 19,210 |
| 5 | 19,520 | 24,400 | 22,450 |
| 7 | 22,340 | 27,920 | 25,690 |
| 7 | 25,160 | 31,440 | 28,930 |
| 8 | 27,980 | 34,960 | 32,170 |
| Each Additional Member | $+2,820$ | $+3,520$ | $+3,240$ |

${ }^{a}$ These numbers, which were used as poverty guidelines for the FSP in fiscal year 2000, were issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and published in the March 1999 Federal Register. The Bureau of the Census establishes different poverty thresholds which are used primarily for statistical purposes.

Table D-2. FSP Maximum Allowable Gross Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year 2000 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 893$ | $\$ 1,118$ | $\$ 1,029$ |
| 2 | 1,199 | 1,500 | 1,380 |
| 3 | 1,504 | 1,881 | 1,731 |
| 4 | 1,810 | 2,262 | 2,082 |
| 5 | 2,115 | 2,644 | 2,433 |
| 6 | 2,421 | 3,025 | 2,784 |
| 7 | 2,726 | 3,406 | 3,135 |
| 8 | 3,032 | 3,788 | 3,486 |
| Each Additional Member | +306 | +382 | +351 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fiscal year 2000 FSP gross income limits are based on the 1999 poverty guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and published in the March 1999 Federal Register. (See Table D-1.) FNS derived the fiscal year 2000 net income limits by multiplying the 1999 poverty guidelines by 130 percent, dividing the results by 12 and then rounding up to the nearest dollar. The 1999 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 1998 Census poverty thresholds, so the gross income limits applied to food stamp households in fiscal year 2000 are based on 1998 poverty measures.

[^26]Table D-3. FSP Maximum Allowable Net Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year 2000

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 687$ | $\$ 860$ | $\$ 791$ |
| 2 | 922 | 1,154 | 1,061 |
| 3 | 1,157 | 1,447 | 1,331 |
| 4 | 1,392 | 1,740 | 1,601 |
| 5 | 1,627 | 2,034 | 1,871 |
| 6 | 1,862 | 2,327 | 2,141 |
| 7 | 2,097 | 2,620 | 2,411 |
| 8 | 2,332 | 2,914 | 2,681 |
| Each Additional Member | +235 | +294 | +270 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fiscal year 2000 FSP net income limits are based on the 1999 poverty guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and published in the March 1999 Federal Register. (See Table D-1.) FNS derived the fiscal year 2000 net income limits by dividing the 1999 poverty guidelines by 12 and rounding up to the nearest dollar. The 1999 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 1998 Census poverty thresholds, so the net income limits applied to food stamp households in fiscal year 2000 are based on 1998 poverty measures.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Table D-4. Value of Standard, Maximum Dependent-Care, and Excess Shelter Expense Deductions in the Continental United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year 2000

| Area | Standard $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Maximum Dependent-Care $^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ | Excess Shelter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continental United States ............ | $\$ 134$ |  |  |
| Alaska ...................................... | 229 | $200 / \$ 175$ | $\$ 275$ |
| Hawaii................................... | 189 | $200 / 175$ | 478 |
| Guam .................................................................... | 269 | 118 | $200 / 175$ |
| Virgin Islands....................... |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Prior to fiscal year 1997, the standard deduction was adjusted each October to reflect changes in the CPI-U for nonfood items. Since fiscal year 1997, the standard deduction has been frozen at fiscal year 1996 levels.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The household limit on the dependent-care deduction is equal to the maximum dependent-care deduction multiplied by the number of dependents in the household.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ The higher dependent-care deduction pertains to dependents under age 2 ; the lower deduction is for dependents age 2 or more.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Table D-5. Value of Maximum Food Stamp Benefit in the Continental United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year 2000 ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$

| Household <br> Size | Continental <br> U.S. | Alaska <br> Urban | Alaska <br> Rural I | Alaska <br> Rural II | Hawaii | Guam | Virgin <br> Islands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 127$ | $\$ 158$ | $\$ 202$ | $\$ 245$ | $\$ 199$ | $\$ 188$ | $\$ 164$ |
| 2 | 234 | 290 | 370 | 450 | 365 | 345 | 301 |
| 3 | 335 | 415 | 530 | 645 | 523 | 495 | 431 |
| 4 | 426 | 528 | 673 | 819 | 664 | 628 | 548 |
| 5 | 506 | 627 | 799 | 973 | 789 | 746 | 651 |
| 6 | 607 | 752 | 959 | 1,168 | 947 | 896 | 781 |
| 7 | 671 | 831 | 1,060 | 1,291 | 1,047 | 990 | 863 |
| 8 | 767 | 950 | 1,212 | 1,475 | 1,196 | 1,131 | 987 |

[^27]Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

## APPENDIX E

## SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATES

## THE SAMPLE

The estimates in this report are derived from a sample of households selected for review as part of the Food Stamp Program Quality Control System (FSPQC), an ongoing review of food stamp household circumstances. The FSPQC is designed to determine (1) if households are eligible to participate or are receiving the correct benefit amount and (2) if household participation is correctly denied or terminated. The FSPQC is based on a national probability sample of approximately 47,000 participating food stamp households, and on a somewhat smaller number of denials and terminations. The national sample of participating households collected in the FSPQC is stratified by the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. Annual required state samples range from a minimum of 300 to 2,400 reviews, depending on the size of the state's caseload. State agencies select an independent sample each month that is generally proportionate to the size of the monthly participating caseload.

The estimates presented in Appendices A and B of this report are derived from the fiscal year 2000 FSPQC sample of participating food stamp households. The fiscal year 2000 data is made up of monthly samples from October 1999 through September 2000.

## Target Universe

The target universe of this study included all participating households (active cases) subject to quality control review in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. ${ }^{1}$

While almost all participating food stamp households are included in the target universe, certain types of households not amenable to review are not included. Specifically, the universe includes all households receiving food stamps during the review period except those in which the participants (1) died or moved outside the state; (2) received benefits through a disaster certification authorized by FNS; (3) were under investigation for FSP fraud (including those with pending fraud hearings) and/or were appealing a notice of adverse action; or (4) received restored benefits in accordance with the state manual, but were otherwise ineligible. The sampling unit within the universe each month is the active food stamp household as specified in FNS regulations.

## Weighting

The estimates for fiscal year 2000 in this report are based on a sample of 46,963 valid observations. The sample records have been weighted, based on the number of households participating in the food stamp program in each month in fiscal year 2000 as reported to FNS. ${ }^{2}$

[^28]Thus, when the sample is weighted, it contains the total number of households that actually participated in the FSP over any given time period. A separate person-level weight was not created, however. Therefore, although the number of households in the weighted sample is equal to the number of households found in program operations data provided by FNS, the number of participants in the weighted sample is not exactly equal to the number in program data.

## Comparison to Participation Data

The following table compares the quality control sample-based estimates to aggregate program participation data for fiscal year 2000.

|  | Fiscal Year 2000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Average Monthly Value | Program Data | FSPQC Sample |
| Number of households | $7,334,501$ | $7,334,501$ |
| Number of participants | $17,163,304$ | $17,091,325$ |
| Value of benefits | $\$ 1,248,997,501$ | $\$ 1,159,007,595$ |
| Average household size | 2.34 | 2.33 |
| Average benefit per person | $\$ 72.80$ | $\$ 67.81$ |

## Income Decision Rule

Several household-level income and deduction variables are both reported and can be calculated by summing across reported person-level variables. Gross income is the key variable since the earned income and excess shelter expense deductions and net income depend on gross income, and benefit levels depend on net income. The data on which this report is based were edited to achieve internal consistency. The reported and calculated values for fiscal year 2000 for selected variables are presented in appendix Table E.

## Completion Rates

Failure to complete reviews for all cases subject to review can bias the sample results if the characteristics of unreviewed households are significantly different from those of reviewed households. While there are no direct measures of such differences, the ratio of complete reviews to total sample cases selected for review provides an indication of the magnitude of any potential bias. The number of cases subject to review, the number of valid observations, and the estimated completion rates for fiscal year 2000 are as follows:

|  | FSPQC Sample |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | Fiscal Year 2000 |
|  |  |
| Number of cases subject to review | 54,304 |
| Number of cases completed | 46,963 |
| Estimated completion rate | 86.5 |

Table E. Comparison of Calculated and Reported Values for Selected Variables of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 2000

| Variable | All <br> Households | Households With: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Earnings | Elderly | Children | Disabled |
| Average Gross Income (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated ........................................... | 620 | 942 | 617 | 727 | 711 |
| Reported............................................. | 636 | 980 | 619 | 752 | 729 |
| Average Net Income (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated ....................... | 355 | 555 | 356 | 436 | 440 |
| Reported............................................. | 332 | 534 | 325 | 416 | 410 |
| Average Total Deduction (Dollars) <br> Calculated | 298 | 402 | 277 | 321 | 282 |
| Reported............................................. | 287 | 379 | 277 | 307 | 261 |
| Average Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) <br> Calculated | 158 | 192 | 59 | 234 | 99 |
| Reported............................................. | 164 | 206 | 66 | 239 | 108 |
| Percent With Zero Gross Income Calculated | 8.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 0.2 |
| Reported............................................. | 8.4 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 6.2 | 0.2 |
| Percent With Zero Net Income <br> Calculated | 20.1 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 17.4 | 5.4 |
| Reported............................................. | 22.2 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 19.3 | 7.5 |
| Percent With Minimum Benefit <br> Calculated | 10.9 | 5.1 | 29.8 | 1.5 | 16.7 |
| Reported............................................. | 7.5 | 3.0 | 20.8 | 0.9 | 11.4 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

## APPENDIX F

## SAMPLING ERROR OF ESTIMATES

## SAMPLING ERROR

The estimates of the characteristics of food stamp households in this report are based on a sample of households and, consequently, are subject to statistical sampling error. One indicator of the magnitude of the sampling error associated with a given estimate is its standard error. Standard errors measure the variation in estimated values that would be observed if multiple replications of the sample were drawn. The magnitude of the standard errors depends on: (1) the degree of variation in the variable within the population from which the sample is drawn; (2) the design of the sample, including such issues as stratification and sampling probabilities; and (3) the size of the sample on which the estimate is based. This appendix presents estimates of the standard errors associated with key statistics and outlines methods for estimating the standard errors of other statistics for which standard errors have not been directly calculated.

## Standard Errors

The standard error of an estimated proportion of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, based on a simple random sample is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{p}=\sqrt{p(1-p) / n}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where p is the weighted estimate of the proportion, and n is the sample size. ${ }^{1}$ The standard error of an estimated number of households, $s_{N}$, based on a simple random sample is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{N}=N \sqrt{p(1-p) / n}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is the number of households in the population. These formulas for the standard errors of estimates based on a simple random sample do not necessarily apply to estimates derived from more complex samples, such as the stratified sample of the FSPQC. In this appendix, standard errors calculated using equations (1) and (2) are referred to as "naive standard errors." Standard errors can be estimated more accurately using a replicate sample method. ${ }^{2}$

[^29]The following discussion presents standard errors of selected estimates that were computed using the replicate sample method. It then presents a simple method for approximating standard errors of estimates for which individual standard errors have not been computed.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Households

The standard errors of selected estimates of food stamp households in fiscal year 2000 are shown in Table F-1. These standard errors can be used to compute confidence intervals for the estimated number of households with a particular characteristic. ${ }^{3}$ For example, the estimated number of food stamp households that receive the minimum benefit is 801,000 (Table A-1), and the corresponding standard error is 13,860 (Table F-1). Therefore, the 95 percent confidence interval extends from 773,300 to $828,700 .{ }^{4}$

For standard errors not shown in Table F-1, the approximate standard error, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}}$, of an estimated number of households for fiscal year 2000 can be calculated using equation (3):
(3) $S_{E}=S_{N} X d$
where $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is the naive standard error from equation (2) above, and d is the design effect for the population subgroup and characteristic of interest, from Table F-2. The design effect reflects the loss of precision due to the different sampling rates in different strata of the FSPQC sample. It is the ratio of the standard error computed by the replication method (Table F-1) to the naive standard error. When the population subgroup (for example, households with elderly) is listed in Table F-2, but the characteristic of interest (for example, zero net income) is not, use the average design effect for the subgroup, from the rightmost column of Table F-2. When neither the subgroup nor the characteristic is listed, use the average design effect for all FSP households, 1.30 .

For example, to estimate the standard error of the number of households containing an elderly person with zero net income, the first step is to obtain the size of the estimate. As shown in appendix Table A-20, 134,000 elderly households have zero net income. The next step is to calculate the naïve standard error. Using equation (2), the value is $4,383 .{ }^{5}$ Multiplying 4,383 by

[^30]the design effect (d) of 1.81 (Table F-2) yields an estimated standard error of 7,933 (compared with the replicate sample method standard error from Table F-1, 7,960).

## Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages

Comparing equations (1) and (2), it is apparent that the standard error of an estimated percentage of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, is equal to the standard error of the corresponding count of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$, divided by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentage. That is:
(4) $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}} / \mathrm{N}$

For example, appendix Table A-20 shows that, of the 4.0 million households with children, 250,000 (6.3 percent) have no gross income. The standard error ( $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) of the number of households with children with no gross income is 7,070 (Table F-1). To calculate $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the standard error of the corresponding percentage estimate, simply divide $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$ by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentage-in this case, 4.0 million households with children. The resulting standard error of the percentage estimate is 0.2 percentage points, and the corresponding 95 percent confidence interval extends from 5.9 to 6.7 percent, around the point estimate of 6.3 percent.

Equation (4) can also be applied to standard errors that are not shown in Table F-1. First, calculate the adjusted naive standard error of the number of households using equation (3). Then divide the resulting standard error by the size of the population that forms the base of the percentage. Returning to an earlier example-of the 1.5 million elderly households, 134,000 ( 8.7 percent) have zero net income. Dividing the adjusted naive standard error (calculated above as 7,933 ) by 1.5 million, yields an adjusted naive standard error of the percentage estimate of 0.5 percentage points.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Means

The standard errors for selected estimated means for fiscal year 2000 are provided in Table F-3. For example, the standard error of the mean gross income for all food stamp households in fiscal year 2000 is $\$ 2.53$ (Table F-3), and the mean itself is $\$ 620$ (Table A-21). Therefore, a 95 percent confidence interval extends from approximately $\$ 615$ to $\$ 625$.

Generalized approximation methods such as the one used in equation (3) work well for standard errors of estimated numbers and percentages, because the standard errors depend only on the sample size, the estimated proportion, and the design effects. Generalized methods are less appropriate for standard errors of means because the standard error depends on the variance as well as the sample size and design effects. Nevertheless, a rough approximation of the magnitude of standard errors of means not included in Table F-3 can be obtained from Table F-4. Table F-4 shows for each variable in Table F-3 the average, minimum, and maximum value of that variable's standard error as a percentage of the variable's mean value. These three values are shown for all food stamp households and for selected subgroups. The standard errors in Table F4 include design effects.

Table F-1. Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Food Stamp Households (Thousands), Fiscal Year 2000 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sample Size | Estimated Population (Thousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zero <br> Gross <br> Income | Zero Net <br> Income | Minimum Benefits | Earned <br> Income | Elderly | Children | School-Age Children | Disabled |  |  |
| All FSP Households .......... | 11.48 | 18.5 | 13.86 | 20.50 | 18.18 | 21.67 | 22.36 | 18.98 | 46,963 | 7,335 |
| With Elderly ................... | 2.15 | 7.96 | 10.51 | 4.01 | 18.18 | 4.95 | 5.72 | 8.07 | 9,820 | 1,542 |
| Without Elderly ............... | 11.31 | 17.27 | 9.68 | 20.31 | NA | 21.73 | 22.27 | 18.20 | 37,143 | 5,793 |
| With Children ................... | 7.07 | 12.25 | 4.45 | 19.49 | 4.95 | 21.67 | 22.36 | 12.54 | 24,866 | 3,955 |
| With School Age Children. | 5.76 | 10.35 | 3.64 | 18.03 | 4.72 | 22.36 | 22.36 | 11.75 | 18,917 | 3,036 |
| Without Children .............. | 9.35 | 15.11 | 13.22 | 8.72 | 17.78 | NA | NA | 1639 | 22,097 | 3,380 |
| With Earnings.................. | NA | 6.97 | 5.58 | 20.5 | 4.01 | 19.49 | 18.03 | 7.05 | 12,894 | 1,993 |
| With Disabled.................. | 0.73 | 6.02 | 9.19 | 7.05 | 8.07 | 12.54 | 11.75 | 18.98 | 13,861 | 2,017 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors were estimated using the replicate sample method.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable.
Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table F-2. Design Effects (d) for Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers or Percentages of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2000a

| Base of EstimatedNumber | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zero Gross | Zero Net | Minimum Benefits | Earned <br> Income | Elderly | Children | School-Age Children | Disabled |  |
| All FSP Households ............. | 1.19 | 1.36 | 1.31 | 1.36 | 1.32 | 1.28 | 1.34 | 1.26 | 1.30 |
| With Elderly ................... | 1.31 | 1.81 | 1.48 | 1.41 | NA | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.39 | 1.43 |
| Without Elderly................ | 1.20 | 1.36 | 1.37 | 1.43 | NA | 1.53 | 1.48 | 1.32 | 1.38 |
| With Children.................... | 1.11 | 1.29 | 1.47 | 1.57 | 1.31 | NA | 2.11 | 1.31 | 1.45 |
| With School Age Children ... | 1.12 | 1.31 | 1.45 | 1.64 | 1.29 | NA | NA | 1.33 | 1.36 |
| Without Children................ | 1.32 | 1.57 | 1.40 | 1.38 | 1.58 | NA | NA | 1.48 | 1.45 |
| With Earnings.................... | NA | 1.31 | 1.44 | NA | 1.41 | 3.17 | 2.19 | 1.33 | 1.81 |
| With Disabled................... | 0.99 | 1.56 | 1.44 | 1.37 | 1.41 | 1.54 | 1.50 | NA | 1.40 |

${ }^{a}$ The design effect is the ratio of the standard error computed by the replication method to the naive standard error for the specific cell of the table. The average design effect for each row is a simple arithmetic average of the design effects for each cell in the row.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable.
Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table F-3. Standard Errors of Estimated Means, Fiscal Year 2000 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Base of Estimated Mean | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Income | Net Income | Benefits | All <br> Deductions | Total <br> Resources | Household Size | Certification Period | Earnings ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TANF ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{SSI}^{\text {b }}$ | Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| All FSP Households ..... | 2.53 | 2.16 | 0.77 | 1.21 | 2.53 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 5.64 | 3.18 | 2.58 | 1.26 |
| With Elderly ............ | 2.83 | 3.26 | 0.92 | 3.16 | 7.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 28.49 | 15.50 | 4.36 | 3.98 |
| Without Elderly ........ | 3.12 | 2.60 | 0.88 | 1.32 | 2.61 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 5.72 | 3.24 | 3.11 | 1.27 |
| With Children........... | 3.99 | 3.40 | 1.07 | 1.44 | 3.47 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 6.09 | 3.23 | 4.79 | 1.42 |
| With School Age Children $\qquad$ | 4.67 | 4.02 | 1.29 | 1.72 | 4.26 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 7.13 | 4.05 | 5.29 | 1.71 |
| Without Children...... | 2.35 | 2.06 | 0.51 | 2.06 | 3.75 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 9.14 | 16.04 | 2.98 | 2.27 |
| With Earnings.......... | 5.56 | 4.92 | 1.47 | 2.68 | 5.46 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 5.64 | 6.22 | 8.79 | 2.28 |
| With Disabled.......... | 3.46 | 3.69 | 1.13 | 2.90 | 3.88 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 15.12 | 5.07 | 2.92 | 3.02 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors were estimated using the replication method.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ For households with a nonzero amount.
Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table F-4. Range of Standard Errors of Mean Amounts Expressed as a Percentage of the Mean Amount, Fiscal Year 2000 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Number of Households <br> in Base of Mean <br> (Thousands) | Standard Error as <br> Percent of Mean Amount |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Lowest $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Highest $^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| 8,246 (All FSP Households) ................ | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.6 |
| 1,500 (Households With Elderly) ......... | 2.1 | 0.5 | 6.4 |
| 4,806 (Households With Children)........ | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.6 |
| 2,167 (Households With Earnings)....... | 1.2 | 0.6 | 3.1 |
| 2,015 (Households With Disabled)....... | 1.3 | 0.4 | 3.1 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Standard errors from table I-3 and mean amounts from applicable text tables.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average standard error across all 11 variables in table I-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{c}$ Lowest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in table I-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Highest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in table I-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
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## INDEX

Able-bodied adults (see Time Limits, Work Registration)
Age 22, 62, 63, 80, 82
Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 (AREERA) 1, 6, 27, 85
(see also Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act)
Alien (Non-citizen) 1, 6, 21, 27, 29, 58, 62, 81, 82, 85-86
Assets, Countable (Countable resources) 34-37, 52, 54-57, 67, 72
Vehicles 5, 27
Benefits 5, 9, 13-16, 18-23, 39, 49, 51 52, 55, 57, 67, 72, 102, 112
Maximum 7, 29, 33-34, 42-43, 45, 47-48, 54, 56, 88, 97
Minimum $8,29,33,34,42,43,54,56,66,104,110$
Pro-rated 8, 20, 22, 62
Certification period $7,28,34,47,52,55,57,72,112$
(see also Expedited service, Recertification)
Child support payment deduction (see Deductions)
Child support income (see Income, Unearned)
Children 15, 17-22, 27-29, 33-35, 37, 40-44, 45, 47, 48, 51, 52-56, 58-63, 65-66, 75, 82, 88, 104, 109-113

Citizenship 21, 58, 62, 81, 82, 85-86
(see also Non-citizen)
Contributions (see Income, Unearned)
Countable resources (see Assets)
Deductions 4, 112
Child support payment $4,27,42,43,44,54-57$
Dependent care 4, 27, 42, 43, 44, 96
Earned income 4, 28, 43, 44, 54-57, 112
Excess shelter 4, 28, 42-45, 54-57, 88, 96, 112
Medical 4, 29, 42, 43, 44, 54-57
Standard 4, 29, 88, 96
Deemed income 30, 38, 39
Dependent care deduction (see Deductions)
Disabled $3-7,13,15,17-18,20-22,27-29,33-35,37-38,40-45,47,48,51-56,58-59,61,62$, $64,65,75,86,104,110-113$

Earned income (see Income)
Earned income deduction (see Deductions)
Educational loans (see Income, Unearned)

Elderly $\quad 3-4,7,13,15,17-20,22,28-29,33-35,37-38,40-45,47-48,51-56,58-59,61-62,65-$ $66,75,80,82,86,104,110-113$

Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) 8
Employment status 28, 59, 64
Entrants 50, 78
Excess shelter deduction (see Deductions)
Expedited service 7, 28, 50, 78
General assistance (GA) (see Income, Unearned)
Gross income (see Income)
Gross income screen (see Income, Gross)
Household composition 33-34, 37, 42, 43, 54, 56
Married-couple 17-19, 22, 29, 51-53, 60, 65
Single-adult with children $15,17-19,22,29,51-53,60,65$
Household head 22, 30, 58, 60, 64, 79
Household size $5,7,13,15,18-20,34,36,37,39,46,49,52,54-57,61,63,67,72,93-95,97$, 102, 112

Income 93
Earned $\quad 4,15,17,19-21,33-34,40,42-45,47-48,53-59,66,75-77,87,102,104,110-112$
Self-employment 28, 38, 39
Wages 28, 38, 39
Gross $13-16,22,23,33-37,39,42-43,46,48-49,52,54-57,67,72,73,102,104,110-112$
Gross income screen $3-5,28,94$
Net 7, 18, 22, 23, 33-37, 39, 42-43, 52, 54-57, 67, 72, 102, 104, 110-112
Net income screen 3-5, 29, 95
Unearned
Child support (Child support enforcement payments) 19, 30, 38, 39
Contributions 30, 38
Educational loans 30, 38, 39
General assistance (GA) 5, 17, 20, 27, 29, 30, 33-34, 38-40, 42-45, 53-59, 75-77
Social Security 17, 19-20, 27-28, 30, 33-34, 38, 39, 41-43, 53-57, 76-77
Supplemental Security Income (SSI) 5, 7, 17, 19-20, 27-28, 30, 33-34, 38-40, 42-43, 53-57, 66, 76-77, 112

Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) 5, 7, 8, 15, 17, 19, 21, 27, 30, 33-34, $38-40,42-45,47,48,53-59,66,75-77,112$

Unemployment compensation 30, 38-39
Veterans' benefits 20,27-28, 30, 38-39, 85
Workers' compensation 30, 38-39
Married-couple household (see Household composition)
Maximum benefit (see Benefits)
Medical deduction (see Deductions)
Minimum benefit (see Benefits)
Net income (see Income)
Net income screen (see Income, Net)
Non-citizen (Alien) 1, 6, 21, 27, 29, 58, 62, 81, 82, 85, 86
Participants $\quad 8-9,10,13,20-21,33,51,60,62-65,68,80-82,102$
Permanent resident aliens (PRAs) 1, 6, 22, 27, 29, 85-86
(see also Non-citizen)
Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) 1, 6, 27, 29, 85-89
(see also Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998)
Poverty $3-5,10,11,13-16,29,33,35-37,48,55,57,67,73,93$
Pro-rated benefit (see Benefits)
Race/ethnicity 58, 62, 79
Recertification 50, 85
Resources (see Assets)
Rural 29, 33, 34, 97
Self-employment income (see Income, Earned)
Sex (Male, Female) 20, 22, 60, 62, 63, 68
Shelter deduction (see Deductions, Excess shelter)
Shelter expense 4, 5, 96, 102
Single-adult with children household (see Household composition)
Social Security (see Income, Unearned)
Standard deduction (see Deductions)
States 71-82, 87, 93-97, 101
Supplemental Security Income (SSI) (see Income, Unearned)
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) (see Income, Unearned)
Time limits $6,9,21,62,85,87,89$
Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) 7, 27, 29-30, 63, 88, 97
Unearned income (see Income)

Unemployment compensation (see Income, Unearned)
Urban 30, 33-34, 97
Vehicles (see Assets)
Veterans' benefits (see Income, Unearned)
Wages (see Income, Earned)
Work registration $6,21,22,28,30,62,64,87,89$
Workers' compensation (see Income, Unearned)
Workfare $30,64,87,89$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The figure of 17.2 million people is based on FNS administrative records. The participant count of 17.1 million cited later in the report and the other figures provided throughout the report are estimates from the Food Stamp Program Quality Control (FSPQC) sample. For an explanation of the difference in the counts see Appendix E.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The FSP eligibility requirements include nonfinancial categorical criteria for certain groups. Specifically, many able-bodied, childless adults and legal permanent resident aliens are ineligible for food stamps. See Appendix C for more details on these individuals.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Federal poverty guidelines for many assistance programs are established annually by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The FSP used 1999 poverty guidelines (published in the March 18, 1999 Federal Register) for all fiscal year 2000 income eligibility tests. These guidelines were developed on the basis of the 1998 Census poverty thresholds inflated to 1999 using the CPI-U. This means that the income eligibility tests applied to food stamp households in fiscal year 2000 are based on 1998 poverty measures inflated to 1999. See Appendix D for a listing of the fiscal year 2000 FSP poverty guidelines.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ The FY 2001 Agricultural Appropriations Act raised the limit on the excess shelter expense deduction to $\$ 340$, effective March 1, 2001, and indexed it to inflation increases in subsequent years.
    ${ }^{4}$ Benefits for these categorically eligible households are determined according to the same rules used for other eligible households.
    ${ }^{5}$ Since the period covered by this report, the treatment of vehicles has changed. New regulations implemented in January 2001 excluded from the asset test any vehicle with equity below $\$ 1500$ and eliminated the equity test for virtually all other vehicles. In addition, the FY 2001 Agricultural Appropriations Act, enacted in September 2000, allowed States to use TANF vehicle rules in place of food stamp rules if the TANF rules were more generous. Both of these changes were designed to make it easier for low-income workers to keep a car and still receive food stamps.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ See Appendix C for more details on alien eligibility.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ In 1993, the Act was amended to require that 1993 maximum benefit amounts in the contiguous United States remain constant at 1992 values despite a drop in the value of the TFP in June 1992. In 1995, legislation was adopted to freeze maximum benefit amounts for Alaska at their 1994 levels.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ See Food and Nutrition Service, USDA, The Decline in Food Stamp Participation: A Report to Congress, July 2001.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Average monthly values. Source: Economic Report of the President, 2001 Table B-36.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The information in this chapter and the estimates in Appendices A and B are based on a sample of 46,963 households that participated in the FSP in fiscal year 2000. The sample was drawn from food stamp households in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. Households in Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands were not included in the sample because Puerto Rico has its own Nutritional Assistance Program, which replaced the FSP there in July 1982, and the Northern Mariana Islands participate in another block grant program instead of the FSP.
    ${ }^{2}$ The estimate of 17.1 million participants differs slightly from the number of food stamp participants according to FNS administrative records ( 17.2 million people) because the sample estimate is weighted by households rather than by individuals (see Appendix E).
    ${ }^{3}$ This estimate reflects the entire deduction to which households are entitled. Because households cannot deduct more than their gross income, this figure is greater than the average deduction actually received by households.
    ${ }^{4}$ For more information on the economic status of food stamp households, see Appendix Tables A-3 through A-8.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Appendix Table D-1 for the poverty guidelines.

[^9]:    ${ }^{6}$ This comparison assumes that program participants value their food stamp benefits at face value.
    ${ }^{7}$ See Appendix Tables A-3, A-6, A-11, A-13, A-14, A-17, A-18, A20-A-22, and A-28 for more details concerning these households.

[^10]:    ${ }^{8}$ In this report, disabled children are defined as individuals under age 18 who are receiving SSI. Disabled adults are defined as individuals age 62 to 64 who receive SSI or age 18 to 61 who receive SSI, Social Security, veterans' benefits, or other government benefits as a result of a disability. Because individuals over age 64 can receive Social Security or SSI without being disabled (age 61 for Social Security), disability status of adults over age 64 cannot be identified accurately in the FSPQC sample.

[^11]:    ${ }^{9}$ For more information on FSP participants and household heads, see Appendix Tables A-24 and A-28 through A-31.
    ${ }^{10}$ Reports in this series prior to summer 1989 included as work registrants only people required to register for work under the FSP; the summer 1989 through fiscal year 1997 reports include as work registrants food stamp participants registered for work under the FSP or for the Job Opportunities and Basic Skills (JOBS) program. Beginning with the fiscal year 1998 report, work registrants include those registered for work under the FSP or any other assistance program. For more information on the work registration status of food stamp participants and household heads, see Appendix Table A-30.

[^12]:    Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

[^13]:    - No sample households in this category.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average value of specified source over households with income from source.

[^15]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Does not include households with the minimum benefit.

    - No sample households in this category.

[^16]:    - No sample households in this category.

[^17]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Household heads who are not participating with the household. Some household heads in this category are in separate food stamp cases not included in the FSPQC sample. This category also includes some households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in Characteristics of Food Stamp Households reports prior to the Fiscal Year 1999 edition.

[^18]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Household composition categories are based on characteristics of participating individuals only, and do not factor in characteristics of nonparticipants who may live in the same household with the participants. For example, single-adult households have exactly one participating adult and at least one participating child, but may have one or more nonparticipating adult or an adult participating in a separate case not included in the FSPQC sample.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Household heads who are not participating with the household. Some household heads in this category are in separate food stamp cases not included in the FSPQC sample. This category also includes some households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.

[^19]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Household composition categories are based on characteristics of participating individuals only, and do not factor in characteristics of nonparticipants who may live in the same household with the participants. For example, single-adult households have exactly one participating adult and at least one participating child, but may have one or more nonparticipating adult or an adult participating in a separate case not included in the FSPQC sample.

[^20]:    Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

[^21]:    Source: Fiscal Year 2000 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

[^22]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^23]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^24]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in Characteristics of Food Stamp Households reports prior to the Fiscal Year 1999 edition.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Illegal aliens and non-immigrants (students, tourists, visitors and people with work visas) have never been eligible for benefits.

[^26]:    Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

[^27]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The maximum benefit values are effective from October 1, 1999 to September 30, 2000 and are based on 100 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in the preceding June for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to the unusual nature of Alaskan terrain and climate, areas outside major urban centers are less accessible to food distributors. Therefore the value of the maximum benefit is adjusted to account for differences in the estimated cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in various regions. All regions of the state are classified as Rural I, Rural II, or Urban for this purpose.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Participating households in Guam and the Virgin Islands have been included in the target universe since fiscal year 1993. Prior to that year the universe excluded households in those areas.
    ${ }^{2}$ Case record sample weights of states that had disproportionately stratified quality control sample designs were adjusted to reflect the stratification.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ More precisely, $n$ is the sample size corresponding to the population that forms the denominator or "base" of the proportion being estimated. When the base is all food stamp households in fiscal year 2000, $n=46,963$. Sample sizes for selected demographic subgroups for fiscal year 2000 are shown in the sample size column of Table F-1. For subgroups not shown in Table F-1, the sample size can be approximated by multiplying the total sample size $(46,963)$ by the ratio of the subgroup population size to the total population size ( $N$ ). For fiscal year 2000, $\boldsymbol{N}=7,335$ thousand. Hence the approximate sample size for elderly households in fiscal year 2000 would be calculated as $(1,542 / 7,335) \times(46,963)=9,873$. In this case the approximation can be compared to the true elderly sample size of 9,820 , as shown in Table F-1.
    ${ }^{2}$ Standard errors have been estimated using the replicate sample method described in Leslie Kish, Survey Sampling, 1965, pp. 127-128. Under the replicate sample method, the sample is divided into random subsamples, and the variance of the mean of any given variable in the full sample is based on the variance of the means for that variable across the subsamples.

[^30]:    ${ }^{3}$ A confidence interval is a range of values that will contain the true value of an estimated characteristic with a known probability. For instance, a 95 percent confidence interval extends approximately two standard errors above and below the estimated value for a characteristic, and 95 percent of all confidence intervals will contain the true value.
    ${ }^{4}$ Calculated as: $(801-(2 \times 13.86))=773.3$ and $(801+(2 \times 13.86))=828.7$.
    ${ }^{5}$ Calculated as:

    $$
    1,542 \times \sqrt{((137 / 1,542) \times(1-(137 / 1,542)) / 9,820)}=4.383
    $$

    where 1,542 is the estimated population of elderly households in thousands, 134 is the estimated population of elderly households with zero net income in thousands, 9,820 is the sample size of elderly households (Table F-1), and 4.383 is the standard error in thousands.

