TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF AIRNET DATA AND CALCULATED AIR CONCENTRATIONS

Purpose

This Meteorology and Air Quality Group (MAQ) procedure describes the steps for evaluating periodic AIRNET field, laboratory analytical, and calculated air concentration data for acceptance, qualification, or rejection.

Scope

This procedure applies to the final technical evaluation, interpretation, and reporting of the biweekly, quarterly, and any other AIRNET field and laboratory analytical results and calculations after they have been initially reviewed for general quality. Normally, these data include biweekly alpha/beta, tritium, and gamma analyses and quarterly composite nuclide-specific analyses. This procedure may also be used for other sampling periods and other AIRNET non/radiological analytical data, including special studies, as applicable.

In this procedure

This procedure addresses the following major topics:

Topic	See Page
General Information About This Procedure	2
Who Requires Training to This Procedure?	2
Technical Review of Field and Analytical Lab Data	4
Evaluation of Sampler Run Time and Data Completeness	10
Records Resulting from This Procedure	11

Hazard Control Plan

The hazard evaluation associated with this work is documented in HCP-MAQ-Office Work.

Signatures

Fredared by: Joe Lochamy, MAO Among	Date: 2/21/03
Approved by: Dave Fuehne, Rad-NESHAP Project Leader	Date: 2/11/03
Approved by: Craig Boghart, Air Monitoring Project Leader	Date: 2/21/2003
Approved by: Terry Morgan, QA Officer Terry Morgan, QA Officer	Date: 2/24/03
Work authorized by: Sean W Suusit Jean Dewart, MAQ Group Leader.	Date: 3/4/03

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT

This copy is uncontrolled if no red stamp is present. Users are responsible for ensuring they work to the latest approved revision.

General information about this procedure

Attachments

This procedure has the following attachment:

		No. of
Number	Attachment Title	pages
1	Technical Review of AIRNET Data	1

History of revision

This table lists the revision history and effective dates of this procedure.

Revision	Date	Description Of Changes
0	1/3/96	New document.
1	7/31/97	Major changes to mesh with ESH-17-216; data evaluation steps modified; title and scope narrowed to
		biweekly data.
2	11/4/99	Major changes to reflect new data management steps and responsibilities; sections moved to chemistry data management procedures and to ESH-17-216.
3	3/20/03	Eliminated procedure 223 for review of quarterly composites and integrated requirements with this procedure. Added review sheet to replace memos. Changed procedure steps to describe use of review sheet and clarify and update review steps. Added V&V of absolute humidity data.

Who requires training to this procedure?

The following personnel require training before implementing this procedure:

- Technical staff member(s) assigned to evaluate AIRNET data
- Staff member(s) assigned to maintain the AIRNET portion of the MAQ MS® Access database.

Training method

The training method for this procedure is **self-study** ("reading") and is documented according to the procedure for training (MAQ-024).

Prerequisites

Personnel performing this procedure must have professional-level experience in the interpretation and evaluation of environmental data.

General information, continued

Definitions specific to this procedure

None.

References

The following documents are referenced in this procedure:

- MAQ-AIRNET, "Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Radiological Air Sampling Network (AIRNET)"
- MAQ-024, "Personnel Training"
- MAQ-026, "Deficiency Reporting and Correcting"
- MAQ-033, "Analytical Chemistry Data Management and Review for AIRNET"
- MAQ-036, "Preparing Statements of Work for Procuring Analytical Chemistry"
- MAQ-201, "Establishing and Using AIRNET Action Levels"
- MAQ-202, "Environmental Sampling of Airborne Particulate Radionuclides"
- MAQ-204, "Sampling of Ambient Airborne Tritium"
- MAQ-216, "Management of AIRNET Field Data"
- Memo ESH-17:95-384, "Statistical Analysis of Environmental Data With Negative Values," Craig Eberhart to Distribution, May 19, 1995
- EPA OA/G-9, "Guidance for Data Quality Assessment"
- DOE/EH-0173T, "Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance"
- AIRNET Database Users Guide

Note

Actions specified within this procedure, unless preceded with "should" or "may," are to be considered mandatory guidance (i.e., "shall").

Technical review of field and analytical lab data

Overview of AIRNET data flow

AIRNET field data are collected according to MAQ-202 and MAQ-204. Field data are reviewed, corrected, and uploaded into the computer system according to MAQ-216. Samples are analyzed at the analytical labs (according to Statements of Work prepared according to MAQ-036), which return the data electronically. The analytical chemistry data are uploaded into the AIRNET database and checked according to MAQ-033. Air concentrations are calculated and a report is prepared for technical review. This technical review of data is performed according to this procedure. Any required changes are documented and sent to the AIRNET data manager who makes the needed changes according to MAQ-033 and copies the complete verified and validated data set to the main database archive tables for further use.

Purpose of technical review

The technical review process provides concurrence with the decisions already made by the field personnel and the analytical chemistry coordinator about whether data meet the data quality objectives specified in the AIRNET Sampling and Analysis Plan (MAQ-AIRNET). When the data are ready for this technical review, all data will have been evaluated for one of three outcomes: accept, qualify, or reject. This technical review will confirm or modify these data qualifiers. For qualified and rejected data, an explanation must be included for the appropriate record in the database. This technical review also evaluates abnormally high/low results, data reasonableness, and consistency. As needed, investigations are done to resolve or explain such results.

values

Using negative Use all environmental data with negative values in calculations to obtain the best estimate of the true value (DOE/EH-0173T). For a full explanation of this statistical principle, see memo ESH-17:95-384.

Using data reported with values less than MDL

Analytical values should be reported even if the result is below the published laboratory detection limit (MDL), since the background count is usually some positive value. Reported values that are less than the detection limit require professional evaluation to decide their interpretation. Statistically, these results have a low level of confidence associated with them and actions and decisions based on such data may not be warranted. When concentration summaries are generated, the results that are reported in such summaries should identify the number of samples below the MDL (or MDA, the minimum detectable activity) that were included in the summary.

Using data reported with values less than MDL, continued

When data are reported as "less than" or "below minimum detectable level/activity," that is, when an actual value is not presented, do not assume the concentration is zero. Estimate the distribution using a published methodology such as that suggested in "Guidance for Data Quality Assessment" (EPA QA/G-9).

Obtain data report

The AIRNET data manager will provide a review package of field and analytical data, calculated air concentrations, runtime and completeness calculations, and quality control checks for technical review. If possible, use the electronic copy of the technical review sheet (shown in the Attachment) that is accessed from the QC_HPReviewMemo form within the AIRNET database. Consult the on-line Help or the AIRNET Database Users Guide for details.

An acceptable alternative is to write a memorandum documenting your review. (Memoranda were used to document technical reviews through most of 2002.) Use the data review package and other relevant information to evaluate the data and, using best professional judgement, recommend any needed modifications to the database.

The following steps describe the technical review process and assume that the technical review sheet is used. **Review sheet entries should be TYPED.**

Part 1, Evaluate concentrations that exceed action levels

At the top of the technical review sheet, type in the sample period in the standard numbering format used for AIRNET. Also enter the data type (e.g., biweekly alpha/beta, tritium, gamma, quarterly isotopic). Abbreviations (e.g., A/B, H-3, B/W, Qtrly Comp) may be used. Using Part 1, determine which air concentrations exceed action levels given in MAQ-201. A summary of these results normally will be printed on an attachment to the review package. If the summary is not attached, scan the report for the flagged values. Evaluate all concentrations that exceed action levels to determine whether they are consistent with historical measurements, contemporaneous measurements, or known releases. Type your findings in the "Discussion" part of the section or attach them to the technical review sheet. If you include attachments of your own, refer to the attachments in the "Discussion."

In some cases, the reviewer may decide that a formal memorandum describing the investigation is appropriate. If so, also reference that memo on the review sheet. If the investigations are not complete or causes cannot be identified before the technical review is finished, the Environmental Air Monitoring Project Leader may assign a person to lead additional investigations.

Part 1, **Evaluate** concentrations that exceed action levels, continued

In all parts of the form, make some kind of entry. Enter "None" if there is no discussion.

calculated air concentrations

Part 2, Review Using Part 2 of the review sheet, review all of the calculated ambient air concentrations. A summary of these results may be attached to the review package. If the summary is not attached, scan the report for the values. If any values that do not exceed high or low Action Levels still appear to be unexpectedly low, high, or inconsistent, use best professional judgment to decide if investigations and/or further amendments to the database are necessary. Document your review in the "Discussion" part of the section.

> Note that, for gamma analyses, this section looks at abnormally high, low, or inconsistent MDAs, since any value exceeding MDA would already be flagged in the previous review section. Furthermore, gamma results below MDA are reported as a "less than" instead of the actual "measured" value.

In all parts of the form, make some kind of entry. Enter "None" if there is no discussion.

Using beta activity as an indicator of sampler operation

Wherever included in the data set, beta activity may indicate whether samplers are operating properly. The beta concentration should be consistent from site to site during a given sampling period and can be used to assess proper sampler operation. For example, if the end flow value is assumed to be zero due to a pump failure and the beta activity appears too elevated for that flow, it may be appropriate to assume that the sampler operated at a constant or normal flow until failure. Any beta inconsistencies should also be discussed under Part 2 of the review sheet.

Part 3, Evaluate the field and analytical data

Each data element has a nominal value with a range of acceptable values. If the element is outside its range of normal values, or if other abnormal conditions are identified, the record is identified as "qualified" or "rejected" during the data evaluation steps of MAQ-216 or MAQ-033. "Rejected" data are those that are considered unusable for some reason. A summary of these qualified and rejected results normally will be attached to the review package. If not, scan the report for the flagged values.

Using Part 3 of the review sheet, review the qualified and rejected data. Also review the entire data package for other results that may need to be qualified or rejected. For example, abnormally high or low tritium collection or recovery efficiencies may indicate the need to qualify that data. In some cases, the review package may contain a special data report (such as the tritium collection/recovery efficiencies) to assist in your review.

Use best professional judgement to decide if any new or amended qualified/rejected changes in the database are necessary. For example, if a timer reading is missing, the correct timer reading could be determined by calculating the hours between the sample collection times, provided the station was otherwise operating properly. If field data are changed in any manner, these changes should be confirmed with the field personnel and explanations of the change(s) added to the database comment field. Qualified records will maintain their qualified status unless they are rejected. Rejected records may only be changed to qualified records.

Document your findings and recommendations in the "Discussion" area of Part 3. If you include additional attachments, refer to them in the "Discussion." Provide an explanation for entry in the database for each amended record.

In all parts of the form, make some kind of entry. Enter "None" if there is no discussion.

Cross check quarterly volume for composite results If you are evaluating quarterly composite data, review the air volume summary provided with the quarterly concentration and resolve qualified values. Cross check the internal and external chain-of-custody documentation to determine the number of filters composited (since there are usually 25 samples in a year, there will be three quarters with 6 filters, and one quarter during the year with 7 filters). In case the number of filters deviates from the quarter's norm, ensure that the correct set of volumes was used in the summary. Using Part 3, specify if qualified values are valid and acceptable, or should be rejected, based on this volume review. Document the justification for any rejections.

Evaluation of gamma data

The gamma data are measured on "clumps" of filters, which are filters grouped together from similar locations such as the AIRNET sampling sites at TA-21. This clumping improves the detection limits and allows for individual filter measurements if unexpectedly high concentrations are present. Review the gamma data and investigate any air concentrations where:

- The calculated "less than" concentration is above the 0.5 mrem concentration or
- The naturally occurring gamma emitters (Be-7 and Pb-210) are inconsistent from clump to clump.

Document your findings and any recommendations under "Discussion" in Part 3.

This special gamma criteria review does not apply to experimental or temporary AIRNET samplers that are not part of the routine filter clumping and analysis process.

validation of absolute humidity data during tritium review

Verification & Weekly mean values for absolute humidity for the sampling period are sent from the MAQ Meteorology team to the AIRNET data manager via e-mail, and are then manually entered into the AIRNET database. Original e-mails will be attached to each tritium OC memo and the database contents will appear on the bottom of the Tritium Collection and Water Recovery report. Verify that the emailed value and manually entered records in the database agree and that the mean value has been correctly calculated.

Part 4, Other observations

If, during your review of the entire data package, you observe any other items that may affect the quality of the data, document those items under Part 4, "Other technical reviewer observations."

In all parts of the form, make some kind of entry. Enter "None" if there is no discussion.

Reporting questionable data

Consult with the responsible project leaders and group management regarding the use or qualification of any data with excessive qualifiers, large uncertainties, or numerous estimated values.

actions to be taken

Part 5, Specify On the review sheet (or an attachment or other equivalent document such as a memo), compile your findings, changes, and amendments to be made to the AIRNET database. Enter any actions to be taken in Part 5 of the review sheet. If additional investigations are necessary, consult with appropriate project leaders and identify the proposed responsible person in Part 5.

> In all parts of the form, make some kind of entry. Enter "None" if there is no discussion.

Save the edited review document file.

Part 6, **Complete** review

Print a copy of the electronic form. Sign, print your name, and date the reviewer's line in Part 6. Forward the data package to the Air Monitoring Project Leader for review and approval. After approval, return the entire data package to the AIRNET Data Manager. The AIRNET Data Manager will make any needed changes in the database as specified in Part 5, sign off in Part 6 of the sheet, and forward the data package to the Records Coordinator.

Evaluation of sampler run time and data completeness

Requirements for run time

The FFCA stations must meet 95% run time per calendar year. The RRES-MAQ goal is to achieve 90% run time for all other stations. (See "Completeness" in the AIRNET sampling and analysis plan, MAQ-AIRNET.) This FFCA station requirement and the goal for other stations approximately correspond to no more than 438 hours and 877 hours, respectively, downtime per year.

Requirements for completeness

FFCA data must meet 80% annual completeness requirements (see "Completeness" in the AIRNET sampling and analysis plan, MAQ-AIRNET). The MAQ goal is to achieve 80% sample completeness for all samplers. For biweekly results, 80% sample completeness corresponds to no more than 5 individual samples lost, rejected, or not analyzed during a calendar year at any individual AIRNET station.

Periodically evaluate run time and completeness

Periodically during the year, review the run-time and completeness calculations. If it appears that the annual requirements for run time and completeness will not be met, notify the appropriate project leader(s) and document this potential problem on one of the review sheets for the sample period.

Determine run time and completeness

As part of the evaluation of the <u>last biweekly sample period for a calendar year</u>, evaluate whether the run-time and completeness requirements were met. If they were not met, prepare a deficiency report according to MAQ-026 and notify the appropriate project leader(s). This information may be entered in Part 4 of the review sheet or issued as a separate memo.

Records resulting from this procedure

Records

The following records generated as a result of this procedure are to be submitted to the records coordinator within four weeks after generation:

• Form "Technical Review of AIRNET Data" as part of completed technical review package

Click here to record "self-study" training to this procedure.

	eorology and Air Quality Group	
Technica	I Review of AIRNET Data	a
Sample period:	Data type:	This form is from MAQ-208
Sample period.	Data type.	
Part 1: Evaluate concentrations exceed	ding action levels (Attachment 1 to th	nis form)
Discussion:	· ·	
Part 2: Review abnormally high/low/i		vels (Attachment 2)
Discussion:		(
Part 3: Evaluate field and analytical d	ata (Attachments 3 and 4 to this form)
Discussion:	and (retuerments 5 and 1 to this form	•/
Part 4: Other technical reviewer char	wations (Attachment 5 to this form)	
Part 4: Other technical reviewer obser Discussion:	vations (Attachment 3 to this form)	
Diocedolon.		
Part 5: Actions to be taken Discussion:		
Discussion.		
Part 6: Signatures		
Reviewer signature (Review complete)	Name (print)	 Date
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	V 7	
	_	
Project Leader signature (Approved)	Name (print)	Date
Data Manager signature (Actions taken)	Name (print)	

Data Manager signature (Actions taken)

Name (print)

Date

Attachments: 1-Exceeded Action Levels, 2-High/Low Results, 3-Qualified/Rejected Data, 4-Special Data, 5-Data Quality Review Package

Note: After all review actions are complete, Data Manager will submit entire data package to the Records Coordinator for filing in the AIRNET Records.