
foundation regarding that. From Dr. Angel's prior testimony, we think that he has not demonstrated qualifications on that front.

With respect to records, and where to find records, we don't object on that ground.

THE COURT: Mr. Siemietkowski, do you want to qualify
the witness on the history of federal Indian relations?
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
(EDWARD ANGEL, DEFENDANT WITNESS, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:)

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY MR. SIEMETKOWSKI:
Q. Dr. Angel, what is your educational background, please?
A. I have a doctoral degree from the George Washington

University in American history.
Q. And what sort of work and study have you done in the area of federal Indian relations?
A. Since 1983, as a member of Morgan, Angel \& Associates --

THE COURT: Can you get a little closer to that
microphone, or get it closer to you please, sir?
THE WITNESS: Okay. Is this better?
THE COURT: Much better.
A. Since 1983, as a member of Morgan, Angel \& Associates, we've worked on a number of issues concerning Native Americans. I've testified in federal courts concerning Native American issues. In addition, I've taught American Indian policy at the George
Q. And identified maybe 20 or so landmark Indian law cases? That's correct.
Q. And you didn't have a sense of what those landmark Indian law cases held. Correct? And they were all Supreme Court cases. Correct?
A. That was correct. There were several of them. I don't recall if $I$ was able to answer one or two, but I didn't think of myself as, you know, an attorney or having that Indian law background, no.
Q. And Supreme Court cases, particularly in Indian Affairs, they're not important to know for Indian policy. Is that your --
A. They are important to know.
Q. So if you're an expert on Indian relations, you should know
something about the Supreme Court's cases and what they've held regarding Indian policy. Is that correct?
A. That's correct. And unfortunately, I forgot several of them.
Q. In fact, the vast majority of them. Correct?

## A. That's correct

Q. And with respect to -- do you recall your testimony that Indian -- the Indian community is similar to other communities in the United States? Do you recall that testimony, as far as historical policy towards them?
A. I believe what $I$ had said at the time was that what had generated my interest in Native American affairs was the fact -what originally generated my interest was the fact that
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immigrant communities coming to the United States in the 19th century faced many of the same issues and policies that the United States government was trying to impose on Native

Americans; that is, the effort to "Americanize" the Native
American, quote/unquote, the effort to Americanize the immigrants coming to the United States.

And I think what I had said is, you know, that's what generated my initial interest in Indian affairs.
Q. But you also agree that we went through a series of policies, starting from the late 19th century - do you recall that testimony - and you agreed with me at the time that only Indians went through many of these policies, such as allotment termination, reorganization, self-determination, and various others. Is that a fair statement?
A. Well, to a degree it's a fair statement, but I think the question was related to my initial interest in this. And, you know, initially what brought me -- what made me interested in this was events in the 19th century.

Then we went on to social events and property events and other historical events that, you're correct, other people did not have to go through. And I agreed fully with that, you know. Other European Americans didn't have to go through allotment.

But again, getting back to --
THE COURT: This is drifting off of qualifications and

22 Q. And how long have you worked as a contractor for Interior?
23 A. Since approximately 2001. 2001, 2002.
24 Q. In what capacity?
09:51:51 $\mathbf{2 5}$ A. Well, I've been working for the Office of Historical Trust
getting to substance. I mean, you may not agree with his views of history, Mr. Harper, but that doesn't disqualify him as an expert to testify.

MR. HARPER: Your Honor, my point was, if I may, that
Dr. Angel conceded, last time he was on the stand, that the notion that Indians somehow had similar policy effectuating them
as others was in fact erroneous. And I think that goes straight to his qualifications as to whether or not he's an expert to testify on Indian policy, as opposed to records.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow him to testify as an expert on the history of federal Indian relations. I'm not quite sure what that has to do, frankly, with the issues before me, but I will allow that under the rubric of Rule 702, finding that his knowledge will assist the trier of fact, that's me, to understand the evidence and determine a fact in issue.

Whether it's correct or not, what weight it has, can be determined later, and you can cross-examine on the merits.

## Thank you, Mr. Harper.

You may proceed, Mr. Siemietkowski.
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: As importantly, Your Honor, am I
clear in understanding that you're also qualifying the witness as an expert in federal Indian records, absent objection?

THE COURT: Yes, both proffered subjects.
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Thank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SIEMETKOWSKI:
Q. Dr. Angel, I want to start off talking with you about
throughput and your work with throughput data. Now, in a
moment, Dr. Angel, I'm going to ask you how you have gone about researching throughput data. But I want to first give the judge a bottom line up front, if you will.

If you had to sum up, in a bottom line up front, what you have found factually regarding the existence of throughput data, what would you say?
A. In general, I would say that Individual Indian Monies were kept on an individual level quite a bit with individual Indian money ledgers, things of that nature. And they were kept at an aggregate level; for example, total IIM, at the end of a fiscal year or a calendar year.

I have found some annual receipt and disbursement data for the entire fund, but that's been more the exception than the rule.
Q. Now, let's talk a little bit about how you came upon that finding. How long have you worked with Indian records generally, Dr. Angel?
A. Generally, since about 1983.

Accounting, as a historian.
Q. How long have you worked as a contractor for the Department of Justice?
A. Since 1983.
Q. And in what capacity?
A. As a consultant, expert witness.
Q. And where, Dr. Angel, have you researched Indian records?
A. I've researched Indian records at a number of places:

Largely at National Archives, the various branches, regional branches of the archives through the United States; I've researched at Federal Records Centers through the United States; a number of records repositories, like state historical societies; a number of libraries, including the Department of Interior library, Treasury Library, Library of Congress, General Accounting Office Library.
Q. Have you physically been to these locations?
A. Yes, physically I've been to these locations.
Q. Have you been to the American Indians Records Repository?
A. Yes, uh-huh
Q. What types of Indian records have you researched?
A. Well, I've researched -- our primary work has been in policy, public policy issues, and history issues. But in addition, I've worked with accountants in an effort to gather records that would either locate or actually physically gather records that would assist them with their accounting efforts.
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Q. What I would like to do now, Dr. Angel, is actually show the Court an example of a throughput record. And I would turn your attention to page one of Defense Exhibit 72. Do you that have that on your screen, Dr. Angel?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What is that, if you know?
A. That's a report by the Office of Survey and Review. That's a part of the Department of the Interior that handled audit operations for the entire department. And they're kind of the predecessor to the Office of Inspector General.
screen, Dr. Angel?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And what if anything is that telling you?
A. Well, here we have monies invested for both Tribal funds and Individual Indian Monies. You'll see on page 191, the second -thank you. The second column there, Individual Indian Monies in banks, $\$ 36,523,000$. Individual Indian Monies in U.S. securities, $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 , 8 2 0 , 0 0 0}$-plus. And those were figures that I've also used in my individual Indian money chart.

Now, this is dated as of June 30th, 1967.
MR. HARPER: Your Honor, we would object to the use of this exhibit, and move to strike the testimony. This is one of the exhibits that we identified quite awhile ago to the defendants had missing pages, and those pages have still not been provided to plaintiffs.

And what we have seen in these old records is that they have to be read in the proper context. And obviously, we don't have that context in light of the failure to provide a full copy.

MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I --
THE COURT: I'm not sure the full copy is any more accessible to the government than to the Indians at this point.

I don't know if a full copy exists.
I'm going to overrule the objection, and let it in for
side of page five of $D X-71$. Are you able to see that on your
what it's worth. You can certainly point out any
inconsistencies or omissions in your cross-examination.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. Dr. Angel, now, in this particular page as well as the
previous pages we just showed the Court, do you see any specific
evidence regarding receipts and disbursements as opposed to
year-end balances?
A. No. What I see is aggregate totals rather than receipts and disbursements.
Q. Now, what I would like to do is try to demonstrate,

Dr. Angel, for the Court an example of evidence of receipts and disbursements.

MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: And if I could have DX-259, please,
and specifically page one.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. What is that, if you know, Dr. Angel?
A. That's an annual Treasury Department report, the consolidated financial statements of the United States government. This is for 1997.
Q. And then if we move, Dr. Angel, to page three of DX-259, can you see that on your screen, Dr. Angel?
A. Thank you. Yes, I can.
Q. And what if any significance is this page of this report?
A. This is a message from the Secretary of the Treasury, at this point Robert Rubin. And he's noting that this report is
sort of a historic undertaking, as he says in the first paragraph. This is the United States government's first effort to produce an annual statement of both receipts and disbursements.
Q. And along those lines, I ask you to next take a look at page four of DX-259. And I draw your attention to the bottom right of that page. What if anything, Dr. Angel, is that page telling you?
A. This is U.S. government as trustee for Indian Trust funds, and they don't separate individual Indian from Tribal in this particular exhibit. It shows receipts and disbursements for the year, and it shows the year-end fund balance.
Q. And where is this document from, or where is it available, Dr. Angel?

THE COURT: That's a pretty round number. THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. A lot of them are,

Your Honor.
THE COURT: In billions.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, a lot of them are, Your Honor.
A. This document is from the GAO website. We got it online. I
assume it's at other places, as well. We were able to get it simply by going to Google and typing in the title of the report. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. And do you know whether, Dr. Angel, these reports, which apparently started in 1997, have continued to the present?

17 A. Yes, that's a chart that I prepared with assistance from

21 Individual Indian Money in banks, total held as collateral for 22 IIM. Quite often, banks would have to provide collateral for 23 the amount of IIM that they held. The total invested in federal
24 securities, that type of information.
10:03:02 25 Q. And as I ask Matthew to scroll through the rest of the
(OFF THE RECORD.)
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Yes, Your Honor, yes. The previous
document from 1997 was in summary form. The difference in this
document from 1998, as Dr. Angel has testified, is that it not
only provides receipts and disbursements, but it breaks it out
in Tribal funds versus individual funds.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, go ahead.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. Dr. Angel, let me move back, if I could, to evidence of
year-end balances, as opposed to evidence of receipts and
disbursements, what you're looking at now.
In your work, have you prepared any summary of the
various year-end balance research you've conducted?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And if I could, let me show you DX-94 please, and ask you if you recognize this. other people in my firm, showing total IIM when we could get those figures. And when we couldn't get total IIM, the various components that made up total IIM. For example, total
screens for the judge, I'll ask you, Dr. Angel, what sorts of records went into the compilation of this data?
A. We used Bureau of Indian Affairs reports, several of them, particularly for the earlier years, annual reports of the Commissioner of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. When appropriate, we used congressional documents. Quite often IIM was mentioned in hearings before the House Committee on Appropriations, like the exhibit that we referred to a little bit earlier.

I've used documents from the Bureau of Indian Affairs investment branch, documents from the General Accounting Office, documents -- publications from the United States Treasury, documents of that nature.

THE COURT: And your sources are all listed in the right-hand column. Is that right?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. That's our Morgan Angel document number. That's the document that would back up these figures, sir.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. And Dr. Angel, why does the chart --

THE COURT: Have plaintiffs been provided with these backup documents?

MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Yes, Your Honor. They're defense
exhibits. This is a point of contention which I anticipate plaintiffs to raise later when we move their admission, because
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for the most part they are in excerpted form, focusing on the throughput data, and not focusing on the other, what we believe is not relevant data. And I will have Dr. Angel address that in his testimony.

THE COURT: Proceed.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. Why does the chart stop in 1985, Dr. Angel?
A. We were asked by our client, Department of Justice, just to simply stop it in 1985, because that's the beginning of the so-called electronic era. I believe the Court has heard about IRMS, the Integrated Resource Management System. That's the beginning of the so-called electronic era.
Q. And when did you actually begin developing the chart, Dr. Angel?
A. About 2000.
Q. Now, to be crystal clear, does the chart show receipts and disbursements for these various years?
A. No. It shows, when we can, year-end account balances. And it shows year-end account components, as well.
Q. Now, let me turn your attention back to the line 1968.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. The report pages we showed earlier to the Court were from 1968. Now I notice, Dr. Angel, if you take the column in total IIM in banks and the column in invested federal securities, add those two up, it's a slightly higher number than the total IIM

## number. Why would that be?

A. Well, there's a couple of reasons why that could be. First
of all, I believe that the number reported to Congress in
document 231, the House hearing, was as of June 30th, whereas
the number used by the Office of Survey and Review was dated as
of September 30th of that year.
And obviously IIM is fluid, so...
Q. Now let me ask you, Dr. Angel, about your collection of
these particular documents that support this chart. How did you become familiar with these supporting documents?
A. Through the course of my research, particularly looking at -- starting with the Bureau of Indian Affairs annual reports.
Q. And who are you gathering them for and giving them to, beyond just yourself?
A. Well, the purpose of this was to try to gather as much information about total IIM as we could. Initially, we gave these to the Department of Justice. Subsequently, as we added, as we built the chart, we would supply it both to the Department of Justice and the Department of the Interior.
Q. What about any contractors for Interior?
A. Yes. It went to FTI, it went to other groups as well.
Q. Anyone particular at FTI?
A. Well, Michelle Herman was our primary contact -- is our primary contact there.
Q. Now, in collecting these documents, Dr. Angel, you've
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already described for the Court where you found some of them.
Did you at all times collect the entire document?
A. No, very rarely. We rarely collected the entire document.

Commissioner of Indian Affairs reports are typically, particularly the early ones, four or five hundred pages long. Congressional hearings typically are several hundred pages long. We collected for this chart the relevant portions.

We do note on each of our sources where we got them
from, minus the fact that they're a congressional hearing, which are just widely available.
Q. Now, aside from the burden of copying them, why would you have used certain pages as relevant and other pages as not relevant to throughput data?
A. What we were looking for was that specific information. Now, a lot of times what we did is, if there were pages discussing the administration of Trust funds, for example in an investment report or a congressional hearing, we would also copy those pages.

But otherwise, with roads or something like that, it really would not be directly involved with the administration of Individual Indian Monies. We wouldn't collect it.

I might add, just by the way, that we also typically, frequently did not collect Tribal data as well. We confined it to IIM.
Q. In your research, Dr. Angel, would there be anything that
would be necessary to place this data in context, that you did not include in the parts of the reports that you did copy?
A. My belief is no.
Q. Now, let's move back for a second to --

THE COURT: Just a second. Dr. Angel, I gather there is some controversy about how complete the documents are that you have produced to support this. But are all these sources capable of being kind of reengineered? I mean, could you go back through and do the archaeology? Could somebody go back and find the complete documents again?

THE WITNESS: Definitely. Definitely, Your Honor. We have source citations on it. For example, that Office of Survey and Review audit report, we could find out where that was. The annual reports of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, probably the single most heavily used source, is at the Department of the Interior library. Congressional hearings are at a number of places. The annual investment reports, we could gather either from Lenexa or the Department of Interior library.

THE COURT: So to use the word Mr. Gingold was using yesterday afternoon, this is a replicable study?

THE WITNESS: It is indeed.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. I want to step back from your chart, Dr. Angel, and talk
briefly a little bit more about receipts and disbursements data.
Now, aside from those Treasury reports which we showed two examples of to the Court, that started in 1997, do you know whether there's any other receipts and disbursements data sprinkled in among earlier years?
A. Yes, sir. We've got a few examples in our exhibits of those reports. For example, in 1909, Commissioner of Indian Affairs report shows receipts and disbursements. I believe our Exhibit 27 shows a few years of that. And we have one report dated 1990 that shows receipts and disbursements for the year.
A. Yeah, no, I couldn't find any other total receipt and disbursement documents thus far.
Q. Dr. Angel, are you familiar with the term "GAO settlement
package"?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And what are those, if you know?
A. Indian Service Special Disbursing Agents were required to submit packages of their financial documents to the General

24 Q. Now, I understand, Dr. Angel, this is 16 slides. Would this
Accounting Office regularly, roughly every six months. And
these contained financial documents relating to Individual
Indian Monies.
Q. And what years do those records exist for, if you know?
A. Those records exist primarily for 1922 to 1950 or 1951.
Q. And how voluminous are they?
A. They're quite voluminous. There's about 14,000 feet of such records at College Park, Maryland at the National Archives there. About 8,400 feet of these records that cover the period 1936 to 1950, '51.
Q. Being in a NARA facility -- N-A-R-A.
A. National Archives and Records Administration. It's an abbreviation.
Q. Being in a NARA facility, Dr. Angel, would those records be accessible to the public?
A. Yes.
Q. What if any, in your experience, data would those GAO settlement packages contain regarding receipts and disbursements?
A. Well, they contain Individual Indian Money data, such as Individual Indian Money account cards, a lot of different types of financial vouchers, collection schedules, financial records of that nature that were central to the receipt and disbursement of Individual Indian Monies. And they're done, by the way, on an agency-by-agency basis.
Q. Meaning, they would take some aggregation or addition --
A. Correct.
Q. -- to add up the grand receipts and disbursement numbers?
A. Correct.
Q. Dr. Angel, at this point I would like to switch gears and move from talking about what throughput data exists or doesn't exist, and discuss with you the availability, the quantity, and the quality of IIM records.

Now, you've already described for the Court how long you've worked with Indian records and where you have physically gone to do your research. Have you prepared any exhibit that would assist you in explaining to the Court the availability, quality, and quantity of Indian records?
A. Yes, Mr. Siemietkowski. I prepared a PowerPoint presentation just showing volume, location, and accessibility of these records.
Q. At this point I would like to show you, Dr. Angel, Defense

Exhibit 31. What is this, Dr. Angel, if you know?
A. This is the PowerPoint presentation that I prepared regarding historical records maintained by the United States. I prepared this originally as an exhibit for the 1.5 trial. We went through it at that point. But subsequently I've revised it and updated it.
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A. I believe it would.
Q. I ask you then to take a look at the next slide and use it,
if you would, please, in explaining historical documents and accounting to the Court.
A. Okay, what I'm trying to do with this presentation is to
address three issues. First of all, where physically are documents located that could be relevant to an historical accounting of Individual Indian Monies; secondly I want to address the volume, the quantity of this documentation; and third, I want to talk about how accessible this documentation is. And when I talk about accessibility, I mean what kind of finding aids would assist any researcher to go from a mass of document -- would narrow down this massive documentation that we're going to be discussing.
Q. So if you look to the next slide...
A. As I mentioned, I had prepared this originally as part of the Cobell 1.5 trial. Since that time, two very important issues have arisen, two very important events have arisen concerning Indian Trust accounting records.

First of all, there was the termination of the Indian Trust accounting division of the General Services

Administration. The Indian Trust accounting division, or ITAD, performed accountings for government cases involving Native American Trust funds. When ITAD was terminated, its records went either to AIRR or to branches of the National Archives.
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The second and more important event, of course, was the establishment of the American Indian Records Repository, which centralized records in one location, provided for boxing records that were in fairly poor holding facilities, and inventoried those records.

The major repositories that I'll be discussing are BIA agencies and regional offices, what types of records we can expect to find there. Federal Records Centers; Federal Records Centers are part of the National Archives and Records

Administration, and actually, the National Archives itself. And I'll mention briefly AIRR, although I understand that AIRR has already been discussed previously in this trial.

The types of records you could expect to find at agencies and regional offices are, generally speaking, financial documents that are of a much more recent nature. Generally, the strength of these will be about the last $\mathbf{1 0}$ years or so. They include such records as leases and permits, and such records that would assist in historical accounting as bills of collections, vouchers. Each of these agencies has an IIM records officer. But again, usually these are the more recent Trust fund and Trust asset records.
Q. What about Federal Records Centers?
A. Federal Records Centers, as I said, are part of the National

Archives and Records Administration system. However, unlike records at the National Archives, these records, records held at
a Federal Records Center, remain in the custody of the agency that produced the records. Therefore, you need permission to
records are particularly useful to a historical accounting
because they show information concerning the production of minerals on Indian reservations, and payment for those resources.

Looking at these records, there are approximately 10,000 cubic feet of Minerals Management Service and USGS records that would be potentially useful for a historical accounting. These are located primarily at the Federal Records Center in Denver, Colorado, and the Federal Records Center in

Ft. Worth, Texas.
Q. Now, what's meant by a finding aid, Dr. Angel?
A. Finding aid typically, and certainly in this case, at least for the top two, are --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Siemietkowski. Let's go back and crawl over that last couple of questions and answers a little bit.

THE WITNESS: Sure.
THE COURT: The records that are now in the custody of MMS, or they may be in a federal records service and MMS has custody of them, are records that you say reflect the production of oil and gas and minerals on Indian lands, and payment for them?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
THE COURT: So is that where one would find oil and gas
leases?

THE WITNESS: Oil and gas leases typically would be held by the Bureau of Indian Affairs, although some will be held by the Minerals Management Service. Minerals Management Service records go to the lease level, rather than to the allotted individual Indian level. Does that make sense, sir?

THE COURT: Yes, it does. But I'm trying to figure out what there is at a Federal Records Center under the custody of MMS that might not be at AIRR or in the custody of the BIA.

THE WITNESS: Certainly. Records are divided by the National Archives into record groups. For example, there's one record group that's devoted to Bureau of Indian Affairs records. That's record group 75 .

Records of the Minerals Management Service are a different record group. I believe they're 473, records of the Minerals Management Service.

Treasury Department has its own record group. That's the way archives divides records of the different federal agencies.

These records would not be the type of records that would go to the American Indian Records Repository. What's been centralized at AIRR, Your Honor, is record group 75, records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

THE COURT: So what might happen in a typical case is that MMS would collect the money on an oil and gas lease, collect the money --

THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes, sir.
THE COURT: -- and send all the money over to BIA for allotment, or to divide it up among applicable IIMs?

THE WITNESS: That's correct, sir.
THE COURT: And MMS doesn't know anything about how it's to be divided up. All it knows is, it's Indian lands?

THE WITNESS: What MMS knows is the lease number, the appropriate lease number. So it does not -- so you're correct, sir. It does not know the individual Indian.

THE COURT: Are there any leases that cover both Indian lands and private lands?

THE WITNESS: A large portion of the leases that I've looked at are called unit agreements, or communitization agreements. These are very large leases that could include federal lands, U.S. Forest Service lands for example, Indian lands, state lands, and other lands, private lands, sure.

THE COURT: And lurking in the background of this case, although we're not trying it in this particular trial, is the suggestion, or more than the suggestion, that less money was collected for oil and gas production on Indian lands, for example, than on other lands.

THE WITNESS: I know that -- yeah, I know that's one of the key issues, sir.

THE COURT: Where does one do the archaeology to figure that out? At MMS?
 would make the most sense. The office that we work with there is the Office of Enforcement, and the Office of Enforcement enforces leases on federal and Indian lands.

THE COURT: Well, is there an office called the Office
of Negotiation and Lease Signing?
THE WITNESS: Not that I know of, sir.
THE COURT: All right, go ahead.
Thinking out loud, Mr. Siemietkowski. Go ahead. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Pardon me, Your Honor? THE COURT: I'm thinking out loud. You go ahead. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Yes, sir.

BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. And Dr. Angel, I don't want to get bogged down in the next several slides, but if you could please explain for the Court what a finding aid is, and through the other slides explain how you use finding aids.
A. Certainly, Mr. Siemietkowski.

First of all, as Your Honor may have heard, the
$\mathbf{1 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ feet of records at the American Indian Records
Repository have been inventoried by a system known as the box inventory search system. And this is done at a, as I understand it, I've used the system, but a folder or sometimes even a document-level basis.

For other Federal Records Centers, we've used two
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finding aids that are produced by the National Archives and Records Administration. One is called an 01 list, and I've got an example of an 01 list in the next slide; and the second is called the standard form 135. The standard form, SF-135, is a records transmittal document that shows what kinds of records are being transferred to the Federal Records Center from the agency that's transferring the records.
Q. And then what does the next slide show, Dr. Angel?
A. The next slide is one that $I$ used at the Cobell 1.5 trial a few years ago. And this is an 01 list, one of the things that we would have traditionally used to try to locate documents. These are all record group 75, meaning that they're all Bureau of Indian Affairs. You'll see --
Q. Dr. Angel, let me interrupt. I know you're pointing. If you would please speak for the record as to which column you're pointing to.
A. Sorry about that. K shows -- the FRC is $K$, which is the Federal Records Center in Kansas City. The record group, the second column, is record group 75. That's Bureau of Indian Affairs records. These records are divided into accessions, and the accession is 7586. That's the second one, and that shows the fiscal year it was submitted. And then an accession number assigned by the National Archives.

These records are all held as part of a freeze. You 't destroy
these records. Archives are not allowed to destroy them.
"Beginning location" and "Ending location" is simply an internal way for archives to retrieve the documents, to pull the boxes back.

But you'll notice the last column, the column entitled
"Series." It's a very, very brief description of what's in those records. Now, if you go down to -- there's an accession containing 452 boxes, that's six from the bottom. Can you see that the subject is "Indian area office files" --

THE COURT: Yeah, I see it. AO files?
THE WITNESS: Yes, that's area office files.
THE COURT: All right.
A. The significance here is that we would have had trouble determining which files -- we would have had to have gone through a lot of files prior to the creation of the box
inventory search system, because we would have very limited information other than the fact that they're area office files.

With the creation of the box inventory search system, we can actually -- we actually have an inventory on a folder-by-folder level of what's in those 452 boxes, and it's searchable by using key words.

So this is the old style, what we had to go through, and it's been replaced by the BISS.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. And what about the next slide, Dr. Angel? What does that

## show?

THE COURT: How long did this 01 list, old style, last?
THE WITNESS: It lasted until 2004, 2005, somewhere in
there.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. What about the 10th slide, Dr. Angel?
A. This is actually a records transmittal form signed by somebody transmitting records belonging -- in the custody of the Minerals Management Service. This is the type of record that we would want to look at, because it includes such information, you'll see in series description, as Indian statements of accounts, Indian reports of sales and royalties, Indian collections, forms 9-614.

You'll notice that it's got a location, a way that we can locate where those boxes are. And the total volume is under agency box number -- well, you see "Volume, feet: 20. Agency box numbers 1 through 20."

## THE COURT: All right.

A. Again, we need permission to look at these boxes because they're in a Federal Records Center. This is unlike records at the National Archives in Washington D.C.

The main building, of course, is pretty much right across the street from we are, and it's become a second home to a lot of us at Morgan, Angel \& Associates. The national archives holds records that are open to the public. There's a
total of roughly $\mathbf{6 7 , 0 0 0}$ cubic feet of Indian records at the main archives, and 11 branches, throughout the United States. And these records are accessible by using a decimal classification scheme.

BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. Now, before we leave this slide, Dr. Angel, just to be crystal clear for the Court, are all these 67,000 feet relevant specifically to Individual Indian Monies?
A. No, no. There's -- no, and I certainly don't mean to imply this, even with the $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ feet of records at AIRR. These records will include health, they'll include law enforcement, they'll include a number of areas that are not directly relevant to Individual Indian Monies. They'll include records that are strictly Tribal in nature, as well. So this is what NARA has in its universe of documents.

THE COURT: Of Indian-related documents? THE WITNESS: Well, please, if I may again, this is within Bureau of Indian Affairs records. It also holds General Accounting Office records that are relevant to Indian Affairs.
It also holds some Treasury records, some other even MMS records that are relevant to Indian Affairs.

The 67,000 cubic feet I'm referring to here are strictly record group 75, records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
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Q. What about your next slide, Dr. Angel? What are these?
A. What these are effectively are the finding aids that I used prior to engaging in research. There are four major finding aids that you can use to get into the records, to determine which of the records that you want to look at.

This is a National Archives agency list, and this is part of the finding aids that $I$ was just talking about. The way to access the records best -- thank you. Actually, if you just do the top part, I think we can get the -- yeah, if you can expand that, I would appreciate it. Thank you.

If I'm interested in doing work, arbitrarily picking Crow because it's the top left one, and I'm interested in doing the research between 1907 and 1939, the first thing I tell National Archives when I filled out a request slip is that I'm interested in looking at Crow records for that period. This is taken from the National Archives finding aid, so there's other pages, obviously. I just wanted to use these as representative. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Next slide, please. A. The next thing I would like to tell the National Archives is what specifically I'm looking for. And here's where the decimal classification scheme comes in. So for example -- please, could I go down on this a little bit to 224? If I'm interested in looking at Trust funds relating to the Crow reservation, I would ask for decimal 224. Being kind of a cautious guy, I would actually say $I$ wanted everything in the $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ series. But I could
just ask for 24, 224, which deals with Trust funds.
THE WITNESS: Can we go to the second side of the page, please? Thank you.
A. You'll see that the $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ series, if I'm interested in leasing of lands, here's oil and gas leases, approvals, decimal 322.
Other information relating to oil and gas leases.
Quite often, I try to be in my research as inclusive as possible. If there are a lot of oil and gas leases, I do confine myself, but I do try to be as inclusive in my research as possible.

## Another set of records that the --

THE COURT: Let me just tie this together. You go over to the archives, and you tell them you want 224 documents relating to the Crow from 1909 to 19-whatever-it-is.

THE WITNESS: Sure.
THE COURT: And what are you going to get?
THE WITNESS: I'm going to get boxes from the National
Archives. I'm going to get these nine-inch Hollinger boxes that will contain those records. There might be IIM records. There will be Tribal records in with those records. There might be account ledgers in there, there might be Individual Indian Money ledgers, the books, the actual books that show, you know, on an individual-by-individual basis, receipts and disbursements.

That type of record.
THE COURT: And if you ask for it here in Washington
and that record is in Albuquerque, you'll wait three weeks to get it?

THE WITNESS: No, sir. You have to go out to --
THE COURT: Oh, you have to go to Albuquerque?
THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah, yeah. You have to go to one of the regional branches.

THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. What about your next-to-last slide here, Dr. Angel?

THE WITNESS: I might add, Your Honor, that if we go
back to -- a couple of slides back to where I talk about my finding aids. If you go to the www.archives.govresearch_room, you can find out what's at the various regional archives. So that would also be one of the first steps I would take. In other words, I would want to go to -- I can't remember which regional archives, whether it's Seattle or Denver, for Crow records. And I would -- you know, I would know whether I would have to make a research trip to the regional archives to review those specific records.

BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. And Dr. Angel, at the risk of stating the obvious, are these records held by NARA, in addition to those held at AIRR?
A. That's correct.
Q. If we can go back to your GAO slide, if you can explain for the Court the GAO records.
A. Okay. From 1922 to 1951, the General Accounting Office routinely received, regularly received every six months or so, packages from BIA, Indian Service special disbursement agents, containing financial documents relating to the accounts of individual Indians. These can be quite voluminous, several boxes.

For example, for the period from 1936 to 1951, there are 8,315 boxes of GAO records at NARA that contain these documents. And they are the types of documents that would be potentially useful for historical accounting.
Q. Is this what you referred to earlier as settlement packages?
A. Yes. These are called -- in NARA parlance they're called settled account packages.

Well, the conclusions that I'm drawing as a historian is that there is a vast body of documents potentially relevant to an historical accounting of Individual Indian Monies. Since the beginning of the litigation, efforts have been made to centralize these records and to inventory these records. We're talking about a pretty large-scale effort with the records at the American Indian Records Repository. And the Office of Historical Trust Accounting is using these records in its effort to provide an historical accounting to Native American account holders.
Q. Dr. Angel, before we move to a critique more specifically of Mr. Homan's and Mr. Fasold's report, I would like to leave your

## 1193

general presentation and make it more concrete for the Court.
And I would like to show you DX-30, if I could, and more
specifically, page five of DX-30.
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: If we could have that enlarged a
bit for the witness.
BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. What is this, if you recognize it, please?
A. This is the type of records that we would find at National Archives.

THE WITNESS: Matthew, may I see the bottom of the page, please?
A. We got these records from the National Archives, record group 75. Here's how we would retrace the document: We've got the box information, effectively, other information that would be relevant to this document. These records, I know from experience, also would have gone to the American Indian Records Repository.

After "National Archives" you'll see "Washington
National Records Center." That's a Federal Records Center who sent its records to the American Indian Records Repository. We could still get at it, though, by accession number and box number.
Q. And then showing the top part of that page, what is that showing?
A. This is a disbursement from an individual Indian's account,
an individual Indian who passed away. This is -- the blacked
out parts obviously are the names, and the amounts are to the

## right.

Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel.

Having discussed now, so far in your testimony, the
existence of throughput information, and having discussed with
the Court the availability, quantity, and quality of Trust
records, I would like to move more specifically to the expert
reports of plaintiffs two experts, Mr. Fasold and Mr. Homan.
Let's discuss Mr. Fasold first. Have you read
Mr. Fasold's 2003 report?
A. Yes, I have read it.
Q. Have you read his 2007 report?
A. Yes, I've read both.
Q. What if anything struck you about Mr. Fasold's 2007 expert report?
A. Mr. Fasold said that he did not update his 2003 report effectively.
Q. So then, focusing on his 2003 report, what are your views regarding what he said there?
A. Mr. Fasold was asked to generate a methodology, to develop a methodology that would show revenues to individual Indians without relying on Department of Interior records.
Q. Now, in your expert opinion as an historian, what is your view of that approach?
A. I had a twofold problem with that approach. First of all, as a historian, I believe in using the historical record. I believe in using the existing historical documents.

Secondly, some of the research that helped Mr. Fasold develop his model, as I understand it, actually physically did use records of the Interior Department. For example, timber records, Bureau of Indian Affairs timber records were used; USGS records were used for solids and oil and gas records.
Q. Now, those government records which Mr. Fasold did not rely upon, as a historian, do you have an opinion about any regularity they show regarding recordkeeping?
A. We do know that there was a regularity relating to recordkeeping. We've seen that with the reports that are in my IIM chart. We know that every six months, from the period 1922 to 1950 or '51, Indian Service Special Disbursing Agents were sending these financial packages to the General Accounting Office.

We know the Treasury Department was producing reports concerning how much money was held by the Treasury on behalf of individual Indians. So there are regularity to reporting.
Q. And from your perspective as a historian, Dr. Angel, what if anything does that regularity tell you regarding oversight of BIA's fund management?
A. Well, there is oversight, obviously. General Accounting Office is looking at, is reviewing Bureau of Indian Affairs

22 Q. And what if anything have you observed about their quality,

24 A. It's been -- it's improved, particularly at AIRR. At
25 National Archives it was always good. At National Archives it
records. Bureau of Indian Affairs personnel when they go to appropriations hearings are talking about the amount of money that is held in IIM. So there is oversight.
Q. Now, you say GAO is reviewing. You mean that in the present sense?
A. I beg your pardon. Did review Individual Indian Monies every six months.
Q. Let me move from Mr. Fasold's report, Dr. Angel, and ask you about Mr. Homan's report. Have you read Mr. Homan's 2007 report?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And sir, have you read his 2003 report?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Would it be fair to say that Mr. Homan postulates records are lost, missing, destroyed, or not retrievable?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in your opinion as an historian, how do you view that supposition of Mr. Homan's?
A. As a historian, placing Mr. Homan in historical context, the period when he was special trustee, I think that was a fair comment for him to make in the 1990's. I think everything Mr. Homan would have been reading at that time would have indicated that records were in poor shape, they were hard to retrieve, they were not properly stored, that they weren't easy to use for accounting purposes from the standpoint of 1996.
Q. So how are things different today?
A. Well, again, a lot of the records that were in such poor shape have been inventoried, re-boxed, and are much more useful at the American Indian Records Repository. A lot of the problems that folks were citing in the 1980's and 1990's regarding the poor shape of records, the inability to get at these records in a meaningful manner, in a manner that you could actually conduct research, I think that AIRR has solved a lot of those problems.
Q. Now, in forming your opinion, have you considered some of those negative congressional and GAO reports from the '80s and '90s?
A. Yes, I have. I've written about it.
Q. Dr. Angel, are you telling the Court that BIA never lost or destroyed records?
A. No. There was policies in place under records retention schedules by which the Bureau of Indian Affairs did destroy some of its records.
Q. Now, since 2003, when you last testified, have you continued to work with Indian records?
A. Yes, I have. quantity, and accessibility since that time?


I mean, if the government would be willing to produce these, then we would eliminate our objections, and, if need be, have Dr. Angel come back, and talk to him about some of the items that may be on these missing pages.

But without that context, we're concerned that it may have a skewed picture.

THE COURT: Have you done your own research to find any of these documents, to see whether anything important is missing from any of them?

MR. HARPER: We just received these very recently, Your Honor.

THE COURT: How recently?
MR. HARPER: A few weeks ago.
THE COURT: Where does this document come from,

## Dr. Angel?

THE WITNESS: This particular document, Your Honor, if
you go to the title page, we've written on the side of the
document where it's from. This is from the Office of the special trustee in Albuquerque. We've got an OST box number, we've got the indication that it was loose, not in a file. So those records, this particular document would be in Lenexa.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. Let's put it on a to-do list for Lenexa.

MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, again may I for the record respond to the objections? Because we have several
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points we would like to make, if the Court would indulge me in making them.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: First, we had testimony from the witness regarding why he chose these particular portions of these documents. He made it clear that none of the missing information was in any way necessary to place the exhibit within the context of the excerpted portion which has been on the Court's screens. That's the standard for Rule of Evidence 106.

And there's case law on that subject, albeit from outside of this circuit, which clearly states that the rule of completeness which plaintiffs are invoking is not appropriate here because there's nothing necessary to render what's been provided to the Court as complete. There's nothing that would be added from the missing portions of the reports that would render the documents included misleading in any way for the Court.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Siemietkowski, I understand the legal argument, which is very scholarly. I don't think that we're dealing with the rule of completeness here. These documents are not being offered, as I understand it, for -necessarily for the truth of their contents. These are documents that are demonstrating the basis of the expert opinion of the man who is using them to estimate throughput.

Now, the real question of completeness has to do with
whether or not the plaintiffs have a reasonable look at what it is that Dr. Angel and his people actually relied upon, so that they can effectively cross-examine him.

MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: And that's the practical side, Your Honor. You mentioned the legal side. The practical side has a few interesting points, as well.

We would note for the Court that three of these reports relied upon by Dr. Angel in formulating his chart were used in excerpted form at Trial 1.5 by plaintiffs, the identical documents. We also note that they have, I think three of these reports relied upon by the witness in their complete form right now, because they listed them as their plaintiffs' exhibits for this current hearing.

We also note, as the Court has noted already, that these documents are equally available, and have been since September 17th, to plaintiffs' counsel, as they are to us. So we believe there's a practical side as well as the legal argument.

MR. HARPER: Your Honor, if I may address those points.
THE COURT: Yeah -- well, no, I think -- here's what
I'm going to do. It's time for the mid-morning break, and everybody gets to take a break except Dr. Angel. He has a homework assignment.

Mr. Harper, you're going to give -- you can draw this sample randomly or pointedly as you choose, three of these
exhibits that are being offered as the basis for Dr. Angel's opinion. Give him three exhibit numbers. And when we come back -- Dr. Angel will have a chance to look them over, and when we come back I'm going to ask him to locate the sources of his estimates within those exhibits. And then we'll pursue this inquiry after that.

We'll be in recess now for, let's give Dr. Angel a little time. Let's call it 20 whole minutes.

MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, before we leave, may I clarify what the plaintiffs are objecting to - Defense
Exhibit 1, the expert report, and Defense Exhibit 31, the PowerPoint slide show - or whether they're just objecting to the historic documents.

THE COURT: No, I understand you to be talking about the historical documents.

MR. HARPER: Yes, Your Honor. We don't have any objection to either the PowerPoint or the expert report.

THE COURT: We'll be in recess for 20 minutes.
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Thank you, sir.
(Recess taken at 11:00 a.m.)
THE COURT: All right. Let's see. Have you had a
chance to do your homework?
THE WITNESS: Almost. All but one.
THE COURT: Is there some break that you need that we haven't given you?


## anomaly --

THE WITNESS: As you might be able to see, Your Honor. A. And again, I'm going to just refer quickly to the chart.

The preceding year, as you can see from this, the total IIM was $56,808,000$. The following year, 1926 , it drops all the way to $\mathbf{2 2}$ million. And that didn't make sense, particularly since the year after that, 1927 , which would be represented in DX--41, page two, was $73,905,000$. That figure isn't on here. That's the following year.

So the question became to us, what happened? What happened in 1926 that would cause such a dramatic drop in IIM held.

Well, what we did was we looked at the column -- you'll see this is on an agency-by-agency basis.
Q. And where do you see that, Dr. Angel?
A. "States and superintendents," the column listed states and superintendents.
Q. Would that be the left-hand side?
A. Yeah. Sorry, I shouldn't say that that way.

So you'll see as you go down, these funds, these
figures are broken down on an agency-by-agency basis.
Now, during this period of history, the primary source of IIM, of total IIM, came from Oklahoma, largely because of the large mineral resources that were in Oklahoma at this time.

Indeed, Osage remains one of the wealthier reservations in the

## United States.

If you go down to Oklahoma, that would be DX--40-03, if you go down to Oklahoma, you'll see they give the total figure as $13,182,949$.
Q. And again, Doctor --
A. That's in the boxed figure.
Q. If you would please point the judge where exactly on the page --

THE COURT: I see it.
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Five civilized tribes, fifth column,
13,182,949.
A. That's represented as total for Oklahoma. What it ignores is Osage, which was $16,502,911$, it ignores Kiowa, it ignores Quapaw and the other reservations.

So what we did was we went through the report and we simply retotaled everything to get to the figure that we used for 1926 , which is $44,305,529$.

THE COURT: You mean, the report simply had an inaccurate total?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. Exactly, Your Honor.
And the inaccurate total can be traced to Oklahoma.
THE COURT: All right.
by Mr. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you able to tell by DX--40, which we
have here, what tables were not included when you made this
copy?
A. This is where we get to the statistical portion of the

Commissioner of Indian Affairs reports, so we would not have included the narrative portion. This table, as is entitled,
simply gets to value of individual Indian and Tribal property as of June 30th, 1926. That's the only table we captured.
Q. Now, DX--40 is entitled "Report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs to the Secretary of Interior 1926"?
A. Correct.
Q. Approximately how many pages would this report typically have included?
A. Probably about 100. The earlier ones are about five, six hundred pages.
Q. And what type of information would have been included beyond
the narrative on the pages that you did not copy?
A. Nothing that I felt would relate to this chart.
Q. What about, then, Defense Exhibit 26? Is that the last defense exhibit that you were asked to review by plaintiffs during the break?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that the one that you began looking at just before the
judge retook the bench?
A. That's correct.
Q. Based on the time you have had to review DX--26, what can
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you tell the Court about it?
A. I haven't had -- I can't match up the funds. And I know
that we were able to do so for the chart. The chart's been
checked and rechecked, so I'm slightly dismayed, to say the least.
Q. Are you able to speak, Dr. Angel, to which pages are not included in DX--26?
A. Well, this is a report of the House of Representatives, and we didn't include any of the narrative pages.
Q. In this House report from 1953, would you have an idea of approximately how many pages it would have included?
A. I don't. I do not.
Q. Do you know what information typically would have been on the pages that you did not copy?
A. Well, this would have included Native American -- it would have been part of an investigation of the Bureau of Indian Affairs.
Q. All right.
A. This would have been the time of -- yeah, this would have been an investigation of the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, that's all the
questions that I have for Dr. Angel regarding these three exhibits.

THE COURT: All right. I think what we've succeeded in
doing, probably, is introducing lines of questioning for

| 11:49:16 |  | 1216 |  | 1218 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | cross-examination. These documents are being -- are identified | 1 | know what that is or what it means. |
|  | 2 | by the witness as documents on which he relied for the numbers | 2 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: That is 4,800 pages, Your Honor, of |
|  | 3 | that appear in Defense Exhibit 94, which is the estimate of | 3 | historic documents which contain some information regarding |
|  | 4 | throughput from I think 1909 through the end of 1985. | 4 | throughput data going back to 1884 . It is a rough compilation |
|  | 5 | That estimate is an estimate, it's an estimate offered | 11:52:27 5 | which the witness has not reviewed himself. Nonetheless, they |
|  | 6 | by a person who's been qualified as an expert. The rules don't | 6 | are public records that have been collected in the public |
|  | 7 | actually require that any of this be in evidence at all, but | 7 | domain. |
|  | 8 | it's useful to have it as a record of the case so that both the | 8 | THE COURT: Why do we need 4,800 pages of documents the |
|  | 9 | basis of the estimate and the cross-examination of the estimate | 9 | witness has not reviewed in the record? |
| 11:49:44 10 | 10 | can be a matter of record for anybody who wants to review it. | 11:52:41 10 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Simply as a matter of record, Your |
|  | 11 | going to receive the proffered exhibits in | 11 | Honor, as further evidence regarding what throughput data does |
|  | 12 | evidence. There will of course be cross-examination on some or | 12 | exist, even if the witness has not examined it. |
|  | 13 | all of them, and objections will go to the weight, not to the | 13 | COURT: No. Objection sustained. |
|  | 14 | admissibility | 14 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: We have no further evidence that we |
| 11:50:10 17 | 15 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. May I at | 11:53:02 15 | wish to move the admission of, Your Honor, and I have no further |
|  | 16 | this point move | 16 | rect examination of Dr. Ang |
|  | 17 | THE COURT: You may | 17 | HE COURT: Thank you, sir. |
|  | 18 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: We would like to move the admission | 18 | Mr. Harper, you want to cross examine? |
|  | 19 | Defense Exhibit 94. That is the total IIM chart itself | 19 | MR. HARPER: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 11:50:22 20 | 20 | COURT | 11:53:13 20 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
|  | 21 | (DEFENDANT EXHIBIT Number 94 was moved into evidence.) | 21 | BY MR. HARPER: |
|  | $22$ | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: We'd like to move the admission of | 22 | Q. Dr. Angel. |
|  | 23 | Defense Exhibits 259 through 268. Those are the consolidated | 23 | A. Mr. Harper. |
|  | 24 | al state | 24 | Q. I wanted to just talk first about the three documents you |
| 11:50:39 2 | 25 | THE COURT: Received. | 11:53:34 25 | reviewed, just to summarize, if I could. |
| 11:50:50 |  | 1217 |  | 1219 |
|  | 1 | (DEFENDANT EXHIBIT Number 259-268 were moved into | 1 | The first, Defendant's Exhibit 13. Do you recall that |
|  |  | evidence.) | 2 | document? |
|  | 3 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: We would like to move the admission | 3 | A. Excuse me. Yes. |
|  | 4 | DX--30. That is the example of that estate distributio | 4 | Q. And that was -- that document, if you look at the page |
|  | 5 | document that Dr. Angel had found | 11:53:50 5 | three, which is the table of contents, it indicates Indian Trust |
|  | 6 | THE COURT: That one doesn't do much for me. I mean, | 6 | funds. Correct? |
|  | 7 | I'll receive it, but I don't know | 7 | A. Correct. |
|  | 8 | FENDANT EXHIBIT Number 30 was moved into evidence.) | 8 | Q. And that section is not included. Correct? |
|  | 9 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: We would like, Your Honor, to move | 9 | A. That's correct. You're talking about section two. Correct? |
| 11:51:09 1 | 10 | the admission of DX--258. Those are Treasury annual reports | 11:54:22 10 | Q. Information on Indian Trust funds? |
|  | 11 | from 1949 through 1973 | 11 | A. Correct. |
|  | 12 | THE COURT: Received | 12 | Q. Starts on page three, goes to probably page 14 or 15 where |
|  | 13 | FFENDANT EXHIBIT Number 258 was moved into evidence.) | 13 | the next section begins. Correct? |
|  | 14 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: We would like to move the | 14 | A. Correct. Uh-huh. |
| 11:51:27 15 |  | admission, Your Honor, of DX--243 through DX--257. Those are | 11:54:32 15 | Q. And then Tribal Trust funds begins at 15. Correct? |
|  | 16 | the GAO settlement packages which Dr. Angel testified to | 16 | A. Correct. |
|  | 17 | THE COURT: Received | 17 | Q. You said the Tribal Trust wasn't included, but information |
|  | 18 | (DEFENDANT EXHIBIT Number 234-257 were moved into | 18 | on Indian Trust funds, is it your testimony that there was no |
|  | 19 | evidence.) | 19 | discussion of Individual Indian Trust funds or assets or |
| 11:51:42 20 | 20 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: And then lastly, Your Honor, we'd | 11:54:50 20 | anything between 3 and 15? |
|  | 21 | like to move the admission of DX--289. That is a compilation of | 21 | A. No, my testimony would be that starting on page DX--13-012 |
|  | 22 | Treasury and GSA reports dating back to 1884 | 22 | is what we've copied for the Individual Indian Money portion of |
|  | 23 | THE COURT: Have we seen that | 23 | the testimony. |
|  | 24 | MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: No, sir | 24 | Q. That's not my question, actually. |
|  |  | THE COURT: Let me take a look at that. I don't quite | 11:55:11 25 | I'm asking you whether you are certain, as you sit here |

today, that from page three to page 15 , there is no discussion of individual Indian Trust funds?
A. No, there may well be a discussion of the types of investments that could be made and things of that nature.

If I may, again, you know, our purpose was to try to get information for the chart, with the acknowledgment that, well, that that's exactly what we were doing, trying to get information for the chart.
Q. But you would agree as a historian that oftentimes documents have to be read in context. Correct?
A. Oftentimes documents do have to be read in context. You're correct.
Q. And if there is material in the document that might go to, say, the accuracy of the information, that would be relevant,
wouldn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with the Merriam report?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that 1928? Did I recall that correctly?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And did that report talk about how information from the Department of the Interior, their aggregate information was inaccurate? Do you recall that?
A. It questioned the statistical accuracy of the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs. included?
A. Correct.

11 Q. And when you reviewed it, you noticed that the calculations

13 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Which one are we on now?
14 Q. DX--13. Did I read that correctly?
Q. But indeed it did list, it did list out the aggregate numbers. Correct? It did talk about the aggregate numbers?
A. That I don't recall, Mr. Harper. That I don't recall.
Q. We'll have an opportunity to look at that closer.

So DX--13, you said that's at OST. You received that from OST. Correct?
Q. And as we saw, it had Indian Trust funds, that part was not were in error. Correct?
A. I don't believe we're talking about DX-- $\mathbf{1 3}$ in that context,

Mr. Harper. I think the calculations that I've referred to as being incorrect - pardon me - was the annual report for 1926, which is DX--40. That's the Oklahoma one. Is that the one you're talking about?
Q. So the first one, it leaves out Indian Trust funds, DX--13.

It's DX--40 that is in error because it leaves out Oklahoma.
Right? Or Osage?
A. Yeah, essentially.
Q. Okay.
A. More than Osage, but you're on the right track. So, yeah.
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Q. And DX--26 is the third one you reviewed, and that one, the numbers don't add up as you sit here today. Correct?
A. As I sit here today. I would like a little bit more time with it.
Q. And so -- okay. Thank you.
$\qquad$
.


1

17 Q. And as you can see, this is a report from NORC, and it's

21 Q. And do you know Dr. Scheuren?
22 A. Yes, I do.

Resource Management System, you flip the switch and the next day there was?
A. Correct, Mr. Harper. That's my belief. That's my understanding.
Q. But your understanding is that it was basically implemented
or began to be implemented in the 1980's. Is that a fair
statement?
A. Yeah. Yes, sir.
Q. Dr. Angel, I would like to turn your attention to an item in the administrative record.

MR. HARPER: It is AR-602701.
BY MR. HARPER:
Q. It will be up in a moment.
A. Okay.

MR. HARPER: Thanks.
BY MR. HARPER:
called, and I can read the title, "Statistical Estimate of
Receipts Credited to IIM Trust Funds." Do you see that?
A. Yes. Dr. Scheuren.
Q. And I would like to turn your attention, if I could, to 005 of that document.

And if we can go down to the footnote area, you can see
that there's two footnotes. There is Morgan Angel \& Associates for one; and two, estimates based on Morgan Angel's data from other years. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with this chart?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And you will see from beginning around 1929 to 1954 , you see how they're all sort of rounded numbers?
A. That's correct.
Q. And do you know why -- and they have a 2 next to them.
A. Yeah. I believe that Dr. Scheuren made estimates on that. Now, my exhibit where I do the IIM chart is the most recent version of it. You know, as we picked up other years, we've included it on the chart.

I guess I don't remember specifically what years we had when Dr. Scheuren got the chart. But I know that he did make estimates, he did make estimates on this for the years I didn't have figures.
Q. So when he says, "estimates based on Morgan Angel's data," those are his estimates, not yours?
A. That's correct.
Q. As you sit here today, do you have any idea how he calculated these round number estimates?
A. I do not.
Q. You would agree that there's still aggregate numbers for
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various years even just with balances, not considering
throughput, just for balances where you don't have the aggregate number. Is that a fair statement?
A. That's a fair statement, yes.
Q. And some of those years are years in which there was even
some oversight over the Department of the Interior, and still
there's no global numbers, aggregate numbers. Is that a fair statement?
A. That we could find. Obviously one of the things I would like to emphasize is we have continued on since this, and we are continuing on, but thus far, we haven't found every year aggregate numbers.
Q. In fact, there's a number of years that you don't have a lot of information. You're kind of pulling things together from various sources?

## A. That's a fair statement, yes.

Q. And that's your sort of best guess at this time. Is that a fair statement?
A. Please tell me what my best guess is.
Q. Those numbers that were in your chart, those are sort of
your best estimates at this time of balances, not throughput.
Fair statement?
A. Well, but you're saying estimate, but we're actually using real numbers. That's the only thing that's troubling me with that statement. Otherwise you're correct. We don't have

21 Q. When did the Minerals Management Service first begin?
22 A. 1982.
any?
A. I'm sorry, Mr. Harper. Just so we're correct about this,
Q. With respect to -- last question with respect to the difference between throughput and balance.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Can you estimate -- have you gone through the exercise of estimating throughput based on balances?
A. Oh, no. No, I have not.
Q. And that would not be something you would want to do.

Correct?
A. You're correct, that is not something I would do.
Q. I mean, as a historian, that just doesn't make a lot of
sense. Correct?
A. I don't believe I would have the capacity to do something
like that. What I provided NORC in this chart was year-end account balances, and that's what I've continued to provide.
Q. Dr. Angel, do you recall testifying regarding MMS during your direct testimony, mineral management service?
A. Correct. I did. Records of the Minerals Management

Service, yes.
Q. You talked about how some may be available. Correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So the records there would be from ' 82 forward, if
any?
ts
when it began in 1982, it assumed the old conservation division of the USGS and it assumed the records of the conservation division as well.

So even those these are -- and I don't want to get too detailed on this. Even though these are USGS records, they're records of the Minerals Management Service, they're in record group 73 , so they will go back to the ' 30 's, for example. These records will go back to the '30's.
Q. And is it your understanding that USGS regularly destroyed records and failed to retain records based on certain schedules? Is that your understanding?
A. I've not looked at the USGS schedules. I haven't looked at their record retention schedules.
Q. So you don't know whether and to what extent USGS may have destroyed records important for oil and gas production in years prior to 1982 . Is that a fair statement?
A. That's a fair statement.
Q. You also talked about -- I think you testified, and I may not get this exactly correct so I'm going to ask you whether I did or I didn't. You testified something regarding how production of MMS from Indian lands was checked or something of that nature. Do you recall that testimony?
A. I'm sorry, I don't.
Q. Maybe I misheard that. I thought you said that the production of oil and gas from Indian lands was somehow checked
by MMS, the amounts collected and things of that nature.
MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Objection, Your Honor,
mischaracterizes prior testimony.
THE COURT: Well, he's trying to --
THE WITNESS: Mr. Harper, I truly don't know where you're going with this. I don't remember exactly what you're
talking about at this stage.
BY MR. HARPER:
Q. Okay. So you don't recall testifying -- if you had
testified -- I mean, so to your knowledge, you don't know one way or the other -- you're not an expert on the MMS and how it checks or doesn't check production on Indian lands. Is that a fair statement?
A. Well, it's a little bit overly broad. I know that they use
forms to record it, but is that what you're getting at? I know that they use, for example, a form 361 or a 2014, which are royalty payment forms, stuff like that. But is that what you're asking me, or are you asking me something other than that? Q. I'll move on, because it may have been just a misunderstanding.

Do you recall testifying and talking, Dr. Angel, about
the GAO settlement of accounts?
A. That's correct.
Q. Or alleged settlement of accounts, I should say.
A. I actually -- to science up the issue, $I$ kept using the word
Do you recall testifying and talking, Dr. Angel, about

0/18/2007 07:07:58 PM
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11 A. I see it.
A. Yes, I do.

17 Q. Was effective at what?
18 A. Well, this is Commissioner Cato Sells speaking, and he's

21 here, this was my report, it was a review of my former partner,
22

24 Commissioner Cato Sells expressed concern over difficulties in
Indian Money accounts from the perspective of the appropriations
process? Do you see that?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And if you turn to the second page, "major findings"
section, it says --
MR. HARPER: And if we can go up to the top of that
page.
BY MR. HARPER:
Q. The first three sentences to, "was effective." If you could just read those to yourself, from "annual" to footnote two.
Q. And when you say here the system was effective, the last part there, that he pointed out that complaints against the BIA's handling of IIM were decreasing and that the system was effective. Do you see that? saying the system overall was working. Again, I'd like to see the document associated with it, but as I'm reading it right who has subsequently retired quite awhile ago now.

But as I'm reading this, I'm saying, "although

IIM accounting, he pointed out the complaints against the BIA's

## A. There were administrative fees paid.

Q. I want to now turn your attention, if I could, to page 013
of that document.
A. Mr. Harper, are you going to be asking me a lot of questions
about the document? If so, I'd like to ask permission to have a
hard copy of it. Is that all right?
Q. Sure.
A. May I get a hard copy of my 1.5 report, please?

MR. HARPER: Your Honor, maybe we'll take this one
after the luncheon. I can turn to something else at this point.
THE COURT: You're going to go past lunch with this
witness, Mr. Harper?
MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I do have a number of questions regarding his many reports.

THE COURT: We'll take it after lunch. Meanwhile,
we'll see what box search technology will find the hard copy
over there.
A. Mr. Harper, how many questions do you have there for me for
that report?
BY MR. HARPER:
Q. Well, I just have a couple more. Maybe I can run them by
you a little bit, and then if we have difficulty...
A. Okay.
Q. If we can turn to page, again, 013 of that report. And do you see that one talks about a look at management of Individual
handling of IIM were decreasing and that the system was
effective." So Cato Sells is saying there are fewer complaints
and the system was working.
Q. And do you recall testifying in Trial 1.5 that in actuality,
what you meant by that was not that it was effective in managing
IIM funds, but it was effective in assimilating Indians? Do you recall that testimony?
A. I do not, Mr. Harper.
Q. Okay. Do you recall testifying about the 1915 report?

MR. HARPER: And we can bring that up and show that to
you. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 681.
BY MR. HARPER:
Q. I know that you have reports that have talked about this report. Correct?
A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. So about the same period of time?

## A. Roughly.

Q. And you just testified that Commissioner Sells thought that
the systems were effective. Correct?
A. He said complaints were decreasing, and yeah, and the system
was effective, yes.
Q. But in actuality, that was not the case. Correct?
A. There were problems. Some of the problems addressed by this report, the Bureau of Indian Affairs attempted to handle by implementing new accounting procedures. But there were problems.
Q. And those accounting procedures -- we'll talk about those in a moment, but those accounting procedures ultimately were also criticized in the ' 20 's, and among other places, the Merriam report of 1928. Correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So let's look at this document if we could.

MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Objection. I believe this is beyond the scope of our direct examination.

MR. HARPER: Your Honor, first of all, the witness has
filed a number of reports for this Court, and this is from his 2003 expert report, and he makes a claim about how systems were effective. And I'm trying to discuss with the witness how he could -- why that is, when a contemporaneous report suggests exactly the opposite.

THE COURT: Well, if I let you proceed with this, which I'm going to for a little while, it's not because the witness said the systems were effective. The witness' partner in an earlier report quoted a statement by somebody named Sells that the systems were effective. I don't hear this witness sponsoring them or accepting them or repeating them.

But you're entitled to reasonable cross-examination of an expert witness, and I'm going to let you go. Scope is not my issue so much as time, Mr. Harper. But I will let you proceed for a reasonable period of time with this line of questioning.

MR. HARPER: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: But let's not get confused about what he testified about and what Mr. Sells said in 1915.

MR. HARPER: And that's a fair point, Your Honor. The only point I was making is that this 1915 report is not mentioned in his expert report; however, nor is any caveats about how the statements in his expert report should be taken into some context. He just says that -- quotes Commissioner Sells. And we can put that up, and that was the only point of why I think it's relevant to this witness.

THE COURT: Well, that may be why he wants the whole report, to see if you selectively quoted from the report.

But proceed, Mr. Harper. Let's see where we're going. MR. HARPER: Thank you, Your Honor.

If we can turn to the third page on this report.
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BY MR. HARPER:
Q. And you can see where it says, Dr. Angel, Government -- I'm going to read a little bit more and then I'm going to ask you a couple of questions. "Government machinery inadequate," on the top there.
"In the first place, the machinery of government has not been adapted for purpose of administering Trusts. In the second place, there has been little sympathy or favorable opinion to demand an effective business machine be developed. In fact, the public opinion, which has dominated the Government, has been either hostile or passively indulgent of abuse. This is one side of the picture."
"On the other side, behind the sham protection, which operates largely as blind to publicity, have been at all times great wealth in the form of Indian funds to be subverted, valuable lands, mines, oil fields, and other natural resources to be despoiled or appropriated for the use of the trader. And large profits to be made by those dealing with trustees who are animated by motives of gain."
"This has been the situation in which the Indian
Service has been for more than a century. The Indian, during all these times, having the rights of properties to greater or lesser extent neglected; the guardian, the Government, in many instances passive to conditions which contributed to his undoing."
that report in the 1.5 report. I might be incorrect, but I
thought I had mentioned that report.
Q. We'll give you a copy to look over during lunch and then

11 A. But my point, Mr. Harper, was that I did write about that
Q. That you mark -- as a matter of policy, Morgan Angel marks things "draft" or "work product" in general terms until they want to use it in court. Is that a fair statement?
A. Until the client tells us. Is that right? A fair statement is, until the client tells us.
Q. And normally the client tells you when you're about to use it in court. Correct?
A. Normally, yeah
Q. Because they would like to have those be confidential prior to that point. Right? report. I said, you know, I thought I'd written about it in the 1.5 report, and I may have and I may not have. But I did write about that report in the draft report that you had seen.

THE COURT: Mr. Harper, Dr. Angel may want to look at his report over lunch, so we can talk about it more after lunch. But it is actually lunchtime. And I'd like everybody involved with this, yourself and Dr. Angel and Government counsel, Mr. Siemietkowski, to consider where I think we are with this witness.

I was quite genuine when I said that I'm interested in your reading all that eloquent, eloquent language from 1915, but I don't really know quite what it has to do with the testimony of this witness, which as I understand it has to do with the availability of throughput data in historical records. And the
witness has now made it very clear that the chart that he's prepared and the sources of the chart that he's prepared do not give throughput but annual balances. That's, I think, an important point that you've made in your cross-examination.

Availability and accessibility of IIM Trust records, which basically was, there are lots of records out there, you can find them, and his critiques of the Homan and Fasold reports, which as I understand it were that Fasold should have used records, and, in fact, he did use records - and I wrote this down in quotes - "we know that there is oversight." Maybe that's what you're after.

And Homan's 2003 and 2007 reports criticizing Homan's postulate that records are lost, missing, destroyed, not retrievable, and this witness says that might have been correct in 1996, but since then, they've been inventoried, reboxed, and they're much more useful.

So as much as you may object to this witness' reports in other cases about what the situation was or was not in 1915, I'm not sure how relevant it is or how you're really challenging any of the opinions that the witness is actually offering.

I don't want a response to that at all, I want you to think about it over lunch, and when we get back we'll continue with the cross-examination. We'll be in recess for an hour.

MR. HARPER: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Recess taken at 12:35 p.m.)
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