

Exhibit 300 FY2008

FY2008 Exhibit 300

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I. A. 1. Date of Submission:

2006-06-07

I. A. 2. Agency:

005

I. A. 3. Bureau:

96

I. A. 4. Name of this Capital Asset:

(short text - 250 characters)

TIM-FACTS - Timber Information Manager and Forest Service Activity Tracking System

I. A. 5. Unique ID: (For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

005-96-01-11-01-0057-00

I. A. 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Operations and Maintenance

I. A. 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2004

I. A. 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this, closes in part or in whole, an identified agency performance gap:

(long text - 2500 characters)

TIM-FACTS supports the delivery of the Forest Service vegetation management program by providing a business process automation application the is used locally to do the work a in the process collect necessary data. TIM-FACTS provides data standards, corporate data storage, and analytical tools for vegetation management efforts. TIM-FACTS replaces many Regional and Forest level manual and non-standard data storage and processing applications in the Forest Service. The data are essential for managing the Special Forest Product permits, Timber Sale Contracts, Vegetative Treatments as well as fiscal items necessary to assure accountability and financial health for the trust fund plans, collections, expenditures and balance on the National Forests.

I. A. 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

yes

I. A. 9. a. If "yes", what was the date of this approval?

2006-09-06

I. A. 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

yes

I. A. 11. Contact information of Project Manager?

I. A. 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

yes

I. A. 12. a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

yes

I. A. 12. b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

no

I. A. 12. b. 1. If "yes", is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

I. A. 12. b. 2. If "yes", will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

I. A. 12. b. 3. If "yes", is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

I. A. 13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

yes

I. A. 13. a. If "yes", check all that apply:

Budget Performance Integration
Financial Performance
Expanded E-Government

I. A. 13. b. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s).

(medium text - 500 characters)

TIM-FACTS support Geospatial One-Stop by providing FS inventory of invasive plant species to Geospatial One-Stop. The USDA Smart Choice ePermits initiative involves automating timber related permits. Improved Fin Perf - Cost savings and avoidance as a result of standardized data and elimination of redundancy. Budget and Perf Integration - restructuring of FS business processes to link data collection with performance goals

I. A. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

(For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

yes

I. A. 14. a. If "yes", does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

yes

I. A. 14. b. If "yes", what is the name of the PARTed Program?

(short text - 250 characters)

Invasive Species

I. A. 14. c. If "yes", what PART rating did it receive?

Results Not Demonstrated

I. A. 15. Is this investment for information technology? (see section 53 for definition)

yes

I. A. 16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the

mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).

Level 2

I. A. 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per OMB's PM Guidance):

(1) - The project manager assigned for this investment has been validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (2) - The project manager assigned for this investment is in the process of being validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (3) - The project manager assigned for this investment is not validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.; (4) - The qualifications for the project manager named have not been evaluated.; (5) - No project manager is currently assigned for this investment.; (6) - N/A -- This is not an IT investment.

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

I. A. 18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

no

I. A. 19. Is this a financial management system?

no

I. A. 19. a. If "yes", does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

I. A. 19. a. 1. If "yes" which compliance area?

(short text - 250 characters)

I. A. 19. a. 2. If "no", what does it address?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. A. 19. b. If "yes", please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. A. 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

I. A. 20. a. Hardware

2

I. A. 20. b. Software

1

I. A. 20. c. Services

70

I. A. 20. d. Other

28

I. A. 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

n/a

I. A. 22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

I. A. 22. a. Name

(short text - 250 characters)

Michael Potts

I. A. 22. b. Phone Number

I. A. 22. c. Title

(short text - 250 characters)

Security Manager

I. A. 22. d. Email

(short text - 250 characters)

mpotts@fs.fed.us

I. A. 23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

yes

Section B: Summary of Funding

I. B. 1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table.

All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

	PY-1 Spending Prior to 2006	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008					
Planning	0	0	0	0					
Acquisition	8.2	1.65	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	8.2	1.65	0	0					
Operations & Maintenance	12.88	8.098	7.02	8.3					
TOTAL	21.08	9.748	7.02	8.3					
Government FTE Costs	0.39	0.252	0.38	0.4					
Number of FTE represented by cost	3	3	3	3					

I. B. 2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

I. B. 2. a. If "yes", How many and in what year?

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. B. 3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

(long text - 2500 characters)

na

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy

(Character Limitations: Contract or Task Order Number - 250 Characters; Type of Contract/Task Order - 250 Characters; Name of CO - 250 Characters; CO Contact Information - 250 Characters)

[illegible]

(long text - 2500 characters)

yes

(medium text - 500 characters)

All agency contracts include clauses related to section 508

yes

2006-09-06

(medium text - 500 characters)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

(Character Limitations: Strategic Goal(s) Supported - 250 Characters; Performance Measure - 250 Characters; Actual/baseline (from Previous Year) - 250 Characters; Planned Performance Metric (Target) - 250 Characters; Performance Metric Results (Actual) - 250 Characters; Measurement Indicator - 250 Characters; Baseline - 250 Characters; Planned Improvement to the Baseline - 250 Characters; Actual Results - 250 Characters)

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
2001	Agency Annual Performance Plan	100% Deliver timely reports for year end verification and validation	Several legacy sources of data (hard and soft copy)	100	100
2002	Healthy Forest Initiative, USDA Strategic Plan, Obj. 5.1; Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #1	Percent of timely and consistent year end Timber statistics	Manually compiled reports were inconsistent and delayed	80	80
2002	Multiple Benefits to Multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	Percent of real time inventory of Timber sales status	Manually compiled reports were inconsistent and delayed	50	50

2002	Multiple Benefits to multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #2)	number of special forest product permits	Manually processed permits	13000	13000
2002	Multiple Benefits to multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #2)	Number of Timber Sale Contracts	Manually processed contracts	1000	1000
2002	Effective Public Service, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 4)	Percent of time for access to fire wood permits	Paper records	100	100
2003	Healthy Forest Initiative, USDA Strategic Plan, Obj. 5.1;Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 1)	Number of Timber Sale Contracts	1,000	1000	1000
2003	Healthy Forest Initiative, USDA Strategic Plan, Obj. 5.1;Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #1)	Percentage of timely and consistent year end Timber Sale statistics	Manually compiled reports were inconsistent and delayed	100	100
2003	Multiple Benefits to Multiple people, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #2)	Percent of timely and consistent year end Timber Contract statistics	Manually compiled reports were inconsistent and delayed	100	100
2003	Multiple Benefits to multiple People, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #2)	number of special forest product permits	13,000	13000	13000
2003	Effective Public Service, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #4)	Percent successfully feed financial information to FFIS.	Manual updating financial records	100	100
2003	Agency Annual Performance Plan	Percent delivery of timely reports for year end verification and validation	Several legacy sources of data (hard and soft copy)	100	100
2004	Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #1)	number of Timber sale permits and contracts	1,000	1000	1000
2004	Healthy Forest Initiative, USDA Strategic Plan, Obj. 5.1;Ecosystem Health, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 1)	Percent of timely and consistent year end Invasive Plant Species treatment statistics	Manually compiled reports were inconsistent and delayed	100	100
2004	Multiple Benefits to Multiple people, FS Strategic Plan (Goal # 2)	Provide real time access to inventory of Timber Sale areas	50	100	100
2004	Multiple Benefits to Multiple people, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #2)	number of special forest product permits	13,000	13000	15000
2004	Effective Public Service, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #4)	Percent of time for access to fire wood permits	100	100	100
2004	Effective Public Service, FS Strategic Plan (Goal #4)	Percent successfully feed financial information to FFIS.	100	100	100
2004	Agency Annual Performance Plan	Percent delivery of timely reports for year end verification and validation	100	100	100

I. D. 2. Table 2

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2005	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of forest product permits provided	13,000	maintain 13,000	13,000
2005	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of timber contracts processed	1000	maintain 1000	1000
2005	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	percent of reports due	90	increase to 95%	95
2005	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	Maintain 15%	17
2005	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% of time application is up during established schedule	95	Maintain 95%	99
2005	Processes and Activities	Financial Management	percent successful feed tp FFIS	100	Maintain 100%	100
2006	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of forest product permits provided	13,000	maintain 13,000	to date 100,000
2006	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of timber contracts processed	1000	maintain 1000	to date = 1300
2006	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	percent of reports due	95	Maintain 95%	
2006	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	Maintain 15%	to date = 19%
2006	Technology	IT Contribution to Process,	% of time application is up	95	Maintain 95%	

2006	Processes and Activities	Financial Management	percent successful feed to FFIS	100	Maintain 100%	
2007	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of forest product permits provided	13,000	maintain 13,000	
2007	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of timber contracts processed	1000	maintain 1000	
2007	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	percent of reports due	95	Maintain 95%	
2007	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	Maintain 15%	
2007	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% of time application is up during established schedule	95	Maintain 95%	
2007	Processes and Activities	Financial Management	percent successful feed to FFIS	100	Maintain 100%	
2008	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of forest product permits provided	13,000	maintain 13,000	
2008	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of timber contracts processed	1000	maintain 1000	
2008	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	percent of reports due	95	Maintain 95%	
2008	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	Maintain 15%	
2008	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% of time application is up during established schedule	95	Maintain 95%	
2008	Processes and Activities	Financial Management	percent successful feed to FFIS	100	Maintain 100%	
2009	Customer Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of forest product permits provided	13,000	maintain 13,000	
2009	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	number of timber contracts processed	1000	maintain 1000	
2009	Mission and Business Results	Conservation, Marine and Land Management	percent of reports due	95	Maintain 95%	
2009	Customer Results	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	percent of critical process-specific complaints	15	Maintain 15%	
2009	Technology	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% of time application is up during established schedule	95	Maintain 95%	
2009	Processes and	Financial Management	percent successful feed to	100	Maintain 100%	

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I. F. 1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

I. F. 1. a. If "no", please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

I. F. 2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

no

I. F. 2. a. If "yes", provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

(medium text - 500 characters)

I. F. 2. b. If "no" please explain why?

(long text - 2500 characters)

USDA is in the process of developing a Transition Strategy that should be in place for the calendar year 2007 annual OMB EA Assessment. This investment will likely be listed under its own name and be linked to USDA enterprise efforts as well as the associated Presidential initiative

I. F. 3. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

FEA SRM Component - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM. FEA Service Component Reused - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission. Internal or External Reuse? - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government. Funding Percentage - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service. (Character Limitations: Agency Component Name - 250 Characters; Agency Component Description - 500 Characters)

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused - Component Name	FEA Service Component Reused - UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
TIM-FACTS	help	Customer Initiated Assistance	Online Help	Online Help	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	documntation	Customer Relationship Management	Online Tutorials	Online Tutorials	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	change mgmt	Management of Processes	Configuration Management	Configuration Management	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	requirements	Management of Processes	Requirements Management	Requirements Management	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	PM	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management	Program / Project Management	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	QA	Management of Processes	Quality Management	Quality Management	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Risk	Management of Processes	Risk Management	Risk Management	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	Information Retrieval	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	Information Sharing	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Categorization	Categorization	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Capture	Knowledge Capture	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Knowledge	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	GIS	Visualization	Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	report	Reporting	Ad Hoc	Ad Hoc	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	report	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	Standardized / Canned	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Data	Data Management	Data Exchange	Data Exchange	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Data	Data Management	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Financial	Financial Management	Billing and Accounting	Billing and Accounting	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Asset mgmt	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management	Property / Asset Management	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Asset mgmt	Asset / Materials Management	Asset Cataloging / Identification	Asset Cataloging / Identification	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	development	Development and Integration	Software Development	Software Development	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Security	Security Management	Identification and Authentication	Identification and Authentication	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1

TIM-FACTS	Security	Security Management	Access Control	Access Control	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Security	Security Management	Certification and Accreditation	Certification and Accreditation	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	Security	Security Management	FISMA Management and Reporting	FISMA Management and Reporting	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	query	Search	Query	Query	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	query	Search	Classification	Classification	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	system	Systems Management	Remote Systems Control	Remote Systems Control	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	system	Systems Management	System Resource Monitoring	System Resource Monitoring	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	system	Systems Management	Software Distribution	Software Distribution	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1
TIM-FACTS	system	Systems Management	Issue Tracking	Issue Tracking	005-96-01-11-01-1030-00	Internal	1

I. F. 4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component - Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications. Service Specification - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate. (Character Limitations: Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) - 250 characters)

FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
Online Help	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Online Help	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	
Online Tutorials	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Online Tutorials	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Requirements Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Quality Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Risk Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Categorization	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Categorization	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Knowledge Capture	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Knowledge Capture	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Knowledge Capture	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	

Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Ad Hoc	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Ad Hoc	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Standardized / Canned	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Data Exchange	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Billing and Accounting	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Asset Cataloging / Identification	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Identification and Authentication	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	
Access Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Certification and Accreditation	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	
FISMA Management and Reporting	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Remote Systems Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
System Resource Monitoring	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	
Query	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	
Software Distribution	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Channels	Web Servers	
Issue Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	

I. F. 5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

yes

I. F. 5. a. If "yes", please describe.

(long text - 2500 characters)

application is poised to interface with Geospatial one stop, e-grants, and e-permits to deliver services and benefits to a range of customers and to promote citizen participation in a manner that is convenient, secure and protects privacy. The application is a feeder system to and interfaces with the agency's accounting system (FFIS). TIM_FACTS is planned to support electronic government transactions and will directly support ePermits (USDA Smart Choices) The project is in alignment with E-Gov/Lob/SmartBUY initiatives by 1) exclusively using ESRI software for GIS functionality. All ESRI software is purchased off ESRI SmartBUY contract, 2) using USDA E-Authentication for user access, 3) .complying with USDA-wide policy on eLearning by using AgLearn for delivering the annual security training for employees and contractors. The USDA Smart Choice ePermits initiative involves automating permits for forest products. TIM_FACTS serves as the application for storing these data, and is coordinating with Forest Service and USDA officials on relationships to e-permits.

I. F. 6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

no

I. F. 6. a. If "yes", does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

I. F. 6. a. 1. If "yes", provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).
(medium text - 500 characters)

PART III: FOR "OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE" INVESTMENTS ONLY (STEADY-STATE)

Part III should be completed only for investments which will be in "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in FY 2008, i.e., selected the "Operations and Maintenance" choice in response to Question 6 in Part I, section A above.

Section A: Risk Management

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investments life-cycle. Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

III. A. 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

III. A. 1. a. If "yes", what is the date of the plan?

2006-08-28

III. A. 1. b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

yes

III. A. 1. c. If "yes", describe any significant changes:

(medium text - 500 characters)

updated contingency plan with new failover site

III. A. 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

III. A. 2. a. If "yes", what is the planned completion date?

III. A. 2. b. If "no", what is the strategy for managing the risks?

(long text - 2500 characters)