
cash management, credit management, debt collection, and related financial policies. And I have an office that reports to me that manages the cash position of the U.S. government on a daily basis.
Q. And in regard to your oversight function with the two
bureaus, Financial Management Service and Bureau of Public Debt,
could you give us an idea of the framework, the organizational framework where you fit in on that?
A. Sure. The two fiscal service bureaus you mentioned report to the fiscal assistant secretary. I work directly for the fiscal assistant secretary and help him in that office in
12 overseeing the two bureaus. The fiscal assistant secretary
reports up to the undersecretary for domestic finance.
Q. I believe --

## THE COURT: Of what?

THE WITNESS: Domestic finance.
MR. GILLETT: I'm sorry, your Honor.
BY MR. GILLETT:
Q. You indicated you have responsibilities relating to
management of the cash of the United States. Could you briefly describe your responsibilities in that regard?
22 A. My office manages the cash position of the government on a 23 day-to-day basis, as I said. So that means managing the amounts in the TGA or Treasury general account, which would include forecasting the revenues that will come into the TGA, the
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18 Q. Now, we've heard New York testimony in this case about the
19 TGA. Could you give us a little bit more explanation about
20 Treasury tax and loan accounts?
01:37:04PM 21 A. Treasury tax and loan accounts are at commercial financial 01:37:08PM 22 institutions. They serve two purposes. One is to receive
01:37:12PM 23 deposits of federal taxes from corporations, which amounts for deposits of federal taxes from corporations, which amounts for
roughly $\$ 60$ to $\$ 70$ billion a year in collections flowing through 25 Treasury tax and loan banks, and Treasury tax and loan banks Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter excess operating cash that may not be needed in TGA for a given day.
Q. In your particular position do the terms "cash" and "funds" have different meanings?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you explain, please?
A. Well, "cash" refers to operating cash in a bank account
that is available to fund transactions to fund clearing settlement of transactions through the banking system. "Funds" represent amounts in accounts in a general ledger system: assets, liabilities, appropriations, and other such amounts.
Q. Where does the U.S. Treasury keep its cash?
A. We keep our cash in two basic places. One is in the

Treasury General Account or TGA, which is at the Federal Reserve
Bank of New York, and the other place we keep it is with
Treasury tax and loan banks, commercial depositories.
also serve as the investment vehicle for the Treasury's
operating cash, so they will take placements of cash from the Treasury, basically on an overnight basis as a government investment.
Q. And you mentioned that the TGA is held in the Federal

Reserve Bank of New York. In that sense is the Federal Reserve
Bank of New York Treasury's banker?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And on the funds side, is it a fair statement that Treasury performs banking functions for the various agencies of the government?
A. That's a fair statement.
Q. Do you know in general what Individual Indian Monies is?
A. I do in general.
Q. What is your understanding?
A. My understanding of Individual Indian Monies is there is an I guess what I'Il call a federal program or set of statutes under which the federal government check revenues for individual I ndians, primarily from land use rights, and in turn disburses
those monies to individual Indian beneficiaries.
Q. How would the term "cash" that you mentioned earlier relate to IIM?
A. Cash relates to IIM the same way that cash would relate to any program or activity of the government. IIM cash would be deposited in the TGA and withdrawn from the TGA.

> Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
> Official Court Reporter credited to the TGA as deposits.A. That would include both, yes, receipts and disbursements.
Q. Does the TGA correlate to any particular fund on the fundsside?

15 A. No, it does not.
16 Q. Is cash ever set aside or frozen in the TGA?
17 A. No.
18 Q. If the TGA balance somehow went to zero and government spending suddenly came to a stop, would that affect balances in the funds accounted for in the central accounting system?
A. It would have no impact on the balances in the general ledger, no.
Q. Now, do your responsibilities also relate in any way to

24 debt management for the United States?
25 A. They do relate to debt management, yes.

|  | 1232 |  | 1234 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01:100:45PM 1 | Q. Are you familiar with how the United States government's | 01:433.68M 1 | BY MR. GILLETTE: |
| 01:10:477PM 2 | borrowing decisions are made? | 01:44:77PM 2 | Q. Do you see on the screen there, Mr. Grippo, what's been |
| 01:40:4989 3 | A. Yes, I am. | 01:442089 3 | marked as DX 502? |
| 01:10:0.49PM 4 | Q. Who is involved in that process? | 01:44288PM 4 | A. Yes. |
| 01:40:51PM 5 | A. Two offices primary: The Office of the Fiscal Assistant | 01:44288PM 5 | Q. Are you familiar with that document? |
| S5PM 6 | Secretary and the Office of the Assistant Secretary For | 01:4424PM 6 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 01:41:00PM 7 | Financial Markets, primarily through suboffices. In one case | 01:44258M 7 | Q. I'm not going to ask you to read from that, but if you |
| 01:471:989 8 | there's an Office of Fiscal Projections and in the other case | 01:44.3889 8 | could just provide for the Court from your experience your |
| ¢88м 9 | there's an Office of Debt Management and those two organizations | 01:44417PM 9 | understanding of how this regular and predictable strategy works |
| 01:471:12PM 10 | together make the borrowing decisions. | 01:44.458M 10 | day-to-day. |
| 01:47149PM 11 | Q. Is there a particular organizational name for the entity or | 01:444.48PM 11 | A. Well, regular and predictable means that the timing and the |
| LIPM 12 | the group that makes those borrowing decisions? | pm 12 | amount and the maturities of the securities we sell are stable, |
| 01:4:1238M 13 | A. We call it the financing group. | 01:44.59PM 13 | they do not fluctuate up or down very much, they're transparent, |
| 44PM 14 | Q. How many individuals are members of the financing group? | 4PM 14 | meaning the market knows exactly how much we would expect to |
| 01:41:132PM 15 | A. Typically there would be eight people or eight individuals | 01.45:077M 15 | borrow and what securities over time. In order to provide the |
| 01:41:35PM 16 | who would sign-off on a borrowing decision. | 0:4,45:17PM 16 | market by which I mean organizations or individuals that are |
| 01:471:389 17 | Q. What does it mean to sign off on a borrowing decision? | 01:45:689M 17 | buying these securities, we'll have an idea of exactly what we |
| 01:1414:42PM 18 | A. Literally we would in a finance group meeting pass around a | 0.4.45:99PM 18 | intend to issue over time. |
| 01:47:4689 19 | document that everyone initials to validate the amount borrowed. | 01.4524PM 19 | MR. GILLETTE: Your Honor, I want to take a moment |
| fopm 20 | Q. Are the individuals in the financing group picked on the | 20 | just to make sure everybody gets a hard copy of that exhibit. |
| S2PM 21 | basis of the position they hold within the Treasury department? | 01.452889 21 | BY MR. GILLETTE: |
| :55PM 22 | A. Yes. It's a function of their position within the Office | 01:46:04PM 22 | Q. Does Treasury borrow even when substantial amounts of cash |
| 01:42:0.1PM 23 | of the Fiscal Assistant Secretary and the Office of the | 01:46:10pm 23 | are on hand, for example, April when tax receipts are high? |
| 01:142.03PM 24 | Assistant Secretary For Financial Markets. | 01:46:13PM 24 | A. Yes, we do. |
| ${ }^{01 / 42.2 .55 M} 25$ | Q. Can you tell us when and how often decisions are made in | 01:46:14PM 25 | Q. And is that part of this strategy? |
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| 01:42:10PM 1 | regard to the sale of securities by the Treasury? | 01:46:689 1 | A. It is absolutely a part of that strategy. |
| 01:182:13PM 2 | A. There is essentially a two-step process. On a quarterly | 01:46:198M 2 | Q. And in what sense? |
| 01:12:228M 3 | basis we will project the cash and debt needs of the government | 01:46238M 3 | A. The markets are expecting what we call a certainty of |
| ${ }^{01.1422789} 4$ | and prepare a financing plan for that quarter, so we may | 01:4627PM 4 | supply, and so even in a particular week when we have plenty of |
| 01:12:2389 5 | conclude as an example we need in net marketable borrowing \$50 | 01:46.322PM 5 | operating cash we are still borrowing and still supplying the |
| ¢орм 6 | million over the next quarter to finance a deficit. We will, | 01:46:388M 6 | market with regular and predictable debt issuance. |
| 2:4PM 7 | based upon that amount, develop a specific financing plan with | 01:46:417M 7 | Q. Approximately how long has that strategy been in place? |
| 01:12:4889 8 | specific securities and maturities. We will basically make that | 01:46:45PM 8 | A. About thirty years. |
|  | known to the public through press releases and other public | 01:46:499PM 9 | Q. Are you at all familiar with the predecessor strategy? |
| 01:42:5889 10 | statements, and once that quarterly plan, that baseline plan, is | 01:46:582PM 10 | A. In general I'm familiar with it. |
| 01:43:32PM 11 | in place, we will on a weekly basis meet, the financing group | 01:46.59PM 11 | Q. And in general how did that work? |
| 01:14.306M 12 | will meet, to validate the securities that would be issued that | 01:46.588M 12 | A. In general borrowing decisions would be made on a more |
| 01:48:309PM 13 | week. Primarily not to change the plan that we came up with for | 01.477.389 13 | tactical basis when there was a specifically-forecasted deficit |
| 01:43:47PM 14 | the quarter, but to make sure that for any upcoming low point in | 01.77.09PM 14 | or cash need in the short-term and securities would be sold |
| 01:43:2089 15 | other cash balance financing is sufficient to make sure that | 01:777:12PM 15 | specifically to finance that short-term deficit or amount, as |
| 01:43:323PM 16 | funding is available in the TGA. | 01.477.78PM 16 | opposed to regular and predictable borrowing where we are |
| 01:432889 17 | Q. Is there a phrase that generally describes Treasury's debt | 01:47228P 17 | borrowing not based on the day-to-day or week-to-week or even |
| 01:43:317PM 18 | management strategy? | 01:477288M 18 | month-to-month variances of our cash positions, but we are |
| 01:43:32PM 19 | A. The phrase typically used to describe the strategy is | 01:777.317M 19 | borrowing stable amounts over time to reflect sort of the |
| 01:14.377M 20 | "regular and predictable." | 01.477.559 20 | long-term structural need to borrow. |
| 01:1433889 21 | Q. And is that phrase well known in the government securities | 01.777.37PM 21 | Q. Does every dollar in the government's hands represent a |
| 01:14.4.45PM 22 | market? | 01.477408PM 22 | dollar that the government does not have to borrow? |
| 01:13, 4.58M 23 | A. I would say so, yes. | 01.477.48PM 23 | A. Not every dollar, no. |
| 01:14.353PM 24 | MR. GILLETTE: Could we see Defense Exhibit 502, | 01.77.4.5PM 24 | Q. In relatively simple terms, in a relatively static |
| 01:14.5.56M 25 | please? | 01:77.51PM 25 | environment, if the government outlays precisely equaled |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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|  | 1236 |  | 1238 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01:477.59PM 1 | receipts, would it be fair to say that any reduction in | 0155:02029 1 | Q. Okay. Now, that's gross receipts, correct, that's before |
| 01:477.58M 2 | receipts, no matter how small, would require some equivalent | 01551:07PM 2 | disbursements are taken out in Column F? |
| 01:48.8.5PM 3 | cash increase to cover outlays? | 01:51:10PM 3 | A. Yes, that's what it looks like. |
| 01:188.88PM 4 | A. Yes, that's how the equation would work. | 01.51:12PM 4 | Q. And after Column F we have G, the net of 101 million? |
| 01:488:117M 5 | Q. Is the environment in which financial management for the | 01.51:177PM 5 | A. I see that. |
| 01:18:768M 6 | United States takes place either simple or static? | 015:1717PM 6 | Q. Now, focusing just on the 336.68 million, would projected |
| 01:18:188M 7 | A. No, not at all. | 01:51:25PM 7 | receipts at that level factor into the government's borrowing |
| 01:188.19PM 8 | Q. For any given period of time, say, a fiscal year, how | 01.51:3089 8 | decisions? |
| ¢5PM | likely would it be for the U.S. government outlays and receipts | ${ }_{0}^{0151.3589} 9$ | A. Receipts on the order of \$300 million would not really |
| Ipm 10 | to equal each other precisely? | 015:1.389 10 | factor -- would not factor into our borrowing decisions. |
| 01:488.3PM 11 | A. It would be extraordinarily unlikely for those two things | 01:51:4P9 11 | Q. Why not? |
| 01:488.39PM 12 | to match precisely. | 01:51:4.45M 12 | A. Because the scale of the borrowing is such that marginal |
| 01:488411/ 13 | Q. Can you give the Court a sense of total federal government | 01.51:498PM 13 | amounts, let's say on a given day $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0}$ million would not impact |
| 01:188:49PM 14 | receipts for fiscal year 2007? | 01:51:53PM 14 | how much we borrow. |
| 01:18:8889 15 | A. Our budgetary receipts last fiscal year were about \$2.6 | 01551:57PM 15 | Q. And this figure represents an annual receipts figure, that |
| 01:48:5859 16 | trillion. | 01:51:59PM 16 | is your understanding? |
| 01:48.568M 17 | Q. Typically do outlays exceed receipts or receipts exceed | 01.152.00PM 17 | A. Annual for 2007, yes. |
| 01:19.0.08M 18 | outlays? | 01.15:308M 18 | MR. GILLETTE: Could we see Defense Exhibit 503, |
| 01:49:00pm 19 | A. Typically with outlays would be greater than receipts. | 01.52.:5sm 19 | please? |
| ¢ 20 | Q. And that obviously creates a deficit situation, correct? | 01.52.0.5PM 20 | BY MR. GILLETTE: |
| трм 21 | A. Correct. | 01.52.06PM 21 | Q. Does this document look familiar to you? |
| явм 22 | Q. All right. Do deficits generally necessitate borrowing to | 01:522889 22 | A. Yes. |
| 01:19:49PM 23 | cover outlays? | ${ }_{01552288 \mathrm{M}} 23$ | Q. Could you describe what it is, please? |
| 00:199.15PM 24 | A. Yes. | 01552889 24 | A. This is a document we use to track the daily cash position |
| 01:19.9.15M 25 | Q. What was the approximately total of U.S. government | ${ }_{0}^{01.152 .369} 25$ | of the federal government, and this would be the primary |
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| 01:49:99PM 1 | borrowings in fiscal year 2007? | 01.52:408M 1 | document we're looking at when the financing group meets to |
| 01:149272PM 2 | A. The total nominal amount of borrowings was $\$ 4.5$ trillion. | 01.52.438M 2 | validate on a weekly basis the borrowing plan we have in place. |
| ${ }^{01 / 49: 2889} \mathbf{3}$ | Q. What do you mean by "nominal"? | ¢ 3 | Q. Okay. Who prepares this document? |
| 01:19:9298 4 | A. I mean the total amount of securities issued nominally was | 01.52:4989 4 | A. My office. |
| 01:19.358M 5 | four-and-a-half trillion dollars, which is different from the | ${ }^{015525.519 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | Q. And when is it prepared in relation to when you're going to |
| 01:49:980PM 6 | deficit, let's say. | 01552.57M 6 | need it? |
| 01:199:40PM 7 | Q. How were most of the proceeds of the sales of securities | 01.52.577M 7 | A. Well, as I indicated earlier, on a quarterly basis this |
| 01:199:47PM 8 | used? | 01.53.017PM 8 | document would be prepared using a baseline forecast of our cash |
| 01:199477M 9 | A. The vast majority of the proceeds were used to refund | ${ }^{01553.068 M} 9$ | and debt of the government, and then each day as actual amounts |
| 01:49.51pm 10 | existing maturing debt. | 01:53:138M 10 | are recorded it would be updated, and so if you can see under |
| 01:14.9.58M 11 | Q. Mr. Grippo, I'm going to show you a table that has been | 01:53208M 11 | the box labeled Cash there toward the right there is a column |
| 01:50:077M 12 | referred to as Attachment A. And have you seen this before? | 01:532.25M 12 | headed ACT that refers to actual, and so this is from May 2008. |
| 01:50:11PM 13 | A. Yes. | 01:53.317M 13 | It is showing all the actual cash balances from last month. |
| 01:50:12PM 14 | Q. If we could go to the last page of this document, I think | 01:593.389 14 | Q. Why don't we go through this kind of from left to right, a |
| 01:50:19PM 15 | it's the fourth page, and to the line for year 2007. Do you see | 01:53.41/19M 15 | column or so at a time, if we can do that, Matthew. Could take |
| 01.50.32PM 16 | in the year 2007, which is right above the totals, a figure for | 01:53.52PM 16 | us through these various columns from left to right, Mr. Grippo? |
| 01:50.377M 17 | total revenues? | 01:53.57PM 17 | A. Well, the first column, Date, obviously is showing each day |
| 01:50.388M 18 | A. Yes. | 01:54.01PM 18 | of the month of May. The next column entitled Mar 31st, March |
| 01:50:008 19 | Q. And that's in Column E? | 0154.0.08M 19 | 31st, 2008, is showing the estimated cash balance to the |
| 01.50:4.17M 20 | A. Um-hmm. | 01.54.1.5PM 20 | hundred-million-dollar increment. That was developed in the |
| 01.50:428M 21 | Q. Okay. What is that number there? | ${ }_{0}^{015452089} 21$ | quarterly forecast that was published on March 31st. |
| 01.50:4889 22 | A. It looks like it's B minus $\mathbf{C}$. The column is B minus $\mathbf{C}$ plus | 01.5424PM 22 | Q. And let me just stop you there for a second. When you say |
| 01:50:57PM 23 | D. | 01:547289 23 | the "estimated cash balance," the estimated cash balance where? |
| 01.50:57PM 24 | Q. But I mean the actual number in 2007. | 01:54.389 24 | A. I mean the operating cash of the government, so that would |
| 01.50:59PM 25 | A. Oh, $\mathbf{3 3 6}$ million. | 01.54.377M 25 | include amounts in the TGA as well as amounts that may be in the |
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Treasury tax and loan system. The total operating cash at the disposal of the Treasury.
Q. And then moving over to the right.
A. So the next column marked Maturities is showing Treasury
bills and notes and bonds in the case of the coupon column that will be maturing on those days.
Q. And how do you use those information?
A. Well, that represents, as I say, maturing debt, which means we must have enough cash on hand to pay for those maturing securities.
Q. And under the pro forma issues, could you explain what that information is?
A. Sure. Pro forma issues shows the borrowing plan that would have been put in place at the start of the quarter. You can see different types of securities there, a four-week bill, a thirteen-week bill, a 26-week bill, etcetera. This shows the amount in billions of dollars for each of those securities which we plan to sell to raise cash for the government.
Q. And again, who does this planning?
A. The Office of Fiscal Projections and the Office of Debt Management within the Treasury.

MR. GILLETTE: And Matthew, could we slide over to the next column over, the New Cash? And actually we might as well take that whole group of columns, if we can. All right. BY MR. GILLETTE:
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19 Q. And then the remaining columns there?
20 A. The last two columns show a particular type of investment, 01:57:42PM 21 term investments, with TT\&L banks, so that shows what proportion 22 of the operating cash we would expect to be in term investments 23 with TT\&L banks. It doesn't show everything that's in the TT\&L 24 system, but terms placements in the TT\&L system. Something more 25 than in one day basically.
Q. Could you please walk us through these next four columns, Mr. Grippo?
A. New Cash Finance is showing based upon what securities are maturing and what new securities we will issue whether the government will be generating new cash or whether it will be on that paying down debt, so if you see a negative number we are paying down debt. If you see a positive number, we are raising cash on that day. You'll note that all of these figures for new cash, well, there's one exception, but generally they occur on Thursdays, which is when all securities transactions settle, so New Cash Finance shows the amount of money we are raising or paying down based upon maturities versus new issues. New Other Cash just refers to the difference in the cash position from our original baseline, which would have been that first column we looked at. And the key column here on this chart that we look at when we are making borrowing decisions is that column Adjusted Cash Balance. That is showing the operating cash of the Treasury for each day.
Q. And to complete this exhibit, if we could just quickly look at the set of columns on the far right under Debt.
A. The Debt box is basically there to track existing debt levels based upon the information you see on the left side of the chart against the statutory debt limit, and so you can see in adjusted debt the amount of debt we have accumulated that is subject to statutory limit. If you go a little further down on the chart you will see the actual debt limit, which is currently $\$ 9.8$ trillion.
Q. When the financing group makes the decisions of what to borrow and how much, does it borrow down to the penny?
A. No.
Q. How does that work?
A. We borrow in billion-dollar increments, and so as we are looking at these balances, we are making a determination whether to increase or decrease the borrowing by at least a billion dollars.
Q. And what is the target that you are looking at when you -what point in these projections is the point that you're looking to in deciding how much overall?
A. Well, the borrowing plan for the quarter basically
establishes what we are going to issue. On a particular day the one thing we would be looking at is whether the adjusted cash balance is approaching five, $\$ 5$ billion, which is the minimum amount of cash we keep in the TGA to ensure there is a
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sufficient balance against an overdraft.
Q. And the projections you're looking at, what do they give you in terms of cash flow?
A. This adjusted cash balance is listed in hundred billion dollar increments.
Q. Now, we looked earlier at the $\$ 336$ million annual IIM
receipts, gross receipts. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. What would that be in weekly terms?
A. It would be roughly six million. 330 by 52 .
Q. Would the weekly $\$ 6$ million in gross IIM receipts impact
the decisions about whether and how much to borrow?
A. It would not
Q. And what are the major cash sources and uses that you look to in making your forecasts?
A. Well, on the receipt side it would be individual income taxes, in particular individual withheld income taxes and employment taxes. Also individual nonwithheld taxes, which would be quarterly estimated taxes or April 15th taxes from individuals. Corporate taxes, customs duties, estate taxes, and unemployment insurance taxes. Those categories I've just listed are more than 98 percent of the receipts of the government. And on the uses or the expenditures, of course there is Social Security payments, Medicare and Medicaid payments, defense spending, and the other one that sometimes we look at would be
education spending, and those constitute the vast majority of spending for the government.
Q. Do you know whether the financing group members know specifically the projected IIM receipts for any given period when they make borrowing decisions?
A. The financing group has no knowledge of 1 IM .
Q. If that information were known, what, if any, difference
would it make?
A. It would make no difference to borrowing decisions.

10 Q. Is the IIM fund balance, the $14 \times 6039$, relevant to any 11 borrowing decision?
12 A. No, it's not known to anyone making a borrowing decision.
13 Q. If gross IIM receipts were eliminated entirely, would that
14 affect the decision about whether and how much to borrow?
15 A. Those gross receipts of roughly $\$ 330$ million, I don't know
$\qquad$

18 a daily amount let alone an annual amount that's under a hundred
19 million dollars, and you can see from all the issues they are
20 all issued in billion-dollar increments, so borrowing decisions
21 are just not sensitive to amounts under, let's say, \$500 million
22 for a given day, which might impact a billion-dollar increment
23 up or down in a borrowing decision.
02:03:10PM 2
24 Q. Now, you recall in looking at Attachment A the 2007 figures
oroan:spM 25 for IIM receipts and disbursements. There was a net figure of Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter

02:03:19PM 1 approximately one hundred one million. Do you remember that?
02:03:23PM 2 A. Yes.
impact borrowing decisions?
A. No, that would have no impact on our borrowing.
Q. If the Department of Interior purchases securities for individual Indians from Treasury, would that have any effect on 13 borrowing decision?
A. No, that would not impact marketable borrowing.

15 Q. If Interior deposits IIM receipts in commercial cash, would that amount of cash even theoretically be considered in
17 borrowing decisions?
18 A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
19 Q. Yes. If the Department of Interior deposits IIM receipts
20 in commercial banks, could that amount of cash even
21 theoretically be considered in borrowing decisions?
22 A. No, I don't believe there would be effect any borrowing
23 decisions.
24 Q. Are you familiar with the acronym CIHO ?
25 A. Yes.
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ого04419M 2
020447 PM 3
02024.489 4
-

13 A. If you aggregated them and it added up to a material large

19 A. We've been talking about an annual marginal amount of IIM
21 too small, at least in this discussion, to impact our borrowing.
22 Q. Now, what if we grossed up, assume that we grossed up all
A. No, that wouldn't mean that at all. Every cash dollar that comes into the TGA is accounted for. The TGA is reconciled every day so all the funds would be accounted for.
Q. Isn't it fair to say that some circumstances exist where it would be an error to disregard small incremental amounts where small incremental amounts can add up to large amounts?
A. If you could rephrase that.
Q. In some circumstances you're looking at small incremental
amounts and it would be erroneous to ignore those if the circumstances were such that those small amounts could add up to large amounts. amount you'd want to pay attention to that.
Q. Do those circumstances apply to what we've been discussing so far?
A. I don't believe so, no.
Q. Why not? funds and, you know, I've said that those marginal amounts are too small, at least in this discussion, to impact our borrowing.
Q. Now, what if we grossed up, assume that we grossed up all of the IIM amounts over this 120-year period that's at issue in
this case. Would the results of this analysis be any different?
Would your answer be any different?
Q. What does that mean?
A. It stands for Cash in I nvestments Held Outside Treasury.
Q. What does that mean?
A. That means that's a report or a description of cash in
accounts that are not part of the Treasury's operating balance,
which is to say cash that we are not controlling at Treasury and
thus do not impact borrowing decisions, and it reflects
investments held with commercial brokers and dealers outside of the Treasury.
Q. Is Keogh taken into consideration in debt management?
A. It is not.
Q. Is Keogh considered available to Treasury?
A. No.
Q. Are TT\&L balances taken into consideration in borrowing decisions?
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. And are they available to Treasury?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Is cash held by agencies in commercial banks held in TT\&L accounts?
A. No. An agency would not hold cash in a TT\&L account.
Q. Okay. Now, does the fact that the amount of IIM coming into the TGA, we're talking cash here, does the fact that that amount is relatively small mean that Treasury ignores IIM all together or that there is some risk of it getting lost in the
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|  | 1248 |  | 1250 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 020.77.45PM 1 | A. I don't think it would. If you gross up all the IIM | 02:10:56PM 1 | A. No. |
| 02077.48PM 2 | amounts and then on the other side of the ledger gross up all | ${ }^{02: 10.588 P M} 2$ | Q. When a government security is paid off, would the interest |
| 0207.5.5PM 3 | the borrowing on balance, it would still not change the | 02:1:106PM 3 | on that security stop accruing? |
| ${ }^{020077.58 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{4}$ | analysis. | 02:1:1:08PM 4 | A. Yes. |
| ${ }^{02075 \text { FTPM }} 5$ | Q. Now I'm going to ask you several questions where I'm going | 02:17144PM 5 | Q. Is that the interest that the government would save by not |
| ${ }^{02080.028 M} 6$ | to ask you to put aside the testimony you just gave and assume | 02:1:1:19PM 6 | having to borrow that amount of money? |
| 02:08:07PM 7 | for purposes of these questions that the theory that every | 02:1:1217PM 7 | A. Yes. |
| ${ }^{02088: 128 M} 8$ | dollar in hand eliminates a dollar of borrowing. Do you | 02:1:1258M 8 | Q. And typically how are interest payments made on government |
| 02:08:15PM 9 | understand that? | ${ }^{0221: 1328 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | securities, how and when are they made? |
| 02:08:15PM 10 | A. Um-hmm. | 02:1:1:35PM 10 | A. Well, on a bill which is sold on a discount basis from par, |
| ${ }^{02008: 1689} 1$ | Q. Okay. Now, let's go back to Attachment A, please. I want | 02:1:174PM 11 | interest would not be paid over time but would be factored into |
| 020.8.29PM 12 | to go to just the 1887 line all the way at the top. You see | 02:11:50PM 12 | the discounted amount at which it was sold. For a note or a |
| ${ }^{02088.409} 13$ | there in Column G a dollar figure, Mr. Grippo? | 02:11.55PM 13 | bond or another type of coupon security, interest would be paid |
| 02.08.459M 14 | A. Yes. | 02:1.1.58PM 14 | under current rules on a semi-annual basis. |
| ${ }^{02088.488 \mathrm{M}} 15$ | Q. What is your understanding of that dollar figure? | 02:12:2389 15 | Q. Would that be simple interest or compound interest? |
| ${ }_{020.052 P M} 16$ | A. That I guess $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 9 , 0 0 0}$ is the difference between total | 02:12.068 16 | A. Simple interest. |
| 020:8.57PM 1 | revenues and disbursements. | 02:12:77PM 17 | MR. GILLETTE: I have no further questions at this |
| 02:09:01PM | Q. Let's assume that for present purposes all the government's | 02:2:19PM 18 | time. I would move into evidence Defense Exhibits 502, the |
| 02099:11pM 19 | outlays and receipts are in sync. Are you following me? | 02:12:25PM 19 | Federal Reserve Board of New York article, and Exhibit 503, the |
| $\text { 02:09:33PM } 20$ | A. Sure. | 02:12:32PM 20 | one-page in rejection of cash. |
|  | Q. Okay. But we pull out that $\$ 179,000$, creating an immediate | 02:12.37>M 21 | MR. GINGOLD: Objection, your Honor. There is no |
| ${ }_{020.9238 \mathrm{PM}} 22$ | need for cash to fill that void. Do you understand that? | 02:12:40PM 22 | discussion of cash and what it means. |
| 02009268M 23 | A. Yes. | 02:12:42PM 23 | THE COURT: If that were the rule, there would be an |
| 0209930Р 24 | Q. Would you expect under those circumstances that the | 02:12:4689 24 | ful lot of evidence in this case that would not be received. |
| 02:09.38P⿳ 25 | government would sell a note for that amount, based on, you | 02:12:488. 25 | I'm going to receive it for what it's worth. The exhibits will |
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| 02090.37PM | know, obviously you weren't here in 1887, but based on your | 02:12:52PM 1 | be received. |
| 020:9417PM | knowledge today? | 02:12.53PM 2 | Time for cross-examination. |
| ${ }^{020094.42 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{3}$ | A. It would not sell a note. | 02:12.53PM 3 | (Defendants' Exhibit Nos. 502 and 503 received into |
| 020:94.459 4 | Q. But given the assumption that it would have to make up this | 02:12.53PM 4 | evidence at about 2:14 p.m.) |
| 0209:50pm 5 | \$179,000 deficit given today's circumstances | 02:13:22PM 5 | MR. GINGOLD: Good afternoon, your Honor. |
| 02:095.53PM | A. Yes. | 02:13:23PM 6 | THE COURT: Good afternoon. |
| 02:09.55PM | Q. -- would that note have some maturity? | 02:13:23PM 7 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 02.095 .57 PM | A. I said it wouldn't sell a note. A note is sort of a medium | 02:13:23PM 8 | BY MR. GINGOLD: |
| 02:10:02PM 9 | term instrument. To make up that deficit we'd sell probably a | 02:13.24PM 9 | Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Grippo. My name is Dennis Gingold and |
| 02:10.077 10 | bill, some short-term instrument, a year or less. | 02:132888. 10 | 1 represent plaintiffs. |
| 02:10:010 11 | Q. So what would the term be, the maturity of that instrument | 02:13:349 11 | Mr. Grippo, how long have you been a member of the |
| 02:10:168 12 | be? | 02:13.38¢M 12 | financing group? |
| 02:10:168M 13 | A. It would most certainly be less than a year, and probably | 02:13.377M 13 | A. For about a year. Since last July. |
| 02:10:999M 14 | would be the shortest instrument we have for an amount that | 02:13:417M 14 | Q. Did you attend financing group meetings prior to that? |
| 02:10:24PM 15 | small. | 02:13:468 15 | A. No. |
| 02:10:249 16 | Q. I just want to be clear, we're not talking about 179,000 | 02:13:77PM 16 | Q. Sir, are you speaking then or testifying today based on |
| 02:10.299 17 | relative to the trillions that we have today? | 02:13:54PM 17 | your experience as a member of the financing group? |
| 02:10.317m 18 | A. Right. | 02:13.56PM 18 | A. Based on that experience and other knowledge. |
| 02:10:31PM 19 | Q. I'm just saying if it was you absolutely had to have an | 02:4.4.2PM 19 | Q. Other knowledge that you directly picked up in association |
| 02:10.389 20 | amount of money, any amount of money, even the 336 million we're | 02:14.0.68M 20 | with the financing group? |
| 02:10.42PM 21 | talking about in 2007, the government would have to sell some | 02:14.07PM 21 | A. Other knowledge that $I$ have as deputy assistant secretary. |
| 02:0:0.468M 22 | kind of securities to make up that deficit, correct? | 02:44:11pM 22 | Q. Does your knowledge go back in time as deputy assistant |
| 02:10:498P 23 | A. Correct. |  | secretary beyond the year? |
| 02:1:5:50p 24 | Q. Have you ever heard of the government security with 121 | 02:14.18PM 24 | A. Yes. |
| 02:10:568 25 | year maturity? | 02:14:20PM 25 | Q. How far back? |
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| 02:14221PM 02:4322PM |  | A. Well, I have knowledge of various statutes that have been passed in the past that are still in effect. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02:14.38PM | 3 | Q. Are the decisions of the financing group determined by |
| 02:14.42PM | 4 | statute? |
| 02:14.43PM | 5 | A. The specific amounts that the financing group decides on |
| 02:14.53PM | 6 | are not laid out in a specific statute. The framework within |
| 02:44:56PM | 7 | which we make those decisions are obviously laid out. |
| 02:15:01PM | 8 | Everything we do is laid out on that basis and statute. |
| 02:15.55PM | 9 | Q. So with respect to the decisions that have been made since |
| 02:15.09PM 10 | 10 | you've been a member of the financing group, other than the |
| 02:15:12PM 1 | 11 | framework, the statutes don't have any relevance to the |
| 02:15:168M 1 | 12 | decisions; is that correct? |
| 02:15:77PM 1 | 13 | A. I don't know that I would agree with that. |
| 02:15:208M 14 | 14 | Q. Do the statutes provide specifically what funds held by the |
| 02:15.277M 1 | 15 | United States government you are to consider in determining the |
| 02:15.32PM 16 | 16 | borrowing needs of the government? |
| 02:15.33PM 1 | 17 | A. Yes, that's correct. |
| 02:15.377M 1 | 18 | Q. Okay. Which statute do you recall? |
| 02:15.39PM 1 | 19 | A. Well, as an example, 31 USC 323, I believe, lays out what |
| 02:15.538M 20 | 20 | amounts we can invest and where we can invest it. |
| 02:15.588M 21 | 21 | Q. I was unclear, I'm sorry. Do your decisions on the |
| 02:16:04PM 2 | 22 | financing group which are determined by statute, are they made |
| 02:16:09PM 23 | 23 | based on a statutory requirement that you consider funds, |
| 02:16:77pM 24 | 24 | certain funds that are held by the United States government? |
| 02:16:22PM 25 | 25 | A. I think the answer is yes. I mean, if there are certain |
|  |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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02:16:288M 1 statutes which give us control over cash, those are factored
02:17:700PM 9
02:18:00PM 25 isn't it?

```
02:16:56\textrm{M}}88\mathrm{ A. I don't know if the Treasury general account is mentioned
12 Q. So that's a specific authorization?
13 A. Yes.
14 Q. Generally when you're making the borrowing decisions as a
02:17/2PM 22 A. It is not within our discretion to disburse funds if
02:17:500M 23 there's not a sufficient amount in the TGA.
02:77.:53M 24 Q. Okay. Thank you. Sorry. So TGA is an important factor, into our financing decisions. If an agency has authority to hold cash some place else or that is not part of the Treasury operating cash, then that authority constrains what we do in making borrowing decision.
Q. So for example, does the statute speak to the Treasury general account, the 31 USC 323, I believe you stated?
A. I don't know if the Treasury general account is mentioned
in that statute. That statute identifies Treasury tax and loan accounts as banks, as banks in which we may invest excess operating cash. member of the financing group, are those borrowing decisions determined by other explicit statutory authorizations such as Treasury tax and loan account, deposit fund accounts, or
A. It is not within our discretion to disburse funds if there's not a sufficient amount in the TGA.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Sorry. So TGA is an important factor, isn't it?
```

1
02:18:01PM${ }^{02: 18: 10 P M} 4$
02:18:13PM 502:18:16PM 6
A. Certainly.
Q. And the funds are in the TGA, wherever those funds came
from, those funds are considered as part of the aggregate
balance in the TGA in your borrowing decisions; is that correct?
A. Yes. The balance of the TGA is considered in the borrowing
decision.
Q. And quite frankly, you don't care what the composition of the TGA is, you care about the aggregate balance, don't you?
A. We care about the balance, that's correct.
Q. And if the balance is maintained to a certain level, it affects what you need to borrow; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if a balance is at a certain level, it can affect your
decisions on which securities to redeem, correct?
A. It really wouldn't affect which security we redeem. Those would mature and we would refund those. The last time our operating balances impacted a specific security to redeem would have been six or seven years ago when we were running surpluses and we bought back debt, but otherwise we wait for the debt to mature and then refund it or pay for it.
Q. And during the period of time where you were buying back debt, was the government still borrowing money?
A. Yes.
Q. And when it was borrowing money and when you were having
your -- you weren't on the financing group at that time, were
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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02:9:9:30PM 1 you?

## A. Yes.

Q. Now, you were asked a question by government counsel aboutwhat you thought about how transactions may have been handled in 1887, correct, based on Schedule A?
A. I recall a question on that amount and Schedule A.
Q. I'm going to assume you know what happened in 1887

17 A. Okay.
18 Q. In 1887 do you know how the borrowing decisions were made?
02:2:308P 19 A. Not specifically, no.
20 Q. Through what period of time do you have confidence
0220:35PM 21 testifying with regard to how the borrowing decisions were made?
02:20.37>M 22
A. Roughly since 1978.

12:20:455M 23
Q. Why 1978?

02:20:468M 24
A. Well, that's roughly the time when the regular and

25 predictable borrowing strategy was codified or implemented, and Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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|  | 1256 |  | 1258 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{0220.59 P M} 1$ | it's also the time around which the statutes on investing excess | ${ }^{0223,488 \mathrm{M}} 1$ | into those particular financial agent banks that you're talking |
| 0222:.05PM 2 | operating cash were put in place, and it also happens to be the | 0223.511PM 2 | about are concentrated into the main TGA at the Federal Reserve |
| 022:1:11PM 3 | amount of time when individuals on my staff began working in the | ${ }^{02} 273.58 \mathrm{PM} 3$ | Bank of New York. |
| ${ }^{02221: 168 M} 4$ | Treasury, which provides me with information about how borrowing | ${ }^{02235378 \mathrm{PM}} 4$ | Q. And is the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, is that the |
| ${ }^{022212389} 5$ | decisions were made. | 0224.02PM 5 | TGA or is that the government operating account or the Treasury |
| 022:124PM 6 | Q. How long have you been at the Treasury? | 0224.048M 6 | operating account? |
| ${ }^{0221212889} 7$ | A. I've worked at the Treasury for sixteen years. | 02.24.05PM 7 | A. The term "Treasury General Account," as I'm using it, |
| 0221:288M 8 | Q. So did you work with Mr. Gregg? | 0224.17PM 8 | refers to the account at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. |
| 0222:1308M 9 | A. Yes. | ${ }^{0224.45 \mathrm{SPM}} 9$ | Q. Have you heard the term "operating account" before? |
| 022:1:308M 10 | Q. Did you work with Mr. Hammond? | 0224.19PM 10 | A. Colloquially, yes. |
| 022:1:389 11 | A. Yes. | 0224.238M 11 | Q. Are the funds transferred on their way to the Treasury into |
| ${ }^{\text {022:1738. }} 12$ | Q. Were you informed at all about Mr. Gregg's testimony in | 27р 12 | the federal reserve bank and are held by the federal reserve |
| 02221:42PM 13 | this litigation? | 0224.308M 13 | bank before they're credited to the TGA, or does the credit to |
| ${ }_{0} 0221 / 428 \mathrm{PM} 14$ | A. Yes. | 0224.355M 14 | the TGA occur simultaneously with posting to the Federal Reserve |
| 0222:148PM 15 | Q. What is your understanding of that? | 0224.399\% 15 | Bank of New York? |
| 0222:477MM 16 | MR. GILLETT: Objection; vague. | 0224.40PM 16 | A. The TGA is at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York when |
| 0222:50PM 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. | 0224.52PM 17 | there is a credit to the TGA that is functioned by the Federal |
| ${ }_{0} 0221.52 \mathrm{PM} 18$ | BY MR. GINGOLD: | 0224.568M 18 | Reserve Bank of New York. |
| ${ }_{0} 0221: 52 \mathrm{PM} 19$ | Q. Do you know whether or not Mr. Gregg testified in this case | 02250.22PM 19 | Q. When funds are deposited, or I guess it's not funds, when |
| 0222:15sPM 20 | that the Treasury general -- that to the extent funds were in | отрм 20 | credits are made to the TGA, how long are those credits held in |
| 02222.09PM 21 | the general Treasury account there would be a benefit to the | SPM 21 | the TGA before a Statement of Transaction authorizes a |
| 0222:077 22 | government? | 22PM 22 | classification to a particular account like the 6039 account at |
| 0222:07pM 23 | A. I guess I recall in general a discussion and his testimony | 25.349M 23 | Treasury? |
| 0222:12PM 24 | about that. | 02.25.3PPM 24 | A. The statement of account or statement of transactions is an |
| 0222:49PM 25 | Q. And do you recall whether or not in his testimony it would | ${ }^{02} 25.38 \mathrm{P}$ M 25 | end-of-the-month process, so that occurs on a monthly cycle. |
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| 0222:18PM | involve funds held in the Treasury account would affect | 02:2542PM 1 | Q. And until that time the funds continue to be held in the TG |
| 02222:17PM 2 | decisions on borrowing by the government? | ${ }_{0} 0225.46 \mathrm{PM} \mathrm{P}$ | -- or the credits continue to be posted to the TGA, correct? |
| ${ }^{\text {0222223PM }} 3$ | A. I have a vague recollection of that. | 02255.50PM 3 | A. I don't think that's accurate. |
| ${ }^{\text {0222227PM }} 4$ | Q. Do you agree with the statement to the extent there are | ¢89 4 | Q. Okay. What is the correct situation? |
| ${ }^{02} 22.238 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | funds that come into the general Treasury account these are | ${ }^{0226.038 \mathrm{SM}} 5$ | A. Well, the agency involved would have its own ledger, |
| ${ }^{02222388 \mathrm{PM}} 6$ | considered in the borrowing decisions that are made by the | ${ }^{02286.78 \mathrm{PM}} 6$ | subsidiary ledger, which is keeping track of the amounts that |
| ${ }^{02} 2223$ 399 7 | department? | 02.26.15PM 7 | should be credited to a fund in the general ledger. That |
| ${ }^{\text {0222:3spm }} 8$ | A. The level of the TGA is considered in borrowing decisions. | ${ }^{02} 26.18 \mathrm{BPM} 8$ | process is synchronized at the end of the month, but I don't |
| ${ }^{02222438 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | Q. Do commercial banks that are agents of the Treasury and | ${ }^{02268217 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | think it maps back directly to cash flowing through credits or |
| ${ }_{0} 0222.55 \mathrm{~m}$ 10 | sometimes they're referred to as financial agents, are you aware | ${ }^{02268249 \mathrm{M}} 10$ | debits to the TGA. The two are very different concepts. |
| ${ }^{02222.57 \mathrm{FM}} 11$ | of that term? | 0226.289M 11 | Q. The one individual Indian trust funds are collected and |
| 0222:588M 12 | A. Yes. | ${ }^{0226.385 \mathrm{M}} 12$ | deposited into the Treasury? |
| ${ }^{0222}$ 2.59PM 13 | Q. Do you agree that's a proper term to characterize banks | ${ }^{0226.355 M} 13$ | A. The cash is deposited into the Treasury. |
| 0223:308M 14 | that are authorized to take deposits of government money? | 0226.388. 14 | Q. Could you describe the process? |
| 0223.088M 15 | A. Yes. | 0226.39PM 15 | A. Well, there are various collection mechanisms, but the |
| 02223.09PM 16 | Q. Do financial agent banks have components or hold components | 0226.4PPM 16 | simplest one would have cash deposited, let's say, at a |
| 0223:15PM 17 | of the Treasury General Account? | 0226.50PM 17 | financial agent bank, and that bank would report that money into |
| 0223:77PM 18 | A. No. | 0226.57PM 18 | our collection and deposit system which would trigger the |
| 0223:177>M 19 | Q. They do not? | 0227.71PM 19 | concentration of the money, the cash, into the TGA. At the same |
| 0223322PM 20 | A. No, they don't. | 0227.08PM 20 | time the responsible agency would need to create credit and |
| ${ }_{0} 023242 \mathrm{PM} 21$ | Q. The funds that are deposited in a financial agent bank for | 02.27:12PM 21 | debit fund account entries. |
| ${ }^{0223.3119 M} 22$ | ultimate deposit in the Treasury, how are those funds viewed by | 0227.15PM 22 | Q. During what period of time, before the end of the month or |
| ${ }_{0} 0223.368 \mathrm{~m} 23$ | the Treasury today? | о2:27:19PM 23 | at the end of the month? |
| 0223.37>M 24 | A. Oh, I see. There are certain financial agent banks which | 02.2721PM 24 | A. I guess agencies do it differently, but the reconciliation |
| ${ }^{02237428 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | take deposits over-the-counter from agencies and amounts that go | 02272727M 25 | of their fund balance with Treasury would happen at the end of |
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02277300M 1 the month.
2 Q. Are you aware of difficulties over the years with regard to the accurate reporting of deposits from all agencies of the government?
o227399M 5 A. I don't know specifically what you mean. I know that
oo2744PM 6 obviously in the course of reconciliation there are statements
A. No, not specifically

11 Q. Are you aware as to whether or not Interior itself had
12 out-of-balance ledger issues with respect to funds deposited in
13 the Treasury?
14 A. I don't have any specific knowledge of it.
15 Q. Would that affect Treasury's operation at all if Interior's
16 ledgers were out of balance?
17 A. It would reflect in auditors' opinions of financial
18 statements.
19 Q. For the Interior or for the Treasury?
02:28.38Рм 19
20 A. Well, certainly for Interior. It may not be material for Treasury.

MR. GINGOLD: Plaintiffs would like to have marked for identification Exhibit 136.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 136 was marked for
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR

Official Court Reporter and Accounting for Treasury Banking Arrangements. This is a GAO report dated April 3rd, 1985. Have you ever seen this report?
A. No.
Q. I would like you to turn your attention to page seven of
${ }^{02} 292923 \mathrm{~m} 7$ this report. In the highlighted section of page seven there's a statement, Past audit work is shown in some agencies. Do not place a high priority on reconciling deposit information. It goes on to say, Therefore, we continue to believe Treasury needs to examine alternative control mechanisms for preventing and detecting bank delays, including agency initiation of the transfers. Treasury's primary objections to -- there is an alternative mentioned -- are that agencies could not be 15 controlled to provide accurate deposit information to the banks
and that frequency with which agencies make deposit errors would place an added burden on the banks. However, Treasury officials said the data is not available on the extent to which agencies made deposit errors.

THE COURT: What is the date of this letter?
MR. GINGOLD: This is a 1985 GAO report, your Honor.
It's April 3rd, 1985.
BY MR. GINGOLD:
24 Q. Sir, you had not been aware of the concerns about the
25 accuracy of deposits in the Treasury?

## Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR <br> Official Court Reporter

A. I've not seen this report.
Q. I'd like to also turn your attention to page seventeen of this report. In the middle of the page this is a paragraph dealing with agency reconciliations of deposits may not detect all delays. It says, FMS instructions have centered on reconciling total monthly deposits rather than detecting delays. It is especially important that guidance be given because the agencies have had primary responsibility for using the reconciliation system to resolve deposit differences since January 1983. It goes on, FMS was responsible for the reconciliation prior to that time.

THE COURT: Mr. Gingold, this witness said his knowledge goes back just barely to 1985, derivatively through the people who work for him. He doesn't claim any knowledge before that time. Do you really need this witness to really bounce these things off, or could you just put them in evidence? MR. GINGOLD: Well, no. I just thought it was opened up when he was asked questions about what happened in 1887 , so I thought going back to 1985 wasn't going too far back.

THE COURT: Have at it, Mr. Gingold.
MR. GINGOLD: Never mind, your Honor.
Plaintiffs would like to identify again Exhibit 139.
BY MR. GINGOLD:
Q. Mr. Grippo, were you in the courtroom when I was asking your colleague about this particular exhibit?

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter
A. I don't believe I was, no.
Q. I would like to turn to page -- this is -- oh, by the way, since you weren't here, I'll explain it. If you can go to the first page, please. It's an item identified as a Fiscal Year 2009 Budget of the United States Government Office of Management and Budget. Have you seen this report?
A. I'm generally aware of it. I probably know some basic totals in it, but I've not read through the budget.
Q. It's a big report, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. First I'd like to turn to page five of this report. And if we can focus in on the headnote in the middle at the top portion of the page. It states, Budget Deficit Or Surplus and Means of Financing. So that is the subject matter of the item I'm going to read to you. We can go to page six, please. In the middle or the top of page six there's a highlighted section. I'm going to read a portion of the highlighted section and ask you if it's consistent with your testimony. Deposit fund balances may be held in the form of either invested or uninvested balances. To the extent they are not invested, changes in the balances are available to finance expenditures and are recorded as a means of financing other than borrowing from the public. I'll stop at that point. Have you ever seen any statement like this before from OMB?
A. I have not read this statement before.

|  | 1264 | 1266 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 023:4,10PM 1 | Q. And do you have any understanding of what this means based | ${ }^{02}$ 238.33PM 1 | A. I see it. |
| ${ }^{023} 34.7 \mathrm{TPM} 2$ | on your experience as a member of the financing committee? | ${ }^{02} \mathbf{0 2 3 8 . 4 8 P M} 2$ | Q. This one itself or subsequent ones? |
| 02344.19PM 3 | A. I'd like to read it. | ${ }^{02} 38.0808 \mathrm{M}$ | A. No, I've seen this. |
| 24PM 4 | Q. Okay. Go ahead. | ${ }^{02} \mathbf{0} 38.78 \mathrm{PM} 4$ | Q. I'd like to turn to page 134, please. If we can focus in |
| ${ }^{\text {023:351PM }} 5$ | A. Okay. | ${ }^{02} 238.15 \mathrm{SPM} 5$ | on the top paragraph. Do you know the fund balances? |
| ${ }^{023} 34.51 \mathrm{PM} 6$ | Q. First, do you have an understanding of what a deposit fund | ${ }^{02} 238.24 \mathrm{PM} 6$ | A. Yes. |
| 023:5459m 7 | balance is? | ${ }^{02} 388.24 \mathrm{PM} 7$ | Q. What is it? |
| ${ }^{\text {0234,5spm }} 8$ | A. Yes. | ${ }^{02} 238.58 \mathrm{PM} 8$ | A. It think of it as an amount that is in a general ledger |
| ${ }^{\text {0234.56PM }} 9$ | Q. What is your understanding? | ${ }^{02383.17 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | account in the U.S. central summary general ledger. |
| 023:4.588m 10 | A. Deposit fund balance would be the amount in a deposit fund | 02.38.3P9 10 | Q. Is it considered resources of the government? |
| 0235.494 11 | liability account in the general ledger of the U.S. government. | 0238.388M 11 | A. Resources of the government? Yes. It could be. |
| ${ }_{\text {02, } 35.688 \mathrm{PM}} 12$ | Q. Do you know whether the individual Indian trust funds are | 0238842PM 12 | Q. Let me -- |
| 02.35.117M 13 | deposit funds held by the government? | 02388.4PM 13 | A. Most of the accounts in the general ledger are liabilities. |
| 02.35.13PM 14 | A. It's my understanding that the IIM fund is a deposit fund. | 02388:889 14 | Q. But a liability can be a resource as well, correct, a |
| 02.35:77PM 15 | Q. Do you see there is a distinction between invested and | 02.38.53PM 15 | deposit liability, correct, because you relend the deposit, |
| 0235522PM 16 | uninvested balances? | 02.38.588M 16 | right? |
| 02.35.23PM 17 | A. Yes. | 0238.59PM 17 | A. Um-hmm. As with what? |
| ${ }_{\text {023528PM }} 18$ | Q. What is your understanding of that? | 0239902PM 18 | Q. You can relend a deposit, you use the funds, correct? |
| 02.35.25PM 19 | A. Well, certain amounts in the overall 6039 account may be | 02399.68P 19 | A. I'm not following you there. |
| зтрм 20 | invested and others may be held uninvested in that fund balance. | 02399.78P 20 | Q. Well, let's go on. |
| 02.35.4PM 21 | Q. And with regard to the uninvested balances, what is your | 02:39.98P 21 | Fund balance. Fund balance with Treasury is the |
| 02.35.49PM 22 | understanding of what they may be used for based on this | ¢PM 22 | aggregate amount of the Treasury Department's accounts with the |
| ${ }_{\text {0235.53PM }} 23$ | statement? | 02:39.77PM 23 | U.S. government's central accounts from which the Treasury |
| ${ }_{\text {0235.53PM }} 24$ | A. Well, this says changes in the balances are available to | 02.399.9PM 24 | Department is authorized to make expenditures and pay |
| 02386.03PM 25 | finance expenditures. I think changes in the amount of cash | ${ }_{0} 2$ 23922PM 25 | liabilities. It is an asset because it represents the Treasury |
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| ${ }^{\text {0236:3PM }} 1$ | coming into or leaving the government in connection with a | ${ }^{02} 39925 \mathrm{PM} 1$ | Department's claim to the U.S. government's resources. Is that |
| ${ }^{02366.18 P M} 2$ | deposit fund would impact financing and therefore balances may | ${ }^{02} 399.30 \mathrm{PM} 2$ | your understanding? |
| ${ }_{\text {0236 } 238 \mathrm{~Pa}} \mathbf{3}$ | be available to finance expenditures. I don't know that the | 02,39.30PM 3 | A. Yes. |
| ${ }^{\text {0236288PM }} 4$ | liability amount in the deposit fund represents any cash that | ${ }^{02} 39.31 \mathrm{PM} 4$ | Q. Now, do you see there are items -- if we can drop down to |
| ${ }^{\text {0236,38PM }} 5$ | would impact a financing decision. | ${ }^{02} 399.55 \mathrm{M} \quad 5$ | the next -- thank you. |
| ${ }^{\text {02:36.38PM }} 6$ | Q. But it would seem to provide that it is available for | ${ }^{02} 239.98 \mathrm{PM} \mathbf{6}$ | There are items that are identified for fund balances |
| 0236.4PM 7 | financing; is that correct? | 02399400. 7 | with Treasury as of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005. |
| ${ }^{02366.45 \mathrm{SM}} \mathbf{8}$ | A. That's what it says. I don't believe that the fund balance | ${ }^{\text {02,39,48PM }} \mathbf{8}$ | With regard to the column that we have in front of you now, do |
| ${ }^{0236.54 P M} 9$ | of the deposit fund is anything we look at in making a financing | ${ }^{22399.54 P M} 9$ | you see the particular funds that are considered in the fund |
| 02.37.00p 10 | decision, however. | 02399.7TPM 10 | balance? |
| 0237.01PM 11 | Q. At least that's not what you have looked at since you've | 0239959PM 11 | A. Yes. |
| о237.088M 12 | been a member of the financing committee or financing group for | 02400.02PM 12 | Q. And appropriated funds, revolving funds, trust funds, |
| 02.37.08PM 13 | the last year, correct? | 02400.08PM 13 | clearing funds, deposit funds, special funds and others, |
| 0237.099. 14 | A. That's correct. We are not looking at all the general | 02:40.099 14 | correct? |
| 02.37:4PM 15 | ledger fund accounts, the assets and liabilities of the | 02400.98P 15 | A. Yes. |
| 02.37:77PM 16 | government. We're looking at our operating cash. | 02:40:10PM 16 | Q. Does your committee consider the balances in these funds in |
| 02377208M 17 | Q. And that's not to say you can speak for your predecessor | 02:40.99PM 17 | any way in making your borrowing decisions today? |
| 02.37249M 18 | financing committees, correct? | 02:40.22PM 18 | A. No. |
| 02377268M 19 | A. That's true. | 02400.24PM 19 | Q. Do you know if your predecessors did? |
| 02.37.30PM 20 | Q. You don't know. |  | A. I don't believe they would. |
| ${ }^{\text {02373.35M }} 21$ | Plaintiffs would like to again bring up Exhibit 140. | 02:40.317M 21 | Q. But do you know? |
| ${ }^{023} 7.75 \mathrm{FPM} 22$ | 140 is identified as an FTY 2006 Performance and Accountability | ${ }^{02440.38 \mathrm{P}} 22$ | A. But I wasn't in the room for borrowing decisions made a |
| ${ }^{023} 7.75 \mathrm{FPM} 23$ | Report For Treasury. This is before you were in the position | ${ }^{02440.55 \mathrm{P}} 23$ | century ago. |
| ${ }^{02377.59 P M} 24$ | you're in now, but have you seen this particular document | ${ }^{02440.37 \mathrm{PM}} 24$ | Q. Well, your predecessors let's say ten years ago, do you |
| 02.38.338M 25 | before? | 02:40:409M 25 | know if they did? |
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| 02:40:40PM 1 | A. Ten years ago they would not have looked at this. | ${ }^{0243822 P M} 1$ | Q. If the check isn't paid for a year where are the credits |
| 02:40:42PM 2 | Q. Beg your pardon? | ${ }^{\text {02:4327PM }} \mathbf{2}$ | for -- |
| 10.43PM 3 | A. They would not have looked at this. | 02:43:37PM 3 | A. There's a liability for an outstanding check. |
| 50.45PM | Q. Prior to 1985? | 02:43288PM 4 | Q. But where are the credits held, because the account is |
| 46PM | A. I don't believe they'd be looking at ledger balances in the | ${ }^{02243322 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | debited, correct? |
| 51PM 6 | U.S. standard general ledger. Borrowing decisions are derived | 02:43:33PM 6 | A. The fund balance is debited. |
| 02:40:57PM 7 | from our cash position, not amounts in trust funds, deposit | 02:43.34PM 7 | Q. So where are the credits held? |
| рм 8 | funds, special funds, but the operating cash we have on hand, | 02:43377M 8 | A. I don't think there's a credit held anywhere. There is a |
| 02:41:05PM 9 | and so, I mean, while I don't know how borrowing decisions were | ${ }^{022433428 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{9}$ | corresponding liability for the outstanding check. |
| Ppm 10 | made a hundred years ago, we borrow in general whether now or in | 02:434.479M 10 | Q. But the funds haven't left the Treasury, have they? |
| 11 | the past, to make sure we have enough cash on hand. | 02:43:49PM 11 | A. No funds have left -- no cash has left the TGA. |
| m 12 | Q. Right. And that's why you focus on the TGA, because that's | 02:43:35PM 12 | Q. So is the cash still in the Treasury reflected in the |
| ¢pm 13 | a cash account, correct? | 02:4.017PM 13 | 14X6039 account? |
| 29PM 14 | A. Correct. | 02:4.4.03PM 14 | A. I don't think any cash is reflected there. That's a |
| ${ }^{24 \mathrm{P}} 15$ | Q. And to the extent individual trust funds have been retained | 02:44.088M 15 | general ledger account fund balance. |
| м 16 | in any way in the TGA, they would be considered as well, | 02.44.068M 16 | Q. Are credits still reflected in the Treasury? |
| 17 | correct? | 02:44.09Pm 17 | A. I don't know what that means. What account, cash basis, |
| 18 | A. I don't think they're retained in the TGA. | 02:44.14PM 18 | fund basis? |
| 19 | Q. To the extent they are, how do they come in -- excuse me. | 02:44.15PM 19 | Q. Well, I'll keep it simple. A 10.00 check is cut on the |
| M 20 | Go ahead. | (144217 120 | 14X6039, \$10.00 is debited |
| 21 | A. Well, I mean, cash gets deposited to the TGA, but a credit | 21 | A. The fund balance for? |
| ¢ 22 | to a trust fund or a deposit fund is an entirely different | 02:442589M 22 | Q. Is debited. |
| PM 23 | balance. | 02:442889 23 | A. It's decremented. |
| pm 24 | Q. Do you know what happens -- | 02:44277M 24 | Q. So it's now $\$ 10.00$ less than before it was cut? |
| S 4 PM 25 | A. In the TGA. | ${ }_{\text {024.4.31PM }} 25$ | A. Right. |
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| 02:415:5PM 1 | Q. I'm sorry, go ahead. | 02:4.328M 1 | Q. So the check hasn't been paid? |
| .56PM 2 | A. No, I'm finished. | 02:44.3P9 2 | A. So there's an outstanding liability for a check. |
| 557PM 3 | Q. Do you know what happens when a check is cut on the 14×6039 | 02:4.4.389 3 | Q. But where is the money that has been debited from the |
| 420389 4 | account? | 02:44.400M 4 | account? |
| ${ }^{\text {02420.3PM }} 5$ | A. In general I would know, yes. | 02:444009 5 | A. The money? |
| P20PM 6 | Q. And what is that? | 02:44.417M 6 | Q. Uninvested funds. Where are the uninvested funds? |
| ${ }^{\text {o2a20.0sm }} 7$ | A. Well, in general if a check -- if the Department of the | 02:44.50PM 7 | A. The fund balance of 6039 would be reduced. At the end of |
| 02:42:10PM 8 | Interior cut such a check it would need to make sure that the | ${ }^{022455089 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{8}$ | the month when the Treasury is reconciling that account with the |
| ${ }^{\text {0242:15PM }} 9$ | fund balance in that account is debited or decremented for that | ${ }^{02} 4.55098 \mathrm{M} ~ 9$ | Department of the Interior that reconciliation of the fund |
| ${ }_{0} 024221 \mathrm{PM} 10$ | amount to reflect the expenditure. | ${ }_{0} 2.45 .7$ 7PM 10 | balance would need to show that the amount of checks issued and |
| ${ }_{0} 0242238 \mathrm{PM} 11$ | Q. At the time the check is cut, correct? | 02:45208M 11 | possibly paid can be reconciled to the amount that was debited |
| spm 12 | A. Yes. On its own books it should reflect that at the time | ${ }_{0} 0245528 \mathrm{PM} 12$ | or decremented from the fund balance. |
| 02:42289PM 13 | the check is cut. The agency would on a weekly or certainly on | ${ }_{0} 0245888 \mathrm{M} 13$ | Q. But my question was: The check hasn't been paid? |
| 02:42388¢ 14 | at least a monthly basis inform the Treasury of the checks that | ${ }_{\text {02:45.317M }} 14$ | A. Right. |
| 02.42389PM 15 | it has issued. | ${ }_{\text {02, } 4.3 .317 \mathrm{PM}} 15$ | Q. The check hasn't been paid for twelve months |
| 02.42:419 16 | Q. And what is credited if the 14 X account is debited? | ${ }_{0} 024.4535 \mathrm{Pm} 16$ | hypothetically. The account is debited that $\$ 10.00$ for the |
| 02.424:77PM 17 | A. I don't think anything is credited as such. The Treasury | ${ }_{0} 0245.599 \mathrm{M} 17$ | twelve months, and therefore there's $\$ 10.00$ left in uninvested |
| 0242725PM 18 | records a liability for outstanding checks. | 02:45.33PM 18 | funds in that account, correct? |
| 02422.5sm 19 | Q. So is it your view that the Treasury general account is not | 02.45.4PPM 19 | A. Yes. |
| 02:43.00PM 20 | credited? | 02.45.4PM 20 | Q. And no funds have left the Treasury, correct? |
| 02:43.00PM 21 | A. Treasury general account is absolutely not credited. The | 02:454889M 21 | A. No cash has left the Treasury. |
| 02:43.068 22 | account is credited with cash coming in from revenue collection | ${ }_{0} 0245.51 \mathrm{PM} 22$ | Q. Where is the cash? |
| 02:43:119M 23 | and borrowing. When an agency cuts a check and decrements a | ${ }_{02} 0245.52 \mathrm{PM} 23$ | A. Cash would not be needed until the check is presented for |
| 02:33:1.5PM 24 | fund account, that doesn't magically generate cash going into | 02.45.56PM 24 | payment. There is no cash moved when a fund balance is dec- |
| 02:43208M 25 | the TGA, so the TGA would not be impacted. | 02:46.09PM 25 | remented or a check is issued. |
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| 0252:44PM 1 | prepared by the comptroller general, the inspector general, and | 0255.038M 1 | A. 1991. |
| ${ }^{\text {02:25: } 219 \mathrm{Pm}} \mathbf{2}$ | others dealing with IIM trust-related issues. This is item 49. | ${ }^{\text {0255.09PM }} \mathbf{2}$ | Q. There is testimony in this proceeding back in 1999 from the |
| ${ }^{02} 5.5227 \mathrm{PM} 3$ | Item 49 is up? |  | former special trustee for American Indians. This is testimony |
| ${ }^{02} 25.5298 \mathrm{M}$ M | THE COURT: Are you suggesting the compilation was | ${ }^{\text {0255.58PM }} 4$ | on June 11, 1999. This is also excerpted from -- I'm sorry. |
| ${ }^{02} 2.52338 \mathrm{M}$ M | prepared by the comptroller general? | ${ }^{0255527 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | May 2, 2003. This special trustee, former special trustee |
| ${ }^{02} 25.238 \mathrm{PM} 6$ | MR. GINGOLD: No, no, no, no, no. These are experts. |  | testified on several occasions. These two excerpts are from the |
| 02.52.399 7 | These are linked to the particular reports where the information | ${ }_{\text {02553 39PM }} 7$ | trial transcripts where the special trustee testified in this |
| ${ }^{02} 25.2411 \mathrm{PM} 8$ | was. | ${ }^{0255.438 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{8}$ | litigation, and I'd like you to look at the second paragraph |
| ${ }^{02} 2.52428 \mathrm{PM} 9$ | THE COURT: Okay. I just didn't want the witness to | ${ }_{\text {0255,47PM }} 9$ | here. If you could just read it a moment to yourself and let me |
| 02.52:44PM 10 | get the wrong idea. | ${ }_{0} 0255.53 \mathrm{PM} 10$ | know when I can ask you questions. |
| 02.52.455M 11 | MR. GINGOLD: No. I wasn't trying to mislead the | ${ }_{\text {o2.55.5sp }} 11$ | (Witness reviews document.) |
| 02:52477PM 12 | witness, your Honor. | 0256.300 12 | As you can see, the special trustee testified about |
| ${ }^{02} 25.2488 \mathrm{PM} 13$ | BY MR. GINGOLD: | ${ }_{02565.33 \mathrm{PM}} 13$ | out-of-balance conditions, correct? |
| 02.52:488M 14 | Q. But in one of the excerpts that we pulled, the counsel for | ${ }_{02565.34 \mathrm{Pm}} 14$ | A. I see. |
| ${ }_{\text {O2:52.52PM }} 15$ | plaintiffs did this. It states as follows: Arbitrary Cash | 02.56.359 15 | Q. And he appears to have expressed concern about the effect |
| ${ }^{02} 25.585 \mathrm{~mm} 16$ | Adjustments: Our audit for the fiscal year ended J une 30, 1952 | 02.56.389 16 | of those out-of-balance conditions, is that fair? |
| ${ }^{\text {0225.3.3PM }} 17$ | disclosed numerous unreconciled differences between the balances | 0256:41PM 17 | A. Yes. |
| 02.53.08PM 18 | of the general ledger accounts for appropriated funds on | ${ }_{0} 0256428 \mathrm{PM} 18$ | Q. Now, do you know whether or not the out-of-balance |
| 02:53.4PM 19 | deposits with the Treasury department, regional disbursing | 0256.49PM 19 | conditions between the Treasury and Interior ledgers have been |
| 02:532009 20 | officer. I'll skip the parenthetical. As of June 30, 1952 and | 02.56.5sm 20 | reconciled? |
| 02:5324PM 21 | as account current for J une 1952. | ¢рм 21 | A. I have no knowledge of the differences between the Treasury |
| ${ }^{02} 5.53288 \mathrm{M}$ 22 | I'd like to drop down a little bit to the portion of | 02577.22PM 22 | and Interior on any Interior-specific accounts. |
| ${ }_{\text {02:5932PM }} 23$ | this that says Arbitrary Adjustments. This is right after 1953. | 0257:05PM 23 | Q. And if in fact there was a long-term out-of-balance |
| ${ }_{0}^{02553.368 \mathrm{~m}} \mathbf{2 4}$ | Arbitrary adjustments in general ledger accounts for the purpose | $025 \text { orfiopm }^{24}$ | condition, would it affect the accuracy of disbursement data? |
|  | of reaching agreement with amounts in allotment ledgers or | ${ }^{02577188 \mathrm{Pm}} 25$ | A. It could. |
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| 02533.4PM | accounts current rendered by a regional disbursing officer are | 0257: 188 PM 1 | Q. And would it affect the accuracy of collection data? |
| ${ }^{02} 25.3 / 46 \mathrm{~mm}$ | not acceptable accounting procedure. It should be noted that | ${ }^{0255721 P M} 2$ | A. It could. |
| ${ }^{02} 0^{253.517 P M} 3$ | because of the many deficiencies observed during the audit of | ${ }^{02557238 \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{3}$ | Q. Would it affect the accuracy of interest earned on invested |
| ${ }_{\text {02:53.57pm }} \mathbf{4}$ | IIM accounts we have been able to settle the accounts of the | ${ }^{02557288 \mathrm{~Pa}} \mathbf{4}$ | funds? |
| ${ }^{02}$ 024.0.5PM 5 | Indian disbursement agent. Now, is this something that -- this | ${ }^{\text {0257.33PM }} 5$ | A. Yes, it could. |
| 02:54.0.08M 6 | was something that was reported 54 years ago and it deals with | ${ }^{\text {0257.3sPM }} 6$ | Q. But you've never been informed about that, have you? |
| ${ }^{\text {02254.09PM }} 7$ | an out-of-balance condition in ledgers. Were you aware of this | 0257742PM 7 | A. No. |
| ${ }^{\text {02:54.0.09M }} 8$ | at all? | 02-57:389 8 | Q. You were asked questions about, I think the 1887 questions, |
| 02:54.168M 9 | MR. GILLETT: Objection; lack of foundation. | ${ }^{02575: 549} 9$ | or question, sorry, dealt with I guess what type of security, I |
| o2:54.1989 10 | THE COURT: The question is was he aware of it. | 0258.02PM 10 | think you said T bill, funds should be invested, and I believe |
| ${ }_{\text {02-54271 PM }} 11$ | Overruled. | о258.08p 11 | you testified a one-year T bill, correct? |
| ${ }_{\text {02-54 } 22 \text { PM }} 12$ | THE WITNESS: No. | 0258.09PM 12 | A. I don't recall the specific question, but I recall |
|  | BY MR. GINGOLD: | 0258:11PM 13 | discussing that, yes. |
| 02:547238M 14 | Q. Do you know if this condition persisted over a long period | 0258:128M 14 | Q. I don't recall the specific question either, but it was |
| 025.4.288M 15 | of time? | ${ }^{\text {0258.15PM }} 15$ | something involving a one-year T bill because you indicated it |
| ${ }_{\text {02-54 } 27 \mathrm{PM}} 16$ | MR. GILLETT: Objection. | 0258.888M 16 | should be a short-term, not a long-term, instrument, correct? |
| 02544288M 17 | THE COURT: Overruled. | 0258822PM 17 | A. Yes. |
| 02-54.3089 18 | THE WITNESS: No. | ${ }_{\text {0258822PM }} 18$ | Q. Are you aware of the fact that plaintiffs in their model |
| 025.4.309M 19 | BY MR. GINGOLD: | 0258828PM 19 | used a ten-year T bond rate as an estimate for savings to the |
| 02:54.30PM 20 | Q. If it has, and I will -- as a matter of fact I will bring | ${ }_{0}^{\text {02583.1PM }} 20$ | government? |
| ${ }^{02} 5.54 .35 \mathrm{Pm} 21$ | up another exhibit so we can talk about it. I'd like to call up | ${ }_{\text {02583.31PM }} 21$ | A. I am aware of that. |
| ${ }_{0254447 \mathrm{PM}} 22$ | Plaintiffs' 151. I'm not going to go through the compilation | ${ }_{\text {0258.33PM }} 22$ | Q. And I think, at least based on your testimony with regard |
| 02:54.538M 23 | because there are illustrations of things of this sort, but I | ${ }_{\text {о2:5837>M }} 23$ | to 1887, you indicated that a ten-year might be too long a bill, |
| 02-54.568M 24 | want to bring it to a fairly current era. You came into the | 0258:44pm 24 | maturity, correct? |
| ${ }^{02,55.017 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | Treasury department at what time, what year? | 0258.44PM 25 | A. I believe that's the case, but not based upon the question |
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| 03004.498M 1 | Q. When you have the multiple securities over time, it's | ${ }^{03221229 P M} 1$ | Q. When did you begin working for NORC? |
| 03.04.4.9PM 2 | effectively compound, isn't it? | ${ }^{03} \mathbf{0 2 7 1 3 2 8 \mathrm { PM }} \mathbf{2}$ | A. A little over five years ago. |
| 0304.549M 3 | A. If you're an investor and you're reinvesting principal and | ${ }_{0} 032173$ PPM 3 | Q. In your work for NORC what position do you now hold? |
| 03:04:588M 4 | interest, that is compounded, yes. | ${ }^{\text {0322:188PM }} 4$ | A. I'm a statistical contractor. |
| 03005.00PM 5 | MR. GINGOLD: No further questions, your Honor. | ${ }^{03227: 400 \mathrm{M}} 5$ | Q. Okay. Has your work involved NORC's review and analysis of |
| 03050.05M 6 | THE COURT: All right, Mr. Grippo, thank you. You're | 0322:458M 6 | the IIM accounts generally? |
| 03050.07M 7 | excused. You may step down. | 0322:47PM 7 | A. Yes, and the tribal accounts. |
| 03.05.98PM 8 | (Witness excused at about 3:05 p.m.) | ${ }^{03} \mathbf{0 2 1 : 5 1 5 M} 8$ | Q. Could you please describe for the Court your |
| 0305.34PM 9 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: One moment, your Honor. | ${ }_{0}^{0321: 54 P M} 9$ | responsibilities with NORC today? |
| 0305.3989 10 | THE COURT: Is this a good time for a short break? | ${ }_{0} 0321.558 \mathrm{M}$ 10 | A. I help with sample design and analysis. I perform data |
| 03005.438M 11 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: That would be fine, your Honor. | 03.22.038M 11 | analysis and conduct research. |
| 03:05:468M 12 | (Recess taken at about 3:06 p.m.) | 03:22.06PM 12 | Q. Okay. During the course of your work for NORC did you have |
| 03:19:1989 13 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please remain seated and come to | 03.22:108M 13 | occasion to review summon packages from the Department of the |
| 03:19:238M 14 |  | 03:22:49PM 14 | Treasury and GAO that cover the period 1890 through 1951? |
| 03:19:249M 15 | THE COURT: What's the meaning of this? | 0322:198M 15 | A. Yes. |
| 03:19:408PM 16 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: This is an exhibit we will be going | 03:22:99PM 16 | Q. And when did you first review these packages? |
| 03:19:938. 17 | through with Mr. Mushtaq, our next witness. | 03:22228M 17 | A. In early 2006. |
| 03:19:9689 18 | THE COURT: Go ahead. | 03222258M 18 | Q. Okay. Could you please describe generally to the Court |
| 03:19:4889 19 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Your Honor, before I present the | 03.222989 19 | what these packages are? |
| 03:19:4.49PM 20 | government's next witness, I would like to take care of an | ${ }_{0} 0322380 \mathrm{~m} 20$ | A. The settlement packages are reviewed accounts of the ISSDA, |
| 03:19:52PM 21 | administrative matter I overlooked when presenting Mr. Hoak. We | ${ }_{0} 0322377 \times \mathrm{M} 21$ | Indian Services Special Disbursing Agent. |
| 03:19.577M 22 | presented two exhibits, DX 466, and 466 is the only circular, | 03222399\% 22 | Q. Okay. So these accounts being settled the accounts of the |
| 0320.0.5PM 23 | the excerpt of section two. We would move that admission. | ${ }_{0} 0322483 \mathrm{PM} 23$ | disbursing agents? |
| 0320:10pM 24 | THE COURT: Received. | ${ }_{0}^{0322: 468 \mathrm{M}} 24$ | A. Yes. |
| ${ }_{0320012 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | MR. KIRSCHMAN: And the second is DX 499, which was | ${ }_{0} 03.22 .468 \mathrm{PM} 25$ | Q. What was the purpose of your review in early 2006? |
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| ${ }^{0320: 1689} 1$ | Mr. Hoak's two pages of charts. We move that into admission. | 03224.49PM 1 | A. It was to determine if there was a regular consistent |
| ${ }^{03202023 P M} 2$ | THE COURT: Received. | ${ }^{03} 2.22 .55 \mathrm{PM} 2$ | review process in place. |
| ${ }_{0} 0320.238 \mathrm{M}$ M | (Defendants' Exhibit Nos. DX 466 and DX 499 received | ${ }_{0}^{0322.577 \times M} 3$ | Q. Since that initial review have you had occasion to review |
| ${ }_{0} 0320288 \mathrm{M}$ M 4 | into evidence at about 3:20 p.m.) | ${ }^{0323.0389} \mathrm{M}$ | summon packages again? |
| ${ }^{032020889} 5$ | MR. KIRSCHMAN: The government would now like to call | ${ }^{0323,349 P M} 5$ | A. Yes, I have. |
| 0320:3089 6 | as its next witness Ali Mushtaq, and your Honor, we are | 0323.0.5PM 6 | Q. And when did you do that? |
| 0320.368M 7 | presenting Mr. Mushtaq as a fact witness. | 03, $23.068 \mathrm{PM}^{7}$ | A. I went back to Archives to review those packages for a few |
| 0320:4989 8 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand. Do | ${ }^{03} 23.1711 \mathrm{PM} 8$ | days in the summer of $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ and again last week. |
| ${ }^{0320.5179 m} 9$ | you solemnly swear that the testimony you should give the Court | ${ }^{03} 323.15 \mathrm{SPM} 9$ | Q. Can you explain to the Court why you reviewed again some of |
| 0320.5spm 10 | in this case now on trial will be the truth, the whole truth and | 03.23:999. 10 | the settlement packages back in 2007? |
| ${ }^{\text {0320:Sspm }} 11$ | nothing but the truth? |  | A. After the initial review I had some questions I wanted to |
| 0320:5989 12 | MR. MUSHTAQ: I do. | 0323237PM 12 | investigate. One was in some packages it appeared as if GAO was |
| 0322:00PM 13 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you, sir. | 0323.35PM 13 | reviewing the accounts on an individual account level and in |
| 0322:00PM 14 | ALI MUSHTAQ, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN | 0323.388. 14 | some other packages it looked like they were not, and so I took |
| 0322:009 15 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 03.23342PM 15 | a small sample from each to investigate those packages at the |
| 0322:108PM 16 | BY MR. KIRSCHMAN: | 03:23.47PM 16 | Archives. |
| 0322:038M 17 | Q. Please state your name for the record. | 0323,488M 17 | Q. Why did you perform that investigation, who told you to |
| 0322:09PM 18 | A. Ali Mushtaq. | 0323.539M 18 | perform that investigation? |
| 0322:06PM 19 | THE COURT: How do you spell that last name? | 03.23.54PM 19 | A. I did that myself. |
| ${ }_{0}^{0321: 068 M} 20$ | THE WITNESS: M-u-s-h-t-a-q. | ${ }_{0} 0323.58 \mathrm{~m}$ 20 | Q. Okay. You stated that you also reviewed packages just a |
| 0322:168M 21 | BY MR. KIRSCHMAN: | ${ }_{0} 0324.01 \mathrm{PM} 21$ | week ago, correct? |
| ${ }_{0} 0321 / 168 \mathrm{M} 22$ | Q. And Mr. Mushtaq, where are you employed? | ${ }_{0} 0324.428 \mathrm{PM} 22$ | A. Yes. |
| 03221:188M 23 | A. I'm a statistical contractor with NORC. | ${ }_{0} 0324.428 \mathrm{M} 23$ | Q. And generally why did you do that? |
| ${ }_{0}^{03212121 P M} 24$ | Q. And as a contractor with NORC who do you report to? | 03.24.058M 24 | A. This was after I was warned that I might be testifying so I |
| ${ }_{03212: 25 P M} 25$ | A. I report to Dr. Scheuren and Dr. Hinkins. | ${ }_{\text {0324412PM }} 25$ | wanted to round out my presentation with some pictures of |
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|  | 1288 |  | 1290 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{0} 0324.15 \mathrm{FPM} 1$ | checks. | 03:27:4PM 1 | sorry -- of the GAO settlement packages, and that consisted |
| ${ }_{0} 0324.168 \mathrm{MM} 2$ | THE COURT: Warned? | 03.27.78PM 2 | almost of almost 45,000 records, and further analysis we were |
| ${ }_{0} 0324.188 \mathrm{M}$ M | THE WITNESS: Warned. | 03.2724PM 3 | able to determine that about seven percent of the packages there |
| ${ }_{\text {03224, } 19 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{4}$ | MR. KIRSCHMAN: It is Cobell, your Honor. | ${ }_{0}^{03272888 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{4}$ | weren't in that index, so the estimate is that there's between |
| ${ }^{\text {0324.33PM }} 5$ | THE COURT: Threatened maybe. | 03.27.38PM 5 | 45,000 and 50,000. |
| 03224.34PM 6 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Never, your Honor. | 03.27.3PPM 6 | Q. Okay. I'd like to turn your attention to the next page of |
| ${ }_{0} 0 \mathbf{2 4 4 3 7 \times \mathrm { P }} \mathbf{7}$ | BY MR. KIRSCHMAN: | 0327.73PM 7 | DX 504. And can you describe what this document is? |
| ${ }_{0} 0324.37 \mathrm{PM} 8$ | Q. Why did you have an idea that you would see checks if you | 03.27.45PM 8 | A. This is a settlement package and this is settlement package |
| ${ }_{0} 0324428 \mathrm{PM} 9$ | went back to review the settlement packages last week? | 9 | H54365. It's a December account of the special disbursing agent |
| ${ }_{0} 0324.468 \mathrm{PM} 10$ | A. The initial review in 2006 I remember seeing checks in the | 0327.75PM 10 | A.G. Wilson. This is a package with IIM ledgers in it. |
| ${ }_{0324452 \mathrm{PM}} 11$ | settlement packages. I generally saw checks separate from the | грм 11 | Q. How do you know that the settlement packages has IIM |
| ${ }_{0} 0324.57 \mathrm{PM} 12$ | packages themselves. They'd be bundled in the box with the | 03.28:12PM 12 | ledgers in it? |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325.00 p m} 13$ | packages but separate from the packages. | врм 13 | A. I have gone through this package. At the bottom, the red |
| ${ }_{0} 0325028 \mathrm{PM} 14$ | Q. Okay. And when you went back recently to review the | 03:28:888M 14 | tape there, that's binding for the ledgers. |
| ${ }_{\text {03 } 25: 108 \mathrm{PM}} 15$ | settlement packages what did it reveal about the existence of | 03:282009 15 | Q. Okay. Turning your attention to the next photo, can you |
| ${ }_{0} 03.25 .49 \mathrm{PM} 16$ | checks? | 03.28.888M 16 | explain what this document is? |
| ${ }_{0} 0325.15 \mathrm{SPM} 17$ | A. I was able to find checks from earlier periods. I wasn't | 0328827PM 17 | A. This is a certificate of settlement. It's the document |
| ${ }_{03} 035.19 \mathrm{PM} 18$ | able to find again those checks that I had seen before. | 28.31pM 18 | issued by GAO certifying the balance of the ISSDA. |
| ${ }_{03} 0252$ 25PM 19 | Q. Why was it that you weren't able to find the checks that | брм 19 | Q. What information generally is contained in the certificate |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325289 P M} 20$ | you had seen before back in early 2006? | ¢ 20 | of settlement? |
| ${ }_{0} 0325328 \mathrm{PM} 21$ | A. I think it's time constraints at the Archives. You're only | - 21 | A. They have the settlement number listed, the special |
| ${ }_{03}{ }^{3553.38 \mathrm{PM}} 22$ | allowed to pull so many packages and look at them, and I was | ${ }_{0} 3^{2884.45 \mathrm{M}} 22$ | disbursing agent's name listed, the period being certified, the |
| ${ }_{\text {03,253.3PM }} 23$ | only back for one day. | 03.28:49PM 23 | language "I certify that I have examined and settled the |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325: 408 \mathrm{P}} 24$ | Q. Now, when you reviewed these GAO settlement packages in | 28.53PM 24 | account," and the balances. |
| ${ }_{03} 035477 \mathrm{PM} 25$ | early 2007 and last week, did you photograph some of the | 03:28.59PM 25 | Q. Now, generally based on your observations, what period of |
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| ${ }_{0}^{03255.519 M} 1$ | material that you reviewed? | 0328.59PM 1 | time do these certificates of settlement usually cover? |
| ${ }_{0}^{03255.52 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{2}$ | A. Yes, I did. | ${ }^{0329.938 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{2}$ | A. For the most part I have seen the certificates cover a |
| ${ }_{0} 0325.538 \mathrm{M}$ M | Q. Okay. And what we'd like to do now is work through a | 3 | three-month period, a quarterly period, although they can cover |
| ${ }_{0} 0326.000 \mathrm{M}$ M | sample of those photographs. With that I'd like to draw your | 4 | just a month and later on, as more often, they'll cover a semi- |
| ${ }_{0} 0328.04 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | attention to the image that I'm going to ask be put on the | ¢рм 5 | annual period, but they can cover any period, even a two-month |
| 03268.88PM 6 | screen. It has been marked as DX 504. The collection of | 03.29271PM 6 | period. |
| ${ }^{\text {03 } 26: 479 \mathrm{~Pa}} \mathbf{7}$ | photographs is marked as DX 504, and I'm going to ask you to | 03.29221PM 7 | Q. Okay. So where based on your experience would you find a |
| ${ }_{0}^{0326: 8889} 8$ | turn your attention to the first page. Is this the photo you | 03292888M 8 | certificate, where would it usually appear for the period |
| ${ }_{0326822 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | took? | 03:29317PM 9 | covered by that certificate? |
| ${ }_{0} 038624 \mathrm{PM} 10$ | A. Yes, it is. | 0329932PM 10 | A. The certificate would be found generally in the last month |
| ${ }_{0} 036248 \mathrm{PM} 11$ | Q. And are all of the photos that we are going to be reviewing | 03:29.368M 11 | of the certification period, so this one was found in the March |
| ${ }_{\text {03268.30PM }} 12$ | today ones that you have taken personally? | 03.29:40PM 12 | settlement package. |
| ${ }_{03} 0268.31 \mathrm{PM} 13$ | A. Yes. | 03:29942PM 13 | Q. Okay. I'd like us to focus, if we can, on the middle of |
| ${ }_{03}{ }^{\text {268.31PM }} 14$ | Q. Could you please describe for the Court what this first | 03.29947PM 14 | the page. There's a box marked "balance to the United States as |
|  | photo pictures? | 03.30.05sm 15 | per account rendered" and in that there's an indication of |
| ${ }_{03} \mathbf{3 2 6 , 3 7 \mathrm { PM }} 16$ | A. This is a picture of a box at Archives containing | 03:30:10pm 16 | \$231.68 in the category marked REG period. Do you see that? |
| 0326.438M 17 | settlement packages, and you can see packages of varying size. | 03:30:999. 17 | A. Um-hmm. |
| ${ }_{0} 0326477 \mathrm{PM} 18$ | Q. To the best of your understanding how many GAO settlement | 03:30:999\% 18 | Q. And there is identified $\$ 36,932.24$ and an S slash D |
| ${ }_{0}^{0326.51 P M} 19$ | packages are there in the Archives? | 03:30.30Р 19 | category. Do you see that? |
| ${ }_{03} 036.549 \mathrm{PM} 20$ | A. Between 45,000 and 50,000. | 0з:30.317M 20 | A. Yes, I do. |
| ${ }_{0326657 \mathrm{PM}} 21$ | Q. And what is your understanding based on? | 03:30.32PM 21 | Q. And can you explain to the Court what your understanding is |
| 03277.00P 22 | A. I'm sorry? | оз:30.36р 22 | as to what these categories represent? |
| 03277.01PM 23 | Q. What is your understanding of the number of settlement | 0з309.77PM 23 | A. SD stands for special deposits. |
| 03277.09P 24 | packages in the Archives based on? | 30:42PM 24 | Q. What is in that, do you know? |
| 03277.07PM 25 | A. Anderson had keyed in an index of the GAO ledgers -- I'm | оз:30:48РМ 25 | A. The IIM balance is in there, along with the special |
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|  | 1292 |  | 1294 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:30:47PM 1 | deposits balance. | 03.342989 1 | documents related, these two particular documents, in this |
| 03:30:488M 2 | Q. And now how do you know that the term SD includes both | 03344328M 2 | image? |
| 0330.54PM 3 | special deposits and Individual Indian Monies? | 03:34.389 3 | A. The schedule of collections is a listing of collections and |
| 03.30.568M 4 | A. You can look at the account current in the package and see | 03.34.3889 4 | that listing is supported by the official receipts. |
| 03:3:008M 5 | that the Indian money balance with the special deposits adds | ${ }_{0} 03.34411 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | Q. Okay. By the way, we have a two-dimensional picture here, |
| 03.331.05PM 6 | together with the SD balance on that certificate. | 03.3444889 6 | how many official receipts to the best of your recollection |
| 03:31:088M 7 | Q. Let's turn to the next page then of this exhibit. And at | 0334.517PM 7 | are contained in this file? |
| ${ }_{\text {03, }} \mathbf{3} 11111 \mathrm{PM} 8$ | the top of this you can see that it is an account current, | 03.34.438M 8 | A. You can kind of see the thickness in the middle of the |
|  | correct? |  | page. Those are all the official receipts there for that |
| 03:31:168 10 | A. Yes. | ${ }_{0} 0334595 \mathrm{PM} 10$ | particular month. |
| 03:31:17PM 11 | Q. Generally if you could explain to the Court what | 03355.1pm 11 | Q. Now, just looking at the official receipt, we talked about |
| 03:312389 12 | information is within an account current. | 03.35.088M 12 | the fact it addresses receipts for Glen MacIntosh, correct? |
| ${ }_{\text {03.3.128¢ }} 13$ | A. From what I've seen, an account current provides the | 03.35:12PM 13 | A. Yes. |
| 03:313089 14 | opening balance, closing balance, receipts and payments on an | ${ }_{\text {03, 35:138M }} 14$ | Q. And the official receipt has a number associated with it? |
| оз:31:3¢9 15 | aggregate level of funds in the ISSDA account. | 03:35:77pM 15 | A. Yes. |
| 03:3.1389 16 | Q. Okay. Now, how does this particular account current | 03.3520Р9 16 | Q. And can you highlight to the Court where we would find that |
| 03:31:4389 17 | indicate what is in the S slash D category we saw on the related | 03:35238M 17 | in the schedule of collections? |
| 03:3:477> 18 | certificate of service? I'm sorry. Certificate of settlement, | ${ }_{0} 03.3525 \mathrm{PM} 18$ | A. The receipt number is listed as a first column in the |
| 03:31:52PM 19 | what part of the document should we look towards? | 03:352889 19 | schedule of collections there. |
| 03:31:55PM 20 | A. If you look at the right side of the document, the final | 03:3529PM 20 | Q. Okay. Moving on throughout this settlement package to |
| 03:31.59PM 21 | balance, the three funds listed under Individual Monies, those | L2PM 21 | photo six, DX 504, this document is identified as a |
| 32204PM 22 | three numbers add up to give you the SD balance shown on the | ¢P\% 22 | recapitulation of individual Indian accounts. Do you see that? |
| 03:32.99PM 23 | certificate. | ${ }_{0} 03.35588 \mathrm{PM} 23$ | A. Yes. And this is from a different settlement package |
| ${ }_{\text {03, } 32: 10 \mathrm{PM}} 24$ | Q. Do you see the stamp at the bottom left-hand side of the | 03:36.027M 24 | actually. |
| ${ }_{\text {03, } 32228 \mathrm{P}} 25$ | account current? | ${ }_{03,36022 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | Q. Oh, is it? Okay. And what generally is a recapitulation? |
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| ${ }_{0} 0332$ 238M 1 | A. Yes. | 03:36.09PM 1 | A. A recapitulation is a summary here of the individual Indian |
| ${ }_{0} 033224 \mathrm{PM} 2$ | Q. And can you read what it says? | ${ }_{0} 0336: 14 \mathrm{PM} 2$ | accounts at an aggregate level. This recapitulation is giving |
| ${ }_{\text {03, } 32300 \mathrm{P}} \mathbf{3}$ | A. It says, All pencil changes were made by the General | 03:36:19PM 3 | summary level information of the individual volumes that |
| ${ }_{\text {03323spm }} 4$ | Accounting Office, and it's initialed and dated. | ${ }_{\text {03, } 36238 \mathrm{M}} 4$ | comprise the IIM ledger. |
| ${ }_{0} 03.32419 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | Q. To the best of your understanding based on what you saw, | ${ }_{0} 03.3625 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | Q. Generally when did you see separate recapitulation |
| 03:32:4889 6 | how often are account currents prepared and what part of BIA did | озззвззрм 6 | documents like this one in a settlement package? |
| 03.322538M 7 | they cover? | 03.36.35PM 7 | A. Generally when I see a ledger in a settlement package I |
| ${ }^{03} 3225$ 5spm 8 | A. The accounts current, there's generally an accounts current | 03:36:40PM 8 | will see a prepared recapitulation as well. |
| 0333300PM 9 | prepared for each month at the agencies. | ${ }_{0} 03.36844 \mathrm{PM} 9$ | Q. And looking at this document, it's true to say that it |
| озз3, З38¢ 10 | Q. Turning to page five of this exhibit, there is a depiction | 03:36498PM 10 | provides information on the disbursement and receipts within the |
| озз3.99p 11 | of two documents. Do you see those? | оз36.538. 11 | individual Indian accounts? |
| 03:33:49PM 12 | A. Yes. | 03:36.58PM 12 | MR. GUILDER: Objection. That calls for speculation. |
| ${ }_{\text {03,33:15PM }} 13$ | Q. The first on the left is an official receipt. Can you | ${ }_{0} 0336.598 \mathrm{M} 13$ | There's been no evidence that this shows actual disbursements or |
| ${ }_{\text {03,3321PM }} 14$ | describe what an official receipt is to the best of your | 03:377.28P 14 | receipts. It's a summary level, as he just testified. |
| 03,332389 $^{15}$ | understanding and what it shows? | 03.377.08¢ 15 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| ${ }_{0} 03,3325 \mathrm{PM} 16$ | A. The official receipt is a supporting document for a | ${ }_{\text {03.37710PM }} 16$ | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Could we highlight the document, |
| 03:33300¢ 17 | collection. | 03:37:17PM 17 | please? |
| 03:33.359 18 | Q. Looking at this specific official receipt, can you | 03:377:889 18 | BY MR. KIRSCHMAN: |
| 03:33.55PM 19 | explain -- it references a Glen Macl ntosh. Can you explain what | 03:37:1889 19 | Q. Let's go through this from left to right, if we could, Mr. |
| 03:34.00pM 20 | information this tells us regarding Glen Maclntosh? | 03:37228P 20 | Mushtaq. On the left-hand column there's a heading Volume. Do |
| ${ }_{0} 03.34049 \mathrm{PM} 21$ | A. I believe this is money collected from Glen Macl ntosh | ${ }_{\text {03, } 37288 \mathrm{CM}} \mathbf{2 1}$ | you have an understanding as to what that is? |
| ${ }_{\text {03, } 34.108 \mathrm{P}} 22$ | that's collected on two contracts that's to be deposited into |  | A. Yes. These refer to the specific volumes that make up the |
| 03.34.1689 23 | three individual Indian accounts. | 03.37.319M 23 | 11 M ledger, so A9 to B354 are individual accounts within one |
| 03:34.199\% 24 | Q. Okay. Now, let's broaden our scope to include the official | ${ }_{\text {03.377.359 }} 24$ | booklet. That's one booklet of the ledger. Each one of these |
| ${ }_{\text {03, } 34238 \mathrm{P}} 25$ | receipts and also the schedule of collections. How are these |  | lines is a different booklet in the ledgers, so there are |
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several booklets making up all the II M ledgers in this account.
Q. And all these volumes would be in one settlement package, is that what you witnessed?
4 A. In this case, yes, although if there are too many ledgers
5 they'Il be in a separate settlement package, but they'Il be
6 together.
7 Q. The next column is marked DISV period. Do you see that?
8 A. Yes.
03:38:07PM$\quad 9 \quad$ Q. And the next one is marked Receipts. Do you see that?

```
03:38:10PM }1
10 A. Yes.
```

11 Q. And to the best of your understanding, based on your review
12 of these settlement packages, what do those two columns
13 reference?
14 A. The total number of -- the total amount of disbursements in
15 each particular volume and the total amount of receipts in each
16 particular volume.
03:38:28PM 17 Q. And to be clear, each line is just referencing that
03:38:31PM 18 particular volume, correct?
0з:38:32PM 19 A. Yes.
03:38:32Pm 20 Q. Okay. Now, you testified that the recapitulation follows
03:38:51PM 21 IIM ledgers, correct, generally?
03:38:53PM 22 A. Generally I'Il find a recapitulation in the same package as
03:38:59PM 23 I'll find a ledger.
03:39:00PM 24 Q. If we could turn to the eighth photo of DX 504. Well,
03:39:07pm 25 let's stop here just generally. Looking at this photo, what is Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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03:39:12PM 1 that on DX 504 at page seven?
${ }_{03} \mathbf{0 3 9 3 2 0 \mathrm { PM }} \mathbf{2}$ A. This is just the second page of the same recapitulation.
${ }^{03,39337 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{8}$ Q. Okay. Now, turning to the next page which I had referred
03:39:44PM 9 to earlier as page eight of this exhibit, can you explain to the озз99:889 10 Court what this is?
03.39.49Pm 11 A. This is the last volume that was just mentioned in that

03:39.559 12 recapitulation. This is the ledgers of the accounts W522 to
03:40:01PM $13 \quad \mathbf{Z 1 5 .}$
03:40.02PM 14 Q. And this is the first page of that last volume?
03:40:04PM 15 A. This is the cover of that volume, yes.
${ }^{03: 40: 77 P M} 16$ Q. Okay. Can you describe generally for the Court what is
03:40:00PM 17 contained in an IIM ledger?
03:40:12PM 18 A. The individual ledger sheets of accounts.
03:40:18PM 19 Q. Turning to the next page, ninth page of this exhibit, is
${ }_{03: 40 \cdot 28 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{2 0}$ this a page from the ledger?
03:40:27PM 21 A. This is the first page of the ledger whose cover we were
03:40:31PM 22 just looking at.
03:40:32PM 23 Q. Okay. And what information is on it?
03:40.36PM 24 A. Excuse me?
03:40.38PM 25 Q. Can you just describe briefly what information is on it?
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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A. Yes.
Q. And those were in pencil?
A. Yes.

15 A. This is just another sample page from the same volume.
A. This is the last page from that same booklet, so this is the last page from the last volume in the IIM ledger.
20 Q. Okay. And in the middle of the last page is an audited by, quote, audited by in quotes, stamped, correct?
22 A. Right.
Q. And that is initialed how?
A. It's initialed by someone in blue pencil.
Q. And the initials are IWM?
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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A. The ledger shows the listing of transactions in the account and the balances as well. Here we can see the date, amounts, official receipt number with some tic marks by it, and the, yeah, right, the balances.
Q. Okay. These tic marks or check marks, it's hard to tell
from the paper copy I have, but if we look at the screen we can see they are both red and blue, correct?
Q. And that's what you observed?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Turning to page ten of the exhibit, real briefly what do we see here?
Q. Okay. Now turning to the next page, photo eleven or page eleven in this exhibit, what is this?
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> A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, do you see ledgers similar to this one we just reviewed in every settlement package?
A. No. I won't see a ledger in every settlement package. Generally when I see a ledger it's going to fall in the semi-annual period and it's going to cover six months, so I'll generally see ledgers -- when I see ledgers I'Il generally see them in a J une account or a December account.
Q. Okay. I'd like to turn to the next page of this exhibit.

And this document is obviously correspondence, correct?
A. Right. This is a letter from GAO to the superintendent, and this goes with the ledger we just saw. It's in the same settlement package.
Q. I'm sorry, it's in the same what?
A. This is in the same settlement package as the ledger we just saw.
Q. Okay. And this letter is dated J anuary 19th, 1948?
A. Yes.
Q. Based on your review of the settlement packages, is correspondence like this something that you would see routinely?
A. Generally in a package where I will see IIM ledgers I'll see correspondence like this from GAO to the superintendent, and
it uses very similar language. It states, Audit of your
I ndividual I ndian Monies voucher reveals the following discrepancies.

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter

|  | 1300 |  | 1302 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:38353PM 1 | Q. But not necessarily in every settlement package? | 03.477:18PM 1 | have a response? |
| 03:43.568M 2 | A. Ledgers won't be in every settlement package, no. | 03.477:19PM 2 | A. Yes. In the second paragraph to the bottom the |
| ${ }^{03,43.5989} \mathbf{3}$ | Q. So the correspondence usually follows the ledgers? | ${ }_{0} 03477228 \mathrm{M}$ | superintendent responds that the two accounts are the same |
| 03:44:01pm 4 | A. Correspondence of this type will follow those ledgers. | 03:4727PM 4 | I ndian. |
| 03:4.4.5PM 5 | There's also correspondence of other types in all the settlement | ${ }^{03,477288 \mathrm{P}} 5$ | Q. And that's explained in the paragraph? |
| 12PM 6 | packages. | 03:477.30Рм 6 | A. Yes. |
| 03:44.12PM 7 | Q. Okay. The document speaks for itself. |  | Q. And next to that again in blue pencil is an "okay" |
| 03:4421PM 8 | The GAO representative is noting that -- noting | 03:477:40PM 8 | indicated, right? |
| 808 9 | discrepancies, and on this page the letter states at the | 03:47741PM 9 | A. Right. |
| ггр 10 | following listed journal vouchers are missing and copies are | 03.477419M 10 | Q. Okay. Turning to -- |
| ¢pm 11 | requested. Correct? | ¢ 11 | THE COURT: Mr. Kirschman, as interesting as this is, |
| 03:44.37>M 12 | A. Right. GAO is raising the exception that they haven't -- | 03477477PM 12 | and I love looking at old documents, I wonder if you'd give me a |
| ¢ 13 | that they don't have the journal vouchers listed and they're | 03:477.51PM 13 | proffer as to the overall meaning of all this. What's the |
| 03:44.50PM 14 | asking the superintendent to provide them. | 03.477.49PM 14 | point? |
| SsP9 15 | Q. And these listed vouchers are all boxed in by blue pencil, |  | MR. KIRSCHMAN: The point of these exhibits, your |
| 03:44.5989 16 | correct? |  | Honor, and this is just a sample, is it relates to and is a |
| 03.44.59PM 17 | A. Right. | 03:38:00pm 17 | response to Plaintiffs' argument that the documentation during |
| 0344500PM 18 | Q. And if we looked at the color version of this that's up on | 03.48.07pм 18 | certain time periods is unreliable, and it also goes to the |
| 03:45.94PM 19 | the screen, we see that there's a handwritten note in blue | 03:38:11pm 19 | issue as to whether, as plaintiffs have alleged, money could |
| וорм 20 | pencil. Could you read that, please? | 03.48:17PM 20 | have been taken out of accounts without being known, without |
| ${ }_{0}^{03,45.11 P^{\prime}} 21$ | A. The note says "received and sent to files." | 03:4824PM 21 | being recorded by those in charge of the accounts. This shows, |
| 4PM 22 | Q. Okay. Turning to the next page, is it correct to say that | ¢орм 22 | we believe, as Dr. Angel testified briefly, a careful close |
| IP1PM 23 | this is the second page of the same correspondence? | 03:48.3989 23 | review of the transactions. |
| 1PM 24 | A. Yes, it is. | 483P 24 | MR. GUILDER: Your Honor, I have to object to this |
| ${ }_{0}^{03,45828 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | Q. Again here each of the paragraphs on the second page are | 03, 38.849 PM 25 | point here. I don't understand how the witness is going to |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter |
|  | 1301 |  | 1303 |
| PPM 1 | contained within handwritten blue boxes, right? | ${ }_{0} 03.48847 \mathrm{PM} 1$ | proffer this. I understand Dr. Angel testified to that, but |
| 39PM 2 | A. Yes. | 03.48.5009M 2 | this Court has already decided that these settlement of accounts |
| 03.45.358M 3 | Q. And turning your attention to the middle of those three | 03.48.538M 3 | don't constitute an account, and so I don't understand how Dr. |
| 03:45.398M 4 | boxes, can you describe to the Court just generally what are | ${ }_{0} 03.48 .558 \mathrm{M} \quad 4$ | Angel can override that. |
| 03.45:49PM 5 | being addressed in each? | ${ }_{0} 03.48 .57 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | THE COURT: Well, I'm going to take this, I'm going to |
|  | A. These are three different exceptions being raised by GAO. | ${ }^{\text {03,490.17PM }} 6$ | ask you to move through these materials. Basically as I said |
| 03945.52PM 7 | The first two deal with the transfer that GAO says is being made | 03:49.08PM 7 | they are interesting but they are at best anecdotal, of course. |
| 03945.59PM 8 | to the wrong account. The third one is a check that GAO was | 03:39:11PM 8 | We don't have any idea how these were selected. You might |
| ${ }^{03,46.09 P M} 9$ | stating was drawn from the wrong account. | 03.49:1689 9 | clarify that point for the record, how these particular |
| 03:46:077M 10 | Q. Okay. Looking at the middle one of those three, the amount | 03.49:9999 10 | settlement packages were selected or these documents were |
| 03:46:1719M 11 | in question here is for \$13.75, correct? | 03:492389 11 | selected, because they, at the most they prove that two or three |
| ${ }_{0}^{03,46.158 \mathrm{Pm}} 12$ | A. Yes. | 03:493.1PM 12 | superintendents at two or three agencies on two or three |
| ${ }_{0}^{03,46: 168 \mathrm{Pm}} 13$ | Q. And within that blue box there is a notation in blue | 03:49377M 13 | settlement packages had a lot of check marks on them and people |
| ${ }_{\text {03,46:22PM }} 14$ | pencil, and could you please read that into the record? | 03, 49:400PM 14 | worked over them very carefully, but they don't negate the |
| ${ }_{0}^{\text {03:46.25PM }} 15$ | A. It states: Same Indian per agent's letter of 4/ 14/48. | 03:499.48PM 15 | implication that plaintiffs are trying to bring, and counsel is |
| ${ }_{0}^{\text {03:46.317M }} 16$ | Q. Could we please turn to the next page of this exhibit? And | 03:49.50PM 16 | right, they're not accountings, but I get the point. I think |
| 03:46:408PM 17 | what is this document, this correspondence? | 03:49.54PM 17 | you can get through them pretty quickly. |
| 03:46:4.58M 18 | A. This is a response from the superintendent to the GAO | 03.49.577M 18 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 03:46:488M 19 | concerning those exceptions. | 03:50.02PM 19 | BY MR. KIRSCHMAN: |
| 03:46:50PM 20 | Q. And what is the date of that response? | 03.50.022PM 20 | Q. Let's turn to the next page. And could you explain |
| ${ }_{03346.52 P M} 21$ | A. April 14th, 1948. | 03:50:77PM 21 | briefly, please, Mr. Mushtaq, what this document is? |
| 03:46.658M 22 | Q. And it also shows with a stamp that it was received by GAO, | 03:50217PM 22 | A. This is an abstract of Individual Indian Monies. Sometimes |
| 03:477.01PM 23 | correct? | ${ }_{0} 03.50 .25 \mathrm{PM} 23$ | in the $J$ une or December account instead of seeing a ledger I'II |
| 03:477.03PM 24 | A. Yes. | ${ }_{\text {03, } 50.288 \mathrm{P}} 24$ | see an abstract, and it appears to be a substitution for a |
| ${ }_{\text {03477.08PM }} 25$ | Q. Regarding the $\$ 13.75$ apparent discrepancy, did Interior | ${ }_{\text {03, } 5 \text { 0.32PM }} 25$ | ledger. |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter |



because he had originally written the report.
THE COURT: What does the report have to do with any
issue that we're dealing with today? I don't even know what report you're talking about.

MR. GUILDER: If we could pull up the document and you get a chance to look at it.

THE COURT: Who's offering the record in evidence?
MR. KIRSCHMAN: We are not, your Honor.
MR. GUILDER: This was introduced in the last trial.
It's a document in the administrative record.
THE COURT: I know that, but what does it have to do with the issues we're dealing with?

MR. GUILDER: Report of the government monitoring of the Indian special disbursing agents, which is the exact same testimony he just gave, Mr. Mushtaq just presented to this Court.

THE COURT: All he did was to show me a bunch of documents as to which I expressed considerable doubt as to their importance.

MR. GUILDER: If there are some moments in the report that generally that may discuss his credibility about these, is that okay if I go into that area?

THE COURT: Yes. If you want to go into credibility about these reports you may, but I'm not going to -- that 207, that 2007 report would be beyond the scope.
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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15 Q. Through your review are you able to establish a total 16 disbursement figure for Individual Indian Monies?
17 A. No.
18 Q. Did you see evidence of disbursements that would give a
19 total for the entire period of time that this review was in
20 process?
21 A. No.
22 Q. How many checks did you see?
23 A. I saw checks from three settlement packages last week and
I've seen bundles of checks. I wouldn't be able to tell you how many from 2006.

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR<br>Official Court Reporter

Q. Could you give us an idea of the percentage of the checks

04:04.55PM 2
04:04.57PM 3
040:5:01PM 4

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter

04:06:52PM 1 A. I wouldn't be able to say for sure. I believe it would be
this check was paid to?
04:072:29PM 12 A. It says "Paid to the order of Apache," which is the name of
13 the individual I ndian account on the abstract.

14 Q. So this is actually paid to an account?
.07:39PM 15 A. This is paid to an individual. Apache is the individual
whose account number is A9.
17 Q. And do we see Apache's signature anywhere on this check?
18 A. No. This was endorsed by thumb print.
19 Q. By thumb print.
20 A. The next picture shows that
21 Q. Oh, the next picture shows that. Could we see the next

25 A. There are two witnesses to the check. Beyond that I can't


## C.P.A. firm. I'm a certified public accountant.

品Q. Would you pull up Defendants' Exhibit 508, please? Mr. Banda, are you familiar with DX 508?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And what is it, please?
A. It's my resume.
Q. Does this accurately describe your educational and professional background as a certified public accountant?
A. Yes, it does.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Your Honor, we're going to offer Mr. Banda to provide expert opinions on the subjects of accounting, auditing, and financial review procedures, mindful of the 13 judge's comments, your comments earlier with regard to Mr. 14 Mushtaq. We'll make this as quickly as we can. Mr. Banda will 15 be testifying regarding reviews conducted by Resnick Group 16 resulting in two reports in 2006 and subsequent reviews that


18 qualifications as an accountant. We do have an objection to
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter on this issue. Basically we're having cumulative, irrelevant evidence.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Judge Lamberth ruled on whether the process conducted by the Treasury and GAO constituted the type of accounting or audits contemplated by the 1994 Act with respect to Individual Indian Money accounts and whether or not that would discharge the government's duty under the '94 Act. What we're dealing with now in this case is a question of whether money coming into the system is accounted for, and to the extent, and particularly when we're dealing with a period from 1890 to 1951, the fact that there was a regular review process --

THE COURT: I'll hear a little bit of it, but first I want to know how much of this Mr. Banda has covered. If he's dipped into a few settlement packages and is prepared to talk about what those few settlement packages look like, well, that will have whatever weight it has.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Very good, your Honor, and I can summarize that right now.

THE COURT: You better give me a foundation right now.
You might wind up calling Mr. Mushtaq back to the stand.
MR. WARSHAWSKY: I'm sorry. Do you want me to
describe it?
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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THE COURT: I want him to describe it. You ask the
04:17:07PM
04:77:09PM 2
04:77:10PM 3
04:77:12PM 4
04:17:12PM 5
04:77:17PM 6
04:77:19PM 7
04:17:24PM
04:17:3:31PM
04:17:368M 10
04:77:40РM 11
044:77:3PM 12
04.17:478M 13

04:17.52PM 14
15
16
17
18
18
19
20
04:18:14PM 21
04:18:17PM 22
орм 23
04:18:24PM 24
04:18.268M 25
A. 90 GAO and 90 Treasury.

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter

BY MR. WARSHAWSKY:
14 Q. Mr. Banda, do you recognize Defendants' Exhibit 510?
A. Yes.

16 Q. And what is it, please?
A. This is a report that we prepared from our work talking about the work that we did for the review of the GAO settlement
04:19:27PM 19 packages.

04:19.288M $\mathbf{2 0}$ Q. And it's dated J une 6, 2006, correct?

## 04:19:317PM 21 <br> A. Yes.

22 Q. And
23 section?
04:19:38PM 24 A. This is work that was performed by Resnick Group. As the questions.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Okay. Very good.
BY MR. WARSHAWSKY:
Q. Mr. Banda, would you provide a general overview of

Resnick's engagement with OHTA?
A. Sure. In April of $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ Resnick was asked to perform a pilot review of GAO settlement packages by OHTA, and in that review gained an understanding of what the settlement packages were, the contents of those settlement packages, and what we put together was a work program so that we could review more of those settlement packages in much more detail, which occurred later in that summer. We reviewed -- I'm sorry.
Q. Yes. Did Resnick subsequently conduct a review of the
settlement packages for the GAO and Treasury?
A. Yes.
Q. And how were those settlement packages selected?
A. We were provided a sample from NORC.
Q. And did you have discussions with NORC as to how that sample was?
A. It was a random sample that they selected from a population that they had obtained.
Q. And do you recall how many settlement packages were
selected by NORC for review?

|  | 1324 |  | 1326 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:19:4689 1 | goes to our client, so I review the work papers that are | 04222388M 1 | Treasury packages. |
| 04:19.517PM 2 | prepared by my staff and review the reports that are prepared by | 04422:40PM 2 | A. Well, we had developed a work plan from our pilot. The |
| 04419.56PM 3 | my staff and make comments on those. | 3 | purpose of our work was to look through these packages to see |
| 04419.588M 4 | Q. As the engagement principal are you ultimately responsible | PM 4 | whether or not they were complete, meaning that they contained |
| O1PM 5 | for the Resnick report? | 04.2254PM 5 | the certificate of settlement, the account currents, and |
| 04420.02PM 6 | A. Yes. | 0422:588M 6 | supporting information. We looked to the condition of these |
| 04420.02PM 7 | Q. And similarly, if you would put up Defendants' Exhibit 511. | 0423:32PM 7 | records. We wanted to look at correspondence to gain an |
| 0420:17PM 8 | And maybe blow it up so we can actually read it. What is | 0423:068M 8 | understanding of the type of -- correspondence that took place |
| ${ }_{0} 0420.238 \mathrm{PM} 9$ | Defendants' Exhibit 511? | 0443:12PM 9 | in the reviews of the account currents and the settlement and |
| 0420224PM 10 | A. It's similar to the last report, but it covers the period | 0423:389 10 | when the settlements were issued, and we tied in the data, the |
| 04402:289 11 | from $\mathbf{1 8 9 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 9 2 0}$ for when Treasury was responsible for | 04223238 11 | underlying data throughout those packages and made copies of |
| 0420:38PM 12 | reviewing the providing the settlement for the account currents. | 2789 12 | that information so our work papers contained copies of certain |
| 42PM 13 | Q. This is October, October 31, 2006 report, correct? | 04223.31PM 13 | of these items: the certificates of settlement, the account |
| 4789 14 | A. Yes. | 04223.369M 14 | currents, and certain of the supporting informations. |
| 04420:477M 15 | Q. As the engagement principal were you also ultimately | 04223.39PM 15 | Q. And when you reviewed work papers in connection with your |
| 0420.517PM 16 | responsible for this report? | 04223:38РМ 16 | review as engagement principal, did that include reviewing |
| 0440:52PM 17 | A. Yes. | 0423:477PM 17 | excerpts from the settlement packages? |
| ${ }_{0} 0420.52 \mathrm{Fm}$ 18 | Q. What did you do before signing this report? | 04423:488M 18 | A. Yes. |
| 0420:53PM 19 | A. I reviewed all of the work papers that were prepared by our | яврм 19 | Q. And let's go to the conclusion of this on page five, |
| ¢7р 20 | staff and reviewed this report in detail and compared that, the | S5PM 20 | Defendants' Exhibit 511. Would you summarize your conclusion |
| грм 21 | report to the work papers that were prepared by our staff. | яэм 21 | with respect to the Treasury packages? |
| Losp 22 | Q. You've talked about -- | ¢PM 22 | A. Our conclusion is that the -- that the Treasury certified |
| 0422:07PM 23 | THE COURT: Mr. Banda, both this document and the one | отрм 23 | and examined the settlement pages for those that we reviewed. |
| 0422:170pM 24 | previous talk about nonrandom samples. | 4:128M 24 | Q. And when you talked about Treasury certifying the packages |
| 0422:138M 25 | THE WITNESS: Your Honor, this is a little background | ${ }_{0} 0424.177 \times \mathrm{M} 25$ | what did you mean? |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter |
|  | 1325 |  | 1327 |
| 0422:177PM 1 | about the pilot that was done to gain an understanding of the | 04244:188M 1 | A. Our understanding of their certification is that they |
| 04221:289M 2 | settlement packages. It was just a little bit of background | 0424422PM 2 | examined the account current that was prepared and their |
| ${ }^{04221: 288 M} 3$ | offered in these reports. The rest of the report describes our | ¢рр 3 | underlying data to ensure that it was correct and accurate, and |
| ${ }^{042212288 M} 4$ | work done on the '90 random samples for both, in this case, | 4 | if there were differences they were brought to the attention of |
| 0422:1308M 5 | Treasury, and in the previous report the GAO settlement | M 5 | the Office of I ndian Affairs and the I ndian disbursing agent. |
| 0422:389M 6 | packages. | M 6 | Q. In the course of reviewing these packages and the work |
| 042:1735PM 7 | THE COURT: Yes. | 4P9 7 | papers, how small a difference did they look at? |
| 042:1386M 8 | MR. WARSHAWSKY: Your Honor, if I can assist here. | 042,24.49PM 8 | A. The one that comes to mind is there was a 90-cent |
| 0422:388M 9 | We're looking right now at Defendants' Exhibit 511. | ${ }_{0} 0424.55 \mathrm{sm} 9$ | difference that I recall. |
| 0422:3889 10 | BY MR. WARSHAWSKY: | 0424.568M 10 | Q. Okay. The process that you've described for the Treasury, |
| 0422:471PM 11 | Q. The background section describing the nonrandom sample, is | 04425.01pm 11 | did that also apply with respect to your GAO review? |
| 0422:1468M 12 | that the pilot study that you talked about earlier? | 0425.09PM 12 | A. Yes, that's correct. |
| 0427:14989 13 | A. Yes. | ${ }_{0} 0425.505 \mathrm{PM} 13$ | Q. And were your conclusions similar for the GAO review? |
| 0422:508M 14 | Q. And then referring further down in the Scope of Work | 0425.0.98M 14 | A. Yes. |
| 0442:538M 15 | section, there's a reference to reviewing a random sample. Is | 04425.98PM 15 | Q. Mr. Banda, since the 2006 reports were issued by Resnick |
| 0422:59PM 16 | that the 90 packages that you subsequently talked about? | ${ }_{0} 0425.515 \mathrm{Pm} 16$ | have you conducted any additional work with regard to the |
| 0442:202PM 17 | A. Yes. | 0425:777M 17 | settlement packages? |
| 0442:202PM 18 | Q. And the report that you've issued here and similarly | 0425:38PM 18 | A. Yes, I have. |
| 0442:088M 19 | Defendants' Exhibit 510, is that the report based on the random | 0442520PM 19 | Q. Describe what that is, please. |
| 0422:47PM 20 | sample? | 0442522PM 20 | A. I have back in April I went back to the National Archives |
| ${ }_{0} 0422: 49 \mathrm{PM} 21$ | A. Yes. | ${ }_{0} 042527 \mathrm{PM} \mathbf{2 1}$ | and reviewed four settlement packages. I reviewed six |
| 0422:49PM 22 | Q. Let's go back -- we'll keep it up here, 511. Can you | 04255.38PM 22 | electronic packages that were provided by Morgan Angel who had |
| 04422:25M 23 | describe the procedures -- why don't you go to the second page | 55408M 23 | taken photocopies of all those, of approximately thirteen |
| 0422:3809 24 | here of this document? Describe the procedures that you | 0425.44PM 24 | packages, and then I went back through and we reviewed the work |
| 04222.359 25 | undertook referring to Resnick in performing your review of the | 04225.50PM 25 | papers, 48 GAO settlement package work papers and 45 Treasury |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter |

settlement package work papers.

2
Q. So you reviewed slightly over half of your original work papers from the 2006 work, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. As well as the Morgan Angel materials?
A. Yes.
Q. And materials that you more recently reviewed out of College Park?
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR

04:27200M 1 A. Section 271, is that what you're referring to?

04:27740PM 7 Q. Okay. And why don't we go down to 280 at the bottom. Was
04.27.54PM 8 this paragraph one that you found particularly important in your analysis?
A. Well, I thought it was important. One of the things that I

17 Q. Is that something you see typically in your work as an
18 accountant?
19 A. Well, we look for internal controls, we look for -- when we 20 perform audits we review the system of internal controls of a 21 company, how many times the policies and procedures will guide 22 us as to what those controls are, and as part of our work we
04.288:44PM 23 test our controls, but this appeared to be a very strong control
${ }^{0428: 888 \mathrm{M}} 24$ that at the Office of Indian Affairs put in place to hold these
25 Indian disbursing agents accountable.

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter
Q. No, the whole section, Individual Monies.
A. We just wanted to see that these are the type of activities that were taking place in the accounts. We had a general understanding that this was the type of activity taking place. This just spelled out each of those activities.
thought was interesting in reviewing the settlement packages on the account currents, there was always a certification by the I ndian disbursing agent, and we felt like seeing this paragraph, it was -- there was a stated control in place holding the Indian disbursing agent personally responsible for the funds that they were accounting for. 23 test our controls, but this appeared to be a very strong control 24 that at the Office of Indian Affairs put in place to hold these 25 Indian disbursing agents accountable.
Official Court Reporter
Q. If they couldn't account for it, they had to pay for it?
A. That's correct. That was our understanding.
Q. Would you turn to the last page of this document, please, and focus on the section at the bottom captioned "Traveling Auditors"? Was there anything -- first of all, let me ask you, what is your understanding of what was meant by a "traveling auditor"?
A. This is someone from the Office of I ndian Affairs who would -- this is what our understanding was -- that would come out and audit and inspect the records, and as they stated here, the safe vaults and cash boxes of the Indian disbursing agent, and what this section, what we thought was very important is that these Indian disbursing agents must give free and unhampered access to all this information to traveling auditors. Again another stated policy and procedure hopefully, what we refer to as holding people accountable, and, you know, it tells -- it describes what an Indian disbursing agent has to do with respect to opening its books to an auditor.
Q. Let me ask you to refer to Defendants' Exhibit 256. Mr. Banda, was this another document that you reviewed in gaining an understanding of the audit work procedures?
A. Yes. We reviewed this document.
Q. What is it, by the way?
A. This is the annual report that was prepared by the GAO for the fiscal year ending June 30th, 1939, and in the report it
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR

Official Court Reporter
describes processes that we observed in reviewing the settlement packages with respect to what GAO -- the process that the Office of the Indian Affairs had to go through in submitting its information to the GAO for examination and settlement.
Q. And I want to scale back what I was going to cover with you just to hit a couple of points, if I may. Would you go to page seven, please, and in the middle of the first column there's a paragraph that begins, Disbursing agents -- no, it's not that big. A little bit further down. No. Yes. That's good enough

The paragraph, Disbursing agents are held strictly accountable under their bonds. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, was that something you considered?
A. Again, it's consistent with the policy in tribal, to hold the people, the Indian disbursing agents personally accountable for all the funds that come into their possession.
Q. By the way, do you have an understanding as to whether this policy applied simply to Indian disbursing agents or other disbursing agents?
A. I don't know if it applied to other than I ndian disbursing agents.
Q. I'll take that as a no. And would you turn to page
fourteen, please, and highlight the section on the left-hand
side regarding Individual Indian Monies. Did you consider this
section in gaining an understanding of the work performed?
-
Q. Why don't we move on to Defendants' Exhibit 243 and show Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter
Q. And I'd like to ask you about a few of the pages in here.

Let's go to pages 44 and 45. 45 you can just show very briefly
12 and then we'll jump back to 44. It looks like it's all part of
13 the same document.
14 A. Yes. It's part of the account current.
15 Q. And what is an account current?
16 A. The account current is a summary prepared by the I ndian
17 disbursing agent of the balance from the previous period plus
18 the receipts less the payments in their control for that period
17 disbursing agent of the balance from the previous period plus
18 the receipts less the payments in their control for that period
19 of time.
20 Q. Okay. And down near the bottom right-hand corner, or
21 actually it's the bottom section that says "I certify." Do you
22 see that?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. What is your understanding of what that certification
25 represents?
A. Yes.
Q. So you probably have seen this very recently. This is the settlement package for an A.G. Wilson?
A. Yes.
Q. And is this one of the packages that you reviewed in
connection with your analysis here?

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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A. A person who is signing this is pretty much saying that
this is, this information is complete and accurate to the best of their knowledge
Q. Is that the disbursing agent's certification?
A. Yes.
Q. And the disbursing agent and the superintendent were the
same; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And on this document did you notice any marks such as you've heard the reference during Mr. Mushtaq's testimony to tic marks?
A. Yes. It appears that balances were checked against something. We don't know exactly what they were checked against, but it appears someone was checking the information and then there was a stamp that said "all pencil changes were made by the General Accounting Office," and it was signed off on by one of their auditors
Q. As an auditor are tic marks something you see in your work?
A. Yes.
Q. Why do you make or utilize tic marks?
A. Tic marks are an indication of the audit procedure are the evidence that was found to support the information on the work paper.
Q. Okay. And you are referring to the box near the bottom about, all pencil changes made by the GAO. What, if any,

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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## significance did you attribute to that?

A. We couldn't determine for sure, but the tic marks seem to be a check that may have been done by the GAO.

MR. SMITH: Objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: I'm going to take judicial notice of what a tic mark means. Move on.

MR. WARSHAWSKY: Thank you.
BY MR. WARSHAWSKY:
Q. If you can go to page 45, please, lower right-hand corner.

There's a signature under a box that says Commissioner of Indian
Affairs. Do you have an understanding as to what's indicated there?
A. Yes. What we observed is that the account current is prepared by the Indian disbursing agent. It is then submitted to the Office of Indian Affairs, who then examines it and that examination, once it's complete, is signed off on this schedule, excuse me, on this page, 45, in this case the Commissioner of Indian Affairs.
Q. Okay. Let's move through these quickly here. Page 52, Mr.

Banda, we're walking through this particular package. Now what is page 352 ?
A. This is our correspondence from the Office of Indian

Affairs to the superintendent, the Indian disbursing agent,
letting them know that their account has been administratively
examined and transmitted to the GAO. However, there were two
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter

| $\begin{array}{ll} { }^{04: 383: 34 \mathrm{PM}} & \mathbf{1} \\ \text { 04:38.35PM } & \mathbf{2} \\ { }_{04: 338.3 P M} & \mathbf{3} \end{array}$ | exceptions noted in their review. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Q. Now, in the course of your review did you gain an |
|  | understanding as to whether there were two layers of review, in |
| 04:38:45PM | other words, Indian Affairs before GAO? |
| 04:38:45PM 5 | A. Yes. |
| 04:38:45PM 6 | Q. And what did Indian Affairs do? |
| 04:38:47PM 7 | A. Indian Affairs reviewed the underlying information |
| 04:38:51PM 8 | supporting the account current, and they, if they found |
| 04:38:57PM 9 | discrepancies they would communicate those to the Indian |
| 04:39:01PM 10 | disbursing agent. |
| 04:39:01PM 11 | Q. And is our discrepancies noted on this particular page? |
| 04:39:06PM 12 | A. They're discrepancies or problems that they came across. |
| 04:39:09PM 13 | Q. And let's move to page six. Mr. Banda, what is page six? |
| 04:39:22PM 14 | A. Page six is the communication from the Indian disbursing |
| 04:39:25PM 15 | agent to the Office of Indian Affairs of how they cleared the |
| 04:39:30Рм 16 | exception or the problem that was noted. |
| 04:39:32PM 17 | Q. So this is the response to the Indian Affairs? |
| 04:39:35PM 18 | A. Yes. |
| 04:39:36PM 19 | Q. Then let's move to page five. What does page five |
| 04:39:49PM 20 | represent? |
| 04:39:49PM 21 | A. Page five is a correspondence to the GAO from the Office of |
| 04:39:55PM 22 | I ndian Affairs letting them -- notifying them that the |
| 04:40:01PM 23 | exceptions that were noted have been properly cleared by |
| 04:40:06PM 24 | explanations and accompanying work papers. |
| 04:40:09PM 25 | Q. Okay. So layer one of review is done, correct? |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter | settlement of account?

A. The certificate of settlement is prepared by the GAO when it reviews the account current and the underlying work papers and examines that information. They are certifying that they've examined the account for the period of time, and in this case October 1st, 1938 to December 31st, 1938, and these are the balances due as of December 31st, as rendered from the account current, and what they came up with during their audit, and they identified a difference of $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 . 6 9}$.
12 Q. Now, when Mr. Mushtaq was covering a similar document there
13 was no difference noted. You mentioned here an $\$ 11.69$
14 difference in the middle column?
15 A. Yes.
16 Q. And what does that mean?
17 A. When GAO reviewed the underlying document -- it's our
18 understanding that when GAO reviewed the underlying information
19 they came up with a different account balance due than what was
20 reported on the account current from the Office of I ndian
21 Affairs.
22 Q. Okay. And let's go to page 58, please. Mr. Banda, have
23 you seen this document in your review?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what is it?
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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A. It's the form that GAO reported the statement of differences, the difference that they found of $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 . 6 9}$.
Q. Okay. And so that ties to the difference that we were
talking about earlier?
A. Yes.
Q. And go back to page four, please. And down at the bottom -- well, not the bottom. There's a signature. It says Fred H. Brown, Comptroller General of the United States. What was the significance of the comptroller general's signature on that page?
A. It's our understanding that that person is the person who has taken responsibility for this settlement of this account.
Q. Let's move to page 67. And Mr. Banda, did you see this document when you were doing your review?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And what is it, please?
A. It's a summary of the Individual Indian Money transactions for the period July 1st, 1938 to December 31st, 1938. It includes the opening balances, the receipts and disbursements, and the closing balances.
Q. And just to move it along, is it fair to say each line represents the gross value for each group of sur names beginning with a letter?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Let's take one where there's just one person with a surname
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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A. Yes.
Q. And why don't you pull up, please, we're going to go into Exhibit 244, page 329. Have you seen this document before, sir? A. Yes.
Q. And what is it?
A. It's an Individual Indian Money statement for a particular
individual whose last name begins with $\mathbf{Q}$.
Q. And blow it up a little bit so we can see it. And if you
scroll up to the top, please. There is the Q name is there,
Mary Sophia Quinimosay?
A. Yes.
Q. It's $Q-u-i-n-i-m-o-s-a-y$.

And did you, just make it very quickly, were you able to tie the numbers shown in this ledger to that lead schedule that we just reviewed in 245, page 67?
A. Yes. We were able to tie in the old balance. The Gingold balance was the previous balance on the other schedule. And summarizing adding the payments and the receipts together, those amounts agreed with that previous schedule as well, and then the last balance on this page agreed with the closing balance on the previous page. 23
Q. If you go back to 243 , page 67 , and focus in on the line
for Q, please, preferably the whole line, okay, so you're
talking about tying in these entries along the line for Q ?

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter
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Q. Sure. You indicated you were provided some regulations and

1
Q. And is that regarding your review of Treasury settlement 22 packages?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. And if we could look at 60-8-12, please. And does it
25 appear that there are 20,676 Treasury settlement packages for Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter
Q. Thank you.
A. You're welcome.
Q. Now, of those Treasury settlement packages, how many did
you personally review?
A. I reviewed two complete packages personally.
Q. You personally reviewed two complete packages?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you reviewed work papers from your team for another ninety or so?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, can you tell us how many settlement, Treasury settlement packages there are out there?
A. No, I do not know that.
Q. If we could look at Exhibit 154 at 60-8-5. Okay. Let's see the full document, please. And are you familiar with this document from the Resnick Group? I believe your name is on it.
A. Yes.
Q. Dated September 7, 2005?
A. Yes.
A. 1921 to 1951. -

Oastatesm 1 the period 1890 to 1920?
A. Yes.
Q. So you have reviewed two of 20,676 packages; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, tell me how many GAO settlement packages you personally reviewed.
A. I reviewed four recently, and during the -- and I don't recall how many I reviewed when we did the pilot back in 2005.
Q. So until recently the only ones you reviewed were during the pilot?
A. The complete packages, but I reviewed the work papers since then.
Q. Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 154 at 60-8-33. Are you

15 familiar with this document General Accounting Office Settled
16 Indian Agent Account packages at National Archives?
17 A. I may have seen it, I just don't recall.
18 Q. Okay. Let's look at 60-8-75. It indicates what GAO
04:56:15PM 19 settlement packages were reviewed and who reviewed them and you
A. Yeah. These are the packages I couldn't recall that I
reviewed during the pilot.
04:56:29Pm 24 Q. And two are at the Ponty Agency in 1927, two at the
04:56:34PM 25 Cherokee Agency in 1930, and one in the Pineridge Agency in

|  | 1348 |  | 1350 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04.56.38PM 1 | 1948; is that correct? | 04.59944PM 1 | A. That I don't know. |
| ${ }_{0} 04565680 \mathrm{PM} 2$ | A. I'm sure it is. | 04.59477PM 2 | Q. Well, isn't it true, Mr. Banda, that they could not answer |
| ${ }_{0} 0.45641 \mathrm{PM} \quad 3$ | Q. So you reviewed on this occasion packages from basically | 04599.52PM 3 | the question whether based on that statistical sample there was |
| 04.56.488M 4 | three agencies over three separate years, correct? | 04.59.988M 4 | a systematic check of any individual Indian account, isn't that |
|  | A. Yes. | 05.00.028M 5 | correct? |
| ${ }^{04} 465.549 \mathrm{PM}$ | Q. And can you tell me how many settlement packages, GAO | 05.00:04PM 6 | MR. WARSHAWSKY: Beyond the scope of direct. |
| 04.56.59PM 7 | settlement packages, there are of GAO settlement packages there | 05.00.058M 7 | THE COURT: Oh, I don't think so. |
| 04.57:07PM 8 | are during this period? | 05.00:77PM 8 | THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to that |
| 04.57.07PM 9 | A. I don't know. | 05.00.888M 9 | question. |
| 0455:07pM 10 | Q. If we could look at Exhibit 153 at 60-5-1. It's a document | 05:00:117PM 10 | BY MR. SMITH: |
| 04572:2PM 11 | entitled from Burt Edwards to Fitz Scheuren reviewed by Resnick | 05.00:11PM 11 | Q. Okay. If we could see this document, please. |
| 045572889 12 | Group of settlement packages. Have you seen that document | 05.00:99PM 12 | THE COURT: I'll make a deal with you, Mr. Warshawsky. |
| 04.572 29PM 13 | before? | 05.00228PM 13 | You withdraw the direct and I'll sustain your objection. |
| 04.572 29PM 14 | A. Yes. | 05:002889 14 | MR. WARSHAWSKY: No deal, your Honor. |
| 04.572989 15 | Q. If we could look at 60-5-3. See if you could focus in on | 05.00:3P9 15 | BY MR. SMITH: |
| 04.57:3889 16 | the highlighted section. | 05.00:3P9 16 | Q. I'm showing you what's entitled Government Monitoring of |
| 04577.399 17 | A. Yes. | 05.00:417M 17 | the Indian Service Special Disbursing Agent's accounts, March |
| 0457:408P 18 | Q. Does it indicate the search information was collected so | 05.00:4889 18 | 31, 2007. Have you seen this document before? |
| 04:57:48РМ 19 | that NORC could develop statistical analysis of the completeness | 05:00:4889 19 | A. I've seen it, I've not read it. |
| 04.57:47PM 20 | of the GAO population of 31,418 settlement packages? | 05.00.50pM 20 | Q. You've seen it but not read it? |
| 04:57:589 21 | A. Yes. | 05.00:389 21 | A. I've seen the front of it but not reviewed it in detail. |
| ${ }_{0}^{0457.549 \mathrm{~Pa}} 22$ | Q. So you initially reviewed five of 31,418 packages. Since | ${ }_{050.00 .58 \mathrm{PM}} 22$ | Q. If we could look at page six, please, and focus in on the |
| ${ }_{\text {04, }}^{0.58 .19 P M} 23$ | that time have you reviewed how many more? | 05.0.0.05PM 23 | the second paragraph. In the fourth line down toward the middle |
| 04.58.09PM 24 | A. Four. | 05.0.1208M 24 | do you see it starts the question of whether, do you see that? |
| 04.58.05PM 25 | Q. So a total of 8 of 31,418 ? | 05.0172PM 25 | A. Yes. |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter |
|  | 1349 |  | 1351 |
| 04580.88PM 1 | A. Or nine. Five and the four, so ... | 05.0.12PM 1 | Q. It says, The question of whether account balances were |
| ${ }^{\text {04,58:12PM }} \mathbf{2}$ | Q. I'm sorry, nine. You're correct. You're the accountant. | 05.01:24PM 2 | systematically checked at an individual Indian account level has |
| ${ }_{0}^{0458: 777 \times M} 3$ | A. Thank you. | 05.01:288M 3 | not been answered conclusively. Is that correct? |
| ${ }_{0}^{04585: 77 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{4}$ | Q. Is it your testimony that you can make judgments regarding | 05.0.1.31PM $^{4}$ | A. That's what it says. |
| ${ }^{04585829 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | what were the regular practices regarding reviewing these | ${ }_{0}^{050.1328 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | Q. Has anybody ever discussed that conclusion with you? |
| 04.58382PM 6 | packages by reviewing nine of 31,418 settlement packages? | 05.0:1735M 6 | A. Yes. |
| 045883989 7 | A. No. It was based on the work done by our firm. Iformed | 05.0:17889 7 | Q. And who is that? |
| ${ }^{04} 4.58 .488 \mathrm{PM} 8$ | an opinion that what we observed, it was based on the sample | 05.01:3989 8 | A. We have talked about it internally with Resnick in |
|  | that we reviewed. | 05.014.47PM 9 | preparation for this trial. |
| ${ }_{0} 0458.549 \mathrm{Pm} 10$ | Q. Okay. And your firm reviewed ninety GAO settlements? | 05.0.1.50PM 10 | Q. So you were aware of the conclusion? |
| 04.58.599\% 11 | A. Ninety GAO, yes. | 05.0.:51pm 11 | A. I was aware that there was a conclusion, not that I wasn't |
| 04590.1pm 12 | Q. So is it your testimony that based on your personal review | 05.0.155PM 12 | aware that it was in this report. |
| 04590.58M 13 | of nine in your firm's review of ninety that you can make a | 05.0.1.57PM 13 | Q. So you were aware that there was a conclusion that they |
| 04590.09P 14 | judgment regarding regular practices when there's a total of | 05.02.008M 14 | could not come to any conclusions from the statistical sampling; |
| 0459:949 15 | 31,418 settlement packages? | 05.02.0.5PM 15 | is that right? |
| 04.59.188M 16 | A. The reason why we can make this statement is that we really | 05.02.0.58M 16 | A. No. What I was aware of is that there was a desire to come |
| 04.599258M 17 | saw no exceptions to that in the sample that we reviewed. | 05.02.299\% 17 | to that conclusion. |
| 04:592889 18 | Q. Okay. Now, you provided this information to NORC for a | 05:02:10P⿳ 18 | Q. If we could look at the next paragraph, focus in on that, |
| 04.593.317M 19 | reason, didn't you? | 05:02:77PM 19 | the last sentence. Starting third to last line, do you see |
| 0459932PM 20 | A. Yes. | 05.02228M 20 | where it says "the relative"? |
| ${ }_{0} 0459.3$ 38M 21 | Q. And what was the reason you provided this information to | 05.022389 21 | A. Yes, I see it. |
| ${ }_{0}^{04599389} 22$ | NORC? | 05.0224PM 22 | Q. It says, The relative frequency which with individual |
| ${ }_{0} 04593$ 37PM 23 | A. It was our outstanding that they were going to use it in a | 05.02277PM 23 | Indian accounts were audited cannot be ascertained, however, |
| ${ }_{\text {0459939PM }} 24$ | statistical analysis. | 05.023.319M 24 | because of the varied way the settlement packages were |
| 04459.41PM 25 | Q. And did they use it in a statistical analysis? | 05.023:3PM 25 | maintained. Were you not aware of that conclusion before today? |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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|  | 1352 |  | 1354 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05.023:389 1 | A. I was not. | ${ }^{0.505 .51 P M} 1$ | MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I have no further questions. |
| 05.02:508M 2 | MR. SMITH: Your Honor, one second. | ${ }_{0}^{0.5055 .52 \mathrm{M}} \mathbf{2}$ | THE COURT: Mr. Warshawsky? |
| 05.02:509M 3 | BY MR. SMITH: | ${ }_{0}^{0.505 .56 P M} 3$ | MR. WARSHAWSKY: I'll be very quick. |
| 05.03:188M 4 | Q. If we could look at Exhibit 152, please. And look at | ${ }^{05.505568 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{4}$ | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 05.03:3889 5 | 152-60. I'm sorry. 60-3-16. | ${ }^{055060.88 P M} 5$ | BY MR. WARSHAWSKY: |
| 05:03:47pm 6 | THE COURT: You don't have much more with this | 05:00.088M 6 | Q. Mr. Banda, Mr. Smith asked you questions about reviewing |
| 05.03:49PM 7 | witness, do you, Mr. Smith? | 05:06:4PM 7 | samples from tens of thousands of packages. Is it a normal |
| 05.03.51PM 8 | MR. SMITH: You know, I really don't. I'll be | 05:06:9PM 8 | audit procedure to use random sampling? |
| 05.03:538M 9 | finished in about two minutes. | ${ }^{05} 50.0623 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}$ | A. Yes. |
| 05.03:55PM 10 | THE COURT: Good. | 05:06238m 10 | Q. And if you use a statistically valid random sample, can you |
| 05.03:59PM 11 | BY MR. SMITH: | 05:002889 11 | draw inferences about a large population by reviewing a small |
| 05.03:599PM 12 | Q. Is this a summary of the ninety Treasury settlement | 05.06828PM 12 | number? |
| 05.040:02PM 13 | packages have you seen this before? | 05.0.3.3P的 13 | MR. SMITH: He's not an expert in that field. |
| 05.040.05PM 14 | A. Yes, I believe so. Yep. | 05.0.3.38PM 14 | MR. WARSHAWSKY: I'll qualify him, your Honor, if you |
| 05:04:0889 15 | Q. And can you tell the Court of the ninety that were reviewed | 05:06.399m 15 | wish. |
| 05.04:411PM 16 | how many didn't have Individual Indian Money in them? | 05.0.3.39¢ 16 | THE COURT: Well, you're going to have a hard time |
| 05.04:15PM 17 | A. I'd have to go through. There were many, but I'd have to | 05:0.6.41PM 17 | qualifying him against what NORC has already concluded about |
| 05.0421PM 18 | go through and count them. | 05.0.6.45PM 18 | this sample. |
| 05:04228M 19 | Q. I'll tell you, I counted them. It was roughly 42. | 05:06.48PM 19 | MR. WARSHAWSKY: Well, your Honor, I'll be happy to go |
| 05.042:28M 20 | A. Okay. | 05.0.6.49m 20 | to that NORC report as well. |
| 05.042:28M 21 | Q. 42 out of the 90 . That's sound about right? | 05:06.50PM 21 | THE COURT: Go to the validity of the sample size and |
| 05.042999 22 | A. That sounds about right. | 05.0.6.54PM 22 | all that. Maybe we better bring him back tomorrow morning if |
| 05.043009M 23 | Q. I mean, for example, the first two are the Keyawah agency, | 05.06.57PM 23 | that's what you're going to do. |
| ${ }_{\text {05, } 04.388 \mathrm{PM}} 24$ | and it indicates that there's no IIM money in those packages; is | ${ }_{05} 0.0 .5 .59 \mathrm{~mm} 24$ | MR. WARSHAWSKY: No. What I was going to do, your |
| 05.044.41PM 25 | that correct? | 05:0.7:01PM 25 | Honor, is simply establish that auditors rely on random samples. |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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| 05:044.41PM 1 | A. Yes. | 05:07.75PM 1 | THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice of that. The |
| 05.044.41PM 2 | Q. Was there supposed to be IIM money in those packages? | 05:07\%:7PM 2 | question is whether this was an adequate sample and whether the |
| 05.04:44PM 3 | A. That I can't tell you. I don't know. | 05:07:11PM 3 | conclusions drawn from this sample are adequate, and if he's |
| ${ }_{05} 0.04446 \mathrm{PM} \quad 4$ | Q. So you can't tell us whether on any of these reviews | ${ }^{0.5077 .15 \mathrm{MPM}} 4$ | prepared to testify about that let me hear his qualifications |
| ${ }_{\text {05, }}$ (4.52PM 5 | whether there was money, there should have been money where | ${ }^{\text {05.07: } 78 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{5}$ | for it. |
| 05.04:55PM 6 | there wasn't, is that fair, any of these packages? | 05:07:19PM 6 | MR. WARSHAWSKY: He certainly didn't design the sample |
| 05.0.4.589 7 | A. I can't tell you where it says there's no IIM money whether | 05.0.721PM 7 | so I'll move on. |
| 05.050.02PM 8 | or not there should have been IIM money. | 05.072.21PM 8 | BY MR. WARSHAWSKY: |
| ${ }_{\text {05, } 050.49 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | Q. On any of these reviews was any effort made to determine | ${ }^{05.00728 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | Q. And I'm sorry, I didn't get the exhibit number, but the |
| 05.05:088. 10 | whether money was actually disbursed to the proper beneficiary? | 05:072.889 10 | NORC 2007 report that you reviewed, if you could pull that back |
| 05.05: 11 pm 11 | A. No. | 05:073:329 11 | up. AR436, do you mind pulling that up and go to page six. You |
| 05.05:49PM 12 | Q. It wasn't done by you or by the auditors originally, is | 05.077.39m 12 | remember when Mr. Smith reviewed this with you? |
| 05.05:78PM 13 | that fair? | 05:077.42PM 13 | A. Yes. |
| 05.05:188M 14 | A. It wasn't done by us. | 05.0.7428M 14 | Q. And would you highlight that whole paragraph that begins |
| 05.05208P 15 | Q. And you couldn't tell whether the original auditors had | 05:07.49Pm 15 | Evidence in some? Well, I mean just blow it up. Evidence in |
| 05.05.238M 16 | done it either, had you? | 05.07.52PM 16 | some. |
| 05.05249M 17 | A. We did not look for that in our work. | ${ }_{\text {05.0.7.52PM }} 17$ | A. Right. |
| 05.052889 18 | Q. All they're doing here is balancing accounts of the Indian | 05.07.53PM 18 | Q. Do you remember Mr. Smith asked you about a section of |
| 05.05.3089 19 | agent; is that correct? | 05.08.00PM 19 | that. Did you consider the first sentence when you were |
| 05.05.359 20 | A. They were balancing the accounts and providing support for | 05:0.0.55M 20 | answering that question, Evidence in some settlement packages |
| ${ }_{05.05377 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{2 1}$ | those balances. | 05.0.0.88M 21 | indicate the GAO did at least sometimes examine all individual |
| ${ }_{\text {05.05.3889 }} 22$ | Q. But they are not auditing or balancing the individual | ${ }^{05} 5088.12 \mathrm{Mm} 22$ | Indian accounts at a BIA agency? |
| ${ }_{\text {05.054.4PM }} 23$ | Indian accounts themselves, are they? | 05.08:15PM 23 | A. I did not review that. |
| ${ }_{\text {05.054.48PM }} 24$ | A. We did not see evidence of an audit of an individual Indian | 05.08:168M 24 | Q. Okay. |
| ${ }_{05059548 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | account. | 05.08:77PM 25 | THE COURT: "At least sometimes," that's the |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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| $1279: 23,1280: 6,$ | $1258: 16,1258: 17$ | theoretically [2] | timing [1] - 1234:11 | $1225: 21,1361: 3$ |
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