

Volume 15, No. 1

GROUNDWATER RESOURCES ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA

DNAPL Source Zone Characterization and Remediation: An Ongoing Challenge

BY BETTINA LONGINO

n December 7 and 8, 2005, GRA held the 15th symposium of its very popular and successful Series on Groundwater Contaminants: DNAPL Source Zone Characterization and Remediation. The symposium drew over 170 attendees to San Francisco from across

California, 14 other U.S. states, and seven countries to discuss the state-of-the-practice in characterizing and remediating DNAPL source zones. Symposium sponsors included ARCADIS, Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Geomatrix Consultants,

GeoSyntec Consultants, Locus Technologies, Malcolm Pirnie, Thermal Remediation Services, Liquid Boot[®]-LBI Technologies, and Precision Sampling. Due to space constraints, this article has been abbreviated. The full version has been posted on the GRA website, www.grac.org/dnapl.

DNAPLs, or dense nonaqueous phase liquids, such as chlorinated solvents, PCB

The Groundwater Resources Association of California is dedicated to resource management that protects and improves groundwater through education and technical leadership. oils, and creosote, are groundwater contaminants commonly encountered throughout industrial areas of North America as a result of their association with dry cleaning, metal degreasing, manufactured gas production, and wood preservation operations. It is currently estimated that as

many as 25,000 subsurface contaminant plumes attributable to DNAPL sources may exist nationwide (NRC, 2005). Because DNAPLs are denser than water, they are able to migrate beneath the water table and will continue to move downward

in unconsolidated or fractured media until either pooling above a low permeability zone that cannot be penetrated or becoming immobilized as residual along the migration pathway. This residual and pooled DNAPL in the subsurface typically is termed the "source zone." Drinking water MCLs are generally orders of magnitude lower than DNAPL aqueous solubilities; as such, dissolved plumes associated with DNAPL source zones can cause pervasive and persistent contamination of drinking water aquifers.

Professionals involved in decision making for DNAPL sites are all too aware that effectively and efficiently addressing DNAPL source zones involves not only difficult technical issues, but also policy challenges. Since no DNAPL remediation technology has been proven to remove

INSIDE

President's Message	2	
Upcoming Events	3	
Technical Corner	5	
California Legislative Corner	6	
Federal Legislative/Regulatory Corner	7	
Chemist's Corner	8	
Alliance Corner	9	
Organizational Corner	10	
Branch Activities	50	

Spring 2006

100% of the contaminant mass from a DNAPL source zone, partial mass removal is (and certainly proved to be at the symposium) a topic of intense debate among researchers, practitioners, and policy makers alike.

The two-day symposium opened in full gear with two superb introductory keynotes. Dr. Linda Abriola, Dean of Engineering at Tufts University and a leading researcher in DNAPL studies, set the stage for sessions to follow by describing the challenges of defining, monitoring, and modeling the behavior of DNAPL source zones. Dr. Abriola also initiated two important dialogues that carried through the symposium: the use of mass flux as a metric for source zone monitoring and regulation, and the potential benefits of partial mass

Continued on page 14

25,000 subsurface contaminant plumes attributable to DNAPL sources may exist nationwide



President's Message

BY THOMAS MOHR

hat does the New Year bring to GRA? We have much to look forward to in 2006, and we can look back on our many accomplishments in 2005 with pride. The State of the Association is sound. Our membership survey indicates you are quite satisfied with GRA as your professional association for groundwater interests. GRA offers a growing number of symposia, workshops, and courses, with improving balance among members' key areas of interest, and our legislative committee continues to engage legislative staff and elected officials on the importance of groundwater stewardship. Our financial picture is stable and continues to improve; GRA's branches continue to thrive and offer their own rich programs, as well as the all-important local opportunity to meet with your peers to discuss topics of mutual interest, make new contacts, and share in friendship and camaraderie.

To what or whom does GRA owe its success? I believe it is the intrinsically interesting nature of groundwater that motivates GRA's volunteer committee members, Branch Officers, and Directors to step up and get more involved in their profession than is required by their jobs. Ask any member who has served on a committee, helped run a branch, or otherwise contributed to making GRA's programs and activities happen, and you will hear that participation is its own reward. There are too many volunteers to name in this column; I will mention a few whose contributions are outstanding. As GRA's new President, I have big shoes to fill. GRA's 2004/2005 President, the intrepid Tom Johnson, did a stellar job of providing focus for the Board and causing us to adopt a systematic approach to executing GRA's programs and activities. Tom led us to revitalize and strengthen our committees, and motivated us to get a lot done. The backbone of GRA is our Events Committee, which thrived last year under Sarah Raker's energy, enthusiasm, organization, and dedication.

We had new volunteers leading several successful programs last year: Elie Haddad of Locus Technologies led the Environmental Information Management Systems workshop, and he co-chaired the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air symposium with Jim Strandberg of Malcolm Pirnie. Eric Reichard of USGS led a workshop on Artificial Recharge, together with the tireless Tim Parker and several others, who also planned the Basin Yield and Overdraft workshop. Mr. Parker's 2005 accomplishments include leading the publication of the 2nd edition of GRA's California Groundwater Management book, and leading and teaching workshops designed around the book. Bill Pipes of Geomatrix, Vicki Kretsinger of Luhdorff Scalmanini, and Sarah Raker, formerly of RWOCB and now with MACTEC Inc., led GRA's contribution to the very successful GRA Annual Meeting held jointly with the UC Biennial Groundwater Meeting.

Bettina Longino of Geomatrix assembled the world's leading DNAPL experts for a *Continued on page 16*

The statements and opinions expressed in GRA's HydroVisions and other publications are those of the authors and/or contributors, and are not necessarily those of the GRA, its Board of Directors, or its members. Further, GRA makes no claims, promises, or guarantees about the absolute accuracy, completeness, or adequacy of the contents of this publication and expressly disclaims liability for errors and omissions in the contents. No warranty of any kind, implied or expressed, or statutory, is given with respect to the contents of this publication or its references to other resources. Reference in this publication to any specific commercial products, processes, or services, or the use of any trade, firm, or corporation name is for the information and convenience of the public, and does not constitute endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the GRA, its Board of Directors, or its members. **Hydro Visions** is the official publication of the Groundwater Resources Association of California (GRA). GRA's mailing address is 915 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814. Any questions or comments concerning this publication should be directed to the newsletter editor at editor@grac.org or faxed to (916) 442-0382.

> EXECUTIVE OFFICERS President, Tom Mohr Santa Clara Valley Water District Phone: 408-265-2607, ext. 2051 Email: tmohr@grac.org

Vice President, James Strandberg Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. Phone: 510-735-3020 Email: jstrandberg@pirnie.com

Treasurer, David Von Aspern Phone: 916-920-0573 Email: dvajet@aol.com

Secretary, William Pipes Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. Phone: 559-264-2535 Email: wpipes@geomatrix.com

DIRECTORS David Abbott, Todd Engineers Phone: 510-595-2120 Email: jorysue@msn.com

Susan Garcia, Colin L. Powell Academy Phone: 310-631-8794 Email: ssgarcia55@verizon.net sgarcia@lbusd.k12.ca.us

Stephanie Hastings, Hatch & Parent Phone: 805-882-1415 Email: shastings@hatchparent.com

Thomas M. Johnson, LFR Levine Fricke Phone: 510-652-4500 Email: tom.johnson@lfr.com

Vicki Kretsinger, Luhdorff & Scalmanini Phone: 530-661-0109 Email: Vkretsinger@lsce.com

Brian Lewis Cal/EPA, Dept. of Toxic Substances Control Phone: 916-255-6532 Email: blewis@dtsc.ca.gov

Jean Moran Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Phone: 925-423-1478 Email: moran10@llnl.gov

Tim Parker, Schlumberger Water Services Phone: (916)329-9199 Email: tparker2@slb.com

> Sarah Raker, MACTEC Phone: 510-628-3234 Email: slraker@mactec.com

Eric Reichard, U.S. Geological Survey Phone: 619-225-6134 Email: egreich@usgs.gov

Martin Steinpress, Brown & Caldwell Phone: 925-937-9010 Email: msteinpress@brwncald.com

Robert A. Van Valer, Roscoe Moss Company Phone: 323-263-4111 Email: rvanvaler@roscoemoss.com

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Kathy Snelson (916) 446-3626 Email: executive_director@grac.org

> EDITOR Floyd Flood Email: editor@grac.org

WEB AND DATABASE MANAGER Kevin Blatt, ihappi Web Design Phone: (510) 845-9623 Email: kblatt@ihappi.com

Nitrate in California's Groundwater: Are We Making Progress?

APRIL 4 & 5, 2006 MODESTO, CA

17TH SYMPOSIUM IN GRA SERIES ON GROUNDWATER CONTAMINANTS

itrate contamination of surface and groundwater is a pervasive and growing problem in California, the nation, and the world. High levels of nitrate affect both human and ecosystem health: high levels in drinking water cause "blue baby syndrome;" high levels in surface and coastal waters lead to eutrophication. The U.S. EPA (1990) has estimated that about 1.7 million people (including 270,000 infants) or 8% of the population is exposed to water with nitrate concentrations in excess of the regulatory limit for drinking water. Groundwater nitrate contamination is commonly viewed as intractable because it is ubiquitous, it has multiple sources, and it is expensive to treat. In California, the activities that contribute nitrate to groundwater - animal operations, crop fertilization, wastewater discharge (including land application of food processing waste), and septic systems - are a legacy of commerce and growth over the last half-century, yet remain vital to the economic future of the State.

In California, about 10% of currently active public drinking water supply wells have reported maximum nitrate concentrations that exceed the regulatory drinking water standard. In 1988 the State Water Resources Control Board reported that the Metropolitan Water District of southern California was losing up to 4% of its drinking water supply to nitrate, as compared to *Continued on page 17*

Upcoming Events

Emerging Contaminants and Water Quality: Current and Future Challenges

18th Symposium in the Contaminants in Groundwater Series

JUNE 6 & 7, 2006 – CONCORD, CA DEADLINE FOR ABSTRACTS IS MARCH 20, 2006

nregulated and emerging chemical contaminants present numerous technical and institutional challenges to society, and to environmental and public health professionals. Increasingly sensitive analytical techniques have recently chronicled the emergence of specific chemical, microbial and radiological agents in actual or potential sources of drinking water. As our ability to detect these agents has increased, the number of unregulated water contaminants has grown dramatically.

Starting on June 6, 2006, GRA will hold a one and a half day symposium in Concord, CA, on emerging water contaminants. This symposium will feature presentations on a range of emerging contaminants including pesticides/herbicides (1,2,3-TCP), pharmaceuticals and personal care products, disinfection byproducts (NDMA), industrial additives (1,4-dioxane), persistent organic compounds (PBDEs), etc. Technical sessions will provide information on chemical history of use, sources in the environments, nationwide occurrence, physical and chemical properties, analytical techniques, regulation in the United States and Europe, environmental fate and transport characteristics, and innovative and cost-effective remediation and treatment technologies for removal from soil and water. In addition, standard of care issues, and federal and state drinking water standards in the context of natural resource damages will be discussed.

Experts from academia, regulatory agencies, consulting, industry and the legal arena will participate in moderated speaker sessions, posters sessions and a closing panel discussion. The combination of invited speakers and experts from key areas, along with talks chosen from submitted abstracts, will make this an important event for all water quality professionals interested in emerging contaminants.

GRA welcomes submittals of abstracts for platform and poster presentations on the topics listed above. The deadline for submitting abstracts is March 20, 2006. Please feel free to contact Rula Deeb (510-735-3005), Elisabeth Hawley (510-735-3027) or Tom Mohr (408-265-2600) if you would like to discuss your topic for this Symposium before submitting your abstract, or if you have any questions. Guidelines for submitting an abstract for a platform or poster presentation can be found on GRA's website (www.grac. org). If you are interested in exhibiting your organization's services or products, or being an event sponsor, please contact Mary Megarry at mmegarry@nossaman. com or 916-446-3626. GRA welcomes co-sponsors, lunch, refreshment and reception sponsors.

Agriculture Sustainability Conference

ABSTRACTS DUE MARCH 1, 2006

The "International Conference on The Future of Agriculture: Science, Stewardship, and Sustainability" will be held August 7-9, 2006 at the Hyatt Regency in downtown Sacramento, California. The conference is sponsored by the USEPA ORD Hazardous Substance Technical Liaisons Program, the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, the Midwest Hazardous Substance Research Center--Kansas State University, and California EPA. Participants are invited to submit an abstract for an oral presentation or a poster presentation. The deadline for submissions is WEDNESDAY, MARCH 1, 2006. Complete information can be found at http://www.dce.ksu.edu/dce/ conf/ag&environment/.

Questions about the conference or abstract submission, or about exhibiting or sponsoring an event or speaker at the conference, may be directed to Ellen Stauffer, Program Coordinator, at ellen@ksu.edu, or 785-532-2562, 8 am - 5 pm CST.

Mark Your Calendar!

GRA 15th Annual Meeting and Conference

September 21-22, 2006 Bahia Resort – San Diego, CA

Detailed information will be available soon at www.grac.org

Upcoming Events

Principles of Groundwater Flow and Transport Modeling

MARCH 8-10, 2006 – UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE LEARNING CENTER, ORANGE, CA

CO-SPONSORED BY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA COOPERATIVE EXTENSION GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY PROGRAM

his course introduces the conceptual principles and practical aspects of groundwater modeling in an intuitive yet comprehensive manner. The course objective is to demystify the use of groundwater models by providing solid understanding of the principles, methods, assumptions, and limitations of groundwater models, as well as hands on experience with the planning, preparation, execution, presentation, and review of a modeling project. At the end of the course, participants should be able to understand and actively engage in planning, supervision, and/or review of groundwater modeling projects.

Course Topics (partial list)

- principles and concepts of groundwater modeling
- data collection and preparation
- model grid design
- boundary conditions
- modeling multiple aquifer systems
- sensitivity analysis, model calibration and verification
- contaminant transport modeling
- capture zone analysis

Course Instructors include Graham E. Fogg, Ph.D., Thomas Harter, Ph.D., and Peter Schwartzman, M.S. The Course will be at the University of California, Irvine Learning Center, 200 S. Manchester Avenue, Orange, CA 92868, 714-456-8783. For more information, contact Mary Megarry at GRA, mmegarry@nossaman.com or 916-446-3626, and visit GRA's Web site at www.grac.org. ▲

Mark Your Calendar!

GRA Legislative Symposium and Lobby Day

March 29, 2006 At the Capitol – Sacramento, CA

Groundwater Quantity, Quality and Quid Pro Quo – What Trade-offs will be Required to Ensure Funding for Vital Groundwater Programs?

Full agenda and detailed information at www.grac.org or call 916-441-1232

4

Wells and Words

BY DAVID W. ABBOTT, P.G., C.HG.

Aquifer tests in low-yield and fractured rock aquifers — Can they be successful?

ne may hear from drilling contractors, regulators, or professional colleagues that aquifer tests conducted in fractured rock environments are somehow different, cannot be performed effectively, or require more sophisticated models (i.e., a double porosity model) to solve for aquifer parameters. The performance and analysis of hundreds of pumping tests in both alluvial and bedrock environments by the author has resulted in relatively few problems in applying simple and standard well and hydraulic equations to drawdown and discharge

Technical Corner

testing methodologies, especially from over-pumping the well, which usually accounts for the cause of most logistical problems. For single well pumping tests, the analytical models available for analysis are the Cooper-Jacob (time-drawdown straight-line) method and the more rigorous Theis analysis (curve matching) using the well function. The Theis analysis and its variants (including the double porosity model and the Cooper-Jacob method) require measurement of water levels in observation wells if all aquifer parameters are to be defined during the pumping test. Indeed, it is rare to have a responsive observation well, particularly in fractured rock aquifers. Figure 1 shows such a rare example.



data, particularly in low-yield aquifers. However, there can be plenty of logistical problems in conducting pumping tests in bedrock aquifers.

Most perceived problems encountered in the analysis of fractured rock test data are due to the improper and misunderstood application of field Reconnaissance-level or preliminary pumping tests can be conducted in a variety of ways including bail, open bottom, constant drawdown on flowing artesian wells, and air-lift tests. These methods are inadequate for establishing long-term well yields, but are helpful in determining the proper size of a test pump. All production wells that are planned for reliable and consistent use should be properly tested using methodical and standardized aquifer-testing methods. A recent study for eastern Madera County, California indicated that accurate discharge measurements from air-lifting methods in fractured rock aquifers are difficult to achieve, typically overestimating flow rates by 50 to 75 percent and thus overestimating the long-term well yields.

Formal aquifer testing is important because it provides estimation of aquifer parameters including the transmissivity (T-value), storativity (S-value), hydraulic conductivity (K-value), and leakance of the aquifer. These aquifer parameters describe mathematically the cone-of-depression surrounding the well, and predict long-term well yields and performance. A single well pumping test can only be used to estimate the Tvalue and K-value. The specific capacity (SC), affected by well efficiency, is used to independently assess the reliability of the measured T-value.

For example, during a pumping test, if the SC_{24 hour} of the well is 2 gpm/ft of drawdown then the expected transmissivity should be roughly 3,000 gpd/ft for an unconfined aquifer to 4,000 gpd/ft for a confined aquifer (Groundwater and Wells, Driscoll, 1986). If independent calculations from the timedrawdown curve using Cooper-Jacob (or Theis) indicate that the T-value is 10,000 gpd/ft, one should suspect that either that the well is roughly 35 percent efficient or the cone-of-depression has intercepted a discharge (barrier) boundary (which should be recognizable on the time-drawdown curve). If, on the other hand, calculations from the drawdown curve indicate that the T-value is 500 gpd/ft, then the cone-of-depression has intercepted a recharge boundary. In

Continued on page 17

Water Well Log Poll

In October 2005, GRA conducted a poll of the membership consisting of three questions, all related to water well log information. Approximately 25 percent of the membership responded to the poll, which is the highest response GRA has ever had. Results of the poll are:

1. Should water well log information be considered confidential in California?

> 10% Yes 89% No 1% No response

- 2. In the future, should water well log reporting requirements be revised to require electronic reporting and accurate geographic position coordinates of the well location?
 - 82% Yes 18% No
- 3. Should reporting requirements and standards for water wells, cathodic protection wells, groundwater monitoring wells, and geothermal heat exchange wells in California be revised to improve the usefulness, quality and usability of the information being collected (hydrogeologic, location, etc)?
 - 87% Yes 11% No 2% No response

Based on the results of the survey, the majority of the membership would support making water well log information available to the public, and standardizing and improving electronic reporting requirements.

California Legislative Corner

Sacramento Legislative Update

BY CHRIS FRAHM AND PAUL BAUER, HATCH & PARENT

elcome, Hydrovisions Readers, to this kick-off edition of the Legislative Corner for the second year of the 2005-2006 Legislative Session. A year ago, we doubt many people would have imagined the turn of events that would lead to Susan Kennedy's emergence as a power player in the Schwarzenneger Administration, or to the Governor's announcement of a \$220 Billion investment (or "spending," depending on your orientation) program. We live in "interesting times," to say the least. And, if the first 3 weeks of Session are any indication, 2006 promises to be a year of historic activity in Sacramento!

Due to space constraints in our newsletter, we have abbreviated this article; you are encouraged to read the full version on our website, www.grac.org.

Governor's Strategic Growth Plan and Proposed Budget, Water and Infrastructure Bonds

The Legislature reconvened on January 4; the next day, Governor Schwarzenegger delivered his State of the State address. Following the failure of his special election initiatives, the Governor struck a conciliatory tone, and pledged to cool the partisan rhetoric and work with the Legislature to resolve the problems facing California. The centerpiece of the Governor's speech was his announcement of a "Strategic Growth Plan." Among other things, the plan outlines the first 10 years of a 20-year vision to increase the state's water supply in order to serve an additional 8.5 million people. This ambitious, \$220 Billion

Ten-Year Infrastructure Plan calls for more schools, roads and investment in water supply reliability and flood protection.

The Governor's water bond proposals are contained in AB 1839 (Laird) and SB 1166 (Aanestad/Machado). The legislation would place a \$3 billion general obligation (GO) bond before voters in 2006 and a \$6 billion GO bond on the ballot in 2010 to support integrated regional water management and for water and flood control improvements. The bills also create a controversial Water Resource Investment Fund (RIF) to be collected by all retail service providers, which is intended to provide a long-term stable funding source for investment in California's water infrastructure currently estimated to produce revenues of \$380 million per annum. "Subject matter" hearings began last week in the Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee (NRWC) and Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee (Assembly WPW); in this hearing process, the authors will not present bills and no formal amendments will be taken. Some of the significant areas of concern that have been expressed thus far by members of the Legislature and various stakeholders include issues of designating the RIF "fee" or "tax" (Republicans have vowed not to raise taxes), separation of powers (some of the programs may be enacted without explicit approval by the Legislature), oversight and transparency, and lack of clear responsibilities at the local, state and federal level.

Continued on page 18

Federal Legislative/Regulatory Corner

US EPA Groundwater News

BY JOHN UNGVARSKY, EPA

Case Studies of Local Source Water Protection Programs

PA's Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water has compiled examples of good local source water protection programs, representing a variety of approaches to protecting diverse sources of drinking water supplies for a range of beneficiaries. To view the examples, go to http://www. epa.gov/safewater/protect/casesty/index.html.

2003 Drinking Water Infrastructure Needs Survey and Assessment

Every four years EPA conducts a survey of water utilities to determine investments needed to deliver safe drinking water, with the results then reported to Congress. The results are used to help determine the amount of funding each state receives for its Drinking Water State Revolving Fund program. EPA found that the nation's 53,000 community water systems and 21,400 notfor-profit noncommunity water systems will need to invest an estimated \$276.8 billion between 2003 and 2023! For more information, go to http://www. epa.gov/safewater/needssurvey/index. html.

Drinking Water and Groundwater Statistics

Got groundwater statistics? Check out the latest version of *Drinking Water* and Ground Water Statistics for 2004 (aka, Factoids) at http://www.epa. gov/safewater/data/pdfs/data_factoids_ 2004.pdf.

GAO Study of Remediation Technologies used by DOD

The U.S. Government Accounting Office (GAO) has released its study of remediation technologies used by Department of Defense (DOD) to clean up groundwater contamination at military sites. DOD has identified nearly 6,000 sites that require groundwater remediation, and invested \$20 billion over the past 10 years, relying primarily on "pump-and-treat" technologies; however, long cleanup times and high costs often make them expensive and ineffective for groundwater remediation. To view the study, go to http://www.gao. gov/new.items/d05666.pdf.

Perchlorate Treatment Technology Update

A number of issues associated with perchlorate contamination are being discussed by interested parties; these issues include health effects and risks, regulatory standards and cleanup levels, degradation processes, and treatment technologies. EPA's Federal Facilities Forum has prepared an issue paper that provides information about technologies available for treatment of perchlorate contamination in environmental media. For more information, go to http://www.epa.gov/tio/download/remed/542-r-05-015.pdf.

Roadmap to Long-term Monitoring Optimization

This EPA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers document focuses on optimization of established long-term monitoring programs for groundwater. Tools and techniques discussed concentrate on optimizing the monitoring frequency and spatial distribution of wells. For more information, go to: http://www.clu-in.org/download/techdrct/td_ltmo_roadmap.pdf.

USGS Patents the Multifunction Bedrock-Aquifer Transportable Testing Tool

The U.S. Geological Survey has designed, constructed, and patented a Multifunction Bedrock-Aquifer Transportable Testing Tool (BAT3). BAT3 is designed to conduct tests that measure the permeability of fractures and collect water samples for geochemical analyses from fractured-rock aquifers. The BAT3 has the ability to conduct multiple types of hydraulic tests, geochemical sampling, and tracer tests; to monitor the operational integrity of tests; and to conduct real-time data analysis and visualization. View information at http://toxics.usgs.gov/highlights/bat3/.

John Ungvarsky is an Environmental Scientist at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9. He works in the Water Division's Ground Water Office and oversees source water protection efforts in CA. For information on any of the above topics, please contact John at 415-972-3963 or ungvarsky. john@epa.gov.

Chemist's Corner

EDB and EDC: Still Phasing Out After All These Years

2-Dibromoethane (EDB) and 1,2 – Dichloroethane (EDC) have long received attention as environmental contaminants originating from gasoline or pesticide formulations. Although both uses have been phased out, the legacy contamination continues. EDB and EDC were routinely used as lead scavengers in leaded gasoline. Although they were eliminated with the phase-out of leaded gasoline in the 1980s, they still persist in groundwater. Lead in the form of tetraethyl lead or tetramethyl lead is still used in aviation gas, and EDB is still used as a lead scavenger.

Nationwide, EDB and EDC are among the most common contaminants in groundwater used as drinking water. EDB has been found associated with 1, 2-Dibromo, 3-chloropropane (DBCP), a fumigant mixture, which has contaminated groundwater through agricultural use as well as releases from burial of waste pesticides and container leaks. Nationally, however, >80% of the use of EDB was as a gasoline additive (Ref). EDC contamination resulted from leaks and disposal of waste industrial solvents.

Nevertheless, only a small percentage of leaking underground fuel tank (LUFT) sites tested nationally have been tested for EDB or EDC. Although most states typically require testing of LUFT-contaminated groundwater with EPA Methods 8021 or 8260, EDB and EDC are not necessarily included in the target list of analytes. As discussed here in a previous column, you only get what you ask for; commercial labs will generally only report compounds which

BY BART SIMMONS

are explicitly on a target compound list. EPA 8260 uses gas chromatographymass spectrometry (GC-MS) and can identify non-target compounds, but commercial labs typically won't report non-target compounds unless specifically requested. A common problem is that samples will be diluted to measure BTEX, and the detection limits for EDB and EDC will be raised as a consequence. Selected ion monitoring can lower detection limits in sites where necessary, if requested in advance.

In California, LUFT testing is dictated by the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, so testing may vary from Region to Region. However, since the early days of California LUFT testing, EDB and EDC were included as targets in the "LUFT Manual."

EDB and EDC both have significant water solubility, and can therefore partition into groundwater along with BTEX and other water-soluble gasoline components. A study done by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory concluded that LUFT plumes, as measured by benzene, tend to stay the same or shrink with time. However, the behavior of EDB and EDC plumes is less certain. Three sites in Massachusetts have EDB completely separated from the BTEX-defined plumes (1), raising concern that EDB may be more persistent than BTEX in LUFTcontaminated media. EDB and EDC are both considered probable human carcinogens, and EDB is a very potent animal carcinogen. EDB and EDC have maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) of 0.05 and 5 ug/L, respectively.

Although EDB and EDC releases have been identified for decades – particularly in California - a better understanding of EDB and EDC behavior is needed to assess the long-term risks.

(1) Falta, Ronald W. *et al*, "Leaded-Gasoline Additives Still Contaminate Groundwater," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, Vol. 39, No 18, 2005, 379A-384A.

Bart Simmons can be reached at bartonps@aol.com.



2005 ACWA Fall Conference — Groundwater Highlights

BY MARTIN STEINPRESS, BROWN AND CALDWELL, AND JON ROHRER, KOMEX

roundwater issues were prominent at the Association of Cali-fornia Water Agencies' (ACWA) Fall Conference and Exhibition in San Diego on November 29-December 2, 2005. More than 230 people attended the GRA co-sponsored pre-conference workshop, "Water Resources Management and Growth: California at a Crossroads." The workshop highlighted the linkage between population growth, land use and urban planning, and water supply and the growing need for planners and water suppliers to work together more, both for land-use planning and water supply planning. In particular, the critical role that groundwater serves as part of a strong and stable water-supply portfolio was analyzed. Additionally, the future of groundwater recharge and/or storage and the connection between land-use planning and water quality were discussed. It is hoped that versions of this workshop will be organized in conjunction with ACWA and GRA's co-sponsors (the League of California Cities and the California Chapter of the American Planning Association), at some of their group meetings.

The Groundwater Track included "Cleaning up without a Suit (A Lawsuit, That Is)" The session, moderated by Sanford Kozlen, Director, Carmichael Water District, focused on the approaches and lessons learned by the Carmichael and Fair Oaks Water Districts in dealing with chlorinated *Continued on page 19*

Alliance Corner

Groundwater Professionals Fill Vital Role in Public Awareness

BY CLIFF TREYENS, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC AWARENESS, NATIONAL GROUND WATER ASSOCIATION NATIONAL GROUND WATER AWARENESS WEEK / MARCH 12-18, 2006

7 ou can hear desperation in the words of private well owners searching for information. That's a cue for groundwater professionals everywhere. As the experts, you can help educate private well owners and the public at large about important issues from groundwater protection to the importance of well maintenance and water testing. Consider helping in this effort during National Ground Water Awareness Week on March 12-18, 2006. If you've never been involved in promoting groundwater awareness before, here are some tips based on actual public inquiries to the NGWA through its consumer Web site, www. wellowner.org.

Awareness Week Tip 1: There are no dumb questions.

"Please don't think I'm stupid, but I don't know anything about wells." – Terri to Wellowner.org.

Most consumers probably feel ignorant about groundwater and wells. Help inform them and find a way to share your expertise in your community. Visit a school. Submit a short article to your newspaper. Provide some tips about well maintenance and water testing on a local radio program. Give a talk to a local group.

Awareness Week Tip 2: Team up with other organizations or professionals to get the word out.

"I'm so grateful to have found your wonderful Web site. It's answered so many of my questions and, as a Realtor in an area where 99 percent of the homes I sell have well water, I now recommend it to practically all my customers." – Kathy to Wellowner.org

There are many potential public awareness partners in your service area; consider providing them with NGWA's series of "Clip and Copy" articles, which provide practical well and groundwater information. These articles include a space where you can attach your business card. You can download them off the NGWA.org web site at this page address: http://www. ngwa.org/publication/wwj/clip.cfm.

Awareness Week Tip 3: Don't reinvent the wheel.

"What a great web site. It gives great information without too much technical jargon to wade through. It was so helpful in guiding me in what to look for in my well water tests and who to look for when attempting to correct our problems." – Carla to Wellowner.org

Continued on page 19

9

GRA Extends Sincere Appreciation to its Co-Chairs and Sponsors for its December 2005 Groundwater Contaminants Series Symposium, "DNAPL Source Zone Characterization & Remediation"

> <u>Co-Chairs</u> Bettina Longino, Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. Sarah Raker, MACTEC

Co-Sponsors ARCADIS Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. GeoSyntec Consultants Locus Technologies Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. Thermal Remediation Services, Inc.

Luncheon Sponsor Liquid Boot[®] - LBI Technologies, Inc.

> Refreshment Sponsor Precision Sampling, Inc.

GRA Extends Sincere Appreciation to its Co-Chairs and Sponsors for its January 2006 Groundwater Contaminants Series Symposium "Perchlorate 2006: Progress Toward Understanding & Cleanup"

<u>Co-Chairs</u> Tom Mohr, Santa Clara Valley Water District Jon Rohrer, WorleyParsons Komex

Co-Sponsors ARCADIS/BBL Locus Technologies USFilter Environmental Services

Luncheon Sponsors Dionex Corporation LFR Levine Fricke Santa Clara Valley Water District

Organizational Corner

GRA Requests Nominations for Lifetime Achievement Award and "Kevin J. Neese Award"

The purpose of the GRA Awards Program is to recognize noteworthy projects and unique individual contributions related to the protection and management of groundwater in California. Please send nominations for the awards to Brian Lewis: admin@grac.org Nominations should not exceed one page and include the justification for the award based on the criteria listed below. Nominations are due by June 1, 2006, and will be presented at GRA's 2006 Annual Meeting in September.

The objectives of the Annual Awards Program are:

1. To provide recognition to individuals who have demonstrated leadership

and continuous dedication in the field of groundwater management;

2. To provide recognition for unique contributions to the field of groundwater management in 2005-2006.

Awards

Lifetime Achievement: presented to individuals for their exemplary contributions to the groundwater industry, and for contributions that have been in the spirit of GRA's mission and organization objectives. Individuals that receive the Lifetime Achievement Award have dedicated their lives to the groundwater industry and have been pioneers in their field of expertise.

Continued on Page 22

Renew Your Membership Online - It's Quick and Easy

If you haven't already, it's time to renew your GRA membership for 2006. You can renew online via GRA's Web site, www.grac.org, or you can request a hard copy dues renewal invoice from Kevin Blatt at kblatt@ihappi.com. To save time and effort, GRA recommends that you renew online as the process is secure and seamless. It will also help GRA to keep related expenses to a minimum.

With nearly 1,200 members at the end of 2005, the goal of having 1,350 members by the end of 2006 is attainable. To make this happen, please renew your membership and recruit one new member to GRA. Recruiting a new member is a way to introduce your colleagues to a credible, innovative organization that provides many benefits for only \$95.

Thank you for your interest and continued participation in protecting and improving California's groundwater resources.

2006 Contributors to GRA — Thank You

Founder (\$1,000 and up) Bob Van Valer Hatch and Parent Roscoe Moss Company

Patron (\$500 - \$999) David Abbott DrawingBoard Studios LFR Levine Fricke

<u>Corporate</u> (\$250-\$499) Luhdorff & Scalmanini Consulting Engineers Malcolm Pirnie Susan Garcia

<u>Charter Sponsor</u> (\$100-\$249) Thomas Johnson

Sponsor (\$25-\$99) Apex Envirotech, Inc. Jenifer Beatty Mark Becker Nathan Brown James Carr Condor Earth Technologies Karel Detterman **EMAX** Laboratories Stanley Feenstra Fred Flint Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. David Harnish Pat Havard Iris Environmental Johnson Wright, Inc. Taras Kruk Bruce Lewis Robert Marks Michael Marsden Robert Martin Bruce Marvin Darlene McCrav Alec Naugle Susan Panttaja Mehmet Pehlivan Ianet Peters Iris Priestaf John Reav Eric Reichard Jerry Shilo Mel Simons Michael Tietze **David Tompkins** Susan Trager David Tucker Joe Wells William Zavora

Organizational Corner

Groundwater Extractions

BY MARTIN STEINPRESS, GRA COMMUNICATIONS CHAIR

Dudley Makes Move

Toccoy Dudley, formerly with the Department of Water Resources' Red Bluff office, is the new Director of the Butte County Water and Resource Conservation Department. While at DWR, he worked on groundwater projects in Butte, Glenn and Tehama counties, including studies of the deep Tuscan aquifer. He replaces Ed Craddock, who has retired.

Professional Geologist Tasks

The electronic version of the National Association of State Boards of Geology (ASBOG publication: "Tasks of a Professional Geologist" is available at http://www.asbog.org/documents/ FINAL%20ASBOG%20TASK%20LIS TING%20BROCHURE.pdf.

DTSC is Hiring

The Department of Toxic Substances Control is examining and hiring geologists with skills and knowledge in environmental cleanup, hydrogeology, geophysics, bioremediation, and faulting/seismic ground motion evaluations. For information, contact (916) 322-8669. After March 17, the exam announcement and state application (form 678) may be downloaded at http://www. dtsc.ca.gov/Employment/ and the final filing date is April 7th, 2006 (Submitted by Brian Lewis: blewis@dtsc.ca.gov)

Does Maine Get a Cut of Water Sales?

A Maine group has launched a citizen's initiative to impose what is believed to be a first-in-the-nation tax on the water that companies extract and sell from the state's aquifers. It's on pace to be placed on the ballot this fall. As the market grows for bottled water, already a \$10 billion industry, the group maintains that access to water is among the most pressing issues of this century, and that the windfall reaped by bottling companies should be more evenly distributed. After all, they say, water belongs to everyone, and more controls would ensure sustainability. But critics worry that the initiative unfairly targets Poland Spring, the largest bottler in the state (The Christian Science Monitor, December 14, 2005 edition - http://www.csmonitor. com/2005/1214/p01s03-usec.html).

GRA'S 2005 ANNUAL Financial statement Is now online.

TO REVIEW THE STATEMENT, PLEASE GO TO THE GRA WEB SITE at www.grac.org/financials

GRA Welcomes the Following New Members

JULY 21, 2005 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2006

Ackerman-Taylor, Lisa Albright, David Al-Ekabi, Hussain Angela, Liang Hanchih Baker, Stephen J. Ball, Joanie Barnes, Michael Barton, Leonard Beard, Mitchell Berrington, Mike Bowers, Karl Bradner, Graham Britt, Sanford Callahan, Dennis Cantwell, Alex Carr. Melanie Carroll, Daniel Cechini, Tim Christensen, Kent Cline, Martin Colby, Norman Cone, David Copeland, Teri Courtney, John Crooks, John Daniels, Marco De Loera, Jose Dihm, Henry Douglas, Rosalind Duffy, Michael Filippi, David Formosa, Ed Fostersmith, Ellen Fuerst, Darby Gallinatti, John Gandhi, Deepa Garcia, Anna Gasca, Monica Giri, Sabina Goldsmith, Bill

Gonzales, Rangel

Guerrero, Francisco

Greisler, James

Haroun, Lynne

Havard, Pat

Hebert, Craig

Henige, Paul

Hodges, Alan

Hoenig, Dwight

Hokkanen, Gary

Clayton Group

Hokkanen Environmental LLC

Hoban, Pat

URS Corporation HydroSolutions of CA Iris Environmental MACTEC Autoliv EarthSoft, Inc. **ENSR** International The Source Group, Inc. Bookman-Edmonston ProHydro, Inc. The Source Group, Inc. Technicon Engineering Services, Inc. West Yost & Associates Kleinfelder WDC Exploration & Wells Ducommun AeroStructures **BSK** Associates CGC Environmental, Inc. Kings River Conservation District Girardi and Keese TAM International WDC Exploration & Wells Earth Tech CDM Santa Clara Valley Water District Stoel Rives LLP Sacramento Suburban Water District Monterey Peninsula Water Management District GeoSyntec Consultants Geomatrix Consultants Mojave Water Agency Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts University of San Francisco **ENSR** International Earth Tech LFR Levine Fricke Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts **ENVIRON** International Corporation Principal Resource Group Erler & Kalinowski, Inc. Maxxam Analytics Weber, Hayes and Associates CH2M Hill

ENVIRON

Science & Technology Integration, Inc.

US EPA

Jackson, Richard Janowiak, Matt Jasper, Robert J. Jolitz, Kimberly Joyce, Bob Jung, Warren Kang, Jim Karlstrand, Tim Kawakami, Brett Kenney, Christine Kim, B. Tilden Kresse, Don Kubit, Owen

Kunysz, Kathy Lambeth, Jeff Lauenroth, Mandy Lee, Chang Leigh, Dan Lizee, Ted Lombardo, Pio Louie, Stacy Makus, Eli Maley, Michael Manheimer, Kelly Mankad, Vibhav Marsden, Michael Marsh, Christian McCabe, Steve McClure, Andrew McGowan, Mark McIlvaine, Lee McPherson, Michael Middleton, Greg Moncrief, Robert Montag, Mike Nakano, Gerry Newsom, Steven Nyquest, David Nyznyk, John (Yash) O'Niell, Walter

Orr, Steven Ortman, James Osborne, Linda Pacetti, John Pardini, Chuck Parrott, Chip Parton, Craig Payne, Fred Perini, Clifford Pierno, Roger INTERA Inc. ETIC Engineering, Inc. Tehachapi-Cummings CWD Johnson Wright, Inc. LeBeau-Thelen, LLP Sacramento Suburban Water District **URS** Corporation Carollo Engineers RMC Water and Environment Bookman-Edmonston Richards, Watson & Gershon Condor Earth Technologies, Inc. Provost and Pritchard Engineering Group, Inc. Metropolitan Water District Veolia Water Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. CA Dept. of Water Resources Shaw E&I The Source Group, Inc. Lombardo Associates, Inc. **URS** Corporation Hanson Bridgett Kennedy/Jenks Consultants SAIC ETIC Engineering, Inc. Johnson Wright, Inc. Briscoe Ivester & Bazel, LLP The Source Group, Inc. Calgon Carbon Corporation The Source Group, Inc. LFR Levine-Fricke

Leighton Consulting, Inc. H2O Engineering, Inc. Iris Environmental West Yost & Associates Dominion Environmental, LLC MWH CDM PLANTECO Environmental Consultants, LLC Richards, Watson & Gershon GeoSierra FMC Corporation

LFR Levine-Fricke U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Price, Postel & Parma ARCADIS SOMA Environmental Engineering Santa Clara Valley Water District

Potts, Dave Proctor, Genevieve Quinlan, Peter Quinn, Nigel W.T. Ragan, Brian Rahman, Khaled Rao, Kate Richards, Curt Sawyer, Gao Schmidt, Thomas Schnabel, Ron Sellers, Karen Share, David Shilo, Jerry Shipman, Dorinda C. Shively, Kelly Skaggs, Jonathan Smallbeck, Donald Smith, Schaun Smith, Jr., W. Richard Soo Cho, Jong Stelljes, Mark Sueker, Julie Swensen, Daniel Thorne, John Villeneuve, Thomas Vince, Robert Wang, Wenbin Warren, John Werner, Matt White, Dawn Wiegand, Bettina Williams, Dean Wilson, Bob Wincele, Demian Woolley, Sara Yeazell, Jeffrey Zimmer, Rick

Wayne Perry, Inc. Iris Environmental Dudek & Associates, inc. Berkeley National Laboratory Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. ETIC Engineering, Inc. US EPA **Olin** Corporation Geomatrix Consultants Law Offices of Thomas P. Schmidt Bookman-Edmonston Columbia Analytical Services **Olin** Corporation **Prosonic Corporation** Treadwell & Rollo, Inc. Kimley-Horn and Associates LFR Levine-Fricke MACTEC TSC Group, Inc. Robinson & Cole, LLP GGT Waste SLR International Corp Blasland, Bouck & Lee The Source Group, Inc. Capitolink LLC Tetra Tech, Inc. Brown & Caldwell Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. **ENSR** International Earth Tech Golden State Water Company GES - Stanford University WDC Exploration & Wells **ENSR** International ERM Tetra Tech EMI **BSK Associates MWH** Labs

HATCH & PARENT A Law Corporation

Water Law

Environmental Quality and Litigation

Legislative Advocacy

Groundwater Management



www.HatchParent.com

Los Angeles • Santa Barbara • San Diego • Sacramento • South Lake Tahoe

2006 Director Election Results

The election for GRA's 2006 Board of Directors is officially completed. Board incumbents Vicki Kretsinger, Brian Lewis and William Pipes were re-elected. Dr. Jean Moran was elected as a new member of the Board. All Directors elected in 2006 will serve three-year terms ending in 2008.

GRA extends its sincere appreciation and best wishes to Jim Carter who retired from the GRA Board of Directors at the end of 2005.

DNAPL Source Zone Characterization and Remediation: An Ongoing Challenge - continued from Page 1

removal. In the second keynote presentation, The DNAPL Source Remediation Challenge: Where are We Today?, Dr. Michael Kavanaugh of Malcolm Pirnie walked participants through six "phases" of evolution of the DNAPL remediation challenge, from "DNAPL denial" in the 1970s and 1980s, to realization of the myriad technical limitations in remediating source zones and the subsequent introduction of Technical Impracticability waivers, to the implementation of new technologies and metrics for source zone characterization and remediation. Looking to the future, Dr. Kavanaugh encouraged participants to continue efforts to quantify and reduce uncertainties regarding remediation effectiveness, risk, and cost-benefit relationships.

Presentations in the subsequent general sessions highlighted a number of ongoing challenges faced by practitioners attempting to characterize and remediate DNAPL source zones, including:

- time scales for formation and remediation of source zones;
- impact of heterogeneity on source zone architecture and persistence;
- appropriate metrics for field characterization and monitoring; and
- level of uncertainty in source zone conceptual models.

Session platform speaker Dr. Bernard Kueper of Queen's University initiated the time scales discussion with a talk on remediation concepts in fractured rock. He presented compelling research findings on the importance of reverse diffusion in these settings, demonstrating the very long time scales required for remediation, even with short exposure to contamination. Dr. Jason Gerhard of the University of Edinburgh continued the time scales discussion with a very informative talk on factors affecting the length of time required for a DNAPL release to stop moving. He presented numerical model results demonstrating migration time scales of weeks to centuries in a sandy aquifer, depending on DNAPL type.

Moving from the site scale to the pore scale, Dr. Walt McNab of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory spoke about the importance of a detailed understanding and fine-scaled quantification of DNAPL mass transfer for accurately simulating flow and transport at the larger scale, and for predicting both plume source area persistence and cleanup times. Dr. Beth Parker of the University of Waterloo echoed the importance of scale of characterization in her information-packed evening keynote: Insights from Field Studies Regarding the Nature of Chlorinated Solvent Source Zones in Sandy Aquifers. She empha-

Zones in Sandy Aquifers. sized the importance of heterogeneity – especially permeability variations – in determining source zone architecture, spatial complexity, and plume evolution behavior, and demonstrated that spatial resolution of

high concentration mass/flux "bull's eyes" requires detailed characterization at a very small scale. As this scale of characterization is infeasible for most sites, source zone mass estimates will almost always carry a high degree of uncertainty.

Day 2 started with two talks focused on mass discharge, or flux, as a metric for field characterization and monitoring. Session platform speaker Murray Einarson of Geomatrix Consultants provided an overview of the practical aspects of measuring contaminant mass discharge in the field, including the use of transects of single and multi-level wells to provide mass discharge "snapshots" within the plume and the use of down-well flux meters capable of integrating local mass discharge over a period of time. Dr. Elizabeth Edwards of the University of Toronto also used mass flux as a metric for changes in a DNAPL source, in this case demonstrating enhanced DNAPL dissolution following bioaugmentation of a PCE source zone. Later in the day, Dick Jackson of INTERA summarized seven years of source zone characterization and remediation at Hill Air Force Base in Utah, again using mass flux as a metric for success. Carmen Lebrón of the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC) furthered the discussion of mass-based versus concentration-based metrics in her presentation of the results of an NFESC survey conducted to compare and evaluate performance of DNAPL source remediation technologies. The

survey identified mass removal, mass flux, rebound, and user perception as metrics of success, rather than MCLs or site closure.

Peter Mesard of Exponent underscored the importance of adopting an internally consistent and comprehensive conceptual site model at sites potentially containing a DNAPL source zone, especially when evaluating seemingly anomalous or inconsistent data results. Uncertainty in source

Source zone mass

estimates will almost

always carry a high

degree of uncertainty

zone conceptual models also was addressed in two poster presentations focused on DNAPL delineation methodology. Lucas Goldstein of LFR Levine-Fricke presented results from lab-scale experiments in which X-ray-computed tomography (or "CT

scan") was used to visualize and quantify DNAPL in porous media. Dennis Goldman of TetraTech presented field-scale techniques for source zone characterization, including soil vapor surveys, soil core sampling, cone penetrometer testing, geologic logging, direct push technology, groundwater and soil sampling, groundwater monitoring well sampling, and in situ microbial testing.

The latter part of the symposium focused on remedial technologies and remediation performance assessment. Presenters introduced attendees to new tools and technologies for source zone remediation, and demonstrated evidence of successful applications of established dissolved-phase remediation technologies. Platform speaker Dr. Tom Sale of Colorado State University launched the third session with an informative talk on ZVI-Clay, a novel in situ remediation technology that involves the use of conventional soil mixing equipment to deliver an admixture of reactive media (ZVI) and stabilizing agents (clay) to impacted soil. Two field-scale demonstrations have shown significant depletion of chlorinated compounds in the treated zone, as well as reduced flux from the treated zone due to reduced hydraulic conductivity resulting from the soil mixing process. Suzanne O'Hara of GeoSyntec Consultants also discussed the application of ZVI (in this case emulsified ZVI, or EZVI) technology for reducing both

aqueous concentrations and DNAPL mass in DNAPL source zones. Dr. Julie Konzuk of GeoSyntec Consultants introduced a new remediation screening tool currently being developed under the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program (ESTCP). The tool is intended to aid users in determining a site-specific remedial approach by providing expected remedial performance data based on site characteristics, preference for remedial approach, and performance metrics.

The symposium included a number of talks and poster presentations describing specific remedial approaches for DNAPL source zones, including bioremediation, in situ chemical oxidation, and thermal technologies. To conclude the discussion, Gorm Heron of TerraTherm presented a critical review of the practical application of three thermal remediation technologies – electrical resistive heating (ERH), steam-enhanced extraction (SEE), and in-situ thermal destruction (ISTD) – and educated attendees on common mistakes to avoid when designing and implementing thermal remediation at sites with DNAPL source areas.

Dr. Fred Payne of ARCADIS fittingly concluded the symposium speaker presentations with a summary talk on sources of DNAPL persistence and post-treatment rebound, while the closing panel discussion focused on the value of partial mass removal. The panel was moderated by Avram Frankel of ARCADIS and included Dr. Payne, Richard Jackson, Dr. Beth Parker, Dr. Tom Sale (all symposium presenters), and Paul Hadley of the California DTSC. Although the title of the panel was Partial Mass Removal: Is It Worth It?, the discussion and question period encompassed many of the recurring topics of the symposium: remediation timeframes, performance metrics, evaluation of uncertainty, and the practicality of achiev-Participants discussed the ing MCLs. definition of "success" in the restoration of a DNAPL-impacted resource, with particular emphasis on the regulatory context. This final discussion provided a perfect summation for the two-day symposium, reminding us all of the ongoing challenges facing DNAPL practitioners and of the importance of maintaining an open and

constructive dialogue among stakeholders. This symposium was certainly a step forward along that path.

A binder with copies of speakers' slides and a list of references on various aspects of DNAPL behavior, characterization, and remediation was produced for the symposium. For a copy of the binder and information about other GRA programs, please go to www.grac.org or call GRA's main offices in Sacramento at (916) 446-3626.

Bettina Longino is a Senior Consultant with Geomatrix Consultants, Inc., and is now based in Ontario, Canada. Prior to leaving the Bay Area in 2005, she served as a technical advisor for the San Francisco Bay Branch of GRA.



President's Message - Continued from Page 2

memorable conference in San Francisco. Bettina led the DNAPL team from her new home in Canada, and was assisted by the ubiquitous Sarah Raker. Our first event of the New Year, Perchlorate 2006, is also being run by a new leader – Jon Rohrer of Worley-Parsons-Komex. The omnipresent, if not omnipotent, Tim Parker also led GRA's Legislative Committee, with the sage advice of Hatch and Parent's Chris Frahm, whose peripatetic presence in the halls of the Capitol is daunting (start your jogging program now to keep up with her on GRA's March 29th Lobby Day!).

We are fortunate that the Editor of this fine publication, Floyd Flood, has agreed to continue for another year. GRA's website continues to grow under continuing and dynamic management by our Communications Chair, Martin Steinpress of Brown and Caldwell, and the able design and administration by our webmaster, Kevin Blatt. Our finances have been ably managed by Bob Van Valer of Roscoe Moss. GRA would not be half of what it is but for the excellent services provided by our Executive Director, the insightful Kathy Snelson, and her stalwart Program Administrator, Mary Megarry.

We welcome Jean Moran of Lawrence Livermore Laboratories as GRA's newest Board member, and we are delighted that David von Aspern has agreed to serve as GRA's state-wide treasurer in 2006. I will be relying on the capable assistance of Jim Strandberg as Vice President, and the reliable support of Bill Pipes as Secretary, to help keep GRA thriving in 2006. We're also excited that GRA's San Diego members are opening a sixth branch of GRA, and we look forward to recruiting new members from the San Diego area to experience the benefits of participating in GRA.

Jim Carter, who served as GRA's President from 2002 through 2003, has opted to vacate his seat on the Board so that others may serve. We honor Jim for his fine leadership as GRA's 2002/2003 President, and his continuing contributions as a Director. We wish Jim well in his new pursuits, and we will continue to call on him for his insights. Our programs for 2006 are described elsewhere in HydroVisions, and online. Keep checking our website at http://www. grac.org for the latest on our programs and schedules. As a volunteer organization, GRA is what you make it. I encourage you to get involved and participate in planning GRA's events, whether at the branch or statewide level, and I welcome your questions and suggestions. Please feel free to contact me at tmohr@grac.org, or at 408-265-2607x2051.



PQuIS for AreGIS extends ESRI's AreGIS with significant functionality not available out of the box, PQuIS for AreGIS supports data analy s in AreScene and AreMap. Visualize in AreScene using 'beach halls' or in AreMap using pic charts. EQuIS is used by over 100 clients in CA including several cities, counties, and WMDs managing tens of thousands of wells, boreholes, and analytical chemistry data. Connectivity to gINT, EVS, GMS and RockWorks provide superior graphics and visualization. Available in Access, SQL Server and Oracle, EQuIS can be hosted or licensed, so you never have to be a data hostage! Automate the delivery of reports and graphics'

Data Checking and Verification for GeoTracker, SEDD, ADR. EQUIS 4-File and EZ EDDs, NEDD. ERPIMS and more...



Combine FDP EQuIS with ADR for the world's excise and most powerful data checking and verification since of software. Over 400 labs or consultants use this, Closed loop checking and Interactive EDD repair within the privacy and security of your own desitop.

www.earthsoft.com

Nitrate in California's Groundwater: Are We Making Progress? — Continued from Page 3

less than 0.5% to solvent contamination, and that almost half of the groundwater contained in unconfined aquifers in Salinas Valley exceeded the drinking water MCL for nitrate. Perhaps even more alarming is the large fraction of public supply wells that do not exceed drinking water limits for nitrate, but nevertheless have elevated nitrate concentrations and can be considered to be "impacted" by anthropogenic activities. One-third of wells in the State, one half of wells in Santa Clara County, and two thirds of wells in Stanislaus County have reported maximum nitrate levels of between 18 and 45 mg/L as NO₃, clearly impacted but below the MCL. How should these basins be managed to prevent loss of this water supply to nitrate contamination in the future?

Cities such as Fresno and Davis, which are in agricultural areas and rely solely on groundwater for their drinking water supply, manage nitrate contamination using a range of options from blending or treating water supplies to abandonment of wells. Onsite wastewater treatment systems, and municipal and industrial point sources, generally account for a small fraction of nitrogen inputs in most affected watersheds but may play a large role on a local scale. Regulators grapple with how best to influence the largest sources - fertilizer and manure applications. New waste discharge guidelines for irrigated agriculture and animal farming are being sought to better protect groundwater quality. Fertilizer use has not increased since the 1980s and averages approximately 600,000 tons per year with much of the fertilizer being used on 6 million acres of irrigated farm land in California. Land applications from animal farming are on the order of 60,000 tons per year. The agricultural community is improving irrigation and fertilizer management practices, particularly in crops with high nitrogen usage. Are current best management practices sufficient to protect groundwater? Should implementation of best management practices be monitored by the state or is local agency control or selfmonitoring more efficient? How would such monitoring be best implemented and what are cost-effective reporting requirements?

In the meantime, scientists are employing new methods to characterize nitrate sources, including isotopic techniques and examination of co-contaminants, and are using sophisticated models for predicting nitrate fate and transport and assessing aquifer vulnerability. Is the current spread of nitrate the legacy of past management practices, or only the tip of the iceberg? For an in-depth update on these issues and questions, please join us in Modesto on April 4th and 5th where symposium topics will include:

- Nitrate occurrence in private domestic wells in shallow aquifers
- Impact of changes in land use (e.g., agricultural to urban) on nitrate source loading to aquifers
- Impact of artificial recharge on nitrate mobilization and denitrification
- Studies at the intersection of nitrate and salinity
- Watershed-based monitoring programs and TMDLs
- Best management practices for minimizing impacts to groundwater
- Optimal monitoring schemes
- Regional and long term risk assessment in deeper aquifers
- Implementation of Waste Discharge Requirements and Waivers for agricultural operations and irrigated lands
- Managing nutrients from food processing and dairy operations to minimize impacts to groundwater
- Advances in treatment technology and remediation of nitrate-impaired groundwater
- Nitrate management plan case studies & industry initiatives (e.g. California Dairy Quality Assurance Program)

For a complete agenda and information on registration, please go to www.grac. org.

Wells and Words - Continued from Page 5

other words, the cone of depression has prematurely stopped expanding due to this recharge boundary, providing an $SC_{24 \text{ hour}}$ that is greater than expected for the aquifer. It should be noted that an observation well is needed to accurately determine the pumping well efficiency.

Observation well and recovery data independently corroborate the results from the pumping well. The data collected from a properly situated and constructed observation well and recovery data from the pumping well are "cleaner" and less impacted by temporary (and sometimes uncontrollable) fluctuations in the discharge of the pumping well, especially for low-yield aquifers (see Figure 1). The timedrawdown curve for the pumping well on Figure 1 reflects small, but relevant, changes in discharge throughout the test ranging between 7.4 and 7.8 gpm, while the observation well does not "see" the fluctuations. The change in apparent slope between 100 and 300 minutes in the pumping well is likely due to a small incremental increase in discharge (from 7.5 gpm to 7.7 gpm) rather than an aquifer boundary.

Conducting a reliable and analyzable pumping test in low-yield aquifers with either primary or secondary porosity (i.e., fractures) requires that the aquifer be pumped at a realistic, constant, and measurable discharge for several hours, extending beyond casing storage. In addition, water level measurements during the pumping test must be collected systematically with a high degree of accuracy. Observation wells that respond clearly during a pumping test are highly desirable for a complete description of the aquifer parameters.

David W. Abbott is with Todd Engineers in Emeryville.

Sacramento Legislative Update - Continued from Page 6

In addition to the Governor's bond proposals, Senator Don Perata, President pro Tempore of the Senate, introduced SB 1024 at the end of last year. It is a \$10.275 billion infrastructure bond measure that includes \$1 billion to evaluate, strengthen and improve designated levees and \$200 million for flood control, but contains no other water supply or infrastructure funding. Assembly Speaker Fabian Nunez has also introduced a bill for infrastructure financing, AB 1783, in an unspecified amount expressing his priorities for bond funding. Assembly Republican Leader Kevin McCarthy has introduced ACA 27 which would constitutionally require that 1% of general fund revenues be spent on capital outlay. The Assembly Republicans introduced ACA 27 to make the political statement that they believe that infrastructure should be funded on a pay as you go basis. The final work product will be the result of extensive negotiations between the Big 5 – the Governor and Leadership of the Senate and Assembly.

These bond measures are consistent with recent expressions of Legislative priorities and differ from those identified in the Governor's investment package. An Infrastructure Bond Conference Committee (Conference Committee) has been

established to deal with all facets of the bond proposal, chaired by Senator Murray and including Senators Chesbro and Hollingsworth and Assembly Members Laird, Chu and Keene.

Assembly WPW held two hearings last week on the subjects of flood management and parks and wildlife. Public testimony will be taken at the upcoming Senate NRWC, scheduled for four meetings in January and February; the Chair has requested that witnesses address the "big picture" and transmit "line item" issues separately in writing.

At this time the Legislature is working to have a bond proposal on the June 2006 ballot. However, while there is significant bipartisan support to move an infrastructure bond forward this year, the ultimate content of the measure that will emerge is highly uncertain. Of particular interest to GRA members is that the Governor's budget proposes an additional \$8.5 million (\$4.5 million in federal funds and \$4 million in Waste Discharge Permit funds) to enhance existing water monitoring efforts by the State Water Resources Control Board.

Other Water and Groundwater Bills.

Well Log Legislation. GRA met last week with Suzanne Reed, Chief of Staff to Assembly Member Carol Liu, on the subject of well log legislation. It was agreed that, rather than introducing legislation this year, Assembly Member Liu would facilitate ongoing discussions with CGA to determine areas of agreement with GRA. (See results of water well log poll on page 6.)

Given that this is the second year of the session, January has been spent addressing "two-year" bills (bills that were unable to move last year when they were introduced). The extensive, FAST TRACK hearings on the bonds have distracted legislators from working on bills from last year. The deadline for introducing new *legislation this year is February 24th*. One bill we are likely to see again this year is SB 820 (Kuehl). One of the most watched bills of last year, SB 820 was vetoed by Governor Schwarzenneger, but Senator Kuehl has indicated that she intends to reintroduce the bill this year. Senator Kuehl is GRA's invited "focus" speaker at this year's Legislative Symposium and Lobby Day on March 29, 2006 - mark your calendars and don't miss a great opportunity to learn more about this exciting year in the Capitol! Hatch & Parent will be monitoring closely all bills as they are introduced during February and report to GRA's Legislative Committee.

Chris Frahm and Paul Bauer of the firm of Hatch & Parent are GRA's legislative advocates in Sacramento. If you would like to know more about any of these issues, please contact Chris or Paul at (916) 441-1232 or cfrahm@hatchparent.com or pbauer@hatchparent.com.

ResonantSonic Drilling Services From DPT Drilling Service Worldwide Resonant Sonic[®] INTERNATIONAL ResonantSonic.com to HSA Woodland (530) 668-2424 Alameda (510) 532-2424 ∇ Geoprobe 5400's, 6600, AMS Poweprobe 9600, CME-75 HT, and SONIC DRILL RIGS

220 N. East St. 7 Woodland, CA 95778 7 Ph: (530) 689 2424 7 East (533) 688 2429



⊽ Well Development & Abandonment. ∇ Air Knife & Concrete Coring

2005 ACWA Fall Conference — Groundwater Highlights — Continued from Page 9

solvent, perchlorate, and NDMA contamination from the Aerojet Facility in Sacramento County. Groundwater plumes have migrated beneath the American River, impacting the District's water supply wells. Having seen the years and high costs of litigation and failure of the Superfund process to adequately address water supply, the Districts instead built on the collaborative efforts of the regional Water Forum Agreement. The Districts and Aerojet have worked jointly to avoid litigation and find solutions to supply well impacts and avoid the export of the basin's groundwater for treatment and discharge south of the River.

The session on "Managing Salinity in California's Groundwater Basins" was moderated by Sargeant Green of Tranquility Irrigation District, and focused on a growing problem of many California groundwater basins (and the downfall of many prehistoric civilizations). The Livermore /Amador Valley, Santa Ana River Watershed, and San Joaquin Valley served as case studies of salinity problems and management approaches (both successful and unsuccessful).

The ACWA Groundwater Committee meeting focused on the groundwater sections of Senator Kuehl's mega-water bill, SB 820, which the California Legislature passed but was vetoed by Governor Schwarzenegger (the veto letter is available online at www.grac.org/SB820 veto.pdf). Dennis O'Connor, Committee Consultant, California Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee, solicited input from the Groundwater Committee on the bill, which will be reintroduced in the 2006 session. One objective of the bill was to identify basins in overdraft, but the bill's requirement for statewide groundwater extractions reporting contributed to its demise. Alternative methods to meet this objective, such as requiring water level monitoring and reporting, elicited heated debate from Committee members. Suggestions may be submitted to Dennis at dennis.oconnor@sen.ca.gov.

Finally, the concluding session on "The Local Groundwater Management Assistance Grant Program: Case Studies of Success," highlighted some successes of DWR's AB303 program in an attempt to build support for continuation of this beneficial program.

ACWA's Spring 2006 Conference is May 9-12 in Monterey.

Martin Steinpress, P.G., C.HG., is a GRA Director and the Communications Chair, and an ACWA Groundwater Committee Member (msteinpress@brwncald. com).

Jon Rohrer, P.G., C.HG., is a Senior Hydrogeologist with WorleyParsons Komex in Southern California (jrohrer@losangeles. komex.com).

Groundwater Professionals Fill Vital Role in Public Awareness –

Continued from Page 9

If you'd like to try some public awareness but have little time, don't try to reinvent the wheel. A variety of tools and information are at www.wellowner.org and www.ngwa.org. NGWA's Awareness Week Web page can be accessed through both sites. From this Web page, you can access radio spots, Clip and Copy articles, a sample news release, a sample letter-tothe-editor, a flier and a poster.

As groundwater professionals, consider the unique knowledge you possess and take to heart this challenge to share it; you can make a difference!



Sacramento Branch Highlights

R

A

H

R

BY PAT DUNN, SACRAMENTO CHAPTER VICE PRESIDENT

n October, the Sacramento Branch had a special dinner meeting in association with the 25th Biennial Groundwater Conference. Ms. Beth Parker presented a talk entitled "CHLORINATED SOL-VENT SOURCE ZONES AND PLUMES SANDY AQUIFERS: OPENING IN THE BLACK BOX." Organic contaminant plumes in sandy aquifers are common and are typically caused by immiscible-phase liquids, either LNAPLs or DNAPLs, residing below the water table in areas known as "source zones." There are various reasons to acquire detailed subsurface information about these sources. This talk described examples from experimental and industrial sites where chlorinated solvent contamination is present in sandy aquifers. A suite of field investigation methods aimed at determining detailed subsurface contaminant distributions produces thousands of samples from the groundwater zone at each study site and it is cost-effective because the field protocols are streamlined and rapid on-site quantitative contaminant analysis techniques are used. The field applications of the method reveal that sampling at such detailed scale is necessary in the source zones to locate and determine the thickness of DNAPL zones and also zones with large sorbed-phase mass, and in plumes to locate the high contaminant flux zones. The use of CPT and associated direct-push in situ chemical analysis techniques are helpful, but they require verification and calibration against the quantitative measurements on core samples.

A

In November, Michael Caurant of Dealey-Renton & Associates (DRA) delivered a very timely talk entitled "BUSI-NESS RISK MANAGEMENT." Mr. Caurant presented the following topics: 1) Insurance for Professionals - Coverages and Needs, 2) What Clients Should Expect/Broker Services, and most importantly, 3)Your Contract - The First Line of Defense; Risk Management and Key Contract clauses. Due to the particular importance of contracts, the majority of the talk covered contracts (professional liability and indemnification clauses).

In December, GRA shared our branch meeting date with the Association of Environmental/Engineering Geologists (AEG). Mr. James Chatters of AMEC presented a talk entitled, "FIRST LOOK AT THE PALEOCOLOGY OF THE FAIRMEAD LOCAL FAUNA." Paleontological salvaging has been ongoing at the Fairmead Landfill for 12 years, resulting in the recovery of an impressive array of mammalian, avian, and reptilian fossils. Approximately 800 of the more than 3500 identifiable specimens have been classified, leading to an initial understanding of the makeup of the mammalian community of Central California during Irvingtonian times. The sense one gets from this largely attritional, carnivore-mediated assemblage is of an American Serengeti, dominated by herds of horses, camels, and mammoths. These magafauna were preyed upon by dire wolves, scimitar cats and saber tooths, while cheetahs ran down early pronghorns. Giant sloths, like Africa's rhinos, wandered at will, and dwarf pronghorns cowered in the bush. Jim Chatters is currently Senior Archaeologist and Paleontologist with AMEC Earth and Environmental in Kirkland, Washington.

S

The GRA Sacramento Branch and Director Brian Lewis are pleased to announce a recent contribution of \$1,000 to the CSU Sacramento College of Natural Sciences and Mathematics.



San Francisco Bay Branch Highlights

R

A

ſ.

H

R

BY BILL MOTZER SF SECTION GRA SECRETARY

November 2005 Meeting

Sixty-seven members, non-members and students attended the meeting. Dr. Driscoll's presentation topic was: *Protecting Your Professional Reputation in a Time of Decreasing Ethical Behavior: It is Up to You!* Dr. Driscoll, the author of *Groundwater and Wells*, gave several examples from his career of questionable behavior that greatly increased the time and expense of environmental cleanups and other projects.

December 2005 Meeting

A

Dr. Beth L. Parker was our speaker for the December 7, 2005 meeting at the Ramada Plaza Hotel in San Francisco. Held in conjunction with GRA's DNAPL Source Zone Remediation and Characterization Symposium, it had the year's best attendance, with 160 members and non-members including participants from the People's Republic of China, Taiwan, New Zealand, Australia, and Canada. Dr. Parker currently is Research Associate Professor at the Department of Earth Sciences, University of Waterloo. Her talk, titled: Nature of Chlorinated Solvent Source Zones in Sandy Aquifers: Insights from Field Studies, was based on investigations that she and her graduate students have done in the past 10 years in chlorinated solvent dense non aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs).

Dr. Parker's studies showed that almost all chlorinated solvent contamination to

groundwater can be attributed to DNAPL sources. Experiments, at the Borden, Ontario, Canada site and other industrial sites in the U.S. and Canada, involving complex DNAPL and dissolved solvent zones show that many can not be detected by conventional monitoring wells and sampling. Considerable solvent masses may remain as both sorbed and dissolved components in down gradient plumes decades after the main contaminant source has been removed. Many of the studied sites from the 1950s through 1970s have gone through considerable changes since initial contamination; this results in difficult identification of original DNAPL source zones and in more complex and expensive remediation methods.

S

The short space for this summary can not dojustice to Dr. Parker's excellent slides. A few of her DNAPL-related publications are listed on her website, http://www. waterloodnapl.com/dnapl_beth_parker. htm.

Aggressive Cleanup Requires a Radical Solution.

Achieve site closure

In significantly less time.

At a significantly lower cost.

> Cabinet Configuration (P-100C)



Trailer Configuration (P-1007)

PulseOx In-Situ Chemical Oxidation

Advanced Palsing Technology. Aggressive Contaminant Destruction.



 Multiple exident derivery (ozone loxygen laid and hydrogen beroxide).

Powerful ozone output;

- Several equipment sizes for large or small sites.
- Sophialicated PLC control, O T, and call-out alarm.

Applied Process Technology, Inc. 300 V neart Road, Suite 222, Pleasa & Hill, CA 94520 (925) 977-1811 (SSec 307-2749) Juz (925) 977-1818 www.neconsec.com/infe@sp.wate.com/

Attend our isobnical presentation on PulseOx at The 16" Annual AEHS West Coast Conference on Sols. Sediments, and Weter. www.eehe.com Merch 13" - 16", 2008. Mercht Masion: Valley, San Diego, CA

Seventh Annual CCGO Sacramento Drive-in!

alifornia Council of Geoscience Organizations Delegates will drive, fly, and hitchhike (or at least share a ride) to our state capitol from all over California to attend the 7th Annual CCGO Sacramento Legislative Drive-in (Lobby Day) on Tuesday March 7, 2006. The purpose of the CCGO Drive-In is to bring attention to the need for regulations and laws requiring high-quality geologic work for public protection, and to fund the appropriate state and local programs that are needed or mandated by the government. As part of the outreach, CCGO plans to meet with many legislators, as well as policy makers. We request that interested parties contact Jim Jacobs (augerpro@sbcglobal. net) to arrange to be a part of the 7th Annual Sacramento Drive-In.

Jim Jacobs is a hydrogeologist and principal of Environmental Bio Systems in Mill Valley, CA.

GRA Requests Nominations for Lifetime Achievement Award and "Kevin J. Neese Award" — Continued from Page 10

Previous Lifetime Achievement Award winners:

- ▲ 2005 Dr. Luna P. Leopold
- 🌢 2004 Dr. John Bredehoeft
- 2003 Rita Schmidt Sudman
- 2002 Tom Dibblee
- 2001 Carl Hauge
- 🌢 2000 Joseph H. Birman
- 1999 David Keith Todd
- ▲ 1998 Eugene E. Luhdorff, Jr.

Kevin J. Neese: recognizes significant accomplishment by a person or entity within the most recent 12-month period that fosters the understanding, development, protection and management of groundwater.

Previous Kevin J. Neese Award winners include:

2004 - California Department of Water Resources for publication in 2003 of its updated Bulletin 118: "California's Groundwater"

TO ADVERTISE IN HYDROUISIONS CALL 916-446-3626 TODAY HYDRO VISIONS 2006 Advertising Rates						
4 Issues Annually						
Blue Billitte cost per Issue	1	40				
Rusiness Cant	80	BLOC MB				
14 page	16(0	ISLOC MB				
1°2 page	365.00	880.00 MB				
Eul page	2010	KID OF MR				
Editor admenta anno 18 anno 17 anno 18						

- 2002 Glenn County Water Advisory Committee for formulating a significant groundwater management ordinance that was adopted by the Glenn County Board of Supervisors
- 2001 American River Basin Cooperating Agencies and Sacramento Groundwater Authority Partnership for fostering the understanding and development of a cooperative approach to regional planning, protection and management of groundwater

Remember that nominations are due June 1 2006, so get them in early to Brian Lewis at admin@grac.org!

Corrections to Winter 2005 HydroVisions

On page 1, the article on the Basin Recharge and Overdraft Workshop Highlights was actually written by Eric Reichard (it was incorrectly attributed to Tim Parker.) Eric is Research Hydrologist-Program Chief with the U.S. Geological Survey in San Diego (619) 225-6134) and a Director of GRA.

On page 5 of the Winter 2005 issue of HydroVisions, the last paragraph of Bill Motzer's Perchlorate Forensics article should have read: "Chlorineoxygen isotope forensics has *now* differentiated anthropogenic ClO_4^- from solid rocket fuel sources and geogenic ClO_4^- in Chilean nitrate fertilizers and west Texas groundwater."

On page 13 of the Winter 2005 issue of HydroVisions, it was erroneously stated that the GRA Board elected 2006 officers at the November 12, 2005 meeting. The Board in fact selected the slate of nominees for the voting by all GRA members, which has since occurred.

Central Coast Branch e-mail: cc.branch@grac.org

R

R

A

N

ſ

President: Brad Herrema Hatch and Parent (805) 882-1493 bherrema@hatchparent.com

Vice President: Bill O'Brien Kennedy/Jenks (805) 658-0607 billobrien@kennedyjenks.com

Secretary: Randy Dean CH2M Hill (805) 371-7817, ext. 24 randy.dean@ch2m.com

Treasurer: Sam Schaefer SAIC (805) 564-6155 samuel.w.schaefer@saic.com

Sacramento Branch e-mail: rshatz@geiconsultants.com

President: Steve Phillips USGS (916) 278-3002 sphillips@usgs.gov

Vice President: Pat Dunn Jacobson Helgoth Consultants (916) 985-3353 pfdunn@pacbell.net

Secretary: Steve Lofholm Golder Associates (916) 786-2424 slofholm@golder.com

Treasurer: David Von Aspern (916) 920-0573 dvajet@aol.com

Member at Large: Harold Duke CA-DTSC (916) 255-3695 bduke@dtsc.ca.gov

Member at Large: John Ayres CDM (916) 567-9900 ayresjw@cdm.com

San Francisco Bay Branch

ſ.

Λ

e-mail: sf.branch@grac.org

President: Mary Morkin Geomatrix (510) 663-4111 mmorkin@geomatrix.com

Vice President: Bill Motzer Todd Engineers (510) 595-2120 bmotzer@toddengineers.com

Secretary: Katrin Schliewen LFR Levine • Fricke (510) 595-9637 katrin.schliewen@lfr.com

Treasurer: David Abbott Todd Engineers (510) 595-2120 dabbott@toddengineers.com

South Bay Coordinator: Mark Wheeler Crawford Consulting (408) 287-9934 mark@crawfordconsulting.com

Technical Advisory Member: Jim Ulrick Ulrick & Associates (925) 376-3721 julrick@ulrick.com

Technical Advisory Member: Brian Turner Geomatrix (510) 663-4100 bturner@geomatrix.com

> Past President: Mary Morkin Malcolm Pirnie (510) 735-3032 mmorkin@pirnie.com

San Joaquin Valley Branch

S

A

ſ

e-mail: wpipes@geomatrix.com

President: Bill Pipes Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. (559) 264-2535 wpipes@geomatrix.com

Vice President: Tom Haslebacher Kern County Water Agency (661) 871-5244 thaslebacher@bak.rr.com

Secretary: Mary McClanahan California Water Institute (559) 278-8468 mmcclana@csufresno.edu

Treasurer: Christopher Campbell Baker Manock & Jensen (559) 432-5400 clc@bmj-law.com

Technical Advisory Member: Barbara Houghton

Houghton HydroGeolgic, Inc. (661) 398-2222 barbara@houghtonhydro.com

Technical Advisory Member: Gres Issinghoff RWQCB, Central Valley Region (559) 488-4390 issinghoffg@r5f.swrcb.ca.gov

Technical Advisory Member: Bruce Myers RWQCB, Central Valley Region (559) 488-4397 myersb@r5f.swrcb.ca.gov

Southern California Branch

President: Peter Murphy Kennedy Jenks Consultants (949) 261-1577 petermurphy@kennedyjenks.com

> Vice President: Position Open

Treasurer: Emily Vavricka DPRA (760) 752-8342 emily.vavricka@dpra.com

Dates & Details

GRA MEETINGS AND KEY DATES

(Please visit www.grac.org for detailed information, updates, and registration unless noted)

GRA Brince	Course iples of Groundwater	March 8-10, 2006 Irvine, CA	GRA Course Vadose Zone Modeling	May 10-12, 2006 Redwood City, CA
Mode	ang & Transport Flow		GRA Symposium	June 7-8, 2006
▲ GRA	Legislative Symposium	March 29, 2006 Sacramento, CA	Emerging Contaminants	Concord, CA
GRA	Symposium	April 4-5, 2006		
Nitra Grou Makin	te in California's ndwater: Are We ng Progress?	Modesto, CA	GRA 15th Annual Meeting	September 21-22, 2006 San Diego, CA



GROUNDWATER RESOURCES ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA 915 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, California 95814 Presorted First Class Mail U.S. Postage Paid Sacramento, CA Permit No. 316