

Meeting Record: Bradford Island

Subject: **Technical Advisory Group Meeting**

Date of Meeting: 10/11/2005

Location of Meeting: Executive Conference Room, Portland District, Portland, OR

1. Participants:

Corps and Consultants to Corps (URS):

Jeff Hurt	Mike Gross	Carolyn Schneider
Kathryn Carpenter	John Wakeman	Jeff Wallace, URS
Chris Moody, URS		

Agency/Tribal Members:

Bob Schwarz, ODEQ	Jennifer Peterson, ODEQ	Dave Stone, ODHS
Jeremy Buck, US FWS	Patti Howard, CRITFC	Dave McBride, WDOH (phone)

2. Discussions

a. Introductions, Purpose and Agenda (Jeff Hurt)

This meeting focuses on comments on the Fish Advisory QAPP and on proposed changes (advancing in time to Winter 2006-7) of the In-water Non-Time-Critical Removal Action (NTCRA), being evaluated through a draft Engineering and Economic Cost Analysis (EE/CA).

b. Topics

- ❖ Kathryn Carpenter introduced the RI/FS Work Plan and stated that USACE will be writing it in the next several months. It should be available in the April-May 2006 time frame for review.
- ❖ USACE will publish the In-water EE/CA in December, 2005, for TAG review.
- ❖ Review of the comments on the Fish QAPP and suggested resolutions (John Wakeman)

3. Key Discussion Points

a. In-water EE/CA

- ❖ Bob Schwarz concurred with advancing the NTCRA to Winter 2006. This would mean that some upland actions (e.g., the landfill) may be side-lined for the next few months or a year.
- ❖ There were comments from Jeremy Buck and Jennifer Peterson to the effect that not enough is understood at this point to design a full remedy. Jeremy stated that he was concerned with the ecological effects of multiple mobilizations and in-water construction periods. Bob replied that it is not clear that there will be additive impacts from multiple seasons, should they be required. Reasons for this include the very different levels of PCB in the periphery of the site, and protective measures such as the kind of dredging.

b. Analysis of clams in archive.

- ❖ John reported that the clams which are now 3.5 years since collection, are quite dessicated because they were stored in aluminum foil in baggies. If the

clams are not representative, we would have to throw them out and re-collect clams.

c. Fish QAPP

- ❖ John went through proposed changes to the Fish QAPP, and said it would be available for review in a few weeks. (Appendix A includes this briefing.)
- ❖ We clarified that congeners should be measured in a portion of the fish samples.

4. Action Items/Future Meetings

- ❖ Distribute notes to the meeting
- ❖ Provide revised Fish QAPP for review to TAG
- ❖ Provide Draft EE/CA for review to TAG

5. Next meeting will be in December, tentatively 12/6/2005.