

TEXAS CATTLE FEEDERS ASSOCIATION

5501 J-40 West Amarillo, TX 79106 806 / 358-3681 FAX 806 / 352-6026

Jim B. Waterfield Chairman

Paul F. Engler Chairman-Elect

R. Scott Keeling Vice Chairman

Richard McDonald President & CEO

DIRECTORS

Tommy Bell H. P. (Bo) Brown, Jr. David S. DeLaney Michael Engler Byron N. Gossett Paul H. Hitch Perry Kirkland Bill Kruse Jim Lowder Rex McClov Dan McWhorter Don Oppliger James M. Peters John Rakestraw Bill Robertson Jim Schwertner Wm, H. (Bill) Shaw



September 5, 2001

VIA FAX 202/690-0486

FSIS Docket Clerk Room 102 Cotton Annex Building 300 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20250-3700 00-026N 00-026N-9 Ross Wilson



RE: Residue Policy Docket No. 00-26N

Texas Cattle Feeders Association (TCFA) appreciates the ongoing efforts of the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) to prevent violative drug residues in food.

TCFA appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed notice and request for comments regarding the agency's residue policy. Review of the notice has raised a few questions that need further clarification. We respectfully request a 60-day extension of the comment period to allow further discussion and help clarify these issues and concerns.

FSIS plays a critical role in terms of monitoring and surveillance to ensure compliance with existing Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulations relating to violative residues. TCFA recognizes the need to ensure FSIS policies, practices and enforcement are consistent with FDA regulations. For this reason, we agree with the FSIS proposal, for the most part, to harmonize the residue policy with the FDA target marker/tissue policy.

However, the notice lacks specific reference as to how the policy may affect the residue testing process with respect to commonly used antimicrobials. We believe, that prior to condemning a carcass for a violative residue in a target tissue such as liver or kidney, that the actual muscle tissue be tested as well to verify that residue levels actually exceed the science based standards set by the FDA.

We are aware there are antimicrobials approved for use in beef production that do not have a beef muscle residue standard nor approved analytical method, yet for the same antimicrobial there is a muscle tolerance for pork and an analytical method as well. In this situation, we believe if a safe level and analytical method have been approved for pork or other species, that this be used for beef as well. In other words, if a residue of 0.1 PPM of an antimicrobial is "safe" in pork then the same should be true for beef.

An issue related to harmonization of FSIS and FDA policies is the need to seriously consider harmonizing FSIS policies with those established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CODEX).

FSIS Docket Clerk September 5, 2001 Page 2

The need to consider harmonization FSIS policies with the CODEX standards is consistent with the reality of international trade. The FDA may not have target/muscle tissue standards and approved analytical standards for products that are not approved nor used in the U.S. However, this does not mean the FSIS can afford to ignore the fact these products are used and that we import muscle products, if not necessarily other "target tissues." FSIS needs to have in place approved analytical methods to verify compliance with internationally accepted CODEX standards for products not approved in the U.S but which have been proven safe and effective in other countries. Of course the FSIS needs to represent the safety of our products in international markets and the CODEX standards and approved analytical methods are important in this respect as well. We encourage the FSIS to seriously consider employing the CODEX tolerance and methods in the future.

In summary, we support the FSIS playing an active role in monitoring and surveillance to ensure compliance with FDA regulations relating to preventing violative residues. We believe actual muscle tissue testing should be the basis for decisions regarding condemnation of carcasses rather than simply relying on a target tissue test. We believe that if there is a tissue tolerance for a particular product in another species it makes sense to use that tolerance and analytical method for beef.

Thank you for considering our concerns, and we look forward to obtaining further clarification during a comment extension.

Sincerely,

Ross Wilson Vice President