| Aquatic Plant Water Lettuce  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| I. Current Status and Distribution Pistia stratiotes   |  |   |
| a. RANGE   | Global/Continental   | Wisconsin   |
| Native Range<br>Unknown, now pan-<br>tropical <sup>32</sup>  | PLATE<br>Proved of Counter<br>Proved | Population reported to have successfully wintered<br>for 3-4 years before a particularly strong winter<br>caused a population crash; Mississippi River<br>Found in Duck Creek, near Green Bay in 2002<br>did not overwinter <sup>31</sup>   |
|  | Recently reported in IL and AL ref:1   |   |
| Abundance/range<br>Widespread:<br>Locally Abundant:<br>Sparse:<br>Range expansion<br>Date introduced:<br>Rate of spread: | Among worlds worst weeds <sup>4</sup><br>Subtropical Florida <sup>4</sup><br>Impacted eutrophic warm systems<br>Temperate regions<br>Continental, Regional, Local<br>Soon after European settlement <sup>4</sup><br>Rapid <sup>4</sup>   | Pan-tropical species <sup>12</sup><br>Overwinters in Netherlands: Reinfests from<br>seeds each spring <sup>18</sup> ; survives harsh winters in<br>Slovenia by overwintering in thermal streams <sup>29</sup><br>Reports of several independent introductions<br>Does not overwinter well |
| '  |  |   |
| Density<br>Risk of monoculture:<br>Facilitated by:   | Can reach 2,000 g/m <sup>2</sup> in one season <sup>14</sup><br>Unknown  | Undocumented  |
| b. HABITAT   | Lakes, ponds, reservoirs, canals, slow-flow  | ing streams and rivers <sup>4</sup>   |
| Tolerance  | **increasingly dark color indicates increasingly optima  | ıl range  |
| Hardness <sup>30</sup> (KH)  | 0 5 10 15<br>inhibited   | d<br>20 25 30 35  |
| pH <sup>18,30</sup> (°C)   |  | 8 9 10 11   |
| Growth Temp <sup>27</sup>  | 5 10 15 20   | 25 30 35 40   |
| Germination temp <sup>18</sup> (°C)  | 5 10 15 20<br>** range determined by measurable photosynthetic ac  | ?   25 30   35 40   |
| Prefers:   | Polluted, impacted systems <sup>21</sup> , silty/muddy s   | substrates, clear shallow water, warm temps <sup>28</sup>   |
| c. REGULATION  |  |   |
| Noxious/regulated: <sup>1,5</sup>  | AL, CA, CT, FL, SC, TX, LA, MS, DE   |   |
| Minnesota:   | Not regulated (Although Ch84D.06 makes unlawfo   | ul any nonnative introduction.)   |
| Michigan:  | Not regulated  |   |
| Washington:  | Not regulated  |   |

| II. Establishment Potential and Life History Traits   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| a. LIFE HISTORY   | Free-floating monocotyledonous <sup>26</sup> perennial (but may act as annual) herb in the aroid family <sup>4</sup>   |  |
| Fecundity   | High   |  |
| Reproduction<br>Importance of seeds:<br>Vegetative:   | SexualAsexual <sup>4</sup> High (temperate) <sup>4</sup> Hydrosoil under mats holds 4,196 seeds/m2(15)1° means of expansion <sup>4</sup> $\leq$ 15 secondary rosettes/plant, $\leq$ 4 generations/stolon14   |  |
| Hybridization   | None documented  |  |
| Overwintering<br>Winter tolerance:<br>Phenology:  | Plant is frost intolerant <sup>4</sup> , but seeds will tolerate ice (-5°C) for several weeks <sup>18</sup><br>Emerges late relative to natives (may change with climactic shifts)   |  |
| b. ESTABLISHMENT  |  |  |
| Climate<br>Weather:<br>Wisconsin-adapted?:<br>50-yr climate change:                                     | Warm winters may allow spread<br>No; but could persist in in cold temperate climates by reinfesting from seed in spring <sup>4</sup><br>Likely to benefit species  |  |
| Taxonomic similarity<br>WI natives:<br>Other US exotics:  | Medium (family: Araceae, duckweeds and <i>Pistia</i> are monophyletic group)<br>Medium (family: Araceae, duckweeds and <i>Pistia</i> are monophyletic group)   |  |
| Competition<br>Natural predators:<br>Natural pathogens:<br>Competitive strategy:<br>Known interactions: | Neotropics: 21 insects, 14 of these weevils; 9 reported in Florida <sup>4</sup><br>Fungi: <i>Ramularia pistiae, R. aromatica, Cercospora pistiae</i> <sup>23</sup> , <i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i> <sup>24</sup> and others<br>Rapid growth rate, competitive exclusion (shading)<br>Outcompeted by water hyacinth; <sup>16</sup> coontail, EWM, <i>N. marina</i> , <i>T. natans</i> in their native ranges <sup>29</sup>  |  |
| Reproduction  |  |  |
| Rate of spread:<br>Adaptive strategies:   | High<br>Rapid clonal reproduction, floating rosettes can spread with slight current  |  |
| Timeframe   | Can reach 2,000 g/m <sup>2</sup> in one season <sup>14</sup>   |  |
| c. DISPERSAL  |  |  |
| Intentional:<br>Unintentional:<br>Propagule pressure:   | Aquarium trade, ornamental use, wastewater treatment <sup>11</sup><br>Wind, water, animal, human<br>Medium; fragments relatively easily accidentally introduced  |  |
| Forest & Kim Starr<br>USGS<br>www.forestryimages.org  | g   Content of the state o |  |

| III. Damage Potential  |   |  |
|------------------------|---|--|
| a. ECOSYSTEM IMPACTS   |   |  |
| Composition            | Disrupts submersed animal and plant communities <sup>5</sup>  |  |
|                        | Greatly reduces biological diversity (submersed and emersed plants) <sup>26</sup>   |  |
|                        | Likely that [DO] impacts cause fish kills <sup>4</sup>  |  |
|                        | Decrease in planktonic diversity <sup>22</sup>  |  |
| Structure              | Miniaturization of plankton volume <sup>22</sup>  |  |
|                        | Floating mats changes community architecture  |  |
|                        | Fish respond to change in architecture  |  |
| Function               | Increased siltation <sup>o</sup> , nutrient loading <sup>9</sup> , alkalinity <sup>11</sup> and thermal stratification <sup>10</sup> reduced DO <sup>11</sup> |  |
| Allelopathic effects   | Undocumented  |  |
| Keystone species       | Unknown   |  |
| Ecosystem engineer?    | Yes; dense canopy decreases light penetration, siltation, temperature   |  |
| Sustainability         | Unknown   |  |
| Biodiversity           | Decreases   |  |
| Biotic effects         | Planktonic structure, diversity decreases <sup>22</sup>   |  |
| Abiotic effects        | Decrease in [DO], pH and permanganate index <sup>22</sup>   |  |
|                        | Increase in siltation, transparency, nitrate, ammonium, TN, TP, total bacteria <sup>6,22</sup>  |  |
| Benefits               | Increases water clarity <sup>22</sup>   |  |
| b. SOCIO-ECONOMIC EFF  | FECTS   |  |
| Benefits               | Wastewater treatment <sup>19</sup>  |  |
| Caveats:               | Risk of release and population expansion  |  |
|                        | Favorable breeding ground for mosquitoes (not malarial species) <sup>20</sup>   |  |
| Impacts of restriction | Increase in monitoring, education, research costs; impacts green industry and recreation  |  |
| Negatives              | Blocks navigational channels <sup>5</sup>   |  |
|                        | Impedes water flow in irrigation and flood control canals <sup>5</sup>  |  |
|                        | Breeding ground for mosquitoes (disease vectors) <sup>4</sup>   |  |
|                        | Bioaccumulation of heavy metals <sup>4</sup>  |  |
| Expectations           |   |  |
| Cost of impacts        | Decreased recreational, aesthetic value, ecological integrity; increased research expenses  |  |
| "Eradication" cost     | Depends on level of infestation   |  |

| IV. Control and Prevention  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| a. PREVENTION               |  |  |
| Types of prevention:        | Education, monitoring, research<br>Watercraft inspection, distribution (ID) watch  |  |
| Annual cost:                | Watercraft inspection \$147,000 for all currently targeted species<br>Monitoring \$116,000 covers zebra mussels, EWM, CLP, waterfleas, blue-green algae, rusty crayfish<br>CBCW Volunteer program \$91,000 covers most large propagule-spread species<br>Researchcontract with University of Wisconsin runs \$22,000<br>Education \$106,000 for information, education and outreach efforts<br>AIS grants\$816,133 for education, early detection/rapid response and cost-shares |  |
| Detection                   |  |  |
| Crypsis:                    | Low <sup>26</sup>  |  |
| Benefits of early response: | High, to prevent prolific seed set crucial to survival in temperate zones  |  |
| b. CONTROL                  |  |  |
| Management goal             | Eradication  |  |
| Tool:                       | Hand pulling successful in New Zealand <sup>25</sup>   |  |
| Caveat:                     | Two deliberately planted small populations were quickly removed  |  |
| Caveat:                     | Many other regions do not report such success  |  |
| Management goal             | Nuisance relief  |  |
| Tool:                       | Noctuid moth: S. pectinocornis   |  |
| Caveat:                     | Populations establish, but fail to persist, restocking necessary <sup>4</sup>  |  |
| Tool:                       | Weevil: Neohydronomus affinis Hustache <sup>3</sup>  |  |
| Efficacy, time frame:       | Produces 90% declines, cyclical (long term suppression elusive)  |  |
| Tool:                       | Endothall  |  |
| Caveat:                     | Non-target plant species are negatively impacted   |  |
| Documented cost:            | Estimate total expenditures exceed \$1 million annually in Florida <sup>4</sup>  |  |

## **References:**

- 1. USDA, NRCS. 2007. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA. accessed 5.29.07: http://plants.usda.gov/java/nameSearch?keywordquery=pistia+stratiotes&mode=sciname
- 2. Anonymous. 2001. Weevils wipe out water lettuce weeds. Florida Grower. 94(1):46.
- 3. Mbati, G and P. Neuenschwander. 2005. Biological control of three floating water weeds, *Eichhornia crassipes, Pistia stratiotes,* and *Salvinia molesta* in the Republic of Congo. BioControl 50:635-645.
- 4. Dray, FA and TD Center. 2002. Chapter 5: Waterlettuce. *In* Van Driesche, R., et al., 2002, Biological Control of Invasive Plants in the Eastern United States, USDA Forest Service Publication FHTET-2002-04, 413 p.
- 5. Sculthorpe 1967; Attionu 1976; Bruner 1982; Sharma 1984 in ibid.
- 6. Sculthorpe 1967 in ibid.
- 7. Beumer 1980 in ibid.
- 8. Roback 1974 in ibid.
- 9. Schmitz et al. 1993 in ibid.
- 10. Sculthorpe 1967; Attionu 1976 in ibid.
- 11. Yount 1963; Attionu 1976; Sridhar and Sharma 1985 in ibid.
- 12. Sculthorpe 1967 and Holm et al. 1977 in ibid.
- 14.Dray and Center 1992 in ibid.
- 15. Dray and Center 1989 in ibid.
- 16. Tag el Seed 1978; Agami and Reddy 1990 in ibid.
- 17. Dray and Center 1003; Grodowitz et al. 1992 in ibid.
- Pieterse, AH, L Delange and L Verhagen. 1981. A Study of Certain Aspects of Seed Germination and Growth of *Pistia* stratiotes. Acta Botanica Neerlandica. 30(1-2): 47-58.
- 19. Zimmels, Y, F Kirahner and A Malkovskaja. 2006. Application of *Eichhornia crassipes* and *Pistia stratiotes* for treatment of urban sewage in Israel. Journal of Environmental Management. 81(4):420-428.
- 20. Kengne, IM, F Brissaud, A. Akoa, RA Eteme, J Nya, A. Ndikefor, T Fonkou. 2003. Mosquito development in a macrophyte-based wastewater treatment plant in Cameroon (Central Africa). Ecological Engineering. 21(1):53.
- 21. Ghavzan, JN, VR Gunale, DM Mahajan, DR Shirke. 2006. Effects of environmental factors on ecology and distribution of aquatic macrophytes. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 5(5): 871-880.
- 22. Leiming, Cai. 2006. Impact of floating vegetation in Shuikou impoundment, Minjiang River, Fujian Province. Hupo-Kexue. 18(3): 250-254.
- Silva, H, G Gonzaga, M Camargo and Fernando A. 2005. Interacoes ecologicas entre as macrofitas aquaticas flutuantes *Eichhornia crassipes* e *Pistia stratiotes*. Ecological interrelationships between floating aquatic and *Pistia stratiotes*. Hoehnea. 32(3): 445-452.
- 24. Waipara, NW, GW Bourdot, GA Hurrell. 2006. *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* shows potential for controlling water lettuce, alligator weed and wandering Jew. New Zealand Plant Protection. 59: 23-27.
- 25. Champion, PD and JS Clayton. 2003. The evaluatio nand management of aquatic weeds in New Zealand. Plant Invasions: Ecological Threats and Management Solutions. 429-434.
- 26. Unlisted. *Pistia stratiotes* L. University of Florida Sea Grant Pages, accessed 5/31/07: http://plants.ifas.ufl.edu/seagrant/pisstr2.html
- 27. Kasselmann 1995 in ibid.
- 28. Benson, AJ, CC Jacono, PL Fuller, ER McKercher, MM Richerson. 2004. Summary report of nonindigenous aquatic species in U.S. Fish and Wildlife service region 5. Prepared for: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arlington, VA.
- 29. Sajna, N, M Haler, S Skornik, M Kaligaric. 2007. Survival and expansion of *Pistia stratiotes* L. in a thermal stream in Slovenia. Aquatic Botany 87: 75-79.
- 30. Global Invasive Species Database, accessed 05.31.07: http://www.invasivespecies.net/database/species/ecology.asp?si=285&fr=1&sts=sss
- 31. Cleland, C. 2007. Personal communication.
- 32. Pacific Island Ecosystems at Risk (PIER) Plant Treats to Pacific Ecosystems. Accessed 11/2/07: http://www.hear.org/pier/species/pistia\_stratiotes.htm