## An Ecological Study of American Ginseng (*Panax quinquefolius* L.) in the Missouri Ozark Highlands:

#### Effects of Herbivory and Harvest and Wild Simulated Cultivation

#### Susan Farrington<sup>1</sup>, Rose-Marie Muzika<sup>1</sup>, Tiffany Knight<sup>2</sup>, and Dan Drees<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Missouri-Columbia Department of Forestry <sup>2</sup>Washington University, St. Louis, MO <sup>3</sup>Missouri Department of Conservation, Eminence, MO





## Presentation outline:

- Part I: Seed germination trials
- Part II: Effects of herbivory by whitetailed deer
- Part III: Effects of harvest
- Part IV: Time for seedling to mature
- Part V: Distribution of stages in 18 ginseng populations

Part I – Determining optimal sowing depth

McGraw (2000) found best sowing depth in West Virginia to be 2 cm.

Seeds sown in four subsections of the Ozark Highlands in Franklin County, Osage County, Boone County and Maries County.

Sites chosen were northeast facing slopes (20% to 40%) with canopy closure of 80% or more.

Seeds were sown in Nov 2003 and Nov 2004.

Plots were monitored weekly from mid April to late May in 2004 and 2005.

# Five quarter meter plots were established at each location.

Stratified seeds were sown at 7 treatment depths: 0 cm to 6 cm.

Treatment depth was assigned using a randomized Latin square.

2	1	5	3	4	0	6
6	5	2	0	1	4	3
0	6	3	1	2	5	4
5	4	1	6	0	3	2
4	3	0	5	6	2	1
1	0	4	2	3	6	5
3	2	6	4	5	1	0

49 seeds were sown in each quarter meter square (= 245 per location and 980 total).

Seeds were spaced 8 cm apart in each direction.

#### Results of ANOVA\* 2003 Cohort

Source	df	SS	MS	F	р
Location	3	1.29	0.431	5.61	0.00012
Depth	6	3.84	0.639	8.33	<.0001
Loc * Depth	18	2.97	0.165	2.15	0.0077
Column	24	1.57	0.066	0.85	0.6624
Row	24	1.72	0.072	0.93	0.5562
Error	120	20.6			

\*Germination proportions were arcsine square-root transformed

#### Mean germination of 2003 cohort at 4 locations



#### **Treatment depth**

#### Results of ANOVA\* 2004 Cohort

Source	df	SS	MS	F	p
Location	3	1.77	0.593	7.10	0.0002
Depth	6	6.25	1.042	12.5	<.0001
Loc * Depth	18	2.36	0.131	1.57	0.0776
Column	24	2.71	0.112	1.36	0.1446
Row	24	2.30	0.096	1.15	0.3031
Error	120	25.4			

\*Germination proportions were arcsine square-root transformed

#### Mean germination of 2004 cohort at 4 locations



**Treatment depth** 

### Average total germination at different depths

Depth	Missouri	West Virginia*
0 cm	51.8%	10.0%
1 cm	82.1%	-
2 cm	82.9%	75.4%
3 cm	81.1%	-
4 cm	74.6%	47.3%
5 cm	71.4%	_
6 cm	63.6%	25.8%
8 cm	-	1.7%
10 cm	-	1.5%

\* McGraw 2000

## Conclusions

Best germination occurs between 1 and 3 cm (~1 inch).

If slope is not too steep and aspect is not too dry, growers sowing large amount of seeds may find broadcasting worthwhile.

## Part II:

# Effects of herbivory by white-tailed deer on population dynamics of American ginseng

Ginseng is not a preferred browse species: Individual leaves ("prongs") may be browsed while others are left behind.





# Nonetheless, ginseng suffers substantial deer browse

Y Y



Few previous studies have used matrix population analysis to evaluate the effects of deer herbivory on plants (but see Rooney and Gross 2003,Knight 2004 and McGraw 2005)

## **Research Questions**

- 1. Do deer preferentially browse larger plants?
- 2. Are browsed plants more likely to regress in size in the year following browse?
- 3. Are browsed plants more likely to remain dormant in the year following browse?
- How does browsing affect ginseng's population dynamics (growth rate (λ), stable stage distribution, and elasticity)?

# Methods

- 6 populations located within a 28 km<sup>2</sup> area on public land in east central Missouri
- Populations numbered from 25
  to 200 plants and totaled 644
  individually marked plants
- Monitoring began in 1998



Engraved aluminum nails identify each stem



### Data recorded for each plant:

Height # of leaves # of leaflets # pedicels # fruit # seeds damage and cause of damage



Ragged torn stem indicating deer browse

## Invertebrate herbivory

#### 2.7% of the population was dormant in any given year



#### Dormant bud and viable root

Each ring on neck represents one year's growth

100 year old root from Catskill Mountains (Photo by Sylvan Botanicals http://www.catskillginseng.com)

### Average annual vital rates 1999-2005







Seedling mortality = 16.8%



1-leaf mortality = 12.0%



2-leaf mortality = 4.3%

3-leaf mortality = 3.2% (4.9% if poached plants included)



#### No 4-leaf plants died during



## **Construction of Demographic Matrix Model**



								8.65		
	Avera	ne						2.71		
								454		
	Iransi	tion	Matr	IX			C	.58 .61	.77	.43
	1000_2	2005			S	eed <sup>085</sup> seedli	ing .81, 1-lea	af .28 2-leaf	26, 3-leaf .04	4-leaf
	1999-2	2003				$\neg$		.06 .1	.51	T
							.02 .0	2 .03 .45 .0	.03	
									• Dormant •	/.03
				Y ea	ari				.10	
		seed	seedlin	g 1 lea	f 2 leaf	3 leaf	4 leaf	dormant		
	seed	0	0	0	0.45	2.71	8.63	0		
	seedling	0.09	0	0	0	0	0	0		
~ '	Ŭ									
	1 leaf	0	0.81	0.58	0.06	0.00	0	0.28		
, ea	2 leaf	0	0.01	0.28	0.61	0.12	0.03	0.45		
$\succ$	3 leaf	0	0	0 00	0 26	0 77	0 51	0 16		
	0 1001	Ŭ	Ŭ	0.00	0.20	0.77	0.01	0.10		
	4 leaf	0	0	0	0	0.04	0.43	0		
	dormant	0	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10		

# What is lambda $(\lambda)$ ???

The projected growth rate of a population when it has reached its stable stage distribution.

This assumes that the transition rates represented in the matrix model remain the same.

$$\lambda = e^{r}$$

When lambda is greater than 1...

the population is projected to be growing.

### Example: $\lambda = 1.03$

the population will increase by 3% each year

When lambda is less than 1...

the population is projected to be decreasing.

Example:  $\lambda = .97$ 

the population will decrease by 3% each year

**Elasticity values**: show the proportional effect of small changes to each transition rate on the population growth rate.

### Elasticity analysis

seed seedling 1 leaf 2 leaf 3 leaf 4 leaf dormant

seed	0	0	0	0	0.02	0.01	0
seedling	0.04	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 leaf	0	0.04	0.10	0.02	0	0	0
2 leaf	0	0	0.06	0.19	0.03	0	0
3 leaf	0	0	0	0.07	(0.31)	0.01	0
4 leaf	0	0	0	0	0.02	0.02	0
dormant	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	0	0

## LTRE (Life Table Response Experiment) Analysis:

Decomposes the observed differences in  $\lambda$  between two matrices based on the actual contribution of each vital rate.

# Traditional deer browse studies use deer exclosures...

Instead of physically excluding the deer, we used a matrix population analysis approach to separate unbrowsed plants from th ambient population:

#### Ambient matrix for total population 1999-2005.

	seed	seedling	1-leaf	2-leaf	3-leaf	4-leaf	dormant
seed	0	0	0	0.45	2.71	8.63	0
seedling	0.085	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-leaf	0	0.81	0.58	0.06	0.00	0	0.28
2-leaf	0	0.01	0.28	0.61	0.12	0.03	0.45
3-leaf	0	0	0.00	0.26	0.77	0.51	0.16
4- leaf	0	0	0	0	0.04	0.43	0
dormant	0	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10

#### 'No herbivory' matrix for unbrowsed population 1999-05.

	seed	seedling	1-leaf	2-leaf	3-leaf	4-leaf	dormant
seed	0	0	0	0.54	3.62	13.16	0
seedling	0.085	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-leaf	0	0.81	0.58	0.06	0.00	0	0.28
2-leaf	0	0.004	0.29	0.60	0.09	0	0.45
3-leaf	0	0	0.00	0.26	0.81	0.44	0.16
4-leaf	0	0	0	0	0.04	0.53	0
dormant	0	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10

Following method of Knight (2004)

Ambient matrix for total population 1999-2005.									
	seed	seedling	1-leaf	2-leaf	3-leaf	4-leaf	dormant		
seed	0	0	0	0.45	2.71	8.63	0		
seedling	0.085	0	0	0	0	0	0		
1-leaf	0	0.81	0.58	0.06	0.00	0	0.28		
2-leaf	0	0.01	0.28	0.61	0.12	0.03	0.45		
3-leaf	0	0	0.00	0.26	0.77	0.51	0.16		
4- leaf	0	0	0	0	0.04	0.43	0		
dormant	0	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10		
'No herbivory' matrix for unbrowsed population 1999-05.									
seed	0	0	0	0.54	3.62	13.16	0		
seedling	0.085	0	0	0	0	0	0		
1-leaf	0	0.81	0.58	0.06	0.00	0	0.28		
2-leaf	0	0.004	0.29	0.60	0.09	0	0.45		
3-leaf	0	0	0.00	0.26	0.81	0.44	0.16		
4-leaf	0	0	0	0	0.04	0.53	0		
dormant	0	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10		

## Bootstrapping

Original data set of transition pairs

Original data set sampled 1000 times with replacement, resulting in 1000 transition pairs Process repeated 1000 times to create 1000 data sets

McPeek and Kalisz (1993) and Caswell (2001)
# Bootstrapping

Each set of transitions was transformed into a transition matrix.

Analysis was run using program written by Dr. Tiffany Knight in Matlab (2002).

95% confidence interval was obtained by discarding lowest 2.5% and highest 2.5% estimates.

Damage by deer is significantly greater in larger plants (4, 3 and 2 leaf) than in smaller plants (p<.001, df=4).



## Timing of herbivory



# Early browsed plants are more likely to regress in size than unbrowsed plants ( $\chi^2$ =58.9, df=1, p<.001)



#### Smaller plants are more likely to die if totally browsed early in season ( $\chi^2$ =24.2, df=1, p<.001)



# Browsed plants are not more likely to go dormant in the year following browse ( $\chi^2=24.2$ , df=1, p<.001)



# Population growth rates ( $\lambda$ ) of ambient ginseng population 1999-2005.



#### Deer were counted by helicopter over snow...

## Helicopter deer counts Jan 1999 and Jan 2001



## Population growth rate ( $\lambda$ ) of ginseng declines



## Managed hunts conducted 2001 and 2002



## Ginseng population growth rate ( $\lambda$ ) increases



# Proportion of ginseng totally browsed by early June and ginseng population growth rate ( $\lambda$ )



Year

# Population growth rate of the ambient matrix and the 'no herbivory' matrix 1999-2005.



Error bars indicate the 95% confidence intervals.

Reproductive values of the ambient population compared to the unbrowsed population 1999 to 2005.



Stable stage distribution of the ambient population compared to the unbrowsed population 1999 to 2005.



#### Stage distribution of ginseng populations monitored 1998-2005.



# Elasticity of the ambient population matrix compared to the unbrowsed matrix 1999-05.



# Life Table Response Experiment (LTRE) analysis

	seed	seedling	1-leaf	2-leaf	3-leaf	4-leaf	dormant
seed	0	0	0	.001	.013	.005	0
seedling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-leaf	0	.0002	.0009	0003	0	0	0
2-leaf	0	0	0003	0011	009	0007	0
3-leaf	0	0	0	.0008	.017	0021	0
4-leaf	0	0	0	0	0007	0044	0
dormant	0	0	0	.0008	0009	0	0

# Life Table Response Experiment (LTRE) analysis



# Conclusions:

Even a non-preferred browse species can be negatively affected by high densities of deer. Deer density at this study site ranged from 5.3 to 14 deer/km<sup>2</sup> from 1999 to 2003 West Virginia study populations ranged from 15 to 49 deer/km<sup>2</sup> in 2002 (Furedi 2004)

Larger deer densities at this site could be expected to cause the ginseng population growth rate to decline.

Ginseng faces pressure from human harvesting (legal and illegal). Managing deer herds may assist in maintaining increasingly rare ginseng populations.

## How many deer is too many??

Our study site found ginseng maintained itself at 14 deer/km<sup>2</sup>, and grew at levels <14 deer/km<sup>2</sup>

## Part III: Effects of Harvest

18 poached roots (16 3-prong and 2 2-prong) represented 8.3% of all 3-leaf plants in combined populations,1.2% of all 2-leaf plants, and 3% of all plants

Poached plants were not included in previous analysis so that effects of deer herbivory are not confused with effects of harvest Effects of excluding or including 18 roots removed by poachers (3.0% of total population) in fall of 2004...



## Methods:

Stochastic simulation program\* randomly chooses one of 6 matrices (1999-00, 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03, 2003-04, or 2004-05)

Assumptions:

- Each environment is independent (no carry-over effects from one year to next)

- Each environment is identically distributed (has an equal probability of occurring)

50,000 simulations are run to produce the stochastic population growth rate ( $log\lambda_s$ )

\*program written by Tiffany Knight in Matlab (2002)

At selected intervals (1, 5 or 10 years), randomly chosen matrix is multiplied by diagonal harvest matrix



"Responsible" harvester VS.

Harvests in season

Digs carefully, causing minimal (up to 5%) collateral mortality to smaller plants

Plants seeds from harvested plants at 2 cm (increases recruitment)

#### "Irresponsible" harvester

Harvests out of season

Digs carelessly, causing considerable (up to 33%) collateral mortality to smaller plants

Seeds from harvested plants are removed (decreases fecundity)

# 38% of seeds fall before harvester arrives $\longrightarrow$ 8.5% germination (average rate)

62% of seeds are planted by responsible harvester → 75% germination\* OR

#### 62% of seeds are removed by irresponsible harvester → 0% germination

\*based on seed germination trials by McGraw (2000) and this study

# **Results:**

Maximum % of 3 leaf and 4 leaf plants that can be harvested (stochastic growth rate  $\ge$  1.00):

Harvest Frequency:	Seeds unaffected:	Seeds removed:	Seeds planted at 2 cm: Ambient No herbivory		
Annual	8%	0%	53%	65%	
Every 5 yrs	38%	27%	75%	99%	
Every 10 yrs	69%	58%	100%	100%	

# **Results:**

Maximum % of 3 leaf and 4 leaf plants that can be harvested (stochastic growth rate  $\ge$  1.00):

Seeds unaffected:	removed/ 33% collateral mortality:	Seeds planted at 2 cm/ 5% collateral mortality: Ambient No herbivory	
8%	0%	42%	52%
38%	0%	74%	95%
69%	24%	100%	100%
	Seeds unaffected: 8% 38% 69%	Seeds unaffected:removed/ 33% collateral mortality:8%0%38%0%69%24%	removed/ 33% collateral unaffected:Seeds plateral 5% collateral Ambient8%0%42%38%0%74%69%24%100%

Time required for a ginseng seedling to mature: Percent of plants in each stage class 1 to 7 years after seedling status













Percentage of plants producing flowers and seeds 1 to 7 years after seedling status



#### Number of seeds required to produce a 7 yr old plant

33 seeds Х 8.5% average recruitment rate 2.8 seedlings produced 36% survival rate to 7 years 1 plant that reaches 7 years of age

### **Assumptions:**

8 yr old plants are mature 3 leaf plants and 14 yr olds are mature 4 leaf plants (Zenger 1983)

#### Then... 2.7 seeds per year x 6 years = 16.2 seeds 8.6 seeds per year for 2 years = 17.2 seeds

#### Total = 33.4 seeds by age 15
#### Funding is needed to continue this longterm monitoring study...

# Ecological characterization of ginseng in the Missouri Ozark Highlands

Data collected: Slope, aspect, canopy closure, woody and herbaceous plant composition, soil analysis.

### Average distribution of stage classes in 18 ginseng sites in 13 Missouri counties



#### Distribution of stages in a harvested population\*



\*This population was illegally harvested 2 consecutive years prior to observation. Large overall population: many more plants located outside of .05 ha plot

#### Distribution of stages in 7 smaller populations Very few plants observed outside of plot



#### Distribution of stages in 10 larger populations Additional plants observed outside of plot



## Distribution of stages in a very large wild simulated population



### Acknowledgements

Dr. Rose-Marie Muzika, Thesis supervisor

Committee: Dr. Candace Galen Dr. Matthew Gompper Dr. Michael Gold Dan Drees Dr. Tiffany Knight Brian Wilcox Jody Miles Ken McCarty Dr. Mark Ellersieck Tim Smith Dr. Paul McKenzie Dennis Meinert Dennis Lindberg Alan Brant Kevin Hosman

and numerous seasonal field assistants

Funding provided by the University of Missouri Center for Agroforestry.

Support also provided by Missouri Department of Natural Resources and Missouri Department of Conservation.