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## THE WILLIAMS 1995-96 VISITOR STUDY



- Visitors to the Williams area spent $\$ 37$ million in and around Williams in fiscal 1995-96.
- These visitor expenditures created $\$ 20$ million in income and 1,033 jobs.
- Total economic impacts of visitors to Williams resulted in 1,339 jobs and $\$ 30$ million in total income impacts in Coconino County.
- Visitors contributed much of the $\$ 244,876$ collected by the City of Williams in hotel, restaurant and bar taxes.
- Visitors are most likely to be in the City of Williams between 6 and 9 p.m.
- Almost 60 percent of all visitors come to the Williams area during the summer season (May through October).
- Twenty-eight percent of all visitor parties include
at least one member with foreign citizenship and 22 percent of all visitor parties consist of visitors with international addresses.
- Thirty-six percent of all visitors are retired.
- Eighty-two percent of all visitor parties to Williams indicated that seeing the Grand Canyon is one of their most important reasons for visiting Williams.
- Twenty-six percent of all visitor parties rode the train to the Grand Canyon.
- Thirty-four percent of all visitor parties visited the train station in Williams.
- Twenty-six percent of all visitor parties visited historic downtown Williams.
- Winter visitors consist of a higher percentage of visitors over the age of

60, a lower percentage of children and a higher percentage of visitors with interest in historical sites and museums. Winter visitors are more likely to visit other Arizona destinations (particularly Phoenix) than are summer visitors.

- Summer visitors include larger numbers and percentage of outdoor recreation enthusiasts. More children are included among summer visitors. Summer visitors' total trip lengths are shorter than winter visitors' but they tend to stay 16 hours longer on average in the Williams area.
- Among the five types of visitors identified in the study, historic and international visitors make the largest per person per day expenditures in Williams. However, outdoor recreation visitors tend to spend the most time in the area.


## II. Introduction

The Williams Visitor Study was conducted as part of the Arizona Council on Enhancing Recreation and Tourism's (ACERT) Rural Tourism Development Program and Arizona Cooperative Extension's Communities in Economic Transition (CET) program. The purpose of the study was to learn more about visitation to the community of Williams and surrounding areas. The study would not have been possible without the cooperation of local hotel, RV park and campground managers, and the Williams-Kaibab National Forest Visitor Center staff who oversaw the daily distribution of surveys to visitors throughout the year. It is our hope that the participants find the information contained in this report worth the time and effort they devoted to this project.

Design of the survey methodology and the analysis were conducted at The University of Arizona with the support of Federal and State CET funds. The main purpose of the study was to provide a clear picture of the types of visitors coming to Williams and what they spent while they were here. This information was then used to estimate total visitor expenditures in the area and as a basis for economic and fiscal impact analysis.

While the survey did reveal that the majority of visitors to Williams are coming to see the Grand Canyon, it also highlights other distinct groups such as outdoor recreation visitors and historic visitors who are interested in other activities on their trip. Highlights of the study are provided on the previous page and in the conclusions.

The survey methodology and the survey instrument are provided in Appendix A and $B$ for the interested reader. Estimated total occupancy rates by month are available in Appendix C. Mail back surveys were distributed from a number of hotels, RV parks and campgrounds in Williams as well as from the Visitor Center. A total of 207 usable surveys were returned in the winter months (November through April), and 475 in the summer months (May through October). The overall response rate was approximately 45 percent. As with all survey results, these results are subject to errors due to nonresponse bias, recall bias, etc. While the confidence interval varies by question, the overall accuracy of the results presented here are usually within $+/$-five percent of the actual figure. Figures provided are based on weighted averages for each visitor type (large hotel, small
hotel, etc.) and total number of visitors of each type. The only exceptions to this are that all figures concerning ratings of local facilities are simple averages for survey respondents. Likewise, the figures presented on the visitor profile section are based on simple averages.

The surveys were segmented into four groups for the purpose of the analysis. Visitors were classified as day trip visitors if they did not spend the night in Williams, campers or RV-ers if they stayed in a campground or RV park, visitors to a small hotel if they stayed in a hotel with 30 rooms or less and visitors to a large hotel if they stayed in a hotel with more than 30 rooms. Because of the limited number of surveys in each category, for each season, only seasonal totals are provided for most of the analysis. The only exceptions are the expenditure data.

The total number of day trip visitors was estimated by multiplying the percentage of all surveys completed at the Visitor Center by people not spending the night in Williams by the total number of visitors to the Center. This is a conservative estimate of total day trip visitors, as some day visitors did not stop at the Visitor Center.

For the other three categories, an estimate of total room nights was reached by multiplying monthly occupancy rates by total number of rooms at each establishment, by the number of days in the month, and then summing for each season. Since occupancy data were not available for all establishments, the weighted average occupancy rate was multiplied by the number of rooms and number of days in the month for the other properties.

## III. Visitor Expenditures

Visitor expenditures in the Williams area varied between $\$ 23$ per party per day for winter day trip visitors to $\$ 157$ per party per night for visitors staying at hotels with 30 rooms or more (i.e., large hotels). The average expenditures for each type of visitor group for both the winter and summer seasons are presented in Tables 1 and 2. An

## Table 1. Average Expenditures Per Visitor Party Night for Winter Visitors. ${ }^{1}$

|  | Day Trip | Camping/ $\mathrm{RV}^{2}$ | Small Hotel ${ }^{3}$ | Large Hotel | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lodging | 0.00 | 23.08 | 38.59 | 73.28 | 54.88 |
| Restaurants | 6.00 | 8.41 | 24.69 | 34.57 | 26.70 |
| Grocery Stores | 3.77 | 6.94 | 3.88 | 2.59 | 3.76 |
| Gasoline Stations | 4.38 | 11.57 | 7.79 | 5.56 | 7.19 |
| Other, Retail and Attractions | 8.52 | 21.22 | 19.32 | 21.94 | 21.01 |
| Total | \$22.67 | \$71.22 | \$94.27 | \$137.94 | \$113.54 |

${ }^{1}$ Winter season runs from November through A pril.
${ }^{2}$ Camping/RV includes campgrounds and RV parks.
${ }^{3}$ Small hotels have 30 rooms or less.

## Table 2. Average Expenditure Per Visitor Party Night for Summer Visitors. ${ }^{1}$

|  | Day Trip | Camping/ RV ${ }^{2}$ | Small Hotel ${ }^{3}$ | Large Hotel | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lodging | 0.00 | 14.59 | 50.23 | 82.14 | 52.86 |
| Restaurants | 9.84 | 10.71 | 26.44 | 37.48 | 26.46 |
| Grocery Stores | 2.98 | 7.37 | 4.01 | 4.31 | 5.02 |
| Gasoline Stations | 8.59 | 6.19 | 7.03 | 8.42 | 7.61 |
| Other, Retail and Attractions | $20.93$ | 10.15 | 33.08 | 24.63 | 21.64 |
| Total | \$42.34 | \$49.01 | \$120.79 | \$156.98 | \$113.59 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Summer season runs from M ay through October. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Camping/RV includes campgrounds and RV parks. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Small hotels have 30 rooms or less. |  |  |  |  |  |

estimated 469,000 visitors spent time in the Williams area in fiscal year 1995-96. These visitors spent 328,720 visitor party days in the Williams area.

Total expenditures per visitor party day are presented in Table 3. These are expenditure estimates for July 1, 1995 to J une 30, 1996. Winter month visitors spent a total of $\$ 11.8$ million dollars in the Williams area, while summer visitors spent $\$ 25.5$ million. Winter expenditures are just under half the expenditures of summer visitors. The total expenditure in Williams of all visitors was approximately $\$ 37.3$ million during the fiscal 1995-96 year.

Of this amount, approximately half was spent on lodging or accommodations (including campground fees). Another quarter was spent on food in local restaurants. The percentage spent on other categories are presented in Figure 1. About three quarters of total visitor expenditures was made by those visitors staying at the larger hotels (Figure 2).

Ninety-five percent of all visitors to Williams spent money on a place to stay and 86 percent spent money in local restaurants. More than

Table 3. Total Expenditures by Visitors to the Williams Area, July 1, 1995-June 30, 1996.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | ------- (in thousand dollars) --------- |  |  |
| Lodging | 5,719 | 11,868 | 17,587 |
| Restaurants | 2,782 | 5,940 | 8,722 |
| Grocery Stores | 392 | 1,127 | 1,519 |
| Gasoline Stations | 749 | 1,708 | 2,457 |
| Other, Retail and Attractions | 2,190 | 4,856 | 7,046 |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 4 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 7 , 3 3 1}$ |

Figure 1. How Visitors Spent Their Money.


Figure 2. Expenditure Distribution by Where Visitors Stayed.


80 percent paid the admission fee to get into the Grand Canyon National Park. Only about two-thirds bought gas in Williams. About one-third to onehalf bought groceries. One-fifth or less bought other retail items such as crafts, books, apparel, etc. (Table 4).

Since most transportation expenditures did not occur in Williams, they have been handled separately (Table 5). About 37 percent of all visitor parties bought airfare and about 58 percent rented a vehicle of some kind for this trip. Overall,
visitors spent almost $\$ 159$ million on airfare and $\$ 99$ million on rental vehicles.

## IV. Economic Impacts

Visitors to Williams spend significant amounts of money in the community, but how does this translate into jobs and income for local residents? Using the 1993 IMPLAN inputoutput model for Coconino County, the effect of these ex-

Table 4. Percent of Visitors Making Purchases by Category.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Observations | $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 2}$ |
|  | --------- (percent) | ------------ |  |
| Lodging | 98 | 93 | 95 |
| Miscellaneous Retail and Fees | 92 | 84 | 86 |
| Fees/Admission | 87 | 82 | 84 |
| Restaurant Food | 90 | 80 | 83 |
| Gas | 62 | 69 | 67 |
| Groceries | 37 | 46 | 43 |
| Other | 21 | 23 | 22 |
| Crafts | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Books | 19 | 13 | 15 |
| Apparel | 15 | 12 | 13 |
| Art | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Toiletries | 4 | 9 | 7 |

Table 5. Transportation Expenses of Visitors.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Airfare (in millions) | $\$ 45.486$ | $\$ 113.382$ | $\$ 158.868$ |
| Average Airfare/Party | $\$ 436$ | $\$ 505$ | $\$ 484$ |
| Percent of Parties with Airfare | $38 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Vehicle Rental (in millions) | $\$ 30.814$ | $\$ 68.085$ | $\$ 98.899$ |
| Average Rental/Party | $\$ 296$ | $\$ 303$ | $\$ 301$ |
| Percent of Parties with Rental | $59 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $51 \%$ |

penditures on the county economy was examined.

An input-output model is a simple model of all sectors of the economy and is used frequently for economic impact analysis. The impact results presented here consist of three basic components: the direct effects or how visitor expenditures translate directly into jobs and income, and the two components of the multiplier effect.

The first component is called indirect effects and consists of how a visitor's expenditure ripples through the local economy due to businesses serving visitors purchasing services from other local businesses (e.g., from laundry services, food wholesalers, accountants, banks, and other goods and service providers). These providers in turn make purchases from other local businesses. Hotels, restaurants and gift shops (and the businesses they buy from) also purchase goods and services from outside the local economy (in this case, the county). This represents leakage out of the local economy.

The second component of the multiplier effect is called the induced or consumption effect. It consists of the expenditures of local employees of hotels, restaurants, gift shops and gas stations and the businesses that they buy from. For example, employees may purchase food, clothing and other goods and services within the county.

Several measures of economic activity are reported here. Total income refers to the income received by employees (employee com-

Table 6. Economic Impacts of Williams Tourism on Coconino County.

|  | Total <br> Income | Value <br> Added | Employee <br> Compensation | Employment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------------- (in \$ millions) | $-------------------($ (jobs) ------ |  |  |
| Direct Effect | 20.032 | 23.335 | 14.466 | 1,033 |
| Indirect Effect | 1.771 | 1.982 | 0.994 | 56 |
| Induced Effect | 7.936 | 9.13 | 4.684 | 250 |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 . 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 . 4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 . 1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 3 9}$ |

Table 7. Total Visitor Numbers.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Number of Party-Days | 104,217 | 224,503 | 328,720 |
| Total Number of Visitor-Days | 321,987 | 671,321 | 993,308 |
| Total Number of Visitors | 189,404 | 279,717 | 469,121 |
| Average Number of |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Visitor Per Party | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 |

Figure 3. Percent of Visitors by Traveling Companions.

pensation), and the owners of businesses and property (proprietor income and other property income). Value added measures the value a business adds to the inputs (other than labor and capital) that it buys. At the state level, value added is the amount that a specific economic activity contributes to Gross State Product. Value added is similar to total income. It includes employee compensation, proprietor income, property income and indirect business taxes. The final measure of economic activity presented here is number of jobs generated. This measure includes total jobs, both fulltime and part-time.

The economic impacts of tourism in Williams for Coconino County are presented in Table 6. Virtually all of the direct impacts occur in Williams. However, some of the indirect and induced impacts may occur in Flagstaff or other communities in the county. The total income impacts of tourism and travel in Williams were $\$ 30$ mil-
lion of which $\$ 20$ million were direct effects. Value added impacts totaled $\$ 34$ million in value added of which $\$ 23$ million were direct effects. Employment impacts were 1,339 jobs, of which 1,033 were direct effects. One direct fiscal contribution visitors make to the community of Williams is through the 1.25 percent tax on hotels, restaurants and bars. Visitors contributed a large part of the estimated $\$ 244,876$ in city revenues collected through this tax.

## V. Visitor Characteristics

Visitor expenditures have a significant impact on the community of Williams. The tourism and travel industry itself is highly competitive and location dependent. Williams location vis-à-vis the Grand Canyon has been a tremendous advantage for it. However, with an increase in room numbers in Flag-

Figure 4. Ages of Visitors.

staff and Tusayan, businesses that cater to visitors in Williams will have to work harder to attract visitors to their community in the future. In order to reach prospective visitors, it is important to answer the following questions. Who are the current visitors to Williams? What do they do on their trip? What do they like and dislike about their stay in Williams? The next several chapters set out to answer these questions, starting with this chapter on visitor characteristics.

Information on visitor numbers is presented in Table 7. There are almost one and a half times as many visitors in Williams during the summer as the winter. The average size of the visitor parties is roughly the same in both seasons at three people per party. While children make up a larger percentage of summer visitors than winter visitors, tour members make up a larger percentage of winter visitors. Over half of all visitor parties consist of couples traveling either with or without others (Figure 3).

Many studies of tourism segment this market by age group. In the case of Williams, very different age compositions emerge for the summer and winter seasons (Figure 4). Well over half of the winter visitors are 60 years of age or older compared to one quarter of the summer visitors. Summer visitors include a much larger percentage of children. Eighteen percent of all summer visitors are under the age of 18 compared to four percent of winter visitors. Summer visitors also include a slightly larger percentage of visitors between the ages of 18 and 59. This piece of information alone indicates that local businesses should be prepared to cater to older clientele in the winter.

Figure 5. Origin of Visitors.


## Table 8. Percent of Visitors from Key States or Regions.

| State/ Region | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Urban A rizona | 4 | 11 | 9 |
| Rural A rizona | 3 | 8 | 9 |
| Southern California | 11 | 14 | 13 |
| Northern California | 9 | 4 | 6 |
| Texas | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| New York | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| New M exico | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Nevada | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 9. Citizenship of Visitors.

|  | Total Percent of Visitor Parties <br> with Citizens from: |
| :--- | :---: |
| United States | 72 |
| Canada | 4 |
| Germany | 6 |
| United Kingdom | 8 |
| Other European | 7 |
| A sia and Middle East | 1 |
| Australia and New Zealand | 2 |
| M exico and South America | 1 |

Figure 6. Racial and Ethnic Background of Visitors.


The origin of winter and summer visitors is presented in Figure 5. Arizonans make up a larger percentage of summer visitors than winter visitors, particularly among visitors using campground facilities. The percentage of visitors from foreign countries is lower in summer, although the summer foreign visitor numbers are higher than in winter. Some regional variation seems to exist between the two seasons as well. Western and Central state visitors are more highly represented in the winter. Southern visitors are a larger percentage of summer visitors. Californians make up a larger percentage of Williams visitors than Arizonans (Table 8). Almost one-fifth of all visitors to Williams are from California (13 percent from Southern California). The next two
largest sources of U.S. visitors are Texas and New York, each with approximately three percent of all visitors. The citizenship status of visitors is presented in Table 9 and closely parallels results in Figure 5. European citizens, especially from the U.K. and German, were present in over one-fifth of all visitor parties.

Whites or Caucasians are the predominant racial group among visitors to Williams (Figure 6), representing approximately 92 percent of all visitors. The racial and ethnic composition of visitors varies little between seasons.

The educational levels of visitors are presented in Figure 7. Almost half of all winter and summer visitors have a

Figure 7. Educational Attainment of Visitors Over the Age of 21.

four year college degree or higher. Among Coconino County residents, only 25 percent have a bachelor's degree or higher. Household income levels are presented in Figure 8. Approximately half of all visitors have a household income of over $\$ 60,000$. Median household income in Coconino County in 1989 was $\$ 26,112$ (adjusted for inflation, this would be $\$ 31,208$ in 1994 dollars). Hence, visitors to Williams tend to be better educated and more affluent than average residents of Coconino County.

The occupations of visitors are presented in Table 10. In the winter season nearly half of all visitors are retired compared to 30 percent in the summer season. Students and administrators tend to be more prevalent in the summer.

In addition to asking visitors about their personal characteristics, we also asked them about their hobbies and interests. A complete list of hobbies and interests is presented in Appendix D. Table 11 contains the most commonly mentioned interests of visitors to Williams. These include: outdoor recreation, shopping, history, photography, music, books, gardening, antiques, ecotourism and conservation, arts and crafts, hunting, fishing, and golf, in that order. Winter visitors include a larger percentage of people interested in history, ecotourism conservation, gardening and books than do summer visitors. In contrast, there are a larger percentage of summer visitors interested in water sports and boating than in the winter months.

Knowing the major interests of visitors can be especially useful for retail shops hoping to

Figure 8. Household Income Levels of Visitor Parties.


Table 10. Occupations of Visitors Over the Age of 21.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | ---- (percent of visitors) ---- |  |  |
| Retired | 48 | 30 | 36 |
| Professionals | 14 | 16 | 15 |
| Students | 7 | 14 | 12 |
| Administrators/M anagers | 5 | 10 | 8 |
| Homemakers | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Clerical | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Government Employees | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Technical Sales | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Services | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Crafts and Repair | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Farming/Fishing/Forestry | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Laborer/Machine Operator | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Other | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Table 11. Most Frequently Mentioned Interests of Visitors.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (percent |  | of visitors parties) |
| Outdoor Recreation | 44 | 42 | 43 |
| Shopping | 40 | 41 | 41 |
| Photography | 39 | 41 | 40 |
| History | 43 | 34 | 37 |
| Books | 39 | 32 | 34 |
| Music | 29 | 32 | 31 |
| Gardening | 29 | 23 | 25 |
| Art and Crafts | 21 | 18 | 19 |
| Conservation/Ecotourism | 25 | 16 | 19 |
| Golf | 20 | 17 | 18 |
| Antiques | 19 | 17 | 18 |

sell to visitors. For example, a book store catering to some of visitors' major interests (particularly history, photography, outdoor recreation/conservation and gardening) could be quite viable. Opportunities are good for retail shops providing photography supplies, outdoor equipment and supplies, antiques, music, and books. However, as will become apparent in a later chapter, the retail sector will need to attract a larger percentage of visitors to their stores to prosper. In terms of public or non-profit developments, the market for historical attractions and entertainment involving outdoor recreation, photography or music looks particularly promising.

## VI. Trip

## Characteristics

Visitors to Williams are engaged in a variety of different types of trip. During the summer, many are coming to enjoy the outdoors at local campgrounds. Foreign visitors are often on world tours or on tours across the United States. A
particularly popular combination of destinations is the Grand Canyon, Los Angeles and Las Vegas. Others are visiting national parks throughout the Southwestern U.S. or the West. Only about 10 percent are on a trip just to see the Grand Canyon. Almost half indicate that one or several places in Arizona are their main
destinations. About twenty-two percent indicate Las Vegas as one of their destinations on this trip (Table 12).

As mentioned earlier, a fifth to a quarter of all visitors are foreign travelers. The most common countries of origin for these travelers are Canada, Germany and the United Kingdom. One of the most common major purposes for coming to Williams for all visitors is to see the Grand Canyon. A large percentage of visitors are also interested in historical sites and museums, in the old Route 66 and in outdoor recreation (Table 13).

Some summary characteristics of visitors' trips are presented in Table 14. Summer visitors spend about 2.4 days in Williams compared to 1.7 days for winter visitors. However, winter visitors tend to be on longer overall trips (average of 26 days) compared to summer visitors average 14 days).

Table 12. Major Destinations on This Trip.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (percent of visitor parties) |  |  |
| Grand Canyon | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| Grand Canyon and |  |  |  |
| One Other A rizona Site | 17 | 21 | 20 |
| Arizona | 22 | 9 | 13 |
| Southwestern U.S. | 20 | 17 | 18 |
| Western U.S. | 2 | 16 | 12 |
| United States | 7 | 11 | 10 |
| Nevada (esp. Las Vegas) | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| California Primarily | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| L.A.-Las Vegas-Grand Canyon | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| International | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| Destination includes the following: |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Las Vegas | 23 | 22 | 22 |
| $\quad$ Los Angeles | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| $\quad$ Phoenix | 16 | 4 | 8 |

Table 13. Major Reasons for Trip to Williams Area.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | (percent of visitor parties) |  |  |
| Grand Canyon | 92 | 77 | 82 |
| Historical Sites and M useums | 26 | 18 | 21 |
| Outdoor Recreation | 12 | 21 | 18 |
| Route 66 | 21 | 13 | 16 |
| Friends and Family | 19 | 8 | 11 |
| Passing Through | 2 | 15 | 11 |
| Learn About Native A mericans | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| See the Old West | 2 | 10 | 7 |
| To Ski | 17 | 0 | 5 |
| Business | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| Festival or Event | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Table 14. Summary Statistics on Visitors' Trips.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Number of Hours in Williams | 41 | 57 | 52 |
| Average Number of Days in Williams | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| Average Number of Days on Total Trip | 26.2 | 14.1 | 17.9 |
| Average Number of Days on Total Trip |  |  |  |
| for Guest at Large Hotels | 11 | 11 | 11 |
|  | $---------($ percent) | --------- |  |
| Primary Purpose to see Grand Canyon | 85 | 65 | 71 |
| Was unable to Stay in First Choice Area | 7 | 21 | 17 |
| Planned to Return to Williams | 55 | 63 | 60 |
| Rode the Train | 34 | 23 | 26 |

Table 15. Local Sites Visited in the Williams Area.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (percent of visitor parties) |  |  |  |
| Grand Canyon | 86 | 77 | 80 |
| Railroad Station | 41 | 31 | 34 |
| Williams Historic District | 31 | 23 | 26 |
| Sedona | 35 | 21 | 25 |
| Flagstaff | 26 | 23 | 24 |
| National Forests | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| IMAX Theatre | 14 | 16 | 15 |
| Lakes | 5 | 14 | 11 |
| Flintstones Bedrock City | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| The Grand Canyon Caverns | 8 | 5 | 6 |
| Elephant Rocks Golf Course | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| The Grand Canyon Deer Farm | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Museum of Northern Arizona | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Williams Ski Area | 3 | 1 | 2 |

Very few winter visitors indicated that they are unable to find accommodations in their first choice of site/community in this area, however a fifth of the summer visitors indicated that they are unable to stay in their first choice. A larger percent of winter visitors (64 percent) chose Williams as their first choice of a place to stay than summer visitors (48 percent).

In addition to visiting the Grand Canyon, a large percentage of visitors visit the railroad station in Williams, historic downtown Williams, Sedona and Flagstaff. Winter visitors tend to visit more community based attractions such as historic Williams, Flagstaff and Sedona, while a larger percentage of summer visitors visit the lakes and attractions on the way to the Canyon, such as the IMAX and Flintstones Bedrock City (Table 15). Overall, only a quarter of all visitors spend time in historic downtown Williams. However, this still
represents well over 110,000 people who visit downtown.

While in Williams, visitors enjoy sightseeing, shopping, picture taking, visiting historical places and museums, camping, hiking, star gazing, wildlife watching and picnicking, in that order (Table 16). Three quarters of all visitors engage in sightseeing. Almost 45 percent take pictures. Over a quarter visit historic places and museums. Twenty-three percent of all

Figure 9. When Visitors are in Town.


Table 16. Leisure Activities of Visitors.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (percent of visitor parties) |  |  |
| Sightseeing | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Photography | 42 | 45 | 44 |
| Shopping | 41 | 34 | 36 |
| Visits to Historic |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Places and Museums | 37 | 27 | 30 |
| Hiking and Walking | 17 | 18 | 18 |
| Camping | 9 | 20 | 17 |
| Stargazing | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Wildlife Watching | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| Picnicking | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| Fishing | 0 | 9 | 6 |
| Swimming | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| Plane/Helicopter Ride | 2 | 6 | 5 |
| Golf | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Attend Festival | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Boating | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Skiing | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bicycling | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Other | 18 | 16 | 17 |

visitors to Williams ride the train to the Grand Canyon in the summer and 34 percent ride it in the winter. The train is a very significant attraction for the community of Williams.

Because Williams visitors are spending a lot of time seeing sites, they generally spend little time in the community of Williams itself. They are most likely to be in town early and midmorning (from 4 a.m. to 10 a.m.) and again late afternoon through the night (from 3 p.m. on). Figure 9 illustrates this trend. This is critical
information for those businesses that serve visitors. This pattern varies little between the summer and winter season. However, a lower percentage of summer visitors are in town during the late afternoon and evening. This is probably because of the larger number of campers and the longer day light hours during the summer.

Several visitors suggest that shops and restaurants stay open later or open earlier in the morning. Shops might consider opening early but shutting during the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. and then remaining open from 3 p.m. until 9 or 10 p.m. (at least during peak season) as a way of serving the most visitors.

## VII. What Visitors <br> Know and Think About Williams

Most of the surveys received are very positive in their view of Williams and contain many compliments particularly concerning the friendly, helpful people that they met at their place of lodging and at the visitor center. Visitors especially like the friendly people of the community, the scenery and natural beauty of the area, the small town feel, and the convenient location of the community relative to major attractions

Table 17. What Visitors Like Most About Williams.

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Number of Responses | $\mathbf{4 5 3}$ |
| Percent of Total Surveys | $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ |
|  | Percent of Response |
| Friendly People | 31 |
| Small Town A tmosphere | 22 |
| Scenery/Beauty | 17 |
| Location | 14 |
| Accommodations | 9 |
| Quiet/Peaceful | 8 |
| Climate | 7 |
| History of the A rea | 6 |
| Clean | 4 |
| Train | 4 |
| Food | 3 |
| Easy Access | 3 |
| Golf Course | 2 |
| Shops | 2 |
| Less Traffic | 1 |

Although 84 percent of winter visitors and 72 percent of summer visitors were in Williams for the first time (Figure 10), a large percentage indicated that they planned to return to Williams ( 55 percent of winter visitors and 63 percent of summer visitors). This interest in returning to the community was fairly consistent across visitors to RV parks and campgrounds, small hotels and large hotels, but lower for day trip visitors.
(Table 17). Roughly two-thirds of all visitors wrote down positive comments concerning what they like about Williams.

Few people had comments to make concerning what they like least about Williams (35 percent of winter visitors and 28 percent of summer visitors). The number one concern is high prices, especially for gas and for accommodations. However, only 13 percent of the 72 winter visitors and 16 percent of the 133 summer visitors who responded indicate this concern. The other most frequent comments are as follows. It is colder in Williams than they expected. The shops and restaurants are either not open early enough in the morning or closed too early at night. Williams is too small and did not offer all the amenities that they want. The
noise of the trains going through the town bother them at night. The road conditions out to some of the campgrounds ware bad (Table 18).

Visitors who took the train ride are very enthusiastic about the experience of riding the train and about the scenery (Table 19). They have fewer comments concerning what they did not like about the train, although about a third indicate
that they wish that more food had been available on the train during the ride (Table 20).

Visitors tend to be very positive about the shops, restaurants and hotels in town as can be seen in Tables 21 through 23. Of the 191 people with suggestions for restaurants, 41 percent indicate that the food they ate was good or excellent. The two most frequent concerns are that visitors

## Table 18. What Visitors Liked Least About Williams.

| Number of Responses Percent of Total Surveys | 205 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 30\% |
| Percent of Response |  |
| High Prices | 15 |
| Cold Weather | 12 |
| Too Small | 8 |
| Shop/Restaurant Hours | 8 |
| Road Conditions | 7 |
| Noisy | 6 |
| Problems at Campgrounds | 5 |
| Not Friendly | 5 |
| Dirty/Rundown | 4 |
| Food | 4 |
| Location | 4 |
| Rowdy Kids | 2 |
| Street Signs | 2 |
| Traffic | 2 |
| No Night Life | 2 |
| Too Quiet | 2 |
| Too Tourist Oriented | 2 |
| Altitude | 1 |
| Smoking in Restaurants | 1 |

## Table 19. What Visitors Liked Best About the Train Ride.

| Number of Observations 159 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Response |  |
| Experience of Train Ride | 74 |
| Scenery | 69 |
| Activities on Train | 63 |
| Other | 25 |

Table 20. What Visitors Liked Least About the Train Ride.

| Number of Observations | $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Percent of Response |
| Availability of Food | 33 |
| Other | 31 |
| Lack of Activities | 11 |
| Cleanliness of Train | 7 |

Table 21. Comments Made Concerning Restaurants.

| Number of Responses | 191 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Total Surveys | 28\% |
| Percent of Response |  |
| Food was Good/Great/ |  |
| Need more Choice of |  |
| Restaurants/ Food | 13 |
| Improve Quality of Food | 10 |
| Improve Service | 9 |
| Service was Good | 8 |
| Stay Open Later | 5 |
| Lower Prices | 4 |
| Don't Allow Smoking in Restaurant | 4 |
| Open Additional Fast Food |  |
| Restaurants | 3 |
| Offer Better Quality for Price | 3 |

# Table 22. Comments Made Concerning Shops. 

| Number Responding <br> Percent of Total Surveys | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percent of Response |  |
| Shops are Interesting/Good | 29 |
| Open Earlier, Stay Open Later | 17 |
| Could Not Find an Item | 13 |
| Need more Variety of Stores | 10 |
| Lower Prices | 8 |
| Clean Up Store Front and Interior | 5 |
| Improve Quality of M erchandise | 5 |
| Improve Service | 4 |
| Need Bigger/Better Grocery Store | 2 |

Table 23. How Visitors Rated Accommodations.

|  | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor | No Answer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $-----------------($ (percent of total surveys) ------------------ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service | 38 | 29 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 16 |
| Cleanliness | 37 | 30 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 14 |
| Value | 29 | 27 | 19 | 6 | 2 | 16 |
| Noise Level | 27 | 27 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 18 |
| Choice | 23 | 31 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 18 |
| Decor | 20 | 26 | 21 | 5 | 1 | 26 |
| Heating/Cooling | 21 | 24 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 29 |

the hours posted on their door. Visitors also want a wider variety of shops (not so many shops offering the same things).

Visitors rate their accommodations quite highly. They give the highest positive ratings for service and cleanliness; 67 percent of the visitors rate these as excellent or very good. They give the lowest rat-
ings to decor/furnishings and to heating and cooling, but these still receive 45 or 46 percent excellent or very good ratings and only six percent fair or poor ratings.

The single most common way for visitors to learn about Williams as a place to stay and visit is through guide books (Table 24). Among guide books, the AAA books are particularly popular (eight percent mentioned AAA in write-in comments). The next most common way is through friends and family (word of mouth), followed by brochures and road signs. Targeting the writers of guide books may be one way to make sure that people learn of Williams. Treating current visitors well is likely to bring their friends and family to Williams.

Visitors make use of a variety of information sources on their trip (Table 25). The most popular of these include maps, brochures and newsletters, books or guides and the staff at the visitor center. Nearly half of all winter visitors and 35 percent of summer visitors indicate that the Visitor Center is a major source of information for them. When asked how they pre-

## Table 25. Travel Information Sources Used by Visitors to Williams.

|  |  |  | Percent of Visitor Parties |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maps |  |  |  |
| Brochures and Newsletter | 64 |  |  |
| Books and Guides | 62 |  |  |
| Visitor Center Staff | 37 |  |  |
| Displays at Visitor Center | 35 |  |  |
| Other | 22 |  |  |
| Tours or Interpretive Programs | 5 |  |  |
| Computer | 5 |  |  |
| Videotapes | 1 |  |  |

ferred to receive trip related information, the most popular mediums were written materials and knowledgeable staff to talk to (Table 26).

## VIII. Market Segments: Visitor Profiles for Williams

In the travel and tourism business, knowing your customer and using this knowledge to better serve your customer is key to success. Although most visitors to Williams come to the area to see the Grand Canyon, they participate in a wide variety of activities, their motivation or reasons for traveling vary widely and they have different interests and personal characteristics. Hence, it is helpful to think about the different types of visitors who come to the region. Understanding different visitor types or different segments of the travel
market can help you better identify your primary customer, their interests and characteristics and thus, improve both your ability to market to them and to serve their needs.

If you run
a campground, RV park or cater to outdoor recreation visitors, you may want to study the characteristics of these visitors in detail. On the other hand, if you manage a historic site or museum, using the information about historic visitors may be helpful. These are just a few examples of how you can use information about specific visitor types to make strategic marketing and merchandising deci-

## Table 26. How Visitors Prefer to Receive Travel Information.

| Percent of Visitor Parties |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Written M aterial | 62 |
| Knowledgeable Staff to Talk to | 46 |
| Activities I can do M yself | 19 |
| Self Explained Exhibits | 19 |
| Video or Computer Materials | 7 |
| Talk, Lecture or Slide Show | 7 |
| Audio Programs | 3 |
| Other | 2 |

sions.

For this report, several key groups of visitors were iden-

Table 27. Five Visitor Profiles.
tified: international travelers, visitor parties with children, older visitors, outdoor recreation travelers, and historical travelers. For each of these types of visitor, a profile is presented in Table 27. International travelers were identified according to their home address. Parties with children included all parties that listed members under the age of 18. The over 60 travelers included all visitor parties where the majority of members were over 60 years of age (parties where there were an equal number of over 60

|  | International | Parties with Children | Over 60 | Outdoors | Historic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Observations | 156 | 139 | 200 | 141 | 117 |
| Percent of all Visitor Parties | 23\% | 20\% | 29\% | 21\% | 17\% |
| Average Expenditure/Person (\$) | 73 | 72 | 102 | 84 | 99 |
| Average Expenditure/Person-Day (\$ | (\$) 42 | 20 | 30 | 14 | 48 |
| Average Trip Length (days) | 41.1 | 10.7 | 23.4 | 22.9 | 33.1 |
| Average Stay in Williams (days) | 1.7 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 2.0 |
| Party Size (number of people) | 2.7 | 4.25 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
|  | (percent) |  |  |  |  |
| Retired | 15 | 10 | 83 | 30 | 36 |
| Over 60 Years of Age | 16 | 5 | 92 | 27 | 34 |
| Under 18 Years of A ge | 11 | 44 | 1 | 13 | 9 |
| Over \$60,000 Household Income | e 46 | 55 | 21 | 43 | 41 |
| Under \$30,000 Household Income | me 17 | 14 | 28 | 33 | 17 |
| College Graduate | 53 | 35 | 41 | 40 | 35 |
| Saw the Grand Canyon | 97 | 76 | 70 | 62 | 88 |
| Rode the Train | 15 | 23 | 33 | 14 | 32 |
| Stayed at Hotel | 58 | 58 | 45 | 20 | 57 |
| Stayed at Campground/RV Park | 40 | 36 | 48 | 76 | 34 |

and all other age members were not included in this group). Outdoor recreation travelers were identified by the reason that they gave for visiting the area. Those who listed outdoor recreation as one of their top three reasons for visiting Williams were included. Historical visitors include all visitors who mentioned visiting museums and historic sites as one of the top three reasons for their trip. The groups are not mutually exclusive, in other words, the same visitor might classify both as an over 60 and a historic visitor.

The biggest spenders are historic and international travelers. They spend an average of $\$ 48$ and $\$ 42$ per person per day,
respectively, while in Williams. In contrast, outdoor recreation travelers spend $\$ 14$ per person per day. However, outdoor recreation travelers spend the longest amount of time in the area (about six days), while international and historic visitors stay two days or less.

Parties traveling with children have the largest sized visitor party (with an average of 4.25 persons per party). The over 60 travelers and historic visitors have the largest percentages of retirees and people over the age of 60, while parties with children have the fewest. Parties with children tend to be the most affluent of visitors, with 55 percent having household in-
come of more than $\$ 60,000$ a year and only 14 percent with household income of less than \$30,000 per year. International travelers are the next highest income group.

International travelers tend to be the best educated group. Fifty-three percent have a four year college degree or more. Virtually all international visitors and 88 percent of historic visitors go to see the Grand Canyon. Only 62 percent of outdoor recreation travelers go to the Canyon. The visitors most likely to ride the train to the Grand Canyon are the over 60 and the historic travelers. Outdoor recreation travelers and over 60 travelers were more

Table 28. Visitor Interests by Visitor Type.

|  | International | Parties with Children | Over 60 | Outdoors | Historic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------------------------------- (percent) ---------------------------------- |  |  |  |  |
| Outdoor Recreation | 39 | 51 | 40 | 78 | 40 |
| Photography | 43 | 40 | 33 | 41 | 49 |
| Shopping | 32 | 50 | 40 | 29 | 49 |
| History | 33 | 36 | 42 | 22 | 48 |
| Books | 35 | 29 | 35 | 38 | 42 |
| M usic | 35 | 36 | 21 | 35 | 34 |
| Gardening | 19 | 20 | 29 | 25 | 27 |
| Conservation/Ecotourism | 23 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 23 |
| Crafts/Art | 10 | 19 | 20 | 24 | 27 |
| Fishing/Hunting | 6 | 18 | 22 | 36 | 10 |
| Antiques | 11 | 17 | 17 | 11 | 25 |
| Gambling | 9 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 16 |
| Computers | 9 | 19 | 13 | 10 | 15 |
| Golf | 10 | 13 | 16 | 10 | 15 |
| Religion | 5 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| Stargazing | 5 | 17 | 7 | 20 | 12 |
| Rocks and Geology | 6 | 21 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Water Sports | 11 | 20 | 4 | 17 | 8 |
| Video Games | 5 | 29 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| Skiing | 14 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 10 |
| Boating | 3 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 6 |
| Movies | 12 | 13 | 3 | 6 | 12 |
| Art | 6 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 10 |
| Team Sports | 9 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Horseback Riding | 6 | 9 | 3 | 10 | 4 |
| Flying | 6 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Vegetarianism | 7 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 5 |
| Other | 10 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 10 |

likely to stay in campgrounds or RV parks and less likely to stay at a hotel than other visitors.

Visitor interests vary widely by visitor type (Table 28). For example, 49 percent of historic visitors are interested in photography but only 33 percent of over 60 visitors. If you sell books, you might be interested in knowing that historic and outdoor recreation visitors are the most likely to be interested in books, while parties with children are the least likely to be interested. All of the visitor types are interested in music, but older visitors tend to be slightly less interested. If you rent or sell video games or computer software, your market is the parties with children.

International visitors are most likely to visit the Grand Canyon, the railroad station, Flagstaff and Sedona on their trip (Table 29). Threefourths of parties with children visited the Grand Canyon and
one-quarter visit the railroad station. The over 60 visitor and historic visitors are more likely to visit historic downtown Williams, while outdoors travelers were most likely to visit the National Forests and lakes. Sedona is most appealing to historic visitors and the over 60 visitor. Flagstaff appeals the most to historic, outdoors and over 60 visitors.

While most visitors mention sightseeing, the over 60 and outdoors visitors are least likely to sightsee (Table 30). The over 60 visitors are also less likely to be taking photographs on their trip. Outdoors visitors mention shopping the least but camping, hiking, wildlife watching, fishing and stargazing the most. Historic visitors most frequently mention visiting historic areas and museums, and shopping. International visitors take plane or helicopter rides over the Canyon in larger numbers than other visitor types.
a. The International Traveler

International visitors tend to have high levels of income and education and make relatively high expenditures per person per day in the Williams area. They are generally on extensive international or U.S. trips lasting over one month. However, they tend to only spend a day or two in Williams. They are primarily interested in seeing the Grand Canyon, but about a quarter of them visit the Grand Canyon Railway Station, Flagstaff and Sedona. These visitors enjoy photography, outdoor recreation, books, music, history and shopping.

## b. Parties with Children

Parties with children have relatively high incomes but lower educational levels than international visitors. They tend to be on shorter trips averaging about 10 days and spend almost four days on

Table 29. Sites Visited by Visitor Type.

|  | International | Parties with <br> Children | Over 60 | Outdoors | Historic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $---------------------------~(p e r c e n t) ~----------------------------~$ |  |  |  |  |
| The Grand Canyon | 97 | 76 | 70 | 62 | 88 |
| The Grand Canyon Railway Station | 30 | 26 | 40 | 22 | 54 |
| Flagstaff | 24 | 17 | 30 | 30 | 37 |
| Sedona | 23 | 18 | 28 | 21 | 36 |
| Williams Historic District | 14 | 19 | 32 | 16 | 34 |
| National Forests/Wilderness | 15 | 14 | 14 | 36 | 23 |
| Lakes | 11 | 14 | 15 | 41 | 9 |
| IMAX Theatre | 14 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 22 |
| Grand Canyon Caverns | 10 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 9 |
| Flintstones Bedrock City | 7 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 9 |
| Grand Canyon Deer Farm | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Museum of Northern Arizona | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Elephant Rocks Golf Course | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Williams Ski Area | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Other | 10 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 9 |

Table 30. Visitor Activities by Visitor Type.

|  | nternational | Parties with Children | Over 60 | Outdoors | Historic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -------------------------------- (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sightseeing | 79 | 72 | 68 | 62 | 90 |
| Picture Taking | 50 | 49 | 37 | 48 | 57 |
| Visit Historical Sites and M useums | s 32 | 29 | 30 | 24 | 74 |
| Shopping | 37 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 50 |
| Camping | 19 | 29 | 25 | 67 | 22 |
| Hiking | 31 | 24 | 10 | 47 | 24 |
| Wildlife/Bird Watching | 13 | 11 | 13 | 33 | 14 |
| Fishing | 2 | 14 | 12 | 33 | 14 |
| Stargazing | 9 | 12 | 8 | 27 | 14 |
| Picnicking | 4 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 7 |
| Plane or Helicopter Ride | 16 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Swimming | 6 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Boating | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| Attend Festival or Event | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Bicycling | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Hunting | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Horseback Riding | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Skiing | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Golfing | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 6 | 11 | 19 | 11 | 13 |

average in Williams. They have a larger party size than other visitors but make relatively low expenditures per person per day while in Williams. Almost a quarter do not visit the Grand Canyon, while nearly one quarter ride the train. They tend to visit local sites less than the other types of visitors. They engage in sightseeing, photography, shopping, visiting historical sites and museums, camping and hiking most frequently. Their special interests include outdoor recreation, shopping, photography, history, music, books and video games.

## c. The Older Traveler

Older travelers tend to have more modest incomes but fairly high levels of educa-
tion. Over four-fifths of them are retired and they tend not to travel with children. They spend a moderate amount of money while in Williams. They are generally on 24 day trips. They spend about 3.5 days in Williams and have an average party size of 2.4 people. One-third of them rode the train although only 70 percent saw the Grand Canyon. They are as likely to stay in an RV park or campground as in a hotel. Between 28 and 40 percent of these visitors spend time at the railway station, in historic downtown Williams, in Flagstaff and in Sedona. While in the Williams area they like to sightsee, take photographs, shop, visit historical sites and museums, and camp. Their strongest interests are his-
tory, outdoor recreation, shopping, books, and photography.

## d. The Outdoor Recreational

 TravelerOutdoor recreation travelers spend the longest amount of time in Williams (six days), but spend the least amount of money per person per day (\$15). Their average trip length is about 23 days and their average party size is just under three people. Outdoor recreation travelers consist of large groups of both relatively high income people (43 percent have incomes of over $\$ 60,000$ ) and relatively low income people (33 percent have incomes of less than $\$ 30,000)$. They are the least likely to see the Grand Canyon or ride
the train. They are the most likely to go to the National Forest and lakes. They also like visiting Flagstaff. While in the Williams area they enjoy sightseeing, camping, photography, hiking, wildlife watching, fishing, shopping and star gazing. Their strongest interests are in outdoor recreation, photography, books, fishing and hunting, music, and conservation.

## e. Historical Traveler

Historic visitors are the big spenders in Williams. However, they stay an average of only two days in the area. They have relatively small visitor parties ( 2.6 persons). They tend to be on month long trips. About one-third of them are retired and over 60 years of age. They have fairly high incomes, but lower educational levels than most other visitors. Most of them do visit the Grand Canyon and a third of them ride the train. More than half of them visit the train station and over one-third visit Flagstaff, Sedona, and historic downtown Williams. They spend their time sightseeing, visiting historical sites and museums, taking photographs and shopping. Their strongest interests are in photography, shopping, history, books, outdoor recreation, and music.

## IX. Summary and Conclusions

Visitors to the Williams area spent approximately $\$ 37$ million dollars in the area between J uly 1, 1995 and J uly 1, 1996. Almost half of this expenditure was for lodging and nearly one quarter was for prepared
meals and beverages. About three quarters of this expenditure was made by visitors staying at larger hotels (more than 30 rooms) even though these visitors represented only 53 percent of total visitors.

The $\$ 37$ million in expenditures generated $\$ 30$ million in total income impacts and 1,339 jobs. Twenty million dollars in income and 1,033 jobs were the direct effect of the expenditures.

About half of all visitor parties include a couple. The average size of the visitor parties is three people and the average length stay in Williams is 2.2 days. Overall trip length averages 18 days. Foreign visitors represent 22 percent of all visitor parties. Californians are 19 percent of all parties and Arizonans represent 15 percent of all parties. Over one-third of all visitors are retired and onethird are over the age of 60 . Children represent 13 percent of all visitors. The strongest interests of Williams visitors are in outdoor recreation, shopping, photography, history, books and music. Most visitors are white or Caucasian. Fortyfour percent have completed a four year or higher college degree. Fifty-five percent of all visitors have household incomes of over $\$ 60,000$ per year.

Arizona is the major destination for 43 percent of all visitors to Williams. Twenty-two percent of all visitor parties indicate that they also visited Las Vegas. Visiting the Grand Canyon is the most common reason for visiting Williams. The next most common reasons are: visiting historical sites and museums, and outdoor recreation. The most popular sites to visit after the Grand Canyon are the railroad station, historic down-
town Williams, Sedona and Flagstaff. The most popular leisure time activities are sightseeing, photography, shopping, and visiting historical sites and museums.

Because visitors did spend much of their time exploring the area or at the Grand Canyon, very few visitors tend to be in Williams between the hours of $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and 3 p.m. The peak hours are actually between 6 p.m. and 9 p.m.

Very few of the visitors to Williams have been there before. However, they enjoy the friendliness of local people, the small town atmosphere, the scenery, and the location relative to the Grand Canyon. A few visitors indicate that prices are too high and that it is colder in Williams than they expected. Visitors rate local restaurants, shops and hotels very highly. The major concerns with restaurants are the need for more variety; both on the menus of existing restaurants and in the number of restaurants, and with the quality of the food in some restaurants. Most visitors who did shop in Williams, like the shops, however, some visitors suggest that shops open earlier, stay open later and be open during their posted hours. Visitors have difficulty finding some items that they want to buy and would like to see more variety or selection in the shops. Visitors are particularly impressed with the service and cleanliness of their lodging places, but slightly less impressed with the decor, furnishings, heating and cooling.

The most common way for a visitor to learn about Williams is through a guide book; AAA is commonly mentioned. The next most common way is through the recommendations
of friends and family members. Two other important sources of information are brochures and road signs. While on their trips, visitors rely heavily on maps, brochures and newsletters. They indicate that they prefer to receive travel information through written materials or through talking to knowledgeable local people.

Five of the most common types of visitors to the Williams area are international travelers, visitors with children,
older visitors, outdoor recreation visitors and historic visitors. More detailed descriptions of these visitors is provided in the previous chapter. Some of the most interesting aspects of the profiles are as follows. Historic visitors emerge as the biggest spenders (followed by international travelers). Outdoor recreation visitors spend the most time in the area. Visitors with children tend to have the highest incomes. International visitors are the most focused on visiting the Grand Canyon. Older
visitors tend to have lower incomes and are more likely to visit during the winter season.

This study provides a benchmark for estimating visitor expenditures and economic impacts in Williams. It also provides a great deal of detailed information on specific visitors. By paying attention to what visitors do on their trip and what their interests are, local businesses can develop more targeted marketing strategies and improve their merchandising and service.



## Appendix B. Study Methodology

The four page survey instrument used for this study precedes this section. It was developed to take approximately 10 minutes to complete. The survey was designed at The University of Arizona, but the local tourism committee critically reviewed it and provided suggestions for improvements and additions.

The survey was distributed on randomly selected days at randomly selected times at local hotels and the WilliamsKaibab National Forest Visitor Center for the first six months of the study period. During the second six months, only the days
were randomly selected for the hotels. The Visitor Center distributed two surveys per day, one in the morning and one in the afternoon. A variety of small and large hotels and campgrounds and RV parks were selected to participate. Of the surveys returned, 267 parties stayed at RV parks or campgrounds, 109 parties stayed at small hotels, 261 parties stayed at large hotels (i.e., hotels with 30 rooms or more) and 45 parties were only in Williams for the day. The survey respondents account for 0.5 percent of all room nights (and visitor days in the case of day trip visitors) spent by visitors in

Williams. The accuracy of the results varies by question, however, the overall sampling error for the full sample is generally between four and six percent based on a 95 percent confidence interval.

A total of 682 surveys were returned out of approximately 1,530 surveys distributed. The response rate was 45 percent. A unique aspect of this survey project was our reliance on hotel managers and the visitor center staff in the distribution of the survey. This approach gave these individuals a greater stake in the survey research process.

## Appendix C. Estimated Occupancy Rates for Fiscal 1995-96.

|  | Large | Small | All Hotels | RV Parks/Campgrounds ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ------------------------------- (percent) --------------------------------- |  |  |  |
| June, 1995 | 73 | 59 | 68 | 60 |
| July | 87 | 62 | 79 | 63 |
| August | 83 | 60 | 75 | 63 |
| September | 74 | 61 | 71 | 59 |
| November | 59 | 45 | 55 | 51 |
| December | 42 | 36 | 40 | 37 |
| January, 1996 | 27 | 20 | 25 | 32 |
| February | 38 | 22 | 33 | 36 |
| March | 53 | 37 | 48 | 36 |
| April | 65 | 46 | 59 | 41 |
| May | 63 | 51 | 60 | 67 |
| June | 82 | 49 | 74 | 61 |

${ }^{1}$ Several campgrounds and RV parks are closed during the winter and were not included in winter room counts and occupancy estimates.

## Appendix D. Interests of Visitors.

|  | Winter | Summer | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | (percent of visitor parties) |  |  |
| Outdoor Recreation | 44 | 42 | 43 |
| Shopping | 40 | 41 | 41 |
| Photography | 39 | 41 | 40 |
| History | 43 | 34 | 37 |
| Books | 39 | 32 | 34 |
| Music | 29 | 32 | 31 |
| Gardening | 29 | 23 | 25 |
| Art and Crafts | 21 | 18 | 19 |
| Conservation/Ecotourism | 25 | 16 | 19 |
| Golf | 20 | 17 | 18 |
| Antiques | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| Fishing/Hunting | 14 | 17 | 16 |
| Gambling | 17 | 15 | 16 |
| Computers | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Religion | 14 | 12 | 13 |
| Skiing | 12 | 10 | 11 |
| Rocks and Minerals | 9 | 11 | 10 |
| Movies | 8 | 11 | 10 |
| Other | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Video Games | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| Astronomy | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| Water Sports | 4 | 11 | 9 |
| Team Sports | 6 | 10 | 9 |
| Art | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| Boating | 4 | 10 | 8 |
| Collecting | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Horses, riding | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Vegetarian diet | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Flying | 5 | 3 | 4 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Julie Leones is an extension economist in the Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics at The University of Arizona. Valerie Ralph is a research assistant and graduate student in the same department.

