

Comment Info: =====

General Comment:public comment on federal register of 3/28/06 vol 71 #59 pg 1534
0
rin 0583-ad17
docket 2005 0032
dkt 04 041P
9 cfr parts 317, 381 and 442
usda fsis net weight of meat

what are the food profiteers and sneaks at usda trying to put over on consumers
now. we get endless regulations to keep changing the rules to make it easier on
food profiteers and the usda constituency, meanwhile driving u.s. consumers in t
o
the ground with sneaky foods that are bad for you and hidden from the consumer.
i
dont trust this agency to protect u.s. consumers anymore -they seem to be
focused on making food less healthful all the time.

i do not approve of using any standards from any other document like nist in
making standards for food that u.s. consumers eat. the whole standard used
should be placed right here in this proposal for all to see and to comment on. t
o
put any standard in some other publication that the public has no access to is
really a sneak attack on u.s. consumers. this must be changed and this proposal
should be reposted with full information.

also in cases where scales are not accurate, reinspection shall be mandatory at
monthly intervals (with a mandatory charge for first visit of \$500. to be paid a
t time
of visit and second visit to be \$1,000, and going up from there for all visits w
here a
reinspection is necessary. It is time that the people who cant keep their scales
perfect need to pay for their negligence, not the general u. s. consumer. and th
e
resinspections are not at the whim of some official who can be bribed, they shou
ld
be set down in stone in this regulation. we have an awful lot of bribery and
corruption going on in washington and other places these days. we need clear
laws setting down the process.

b.s achau
15elm street
florham park nj 07932