

Exhibit 300 FY2008

FY2008 Exhibit 300

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I. A. 1. Date of Submission:

2006-09-11

I. A. 2. Agency:

005

I. A. 3. Bureau:

55

I. A. 4. Name of this Capital Asset:

(short text - 250 characters)

Multi-Family Integrated System - MFIS

I. A. 5. Unique ID: (For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129

I. A. 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Operations and Maintenance

I. A. 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2001 or earlier

I. A. 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this, closes in part or in whole, an identified agency performance gap:

(long text - 2500 characters)

Rural Development developed the Multi-Family Integrated System (MFIS) to replace and integrate the three independent computer systems which supported the Multi-Family Housing (MFH) program. These three systems were the Multi-Family Integrated System (MFIS), Multi-Family Tenant File System (MTFS) and the Automated Multi-Family Accounting System (AMAS). This reengineering effort provided an interface between MFIS and AMAS. In addition, an automated Industry Interface process that collects tenant, budget and payment data from the project's Management Agents was also reengineered. The new MFIS integrates the calculation and collection of MFH loan payment information from the field with AMAS to produce a seamless process for calculating and processing MFH loan payment information. The MFIS project was a collaborative effort between Rural Development and 13 private software vendor companies. These companies develop and provide project management software to our borrowers and management agents. As output from this software, an EDI file is generated for project tenant, budget and payment information. The file is then transmitted electronically to MFIS via the MFIS industry interface process. MFIS is included in the USDA and Rural Development as is Enterprise Architectures. It is a major Level 1 investment in the Rural Development CPIC process and in the steady state phase. MFIS is represented in and is aligned with the USDA Enterprise Architecture and the EA repository. Planning is underway to consolidate this investment, along with several other major investments, into a single access, citizen-centered internet store front for USDA loan originating/servicing needs. This integrated application will be called LOAN Servicing Technology for American Rural Residents (LOAN STARR). A business case for LOAN STARR is planned during FY 2007.

I. A. 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

yes

I. A. 9. a. If "yes", what was the date of this approval?

2006-09-06

I. A. 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

yes

I. A. 11. Contact information of Project Manager?

I. A. 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

no

I. A. 12. a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

no

I. A. 12. b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

no

I. A. 12. b. 1. If "yes", is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

I. A. 12. b. 2. If "yes", will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

I. A. 12. b. 3. If "yes", is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

I. A. 13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

yes

I. A. 13. a. If "yes", check all that apply:

Financial Performance

Expanded E-Government

I. A. 13. b. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s).

(medium text - 500 characters)

Advances Financial Performance by providing more accurate and timely data to support operating, budget, and policy decisions. Alignment with Expansion E-Government demonstrated with eforms integrated with Business Gateway; web interface complying with DR3430-001; users utilizing AgLearn for security awareness training; MFIS fronted by eAuthentication; loan program information posted on GovLoans Gateway; and grant applications available on Grants.gov and electronically transported to USDA.

I. A. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

(For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

yes

I. A. 14. a. If "yes", does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

yes

I. A. 14. b. If "yes", what is the name of the PARTed Program?

(short text - 250 characters)

USDA Multi Family Housing Direct Loans and Rental Assistance

I. A. 14. c. If "yes", what PART rating did it receive?

Moderately Effective

I. A. 15. Is this investment for information technology? (see section 53 for definition)

yes

I. A. 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).

Level 1

I. A. 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per OMB's PM Guidance):

(1) - The project manager assigned for this investment has been validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (2) - The project manager assigned for this investment is in the process of being validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (3) - The project manager assigned for this investment is not validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (4) - The qualifications for the project manager named have not been evaluated.; (5) - No project manager is currently assigned for this investment.; (6) - N/A -- This is not an IT investment.

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

I. A. 18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

no

I. A. 19. Is this a financial management system?

yes

I. A. 19. a. If "yes", does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

no

I. A. 19. a. 1. If "yes" which compliance area?

(short text - 250 characters)

I. A. 19. a. 2. If "no", what does it address?

(medium text - 500 characters)

MFIS is used to collect payment information from the borrowers or their Management Agents which is then passed to the Automated Multi Housing Accounting System for update to the borrower's accounts. While MFIS collects the data, all General Ledger and financial reporting is accomplished in the accounting system.

I. A. 19. b. If "yes", please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

(long text - 2500 characters)

Multi Family Management (MFM) and Automated Multi Housing Accounting System (AMAS)

I. A. 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

I. A. 20. a. Hardware

0

I. A. 20. b. Software

0

I. A. 20. c. Services

I. A. 20. d. Other

0

I. A. 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

n/a

I. A. 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

I. A. 22. a. Name

(short text - 250 characters)

Brenda Dinges

I. A. 22. b. Phone Number**I. A. 22. c. Title**

(short text - 250 characters)

RD Information Systems Security Staff Program Manager

I. A. 22. d. Email

(short text - 250 characters)

Brenda.Dinges@stl.usda.gov

I. A. 23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

yes

Section B: Summary of Funding

I. B. 1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table.

All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

	PY-1 Spending Prior to 2006	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008					
Planning	0.400	0	0	0					
Acquisition	7.560	0.31	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	7.960	0.31	0	0					
Operations & Maintenance	2.243	1.52	3.87	3.82					
TOTAL	10.203	1.83	3.87	3.82					
Government FTE Costs	3.990	0.445	0.82	0.84					
Number of FTE represented by cost	9	5	8	8					

I. B. 2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

I. B. 2. a. If "yes", How many and in what year?

(medium text - 500 characters)

There are no changes.

[illegible]

(medium text - 500 characters)

(Character Limitations: Strategic Goal(s) Supported - 250 Characters; Performance Measure - 250 Characters; Actual/baseline from Previous Year) - 250 Characters; Planned Performance Metric (Target) - 250 Characters; Performance Metric Results (Actual) - 250 Characters; Measurement Indicator - 250 Characters; Baseline - 250 Characters; Planned Improvement to the

Baseline - 250 Characters; Actual Results - 250 Characters)

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4.	240,000 Activities Annually	170,000 Activities Annually	180,000 Activities Annually	240,000 Activities Annually
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4.	80% Success Rate	In FY 2002, 14,724 of 16,608 budgets received were approved prior to the beginning of the project's fiscal year. This is a success rate of 89%.	Time required to review and approve Operating Budgets and Utility Allowances	89% Success Rate
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4.	Maintain a Delinquency Rate of 2% or less.	2%	Number of delinquent projects divided by the total number of projects	Delinquency rate reduced to 1.6%
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Resolve 95% of Project Findings Within One Budget Cycle of Identification.	95% of All Findings Resolved	Length of time to resolve a project finding	30% of project findings resolved within one budget cycle
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Complete 95% of All Annual Budget and Audit Reviews Within 60 Days of Receipt.	95% Success Rate	Time required to review all annual budgets and audit reviews	96% of Annual Budgets and 94% of Audit Reviews received and completed within 60 days.
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Complete 95% of All Quarterly and Year-end Analyses Within 60 Days of the Period's End.	95% Success Rate	Time required to complete all quarterly and year-end analyses	96% of Quarterly Budgets were received and processed within 60 days.
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Complete Annual Physical Inspections (Walkabouts) On 80% of All Properties.	80% Success Rate	Number of Annual Physical Inspection (Walkabouts) performed	92% of Annual Physical Inspections completed.
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Complete 80% of All Scheduled Supervisory Visits and Compliance Reviews in the Required Timeframes.	80% Success Rate	Time required to perform all supervisory visits and compliance reviews	85% of Supervisory Visits completed on-time.89% of Compliance Reviews completed on-time.
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Provide Capability Within MFIS to Complete Quarterly Reviews of MFH Occupancy Statistics to Assure the Portfolio Exhibits Positive Trends in RA Use, Lower Vacancy Rates and Lower Tenant Overburden for 90% of all Projects.	90% Success Rate	Business functionality in place to reduce vacancy rates, lower tenant overburden and RA use	Capability provided
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Reduce Projects With Serious Deficiencies (Classification D)	8% of Projects With Serious Deficiencies (Classification D)	Number of class D project	2% of total projects are classified as ?D?
2003	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Objective 2: Develop and maintain automated systems which promote sound security, support the President's E-Procurement Initiative, and improve the procurement process and effectiveness. Key Outcome Measure: No MFIS automated security objectives are reported to be in a "Red" status."	Deliver Effective, Efficient Service to the Public.	September Progress: Yellow	September Progress: Yellow
2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4.	Populate MFH Data Warehouse With MFIS Data	No MFH Data Warehouse	All Data Loaded to the MFH Data Warehouse	All Data Loaded to the MFH Data Warehouse

2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Provide 10 New Warehouse Reports to Provide Expanded Management Reporting	Zero Data Warehouse Reports	Provide 10 New Warehouse Reports to Provide Expanded Management Reporting	11 Reports Copmpleted
2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Maintain a Delinquency Rate of 2% or less.	2%	Number of delinquent projects divided by the total number of projects	Delinquency rate reduced to 1.6%
2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Eliminate Redundant Data Entry	Data Entered In Three Systems	Systems Requiring Redundant Data Entry	All Data Entered in Only One System
2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Complete 95% of All Annual Budget and Audit Reviews Within 60 Days of Receipt.	95% Success Rate	Time required to review all annual budgets and audit reviews	97% of Annual Budgets and 93% of Audit Reviews received and completed within 60 days.
2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4.	Complete 95% of All Quarterly and Year-end Analyses Within 60 Days of the Period's End.	95% Success Rate	Time required to complete all quarterly and year-end analyses	95% of Quarterly Budgets were received and processed within 60 days.
2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Complete Annual Physical Inspections (Walkabouts) On 80% of All Properties.	80% Success Rate	Number of Annual Physical Inspection (Walkabouts) performed	92% of Annual Physical Inspections completed.
2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Complete 80% of All Scheduled Supervisory Visits and Compliance Reviews in the Required Timeframes.	80% Success Rate	Time required to perform all supervisory visits and compliance reviews	86% of Supervisory Visits completed on-time.89% of Compliance Reviews completed on-time.
2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Reduce Projects With Serious Deficiencies (Classification D)	8% of Projects With Serious Deficiencies (Classification D)	Number of class D project	1.8% of total projects are classified as ?D?
2004	Rural Development Strategic Goal 2 and Objectives 2.1, 2.2,2.3 and 2.4	Objective 2: Develop and maintain automated systems which promote sound security, support the President's E-Procurement Initiative, and improve the procurement process and effectiveness. Key Outcome Measure: MFIS automated security objectives are reported to be in a "Green" status."	Deliver Effective, Efficient Service to the Public.	September Progress: Green	Green

I. D. 2. Table 2

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2005	Technology	Load levels	# of supervisory activities performed annually	170,000 Activities Annually	Increase to 180,000 activities annually	As of September 30, 2005, over 182,000 activities were performed.
2005	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	# of reports available through MFIS	28	Increase to 35 with phase 4 implementation	As of September 30, there are now 35 reports available in MFIS.
2005	Technology	Availability	% of time MFIS is available to end-users	98%	99% availability	As of September 30, the system has been available 99% of the time.
2005	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	% of MFIS data available in the MFH data warehouse	80%	95% after phase 4 implementation	100% of MFIS data is now available in the Tabular data warehouse.
2005	Technology	Load levels	# of simultaneous end-users that can be supported by MFIS	1,000	1,500	As of September 30, 2,000 concurrent users are being supported by MFIS.
2005	Processes and	Cycle Time	Average lag time for	Weekly	Daily	Emergency modifications can

	Activities		AMAS data changes to reach MFIS.			now be implemented within one day.
2005	Processes and Activities	Productivity	% of RD servicing specialists reporting time savings compiling and processing data for monthly payments	90%	To increase to 100% within 12 months after phase 4 implementation	Phase 4 has permitted 100% of all RD Servicing Specialists to realize time savings when processing monthly payments.
2005	Processes and Activities	Complaints	# of customer complaints received at the Centralized Help Desk each month related to MFIS	135	Reduce to 90	As of September 30, 87 customer complaints were received via CHD.
2005	Processes and Activities	Security	Review of the MFIS FISMA Risk Assessment Report for Improvements	Review and Update Report Every Six Months	Perform Review and Update Monthly	As of September 30, the FISMA report is being reviewed and updated Monthly.
2005	Processes and Activities	Productivity	% of properties having had Annual Physical Inspections (Walkabouts) performed	80%	90%	As of September 30, physical inspections had been performed on 88% of the total MFH projects.
2005	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	% of customers indicating overall satisfaction with MFIS	70%	80%	As of September 30, CHD reports that 85% of all customers report satisfaction with MFIS.
2005	Customer Results	Automation	% of management agents transmitting tenant data using the MFIS industry interface process	70%	80%	15,167 of 16,920 projects transmitting electronically in September 2005. This is a 90% rate.
2005	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of delinquencies in MFH loan program	2%	Below 2%	As of September 30, the MFH delinquency rate was 1.2%.
2005	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of field office staff's time required to process monthly MFH payments	30%	20%	With the implementation of phase 4, processing time has been reduced to 20%.
2006	Technology	Load levels	# of supervisory activities performed annually	180,000 Activities Annually	Increase to 185,000 activities annually	As of July 31, 2006, over 155,000 activities were performed.
2006	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	# of reports available through MFIS	35	Increase to 38 with phase 5 implementation	As of July 31, 2006, there are 39 reports available in MFIS.
2006	Technology	Availability	% of time MFIS is available to end-users	99%	99% availability	As of July 31, 2006, the system has been available over 99% of the time.
2006	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	% of MFIS data available in the MFH data warehouse	95%	100% after phase 5 implementation	As of July 31, 2006, 95% of MFIS data is now available in the Tabular data warehouse.
2006	Technology	Load levels	# of simultaneous end-users that can be supported by MFIS	1,500	2,000	As of July 31, 2006, over 2000 concurrent users are being supported by MFIS.
2006	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Average lag time for AMAS data changes to reach MFIS.	Daily	Maintain at Daily	As of July 31, 2006, emergency modifications can be implemented daily.
2006	Processes and Activities	Productivity	% of RD servicing specialists reporting time savings compiling and processing data for monthly payments	100%	To maintain 100% each year.	As of July 31, 2006, 100% of all RD servicing specialists to realize time savings when processing monthly payments.
2006	Processes and Activities	Complaints	# of customer complaints received at the Centralized Help Desk each month related to MFIS	90	Maintain at 90 or less	As of July 31, 2006, an average of 72 calls were received via CHD.
2006	Processes and Activities	Security	# of potential security weaknesses identified on the FISMA Assessment	10	7	As of July 31, 2006, 2 weaknesses were identified in the FISMA self assessment tool.
2006	Processes and Activities	Productivity	% of properties having had Annual Physical Inspections (Walkabouts) performed	90%	95%	As of July 31, 2006, physical inspections had been performed on 92% of the total MFH projects.
2006	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	% of customers indicating overall satisfaction with MFIS	80%	85%	As of July 31, 2006, CHD reports that 85% of all customers report satisfaction with MFIS.
2006	Customer Results	Automation	% of management agents transmitting tenant data using the MFIS industry interface process	80%	85%	As of July 31, 2006, 15,864 out of 16,691 transmitting electronically. This is a 95% rate.
2006	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of delinquencies in MFH loan program	2%	Below 2%	As of July 31, 2006, the MFH delinquency rate is 1.8%.

2006	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of field office staff's time required to process monthly MFH payments	20%	15%	With phase 5 and the CSC payment processing project completed, processing time has been reduced to less than 15%.
2007	Technology	Load levels	# of supervisory activities performed annually	185,000 Activities Annually	Increase to 190,000 activities annually	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	# of reports available through MFIS	38	Increase to 40	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Technology	Availability	% of time MFIS is available to end-users	99%	99%	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	% of MFIS data available in the MFH data warehouse	100%	Maintain at 100%	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Technology	Load levels	# of simultaneous end-users that can be supported by MFIS	2,000	Maintain 2000	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Processes and Activities	Complaints	# of customer complaints received at the Centralized Help Desk each month related to MFIS	90	Maintain at 90 or less	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Processes and Activities	Security	% of potential security risks identified and mitigated	10	Maintain at 10	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Processes and Activities	Productivity	% of properties having had Annual Physical Inspections (Walkabouts) performed	95%	Maintain 95%	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	% of customers indicating overall satisfaction with MFIS	85%	90%	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Customer Results	Automation	% of management agents transmitting tenant data using the MFIS industry interface process	85%	90%	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of delinquencies in MFH loan program	2%	Remain Below 2%	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of field office staff's time required to process monthly MFH payments	15%	Maintain at 15% or less	Not applicable until FY07.
2005	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of new and rehabilitated units provided	5800	Maintain 100% of Baseline	As of September 30, 2005, 5,950 new and rehabilitated units were provided.
2005	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of households not displaced by loss of rental assistance (number of renewals of rental assistance contracts)	42330	Maintain 100% of Baseline	As of September 30, 2005, over 44,000 households were receiving Rental Assistance.
2006	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of new and rehabilitated units provided	5800	Maintain 100% of Baseline	As of July 31, 2006, 5,875 new and rehabilitated units were provided.
2006	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of households not displaced by loss of rental assistance (number of renewals of rental assistance contracts)	42330	Maintain 100% of Baseline	As of July 31, 2006, over 42,500 households were receiving Rental Assistance.
2007	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of new and rehabilitated units provided	5800	Maintain 100% of Baseline	Not applicable until FY07.
2007	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of households not displaced by loss of rental assistance (number of renewals of rental assistance contracts)	42330	Maintain 100% of Baseline	Not applicable until FY07.
2005	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of Active Rental Assistance Agreements	18500	18750	As of September 30, 2005, there were 19000 active Rental Assistance Agreements
2006	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of Active Rental Assistance Agreements	18750	18900	As of July 31, 2006, there were 20,990 active Rental Assistance Agreements.sistance in the MFH program.
2007	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of Active Rental Assistance Agreements	18900	Maintain 100% of Baseline	Not applicable until FY07.
2005	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of Active Projects Providing Assistance in	16800	Maintain 100% of Baseline	As of September 30, 2005, there were 16888 active projects

			the Multi Housing Program			providing housing assistance in the MFH program
2006	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of Active Projects Providing Assistance in the Multi Housing Program	16000	Maintain 100% of Baseline	As of July 31, 2006, there were 16,691 active projects providing housing assistance in the MFH program.
2007	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of Active Projects Providing Assistance in the Multi Housing Program	16800	Maintain 100% of Baseline	Not applicable until FY07.
2005	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of MFH Projects Receiving Rental Assistance	13000	Maintain 100% of Baseline	As of September 30, 2005, 13,203 MFH Projects Were Receiving Rental Assistance
2006	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of MFH Projects Receiving Rental Assistance	13000	Maintain 100% of Baseline	As of July 31, 2006, 13,100 MFH projects were receiving Rental Assistance.
2007	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of MFH Projects Receiving Rental Assistance	13000	Maintain 100% of Baseline	Not Applicable Until FY07.
2005	Processes and Activities	Security	# of potential security risks identified and mitigated	10	Mitigate 90%	Identified and Mitigated 10 Risks
2006	Processes and Activities	Security	Review of the MFIS FISMA Risk Assessment Report for Improvements	Review and Update Report Every Six Months	Perform Review and Update Monthly	As of July 31, 2006, the FISMA assessment report is being reviewed monthly.
2007	Processes and Activities	Security	Review of the MFIS FISMA Risk Assessment Report for Improvements	Review and Update Report Every Six Months	Perform Review and Update Monthly	Not Applicable Until 2007
2008	Technology	Load levels	# of supervisory activities performed annually	190,000 Activities Annually in FY08	Maintain 100% of Baseline	Not applicable until FY08.
2008	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	# of reports available through MFIS	40	Maintain 100% of Baseline	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Technology	Availability	% of time MFIS is available to end-users	99%	Maintain at 99%	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	% of MFIS data available in the MFH data warehouse	100%	Maintain at 100%	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Technology	Load levels	# of simultaneous end-users that can be supported by MFIS	2000	Maintain 2000	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Processes and Activities	Complaints	# of customer complaints received at the Centralized Help Desk each month related to MFIS	90	Maintain at 90 or less	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Processes and Activities	Security	% of potential security risks identified are mitigated	10	Maintain at 10	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Processes and Activities	Productivity	% of properties having had Annual Physical Inspections (Walkabouts) performed	95%	Maintain at 95%	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	% of customers indicating overall satisfaction with MFIS	90%	Maintain at 90%	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Customer Results	Automation	% of management agents transmitting tenant data using the MFIS industry interface process	90%	Maintain at 90%	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of delinquencies in MFH loan program	2%	Remain below 2%	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of field office staff's time required to process monthly MFH payments	15%	Maintain at 15% or less	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of new and rehabilitated units provided	5800	Maintain at 100% of baseline	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of households not displaced by loss of rental assistance (number of renewals of rental assistance contracts)	42330	Maintain at 100% of baseline	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Processes and	Participation	Number of Active Rental	18900	Maintain at 100% of	Not applicable until 2008

	Activities		Assistance Agreements		baseline	
2008	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of Active Projects Providing Assistance in the Multi Housing Program	16300	Maintain at 100% of baseline	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of MFH Projects Receiving Rental Assistance	13000	Maintain at 100% of baseline	Not applicable until 2008
2008	Processes and Activities	Security	Review of the MFIS FISMA Risk Assessment Report for Improvements	Review and Update Report Every Six Months	Perform Review and Update Monthly	Not applicable until 2008
2009	Technology	Load levels	# of Supervisory Activities Performed Annually	190,000 Activities Annually in FY09	Maintain 100% of Baseline	Not Applicable Until 2009
2009	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	# of reports available through MFIS	40	Maintain 100% of Baseline	Not Applicable Until 2009
2009	Technology	Availability	% of time MFIS is available to end-users	99%	Maintain at 99%	Not Applicable Until 2009
2009	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	% of MFIS data available in the MFH data warehouse	100%	Maintain at 100%	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Technology	Load levels	Number of simultaneous end-users that can be supported by MFIS	2000	Maintain 2000	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Processes and Activities	Complaints	# of customer complaints received at the Centralized Help Desk each month related to MFIS	90	Maintain at 90 or Less	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Processes and Activities	Security	% of potential security risks identified are mitigated	10	Maintain at 10	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Processes and Activities	Productivity	% of properties having had annual Physical Inspections (Walkabouts) performed	95%	Maintain at 95%	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	% of customers indicating overall satisfaction with MFIS	90%	Maintain 90%	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Customer Results	Automation	% of management agents transmitting tenant data using the MFIS Industry Interface process	90%	Maintain at 90%	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of delinquencies in the MFH program	2%	Remain at or below 2%	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Customer Results	Service Efficiency	% of field office staff's time required to process monthly MFH payments	15%	Maintain at 15% or less	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of new and rehabilitated units provided	5800	Maintain at 100% of baseline	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of households not displaced by loss of rental assistance (number of renewals of rental assistance contracts)	42330	Maintain at 100% of baseline	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of active Rental Assistance Agreements	18900	Maintain at 100% of baseline	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of active projects providing assistance in the Multi Housing program	16300	Maintain 100% of baseline	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Processes and Activities	Participation	Number of MFH projects receiving Rental Assistance	13000	Maintain at 100% of baseline	Not applicable until 2009
2009	Processes and Activities	Security	Review of the MFIS FISMA Risk Assessment Report for Improvements	Review and Update Report Every Month	Maintain at Baseline	Not applicable until 2009

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in

the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I. F. 1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

I. F. 1. a. If "no", please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

no

I. F. 2. a. If "yes", provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

(medium text - 500 characters)

Multi Family Integrated System (MFIS)

I. F. 2. b. If "no" please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

USDA is developing a Transition Strategy for the calendar year 2007 annual OMB EA Assessment. This investment will be associated with USDA Loans and Financial Management initiatives.

I. F. 3. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

FEA SRM Component - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM. FEA Service Component Reused - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission. Internal or External Reuse? - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government. Funding Percentage - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service. (Character Limitations: Agency Component Name - 250 Characters; Agency Component Description - 500 Characters)

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused - Component Name	FEA Service Component Reused - UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
Process Automation Services	MFIS captures all business transactions for monitoring and tracking purposes of MFH projects.	Tracking and Workflow	Process Tracking	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Business Management Services	MFIS manages and enforces business rules as established by the subject matter experts for MFH.	Management of Processes	Business Rule Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Business Management Services	MFIS is specifically designed for managing and tracking the RD MFH project portfolio.	Investment Management	Portfolio Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Business Management Services	MFIS is specifically designed for measuring the effectiveness of the organization's financial assets.	Investment Management	Performance Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Digital Asset Services	MFIS allows for the acceptance, review and approval of project budgets (RD Form 1944-7).	Document Management	Document Review and Approval	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Digital Asset Services	MFIS allows for the online review of project tenant certifications.	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	MFIS has over 35 canned reports.	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0

Digital Asset Services	MFIS allows for the review and acceptance by both Rural Development and Management Agent representatives of project tenant certification data.	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Digital Asset Services	MFIS allows for the collection of different types of tenant and project data simultaneously.	Knowledge Management	Categorization	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Digital Asset Services	MFIS allows for the collection of project tenant data in a standardized manner.	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Capture	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Digital Asset Services	MFIS allows for the transfer of all project tenant data to the management agent for analysis and processing.	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	MFIS uses historical tracking data to predict when project budgets are due.	Analysis and Statistics	Portfolio Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	MFIS uses data to analyze project budgets for regulation compliance.	Analysis and Statistics	Mathematical	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	Property Eligibility Calculator provides maps using Mapquest style functionality.	Visualization	Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	MFIS has a decision engine for analyzing project budgets.	Business Intelligence	Decision Support and Planning	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Business Analytical Services	MFIS currently allows ad hoc reporting through the Brio Reporting tool.	Reporting	Ad Hoc	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	MFIS interfaces with AMAS, the Data Warehouse and a Preauthorized Debit System (PAD).	Data Management	Data Exchange	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	When MFIS interfaces with the Data Warehouse there is an extraction procedure.	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	Some MFIS Tenant Certifications and Project budgets are received electronically from management agents in an X12 format. These files must be loaded into the MFIS database.	Data Management	Loading and Archiving	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	MFIS captures all business transactions against the projects for monitoring and tracking purposes of loan collection.	Financial Management	Debt Collection	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	MFIS tracks all activities allowing for the management of the property or asset.	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	MFIS was originally developed using a Graphical User Interface but was quickly diverted to the web. MFIS also integrates with the legacy AMAS for the payment support and PAD for payment collections.	Development and Integration	Legacy Integration	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	MFIS integrates data from the USDAMINC system, which is where management agents submit their tenant certifications.	Development and Integration	Data Integration	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Support Services	The external MFIS website, USDAMINC, maintains security information about users and validates them upon entry.	Security Management	Identification and Authentication	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Both the MFIS internal and external websites reside within the St. Louis web farm, which perform intrusion	Security Management	Intrusion Detection	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0

	detection.						
Support Services	MFIS supports the design and generation of electronic or physical forms for use within the business cycle.	Forms Management	Forms Creation	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Support Services	The external MFIS website, USDAMINC, maintains security information about users.	Security Management	Access Control	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Both the MFIS internal and external websites support the granting of abilities to users or groups of users for their respective application.	Security Management	Access Control	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Support Services	MFIS integrates data from the USDAMINC system, which is where management agents submit their tenant certifications. MFIS also integrates data from AMAS and PAD.	Communication	Computer / Telephony Integration	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Support Services	MFIS supports the scheduling of project tasks and their management.	Collaboration	Task Management	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Numerous MFIS components support retrieval of records that satisfy specific query selection criteria.	Search	Query	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Back Office Services	When MFIS interfaces with PAD, there is an extraction procedure.	Data Management	Data Exchange	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
eAuthentication	Agency's reusable component for authentication (eAuthentication)	Security Management	Identification and Authentication	Identification and Authentication	005-03-02-01-01-8003-00-404-140	Internal	0
Customer Services	Online Help for MFIS and USDAMINC	Customer Initiated Assistance	Online Help	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0
Support Services	Data is encrypted when being sent to and received from the external MFIS website, USDAMINC.	Security Management	Cryptography	Software Development	005-55-01-01-01-1010-00-402-129	No Reuse	0

I. F. 4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component - Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications. Service Specification - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate. (Character Limitations: Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) - 250 characters)

FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
Legacy Integration	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Legacy Integration	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Email	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Legacy Integration	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	
Standardized / Canned	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	
Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	

Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Cryptography	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Cryptography	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Data Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Business Rule Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Business Rule Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Business Rule Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Access Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Access Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Access Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Business Rule Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Modeling	
Cryptography	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	
Access Control	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	
Access Control	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	
Data Exchange	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	

Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	
Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	
Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Access Control	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
Access Control	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
Access Control	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	
Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	
Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent	
Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
Legacy Integration	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Extranet	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Virtual Private Network (VPN)	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Supporting Network Services	
Business Rule Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Data Format / Classification	
Data Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	
Access Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	
Access Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Wide Area Network (WAN)	
Business Rule Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Decision Support and Planning	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Standardized / Canned	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	

I. F. 5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

yes

I. F. 5. a. If "yes", please describe.
(long text - 2500 characters)

MFIS currently leverages USDA's eForms service for eligible Multi Housing forms and USDA's AG Learn for automated Security Awareness training. MFIS was brought under eAuthentication for use by both the external project Management Agents doing business with the Government and internal Government employees using MFIS on August 15, 2006.

I. F. 6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

no

I. F. 6. a. If "yes", does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

I. F. 6. a. 1. If "yes", provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).

(medium text - 500 characters)

PART III: FOR "OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE" INVESTMENTS ONLY (STEADY-STATE)

Part III should be completed only for investments which will be in "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in FY 2008, i.e., selected the "Operations and Maintenance" choice in response to Question 6 in Part I, section A above.

Section A: Risk Management

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investments life-cycle. Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

III. A. 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

III. A. 1. a. If "yes", what is the date of the plan?

2006-03-17

III. A. 1. b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

yes

III. A. 1. c. If "yes", describe any significant changes:

(medium text - 500 characters)

In light of recent security events, Privacy risks were re-assessed and new privacy related risk management strategies developed.

III. A. 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

III. A. 2. a. If "yes", what is the planned completion date?

III. A. 2. b. If "no", what is the strategy for managing the risks?

(long text - 2500 characters)