
Nondestructive Evaluation of Biodegraded Oriented Strandboard: Laboratory Results

Vina W. Yang, William J. Nelson, Barbara L. Illman, and Robert J. Ross

Abstract

The durability of oriented strandboard (OSB) against biological degradation has not been clearly determined nor has an in-place assessment method been developed for OSB. The objectives of this research were to investigate the durability of OSB when exposed to wood decay fungi and to develop an in-place method for evaluating biological decay of this composite material. Southern yellow pine OSB specimens were exposed to 14 brown-rot and 8 white-rot decay fungi. Results of our initial screening revealed that the brown-rot fungus *Gloeophyllum trabeum* (Mad-617) degraded OSB to a greater extent than solid southern yellow pine wood. Stress wave nondestructive evaluation (NDE) and strength loss procedures were used to evaluate decay of OSB stakes by *G. trabeum*. Specimens showed a decrease in NDE stress wave and centerpoint flexure (modulus of rupture) values before they exhibited weight loss.

Introduction

Oriented strandboard (OSB) is widely used in residential construction. In recent years, wood composite I-joists have provided another promising market for OSB (Fig. 1), which is predicted to double in the next 5 to 7 years (1). The durability of OSB in such applica-

tions is not clearly understood. The high volume of OSB in residential structures also calls for a method for assessing the durability of OSB in service. We propose that stress wave nondestructive evaluation (NDE), proven to be a successful evaluation method for solid wood (5-9), can be successfully used to monitor composite materials. The objectives of this study were to determine the biological durability of OSB when exposed to brown- and white-rot decay fungi and to investigate the use of stress wave NDE for assessing residual strength of OSB used as a structural material.

Materials and Methods

Decay Fungi

Fourteen brown-rot and eight white-rot wood decay fungi were screened for their capacity to degrade OSB. Samples were evaluated for decay using the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D 1413-76 standard method (3). The percentage of weight loss was calculated at the end of a 12-week incubation period. Five replications were used for each fungus.

The brown-rot fungus *Gloeophyllum trabeum* (Mad-617) and the white-rot fungus *Trametes versicolor* (Mad-697) were selected for determining the rates of OSB and southern yellow pine degradation. Specimen weight was measured 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 **weeks after exposure, with five replications** for each fungus. Southern yellow pine controls were used for comparison.

Gloeophyllum trabeum was inoculated on malt extract agar (MEA) (2 %) in a special incubation chamber

Yang: Research Microbiologist
Nelson: Supervisory General Engineer
Illman: Supervisory Research Plant Pathologist
Ross: Supervisory Research General Engineer, USDA Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory, Madison, Wisconsin



Figure 1. - Use of OSB as I-joist for residential construction.




Figure 2. - Custom-made chamber for exposure of OSB stakes to wood decay fungi.

(Fig. 2), placed in a 27°C incubation room at 70 percent relative humidity, and allowed to grow for 7 days to obtain confluent growth before the OSB stakes (12.7 by 25.4 by 305 mm) were added.

Nondestructive Evaluation

Ten replicate stakes were removed from the incubation chamber, and the percentage of weight loss was calculated after 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, or 11 weeks. Waveform was used to evaluate stress wave behavior (Fig. 3). Control OSB samples were not exposed to the fungi.

Strength Test

Centerpoint flexure tests were performed on OSB stakes harvested at each time point using a Tinius Universal Testing machine according to ASTM D 3043-95 (2). Data for modulus of rupture (MOR) and modulus of elasticity (MOE) were collected.

Results and Discussion

Weight loss of OSB after a 12-week exposure to wood decay fungi is shown in Table 1. In general, the brown-rot fungi caused more degradation and greater weight loss than did the white-rot fungi. The rate of OSB and solid wood degradation by brown- and white-rot fungi is shown in Figure 4. The structure and design of OSB lead to large voids, which provide abundant paths for fungal hyphae to penetrate and degrade the wood (4). Therefore, faster and more extensive degradation of OSB was expected.

Figure 5 shows NDE results for OSB stakes at different time intervals. Waveforms of controls and degraded specimens were markedly different. The control waveform consisted of a series of equally spaced pulses whose magnitude decreased with time. The percentage of weight loss was calculated at the end of each time



Figure 3. - NDE unit used for measuring stress waves.

point. Weight gain at week 2 was caused by moisture gain during incubation (Fig. 6); weight loss was gradual after 2 weeks of exposure and dramatic after 6 weeks. The curve of the reciprocal of stress wave frequency revealed incipient decay at week 2. These results show promise for NDE as a laboratory detection technique for assessing fungal damage of OSB.

Decrease in the reciprocal of stress wave frequency and MOR with time is shown in Figure 7. Strength loss of OSB corresponded with stress wave frequency. The results indicate that NDE would be effective in future field studies on assessing the residual strength of full-size OSB structural composites.

Concluding Remarks

In general, brown-rot fungi degraded OSB to a greater extent than did white-rot fungi. The rate of degradation was the same as that of solid wood, but higher weight loss was obtained with OSB. Stress wave NDE values

Table 1. - Effect of decay fungi on OSB.^a

Fungus	Weight loss (%)
(%)	
Brown-rot	
<i>Antrodia serialis</i> (FP-104443)	15.8 ± 10.1
<i>Antrodia vaillantii</i> (FP-90877-R)	20.1 ± 2.0
<i>Antrodia xantha</i> (Mad-5096-35)	36.4 ± 5.1
<i>Antrodia carbonica</i> (HHB-5104)	45.3 ± 6.1
<i>Coniophora puteana</i> (Mad-515)	34.2 ± 5.2
<i>Fomitopsis meliae</i> (FP-105065-sp)	58.4 ± 1.4
<i>Gloeophyllum trabeum</i> (Mad-617)	49.0 ± 2.7
<i>Laetiporus sulphureus</i> (SH-27-R)	20.3 ± 3.2
<i>Leucogyrophana arizonica</i> (RLG-9902-sp)	36.7 ± 4.9
<i>Leucogyrophana olivascens</i> (FP-104339-sp)	50.6 ± 4.8
<i>Meruliporia incrassata</i> (Mad-563)	19.8 ± 4.2
<i>Neolentinus lepideus</i> (Mad-534)	52.7 ± 21
<i>Postia placenta</i> (Mad-698)	42.9 ± 5.4
<i>Serpula himantoides</i> (FP-97367)	42.8 ± 3.4
White-rot	
<i>Trametes versicolor</i> (Mad-697)	53.3 ± 1.8
<i>Ganoderma upplanatum</i> (HHB-7823-s)	37.3 ± 3.7
<i>Irpex lacteus</i> (HHB-7328-sp)	25.8 ± 4.9
<i>Phanerochaete chrysosporium</i> (ME-461)	0.2 ± 0.4
<i>Phellinus ferrugineofuscus</i> (MIL-1357-sp)	16.7 ± 1.4
<i>Phellinus pini</i> (L-14602-sp)	30.2 ± 3.1
<i>Phlebia brevispora</i> (HHR-7030)	16.7 ± 1.2
<i>Pycnosporus sanguineus</i> (FP-103380-sp)	1.8 ± 1.5

^a Standard method of testing wood preservatives by laboratory soil-block cultures, ASTM D 1413-76.




Figure 4. - Rate of fungal degradation of OSB and solid wood.




Figure 5. - NDE representative waveforms for control (a) and degraded (b) OSB.




Figure 6. - Comparison of weight loss and stress wave frequency for degraded OSB.




Figure 7. - Comparison of stress wave frequency and MOR for degraded OSB.

and flexure test results detected decay earlier than did weight loss measurement. We conclude that NDE can be used effectively as an in-place assessment method for evaluating the strength of OSB.

Literature Cited

1. Adair, C. 1996. OSB outlook to the year 2000: Mills, markets and opportunities. *In: Proc. Wood Adhesive 1995 Symposium*, no. 7296. A.W. Christiansen and A.H. Conner, eds. USDA Forest Service, Forest Products Lab. and Forest Product Society, Madison, WI. pp. 9-13.
2. American Society for Testing and Materials. 1995. Standard methods for testing structural panels in bending. ASTM D 3043-95. ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA.
3. American Society for Testing and Materials. 1998. Standard test method for wood preservatives by laboratory soil-block cultures. ASTM D 1413-76. ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA.
4. Chung, W.Y., S.G. Wi, H.J. Bae, and B.D. Park. 1999. Microscopic observation of wood-based composites exposed to fungal deterioration. *J. of Wood Sci.* 45:64-68.
5. DeGroot, R.C., R.J. Ross, and W.J. Nelson. 1998. Nondestructive assessment of wood decay and termite attack in southern pine sapwood. *Wood Protection* 3(2):25-34.
6. Pellerin, R.F., R.C. DeGroot, and G.R. Esenther, 1985. Nondestructive stress wave measurements of decay and termite attack in experimental wood unit. *In: Proc. 5th Nondestructive Testing of Wood Symposium*, 1985 Sept. 9-11, Washington State Univ., Pullman, WA pp. 319-352.
7. Ross, R.J., R.C. DeGroot, and W. J. Nelson. 1994. Technique for nondestructive evaluation of biologically degraded wood. *Experimental Techniques* 18(5):29-32.
8. Ross, R.J. and R.F. Pellerin. 1994. Nondestructive testing for assessing wood members in structures. A review. Gen. Tech. Rep. FPL-GTR-70. USDA, Forest Service, Forest Products Lab. Madison, WI.
9. Ross, R.J., R.C. DeGroot, W.J. Nelson, and P.K. Lebow. 1997. The relationship between stress wave transmission characteristics and the compressive strength of biologically degraded wood. *Forest Prod. J.* 47(5):89-93.

Proceedings of the 2nd Annual Conference on Durability and Disaster Mitigation in Wood-Frame Housing

November 6-8, 2000
Monona Terrace Convention Center
Madison, Wisconsin

***This conference was sponsored by the
PATH Consortium for Wood-Frame Housing in cooperation with the
Forest Products Society. The PATH Consortium for Wood-Frame Housing is
made up of the National Planning Committee for Forest Products (consisting
of the Forest Products Laboratory, USDA Forest Service; universities with
forest products programs; and USDA Cooperative State Research, Education,
and Extension services); the American Forest & Paper Association (AF&PA);
APA-The Engineered Wood Association; and the National Association of
Home Builders Research Center (NAHBRC).***



Forest Products Society
2801 Marshall Ct.
Madison, WI 53705-2295
phone: 608-231-1361
fax: 608-231-2152
www.forestprod.org