				782
	780	04-03-0001	1	PROCEEDINGS
		01:27:30PM 01:27:30PM	2	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please come to order and remain
	TATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		3	
		01:37:12PM		seated.
ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, et		01:37:19PM	4 5	THE COURT: Mr. Siemietkowski?
al.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	01:37:22PM		MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Good afternoon, your Honor.
Plaintiffs,	. Civil Action 96-1285	01:37:24PM	6	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
DIRK KEMPTHORNE, Secretary	. Washington, D.C Monday, June 16, 2008	01:37:26PM	7	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: The defense calls Ed Angel.
of the Interior, et al.	. 1:37 p.m.	01:37:29PM	8	THE COURT: All right.
Defendants.	•	01:37:40PM	9	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you solemnly swear that the
	•	01:37:41PM	10	testimony you should give to the Court in this case now on trial
	TRIAL - AFTERNOON SESSION ONORABLE JAMES ROBERTSON	01:37:44PM	11	will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so
	TATES DISTRICT JUDGE	01:37:47PM	12	help you God?
APPEARANCES:		01:37:48PM	13	DR. ANGEL: I do.
For the Plaintiffs:	DENNIS GINGOLD, ESQ. Law Offices of Dennis Gingold	01:37:49PM	14	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Please be seated.
	607 14th Street, NW Ninth Floor	01:37:50PM	15	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: A couple of preliminary matters,
	Washington, D.C. 20005 202-824-1448	01:37:52PM	16	your Honor. Number one, we'd like to alert the Court that Dr.
	ELLIOTT H. LEVITAS, ESQ. WILLIAM E. DORRIS, ESQ.	01:37:56PM	17	Angel will be testifying in three different areas. The three
	Kilpatrick Stockton, LLP 1100 Peachtree Street	01:37:59PM	18	areas of his testimony are, number one, the availability of
	Suite 2800 Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530	01:38:03PM	19	receipts and disbursement records; number two, government
	404-815-6450	01:38:13PM	20	practices and system controls reflected in those records; and
	KEITH HARPER, ESQ. JUSTIN GUILDER, ESQ. Kilpatrick Stockton, LLP	01:38:24PM	21	number three, a critique of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged
	607 14th Street, NW Suite 900	01:38:27PM	22	benefit to the government.
	Washington, D.C. 20005 202-585-0053	01:38:30PM	23	THE COURT: Fine. All right.
APPEARANCES con't. on next	page.	01:38:32PM	24	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Next, your Honor, we'd like to
		01:38:34PM	25	remind the Court that the Court qualified Dr. Angel as an expert
	ine M. Sullivan, RPR			Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Offic	rial Court Reporter			Official Court Reporter
APPEARANCES, con't.	781			783
		01:38:38PM	1	in October in the history of federal Indian relations and
DAVID C. S	MITH, FSO.	01:38:43PM	2	federal Indian records, and we would like the Court to again
DANI EL F	MITH, ESQ. R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ.	01:38:43PM 01:38:46PM	3	federal Indian records, and we would like the Court to again consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. c Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street			
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. «Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101	01:38:46PM	3	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. «Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101	01:38:46PM 01:38:49PM	3 4	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today.
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607- For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. Stockton, LLP St Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 -7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ.	01:38:46PM 01:38:49PM 01:38:50PM	3 4 5	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do
DANIEL F Kilpatrick 1001 W Winston- 336-607- For the Defendants: RC JOHN W J. CHRI S U.S. Depa	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KI RSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice	01:38:46PM 01:38:49PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:53PM	3 4 5 6	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that.
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607- For the Defendants: RC JOHN W/ J. CHRIS U.S. Depp 1100 L S Washing	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 -7392 BERT E. KI RSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005	01:38:46PM 01:38:49PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dep 1100 L S Washing 202-307.	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. K Stockton, LLP St Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. Torher of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005	01:38:46PM 01:38:49PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7 8	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607- For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dep: 1100 L S Washing 202-307-	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 -7392 BERT E. KI RSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005	01:38:46PM 01:38:49PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607- For the Defendants: RC JOHN W/ J. CHRIS U.S. Depp 1100 L S Washing 202-307- JOHN T. Senior Tr	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. K Stockton, LLP St Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. cial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ.	01:38:49PM 01:38:49PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607- For the Defendants: RC JOHN W/ J. CHRIS U.S. Dept 1100 L S Washing 202-307- JOHN T. Senior Tr JOHN J. S Trial Atto	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. arthment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. rial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. partment of Justice	01:38:46PM 01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record?
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Depi 1100 L S Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior T JOHN J. Trial Atto U.S. Depi Commerc	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. rial Attorney isiEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. briney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion	01:38:46PM 01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel.
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607- For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dept 1100 L S Washing 202-307- JOHN T. Senior Tr JOHN J. S Trial Attc U.S. Dept Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. c Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 .7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. arthment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ rial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ partment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession?
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Rilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607- For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRI S U.S. Dep: 1100 L S Washing 202-307- JOHN T. Senior T JOHN J. Trial Atte U.S. Dep: Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307-	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. ial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. priney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 1-1104	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:59PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian.
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Riopatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dep: 1100 L S Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior TT JOHN J. Trial Atto U.S. Dep: Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. ial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. priney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 1-1104	01:38:46PM 01:38:45PM 01:38:55PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:59PM 01:38:50PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work?
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Rilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Depi 1100 L S Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior T JOHN J. Trial Atto U.S. Depi Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. Court Reporter: JACC	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. crial Attorney BIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. briney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:59PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates.
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dep: 1100 L S' Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior Tr JOHN J. Trial Attc U.S. Dep: Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. Court Reporter: JACC Official Cou	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. dial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. orney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 -1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR urt Reporter louse, Room 6720	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:03PM 01:39:03PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates. Q. Is that here in Washington?
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Rilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dep: 1100 L S' Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior T' JOHN J. STrial Att U.S. Dep: Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. Court Reporter: JACC Official Cou U.S. Court 333 Consti	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. ial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. orney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 -1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR art Reporter iouse, Room 6720 tution Avenue, NW n, D.C. 20001	01:38:46PM 01:38:45PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:53PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates. Q. Is that here in Washington? A. It's at 1601 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest in Washington,
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Depi 1100 L S Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior T JOHN J. Trial Atto U.S. Depi Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. Court Reporter: JACC Official Cou U.S. Courtt 333 Consti	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. ial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. orney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 -1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR art Reporter iouse, Room 6720 tution Avenue, NW n, D.C. 20001	01:38:46PM 01:38:45PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:50PM 01:39:02PM 01:39:02PM 01:39:02PM 01:39:02PM 01:39:02PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates. Q. Is that here in Washington? A. It's at 1601 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest in Washington, D.C.
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Rilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dep: 1100 L S' Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior T' JOHN J. STrial Att U.S. Dep: Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. Court Reporter: JACC Official Cou U.S. Court 333 Consti	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. ial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. orney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 -1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR art Reporter iouse, Room 6720 tution Avenue, NW n, D.C. 20001	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:53PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates. Q. Is that here in Washington? A. It's at 1601 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest in Washington, D.C. Q. Dr. Angel, let's turn first to the topic of availability of
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Rilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dep: 1100 L S' Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior T' JOHN J. STrial Att U.S. Dep: Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. Court Reporter: JACC Official Cou U.S. Court 333 Consti	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. ial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. orney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 -1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR art Reporter iouse, Room 6720 tution Avenue, NW n, D.C. 20001	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:54PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:57PM 01:38:00PM 01:38:00PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:00PM 01:39:12PM 01:39:12PM 01:39:12PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates. Q. Is that here in Washington? A. It's at 1601 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest in Washington, D.C. Q. Dr. Angel, let's turn first to the topic of availability of receipts and disbursement records. Do you recall testifying
DANIEL I Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Depi 1100 L S Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior T JOHN J. Senior T JOHN J. Trial Att U.S. Depi Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. Court Reporter: JACC Official Cou U.S. Court 333 Consti Washingto 202-354-3	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. ial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. orney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR art Reporter nouse, Room 6720 tution Avenue, NW n, D.C. 20001 187	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates. Q. Is that here in Washington? A. It's at 1601 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest in Washington, D.C. Q. Dr. Angel, let's turn first to the topic of availability of receipts and disbursement records. Do you recall testifying about that in October?
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Rilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Depi 1100 L S Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior T JOHN J. Senior T JOHN J. Trial Att U.S. Depi Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. Court Reporter: JACC Official Cou U.S. Court 333 Constit Washingto 202-354-3	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. dial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. orney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 -1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR JUTK Reporter 100196, 20001 187	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates. Q. Is that here in Washington? A. It's at 1601 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest in Washington, D.C. Q. Dr. Angel, let's turn first to the topic of availability of receipts and disbursement records. Do you recall testifying about that in October? A. Yes, I do.
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dep. 1100 L S Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior Tr JOHN J. Trial Att U.S. Dep. Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. Court Reporter: JACC Official Cou U.S. Courti 333 Constit Washington 202-354-3*	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. rial Attorney SIEMI ETKOWSKI, ESQ. orney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 -1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR urt Reporter nouse, Room 6720 tution Avenue, NW n, D.C. 20001 187 chine shorthand, transcript produced option.	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates. Q. Is that here in Washington? A. It's at 1601 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest in Washington, D.C. Q. Dr. Angel, let's turn first to the topic of availability of receipts and disbursement records. Do you recall testifying about that in October? A. Yes, I do. Q. And what was your conclusion in October concerning the
DANIEL I Kilpatrick Kilpatrick 1001 We Winston- 336-607. For the Defendants: RC JOHN WA J. CHRIS U.S. Dep: 1100 L S: Washing 202-307. JOHN T. Senior Tr JOHN J. Trial Attc U.S. Dep: Commerc Civil Divi Ben Fran P.O. Box Washing 202-307. 202-514-3: Court Reporter: JACC Official Cou U.S. Court 333 Consti Washingto 202-354-3: Proceedings reported by ma by computer-aided transcrip	R. TAYLOR, JR., ESQ. k Stockton, LLP st Fourth Street Salem, North Carolina 27101 7392 BERT E. KIRSCHMAN, JR., ESQ. ARSHAWSKY, ESQ. TOPHER KOHN, ESQ. artment of Justice treet, NW ton, D.C. 20005 0010 STEMPLEWICZ, ESQ. dial Attorney SIEMIETKOWSKI, ESQ. orney artment of Justice cial Litigation Branch sion klin Station 975 ton, D.C. 20044 -1104 368 DUELINE M. SULLIVAN, RPR JUTK Reporter 100196, 20001 187	01:38:46PM 01:38:50PM 01:38:53PM 01:38:54PM	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	consider him as an expert in those same fields for his testimony today. THE COURT: Without objection I will of course do that. EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D, WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, would you please state your full name for the record? A. Yes. My name is Edward Angel. Q. And what is your profession? A. I'm a historian. Q. Where do you work? A. I work at Morgan, Angel & Associates. Q. Is that here in Washington? A. It's at 1601 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest in Washington, D.C. Q. Dr. Angel, let's turn first to the topic of availability of receipts and disbursement records. Do you recall testifying about that in October? A. Yes, I do. Q. And what was your conclusion in October concerning the aggregate receipt and disbursement records for funds in the IIM

	79.4		706
4	784	1	786
01:39:33PM 1	system?	01:42:43PM	we've given information to both FTI, Ms. Herman, and to NORC,
01:39:33PM 2	A. We noted that while there are some aggregate receipt and	01:42:53PM 2	Dr. Scheuren.
01:39:38PM 3	disbursement records going back as far as 1909, for the most	01:42:53PM 3	Q. Now, Dr. Angel, you mentioned your total IIM chart. Do you
01:39:42PM 4	part aggregate receipt and disbursement records on IIM weren't	01:42:57PM 4	recall DX 94, your total IIM chart which was admitted into
01:39:47PM 5	kept. For the most part these records are more at an individual	01:43:00PM 5	evidence in October?
01:39:50PM 6	level then they are kept maintained at an aggregate level.	01:43:01PM 6	A. I do.
01:39:54PM	Q. When were they first kept at the aggregate level?	01:43:01PM	Q. And have you updated that since?
01:39:59PM 8	A. Consistently beginning in about 1998 with the Treasury	01:43:04PM 8	A. Yes, we have subsequently updated it with the additional
01:40:04PM 9	Department publication, the consolidated financial statements of	01:43:07PM 9	information that we've located.
01:40:07PM 10	the United States.	01:43:09PM 10	Q. Dr. Angel, at this time I'd like to show you on your screen
01:40:08PM 11	Q. Now, was the IIM system designed to track data more at the	01:43:12PM 11	what's been marked as DX 483. Do you recognize that, Dr. Angel?
01:40:12PM 12	aggregate level or more at the individual level?	01:43:35PM 12	A. Yes. That's our updated version of our total value of IIM
01:40:15PM 13	A. As we've done our research over the course of the years in	01:43:40PM 13	system funds chart.
01:40:18PM 14	this case, what we found is that for the most part IIM records	01:43:41PM 14	Q. And what does this chart represent, Dr. Angel?
01:40:23PM 15	were not kept at the aggregate level. When we were doing our	01:43:44PM 15	A. This chart represents known data points between 1908 and
01:40:27PM 16	research if we were looking for issues related to appropriated	01:43:50PM 16	1985, and it contains information about receipts, disbursements,
01:40:33PM 17	funds, for example, we might find voluminous records concerning	01:43:57PM 17	IIM system funds in banks, whatever component parts we can get,
01:40:37PM 18	how the money came from Congress to Interior and then worked its	01:44:01PM 18	and year-end account balance information.
01:40:41PM 19	way down to the agency level. This truly is history from the	01:44:05PM 19	Q. I'll ask Matthew to slowly cursor through the different
01:40:45PM 20	bottom up. These records literally begin at ground level and	01:44:08PM 20	pages of the chart and ask you, Dr. Angel, do any particular
01:40:50PM 21	work their way up to the individual, and there aren't a lot of	01:44:11PM 21	portions of the chart reflect any historical trends?
01:40:54PM 22	aggregate receipt and disbursement records.	01:44:14PM 22	A. Matthew, would you slide back one, please?
01:40:58PM 23	Q. And Dr. Angel, what have you learned since October about	01:44:21PM 23	Certainly one of the trends that we can see is the end
01:41:02PM 24	the availability of aggregate receipt and disbursement	01:44:27PM 24	of the Depression on year-end account balances and on the
01:41:05PM 25	information?	01:44:31PM 25	receipt and disbursement element of the IIM chart. We can
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	785	,	787
01:41:05PM 1	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I	01:44:39PM 1	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can
01:41:10PM 2	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and	01:44:46PM 2	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:14PM 3	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases,
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:14PM 3 01:41:20PM 4	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits,	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:14PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:25PM 5	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:06PM 5	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:14PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular,	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:10PM 6	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:14PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:34PM 7	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:10PM 6 01:45:16PM 7	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart.
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:14PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:25PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:34PM 7 01:41:37PM 8	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research.	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:16PM 6 01:45:16PM 7 01:45:16PM 8	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:30PM 7 01:41:37PM 8 01:41:40PM 9	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information?	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:05PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:10PM 6 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:19PM 8	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:14PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:25PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:34PM 7 01:41:34PM 8 01:41:40PM 9 01:41:42PM 10	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:16PM 6 01:45:16PM 7 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:22PM 9 01:45:22PM 10	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys?
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:20PM 6 01:41:30PM 7 01:41:37PM 8 01:41:37PM 9 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:40PM 11	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in	01:44:48PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:08PM 5 01:45:16PM 6 01:45:16PM 7 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:22PM 9 01:45:23PM 10 01:45:28PM 11	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None.
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:20PM 6 01:41:30PM 7 01:41:37PM 8 01:41:37PM 9 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:46PM 11 01:41:46PM 11	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional	01:44:48PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:16PM 6 01:45:16PM 7 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:22PM 9 01:45:25PM 10 01:45:26PM 11 01:45:26PM 12	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:34PM 7 01:41:34PM 7 01:41:37PM 8 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:40PM 11	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups.	01:44:48PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:08PM 5 01:45:16PM 6 01:45:16PM 7 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:22PM 9 01:45:23PM 10 01:45:28PM 11	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:30PM 7 01:41:30PM 9 01:41:40PM 9 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:51PM 12 01:41:51PM 12	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in	01:44:46PM 2 01:45:3PM 3 01:45:06PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:10PM 6 01:45:19PM 7 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:22PM 9 01:45:22PM 10 01:45:23PM 11 01:45:23PM 12 01:45:23PM 13	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:25PM 6 01:41:30PM 7 01:41:34PM 7 01:41:34PM 9 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:40PM 12 01:41:55PM 13 01:41:55PM 14	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data	01:44:48PM 2 01:45:3PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:16PM 6 01:45:16PM 8 01:45:2PM 10 01:45:28PM 11 01:45:28PM 12 01:45:28PM 12	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None.
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:20PM 6 01:41:30PM 7 01:41:33PM 7 01:41:37PM 9 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:50PM 12 01:41:50PM 13 01:41:50PM 14	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in	01:44:48PM 2 01:45:39PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:16PM 6 01:45:16PM 7 01:45:16PM 8 01:45:22PM 9 01:45:22PM 10 01:45:26PM 11 01:45:24PM 12 01:45:34PM 13 01:45:34PM 14	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys?
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:4PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:33PM 7 01:41:33PM 9 01:41:40PM 9 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:51PM 12 01:41:51PM 12 01:41:55PM 13 01:41:55PM 14 01:41:55PM 15	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data on receipts and disbursements and year-end account balances? A. Over the course of the years we've located them in a number	01:44:48PM 2 01:45:3PM 4 01:45:08PM 5 01:45:08PM 6 01:45:19PM 7 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:22PM 9 01:45:22PM 10 01:45:22PM 12 01:45:23PM 13 01:45:24PM 13 01:45:24PM 14 01:45:34PM 15	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. And Dr. Angel, again to refresh the Court's recollection
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:30PM 7 01:41:34PM 7 01:41:34PM 10 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:59PM 12 01:41:59PM 14 01:41:59PM 15 01:42:00PM 16 01:42:10PM 17	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data on receipts and disbursements and year-end account balances?	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:10PM 6 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:22PM 9 01:45:22PM 10 01:45:23PM 11 01:45:23PM 12 01:45:34PM 14 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:34PM 15	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. And Dr. Angel, again to refresh the Court's recollection regarding what you said in October, why does the chart stop in
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:25PM 6 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:34PM 7 01:41:37PM 8 01:41:37PM 10 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:45PM 12 01:41:59PM 13 01:41:59PM 14 01:41:59PM 15 01:42:10PM 16 01:42:10PM 17 01:42:13PM 18	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data on receipts and disbursements and year-end account balances? A. Over the course of the years we've located them in a number of places, but for the most part we've located these at National	01:44:48PM 2 01:45:39PM 3 01:45:01PM 4 01:45:05PM 5 01:45:16PM 6 01:45:16PM 7 01:45:16PM 10 01:45:22PM 10 01:45:22PM 11 01:45:22PM 12 01:45:24PM 13 01:45:34PM 14 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:38PM 16 01:45:38PM 17 01:45:38PM 17	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. And Dr. Angel, again to refresh the Court's recollection regarding what you said in October, why does the chart stop in 1985?
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:34PM 7 01:41:34PM 9 01:41:40PM 9 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:45PM 11 01:41:51PM 12 01:41:59PM 13 01:41:59PM 15 01:42:07PM 16 01:42:10PM 17 01:42:13PM 18 01:42:13PM 18	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data on receipts and disbursements and year-end account balances? A. Over the course of the years we've located them in a number of places, but for the most part we've located these at National Archives, both locally and at regional branches, we've located	01:44:48PM 2 01:45:39PM 4 01:45:08PM 5 01:45:08PM 6 01:45:19PM 7 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:22PM 9 01:45:22PM 10 01:45:22PM 12 01:45:23PM 13 01:45:34PM 14 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:34PM 17 01:45:34PM 17	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. And Dr. Angel, again to refresh the Court's recollection regarding what you said in October, why does the chart stop in 1985? A. We were asked to stop the chart in 1985 because FTI would
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:30PM 7 01:41:37PM 8 01:41:40PM 9 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:51PM 12 01:41:51PM 13 01:41:59PM 14 01:41:59PM 15 01:42:07PM 16 01:42:17PM 18 01:42:17PM 18 01:42:27PM 19 01:42:22PM 20	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data on receipts and disbursements and year-end account balances? A. Over the course of the years we've located them in a number of places, but for the most part we've located these at National Archives, both locally and at regional branches, we've located them at Department of Interior library, Treasury department	01:44:48PM 2 01:45:38PM 3 01:45:08PM 4 01:45:08PM 5 01:45:19PM 6 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:28PM 10 01:45:28PM 11 01:45:28PM 13 01:45:34PM 14 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:38PM 16 01:45:38PM 17 01:45:48PM 19 01:45:48PM 20	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. And Dr. Angel, again to refresh the Court's recollection regarding what you said in October, why does the chart stop in 1985? A. We were asked to stop the chart in 1985 because FTI would pick up with the so-called electronic era beginning in 1985.
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:20PM 6 01:41:34PM 7 01:41:34PM 9 01:41:34PM 10 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:40PM 12 01:41:59PM 13 01:41:59PM 15 01:42:10PM 16 01:42:10PM 17 01:42:13PM 18 01:42:17PM 19 01:42:22PM 20 01:42:27PM 21	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data on receipts and disbursements and year-end account balances? A. Over the course of the years we've located them in a number of places, but for the most part we've located these at National Archives, both locally and at regional branches, we've located them at Department of Interior library, Treasury department library, different repositories.	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:06PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:16PM 6 01:45:16PM 7 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:22PM 10 01:45:22PM 11 01:45:23PM 12 01:45:34PM 14 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:34PM 16 01:45:34PM 17 01:45:34PM 17 01:45:34PM 18 01:45:34PM 19 01:45:43PM 19	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. And Dr. Angel, again to refresh the Court's recollection regarding what you said in October, why does the chart stop in 1985? A. We were asked to stop the chart in 1985 because FTI would pick up with the so-called electronic era beginning in 1985. Q. At this point, Dr. Angel, I'd like you to explain to the
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:33PM 7 01:41:37PM 8 01:41:40PM 9 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:51PM 12 01:41:51PM 13 01:41:59PM 15 01:42:07PM 16 01:42:10PM 17 01:42:13PM 18 01:42:27PM 19 01:42:27PM 20 01:42:27PM 21 01:42:31PM 22	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data on receipts and disbursements and year-end account balances? A. Over the course of the years we've located them in a number of places, but for the most part we've located these at National Archives, both locally and at regional branches, we've located them at Department of Interior library, Treasury department library, different repositories. Q. What have you done with the additional information you've	01:44:48PM 2 01:45:3PM 3 01:45:0PM 4 01:45:0PM 5 01:45:10PM 6 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:19PM 10 01:45:2PM 10 01:45:2PM 11 01:45:2PM 12 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:34PM 17 01:45:4PM 18 01:45:3PM 19 01:45:4PM 19 01:45:4PM 20 01:45:5PM 21	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. And Dr. Angel, again to refresh the Court's recollection regarding what you said in October, why does the chart stop in 1985? A. We were asked to stop the chart in 1985 because FTI would pick up with the so-called electronic era beginning in 1985. Q. At this point, Dr. Angel, I'd like you to explain to the Court, please, wha is new on the chart since October.
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:10PM 3 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:30PM 6 01:41:30PM 7 01:41:37PM 8 01:41:40PM 9 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:51PM 12 01:41:51PM 12 01:41:59PM 15 01:42:07PM 16 01:42:07PM 16 01:42:17PM 19 01:42:27PM 20 01:42:27PM 21 01:42:27PM 21	indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data on receipts and disbursements and year-end account balances? A. Over the course of the years we've located them in a number of places, but for the most part we've located these at National Archives, both locally and at regional branches, we've located them at Department of Interior library, Treasury department library, different repositories. Q. What have you done with the additional information you've located since October, Dr. Angel?	01:44:48PM 2 01:45:3PM 3 01:45:0PM 4 01:45:0PM 5 01:45:10PM 6 01:45:19PM 8 01:45:2PM 9 01:45:2PM 10 01:45:2PM 11 01:45:2PM 12 01:45:3PM 14 01:45:3PM 15 01:45:3PM 16 01:45:3PM 17 01:45:3PM 17 01:45:4PM 19 01:45:3PM 20 01:45:5PM 20 01:45:5PM 21	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. And Dr. Angel, again to refresh the Court's recollection regarding what you said in October, why does the chart stop in 1985? A. We were asked to stop the chart in 1985 because FTI would pick up with the so-called electronic era beginning in 1985. Q. At this point, Dr. Angel, I'd like you to explain to the Court, please, wha is new on the chart since October. A. What's new on the chart since October are two particular
01:41:10PM 2 01:41:20PM 4 01:41:20PM 5 01:41:20PM 6 01:41:25PM 7 01:41:37PM 8 01:41:40PM 9 01:41:40PM 10 01:41:40PM 11 01:41:51PM 12 01:41:55PM 13 01:41:55PM 15 01:42:07PM 16 01:42:10PM 17 01:42:10PM 17 01:42:13PM 18 01:42:22PM 20 01:42:23PM 21 01:42:23PM 22 01:42:33PM 22 01:42:33PM 23 01:42:35PM 24	A. Generally speaking since October my opinion, as I indicated, remains the same. There aren't many receipt and disbursement aggregate records, so saying we did locate some aggregate receipt and disbursement records, which have limits, we have located certain documents that give us year-end account balances and we've located forms, three forms in particular, that show promise for giving us receipt and disbursement records, though we couldn't get far in that research. Q. And where have you located this additional information? A. For the most part the additional information has been located at the National Archives and they've been located in different record groups: Interior, Treasury, congressional record groups. Q. And would you please remind the Court of your testimony in October regarding in which repositories you've located the data on receipts and disbursements and year-end account balances? A. Over the course of the years we've located them in a number of places, but for the most part we've located these at National Archives, both locally and at regional branches, we've located them at Department of Interior library, Treasury department library, different repositories. Q. What have you done with the additional information you've located since October, Dr. Angel? A. With the additional information that we've located we've	01:44:46PM 2 01:44:53PM 3 01:45:06PM 4 01:45:06PM 5 01:45:16PM 7 01:45:16PM 9 01:45:22PM 10 01:45:22PM 11 01:45:23PM 12 01:45:23PM 14 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:34PM 15 01:45:34PM 16 01:45:34PM 17 01:45:34PM 18 01:45:34PM 20 01:45:32PM 20 01:45:52PM 21 01:45:35PM 20 01:45:53PM 20 01:45:53PM 22 01:45:53PM 23 01:46:02PM 24	see please, forward one, please. Thank you. We can certainly see increases following World War II and better economic times. And please, one more. We can see increases, particularly during the energy crisis years when Indian oil and gas revenues grew so greatly, and I'm talking about the period particularly, and you can see it on the chart, 1977 forward, so historical trends are reflected in the chart. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, the additional documents you've located to use to update this chart, have you received any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. All the other documents that went into the original genesis of the chart, did you receive any of those documents from government attorneys? A. None. Q. And Dr. Angel, again to refresh the Court's recollection regarding what you said in October, why does the chart stop in 1985? A. We were asked to stop the chart in 1985 because FTI would pick up with the so-called electronic era beginning in 1985. Q. At this point, Dr. Angel, I'd like you to explain to the Court, please, wha is new on the chart since October. A. What's new on the chart since October are two particular elements. One is we located some very limited receipt and

	788		790
01:46:13PM 1	Q. I'll ask Matthew to cursor back to that page, please.	01:49:40PM 1	compiled these ISSDA reports for the Treasury department.
01:46:17PM 2	I'm sorry to interrupt you, Dr. Angel.	01:49:45PM 2	Q. And you also mentioned a separate category, the receipts
01:46:20PM 3	A. We located receipt and disbursement information for the	01:49:49PM 3	and disbursements records, Dr. Angel?
01:46:23PM 4	period 1922 to 1949. There was no report for 1945. And we	01:49:51PM 4	A. That's correct.
01:46:28PM 5	located year-end account balance information for the period 1930	01:49:52PM 5	Q. What were those?
01:46:34PM 6	to 1944.	01:49:53PM 6	A. In July of 1906 excuse me. On June 30th, 1906, Congress
01:46:35PM 7	Q. Now, Dr. Angel, looking at the far right column, DX source	01:50:01PM 7	passed a Sundry Civil Appropriations Act. Section five of this
01:46:42PM 8	document number, what does that represent?	01:50:06PM 8	act called on all branches of the federal government, all
01:46:44PM 9	A. Those of course represent the exhibits that we've used.	01:50:10PM 9	executive departments, to send to the Treasury department any
01:46:48PM 10	Any exhibit in the 300 series to 400 represents a more complete	01:50:15PM 10	receipt and disbursement information concerning money that did
01:46:55PM 11	version of exhibits that we introduced back in October. That	01:50:19PM 11	not enter the general Treasury of the United States.
01:47:00PM 12	would be such information as annual reports, the commissioner of	01:50:25PM 12	Q. How long do these reports last?
01:47:04PM 13	Indian Affairs congressional hearings and investment reports.	01:50:27PM 13	A. They began as near as we can tell they begin in 1922.
01:47:09PM 14	Any DX number beginning 402 or higher is a new document, and	01:50:32PM 14	In 1921 the president issued an executive order that listed many
01:47:15PM 15	those would be such documents as the receipt and disbursement	01:50:38PM 15	reports that should be submitted annually and these section five
01:47:19PM 16	data and the Indian service, special disbursing agent year-end	01:50:43PM 16	of the act of June 30 of 1906 report were among the reports
01:47:25PM 17	account balance data.	01:50:49PM 17	listed and they end in 1950 as a result of the Budget and
01:47:26PM 18	Q. Now, at first glance, Dr. Angel, it doesn't seem like there	01:50:54PM 18	Accounting Act of 1950.
01:47:30PM 19	is a great deal of new information on the chart. Can you please	01:50:56PM 19	Q. At this time, Dr. Angel, on your screen I'm going to show
01:47:34PM 20	explain to the Court what you've been doing since October?	01:50:59PM 20	you DX 27, which was admitted in October, specifically pages
01:47:36PM 21	A. Well, of course like everyone, we didn't learn of the trial	01:51:06PM 21	seven through nine. Do you recognize this, Dr. Angel?
01:47:41PM 22	schedule until March 5th. At that point we engaged heavily in	01:51:20PM 22	A. Yes. This is one of the receipt and disbursement reports
01:47:47PM 23	trying to locate archival records that might give us aggregate	01:51:22PM 23	that we were able to locate prior to the October trial.
01:47:54PM 24	receipt and disbursement records. At that time we located these	01:51:24PM 24	Q. All right. If you could, I'd ask Matthew to go to the
01:47:58PM 25	1922 to 1949 receipt and disbursement records and the ISSDA	01:51:28PM 25	first page. And then if you would just talk your way and
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	789		791
01:48:04PM			
_	reports, the Indian service special disbursing agent annual	01:51:31PM 1	explain to the Court what's represented on these pages, Dr.
01:48:08PM 2	reports.	01:51:35PM 2	Angel.
01:48:09PM 3	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury
01:48:09PM 3 01:48:12PM 4	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial?	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:39PM 4	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives.
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:47PM 6	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're	01:51:3SPM 2 01:51:3SPM 3 01:51:3SPM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:47PM 6 01:51:54PM 7	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:34PM 4 01:51:34PM 5 01:51:34PM 6 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:58PM 8	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:47PM 6 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:54PM 8 01:52:04PM 9	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:58PM 8 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 10	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury.
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up.	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:47PM 6 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:54PM 8 01:52:04PM 9	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 6 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:55PM 8 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 10 01:52:05PM 11	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent?
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October?	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 6 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:55PM 8 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 10 01:52:04PM 11 01:52:13PM 12	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:54PM 9 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 11 01:52:13PM 12 01:52:13PM 13	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent?
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:34PM 5 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:55PM 8 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 10 01:52:05PM 11 01:52:15PM 12 01:52:13PM 13 01:52:13PM 14	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:34PM 5 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 6 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:58PM 8 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 10 01:52:04PM 12 01:52:13PM 12 01:52:13PM 13 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:23PM 15	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement reports for the period 1922 to 1949, as I said, minus 1945. Q. The Indian special, the ISSDA reports for 1930 and 1944,	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:54PM 9 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 11 01:52:13PM 12 01:52:13PM 13 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:23PM 15 01:52:23PM 16	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is transmitting statements in accordance with the act of June 30th,
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement reports for the period 1922 to 1949, as I said, minus 1945.	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 6 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:54PM 9 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 11 01:52:13PM 12 01:52:13PM 13 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:13PM 15 01:52:23PM 15 01:52:23PM 16 01:52:23PM 17	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is transmitting statements in accordance with the act of June 30th, 1906.
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement reports for the period 1922 to 1949, as I said, minus 1945. Q. The Indian special, the ISSDA reports for 1930 and 1944, what were they, Dr. Angel?	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:34PM 5 01:51:34PM 6 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:54PM 9 01:52:04PM 10 01:52:03PM 11 01:52:13PM 12 01:52:13PM 13 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:23PM 15 01:52:23PM 16 01:52:23PM 17 01:52:33PM 17	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is transmitting statements in accordance with the act of June 30th, 1906. Q. And then the third page in that series, Dr. Angel?
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement reports for the period 1922 to 1949, as I said, minus 1945. Q. The Indian special, the ISSDA reports for 1930 and 1944, what were they, Dr. Angel? A. These were reports that were sent to the Treasury	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:34PM 5 01:51:34PM 6 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:55PM 8 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 10 01:52:03PM 11 01:52:13PM 12 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:13PM 15 01:52:23PM 15 01:52:23PM 17 01:52:23PM 17 01:52:35PM 18 01:52:35PM 18	Angel. A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is transmitting statements in accordance with the act of June 30th, 1906. Q. And then the third page in that series, Dr. Angel? A. The third page highlighted, you can see individual Indian
01:48:09PM	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement reports for the period 1922 to 1949, as I said, minus 1945. Q. The Indian special, the ISSDA reports for 1930 and 1944, what were they, Dr. Angel? A. These were reports that were sent to the Treasury department at the request of the Treasury department. They show	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:54PM 9 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 11 01:52:13PM 12 01:52:13PM 13 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:13PM 15 01:52:25PM 16 01:52:25PM 16 01:52:35PM 17 01:52:35PM 18 01:52:35PM 19 01:52:35PM 19	A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is transmitting statements in accordance with the act of June 30th, 1906. Q. And then the third page in that series, Dr. Angel? A. The third page highlighted, you can see individual Indian monies received and disbursed for fiscal year 1933 without being
01:48:09PM 3 01:48:12PM 4 01:48:14PM 5 01:48:14PM 6 01:48:22PM 7 01:48:23PM 8 01:48:35PM 10 01:48:35PM 11 01:48:41PM 12 01:48:41PM 13 01:48:45PM 15 01:49:03PM 16 01:49:03PM 16 01:49:03PM 17 01:49:17PM 18 01:49:22PM 20 01:49:24PM 21	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement reports for the period 1922 to 1949, as I said, minus 1945. Q. The Indian special, the ISSDA reports for 1930 and 1944, what were they, Dr. Angel? A. These were reports that were sent to the Treasury department at the request of the Treasury department. They show year-end account balances	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:34PM 5 01:51:44PM 6 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:54PM 10 01:52:04PM 10 01:52:04PM 11 01:52:04PM 12 01:52:13PM 13 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:13PM 15 01:52:35PM 16 01:52:35PM 17 01:52:35PM 18 01:52:35PM 19 01:52:35PM 20 01:52:35PM 20	A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is transmitting statements in accordance with the act of June 30th, 1906. Q. And then the third page in that series, Dr. Angel? A. The third page highlighted, you can see individual Indian monies received and disbursed for fiscal year 1933 without being paid into the general Treasury of the United States.
01:48:09PM 3 01:48:12PM 4 01:48:14PM 5 01:48:14PM 6 01:48:23PM 7 01:48:23PM 9 01:48:23PM 10 01:48:35PM 11 01:48:35PM 12 01:48:35PM 15 01:48:35PM 17 01:48:47PM 13 01:48:50PM 16 01:49:03PM 17 01:49:14PM 17 01:49:14PM 19 01:49:24PM 20 01:49:24PM 21 01:49:24PM 21	reports. Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement reports for the period 1922 to 1949, as I said, minus 1945. Q. The Indian special, the ISSDA reports for 1930 and 1944, what were they, Dr. Angel? A. These were reports that were sent to the Treasury department at the request of the Treasury department. They show year-end account balances and these year-end account balances are broken down into four distinct segments.	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:55PM 8 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 10 01:52:04PM 12 01:52:13PM 12 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:13PM 15 01:52:23PM 16 01:52:23PM 17 01:52:33PM 18 01:52:33PM 19 01:52:33PM 20 01:52:43PM 20 01:52:43PM 21	A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is transmitting statements in accordance with the act of June 30th, 1906. Q. And then the third page in that series, Dr. Angel? A. The third page highlighted, you can see individual Indian monies received and disbursed for fiscal year 1933 without being paid into the general Treasury of the United States. Q. Thank you. And I'll ask that that be removed from your
01:48:09PM 3 01:48:13PM 4 01:48:14PM 5 01:48:14PM 6 01:48:18PM 8 01:48:36PM 9 01:48:36PM 10 01:48:36PM 11 01:48:41PM 12 01:48:41PM 13 01:48:41PM 15 01:48:41PM 16 01:49:03PM 16 01:49:03PM 16 01:49:03PM 17 01:49:03PM 18 01:49:17PM 19 01:49:23PM 20 01:49:23PM 21 01:49:23PM 21	 Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement reports for the period 1922 to 1949, as I said, minus 1945. Q. The Indian special, the ISSDA reports for 1930 and 1944, what were they, Dr. Angel? A. These were reports that were sent to the Treasury department at the request of the Treasury department. They show year-end account balances and these year-end account balances are broken down into four distinct segments. Q. And who do the special disbursing agents submit those year-end 	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 3 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:54PM 9 01:52:04PM 9 01:52:04PM 11 01:52:13PM 12 01:52:13PM 13 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:23PM 15 01:52:23PM 16 01:52:33PM 17 01:52:33PM 18 01:52:35PM 20 01:52:45PM 21 01:52:45PM 21 01:52:45PM 21	A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is transmitting statements in accordance with the act of June 30th, 1906. Q. And then the third page in that series, Dr. Angel? A. The third page highlighted, you can see individual I ndian monies received and disbursed for fiscal year 1933 without being paid into the general Treasury of the United States. Q. Thank you. And I'll ask that that be removed from your screen at this time.
01:48:09PM 3 01:48:12PM 4 01:48:14PM 5 01:48:14PM 6 01:48:23PM 8 01:48:36PM 9 01:48:36PM 10 01:48:34PM 11 01:48:41PM 12 01:48:41PM 12 01:48:41PM 15 01:48:41PM 19 01:48:41PM 19 01:48:41PM 20	 Q. And in your opinion, Dr. Angel, were you not able to locate more between October and the current trial? A. Well, once again, as I said at the beginning, is we've gone through records at Archives, as we've gone through published historical source what we're seeing is that typically if they're going to be aggregate reports, full reports, they come from appropriated funds and work their way down. Really there's very little that starts at the lease level at the ground level and works its way back up. Q. Dr. Angel, can you describe by category the new source documents you have discovered since October? A. Sure. We located Indian service special disbursing agent reports for the period 1930 to 1944 and receipt and disbursement reports for the period 1922 to 1949, as I said, minus 1945. Q. The Indian special, the ISSDA reports for 1930 and 1944, what were they, Dr. Angel? A. These were reports that were sent to the Treasury department at the request of the Treasury department. They show year-end account balances and these year-end account balances are broken down into four distinct segments. Q. And who do the special disbursing agents submit those year-end account balances to? 	01:51:35PM 2 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:35PM 4 01:51:44PM 5 01:51:44PM 6 01:51:44PM 7 01:51:54PM 7 01:51:54PM 10 01:52:04PM 10 01:52:04PM 11 01:52:04PM 12 01:52:13PM 13 01:52:13PM 14 01:52:13PM 15 01:52:33PM 17 01:52:33PM 17 01:52:33PM 19 01:52:33PM 20 01:52:43PM 21 01:52:43PM 21 01:52:43PM 22 01:52:55PM 23 01:52:55PM 24	A. Okay. The first page is from the secretary of the Treasury to the speaker of the United States house of representatives. It states, of course, that it's in compliance with the act of June 30th, 1906, and he's transmitting and he's transmitting reports relating to monies not paid into the general fund of the United States Treasury. And also disbursements that were made that were not disbursed from the general fund of the United States Treasury. Q. And looking on the subsequent pages, Dr. Angel, what do they represent? A. The subsequent page is a letter from the assistant secretary of the Interior to the secretary of the Treasury noting that in compliance with this act, Interior is transmitting statements in accordance with the act of June 30th, 1906. Q. And then the third page in that series, Dr. Angel? A. The third page highlighted, you can see individual Indian monies received and disbursed for fiscal year 1933 without being paid into the general Treasury of the United States. Q. Thank you. And I'll ask that that be removed from your screen at this time. And Dr. Angel, knowing the relatively limited amount

		1	
	792		794
01:53:04PM 1	opinion is the relevance of your total IIM chart for this	01:56:27PM 1	have paid direct to Indians by disbursing officers and returned
01:53:07PM 2	particular remedies trial?	01:56:31PM 2	to unsuccessful bidders, checks drawn by Indians on bank
01:53:09PM 3	A. Well, it's multiple. First of all, we were able to gather	01:56:35PM 3	accounts, and then, as I said, we've got a total balance figure.
01:53:15PM 4	some receipt and disbursement information, but the receipt and	01:56:39PM 4	Q. Are the 1910 and 1911 reports similar to this one, Dr.
01:53:18PM 5	disbursement information we were able to gather clearly is	01:56:45PM 5	Angel?
01:53:22PM 6	limited. It's limited in the number of years that it's	01:56:45PM 6	A. That's correct, that's correct, they are.
01:53:25PM 7	presented and certainly it's limited in the scope of what's	01:56:47PM 7	Q. I'd like to now show you, Dr. Angel, DX 63. What is this,
01:53:30PM 8	presented. This is not all receipts and all disbursements. It	01:56:54PM 8	if you recognize it, please?
01:53:35PM 9	gave us an additional number to work with. I certainly hoped,	01:56:55PM 9	A. This is the report by the General Accounting Office to
01:53:40PM 10	as I added it to the IIM table, that it would be beneficial to	01:57:00PM 10	Congress. It's an audit report regarding the administration of
01:53:46PM 11	statisticians and modlers as long as they understood the	01:57:04PM 11	the individual Indian monies by the BIA. The report date is
01:53:51PM 12	limitations of the data.	01:57:10PM 12	November of '55 but it was submitted to Congress in 1956.
01:53:53PM 13	Q. Now, what if you had unlimited additional time and	01:57:15PM 13	Q. I'll ask that you now be shown page six of it. And if
01:53:59PM 14	unlimited additional resources, Dr. Angel?	01:57:22PM 14	Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please.
01:54:01PM 15	A. If we had unlimited additional time and unlimited	01:57:25PM 15	What is this, Dr. Angel? How did you use this for
01:54:07PM 16	additional resources, I think there is a chance, a good chance,	01:57:27PM 16	your chart?
01:54:10PM 17	that we could find additional receipt and disbursement data, but	01:57:28PM 17	A. The highlighted portion shows receipts and disbursements
01:54:13PM 18	if we had additional time the one thing I would like very, very	01:57:31PM 18	for ITM accounts for fiscal year 1955, and we added that to the
01:54:18PM 19	much to have done was to present the report with a more the	01:57:38PM 19	report, and there are the receipt and disbursement categories,
01:54:20PM 20	Court, I should say, with a more full understanding of what	01:57:42PM 20	along with taking the year-end balance from this report.
01:54:23PM 21	exactly these receipt and disbursement reports from '22 to '49	01:57:46PM 21	Q. Now I ask that that be removed from your screen at this
01:54:28PM 22	encompassed.	01:57:49PM 22	time. And let me ask you, Dr. Angel, of the sources we've
01:54:29PM 23	Q. Now, you just mentioned some of the limitations of the new	01:57:52PM 23	discussed, how many came from publicly available repositories or
01:54:32PM 24	data. Did you convey any of these limitations to anyone?	01:57:57PM 24	publicly accessible repositories?
01:54:36PM 25	A. Oh, definitely. I conveyed them to both FTI and to NORC.	01:58:00PM 25	A. The information that comprises the total, the IIM chart,
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	793		795
01:54:41PM 1	We had lengthy discussions about this and they certainly were	01:58:04PM 1	all but seven documents came from either National Archives, a
01:54:49PM 2	clear in my mind about the limitations of the data that I	01:58:09PM 2	library, a publicly-accessible report. Seven of the reports are
01:54:51PM 3	presented, that this was not neither complete receipts nor did	01:58:15PM 3	Bureau of Indian Affairs annual investment reports, and we
01:54:55PM 4	it represent complete disbursements.	01:58:19PM 4	gathered those at the American Indian records repository.
01:54:58PM 5	Q. So just to be clear for the Court, then, Dr. Angel, all the	01:58:23PM 5	Q. Is the ERR publicly accessible?
01:55:02PM 6	research you've done, which are the only years that you have	01:58:27PM 6	A. Yes.
01:55:06PM 7	found complete aggregate receipt and disbursement data?	01:58:27PM 7	Q. Do you know whether any attorneys ever visited the ERR?
01:55:10PM 8		01:58:32PM 8	A. Yes. We have worked with tribal attorneys. In fact, on
	A. We found them in commissioner's report, commissioner of		· ·
01:55:13PM 9	Indian Affairs reports for 1909, 1910, 1911, and we find a diggg	01:58:38PM 9	one case, in a tribal trust case where we engaged in a
01:55:20PM 10	Indian Affairs reports for 1909, 1910, 1911, and we find a diggg for 1955 in the GAO report of that year.	01:58:41PM 10	one case, in a tribal trust case where we engaged in a collective document-gathering project. Now, so saying, I should
01:55:20PM 10 01:55:23PM 11	Indian Affairs reports for 1909, 1910, 1911, and we find a diggg for 1955 in the GAO report of that year. Q. Now I'll ask you be shown DX 32 at this point, page seven,	01:58:41PM 10 01:58:46PM 11	one case, in a tribal trust case where we engaged in a collective document-gathering project. Now, so saying, I should add that you need permission to go to ERR. You have to request
01:55:20PM 10 01:55:23PM 11 01:55:28PM 12	Indian Affairs reports for 1909, 1910, 1911, and we find a diggg for 1955 in the GAO report of that year. Q. Now I'll ask you be shown DX 32 at this point, page seven, on your screen. Do you recognize that cover page, Dr. Angel?	01:58:41PM 10 01:58:46PM 11 01:58:49PM 12	one case, in a tribal trust case where we engaged in a collective document-gathering project. Now, so saying, I should add that you need permission to go to ERR. You have to request permission from the Office of Trust Records. But typically it's
01:55:20PM 10 01:55:23PM 11 01:55:28PM 12 01:55:37PM 13	Indian Affairs reports for 1909, 1910, 1911, and we find a diggg for 1955 in the GAO report of that year. Q. Now I'll ask you be shown DX 32 at this point, page seven, on your screen. Do you recognize that cover page, Dr. Angel? A. I do. It's the annual report for the commissioner of	01:58:41PM 10 01:58:46PM 11 01:58:49PM 12 01:58:53PM 13	one case, in a tribal trust case where we engaged in a collective document-gathering project. Now, so saying, I should add that you need permission to go to ERR. You have to request permission from the Office of Trust Records. But typically it's given and it's given without too much problem.
01:55:20PM 10 01:55:23PM 11 01:55:28PM 12 01:55:37PM 13 01:55:39PM 14	Indian Affairs reports for 1909, 1910, 1911, and we find a diggg for 1955 in the GAO report of that year. Q. Now I'll ask you be shown DX 32 at this point, page seven, on your screen. Do you recognize that cover page, Dr. Angel? A. I do. It's the annual report for the commissioner of Indian Affairs, is contained within the reports of the	01:58:41PM 10 01:58:46PM 11 01:58:49PM 12 01:58:53PM 13 01:58:56PM 14	one case, in a tribal trust case where we engaged in a collective document-gathering project. Now, so saying, I should add that you need permission to go to ERR. You have to request permission from the Office of Trust Records. But typically it's given and it's given without too much problem. Q. Now, moving away a bit from the documents sourced for your
01:55:20PM 10 01:55:23PM 11 01:55:28PM 12 01:55:28PM 13 01:55:33PM 14 01:55:42PM 15	Indian Affairs reports for 1909, 1910, 1911, and we find a diggg for 1955 in the GAO report of that year. Q. Now I'll ask you be shown DX 32 at this point, page seven, on your screen. Do you recognize that cover page, Dr. Angel? A. I do. It's the annual report for the commissioner of Indian Affairs, is contained within the reports of the Department of the Interior for a fiscal year-ended June 30th,	01:58:41PM 10 01:58:46PM 11 01:58:49PM 12 01:58:53PM 13 01:58:59PM 14	one case, in a tribal trust case where we engaged in a collective document-gathering project. Now, so saying, I should add that you need permission to go to ERR. You have to request permission from the Office of Trust Records. But typically it's given and it's given without too much problem. Q. Now, moving away a bit from the documents sourced for your total IIM chart, what other documents have you located that
01:55:20PM 10 01:55:23PM 11 01:55:28PM 12 01:55:37PM 13 01:55:33PM 14 01:55:42PM 15	Indian Affairs reports for 1909, 1910, 1911, and we find a diggg for 1955 in the GAO report of that year. Q. Now I'll ask you be shown DX 32 at this point, page seven, on your screen. Do you recognize that cover page, Dr. Angel? A. I do. It's the annual report for the commissioner of Indian Affairs, is contained within the reports of the Department of the Interior for a fiscal year-ended June 30th, 1909.	01:58:41PM 10 01:58:46PM 11 01:58:49PM 12 01:58:53PM 13 01:58:53PM 14 01:59:01PM 15	one case, in a tribal trust case where we engaged in a collective document-gathering project. Now, so saying, I should add that you need permission to go to ERR. You have to request permission from the Office of Trust Records. But typically it's given and it's given without too much problem. Q. Now, moving away a bit from the documents sourced for your total IIM chart, what other documents have you located that might bear on receipts, disbursements, and year-end account
01:55:20PM 10 01:55:23PM 11 01:55:28PM 12 01:55:28PM 13 01:55:33PM 14 01:55:42PM 15	Indian Affairs reports for 1909, 1910, 1911, and we find a diggg for 1955 in the GAO report of that year. Q. Now I'll ask you be shown DX 32 at this point, page seven, on your screen. Do you recognize that cover page, Dr. Angel? A. I do. It's the annual report for the commissioner of Indian Affairs, is contained within the reports of the Department of the Interior for a fiscal year-ended June 30th,	01:58:41PM 10 01:58:46PM 11 01:58:49PM 12 01:58:53PM 13 01:58:59PM 14	one case, in a tribal trust case where we engaged in a collective document-gathering project. Now, so saying, I should add that you need permission to go to ERR. You have to request permission from the Office of Trust Records. But typically it's given and it's given without too much problem. Q. Now, moving away a bit from the documents sourced for your total IIM chart, what other documents have you located that

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter

01:59:16PM 19

01:59:19PM **20**

01:59:23PM **21**

01:59:30PM **22**

01:59:32PM **23**

01:59:40PM **24**

01:59:44PM **25**

looked to us like potentially they could be of great value to

determining receipts, disbursements, and year-end account

balances. One of the documents is called the Statement of

Funded Checking Accounts, and it's standard form 1189.

Q. Let me show you DX 488 at this time, Dr. Angel. And ask

that that be enlarged a bit so it can be better seen by the

Court and by the witness. What is this, Dr. Angel?

01:55:56PM 19

01:55:59PM **20**

01:55:59PM **21**

01:56:05PM **22**

01:56:09PM **23**

01:56:15PM **24**

01:56:21PM **25**

for your chart?

the Court, Dr. Angel, what this represents and how you used it

A. This represents total receipts, total disbursements, and

balance for the year. You'll notice that on receipts we have

such information as interest, we have monies received from

leasing in bonded banks; things like that. In disbursements we

year-end account balances for the year, or the year-end account

	796	1	798
1		1	
01:59:49PM I	A. This is an example of one of the statement of funded	02:03:22PM 1	this is going back to the very first page that it was John
01:59:53PM 2	checking accounts that we located. This particular form is	02:03:25PM 2	Veil who was the ISSDA during this period.
01:59:59PM 3	dated in 1960, although we think the forms go back to the early	02:03:29PM 3	Q. And how often were they submitted?
02:00:04PM 4	'50s. These forms were filled out by the Indian service special	02:03:32PM 4	A. Once a month.
_	disbursing agent and it contains information regarding beginning	02.00.022.111	Q. And again, to whom?
02:00:15PM 6	balance of ITM, receipts into the system, disbursements from the	02:03:36PM 6	A. To the Treasury Department.
02:00:20PM	system, and then the ending balance for the month. At this	02:03:37PM	Q. Thank you. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen
02:00:26PM 8	point in history there are about twelve Indian service special	02:03:39PM 8	now.
02:00:33PM 9	disbursing agents. They were represented by the area offices,	02:03:40PM 9	Though we've mentioned it briefly, Dr. Angel, let's
02:00:37PM 10	so in other words, it's no longer agency-by-agency basis, no	02:03:44PM 10	talk a little bit more about what assistance you provided to FTI
02:00:41PM 11	longer talking about a hundred reports a month, but	02:03:48PM 11	and to NORC. Could you please describe for the Court in general
02:00:45PM 12	approximately twelve. The number of area offices shifts over	02:03:51PM 12	terms what you've done with those two organizations?
02:00:49PM 13	the years.	02:03:53PM 13	A. We've worked with those two organizations to supply them
02:00:50PM 14	Q. And so how often did the ISSDA submit these reports?	02:03:56PM 14	with two things. First of all, any documentation that they
02:00:54PM 15	A. These reports were submitted monthly.	02:03:59PM 15	wanted from our IIM table which might include annual investment
02:00:56PM 16	Q. And who were they submitted to?	02:04:06PM 16	reports, General Accounting Office audit reports, the various
02:00:58PM 17	A. They were submitted to the Treasury department.	02:04:11PM 17	sources that go into making up the ITM table. We also tried to
02:01:01PM 18	Q. Dr. Angel, let me next show you DX 489 on your screen and	02:04:15PM 18	work with them to make sure that they understood the limitations
02:01:17PM 19	ask once again that it be enlarged, if you recognize this and	02:04:19PM 19	of the data itself. For example, the limitation of the
02:01:22PM 20	how so.	02:04:24PM 20	limitations of those receipt and disbursement reports that we've
02:01:22PM 21	A. This is the standard form 1219, a so-called statement of	02:04:28PM 21	discussed.
02:01:29PM 22	accountability. At some point during the 19 early 1960s	02:04:29PM 22	Q. And for what years were those again, Dr. Angel?
02:01:35PM 23	these forms appear to have replaced the statement of funded	02:04:32PM 23	A. 1922 to 1949.
02:01:38PM 24	checking accounts that I just discussed a moment ago. Like the	02:04:34PM 24	Q. Now aside from providing historical documents and
02:01:42PM 25	statement of funded checking accounts, these show receipts	02:04:40PM 25	historical context to FTI and NORC, did you provide those
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	797		799
02:01:49PM 1	797 beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and	02:04:46PM 1	799 organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with
02:01:49PM 1 02:01:53PM 2		02:04:46PM 1 02:04:53PM 2	
	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and		organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with
02:01:53PM 2	beginning balance for IIM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for IIM.	02:04:53PM 2	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates?
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:57PM 3	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these,	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:56PM 3	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal,
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:57PM 3 02:02:03PM 4	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel?	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:56PM 3 02:05:05PM 4	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:57PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these,	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:56PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart.
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:57PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:04PM 7 02:02:04PM 8	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:57PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 9	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI?
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:57PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:08PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month.	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:56PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9 02:05:25PM 10	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985.
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:57PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:25PM 11	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month?	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9 02:05:25PM 10 02:05:25PM 11	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:57PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 12	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department.	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:56PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9 02:05:25PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:27PM 12	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to?
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 7 02:02:21PM 7 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 13	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9 02:05:25PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:25PM 12	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 13 02:02:23PM 14	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:26PM 10 02:05:26PM 11 02:05:26PM 12 02:05:26PM 13 02:05:26PM 13	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:57PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:21PM 10 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 13 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:33PM 14	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series.	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:56PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:25PM 12 02:05:25PM 13 02:05:25PM 13 02:05:35PM 14 02:05:35PM 15	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders.
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 13 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:47PM 16	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 9 02:05:20PM 10 02:05:20PM 11 02:05:20PM 12 02:05:28PM 13 02:05:28PM 14 02:05:40PM 15	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:21PM 10 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 13 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:34PM 15 02:02:44PM 15 02:02:44PM 16 02:02:44PM 16	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it?	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 9 02:05:25PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:25PM 12 02:05:25PM 13 02:05:25PM 15 02:05:41PM 15 02:05:41PM 16 02:05:47PM 16	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 10 02:02:24PM 11 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 13 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:41PM 16 02:02:51PM 17 02:02:51PM 18	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:25PM 12 02:05:28PM 13 02:05:28PM 15 02:05:24PM 15 02:05:47PM 16 02:05:47PM 17 02:05:24PM 18	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document?
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:21PM 10 02:02:24PM 11 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 13 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:41PM 16 02:02:51PM 17 02:02:51PM 18 02:02:55PM 19	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of transactions. And these forms contain supporting detail on	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:14PM 6 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 9 02:05:20PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:25PM 12 02:05:25PM 15 02:05:25PM 15 02:05:25PM 16 02:05:25PM 17 02:05:25PM 17	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document? A. Yes. It's the Annual Report For the Commissioner of Indian
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 14 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:41PM 16 02:02:41PM 17 02:02:51PM 18 02:02:55PM 19 02:03:04PM 20	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:58PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9 02:05:25PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:25PM 15 02:05:24PM 15 02:05:25PM 16 02:05:25PM 17 02:05:25PM 18 02:05:25PM 19 02:05:25PM 20	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document?
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 10 02:02:24PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 13 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:43PM 15 02:02:43PM 16 02:02:43PM 17 02:02:51PM 18 02:02:55PM 19 02:03:04PM 20 02:03:03PM 21	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of transactions. And these forms contain supporting detail on individual Indian monies, so it would have been submitted traditionally with the statements of account balance and would	02:04:53PM 2 02:04:56PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9 02:05:25PM 10 02:05:25PM 12 02:05:25PM 13 02:05:25PM 15 02:05:41PM 15 02:05:41PM 16 02:05:41PM 16 02:05:41PM 17 02:05:25PM 18 02:05:52PM 19 02:05:57PM 20	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document? A. Yes. It's the Annual Report For the Commissioner of Indian Affairs for the year ended June 30th, 1909. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page seven specifically, and
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:03PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:24PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 15 02:02:24PM 15 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:34PM 15 02:02:47PM 16 02:02:47PM 16 02:02:51PM 18 02:02:55PM 19 02:03:07PM 20 02:03:07PM 21 02:03:07PM 21	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of transactions. And these forms contain supporting detail on individual Indian monies, so it would have been submitted traditionally with the statements of account balance and would contain supporting detail.	02.04.53PM 2 02.04.53PM 3 02.05.05PM 4 02.05.10PM 5 02.05.14PM 6 02.05.18PM 8 02.05.28PM 10 02.05.28PM 11 02.05.28PM 12 02.05.28PM 15 02.05.38PM 14 02.05.38PM 15 02.05.41PM 16 02.05.41PM 17 02.05.52PM 18 02.05.52PM 19 02.05.52PM 20 02.06.01PM 21 02.06.05PM 22	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document? A. Yes. It's the Annual Report For the Commissioner of Indian Affairs for the year ended June 30th, 1909. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page seven specifically, and if we can highlight or I should say enlarge the highlighted
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:03PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 14 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:51PM 17 02:02:51PM 18 02:02:55PM 19 02:03:04PM 20 02:03:04PM 21 02:03:04PM 21	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of transactions. And these forms contain supporting detail on individual Indian monies, so it would have been submitted traditionally with the statements of account balance and would contain supporting detail. Q. And who would have submitted them?	02.04.53PM 2 02.04.53PM 3 02.05.05PM 4 02.05.10PM 5 02.05.14PM 6 02.05.18PM 7 02.05.18PM 9 02.05.29PM 10 02.05.25PM 12 02.05.25PM 15 02.05.25PM 15 02.05.25PM 17 02.05.25PM 18 02.05.25PM 18 02.05.25PM 19 02.05.25PM 19 02.05.25PM 20 02.05.25PM 21	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document? A. Yes. It's the Annual Report For the Commissioner of Indian Affairs for the year ended June 30th, 1909. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page seven specifically, and if we can highlight or I should say enlarge the highlighted portion, and please explain for the Court if you can, Dr. Angel,
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:24PM 11 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 15 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:34PM 16 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:41PM 16 02:02:41PM 16 02:02:41PM 16 02:02:54PM 17 02:02:54PM 18 02:02:54PM 20 02:03:04PM 20 02:03:04PM 21 02:03:14PM 22 02:03:14PM 24	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of transactions. And these forms contain supporting detail on individual Indian monies, so it would have been submitted traditionally with the statements of account balance and would contain supporting detail. Q. And who would have submitted them? A. Oh, I'm sorry. The Indian service special disbursing agent	02:04:S3PM 2 02:04:S6PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9 02:05:25PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:25PM 15 02:05:24PM 15 02:05:24PM 15 02:05:25PM 16 02:05:25PM 17 02:05:25PM 18 02:05:25PM 19 02:05:25PM 20 02:05:25PM 20 02:06:05PM 21 02:06:05PM 22 02:06:05PM 22 02:06:05PM 24	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document? A. Yes. It's the Annual Report For the Commissioner of Indian Affairs for the year ended June 30th, 1909. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page seven specifically, and if we can highlight or I should say enlarge the highlighted portion, and please explain for the Court if you can, Dr. Angel, what buckets, if any, are evident in this particular
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:03PM 7 02:02:21PM 8 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:25PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 14 02:02:33PM 14 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:51PM 17 02:02:51PM 18 02:02:55PM 19 02:03:04PM 20 02:03:04PM 21 02:03:04PM 21	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of transactions. And these forms contain supporting detail on individual Indian monies, so it would have been submitted traditionally with the statements of account balance and would contain supporting detail. Q. And who would have submitted them? A. Oh, I'm sorry. The Indian service special disbursing agent would have submitted them. At this point I believe I know	02.04.53PM 2 02.04.53PM 3 02.05.05PM 4 02.05.10PM 5 02.05.14PM 6 02.05.18PM 7 02.05.18PM 9 02.05.29PM 10 02.05.25PM 12 02.05.25PM 15 02.05.25PM 15 02.05.25PM 17 02.05.25PM 18 02.05.25PM 18 02.05.25PM 19 02.05.25PM 19 02.05.25PM 20 02.05.25PM 21	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document? A. Yes. It's the Annual Report For the Commissioner of Indian Affairs for the year ended June 30th, 1909. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page seven specifically, and if we can highlight or I should say enlarge the highlighted portion, and please explain for the Court if you can, Dr. Angel, what buckets, if any, are evident in this particular highlighting.
02:01:53PM 2 02:01:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:21PM 9 02:02:24PM 10 02:02:24PM 11 02:02:25PM 12 02:02:25PM 15 02:02:34PM 15 02:02:34PM 16 02:02:41PM 15 02:02:41PM 16 02:02:54PM 17 02:02:54PM 18 02:02:54PM 20 02:03:04PM 20 02:03:04PM 20 02:03:04PM 21 02:03:14PM 22 02:03:14PM 24	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of transactions. And these forms contain supporting detail on individual Indian monies, so it would have been submitted traditionally with the statements of account balance and would contain supporting detail. Q. And who would have submitted them? A. Oh, I'm sorry. The Indian service special disbursing agent	02:04:S3PM 2 02:04:S6PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9 02:05:25PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:25PM 15 02:05:24PM 15 02:05:24PM 15 02:05:25PM 16 02:05:25PM 17 02:05:25PM 18 02:05:25PM 19 02:05:25PM 20 02:05:25PM 20 02:06:05PM 21 02:06:05PM 22 02:06:05PM 22 02:06:05PM 24	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document? A. Yes. It's the Annual Report For the Commissioner of Indian Affairs for the year ended June 30th, 1909. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page seven specifically, and if we can highlight or I should say enlarge the highlighted portion, and please explain for the Court if you can, Dr. Angel, what buckets, if any, are evident in this particular
0201:53PM 2 0201:53PM 3 02:02:03PM 4 02:02:03PM 5 02:02:03PM 6 02:02:17PM 7 02:02:17PM 9 02:02:17PM 10 02:02:24PM 11 02:02:24PM 12 02:02:25PM 13 02:02:35PM 14 02:02:35PM 15 02:02:47PM 16 02:02:47PM 16 02:02:47PM 17 02:02:51PM 17 02:02:51PM 18 02:02:51PM 20 02:03:04PM 20 02:03:04PM 20 02:03:04PM 21 02:03:14PM 24 02:03:14PM 24	beginning balance for ITM, receipts and disbursements into and out of the system, and then month-end balance for ITM. Q. And was it the twelve ISSDAs that were submitting these, Dr. Angel? A. For a while it was the twelve ISSDAs who submitted these, and then by 1966 or 1967 it was a single ISSDA located in Albuquerque, and so these reports would go from twelve a month to one a month. Q. And again, how often, once a month? A. Once a month. Q. And submitted to whom? A. Submitted to the Treasury department. Q. Finally in this series, Dr. Angel, let me show you what's been marked Defense Exhibit 490 and ask you to look specifically at page nine, which is the last page in this particular series. Once enlarged could you please identify that for the Court if you recognize it? A. Certainly. This is a standard form 1220, a statement of transactions. And these forms contain supporting detail on individual Indian monies, so it would have been submitted traditionally with the statements of account balance and would contain supporting detail. Q. And who would have submitted them? A. Oh, I'm sorry. The Indian service special disbursing agent would have submitted them. At this point I believe I know Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter	02:04:S3PM 2 02:04:S6PM 3 02:05:05PM 4 02:05:10PM 5 02:05:18PM 7 02:05:18PM 8 02:05:20PM 9 02:05:25PM 10 02:05:25PM 11 02:05:25PM 15 02:05:24PM 15 02:05:24PM 15 02:05:25PM 16 02:05:25PM 17 02:05:25PM 18 02:05:25PM 19 02:05:25PM 20 02:05:25PM 20 02:06:05PM 21 02:06:05PM 22 02:06:05PM 22 02:06:05PM 24	organizations anything in addition? Did you do any work with FTI at all on any estimates? A. Okay. With FTI we supplied estimates concerning tribal, individual Indian monies, and we assisted them in locating Osage records for their Osage chart. Q. And for what years did you provide estimates of tribal IIM to FTI? A. Period 1934 to 1985. Q. Now, Dr. Angel, are you familiar with FTI's concept of buckets? A. Yes, I am. Q. And what does that refer to? A. FTI's concept of buckets include money that entered the IIM system but were not disbursed to or but did not go to IIM accountholders. Q. Now, let me show you now DX 32, Dr. Angel, something that was admitted in October. Do you recognize the cover of that document? A. Yes. It's the Annual Report For the Commissioner of Indian Affairs for the year ended June 30th, 1909. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page seven specifically, and if we can highlight or I should say enlarge the highlighted portion, and please explain for the Court if you can, Dr. Angel, what buckets, if any, are evident in this particular highlighting.

	800		802
02:06:19PM 1	A. In this particular highlighting we notice on the	02:10:04PM 1	money.
02:06:22PM 2	disbursement side monies paid direct to Indians by disbursing	02:10:05PM 2	Please continue down.
02:06:27PM 3	officers and returned to unsuccessful bidders.	02:10:10PM 3	Next there is description of money in the revolving
02:06:30PM 4	Q. Now, under Receipts, Dr. Angel, do you see the line that	02:10:15PM 4	credit funds that were on deposit as of December 30th, 1939.
02:06:34PM 5	says "On hand July 1st, 1908"?	02:10:20PM 5	You'll see that that totals \$12,644.40.
02:06:36PM 6	A. I do.	02:10:25PM 6	Q. What's the sentence immediately following that \$12,000
02:06:37PM 7	Q. Would you please read the second sentence out loud	02:10:28PM 7	figure?
02:06:40PM 8	underneath that?	02:10:29PM 8	A. The revolving fund accounts were also handled through
02:06:41PM 9	A. This concerns money in bonded banks to the credit of	02:10:33PM 9	individual Indian monies.
02:06:45PM 10	individual Indians, and it's \$3,108,625.94.	02:10:34PM 10	Q. What about the bottom paragraph on that screen, Dr. Angel,
02:06:52PM 11	Q. Let me next show you, Dr. Angel, DX 33, another exhibit	02:10:37PM 11	what does that refer to?
02:06:57PM 12	admitted in October. Do you recognize this?	02:10:38PM 12	A. Once again we see a third category. The rehabilitation
02:07:08PM 13	A. Yes. This is the Annual Report of the Commissioner of	02:10:42PM 13	trust funds are handled through individual Indian money, and
02:07:11PM 14	Indian Affairs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1910.	02:10:46PM 14	this accounts for the balances in those particular funds for the
02:07:16PM 15	Q. I'll ask that we go to page two on this exhibit, please.	02:10:50PM 15	various agencies for the various reservations. Excuse me.
02:07:22PM 16	Can you see that or do you need that enlarged, Dr. Angel?	02:10:56PM 16	Pardon me.
02:07:25PM 17	A. It would be great to have it enlarged, please.	02:10:59PM 17	Q. While you're getting yourself a drink of water, Dr. Angel,
02:07:29PM 18 02:07:37PM 19	Q. All right. Now, are you able to explain for the Court what, if any, buckets are evident on this page of this	02:11:01PM 18	let me ask that you be now shown DX 487.
02:07:37PM 19	particular CIA report?	02:11:14PM 19	I'm sorry, your Honor. I meant to ask for DX 486.
02:07:40PM 20	A. On the receipt side we see bids on allotments, bid on	02:11:23PM 20	Can you identify this for the Court, please, Dr. Angel?
02:07:42PM 21	timber allotments, and those are two things that I think would	02:11:25PM 21	A. Yes. This is another audit of individual Indian monies,
02:07:51PM 23	fit into Ms. Herman's buckets. Subsequently the winning bid	02:11:30PM 23	again for the Winnebago agency. It's dated August 16th, 1941,
02:07:58PM 24	some of the bids would be returned, some would remain in the	02:11:34PM 24	and it's submitted to the commissioner of Indian Affairs.
02:08:01PM 25	system.	02:11:37PM 25	Q. And again I ask you, once enlarged, to cursor through this
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	801		803
02:08:02PM 1	Q. Now, I'll ask that Matthew now show the right side of that	02:11:42PM 1	and please identify for the Court any of the buckets that may
02:08:05PM Z	screen at the top portion which was highlighted in yellow. What	02:11:45PM 2	not be pure IIM.
02:08:09PM 3	does this show as opposed to what you just explained for the	02:11:48PM 3	A. This is, as we indicated, an audit of the individual Indian
02:08:13PM 4	Court? A. On the disbursement side you'll see return to unsuccessful	02:11:53PM 4	money accounts, the Winnebago agency. You'll notice that at the
02:08:13PM 5	A. On the disbursement side you'll see return to unsuccessful bidders, two million seven hundred twenty thousand plus.	02:11:58PM 3	beginning of the third paragraph it states, The balance of individual Indian money on hand as of May 30th, 1941 was
02:08:22PM 7	Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I now ask that you be shown DX 485.	02:12:01PM 7	\$71,358.37. It's then further broken down to credit funds,
02:08:36PM 8	What is this, if you recognize it, Dr. Angel?	02.12.07FW	
02:08:38PM 9		02:12:15PM 8	
		02:12:15PM 8	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount
02:08:45PM 10	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of	_	
	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian	02:12:20PM 9	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-
02:08:45PM 10	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37.
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:47PM 11	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document,
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:47PM 11 02:08:51PM 12	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:47PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:44PM 13	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel?
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:47PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:01PM 14	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:44PM 13 02:12:52PM 14	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents,
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:47PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:01PM 14 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 16 02:09:05PM 17	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency.	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:44PM 13 02:12:52PM 14 02:12:56PM 15	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:47PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:01PM 14 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 16 02:09:05PM 17 02:09:14PM 18	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency. Q. Dr. Angel, as Matthew enlarges this and cursors through the	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:35PM 13 02:12:52PM 14 02:12:52PM 15 02:13:05PM 16 02:13:05PM 17	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to care for an agency cemetary.
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:45PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:01PM 14 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 16 02:09:05PM 17 02:09:14PM 18 02:09:18PM 19	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency. Q. Dr. Angel, as Matthew enlarges this and cursors through the pages slowly, I'd like you to identify, if possible, any of those buckets for the Court. A. Okay. Please go down. At the bottom of page two you'll	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:35PM 14 02:12:52PM 15 02:13:05PM 16 02:13:10PM 18 02:13:13PM 18	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to care for an agency cemetary. Q. And again, where were those funds maintained? A. This were maintained in the individual Indian monies. Q. What about the last sentence on your screen beginning with
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:47PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:01PM 14 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 16 02:09:05PM 17 02:09:14PM 18 02:09:14PM 19 02:09:35PM 20	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency. Q. Dr. Angel, as Matthew enlarges this and cursors through the pages slowly, I'd like you to identify, if possible, any of those buckets for the Court. A. Okay. Please go down. At the bottom of page two you'll note the tribal funds with deposit with the superintendent	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:39PM 13 02:12:52PM 14 02:12:56PM 15 02:13:05PM 17 02:13:10PM 18 02:13:13PM 19 02:13:16PM 20	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to care for an agency cemetary. Q. And again, where were those funds maintained? A. This were maintained in the individual Indian monies. Q. What about the last sentence on your screen beginning with "the student activity"? What does that refer to, if you know?
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:47PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:01PM 14 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 16 02:09:05PM 17 02:09:14PM 18 02:09:18PM 19 02:09:38PM 20 02:09:42PM 21	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency. Q. Dr. Angel, as Matthew enlarges this and cursors through the pages slowly, I'd like you to identify, if possible, any of those buckets for the Court. A. Okay. Please go down. At the bottom of page two you'll note the tribal funds with deposit with the superintendent consisted of the following balances, and it gives the three	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:35PM 14 02:12:52PM 15 02:13:05PM 16 02:13:05PM 17 02:13:10PM 18 02:13:13PM 19 02:13:16PM 20 02:13:16PM 21	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to care for an agency cemetary. Q. And again, where were those funds maintained? A. This were maintained in the individual Indian monies. Q. What about the last sentence on your screen beginning with "the student activity"? What does that refer to, if you know? A. Could you cursor down, please?
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:45PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:01PM 14 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 17 02:09:14PM 18 02:09:14PM 19 02:09:38PM 20 02:09:42PM 21 02:09:42PM 21	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency. Q. Dr. Angel, as Matthew enlarges this and cursors through the pages slowly, I'd like you to identify, if possible, any of those buckets for the Court. A. Okay. Please go down. At the bottom of page two you'll note the tribal funds with deposit with the superintendent consisted of the following balances, and it gives the three reservations under the Winnebago agency, the tribal funds for	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:35PM 14 02:12:52PM 15 02:13:05PM 16 02:13:05PM 17 02:13:16PM 18 02:13:16PM 20 02:13:20PM 21 02:13:20PM 21	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to care for an agency cemetary. Q. And again, where were those funds maintained? A. This were maintained in the individual Indian monies. Q. What about the last sentence on your screen beginning with "the student activity"? What does that refer to, if you know? A. Could you cursor down, please? This is a student activity fund, which was part of the
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:45PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:01PM 14 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 16 02:09:05PM 17 02:09:14PM 18 02:09:14PM 19 02:09:38PM 20 02:09:42PM 21 02:09:45PM 22 02:09:45PM 23	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency. Q. Dr. Angel, as Matthew enlarges this and cursors through the pages slowly, I'd like you to identify, if possible, any of those buckets for the Court. A. Okay. Please go down. At the bottom of page two you'll note the tribal funds with deposit with the superintendent consisted of the following balances, and it gives the three reservations under the Winnebago agency, the tribal funds for each, and you'll notice that the last sentence at the bottom	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:35PM 14 02:12:55PM 15 02:12:56PM 15 02:13:05PM 17 02:13:10PM 18 02:13:10PM 19 02:13:14PM 20 02:13:20PM 21 02:13:34PM 22 02:13:34PM 22	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to care for an agency cemetary. Q. And again, where were those funds maintained? A. This were maintained in the individual Indian monies. Q. What about the last sentence on your screen beginning with "the student activity"? What does that refer to, if you know? A. Could you cursor down, please? This is a student activity fund, which was part of the individual Indian monies.
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:45PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 16 02:09:05PM 17 02:09:14PM 18 02:09:18PM 19 02:09:38PM 20 02:09:45PM 21 02:09:45PM 22 02:09:45PM 22 02:09:50PM 23 02:09:50PM 24	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency. Q. Dr. Angel, as Matthew enlarges this and cursors through the pages slowly, I'd like you to identify, if possible, any of those buckets for the Court. A. Okay. Please go down. At the bottom of page two you'll note the tribal funds with deposit with the superintendent consisted of the following balances, and it gives the three reservations under the Winnebago agency, the tribal funds for each, and you'll notice that the last sentence at the bottom begins all tribal funds are taken up on official receipts and	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:35PM 14 02:12:56PM 15 02:13:05PM 16 02:13:05PM 17 02:13:10PM 18 02:13:10PM 20 02:13:34PM 20 02:13:34PM 21 02:13:34PM 22 02:13:34PM 22	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to care for an agency cemetary. Q. And again, where were those funds maintained? A. This were maintained in the individual Indian monies. Q. What about the last sentence on your screen beginning with "the student activity"? What does that refer to, if you know? A. Could you cursor down, please? This is a student activity fund, which was part of the individual Indian monies. Q. And what does that sentence just after the 660 figure
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:45PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:01PM 14 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 16 02:09:05PM 17 02:09:14PM 18 02:09:14PM 19 02:09:38PM 20 02:09:42PM 21 02:09:45PM 22 02:09:45PM 23	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency. Q. Dr. Angel, as Matthew enlarges this and cursors through the pages slowly, I'd like you to identify, if possible, any of those buckets for the Court. A. Okay. Please go down. At the bottom of page two you'll note the tribal funds with deposit with the superintendent consisted of the following balances, and it gives the three reservations under the Winnebago agency, the tribal funds for each, and you'll notice that the last sentence at the bottom begins all tribal funds are taken up on official receipts and deposited to the credit of the tribes and individual Indian	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:35PM 14 02:12:55PM 15 02:12:56PM 15 02:13:05PM 17 02:13:10PM 18 02:13:10PM 19 02:13:14PM 20 02:13:20PM 21 02:13:34PM 22 02:13:34PM 22	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to care for an agency cemetary. Q. And again, where were those funds maintained? A. This were maintained in the individual Indian monies. Q. What about the last sentence on your screen beginning with "the student activity"? What does that refer to, if you know? A. Could you cursor down, please? This is a student activity fund, which was part of the individual Indian monies. Q. And what does that sentence just after the 660 figure state?
02:08:45PM 10 02:08:45PM 11 02:08:51PM 12 02:08:55PM 13 02:09:05PM 15 02:09:05PM 16 02:09:05PM 17 02:09:14PM 18 02:09:18PM 19 02:09:38PM 20 02:09:45PM 21 02:09:45PM 22 02:09:45PM 22 02:09:50PM 23 02:09:50PM 24	A. This is an audit report conducted by the Bureau of Indian Affairs division of audits, or I should say the Department of Interior division of investigations. We gathered this report from the National Archives downtown from records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The report is dated February 10, 1940, and it's an audit of individual Indian monies at the Winnebago agency. Q. Dr. Angel, as Matthew enlarges this and cursors through the pages slowly, I'd like you to identify, if possible, any of those buckets for the Court. A. Okay. Please go down. At the bottom of page two you'll note the tribal funds with deposit with the superintendent consisted of the following balances, and it gives the three reservations under the Winnebago agency, the tribal funds for each, and you'll notice that the last sentence at the bottom begins all tribal funds are taken up on official receipts and	02:12:20PM 9 02:12:24PM 10 02:12:35PM 11 02:12:35PM 12 02:12:35PM 14 02:12:56PM 15 02:13:05PM 16 02:13:05PM 17 02:13:10PM 18 02:13:10PM 20 02:13:34PM 20 02:13:34PM 21 02:13:34PM 22 02:13:34PM 22	travel funds, cemetary funds, and you'll notice that the amount to individual Indians, the amount to individual Indian account-holders is \$40,069.43 of the total of \$71,358.37. Q. I'll ask that Matthew cursor down through that document, please. Now, at the top of that page is something called cemetary funds. Any idea what that refers to, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. I had read about these in the different documents, but it was a tribal cemetary. This is a fund that's created to care for an agency cemetary. Q. And again, where were those funds maintained? A. This were maintained in the individual Indian monies. Q. What about the last sentence on your screen beginning with "the student activity"? What does that refer to, if you know? A. Could you cursor down, please? This is a student activity fund, which was part of the individual Indian monies. Q. And what does that sentence just after the 660 figure

	804	1	806
1		02:17:27PM 1	
02:13:47PM I	A. The revenue is from picture shows, dances, school parties, etcetera. Payments from the fund are initiated by student	02:17:27PM I 02:17:31PM 2	huh? They were trustee for their wages. That's quite interesting, actually. I don't know what bearing it has on
			here.
02:13:55PM 3	officers of various groups, approved by group sponsors, and further approved by the school principal.	02:17:34PM 3	THE WITNESS: Quite often of course the CCC workers
02:13:59PM 5	Q. And again, where would these funds have been kept?	02:17:39PM 5	were younger folks.
02:14:00PM 6	A. Individual Indian monies.	02:17:39PW 6	THE COURT: Yes.
02:14:05PM 7	Q. Thank you.	02:17:41PM 7	THE WITNESS: So, you know, I cannot speak to this
02:14:07PM 8	A. This is an audit of individual Indian monies at the agency.	02:17:44PM 8	obviously directly, but it could be. It's possible that they
02:14:07FM 9	Q. I now ask that you be shown DX 487. What is this, if you	02:17:47PM 9	were young they hadn't reached some of them hadn't reached
02:14:21PM 10	recognize it?	02:17:51PM 10	their majority. I don't know that to be a fact, though.
02:14:22PM 11	A. This is another audit of individual Indian monies. It's	02:17:53PM 11	THE COURT: Okay.
02:14:29PM 12	conducted by the Department of Interior director of	02:17:55PM 12	BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
02:14:32PM 13	investigations and it's submitted to the commissioner of Indian	02:17:55PM 13	Q. Dr. Angel, having discussed the availability of receipts
02:14:36PM 14	Affairs, dated May 16, 1940.	02:18:00PM 14	and disbursement data, let's now move to the second general area
02:14:38PM 15	Q. Again I will ask Matthew to cursor through this document	02:18:06PM 15	of your testimony, and that is, government practices and system
02:14:42PM 16	and ask you, Dr. Angel, to please identify the buckets for the	02:18:10PM 16	controls reflected in the historical records. Do you recall
02:14:46PM 17	Court.	02:18:14PM 17	testifying a bit in October about settlement packages?
02:14:47PM 18	A. This is a fairly interesting one. It shows IIM on hand	02:18:17PM 18	A. I do.
02:15:02PM 19	March 31st, 1940, aggregated \$188,794.02, and it's spelled out,	02:18:18PM 19	Q. Briefly would you please refresh the Court in terms of what
02:15:10PM 20	it's broken out into the various component parts.	02:18:23PM 20	these were?
02:15:15PM 21	Please cursor down. Please go down just a bit more,	02:18:23PM 21	A. These were packages that were submitted by Indian service
02:15:28PM 22	Matthew. There we go.	02:18:28PM 22	special disbursing agents to the General Accounting Office for
02:15:32PM 23	The semi-annual reports I'm reading now from the	02:18:32PM 23	examination and review.
02:15:35PM 24	last paragraph, semi-annual reports for the calendar year 1939	02:18:33PM 24	Q. And was GAO the first organization to conduct this process?
02:15:39PM 25	show receipts aggregating \$157,944 and disbursements amounting	02:18:38PM 25	A. The process started for all disbursement agents, not simply
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	805		807
02:15:46PM 1	805 to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated	02:18:43PM 1	807 I SSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th
02:15:46PM 1 02:15:54PM 2		02:18:43PM 1 02:18:50PM 2	
2	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated	_	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th
02:15:54PM 2	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are	02:18:50PM 2	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds.	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office.
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:06PM 5	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over?
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 7	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system?	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6 02:19:05PM 7	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 7 02:16:15PM 7	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:50PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:05PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:05PM 8	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 7 02:16:13PM 8 02:16:23PM 9	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:50PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 8	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office.
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:14PM 7 02:16:14PM 8 02:16:23PM 9 02:16:23PM 10	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder.	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 8 02:19:17PM 9 02:19:15PM 10	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function?
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 7 02:16:13PM 8 02:16:23PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:50PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:05PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:15PM 8 02:19:17PM 9 02:19:15PM 10	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951.
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:05PM 7 02:16:13PM 8 02:16:13PM 8 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:23PM 11	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again?	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 8 02:19:17PM 9 02:19:19PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 7 02:16:13PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:33PM 11 02:16:33PM 12	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 8 02:19:19PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages?
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 8 02:16:13PM 10 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:23PM 12 02:16:33PM 13 02:16:33PM 14	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page.	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:50PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:05PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:15PM 8 02:19:15PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 13 02:19:33PM 14	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 7 02:16:13PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:33PM 12 02:16:34PM 13 02:16:34PM 14 02:16:34PM 15	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 8 02:19:17PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:23PM 13 02:19:33PM 14 02:19:33PM 15	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 8 02:16:13PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:23PM 12 02:16:33PM 13 02:16:33PM 14 02:16:35PM 15 02:16:35PM 16 02:16:35PM 17 02:16:54PM 17	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what I think it means?	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:18:57PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 9 02:19:17PM 9 02:19:19PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 13 02:19:33PM 14 02:19:40PM 15 02:19:40PM 15	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an accountant. My primary interest was to see what kind of
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 7 02:16:13PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:33PM 12 02:16:33PM 14 02:16:35PM 15 02:16:35PM 15 02:16:35PM 17	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what I think it means? THE WITNESS: There was a civilian conservation core	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:18:57PM 6 02:19:01PM 7 02:19:11PM 8 02:19:17PM 9 02:19:19PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 13 02:19:32PM 14 02:19:33PM 14 02:19:40PM 15 02:19:48PM 16	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an accountant. My primary interest was to see what kind of documentation was in those packages and maybe to make a
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 7 02:16:13PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:23PM 12 02:16:33PM 14 02:16:34PM 15 02:16:35PM 15 02:16:35PM 16 02:16:35PM 17 02:16:54PM 17 02:16:54PM 18 02:17:03PM 19 02:17:03PM 20	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what I think it means? THE WITNESS: There was a civilian conservation core Indian division that was separate from the regular CCC.	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 8 02:19:14PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 13 02:19:33PM 14 02:19:34PM 15 02:19:45PM 16 02:19:45PM 17	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an accountant. My primary interest was to see what kind of documentation was in those packages and maybe to make a historian's determination of what level of review was conducted
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:33PM 14 02:16:35PM 15 02:16:35PM 16 02:16:35PM 17 02:16:51PM 16 02:16:52PM 17 02:16:54PM 19 02:17:03PM 20 02:17:03PM 20	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what I think it means? THE WITNESS: There was a civilian conservation core Indian division that was separate from the regular CCC. THE COURT: And those were wages identified for	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:50PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:18:57PM 6 02:19:01PM 7 02:19:11PM 9 02:19:19PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 13 02:19:32PM 15 02:19:43PM 16 02:19:43PM 17 02:19:43PM 17 02:19:52PM 18 02:19:57PM 19 02:20:02PM 20 02:20:05PM 21	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an accountant. My primary interest was to see what kind of documentation was in those packages and maybe to make a historian's determination of what level of review was conducted by the GAO of the ISSDA packages.
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 8 02:16:13PM 10 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:33PM 14 02:16:34PM 15 02:16:34PM 15 02:16:35PM 16 02:16:52PM 17 02:16:52PM 17 02:16:52PM 19 02:17:03PM 20 02:17:03PM 21	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what I think it means? THE WITNESS: There was a civilian conservation core Indian division that was separate from the regular CCC. THE COURT: And those were wages identified for individual Indians that were paid to the Indians and paid	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:50PM 3 02:18:50PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6 02:19:01PM 7 02:19:11PM 9 02:19:17PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 13 02:19:33PM 14 02:19:45PM 15 02:19:45PM 16 02:19:45PM 17 02:19:52PM 18 02:19:57PM 19 02:20:02PM 20 02:20:05PM 21	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an accountant. My primary interest was to see what kind of documentation was in those packages and maybe to make a historian's determination of what level of review was conducted by the GAO of the ISSDA packages. Q. Now, how thick are these packages?
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:31PM 12 02:16:31PM 15 02:16:35PM 15 02:16:35PM 17 02:16:54PM 17 02:16:54PM 17 02:16:54PM 18 02:17:03PM 20 02:17:03PM 21 02:17:13PM 22 02:17:13PM 22	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what I think it means? THE WITNESS: There was a civilian conservation core Indian division that was separate from the regular CCC. THE COURT: And those were wages identified for individual Indians that were paid to the Indians and paid through the IIM accounts?	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:01PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 9 02:19:19PM 10 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 13 02:19:33PM 14 02:19:33PM 15 02:19:40PM 15 02:19:40PM 17 02:19:57PM 19 02:00:19:57PM 19 02:20:05PM 20 02:20:05PM 21 02:20:05PM 21	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an accountant. My primary interest was to see what kind of documentation was in those packages and maybe to make a historian's determination of what level of review was conducted by the GAO of the ISSDA packages. Q. Now, how thick are these packages? A. Well, they can vary. Some of them are fairly summary, but
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:23PM 12 02:16:33PM 14 02:16:33PM 15 02:16:35PM 16 02:16:35PM 17 02:16:35PM 18 02:17:03PM 20 02:17:03PM 21 02:17:13PM 22 02:17:13PM 23 02:17:13PM 24	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what I think it means? THE WITNESS: There was a civilian conservation core Indian division that was separate from the regular CCC. THE COURT: And those were wages identified for individual Indians that were paid to the Indians and paid through the IIM accounts? THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I can't say that exactly.	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:51PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 9 02:19:19PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 13 02:19:32PM 14 02:19:32PM 15 02:19:32PM 16 02:19:32PM 17 02:19:32PM 17 02:19:32PM 19 02:19:32PM 20 02:20:14PM 22 02:20:14PM 23 02:20:14PM 23	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an accountant. My primary interest was to see what kind of documentation was in those packages and maybe to make a historian's determination of what level of review was conducted by the GAO of the ISSDA packages. Q. Now, how thick are these packages? A. Well, they can vary. Some of them are fairly summary, but I've seen them well in excess of three thousand pages.
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 5 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:23PM 12 02:16:33PM 14 02:16:35PM 15 02:16:35PM 16 02:16:35PM 17 02:16:54PM 17 02:16:54PM 18 02:17:03PM 20 02:17:03PM 21 02:17:13PM 22 02:17:15PM 23	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what I think it means? THE WITNESS: There was a civilian conservation core Indian division that was separate from the regular CCC. THE COURT: And those were wages identified for individual Indians that were paid to the Indians and paid through the IIM accounts? THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I can't say that exactly. Whether they were it would appear to be that the CCC wages	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:51PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 9 02:19:19PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 14 02:19:32PM 15 02:19:32PM 16 02:19:43PM 16 02:19:43PM 17 02:19:52PM 18 02:19:52PM 19 02:20:05PM 20 02:20:05PM 21 02:20:05PM 21	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an accountant. My primary interest was to see what kind of documentation was in those packages and maybe to make a historian's determination of what level of review was conducted by the GAO of the ISSDA packages. Q. Now, how thick are these packages? A. Well, they can vary. Some of them are fairly summary, but I've seen them well in excess of three thousand pages. THE COURT: Fourteen hundred feet, he told us the last
02:15:54PM 2 02:15:58PM 3 02:16:03PM 4 02:16:03PM 6 02:16:13PM 6 02:16:13PM 9 02:16:23PM 10 02:16:23PM 11 02:16:23PM 15 02:16:33PM 14 02:16:33PM 15 02:16:35PM 16 02:16:35PM 17 02:16:35PM 17 02:16:35PM 18 02:17:03PM 20 02:17:03PM 21 02:17:03PM 21 02:17:13PM 22 02:17:15PM 22	to \$152,872.69. The principal sources of income were indicated as follows: Rehabilitation and revolving funds, and these are typically tribal enterprise funds. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen now. Now, Dr. Angel, having discussed these examples, as a historian what is your opinion regarding what these buckets show regarding the IIM system? A. In my opinion as a historian, I think it shows that monies went into the IIM system that did not go to the individual Indian accountholder. THE COURT: Actually, would you put that last exhibit on the screen again? MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: DX 487, Matthew. It was either the last page or next-to-last page. THE COURT: Dr. Angel, CCC wages deposit, is that what I think it means? THE WITNESS: There was a civilian conservation core Indian division that was separate from the regular CCC. THE COURT: And those were wages identified for individual Indians that were paid to the Indians and paid through the IIM accounts? THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I can't say that exactly. Whether they were it would appear to be that the CCC wages were deposited into the IIM accounts.	02:18:50PM 2 02:18:53PM 3 02:18:57PM 4 02:18:57PM 5 02:19:51PM 6 02:19:05PM 7 02:19:11PM 9 02:19:19PM 10 02:19:24PM 11 02:19:24PM 12 02:19:32PM 13 02:19:32PM 14 02:19:32PM 15 02:19:32PM 16 02:19:32PM 17 02:19:32PM 17 02:19:32PM 19 02:19:32PM 20 02:20:14PM 22 02:20:14PM 23 02:20:14PM 23	ISSDA, but all disbursing agents relatively early in the 19th century. It was conducted by the Treasury department. The Treasury handled this function until the creation of the General Accounting Office. Q. And when did the General Accounting Office take it over? A. The General Accounting Office took this function over subsequent to the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, and in fact, that branch of Treasury that conducted the audits simply moved over to the General Accounting Office. Q. And how long did GAO perform this function? A. GAO performed this function from 1921 to 1951. Q. Dr. Angel, what kind of review had you conducted of the settlement packages? A. Well, my review of the settlement packages is more of a historian obviously. Obviously I'm not an auditor or an accountant. My primary interest was to see what kind of documentation was in those packages and maybe to make a historian's determination of what level of review was conducted by the GAO of the ISSDA packages. Q. Now, how thick are these packages? A. Well, they can vary. Some of them are fairly summary, but I've seen them well in excess of three thousand pages. THE COURT: Fourteen hundred feet, he told us the last time.

	808		810
1		1	
02:20:18PM 1	I was speaking to	02:23:09PM I	of individual Indians received and disbursed. The audit
02:20:21PM 2	THE COURT: No, I understand.	02:23:12PM 2	consists after determination as to compliance with the laws,
02:20:24PM 3	BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:	02:23:17PM 3	regulations, and decisions governing the expenditure of Indian
02:20:24PM 4	Q. Dr. Angel, before focusing on any government system	02:23:21PM 4	monies. The complete accounting embraces both collections and
02:20:29PM 5	controls that may be evident in the settlement packages, do you	02:23:25PM 5	disbursements for the account of the individual Indian, the
02:20:33PM 6	have an opinion about the packages' utility in terms of an	02:23:30PM 6	decisions for application. Those are the former comptroller of
02:20:38PM /	aggregation of receipts and disbursement data?	02:23:35PM /	the Treasury, the comptroller general, the Secretary of the
02:20:40PM 8	A. In my opinion, after looking at many of these settled	02:23:37PM 8	Interior, and the courts.
02:20:45PM 9	account packages, they would provide the basis for total	02:23:39PM 9	Q. Let's move next, Dr. Angel, to DX 246. Well, before we
02:20:49PM 10	receipts and total disbursements on an agency-by-agency basis	02:23:50PM 10	move, specifically do you recognize this document from your
02:20:57PM 11	and quarterly to semi-annual basis.	02:23:52PM 11	collection?
02:21:01PM 12	Q. By region?	02:23:52PM 12	A. Yes. This is one of the settled account packages that I
02:21:03PM 13	A. By agency.	02:23:57PM 13	introduced during my testimony in the October trial.
02:21:04PM 14	Q. By agency.	02:24:00PM 14	Q. And how thick was this one?
02:21:05PM 15	A. It's an agency by agency, yes.	02:24:01PM 15	A. This one actually was over three thousand pages. It's
02:21:08PM 16	Q. Dr. Angel, at this time I'm going to show you on your	02:24:06PM 16 02:24:11PM 17	divided into two PDFs, 246 and 247.
	screen DX 256, specifically page one. What is this, if you		Q. Let's look then at pages 57 through 63 initially, and once
02:21:18PM 18 02:21:19PM 19	recognize it, please? A. This is the annual report from comptroller general for the	02:24:17PM 18 02:24:22PM 19	enlarged, as Matthew enlarges these and cursors through them slowly, I'd like you to please explain what significance, if
02:21:19PM 19	United States, fiscal year ended June 30th, 1939.	02:24:22PM 19	any, from a historical viewpoint these pages show.
02:21:23PM 20	Q. I'll ask Matthew to show you now page two on the screen and	02:24:26PM 20	A. Sure. May I go to the last page that you mentioned? I
02:21:31PM 21	to enlarge the highlighted portion, please.	02:24:29PM 21	believe it's 63.
02:21:35PM 23	A. This is forwarded. It's written by the comptroller	02:24:33PM 23	Q. 63.
02:21:38PM 24	general, and he explains one of the purposes of this report. He	02:24:43PM 24	A. This is a letter from J.E. Fisner, chief clerk accounting
02:21:41PM 25	knows that the preceding year, 1939, the annual report was not	02:24:47PM 25	section.
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	809		811
02:21:48PM 1	809 published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit	02:24:47PM 1	811 Please, Matthew, back to the first now.
02:21:48PM 1 02:21:52PM 2		02:24:47PM 1 02:24:50PM 2	
3	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit		Please, Matthew, back to the first now.
02:21:52PM 2	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some	02:24:50PM 2	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:54PM 3	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency.
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:01PM 4	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:54PM 3 02:24:58PM 4	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you
02:21:52PM	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point.	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:54PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell?
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:01PM 4 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:07PM 6	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:54PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:01PM 6	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:01PM 4 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:07PM 6 02:22:12PM 7	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:54PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:01PM 6 02:25:08PM 7	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:05PM 4 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:15PM 7 02:22:15PM 8	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:54PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:01PM 6 02:25:03PM 7 02:25:15PM 8	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:01PM 4 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:07PM 6 02:22:12PM 7 02:22:12PM 8 02:22:05PM 9	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point.	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:01PM 6 02:25:08PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were.
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:05PM 4 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:05PM 10 02:22:05PM 11 02:22:25PM 12	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:00PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:21PM 10 02:25:22PM 11 02:25:32PM 11	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:05PM 7 02:22:05PM 9 02:22:05PM 10 02:22:05PM 11 02:22:25PM 12 02:22:05PM 12	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:01PM 6 02:25:05PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:23PM 10 02:25:27PM 11 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:33PM 13	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check,
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:05PM 4 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 10 02:22:55PM 11 02:22:25PM 12 02:22:55PM 13 02:22:25PM 14	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled.	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:54PM 3 02:24:54PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:01PM 6 02:25:01PM 8 02:25:15PM 9 02:25:21PM 10 02:25:27PM 11 02:25:27PM 12 02:25:35PM 12 02:25:35PM 13 02:25:40PM 14	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 10 02:22:25PM 11 02:22:25PM 12 02:22:25PM 13 02:22:25PM 13 02:22:25PM 15	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:08PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:23PM 10 02:25:23PM 11 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 13 02:25:40PM 14 02:25:43PM 15	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:52PM 3 02:22:01PM 4 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 9 02:22:15PM 10 02:22:25PM 11 02:22:25PM 12 02:22:25PM 14 02:22:25PM 15 02:22:35PM 16	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds.	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:50PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:00PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:21PM 10 02:25:22PM 11 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 13 02:25:45PM 14 02:25:45PM 15 02:25:45PM 16	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically.
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:52PM 3 02:22:01PM 4 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:12PM 7 02:22:12PM 8 02:22:12PM 10 02:22:20PM 11 02:22:25PM 11 02:22:25PM 13 02:22:26PM 14 02:22:35PM 15 02:22:35PM 16 02:22:35PM 17	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:01PM 6 02:25:01PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:21PM 11 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 13 02:25:32PM 14 02:25:32PM 15 02:25:45PM 15 02:25:45PM 15 02:25:45PM 16 02:25:45PM 16	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 10 02:22:15PM 11 02:22:25PM 12 02:22:25PM 15 02:22:25PM 15 02:22:25PM 16 02:22:35PM 17 02:22:35PM 18	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all disbursing agents of the United States government.	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:00PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:23PM 10 02:25:23PM 11 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 14 02:25:33PM 15 02:25:40PM 16 02:25:47PM 17 02:25:33PM 18	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the document, Dr. Angel. What does this page represent?
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:57PM 3 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 10 02:22:15PM 12 02:22:55PM 12 02:22:55PM 14 02:22:35PM 15 02:22:35PM 16 02:22:35PM 17 02:22:35PM 18 02:22:35PM 18 02:22:35PM 19	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all disbursing agents of the United States government. Q. Looking next to page 14 of DX 256, once enlarged, what does	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:06PM 5 02:25:06PM 6 02:25:06PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:21PM 10 02:25:22PM 11 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 13 02:25:34PM 14 02:25:43PM 15 02:25:45PM 16 02:25:47PM 17 02:25:53PM 18 02:25:58PM 19	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the document, Dr. Angel. What does this page represent? A. This is Superintendent Roberts' response to the General
022152PM 2 022157PM 3 022205PM 5 022205PM 5 022225PM 7 022215PM 8 022225PM 10 022225PM 11 022225PM 12 022225PM 15 022235PM 15 022235PM 16 022235PM 16 022235PM 17 022235PM 17 022235PM 18 022234PM 19 022234PM 19	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all disbursing agents of the United States government. Q. Looking next to page 14 of DX 256, once enlarged, what does this highlighted portion show?	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:50PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:00PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:21PM 10 02:25:23PM 12 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 14 02:25:32PM 15 02:25:40PM 16 02:25:40PM 16 02:25:40PM 17 02:25:40PM 18 02:25:53PM 18 02:25:53PM 19 02:26:04PM 20	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the document, Dr. Angel. What does this page represent? A. This is Superintendent Roberts' response to the General Accounting Office. He states, This is in reply to your letter
022152PM 2 022157PM 3 022205PM 5 022205PM 6 022215PM 7 022215PM 9 022225PM 10 022225PM 11 022225PM 12 022225PM 15 022235PM 15 022235PM 17	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all disbursing agents of the United States government. Q. Looking next to page 14 of DX 256, once enlarged, what does this highlighted portion show? A. A portion of the comptroller general's report. It goes	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:50PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:01PM 6 02:25:01PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 10 02:25:23PM 10 02:25:23PM 11 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 15 02:25:45PM 15 02:25:45PM 15 02:25:45PM 16 02:25:45PM 17 02:25:45PM 18 02:25:55PM 18 02:25:55PM 19 02:26:04PM 20 02:26:07PM 21	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the document, Dr. Angel. What does this page represent? A. This is Superintendent Roberts' response to the General Accounting Office. He states, This is in reply to your letter of May 17, 1945 relative to the audit of my individual Indian
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:52PM 3 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:15PM 10 02:22:15PM 11 02:22:25PM 12 02:22:5PM 15 02:22:5PM 15 02:22:5PM 16 02:22:5PM 16 02:22:5PM 17 02:22:35PM 18 02:22:35PM 18 02:22:41PM 19 02:22:45PM 20 02:22:45PM 21 02:22:45PM 22	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all disbursing agents of the United States government. Q. Looking next to page 14 of DX 256, once enlarged, what does this highlighted portion show? A. A portion of the comptroller general's report. It goes through the audit process generally, and a portion of it then	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:00PM 7 02:25:15PM 9 02:25:21PM 10 02:25:21PM 12 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 15 02:25:32PM 15 02:25:32PM 17 02:25:32PM 18 02:25:32PM 18 02:25:32PM 19 02:25:32PM 20 02:26:04PM 20 02:26:04PM 20	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the document, Dr. Angel. What does this page represent? A. This is Superintendent Roberts' response to the General Accounting Office. He states, This is in reply to your letter of May 17, 1945 relative to the audit of my individual Indian monies, voucher 21 and voucher 22. Here you see he's added the
022152PM 2 022157PM 3 022205PM 5 022205PM 5 022225PM 7 022215PM 9 022225PM 10 022225PM 11 022225PM 12 022225PM 15 022235PM 16 022235PM 16 022235PM 17 022235PM 18 022235PM 19 022235PM 20	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all disbursing agents of the United States government. Q. Looking next to page 14 of DX 256, once enlarged, what does this highlighted portion show? A. A portion of the comptroller general's report. It goes through the audit process generally, and a portion of it then goes to the issue of individual Indian monies. And regarding	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:50PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:00PM 7 02:25:15PM 9 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:21PM 10 02:25:22PM 11 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 14 02:25:32PM 15 02:25:40PM 16 02:25:40PM 16 02:25:40PM 17 02:25:33PM 18 02:25:53PM 19 02:26:04PM 20 02:26:07PM 21 02:26:12PM 22 02:26:13PM 23	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the document, Dr. Angel. What does this page represent? A. This is Superintendent Roberts' response to the General Accounting Office. He states, This is in reply to your letter of May 17, 1945 relative to the audit of my individual Indian monies, voucher 21 and voucher 22. Here you see he's added the citations of authority that the GAO official requested, and he
022152PM 2 022157PM 3 022205PM 5 022205PM 6 022215PM 7 022215PM 9 022225PM 10 022225PM 11 022225PM 12 022225PM 15 022235PM 15 022235PM 16 022235PM 17 022235PM 17 022235PM 17 022235PM 17 022235PM 17 022235PM 22 022245PM 20 022245PM 20 022245PM 21	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all disbursing agents of the United States government. Q. Looking next to page 14 of DX 256, once enlarged, what does this highlighted portion show? A. A portion of the comptroller general's report. It goes through the audit process generally, and a portion of it then goes to the issue of individual Indian monies. And regarding individual Indian monies, it says these accounts embrace an	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:58PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:00PM 7 02:25:15PM 9 02:25:21PM 10 02:25:21PM 12 02:25:32PM 12 02:25:32PM 15 02:25:32PM 15 02:25:32PM 17 02:25:32PM 18 02:25:32PM 18 02:25:32PM 19 02:25:32PM 20 02:26:04PM 20 02:26:04PM 20	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the document, Dr. Angel. What does this page represent? A. This is Superintendent Roberts' response to the General Accounting Office. He states, This is in reply to your letter of May 17, 1945 relative to the audit of my individual Indian monies, voucher 21 and voucher 22. Here you see he's added the citations of authority that the GAO official requested, and he goes on later in this letter to address other issues that the
02:21:52PM 2 02:21:52PM 3 02:22:01PM 4 02:22:05PM 5 02:22:05PM 6 02:22:05PM 7 02:22:15PM 8 02:22:20PM 9 02:22:20PM 10 02:22:20PM 11 02:22:25PM 11 02:22:25PM 15 02:22:25PM 15 02:22:30PM 16 02:22:35PM 16 02:22:35PM 16 02:22:35PM 17 02:22:35PM 18 02:22:41PM 19 02:22:44PM 20 02:22:45PM 21 02:22:57PM 22 02:22:57PM 23 02:22:57PM 24	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all disbursing agents of the United States government. Q. Looking next to page 14 of DX 256, once enlarged, what does this highlighted portion show? A. A portion of the comptroller general's report. It goes through the audit process generally, and a portion of it then goes to the issue of individual Indian monies. And regarding	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:50PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:00PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:23PM 10 02:25:23PM 11 02:25:33PM 12 02:25:33PM 14 02:25:33PM 15 02:25:46PM 16 02:25:46PM 16 02:25:46PM 17 02:25:46PM 18 02:25:46PM 20 02:26:04PM 20 02:26:04PM 20 02:26:13PM 22 02:26:13PM 22 02:26:13PM 22	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the document, Dr. Angel. What does this page represent? A. This is Superintendent Roberts' response to the General Accounting Office. He states, This is in reply to your letter of May 17, 1945 relative to the audit of my individual Indian monies, voucher 21 and voucher 22. Here you see he's added the citations of authority that the GAO official requested, and he
022152PM 2 022157PM 3 022205PM 5 022205PM 6 022215PM 7 022215PM 9 022225PM 10 022225PM 11 022225PM 12 022225PM 15 022235PM 15 022235PM 16 022235PM 17 022235PM 17 022235PM 17 022235PM 17 022235PM 17 022235PM 22 022245PM 20 022245PM 20 022245PM 21	published, but one of the things it showed was the work of audit and settlement of accounts and claims, including showing in some detail of the many questions and probably which arise from in connection with these because MR. SMITH: If I may raise an objection at this point. We have a summary judgment decision saying GAO did not audit these settlement of accounts. I would object to the testimony that contradicts the existing opinion from Judge Lamberth on that point. THE COURT: We're not going to contradict Judge Lamberth, but I'll hear the testimony. The objection is overruled. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Before we move on to the next page, let me ask you next, Dr. Angel, whether this limited process was limited to Indian funds. A. No, it was not limited simply to Indian funds. It was all disbursing agents of the United States government. Q. Looking next to page 14 of DX 256, once enlarged, what does this highlighted portion show? A. A portion of the comptroller general's report. It goes through the audit process generally, and a portion of it then goes to the issue of individual Indian monies. And regarding individual Indian monies, it says these accounts embrace an accounting by agents of the Indian service for providing funds	02:24:50PM 2 02:24:50PM 3 02:24:50PM 4 02:25:00PM 5 02:25:00PM 6 02:25:00PM 7 02:25:15PM 8 02:25:21PM 9 02:25:23PM 10 02:25:23PM 11 02:25:33PM 12 02:25:33PM 14 02:25:33PM 15 02:25:46PM 16 02:25:46PM 16 02:25:46PM 17 02:25:46PM 18 02:25:46PM 20 02:26:04PM 20 02:26:04PM 20 02:26:13PM 22 02:26:13PM 22 02:26:13PM 22	Please, Matthew, back to the first now. And it's to W.O. Roberts, superintendent and special disbursing agent of the Pineridge Agency. Q. What does this indicate, what's the letter about, if you can tell? A. In this letter the GAO official says audit of individual Indian monies, vouchers, number 21 and 22, discovered some problems and the GAO official. Goes on to note what the problems were. For example, in the first part he talks about checks to individual Indians, listing check number, date of the check, the account of the individual Indian, and the amount, that lacked specific authorities for disbursing. Could you scroll down, please? Thanks. And these are the checks that he's questioning specifically. Q. Let me turn your attention next then to page four of the document, Dr. Angel. What does this page represent? A. This is Superintendent Roberts' response to the General Accounting Office. He states, This is in reply to your letter of May 17, 1945 relative to the audit of my individual Indian monies, voucher 21 and voucher 22. Here you see he's added the citations of authority that the GAO official requested, and he goes on later in this letter to address other issues that the GAO raised by his individual Indian monies accounts.

	812		814
02:26:34PM 1	Q. I'll ask Matthew next to show you pages 51 through 56,	02:30:10PM 1	examination of individual Indian monies on a very check-oriented
02:26:38PM 2	please. Please explain for Court as Matthew cursors slowly	02:30:17PM 2	basis. In other words, down at the check level they're
02:26:47PM 3	there what these pages represent.	02:30:23PM 3	examining receipts and disbursements, and there's a lengthy
2:26:49PM 4	A. These are specific issues that were related to the GAO's	02:30:26PM 4	exchange between the Indian agent, the ISSDA, and the case of
2:26:56PM 5	question about excuse me. Could we go to the first page of	02:30:33PM 5	what we just saw Superintendent Roberts and the GAO official
2:27:02PM 6	that document, please? I'm sorry.	02:30:37PM 6	actually doing the audit.
::27:04PM 7	Q. Page 351?	02:30:38PM 7	Q. So what does that tell you about government practices at
2:27:05PM 8	A. Please.	02:30:41PM 8	that time regarding collections into and disbursements from the
2:27:06PM 9	Q. Can you enlarge it please, Matthew?	02:30:45PM 9	IIM system?
:27:18PM 10	A. No, I'm sorry. I'm talking about the very first page of	02:30:45PM 10	A. As a historian looking at these packages it tells me that
:27:21PM 11	the letter.	02:30:51PM 11	there was government oversight.
:27:21PM 12	Q. Okay. Page 57, would that be?	02:30:53PM 12	Q. Now, as a historian, what do these records tell you
27:24PM 13	A. I believe so.	02:30:58PM 13	regarding the reliability of the data in them?
27:31PM 14	Okay. This is part of the GAO's letter to	02:31:00PM 14	A. As a historian I feel a lot more comfortable knowing that
27:35PM 15	Superintendent Roberts. I'm sorry. I got confused for a moment	02:31:04PM 15	one agency has produced records and another agency has reviewed
27:38PM 16	about whether this was the response from Roberts to the GAO.	02:31:10PM 16	those records at a very high level.
27:42PM 17	But this is the letter from GAO to Roberts. Now please go	02:31:12PM 17	Q. As a historian, what do the system controls lead to
27:46PM 18	ahead. I'm sorry.	02:31:21PM 18	conclude, if anything, regarding the possibility of funds
27:47PM 19	Q. Now, if we go back, Matthew, to 51 through 56, and again,	02:31:23PM 19	leaking out of the IIM system undetected?
27:53PM 20	what does this represent, Dr. Angel?	02:31:26PM 20	A. Again, all I can say is that there's a good level of
27:54PM 21	A. These are issues that the GAO had with the settled account	02:31:29PM 21	oversight involved in this process, and I think it's shown as
28:10PM 22	package involving individual Indian monies.	02:31:35PM 22	you look at the various settled account packages.
28:12PM 23	Q. What does the first paragraph describe?	02:31:37PM 23	Q. And likewise, Dr. Angel, as a historian what do the system
28:16PM 24	A. In regard to the cancelled official receipts, or official	02:31:43PM 24	controls tell you, if anything, regarding the positive funds
::28:24PM 25	receipt number? These effectively address the complaints of the	02:31:45PM 25	accumulating unnoticed in the IIM system?
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Count Departure
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	813		Official Court Reporter 815
28:37PM 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	02:31:49PM 1	·
	813	02:31:49PM 1 02:31:52PM 2	815
28:45PM 2	813 GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For		MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is
28:48PM 2	813 GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar.	02:31:52PM 2	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant.
228:45PM 2 228:48PM 3 228:55PM 4	813 GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9,	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain.
228-45PM 2 3 4 4 228-55PM 5 6	813 GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it.	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
228-45PM 2 3 4 4 529-01PM 5 6 7	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there
2 28.48PM 2 3 4 28.58PM 4 4 29.01PM 5 6 7 29.04PM 7	813 GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce	02:31:50PM 2 02:31:50PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM?
2 228.45PM 2 3 4 4 228.55PM 5 5 6 7 7 7 8 229.05PM 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	B13 GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages?	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:07PM 7	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account
2 228.45PM 2 3 4 4 4 5 228.01PM 5 6 7 229.01PM 7 8 229.02PM 8 9 228.12PM 9	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:00PM 6 02:32:00PM 7 02:32:12PM 8	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the
2 228.45PM 2 3 3 4 4 5 228.55PM 5 5 6 229.04PM 6 7 7 8 229.07PM 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	813 GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs.	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:04PM 7 02:32:12PM 8 02:32:15PM 9	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and
228-45PM 2 228-45PM 3 328-55PM 4 4 228-01PM 5 6 229-01PM 7 7 229-03PM 8 229-12PM 9 10 11	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages?	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:00PM 7 02:32:12PM 8 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June
2 228.48PM 2 4 3 4 228.48PM 5 6 6 229.04PM 6 7 229.04PM 9 9 229.14PM 10 11 1229.19PM 11 12	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:00PM 6 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:12PM 8 02:32:12PM 9 02:32:18PM 10 02:32:22PM 11	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then
2 228.45PM 2 3 4 4 228.55PM 4 5 5 6 229.01PM 6 7 7 8 229.02PM 9 10 11 1229.13PM 11 12 123.13PM 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:04PM 7 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:22PM 11 02:32:22PM 12	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress.
2 228.45PM 2 2 228.45PM 3 4 4 5 228.55PM 5 5 6 7 7 8 229.07PM 6 7 8 229.07PM 10 11 12 229.14PM 11 12 229.24PM 12 13 14 15 229.27PM 14 15 229.27PM 15 15 229.27PM 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I	02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:04PM 7 02:32:15PM 8 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:15PM 11 02:32:27PM 11	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of
2 228.45PM 2 3 4 4 228.45PM 5 6 6 7 7 8 229.04PM 6 7 7 8 229.05PM 7 10 11 11 12 229.15PM 11 12 229.15PM 12 13 14 14 15 229.25PM 14 15 15 16 229.35PM 15 16 229.35PM 16 17 18 229.35PM 17 18 229.35PM 18 1	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:00PM 6 02:32:00PM 8 02:32:12PM 8 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:22PM 11 02:32:22PM 12 02:32:30PM 13 02:32:30PM 14	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any
2 228.48PM 2 4 4 228.48PM 5 4 4 228.48PM 5 6 229.04PM 6 7 8229.04PM 7 8 229.04PM 10 11 12 229.14PM 12 12 229.24PM 12 12 12 229.24PM 15 16 229.24PM 15 16 16 229.24PM 15 16 16 229.24PM 16 17 18 229.24PM 17 18 229.24PM 18 18 229.24	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually.	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:04PM 8 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:22PM 11 02:32:22PM 12 02:32:30PM 13 02:32:30PM 14 02:32:33PM 15	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move
228.45PM 2 228.45PM 3 328.55PM 4 4 228.45PM 5 4 229.04PM 5 6 229.04PM 6 229.04PM 10 229.14PM 10 229.14PM 12 229.24PM 12 229.24PM 12 229.24PM 14 229.32PM 14 229.32PM 15 16 17	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually. Q. Can you evaluate this information as an accountant?	02:31:50PM 2 02:31:50PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:00PM 6 02:32:00PM 7 02:32:12PM 8 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:23PM 11 02:32:27PM 12 02:32:30PM 13 02:32:30PM 14 02:32:43PM 15 02:32:43PM 16	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move now to discussion of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged benefit
228.45PM 2 228.45PM 3 4 4 228.45PM 4 4 229.01PM 5 6 7 229.04PM 6 7 229.05PM 8 229.12PM 10 229.15PM 11 12 224.45PM 15 15 224.45PM 15 16 17 224.45PM 17	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually. Q. Can you evaluate this information as an accountant? A. No certainly.	02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:04PM 7 02:32:12PM 8 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:25PM 11 02:32:25PM 13 02:32:36PM 14 02:32:35PM 15 02:32:45PM 16 02:32:45PM 16	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move now to discussion of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged benefit to the government. First of all, have you reviewed and analyzed
228.45PM 2 228.45PM 3 4 4 228.45PM 5 4 4 229.01PM 5 6 7 7 229.03PM 8 9 229.12PM 9 11 12 229.13PM 10 11 12 229.13PM 15 16 17 18 18 19 229.45PM 15 16 17 18 19 229.45PM 17	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually. Q. Can you evaluate this information as an accountant? A. No certainly. Q. Can you evaluate as a statician?	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:04PM 8 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:25PM 11 02:32:25PM 13 02:32:30PM 14 02:32:33PM 14 02:32:35PM 16 02:32:35PM 17 02:32:55PM 17	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move now to discussion of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged benefit to the government. First of all, have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' March 19 remedies brief, including its attachments?
228.45PM 2 228.45PM 3 4 4 228.45PM 4 4 229.01PM 5 6 6 229.04PM 6 7 229.03PM 9 229.14PM 10 11 229.24PM 12 229.24PM 12 229.24PM 15 16 229.45PM 15 16 229.45PM 17 229.45PM 18 229.55PM 20	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually. Q. Can you evaluate this information as an accountant? A. No certainly. Q. Can you evaluate as a statician? A. No.	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:04PM 7 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:25PM 11 02:32:27PM 12 02:32:30PM 13 02:32:39PM 14 02:32:39PM 15 02:32:45PM 16 02:32:45PM 17 02:32:55PM 18 02:32:56PM 18	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move now to discussion of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged benefit to the government. First of all, have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' March 19 remedies brief, including its attachments? A. I have.
228-45PM 2 228-45PM 3 3 228-55PM 4 4 229-01PM 5 6 229-01PM 6 229-01PM 7 229-03PM 8 229-12PM 9 229-14PM 10 11 229-24PM 12 229-24PM 15 229-24PM 15 229-24PM 15 229-24PM 15 229-24PM 15 229-24PM 16 17 229-45PM 17 229-45PM 18 229-25PM 18 229-25PM 20 221 221	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually. Q. Can you evaluate this information as an accountant? A. No certainly. Q. Can you evaluate as a statician? A. No. Q. What about as an attorney?	02:31:50PM 2 02:31:50PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:00PM 6 02:32:00PM 7 02:32:12PM 8 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:25PM 11 02:32:27PM 12 02:32:30PM 14 02:32:35PM 15 02:32:35PM 16 02:32:35PM 17 02:32:55PM 18 02:33:01PM 19 02:33:01PM 20	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move now to discussion of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged benefit to the government. First of all, have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' March 19 remedies brief, including its attachments? A. I have. Q. Have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' April 22 reply
2 228.45PM 2 3 4 228.45PM 4 4 228.45PM 5 6 7 7 229.01PM 6 7 7 229.01PM 10 10 229.13PM 11 12 229.13PM 11 12 229.24PM 15 16 17 229.45PM 16 17 229.45PM 17 18 229.45PM 18 18 229.25PM 18 229.25PM 20 229.55PM 20 229.55PM 21 229.55PM 20 229.55PM 21 229.	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually. Q. Can you evaluate this information as an accountant? A. No certainly. Q. Can you evaluate as a statician? A. No. Q. What about as an attorney? A. Definitely not.	02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:04PM 7 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:25PM 11 02:32:27PM 12 02:32:30PM 13 02:32:30PM 14 02:32:39PM 15 02:32:47PM 16 02:32:55PM 17 02:32:56PM 18 02:33:01PM 19 02:33:01PM 20 02:33:04PM 21	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move now to discussion of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged benefit to the government. First of all, have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' March 19 remedies brief, including its attachments? A. I have. Q. Have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' April 22 reply brief?
2 228.45PM 2 3 4 4 228.45PM 5 6 6 229.01PM 5 6 229.01PM 7 7 8 229.05PM 8 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually. Q. Can you evaluate this information as an accountant? A. No certainly. Q. Can you evaluate as a statician? A. No. Q. What about as an attorney? A. Definitely not. Q. So what do these packages tell you, if anything, Dr. Angel,	02:31:52PM 2 02:31:52PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:04PM 6 02:32:04PM 7 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:22PM 11 02:32:22PM 12 02:32:30PM 13 02:32:30PM 15 02:32:43PM 16 02:32:53PM 16 02:32:53PM 17 02:32:53PM 18 02:32:53PM 19 02:33:01PM 19 02:33:01PM 20 02:33:04PM 21 02:33:05PM 22	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move now to discussion of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged benefit to the government. First of all, have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' March 19 remedies brief, including its attachments? A. I have. Q. Have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' April 22 reply brief? A. I have.
2 228.45PM 2 3 3 4 4 228.45PM 5 6 6 229.04PM 6 229.04PM 7 8 229.15PM 9 9 11 12 12 229.24PM 10 11 11 12 229.24PM 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually. Q. Can you evaluate this information as an accountant? A. No certainly. Q. Can you evaluate as a statician? A. No. Q. What about as an attorney? A. Definitely not. Q. So what do these packages tell you, if anything, Dr. Angel, as a historian?	02:31:50PM 2 02:31:50PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:00PM 6 02:32:00PM 7 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:15PM 11 02:32:27PM 12 02:32:30PM 14 02:32:30PM 15 02:32:58PM 16 02:32:58PM 17 02:32:58PM 17 02:32:58PM 18 02:33:01PM 19 02:33:01PM 19 02:33:04PM 21 02:33:05PM 21 02:33:05PM 21 02:33:05PM 21 02:33:05PM 21 02:33:05PM 21 02:33:05PM 21	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move now to discussion of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged benefit to the government. First of all, have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' March 19 remedies brief, including its attachments? A. I have. Q. Have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' April 22 reply brief? A. I have. Q. Generally speaking, what can you tell us about historical
2 228.45PM 2 3 3 4 4 228.45PM 5 6 229.04PM 5 6 229.04PM 6 7 8 229.04PM 10 11 12 229.15PM 10 11 12 229.24PM 10 11 12 229.24PM 15 16 17 17 18 229.25PM 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	GAO on a point-by-point basis and explain what's been done. For example, with the first one there was a question about a dollar. This amount was redeposited by currency, one dollar, March 9, 1942. It gives a receipt number, and there's a tick mark by it. Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen, and ask you generally how many agencies produce these settlement packages? A. Roughly speaking, at this time there were a hundred agencies in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. And how often did these agencies produce these packages? A. It varied by time period. Quarterly to semi-annual, at least for the settled account packages. They submitted other financial records more routinely, but by the GAO period, I believe after 1927 for the most part the GAO addresses IIM semi-annually. Q. Can you evaluate this information as an accountant? A. No certainly. Q. Can you evaluate as a statician? A. No. Q. What about as an attorney? A. Definitely not. Q. So what do these packages tell you, if anything, Dr. Angel, as a historian? A. These packages tell me as a historian that a second agency,	02:31:56PM 3 02:32:00PM 4 02:32:00PM 5 02:32:00PM 6 02:32:00PM 7 02:32:12PM 8 02:32:15PM 9 02:32:15PM 10 02:32:21PM 11 02:32:27PM 12 02:32:30PM 14 02:32:30PM 15 02:32:30PM 16 02:32:30PM 17 02:32:30PM 18 02:32:30PM 18 02:32:30PM 20 02:33:01PM 20 02:33:04PM 21 02:33:05PM 21 02:33:05PM 22 02:33:05PM 23 02:33:05PM 23 02:33:05PM 24	MR. SMITH: Objection. I'm not sure a historian is qualified to make that determination. He's not an accountant. THE COURT: That one I'm going to sustain. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Dr. Angel, aside from the settlement packages, are there other examples of oversight in Interior administration of IIM? A. Yes, there are. The ISSDA reports, those year-end account balance reports that we discussed earlier, were submitted to the Treasury department for review, and of course the receipt and disbursement reports that we discussed under the act of June 30th, 1906 were submitted first to Treasury for review and then to the United States Congress. Q. Now, having discussed, Dr. Angel, the availability of receipts and disbursement data, and having discussed any government system controls reflected in that data, let's move now to discussion of Plaintiffs' calculation of alleged benefit to the government. First of all, have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' March 19 remedies brief, including its attachments? A. I have. Q. Have you reviewed and analyzed Plaintiffs' April 22 reply brief? A. I have. Q. Generally speaking, what can you tell us about historical factors that would impact any calculation of alleged benefit to

	816		818
02:33:16PM 1	A. There are several things that struck me as I went through	02:37:05PM 1	Q. Did you review Plaintiffs' analysis of tribal IIM as part
02:33:23PM 2	those reports, as I went through Plaintiffs' calculations. One	02:37:10PM 2	of total IIM?
02:33:28PM 3	thing concerned the very slow process of allotment. For	02:37:11PM 3	A. I did.
02:33:35PM 4	example, allotment began actually about at the time of the Dos	02:37:11PM 4	Q. And as a historian do you have a view as to how plaintiffs
02:33:42PM 5	Act. There were over five hundred thousand acres already	02:37:16PM 5	handled tribal IIM?
02:33:42PM 6	allotted, but by 1910 there were only about 14,600,000 acres	02:37:16PM 6	A. My impression was that prior to 1972 they did not include
_	allotted under the Dos Act, so a total of a little more than 15	_	tribal IIM as a part of IIM system monies.
			_
	million acres had been allotted by that time. Q. Out of how many that were ultimately allotted?	_	Q. Now let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 26 at this time. What
10		02:37:36PM 9	is that, if you can recognize it, please?
	A. Ultimately 42 million acres were allotted.	44	A. This is a report to Congress concerning an investigation of
02:34:07PM 11 02:34:11PM 12	Q. How can that calculation prior to 1910	02:37:43PM 11 02:37:45PM 12	the Bureau of Indian Affairs.
02:34:11PM 12 02:34:14PM 13	A. What that means, there is a gradual process of allotment	02:37:45PM 12 02:37:49PM 13	Q. And if you would, take a look at
02:34:14PM 13 02:34:19PM 14	involved in this, and I don't know how a linear interpolation	02:37:49PM 13	A. I'm sorry. It's dated 1952.
02:34:19PM 14 02:34:23PM 15	going backward would account for the gradual process of	02:37:51PM 14 02:37:53PM 15	Q. I'm sorry, 19?
	allotment.		A. 52.
02:34:24PM 16	Q. Dr. Angel, did you review Plaintiffs' handling of the Osage	02:37:54PM 16	Q. Thank you. If Matthew could show you page one, please, and
02:34:29PM 17	payments?	02:38:00PM 17	if you can enlarge the highlighted portion. What does this
02:34:30PM 18 02:34:31PM 19	A. I did.	02:38:10PM 18	reflect?
	Q. What is your opinion regarding their analysis?		A. Table entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Money
02:34:33PM 20	A. One of the problems I saw with their analysis of the Osage	02:38:15PM 20	Accounts, and you can see reading across the top it encompasses
02:34:39PM 2·1 02:34:47PM 22	payment is that as they looked at annuity payments to the Osage	02:38:20PM 21	reservations by state, and then at the very top you see grand
	Indian prior to 1908 they used the multiplier of 2,229 Osage		total all states and Alaska. The top row across is total dollar
02:34:53PM 23	Indians. That figure was established in April of 1908. Prior	02:38:33PM 23	amount and it includes individual funds, \$39,040,000. It
02:34:56PM 24	to that, annuity payments were actually made on the number of	02:38:41PM 24	involves tribal funds, \$13,126,000, and special deposit funds.
02:35:01PM 25	Indians registered at the agency, and quite often that number	02:38:48PM 23	Q. Now look at this chart, Dr. Angel. How can you tell those
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	017		910
1	817	1	819
02:35:06PM 1	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know	02:38:52PM 1	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system?
02:35:14PM 2	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports	02:38:55PM 2	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts.
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:26PM 4	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:26PM 4 02:35:30PM 5	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system.	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this?
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:26PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that?	02:38:55PM 2 02:38:00PM 3 02:38:03PM 4 02:38:15PM 5 02:38:16PM 6	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:26PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:05PM 3 02:39:05PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:26PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:33PM 7	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889.	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 8	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972.
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:26PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:38PM 7 02:35:34PM 8	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:05PM 3 02:39:05PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document,
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:26PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:38PM 7 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion,	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:23PM 9 02:39:24PM 9	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please.
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:31PM 8 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:15PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:24PM 9	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay.
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:26PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:34PM 7 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:44PM 11	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion,	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:24PM 9 02:39:36PM 10 02:39:35PM 11	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay.
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:31PM 8 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:57PM 12	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:23PM 9 02:39:35PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr.
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:34PM 9 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:57PM 12 02:36:01PM 13	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 9 02:39:23PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel?
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:26PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:31PM 8 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 12 02:35:54PM 13 02:35:41PM 13	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please.	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:23PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:42PM 13 02:39:42PM 13	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:34PM 8 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:57PM 12 02:35:57PM 13 02:36:11PM 14 02:36:13PM 15	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:23PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:42PM 13 02:39:42PM 13 02:39:42PM 14	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:30PM 6 02:35:31PM 7 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 12 02:36:11PM 14 02:36:13PM 15 02:36:21PM 15	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:05PM 3 02:39:05PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:15PM 6 02:39:15PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:24PM 9 02:39:35PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 16 02:39:35PM 16	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:31PM 8 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:44PM 11 02:35:57PM 12 02:36:01PM 13 02:36:13PM 14 02:36:13PM 15 02:36:21PM 16 02:36:27PM 17	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under the Osage agency, I should say.	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:16PM 5 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 9 02:39:24PM 9 02:39:35PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 14 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 17	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system as a permanent depository for tribal funds. It's not
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:31PM 8 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:41PM 10 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:57PM 12 02:36:11PM 14 02:36:13PM 15 02:36:21PM 16 02:36:27PM 17 02:36:23PM 18	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under the Osage agency, I should say. Q. Thank you. Let move from Osage and talk about tribal IIM.	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:05PM 3 02:39:05PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:15PM 6 02:39:15PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:23PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 14 02:39:42PM 14 02:39:42PM 15 02:39:42PM 17 02:39:43PM 17	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system as a permanent depository for tribal funds. It's not specifically authorized.
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:34PM 9 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 15 02:36:13PM 15 02:36:13PM 15 02:36:21PM 16 02:36:23PM 17 02:36:23PM 18 02:36:33PM 19	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under the Osage agency, I should say. Q. Thank you. Let move from Osage and talk about tribal IIM. A. I'm sorry. I think there was a page that may have been	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:05PM 3 02:39:05PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:15PM 6 02:39:15PM 7 02:39:23PM 9 02:39:23PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 14 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 17 02:39:35PM 18 02:39:42PM 18 02:39:42PM 18 02:39:43PM 18	 References to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system as a permanent depository for tribal funds. It's not specifically authorized. Q. Let's take a look next at page three of this document. Now
0236:14PM 2 0236:26PM 4 0236:36PM 5 0236:36PM 6 0235:31PM 6 0235:31PM 8 0235:41PM 9 0235:44PM 9 0235:44PM 11 0236:57PM 12 0236:01PM 13 0236:11PM 14 0236:13PM 15 0236:21PM 16 0236:21PM 17 0236:23PM 17 0236:23PM 19 0236:38PM 19	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under the Osage agency, I should say. Q. Thank you. Let move from Osage and talk about tribal IIM. A. I'm sorry. I think there was a page that may have been overlooked. The page shows the amount of the payment.	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:16PM 5 02:39:16PM 6 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 9 02:39:23PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 14 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 17 02:39:52PM 16 02:39:52PM 16 02:39:52PM 17 02:40:03PM 18 02:40:03PM 19	references to tribal funds fit within the IIM system? A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system as a permanent depository for tribal funds. It's not specifically authorized. Q. Let's take a look next at page three of this document. Now we've got highlighting within the highlighting. Please explain
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:34PM 9 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 11 02:35:57PM 12 02:35:41PM 14 02:35:31PM 15 02:36:21PM 15 02:36:21PM 16 02:36:23PM 17 02:36:24PM 19 02:36:44PM 20 02:36:44PM 20 02:36:44PM 20	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under the Osage agency, I should say. Q. Thank you. Let move from Osage and talk about tribal IIM. A. I'm sorry. I think there was a page that may have been overlooked. The page shows the amount of the payment. Q. We don't have that handy right now, so perhaps we'll take	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:00PM 3 02:39:03PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:15PM 6 02:39:15PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:24PM 9 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:42PM 13 02:39:42PM 14 02:39:42PM 15 02:39:52PM 16 02:39:52PM 17 02:40:14PM 19 02:40:14PM 20 02:40:14PM 20	A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system as a permanent depository for tribal funds. It's not specifically authorized. Q. Let's take a look next at page three of this document. Now we've got highlighting within the highlighting. Please explain to the Court what this means, Dr. Angel.
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:30PM 6 02:35:31PM 8 02:35:41PM 8 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 15 02:36:11PM 14 02:36:13PM 15 02:36:13PM 16 02:36:24PM 17 02:36:23PM 18 02:36:39PM 19 02:36:49PM 20 02:36:49PM 21 02:36:49PM 21	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under the Osage agency, I should say. Q. Thank you. Let move from Osage and talk about tribal IIM. A. I'm sorry. I think there was a page that may have been overlooked. The page shows the amount of the payment. Q. We don't have that handy right now, so perhaps we'll take that up later if appropriate. What I'd like to do now, next,	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:05PM 3 02:39:05PM 5 02:39:15PM 6 02:39:15PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:23PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 17 02:39:35PM 18 02:39:35PM 18 02:39:35PM 19 02:39:30	 A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system as a permanent depository for tribal funds. It's not specifically authorized. Q. Let's take a look next at page three of this document. Now we've got highlighting within the highlighting. Please explain to the Court what this means, Dr. Angel. A. This audit involved funds belonging to tribes, or I should
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:31PM 6 02:35:31PM 8 02:35:41PM 9 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 10 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 12 02:36:13PM 15 02:36:13PM 15 02:36:21PM 16 02:36:23PM 17 02:36:23PM 19 02:36:39PM 20 02:36:43PM 20 02:36:43PM 20 02:36:43PM 21	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under the Osage agency, I should say. Q. Thank you. Let move from Osage and talk about tribal IIM. A. I'm sorry. I think there was a page that may have been overlooked. The page shows the amount of the payment. Q. We don't have that handy right now, so perhaps we'll take that up later if appropriate. What I'd like to do now, next, Dr. Angel, is show you if I could or ask you more generally	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:05PM 3 02:39:05PM 4 02:39:15PM 5 02:39:15PM 6 02:39:15PM 7 02:39:23PM 8 02:39:24PM 9 02:39:35PM 10 02:39:35PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 17 02:39:35PM 18 02:39:42PM 18 02:39:42PM 19 02:40:14PM 19 02:40:03PM 19 02:40:14PM 19 02:40:14PM 20 02:40:14PM 21	A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system as a permanent depository for tribal funds. It's not specifically authorized. Q. Let's take a look next at page three of this document. Now we've got highlighting within the highlighting. Please explain to the Court what this means, Dr. Angel. A. This audit involved funds belonging to tribes, or I should say included funds belonging to tribes or tribal groups, and
0236:14PM 2 0236:26PM 4 0236:36PM 5 0236:31PM 6 0236:31PM 8 0235:34PM 9 0235:44PM 9 0235:44PM 10 0236:44PM 11 0236:54PM 14 0236:14PM 15 0236:21PM 16 0236:21PM 16 0236:21PM 17 0236:24PM 19 0236:44PM 20 0236:44PM 21 0236:54PM 21	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under the Osage agency, I should say. Q. Thank you. Let move from Osage and talk about tribal IIM. A. I'm sorry. I think there was a page that may have been overlooked. The page shows the amount of the payment. Q. We don't have that handy right now, so perhaps we'll take that up later if appropriate. What I'd like to do now, next, Dr. Angel, is show you if I could or ask you more generally about tribal IIM.	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:05PM 3 02:39:05PM 4 02:39:16PM 5 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 9 02:39:24PM 9 02:39:24PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 14 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 17 02:39:35PM 18 02:39:42PM 19 02:39:42PM 19 02:39:42PM 20 02:39:42PM 20 02:40:14PM 20 02:40:14PM 20 02:40:14PM 20 02:40:24PM 21 02:40:24PM 22 02:40:24PM 23 02:40:24PM 23	A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system as a permanent depository for tribal funds. It's not specifically authorized. Q. Let's take a look next at page three of this document. Now we've got highlighting within the highlighting. Please explain to the Court what this means, Dr. Angel. A. This audit involved funds belonging to tribes, or I should say included funds belonging to tribes or tribal groups, and they say it's seven of the locations say visited. They
02:35:14PM 2 02:35:20PM 3 02:35:20PM 4 02:35:30PM 5 02:35:30PM 6 02:35:31PM 8 02:35:41PM 9 02:35:44PM 9 02:35:44PM 11 02:35:54PM 11 02:35:54PM 12 02:36:01PM 13 02:36:11PM 14 02:36:13PM 15 02:36:21PM 16 02:36:21PM 17 02:36:23PM 19 02:36:44PM 20 02:36:44PM 21 02:36:52PM 21 02:36:52PM 22 02:36:58PM 23 02:37:04PM 24	was significantly less than 2,229. Also prior to 1908 we know from criticisms in the commissioner of Indian Affairs reports that at times much of the money was paid directly to individual Osage Indians rather than going through the individual Indian money account system. Q. Let me show you, Dr. Angel, DX 484. Do you recognize that? A. Yes, I do. It's the annual report of the commissioner of Indian affairs for 1889. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two on that document, please, and if Matthew could enlarge the highlighted portion, please. This section is cash payments to Indians, and it talks about payments made during the fiscal year to fulfill treaty stipulations and the like. And page three, please. Enlarge the highlighted portion, please. A. This shows on page three there were at this time almost 1,500 Osage Indians, 1,496 Indians at the Osage agency. Under the Osage agency, I should say. Q. Thank you. Let move from Osage and talk about tribal IIM. A. I'm sorry. I think there was a page that may have been overlooked. The page shows the amount of the payment. Q. We don't have that handy right now, so perhaps we'll take that up later if appropriate. What I'd like to do now, next, Dr. Angel, is show you if I could or ask you more generally about tribal IIM.	02:38:55PM 2 02:39:05PM 3 02:39:05PM 4 02:39:16PM 5 02:39:16PM 7 02:39:23PM 9 02:39:24PM 9 02:39:24PM 11 02:39:35PM 12 02:39:35PM 14 02:39:35PM 15 02:39:35PM 17 02:39:35PM 18 02:39:42PM 19 02:39:42PM 19 02:39:42PM 20 02:39:42PM 20 02:40:14PM 20 02:40:14PM 20 02:40:14PM 20 02:40:24PM 21 02:40:24PM 22 02:40:24PM 23 02:40:24PM 23	A. Well, this is the table itself is entitled Data on Reservation, Individual Indian Money Accounts. Q. Next Dr. Angel let me show you DX 76, please. Do you recognize this? A. Yes, I do. It's an audit report by the United States Department of the Interior, Office of Survey and Review. It's dated August 1972. Q. And I'll ask that you be shown page two of this document, and enlarge the highlighted portions, please. A. Okay. Q. Now, vis-a-vis tribal IIM, how was this relevant, Dr. Angel? A. This report examines, among other things, tribal accounts that these particular agencies, and as you see, the very first point is that it's not specifically authorized using the system as a permanent depository for tribal funds. It's not specifically authorized. Q. Let's take a look next at page three of this document. Now we've got highlighting within the highlighting. Please explain to the Court what this means, Dr. Angel. A. This audit involved funds belonging to tribes, or I should say included funds belonging to tribes or tribal groups, and they say it's seven of the locations say visited. They identified 110 accounts maintained for the benefit of tribes or

	820	1	822
02:40:36PM 1	tribal organizations. You'll see that to continue on within the	02:43:53PM 1	expertise that he quite properly claims.
02:40:44PM 2	category of liabilities applicable to individual tribes and	02:43:57PM 2	BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:
02:40:47PM 3	other groups these accounts represented only about one percent	02:43:57PM 3	Q. Let me show you then, Dr. Angel, Plaintiffs' exhibits,
02:40:51PM 4	of the total number of accounts but their balances totaled	02:44:01PM 4	which we have, 45 through 50. I'll ask that this be enlarged
02:40:56PM 5	approximately 4.6 billion 4.6 million as of December 31st,	02:44:09PM 5	enough so that you can see those. Now I'd ask Matthew to cursor
02:41:04PM 6	1971. This 4.6 million constituted about 40 percent of the 11.4	02:44:16PM 6	through those, please, and if you see anything, Dr. Angel,
02:41:11PM 7	million total balance under this individual account category.	02:44:30PM 7	regarding tribal IIM or unallotted lands, would you please bring
02:41:16PM 8	Q. In light of this document and the one we just showed you,	02:44:39PM 8	those to the Court's attention?
02:41:20PM 9	as a historian what is your opinion then of Plaintiffs'	02:44:40PM 9	A. Certainly. In reviewing Plaintiffs' calculations, what we
02:41:24PM 10	treatment of tribal IIM?	02:44:47PM 10	attempted to do, your Honor, was to look at the source materials
02:41:25PM 11	A. Tribal IIM is part of monies that entered the IIM system.	02:44:53PM 11	that they used and make sure that looking at the source
02:41:31PM 12	Q. Is it distinguishable from IIM identified for individual	02:44:57PM 12	materials they used that we could replicate their figures, okay.
02:41:35PM 13	Indians?	02:45:01PM 13	That is, by looking at such issues as what's on the screen right
02:41:36PM 14	A. It would take an accounting to segregate those funds. The	02:45:06PM 14	now, land lease for mining purposes. Could we show the other
02:41:49PM 15	1952 report, for example, broke it out, but tribal IIM does	02:45:11PM 15	pages as well, please? Use of glazing lands belonging to
02:41:55PM 16	represent money in the ITM system that is separate from monies	02:45:19PM 16	Indians.
02:42:00PM 17	that are paid to individual Indian accountholders.	02:45:20PM 17	Please go back.
02:42:04PM 18	Q. So how then would Plaintiffs' inclusion of tribal IIM	02:45:21PM 18	Agricultural lands belonging to Indians, and the first
02:42:12PM 19	affect their calculation of alleged benefit to the government?	02:45:25PM 19	one I believe involved land sales. I'm sorry. It's toward the
02:42:15PM 20 02:42:18PM 21	A. Well, it would make the total amount received and disbursed	02:45:32PM 20	bottom of the page. This involves totals for land sales, so
02:42:18PM 21	higher.	02:45:37PM 21	what we did was we took the total from each of these categories,
00	Q. Thank you. I'll ask that that be removed from your screen	00	so, for example, for 1915 we took proceeds for noncompetent
02:42:23PM 23	now. Dr. Angel, earlier today you testified about four	02:45:55PM 23	sales, proceeds for inherited land sales. We took the totals all the way throughout and we add them up and by so doing we
02:42:23PM 2.4	specific years, I believe, where actual receipt and disbursement	02:45:59PM 25	were able to get the same figure that Plaintiffs give on their
02.4227777	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR	02.40.00 111	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
			
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	Official Court Reporter 821		Official Court Reporter 823
02:42:33PM 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	02:46:02PM 1	·
02:42:33PM 1 02:42:34PM 2	821	02:46:02PM 1 02:46:10PM 2	823
_	821 numbers exist. Do you recall that?		823 Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their
02:42:34PM 2	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do.	02:46:10PM 2	823 Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning
02:42:34PM 2 02:42:34PM 3	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please?	02:46:10PM	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that
02:42:34PM 2 02:42:34PM 3 02:42:36PM 4 02:42:40PM 5 02:42:44PM 6	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years?	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:31PM 6	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system.
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:21PM 5 02:46:31PM 6 02:46:35PM 7	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel?
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:35PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 8	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments.
02:42:34PM 2 02:42:34PM 3 02:42:36PM 4 02:42:40PM 5 02:42:44PM 6 02:42:45PM 7 02:42:49PM 8 02:42:53PM 9	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures.	02:46:15PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:31PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 8 02:46:36PM 9	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best
02:42:34PM 2 02:42:34PM 3 02:42:35PM 4 02:42:40PM 5 02:42:44PM 6 02:42:45PM 7 02:42:45PM 8 02:42:45PM 9 02:42:53PM 10	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach?	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 8 02:46:45PM 9 02:46:45PM 10	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table.
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:35PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 8 02:46:45PM 10 02:46:45PM 11	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel?
02:42:34PM 2 02:42:34PM 3 02:42:35PM 4 02:42:40PM 5 02:42:44PM 6 02:42:45PM 7 02:42:45PM 8 02:42:45PM 9 02:42:53PM 10	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach?	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 8 02:46:45PM 9 02:46:45PM 10	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was,	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:35PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 8 02:46:45PM 10 02:46:54PM 11 02:46:54PM 11	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel?
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:31PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 9 02:46:35PM 10 02:46:55PM 11 02:46:55PM 12 02:47:02PM 13	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case.	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:35PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 8 02:46:35PM 10 02:46:54PM 11 02:46:54PM 11 02:46:54PM 12 02:47:07PM 13	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and
02:42:34PM 3 02:42:36PM 4 02:42:46PM 5 02:42:46PM 6 02:42:45PM 7 02:42:45PM 8 02:42:45PM 9 02:42:53PM 10 02:42:57PM 11 02:43:00PM 12 02:43:03PM 13 02:43:03PM 14 02:43:13PM 15	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar with Plaintiffs' use of data for those particular years?	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:35PM 6 02:46:35PM 8 02:46:35PM 10 02:46:54PM 11 02:46:54PM 12 02:47:07PM 14 02:47:07PM 14	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the total, the 1,346,000 figure, and they've used that as opposed to
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. You've at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar with Plaintiffs' use of data for those particular years? A. I am. I reviewed that.	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:38PM 6 02:46:38PM 9 02:46:38PM 10 02:46:54PM 11 02:46:54PM 12 02:47:07PM 13 02:47:11PM 15 02:47:21PM 16	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the total, the 1,346,000 figure, and they've used that as opposed to the allotted income. Now, many Indian reservations were never
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar with Plaintiffs' use of data for those particular years? A. I am. I reviewed that. Q. And what is your view regarding their calculations for that	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:21PM 5 02:46:31PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 10 02:46:40PM 9 02:46:40PM 11 02:46:54PM 11 02:46:54PM 12 02:47:02PM 13 02:47:02PM 14 02:47:21PM 15	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the total, the 1,346,000 figure, and they've used that as opposed to the allotted income. Now, many Indian reservations were never allotted. In fact, by 1935 the end of the allotment period
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar with Plaintiffs' use of data for those particular years? A. I am. I reviewed that. Q. And what is your view regarding their calculations for that time period?	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:35PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 10 02:46:54PM 11 02:46:54PM 12 02:47:07PM 14 02:47:17PM 15 02:47:27PM 16 02:47:27PM 17	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the total, the 1,346,000 figure, and they've used that as opposed to the allotted income. Now, many Indian reservations were never allotted. In fact, by 1935 the end of the allotment period which ended actually in 1934, of the 213 reservations only 118
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar with Plaintiffs' use of data for those particular years? A. I am. I reviewed that. Q. And what is your view regarding their calculations for that time period? A. My view	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:35PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 10 02:46:35PM 11 02:46:54PM 11 02:46:54PM 12 02:47:35PM 14 02:47:35PM 15 02:47:35PM 16 02:47:35PM 17 02:47:35PM 18	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the total, the 1,346,000 figure, and they've used that as opposed to the allotted income. Now, many Indian reservations were never allotted. In fact, by 1935 the end of the allotment period which ended actually in 1934, of the 213 reservations only 118 had been allotted.
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar with Plaintiffs' use of data for those particular years? A. I am. I reviewed that. Q. And what is your view regarding their calculations for that time period? A. My view THE COURT: Counsel, I know history is a very broad	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:38PM 6 02:46:38PM 7 02:46:38PM 10 02:46:38PM 11 02:46:58PM 12 02:47:02PM 13 02:47:02PM 14 02:47:11PM 15 02:47:27PM 16 02:47:35PM 17 02:47:35PM 18 02:47:35PM 18	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the total, the 1,346,000 figure, and they've used that as opposed to the allotted income. Now, many Indian reservations were never allotted. In fact, by 1935 the end of the allotment period which ended actually in 1934, of the 213 reservations only 118 had been allotted. Q. Dr. Angel, what's the difference then between allotted and
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar with Plaintiffs' use of data for those particular years? A. I am. I reviewed that. Q. And what is your view regarding their calculations for that time period? A. My view THE COURT: Counsel, I know history is a very broad discipline, but you've got this man as a historian basically	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:21PM 5 02:46:31PM 6 02:46:35PM 7 02:46:35PM 10 02:46:40PM 11 02:46:54PM 11 02:46:54PM 12 02:47:02PM 13 02:47:02PM 15 02:47:21PM 16 02:47:21PM 16 02:47:23PM 18 02:47:43PM 19 02:47:43PM 19	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the total, the 1,346,000 figure, and they've used that as opposed to the allotted income. Now, many Indian reservations were never allotted. In fact, by 1935 the end of the allotment period which ended actually in 1934, of the 213 reservations only 118 had been allotted. Q. Dr. Angel, what's the difference then between allotted and unallotted?
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar with Plaintiffs' use of data for those particular years? A. I am. I reviewed that. Q. And what is your view regarding their calculations for that time period? A. My view THE COURT: Counsel, I know history is a very broad discipline, but you've got this man as a historian basically answering what sound to me more like accounting questions. I	02-46-10PM 2 02-46-15PM 3 02-46-21PM 4 02-46-21PM 5 02-46-31PM 6 02-46-38PM 7 02-46-38PM 10 02-46-38PM 11 02-46-54PM 12 02-47-35PM 14 02-47-35PM 15 02-47-35PM 18 02-47-35PM 19 02-47-35PM 20 02-47-35PM 20 02-47-35PM 21 02-47-35PM 21	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the total, the 1,346,000 figure, and they've used that as opposed to the allotted income. Now, many Indian reservations were never allotted. In fact, by 1935 the end of the allotment period which ended actually in 1934, of the 213 reservations only 118 had been allotted. Q. Dr. Angel, what's the difference then between allotted and unallotted? A. Well, allotted lands are, generally speaking, what generate revenues to allottees. Unallotted lands typically are travel lands.
02:42:34PM	numbers exist. Do you recall that? A. I do. Q. And again what were those years, please? A. 1909, 1910, 1911, and 1955. Q. Dr. Angel, are you aware of how Plaintiffs used numbers for those four years? A. My understanding, well, my review is that they included the receipts figures for those years but not the disbursement figures. Q. What is your view as a historian on that approach? A. Essentially if you're going to use one you've got to use both. Youve at least got to show what the second number was, the disbursement figure in this case. Q. Now, what about the years 1915 to 1920, are you familiar with Plaintiffs' use of data for those particular years? A. I am. I reviewed that. Q. And what is your view regarding their calculations for that time period? A. My view THE COURT: Counsel, I know history is a very broad discipline, but you've got this man as a historian basically answering what sound to me more like accounting questions. I mean, what does he think as a historian of adding receipts but	02:46:10PM 2 02:46:15PM 3 02:46:21PM 4 02:46:27PM 5 02:46:38PM 6 02:46:38PM 7 02:46:38PM 10 02:46:38PM 11 02:46:58PM 12 02:47:32PM 13 02:47:11PM 15 02:47:35PM 16 02:47:35PM 18 02:47:43PM 19 02:47:43PM 20 02:47:43PM 20 02:47:43PM 21 02:47:43PM 21	Schedule A. We then went back through their chart and their supporting exhibits and we came to some conclusions concerning the way they had used these. First of all, it appears that they've used revenues as synonymous with receipts, which they aren't because in certain instances we know monies are paid directly to individual Indians rather than hitting the system. Q. What is that sometimes referred to as, Dr. Angel? A. Direct payments. Another area that we questioned would probably be best shown in the glazing table. Q. What's significant about this page, Dr. Angel? A. You'll notice that on this page there are the table is broken into revenues for allotted lands and unallotted lands and then totaled, and what Plaintiffs have done is they've taken the total, the 1,346,000 figure, and they've used that as opposed to the allotted income. Now, many Indian reservations were never allotted. In fact, by 1935 the end of the allotment period which ended actually in 1934, of the 213 reservations only 118 had been allotted. Q. Dr. Angel, what's the difference then between allotted and unallotted? A. Well, allotted lands are, generally speaking, what generate revenues to allottees. Unallotted lands typically are travel

Official Court Reporter

Official Court Reporter

	824		826
1	time, unless the Court is still looking at it.	02:51:17PM 1	disbursement information. And third, there are problems with
02:48:01PM I 02:48:04PM 2	Dr. Angel, you testified earlier that you had reviewed	02:51:17PM 2	Plaintiffs' calculations.
02:48:13PM 3	Plaintiffs' filings. Are you familiar with their 73-page	02:51:22PM 2	Q. Thank you, Dr. Angel.
02:48:16PM 4	historical compilation of comments from various reports	02:51:25PM 4	Your Honor, I have no further questions.
02:48:20PM 5	regarding the reliability of government data?	02:51:28PM 5	I would like to move the admission of several
02:48:22PM 6	A. Yes, I am.	02:51:30PM 6	exhibits. Although we have several from October previously
02:48:22PM 7	Q. And from your research what is your view of the reliability	02:51:34PM 7	admitted, we do have some additional ones.
02:48:25PM 8	of government data?	02:51:36PM 8	THE COURT: Go ahead.
02:48:27PM 9	A. First of all, let me	02:51:40PM 9	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: They are DX 483, Dr. Angel's total
02:48:31PM 10	MR. SMITH: Objection again. I'm not sure a historian	02:51:44PM 10	IIM chart, DX 484, the 1889 commissioner's report containing the
02:48:34PM 11	can testify about the reliability of government data.	02:51:49PM 11	Osage number, DX 485, 486, and 487, the examples of the buckets
02:48:37PM 12	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: If he can't talk about how	02:52:04PM 12	that Dr. Angel had testified regarding. DXs 488, 489, and 490.
02:48:39PM 13	reliable one source is, your Honor, what can a historian testify	02:52:16PM 13	Those are respectively the statement of funded checking account,
02:48:42PM 14	to?	02:52:20PM 14	the statement of accountability, and the statement of
02:48:43PM 15	THE COURT: Well, let's see. You can talk about the	02:52:23PM 15	transactions. Finally, your Honor, we would like to move the
02:48:46PM 16	civilian conservation core. He can talk about let me go back	02:52:28PM 16	admission of all of the DX 300s and 400s on Dr. Angel's total
02:48:52PM 17	and review this question.	02:52:34PM 17	IIM chart. As he testified, these are the new or complete
02:49:03PM 18	What is your view of the reliability of government	02:52:40PM 18	source documents which form the basis of his total IIM chart. I
02:49:05PM 19	data? That's a huge question.	02:52:46PM 19	have them by number if you'd like, but they are the 300s and
02:49:09PM 20	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Limit it to what he's looked at so	02:52:50PM 20	400s contained on his chart, which is DX 483. We ask that they
02:49:12PM 21	far, your Honor, with regard to these records. Isn't that what	02:52:57PM 21	be received in evidence at this time.
02:49:15PM 22	he testified?	02:52:59PM 22	THE COURT: All right. Any objection? Hearing none,
02:49:16PM 23	THE COURT: I'll hear his huge answer to that huge	02:53:06PM 23	it will be received.
02:49:18PM 24	question and deny the objection and accord the answer such	02:53:07PM 24	(Defendants' Exhibit Nos. DX 483-DX 490, and
02:49:24PM 25	weight as I think it deserves.	02:53:07PM 25	all DX 300s and DX 400s received into evidence at
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	825		827
02:49:26PM 1	825 Go ahead.	02:53:09PM 1	827 about 2:53 p.m.)
02:49:29PM 2	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:	02:53:09PM 2	827 about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor.
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel?	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 3	827 about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION
02:49:29PM 2	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI:	02:53:09PM 2	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH:
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes.	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 3 02:53:15PM 4	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH:
02:49:30PM	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian	02:53:09PM	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:38PM 6	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them?	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 3 02:53:15PM 4 02:53:15PM 5 02:53:15PM 6	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct?
02:49:39PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:38PM 6 02:49:38PM 7	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 3 02:53:15PM 4 02:53:15PM 5 02:53:19PM 6 02:53:22PM 7	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith.
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:32PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:34PM 8	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 3 02:53:15PM 4 02:53:15PM 5 02:53:15PM 6 02:53:22PM 7 02:53:22PM 8	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:38PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:34PM 8 02:49:34PM 9	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 3 02:53:15PM 4 02:53:15PM 5 02:53:15PM 6 02:53:22PM 7 02:53:23PM 8 02:53:23PM 9	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997?
02-49-39PM 2 02-49-30PM 3 02-49-32PM 4 02-49-32PM 5 02-49-38PM 6 02-49-38PM 7 02-49-38PM 8 02-49-34PM 8 02-49-49PM 9 02-49-54PM 10	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports,	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 3 02:53:15PM 4 02:53:15PM 5 02:53:19PM 6 02:53:22PM 7 02:53:22PM 8 02:53:23PM 9 02:53:23PM 10	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct.
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:38PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:49PM 8 02:49:49PM 9 02:49:54PM 10 02:49:54PM 11	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with IIM over the course of the	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 3 02:53:15PM 4 02:53:15PM 5 02:53:15PM 6 02:53:22PM 7 02:53:22PM 8 02:53:23PM 10 02:53:30PM 10	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:32PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:44PM 8 02:49:44PM 9 02:49:54PM 10 02:49:54PM 11 02:50:03PM 12	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with IIM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 3 02:53:15PM 4 02:53:15PM 5 02:53:15PM 6 02:53:22PM 7 02:53:23PM 8 02:53:23PM 10 02:53:30PM 11 02:53:35PM 11	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:32PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:44PM 8 02:49:44PM 9 02:49:54PM 10 02:49:54PM 11 02:50:03PM 12 02:50:17PM 13 02:50:17PM 14 02:50:22PM 15	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with IIM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data	02.53.09PM 2 02.53.09PM 3 02.53.15PM 4 02.53.15PM 5 02.53.15PM 6 02.53.22PM 7 02.53.23PM 8 02.53.23PM 10 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.35PM 12 02.53.37PM 13 02.53.37PM 14	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true?
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:32PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:49PM 9 02:49:54PM 10 02:49:54PM 11 02:50:03PM 12 02:50:17PM 13 02:50:17PM 14 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:22PM 16	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with IIM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual Indian monies.	02.53.09PM 2 02.53.09PM 3 02.53.15PM 4 02.53.15PM 5 02.53.15PM 6 02.53.23PM 7 02.53.23PM 9 02.53.23PM 10 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.35PM 12 02.53.35PM 13 02.53.35PM 14 02.53.35PM 15 02.53.38PM 15	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes.
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:32PM 6 02:49:33PM 7 02:49:49PM 9 02:49:49PM 10 02:49:59PM 11 02:50:33PM 12 02:50:11PM 13 02:50:17PM 14 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:23PM 16 02:50:30PM 17	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with ITM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual Indian monies. Q. If we were to conclude your testimony in summary fashion then, Dr. Angel, what points would you leave the Court with? A. One point that I'd leave the Court with is that there isn't	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 4 02:53:15PM 5 02:53:15PM 6 02:53:15PM 6 02:53:23PM 8 02:53:23PM 10 02:53:23PM 11 02:53:33PM 12 02:53:37PM 13 02:53:37PM 14 02:53:34PM 15 02:53:42PM 16	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a number of forms that you said you would like to look at, and the first one was form 1189, which was in the ISSDA monthly report. Do you recall that? Did I get the
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:32PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:44PM 8 02:49:44PM 10 02:49:54PM 11 02:50:33PM 12 02:50:11PM 13 02:50:17PM 14 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:30PM 17 02:50:30PM 17	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with IIM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual Indian monies. Q. If we were to conclude your testimony in summary fashion then, Dr. Angel, what points would you leave the Court with? A. One point that I'd leave the Court with is that there isn't a great deal of receipt and disbursement documentation	02.53.09PM 2 02.53.09PM 3 02.53.15PM 4 02.53.15PM 5 02.53.15PM 6 02.53.22PM 7 02.53.23PM 8 02.53.23PM 10 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.35PM 12 02.53.37PM 14 02.53.37PM 15 02.53.37PM 15 02.53.37PM 17	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a number of forms that you said you would like to look at, and the first one was form 1189, which was in the ISSDA monthly report. Do you recall that? Did I get the form number right?
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:38PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:44PM 8 02:49:44PM 9 02:49:54PM 10 02:49:54PM 11 02:50:3PM 12 02:50:17PM 13 02:50:17PM 14 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:30PM 17 02:50:30PM 17 02:50:30PM 18 02:50:33PM 18	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with ITM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual Indian monies. Q. If we were to conclude your testimony in summary fashion then, Dr. Angel, what points would you leave the Court with? A. One point that I'd leave the Court with is that there isn't a great deal of receipt and disbursement documentation concerning monies into and out of the ITM system. We've looked	02.53.09PM 2 02.53.09PM 3 02.53.15PM 4 02.53.15PM 5 02.53.15PM 6 02.53.23PM 7 02.53.23PM 9 02.53.23PM 10 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.30PM 12 02.53.35PM 14 02.53.35PM 15 02.53.37PM 15 02.53.37PM 16 02.53.37PM 17 02.53.51PM 18	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a number of forms that you said you would like to look at, and the first one was form 1189, which was in the ISSDA monthly report. Do you recall that? Did I get the form number right? A. Could you tell me the name? Is it the statement of funded
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:32PM 6 02:49:33PM 7 02:49:34PM 9 02:49:44PM 10 02:49:54PM 11 02:50:03PM 12 02:50:11PM 13 02:50:17PM 14 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:23PM 17 02:50:35PM 17 02:50:35PM 18 02:50:43PM 19 02:50:43PM 20	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with ITM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual Indian monies. Q. If we were to conclude your testimony in summary fashion then, Dr. Angel, what points would you leave the Court with? A. One point that I'd leave the Court with is that there isn't a great deal of receipt and disbursement documentation concerning monies into and out of the ITM system. We've looked and I think we've given it a good shot and I think that	02.53.09PM 2 02.53.09PM 3 02.53.15PM 4 02.53.15PM 5 02.53.15PM 6 02.53.23PM 7 02.53.23PM 10 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.30PM 12 02.53.35PM 14 02.53.35PM 15 02.53.42PM 16 02.53.42PM 17 02.53.42PM 17 02.53.52PM 18 02.53.52PM 19	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a number of forms that you said you would like to look at, and the first one was form 1189, which was in the ISSDA monthly report. Do you recall that? Did I get the form number right? A. Could you tell me the name? Is it the statement of funded checking account?
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:32PM 6 02:49:33PM 7 02:49:49PM 9 02:49:49PM 10 02:49:59PM 11 02:50:31PM 13 02:50:11PM 13 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:25PM 16 02:50:35PM 17 02:50:35PM 18 02:50:43PM 19 02:50:43PM 20 02:50:43PM 20	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with IIM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual Indian monies. Q. If we were to conclude your testimony in summary fashion then, Dr. Angel, what points would you leave the Court with? A. One point that I'd leave the Court with is that there isn't a great deal of receipt and disbursement documentation concerning monies into and out of the IIM system. We've looked and I think we've given it a good shot and I think that aggregated material is very, very hard to find. Secondly, there	02:53:09PM 2 02:53:09PM 4 02:53:15PM 5 02:53:15PM 6 02:53:15PM 6 02:53:23PM 9 02:53:23PM 10 02:53:23PM 11 02:53:33PM 12 02:53:37PM 13 02:53:37PM 15 02:53:37PM 16 02:53:42PM 17 02:53:57PM 18 02:53:57PM 19 02:53:57PM 20 02:53:57PM 20	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a number of forms that you said you would like to look at, and the first one was form 1189, which was in the ISSDA monthly report. Do you recall that? Did I get the form number right? A. Could you tell me the name? Is it the statement of funded checking account? Q. Checking accounts, exactly.
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:32PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:44PM 8 02:49:44PM 10 02:49:54PM 10 02:49:54PM 11 02:50:35PM 12 02:50:17PM 13 02:50:17PM 14 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:35PM 16 02:50:35PM 17 02:50:35PM 18 02:50:43PM 19 02:50:43PM 20 02:50:52PM 21	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with IIM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual I ndian monies. Q. If we were to conclude your testimony in summary fashion then, Dr. Angel, what points would you leave the Court with? A. One point that I'd leave the Court with is that there isn't a great deal of receipt and disbursement documentation concerning monies into and out of the IIM system. We've looked and I think we've given it a good shot and I think that aggregated material is very, very hard to find. Secondly, there are definitely problems with the IIM system. There are problems	02.53.09PM 2 02.53.09PM 3 02.53.15PM 4 02.53.15PM 5 02.53.15PM 6 02.53.23PM 8 02.53.23PM 10 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.30PM 12 02.53.35PM 14 02.53.35PM 15 02.53.35PM 16 02.53.35PM 17 02.53.51PM 18 02.53.52PM 19 02.53.53PM 20 02.53.58PM 21	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a number of forms that you said you would like to look at, and the first one was form 1189, which was in the ISSDA monthly report. Do you recall that? Did I get the form number right? A. Could you tell me the name? Is it the statement of funded checking account? Q. Checking accounts, exactly. A. Yes, uh-huh, yeah, that was one. You know, just to fill
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:33PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:49PM 9 02:49:49PM 10 02:49:59PM 11 02:50:30PM 12 02:50:11PM 13 02:50:17PM 14 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:24PM 17 02:50:35PM 17 02:50:35PM 18 02:50:48PM 20 02:50:58PM 21 02:50:58PM 21 02:50:58PM 22 02:51:02PM 23	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with ITM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual Indian monies. Q. If we were to conclude your testimony in summary fashion then, Dr. Angel, what points would you leave the Court with? A. One point that I'd leave the Court with is that there isn't a great deal of receipt and disbursement documentation concerning monies into and out of the ITM system. We've looked and I think we've given it a good shot and I think that aggregated material is very, very hard to find. Secondly, there are definitely problems with the ITM system. There are problems that are revealed by the audit reports themselves, but generally	02.53.09PM 2 02.53.09PM 3 02.53.15PM 4 02.53.15PM 5 02.53.15PM 6 02.53.23PM 7 02.53.23PM 10 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.30PM 12 02.53.33PM 14 02.53.35PM 15 02.53.37PM 16 02.53.37PM 17 02.53.35PM 17 02.53.51PM 18 02.53.52PM 19 02.53.52PM 20 02.53.59PM 21 02.53.59PM 21 02.53.59PM 22	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a number of forms that you said you would like to look at, and the first one was form 1189, which was in the ISSDA monthly report. Do you recall that? Did I get the form number right? A. Could you tell me the name? Is it the statement of funded checking account? Q. Checking accounts, exactly. A. Yes, uh-huh, yeah, that was one. You know, just to fill that answer out, we would have liked to have turned those over
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 4 02:49:30PM 5 02:49:33PM 6 02:49:33PM 7 02:49:43PM 9 02:49:43PM 10 02:49:59PM 11 02:50:30PM 12 02:50:11PM 13 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:22PM 16 02:50:35PM 17 02:50:35PM 18 02:50:43PM 19 02:50:43PM 20 02:50:52PM 21 02:50:53PM 22 02:51:02PM 23 02:51:02PM 23	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with IIM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual I ndian monies. Q. If we were to conclude your testimony in summary fashion then, Dr. Angel, what points would you leave the Court with? A. One point that I'd leave the Court with is that there isn't a great deal of receipt and disbursement documentation concerning monies into and out of the IIM system. We've looked and I think we've given it a good shot and I think that aggregated material is very, very hard to find. Secondly, there are definitely problems with the IIM system. There are problems that are revealed by the audit reports themselves, but generally speaking, as we've looked at, as we've conducted projects	02.53.09PM 2 02.53.09PM 3 02.53.15PM 4 02.53.15PM 5 02.53.15PM 6 02.53.23PM 7 02.53.23PM 10 02.53.23PM 11 02.53.35PM 12 02.53.35PM 15 02.53.35PM 15 02.53.35PM 15 02.53.35PM 16 02.53.42PM 17 02.53.53PM 17 02.53.53PM 18 02.53.53PM 19 02.53.53PM 20 02.53.53PM 20 02.53.53PM 21	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a number of forms that you said you would like to look at, and the first one was form 1189, which was in the ISSDA monthly report. Do you recall that? Did I get the form number right? A. Could you tell me the name? Is it the statement of funded checking account? Q. Checking accounts, exactly. A. Yes, uh-huh, yeah, that was one. You know, just to fill that answer out, we would have liked to have turned those over to people, you know, more qualified than we are to put them
02:49:29PM 2 02:49:30PM 3 02:49:32PM 4 02:49:32PM 5 02:49:33PM 6 02:49:38PM 7 02:49:49PM 9 02:49:49PM 10 02:49:59PM 11 02:50:30PM 12 02:50:11PM 13 02:50:17PM 14 02:50:22PM 15 02:50:24PM 17 02:50:35PM 17 02:50:35PM 18 02:50:48PM 20 02:50:58PM 21 02:50:58PM 21 02:50:58PM 22 02:51:02PM 23	Go ahead. BY MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Q. Did you need the question repeated, Dr. Angel? A. Yes. Q. What's your view based on your review of these Indian records of the reliability of the data contained in them? A. Generally speaking, we know that first of all, let me preface my question, if I may. Many of the documents that are cited to in the 73-page compendium I believe came from my records collection, so I'm very well aware of the audit reports, the problems that were found with ITM over the course of the years. Generally speaking, despite this, I think that the records are reliable, that they do present us with our best data to understanding individual Indian monies. Q. If we were to conclude your testimony in summary fashion then, Dr. Angel, what points would you leave the Court with? A. One point that I'd leave the Court with is that there isn't a great deal of receipt and disbursement documentation concerning monies into and out of the ITM system. We've looked and I think we've given it a good shot and I think that aggregated material is very, very hard to find. Secondly, there are definitely problems with the ITM system. There are problems that are revealed by the audit reports themselves, but generally	02.53.09PM 2 02.53.09PM 3 02.53.15PM 4 02.53.15PM 5 02.53.15PM 6 02.53.23PM 7 02.53.23PM 10 02.53.30PM 11 02.53.30PM 12 02.53.33PM 14 02.53.35PM 15 02.53.37PM 16 02.53.37PM 17 02.53.35PM 17 02.53.51PM 18 02.53.52PM 19 02.53.52PM 20 02.53.59PM 21 02.53.59PM 21 02.53.59PM 22	about 2:53 p.m.) MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: Q. Dr. Angel, you have been personally involved in matters relating to this case since I believe 1999; is that correct? A. That's correct, Mr. Smith. Q. And your company, Morgan Angel, has been involved since I believe 1997? A. That's correct. Q. So for over ten years you or your company has been doing historical work for Interior or the justice department; is that true? A. Yes. Q. You mentioned a number of forms that you said you would like to look at, and the first one was form 1189, which was in the ISSDA monthly report. Do you recall that? Did I get the form number right? A. Could you tell me the name? Is it the statement of funded checking account? Q. Checking accounts, exactly. A. Yes, uh-huh, yeah, that was one. You know, just to fill that answer out, we would have liked to have turned those over

Official Court Reporter

Official Court Reporter

	828		830
02:54:14PM 1	receipt and disbursement information.	02:56:44PM 1	Q. Is it customary for you to prepare a written report in
02:54:16PM 2	Q. And when did you first become aware that there was a form	02:56:49PM 2	advance of testimony during litigation?
02:54:20PM 3	1189 or a monthly checking report?	02:56:51PM 3	A. Yes.
02:54:23PM 4	A. As we reviewed our document collection, looking for	02:56:55PM 4	Q. And have you prepared a written report in advance of your
02:54:28PM 5	anything that we might have already related to receipt and	02:56:59PM 5	testimony today?
02:54:31PM 6	disbursement information, subsequent to March 5.	02:57:00PM 6	A. No. Just the table.
02:54:34PM 7	Q. Okay. So after March 5 you learned of the existence of	02:57:04PM 7	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Relevance, your Honor. Objection.
02:54:38PM 8	this particular form?	02:57:06PM 8	THE COURT: Overruled.
02:54:39PM 9	A. We had already collected it but we had not looked at it in	02:57:08PM 9	BY MR. SMITH:
02:54:42PM 10	that in relation to receipt and disbursement. We selected it	02:57:08PM 10	Q. We've heard some discussions, your testimony about meetings
02:54:47PM 11	as an example.	02:57:11PM 11	you've had with FTI or meetings with Dr. Scheuren. Are any of
02:54:48PM 12	Q. And about what time would you have collected it, how many	02:57:20PM 12	those set forth in any documents that you prepared, any written
02:54:52PM 13	years ago?	02:57:25PM 13	materials other than the source documents themselves?
02:54:54PM 14	A. I could not say offhand. I could perhaps tell if I looked	02:57:27PM 14	A. No. At least not on my part, is what I'm saying, of
02:54:58PM 15	at the document, but I couldn't say offhand.	02:57:35PM 15	course.
02:55:00PM 16	Q. Okay. And would that be true of the other forms you	02:57:35PM 16	Q. Okay. And the discussions you've had, you've mentioned
02:55:03PM 17	mentioned, the statement of accountability and the statement of	02:57:40PM 17	discussions with Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren. Have you had
02:55:05PM 18	transactions, that you had them in your possession but weren't	02:57:45PM 18	discussions regarding your own investigation in preparation for
02:55:08PM 19	particularly aware of them until more recently?	02:57:47PM 19	testimony today?
02:55:10PM 20	A. That's correct.	02:57:48PM 20	A. Please repeat. I'm sorry.
02:55:11PM 21	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with a form, standard form 224,	02:57:49PM 21	Q. You've talked about discussions you've had with Ms. Herman
02:55:16PM 22	regarding transfers from the 14X6039 account to other accounts,	02:57:53PM 22	or Dr. Scheuren. Have you discussed your investigation with
02:55:22PM 23	have you ever heard of that form?	02:57:56PM 23	anybody else outside counsel regarding your conclusions?
02:55:23PM 24	A. I've heard of a standard form 224, yes.	02:58:00PM 24	A. In the course of our conversations there were other people
02:55:26PM 25	Q. And have you seen copies of that particular form?	02:58:08PM 25	present at Interior department, for example. As I discussed my
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	829		831
02:55:34PM 1	A. I don't think offhand.	02:58:14PM 1	findings?
02:55:34PM 1 02:55:35PM 2		02:58:14PM 1 02:58:15PM 2	
2	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. 	_	findings?
02:55:35PM 2	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4	findings? Q. Exactly.
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:37PM 3	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:37PM 3 02:55:45PM 4	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A. Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:31PM 6	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms.
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:37PM 3 02:55:45PM 4 02:55:45PM 5	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:37PM 3 02:55:45PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:51PM 6 02:55:56PM 7 02:56:01PM 8	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A. Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 8	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well.
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:55PM 7 02:56:01PM 8 02:56:01PM 9	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A. Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A. Personally, at least fifty. 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 8 02:58:40PM 9	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:54PM 7 02:55:56PM 7 02:56:01PM 8 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:03PM 10	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:33PM 7 02:58:35PM 8 02:58:34PM 9 02:58:44PM 10	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 3 02:55:45PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:56PM 7 02:56:01PM 8 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:03PM 10 02:56:03PM 11	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A. Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A. Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A. At least fifty. 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:27PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 8 02:58:35PM 9 02:58:44PM 10 02:58:44PM 11	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 7 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:03PM 10 02:56:03PM 11 02:56:03PM 11	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 8 02:58:40PM 9 02:58:44PM 10 02:58:49PM 11 02:58:53PM 12	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair?
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:51PM 6 02:55:51PM 9 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 11 02:56:05PM 11 02:56:05PM 11 02:56:15PM 13	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 8 02:58:40PM 9 02:58:44PM 10 02:58:45PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 13	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct.
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:55PM 7 02:55:01PM 8 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:05PM 10 02:56:05PM 11 02:56:05PM 12 02:56:05PM 12	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 8 02:58:44PM 10 02:58:49PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 13 02:58:53PM 14	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 7 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:03PM 10 02:56:03PM 11 02:56:15PM 12 02:56:15PM 13 02:56:22PM 14 02:56:23PM 15	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A. Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A. At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A Yes. 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 8 02:58:40PM 10 02:58:40PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 13 02:58:53PM 13	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 7 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 10 02:56:03PM 11 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:11PM 13 02:56:23PM 15 02:56:23PM 15	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A. Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 9 02:58:45PM 10 02:58:45PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 13 02:58:53PM 14 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:03PM 16	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct?
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 7 02:55:55PM 7 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 10 02:56:05PM 11 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:15PM 13 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:23PM 15 02:56:23PM 16 02:56:25PM 17	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:34PM 9 02:58:44PM 10 02:58:44PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 13 02:58:53PM 14 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:03PM 15 02:58:03PM 16 02:58:03PM 17	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes.
02:56:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:54PM 7 02:55:01PM 8 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:03PM 10 02:56:03PM 11 02:56:03PM 12 02:56:03PM 15 02:56:23PM 15 02:56:23PM 15 02:56:23PM 15 02:56:23PM 15 02:56:23PM 15	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? A Yes. 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 9 02:58:40PM 10 02:58:49PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 13 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:05PM 15 02:59:05PM 16 02:59:05PM 17 02:59:05PM 18	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And sometimes they would break it down between tribal and
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 7 02:55:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 10 02:56:03PM 11 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:23PM 15 02:56:23PM 15 02:56:23PM 16 02:56:23PM 17 02:56:23PM 18 02:56:23PM 18	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? A Yes. Q. And you have certainly reviewed all of the Morgan Angel 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 10 02:58:45PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 13 02:58:53PM 14 02:58:53PM 15 02:59:03PM 15 02:59:03PM 17 02:59:03PM 18 02:59:03PM 18	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And sometimes they would break it down between tribal and IIM and sometimes they wouldn't and they'd mix it all together;
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 7 02:55:55PM 7 02:55:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 10 02:56:01PM 11 02:56:15PM 12 02:56:15PM 13 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 16 02:56:25PM 16 02:56:25PM 17 02:56:25PM 18 02:56:25PM 19 02:56:25PM 19	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? A Yes. Q. And you have certainly reviewed all of the Morgan Angel reports prepared in preparation for this litigation; is that 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:33PM 7 02:58:35PM 10 02:58:44PM 10 02:58:45PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 14 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 16 02:58:05PM 16 02:59:05PM 18 02:59:05PM 18 02:59:14PM 19 02:59:14PM 19	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And sometimes they would break it down between tribal and IIM and sometimes they wouldn't and they'd mix it all together; is that correct? They'd have tribal and IIM as one group, is
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:55PM 7 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 10 02:56:01PM 11 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:15PM 13 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 16 02:56:25PM 17 02:56:25PM 18 02:56:25PM 19 02:56:35PM 20 02:56:35PM 20	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? A Yes. Q. And you have certainly reviewed all of the Morgan Angel reports prepared in preparation for this litigation; is that correct? 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 10 02:58:44PM 10 02:58:45PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 13 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:05PM 17 02:58:05PM 17 02:58:05PM 17 02:58:05PM 18 02:58:05PM 19 02:58:14PM 19 02:58:14PM 20 02:58:25PM 21	 findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And sometimes they would break it down between tribal and IIM and sometimes they wouldn't and they'd mix it all together; is that correct? They'd have tribal and IIM as one group, is that fair?
02:56:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 7 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 10 02:56:03PM 11 02:56:15PM 12 02:56:15PM 13 02:56:22PM 14 02:56:23PM 15 02:56:23PM 16 02:56:23PM 17 02:56:23PM 18 02:56:23PM 19 02:56:33PM 20 02:56:33PM 20 02:56:33PM 21	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A. Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? A Yes. Q. And you have certainly reviewed all of the Morgan Angel reports prepared in preparation for this litigation; is that correct? A Yes. 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 9 02:58:40PM 10 02:58:40PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 14 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:05PM 17 02:58:05PM 17 02:58:05PM 18 02:58:14PM 19 02:58:15PM 20 02:58:25PM 20 02:58:25PM 21	 G. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And sometimes they would break it down between tribal and IIM and sometimes they wouldn't and they'd mix it all together; is that correct? They'd have tribal and IIM as one group, is that fair? A. I think that would depend on which particular chart you're
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 7 02:55:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 10 02:56:01PM 11 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:11PM 15 02:56:23PM 16 02:56:23PM 16 02:56:23PM 17 02:56:23PM 18 02:56:23PM 19 02:56:35PM 20 02:56:37PM 21 02:56:37PM 21	 A I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? A Yes. Q. And you have certainly reviewed all of the Morgan Angel reports prepared in preparation for this litigation; is that correct? A Yes. Q. And you've testified about many of them in the past; is 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 10 02:58:45PM 11 02:58:45PM 12 02:58:53PM 14 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 16 02:58:03PM 17 02:58:03PM 17 02:58:03PM 18 02:59:03PM 19 02:59:14PM 19 02:59:14PM 19 02:59:25PM 21 02:59:23PM 22 02:59:23PM 22	 Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And sometimes they would break it down between tribal and IIM and sometimes they wouldn't and they'd mix it all together; is that correct? They'd have tribal and IIM as one group, is that fair? A. I think that would depend on which particular chart you're talking about, Mr. Smith.
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 9 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 11 02:56:01PM 12 02:56:05PM 13 02:56:05PM 14 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 16 02:56:25PM 16 02:56:25PM 17 02:56:25PM 18 02:56:25PM 19 02:56:35PM 20 02:56:35PM 20 02:56:35PM 21 02:56:35PM 22 02:56:35PM 22 02:56:35PM 22 02:56:35PM 22	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A. Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A. Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A. At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A. Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? A. Yes. Q. And you have certainly reviewed all of the Morgan Angel reports prepared in preparation for this litigation; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you've testified about many of them in the past; is that fair? 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:33PM 7 02:58:33PM 10 02:58:44PM 10 02:58:43PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 14 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 16 02:58:53PM 16 02:58:03PM 16 02:58:03PM 17 02:58:03PM 18 02:58:03PM 19 02:58:03PM 20 02:58:23PM 20 02:58:23PM 20 02:58:23PM 20 02:58:23PM 22 02:58:23PM 22	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And sometimes they would break it down between tribal and IIM and sometimes they wouldn't and they'd mix it all together; is that correct? They'd have tribal and IIM as one group, is that fair? A. I think that would depend on which particular chart you're talking about, Mr. Smith. Q. Okay.
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 7 02:55:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 10 02:56:01PM 11 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:11PM 12 02:56:11PM 15 02:56:23PM 16 02:56:23PM 16 02:56:23PM 17 02:56:23PM 18 02:56:23PM 19 02:56:35PM 20 02:56:37PM 21 02:56:37PM 21	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A. Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A. Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A. At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A. Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? A. Yes. Q. And you have certainly reviewed all of the Morgan Angel reports prepared in preparation for this litigation; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you've testified about many of them in the past; is that fair? A. Yes. 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:25PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:35PM 7 02:58:35PM 10 02:58:45PM 11 02:58:45PM 12 02:58:53PM 14 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 16 02:58:03PM 17 02:58:03PM 17 02:58:03PM 18 02:59:03PM 19 02:59:14PM 19 02:59:14PM 19 02:59:25PM 21 02:59:23PM 22 02:59:23PM 22	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And sometimes they would break it down between tribal and IIM and sometimes they wouldn't and they'd mix it all together; is that correct? They'd have tribal and IIM as one group, is that fair? A. I think that would depend on which particular chart you're talking about, Mr. Smith. Q. Okay. A. May I ask which particular chart you're talking about?
02:55:35PM 2 02:55:35PM 4 02:55:45PM 4 02:55:45PM 5 02:55:45PM 6 02:55:45PM 9 02:56:01PM 9 02:56:01PM 11 02:56:01PM 12 02:56:05PM 13 02:56:05PM 14 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 15 02:56:25PM 16 02:56:25PM 16 02:56:25PM 17 02:56:25PM 18 02:56:25PM 19 02:56:35PM 20 02:56:35PM 20 02:56:35PM 21 02:56:35PM 22 02:56:35PM 22 02:56:35PM 22 02:56:35PM 22	 A. I don't think offhand. Q. Do you know where those forms would be located? A. I can't say offhand. Q. Okay. GAO settlement packages, how long have you been aware of those particular packages? A. Probably, I knew of them before the Cobell case. Q. Okay. And particularly how many have you reviewed personally? A. Personally, at least fifty. Q. At least fifty? A. At least fifty. Q. Okay. Going forward you or your company has prepared a large number of historical reports relating to this particular case, that is fair? A. Yes. Q. And while you may not have personally authored all those reports, were you involved in some aspect of their preparation? A. Yes. Q. And you have certainly reviewed all of the Morgan Angel reports prepared in preparation for this litigation; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you've testified about many of them in the past; is that fair? 	02:58:15PM 2 02:58:15PM 3 02:58:25PM 4 02:58:27PM 5 02:58:31PM 6 02:58:33PM 7 02:58:33PM 10 02:58:44PM 10 02:58:43PM 11 02:58:53PM 12 02:58:53PM 14 02:58:53PM 15 02:58:53PM 16 02:58:53PM 16 02:58:53PM 17 02:58:53PM 19 02:58:53PM 20	findings? Q. Exactly. A. So Dr. Scheuren was present, Ms. Herman. Q. Anybody else that you recall other than attorneys? A. Interior department officials at OHTA, for example, at the Office of Historical Trust Accounting. Certainly when I say Ms. Herman and Dr. Scheuren I should say there were other members of their firms there as well. Q. Okay. Let's turn to your testimony about collections and disbursements. I think you stated pretty clearly that receipt and disbursement data on the IIM trust is not easy to find, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. And for the period from about 1909 to 1920, the Department of Interior prepared some pretty detailed annual reports that discussed IIM activities; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And sometimes they would break it down between tribal and IIM and sometimes they wouldn't and they'd mix it all together; is that correct? They'd have tribal and IIM as one group, is that fair? A. I think that would depend on which particular chart you're talking about, Mr. Smith. Q. Okay.

	832	1	834
1	Q. Sure. Let's ask generally. Sometimes they would break it	11	to his speech.
02:59:34PM 1	down between tribal and IIM and sometimes they wouldn't; is that	03:02:50PM I	Q. Okay. And he indicated that about half the total estimate
02:59:37PM 2	correct, on particular charts?	03:02:56PM 3	was a mere guess unsupported by statistical facts; is that
02:59:41PM 4	A. On some charts, for many of the charts they had it divided	03:03:00PM 4	correct?
02:59:52PM 5	between tribal and individual.	03:03:00PM 5	A. That's what Mr. King is saying.
02:59:55PM 6	Q. Um-hmm.	03:03:02PM 6	Q. So based on your own investigation, as you've testified,
02:59:56PM 7	A. Or allotted and unallotted, and that's what I'm kind of	03:03:07PM 7	there is no publicly available data that would precisely
03:00:01PM 8	struggling with right now, and at other times they did not.	03:03:12PM 8	identify what the collections and disbursements were from the
03:00:03PM 9	They would show reservations statistics or something like that.	03:03:14PM 9	IIM trust for each year of the trust, is that fair?
03:00:07PM 10	Q. And that was my point. Sometimes they would, sometimes	03:03:17PM 10	A. Insofar as are we excluding the settled account packages
03:00:09PM 11	they wouldn't. Now, is it fair to say that after 1920 that	03:03:23PM 11	from this discussion, because I do believe the settled account
03:00:13PM 12	level of statistical details started to decrease?	03:03:26PM 12	packages would indeed give receipt and disbursement would
03:00:16PM 13	A. That's correct.	03:03:32PM 13	indeed give receipt and disbursement information.
03:00:16PM 14	Q. The reports sometimes may be two or three volumes and then	03:03:34PM 14	Q. But today you've only looked at fifty of those and you
03:00:20PM 15	you get to a little pamphlet?	03:03:37PM 15	don't know what that receipt and disbursement information would
03:00:23PM 16	A. That's correct.	03:03:40PM 16	show, is that fair?
03:00:24PM 17	Q. I recall one of the Morgan Angel reports saying there was	03:03:40PM 17	A. Well, I've seen receipt and disbursement information in
03:00:24PM 18	an inverse relationship between the size of the reports and the	03:03:45PM 18	those packages.
03:00:27PM 10	IIM problem itself. Do you recall that statement?	03:03:45PM 10	Q. But can you give us aggregate numbers?
03:00:32PM 20	A. I don't.	03:03:47PM 20	A. No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to imply that, that could I.
03:00:33PM 21	Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that the commissioner of Indian	03:03:50PM 21	Q. Let's talk about aggregate numbers. Is it fair to say you
03:00:37PM 22	Affairs made an expressed determination not to publicly provide	03:03:54PM 22	can't come up with aggregate numbers on receipts and
03:00:41PM 23	that level of detail in about 19 I believe it was about 1921.	03:03:56PM 23	disbursements for every year of the IIM trust based on the
03:00:46PM 24	Do you recall that?	03:03:58PM 24	review of the historical records?
03:00:47PM 25	A. As I understand the situation, Mr. Smith, this was true of	03:04:06PM 25	A. Not come up with them, but I do believe if the settlement
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	833		835
03:00:50PM 1	833 all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to	03:04:09РМ 1	835 packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good
03:00:50PM 1		03:04:09PM 1	
_	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to		packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good
03:00:56PM 2	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation.	03:04:13PM 2	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs.	03:04:13PM 2 03:04:16PM 3	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old.
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about,	03:04:13PM 2 03:04:16PM 3 03:04:17PM 4	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay.
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:09PM 5	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM	03:04:13PM 2 03:04:16PM 3 03:04:17PM 4 03:04:19PM 5	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:02PM 4 03:01:03PM 5 03:01:03PM 6	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall	03:04:13PM 2 03:04:16PM 3 03:04:17PM 4 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:25PM 6	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers.
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:14PM 7	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that?	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:16PM 3 03.04:17PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:25PM 6 03.04:27PM 7	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:16PM 7 03:01:17PM 8	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr.	03:04:19PM 2 03:04:16PM 3 03:04:17PM 4 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:25PM 6 03:04:27PM 7 03:04:23PM 8	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined?
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:14PM 7 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry.	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:29PM 6 03.04:29PM 7 03.04:33PM 8 03.04:41PM 9	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech?
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:14PM 7 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:25PM 10	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74.	03.04:13PM 2 03.04:16PM 3 03.04:17PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:25PM 6 03.04:27PM 7 03.04:23PM 8 03.04:41PM 9 03.04:45PM 10	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes.
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:16PM 7 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:25PM 10	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay.	03.04:13PM 2 03.04:16PM 3 03.04:17PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:25PM 6 03.04:27PM 7 03.04:33PM 8 03.04:41PM 9 03.04:45PM 10 03.04:45PM 11	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes.
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:16PM 7 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:25PM 10 03:01:25PM 11 03:01:27PM 12	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in	03:04:19PM 2 03:04:19PM 4 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:29PM 7 03:04:23PM 7 03:04:33PM 9 03:04:45PM 10 03:04:45PM 11 03:04:45PM 11	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:16PM 7 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:25PM 10 03:01:25PM 11 03:01:27PM 12 03:01:42PM 13	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933?	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:29PM 6 03.04:25PM 7 03.04:33PM 8 03.04:41PM 9 03.04:45PM 10 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:46PM 12 03.04:46PM 13	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers,
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:16PM 7 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:25PM 10 03:01:25PM 11 03:01:25PM 12 03:01:24PM 13 03:01:24PM 14	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do.	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:19PM 6 03.04:25PM 7 03.04:25PM 8 03.04:45PM 10 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:45PM 12 03.04:45PM 13 03.04:45PM 13	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that?
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:16PM 7 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:25PM 10 03:01:25PM 11 03:01:25PM 12 03:01:42PM 13 03:01:42PM 14 03:01:42PM 14	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:25PM 6 03.04:25PM 7 03.04:33PM 9 03.04:45PM 10 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:45PM 12 03.04:45PM 13 03.04:55PM 14 03.04:55PM 15	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not.
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:16PM 7 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:25PM 10 03:01:25PM 11 03:01:25PM 12 03:01:42PM 13 03:01:42PM 14 03:01:42PM 15 03:01:42PM 16 03:01:46PM 16 03:01:46PM 17	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports.	03.04:13PM 2 03.04:16PM 3 03.04:17PM 4 03.04:17PM 5 03.04:25PM 6 03.04:27PM 7 03.04:33PM 8 03.04:41PM 9 03.04:45PM 10 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:45PM 13 03.04:45PM 14 03.04:55PM 15 03.04:55PM 16 03.05:01PM 17 03.05:03PM 18	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then.
03.00.56PM 2 03.01.02PM 3 03.01.05PM 4 03.01.05PM 5 03.01.13PM 6 03.01.13PM 7 03.01.17PM 8 03.01.24PM 9 03.01.25PM 10 03.01.25PM 11 03.01.25PM 12 03.01.42PM 14 03.01.42PM 15 03.01.42PM 16 03.01.43PM 16 03.01.43PM 17 03.01.47PM 18	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports. A. I have indeed.	03:04:19PM 2 03:04:19PM 4 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:29PM 6 03:04:23PM 7 03:04:33PM 10 03:04:45PM 11 03:04:45PM 12 03:04:45PM 13 03:04:45PM 15 03:04:55PM 15 03:04:55PM 16 03:05:03PM 17 03:05:03PM 18 03:05:03PM 18	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then. I noticed in the designation of your testimony you
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:24PM 11 03:01:25PM 12 03:01:42PM 13 03:01:42PM 14 03:01:42PM 15 03:01:45PM 16 03:01:45PM 17 03:01:45PM 17 03:01:45PM 18	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports. A. I have indeed. Q. And if we could turn to page 33 to 34.	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:29PM 6 03.04:23PM 7 03.04:33PM 8 03.04:45PM 10 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:45PM 12 03.04:45PM 14 03.04:55PM 15 03.04:55PM 16 03.04:55PM 16 03.05:07PM 17 03.05:03PM 18 03.05:07PM 19 03.05:07PM 20	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then. I noticed in the designation of your testimony you were specifically identified to testify regarding collections of
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:24PM 11 03:01:24PM 12 03:01:42PM 13 03:01:42PM 15 03:01:42PM 15 03:01:42PM 16 03:01:42PM 17 03:01:42PM 18 03:01:45PM 19 03:01:50PM 20 03:01:50PM 20	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports. A. I have indeed. Q. And if we could turn to page 33 to 34. A. Um-hmm.	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:29PM 6 03.04:29PM 7 03.04:33PM 8 03.04:41PM 9 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:45PM 12 03.04:45PM 15 03.04:59PM 15 03.04:59PM 15 03.04:59PM 15 03.04:59PM 15 03.04:59PM 15 03.04:59PM 15 03.05:01PM 17 03.05:01PM 19 03.05:10PM 20 03.05:10PM 21	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then. I noticed in the designation of your testimony you were specifically identified to testify regarding collections of money into the IIM system, is that fair?
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:15PM 6 03:01:16PM 7 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:25PM 10 03:01:25PM 11 03:01:25PM 12 03:01:42PM 13 03:01:42PM 15 03:01:42PM 16 03:01:42PM 17 03:01:42PM 18 03:01:45PM 19 03:01:50PM 19 03:01:50PM 20 03:02:25PM 21 03:02:36PM 22	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports. A. I have indeed. Q. And if we could turn to page 33 to 34. A. Um-hmm. Q. And if we could focus in on the highlighted section at the	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:29PM 6 03.04:23PM 7 03.04:33PM 9 03.04:45PM 10 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:45PM 13 03.04:45PM 15 03.04:59PM 15 03.04:59PM 16 03.05:01PM 17 03.05:03PM 18 03.05:07PM 19 03.05:10PM 20 03.05:14PM 21 03.05:02PM 22	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then. I noticed in the designation of your testimony you were specifically identified to testify regarding collections of money into the IIM system, is that fair? A. I did research on collections into the system, yes.
03.00.56PM 2 03.01.02PM 3 03.01.03PM 4 03.01.03PM 5 03.01.13PM 6 03.01.13PM 7 03.01.17PM 8 03.01.24PM 9 03.01.24PM 10 03.01.25PM 11 03.01.27PM 12 03.01.42PM 15 03.01.43PM 15 03.01.43PM 16 03.01.43PM 17 03.01.43PM 17 03.01.43PM 19 03.01.50PM 19 03.01.50PM 20 03.02.25PM 21 03.02.36PM 22 03.02.40PM 23	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports. A. I have indeed. Q. And if we could turn to page 33 to 34. A. Um-hmm. Q. And if we could focus in on the highlighted section at the bottom of the page. If you could just look at that. And does	03:04:19PM 2 03:04:19PM 4 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:29PM 7 03:04:33PM 8 03:04:43PM 10 03:04:45PM 11 03:04:45PM 12 03:04:45PM 15 03:04:45PM 15 03:04:55PM 16 03:04:55PM 17 03:05:01PM 17 03:05:03PM 18 03:05:07PM 19 03:05:10PM 20 03:05:14PM 21 03:05:22PM 22 03:05:22PM 23	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then. I noticed in the designation of your testimony you were specifically identified to testify regarding collections of money into the IIM system, is that fair? A. I did research on collections into the system, yes. Q. And what are you talking about when you say the IIM system,
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:24PM 11 03:01:24PM 12 03:01:42PM 14 03:01:42PM 15 03:01:42PM 16 03:01:45PM 16 03:01:46PM 17 03:01:46PM 17 03:01:46PM 19 03:01:50PM 20 03:02:24PM 21 03:02:46PM 22 03:02:44PM 23 03:02:44PM 24	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports. A. I have indeed. Q. And if we could turn to page 33 to 34. A. Um-hmm. Q. And if we could focus in on the highlighted section at the bottom of the page. If you could just look at that. And does that reflect your recollection there were complaints from Congress about Interior ceasing to publish estimates regarding Interior data at least at that point in time?	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:29PM 6 03.04:29PM 7 03.04:33PM 8 03.04:41PM 9 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:45PM 12 03.04:45PM 13 03.04:55PM 14 03.04:55PM 15 03.04:55PM 16 03.04:55PM 17 03.05:03PM 18 03.05:03PM 18 03.05:03PM 20 03.05:10PM 20 03.05:10PM 21 03.05:22PM 21 03.05:22PM 23 03.05:22PM 24	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then. I noticed in the designation of your testimony you were specifically identified to testify regarding collections of money into the IIM system, is that fair? A. I did research on collections into the system, yes. Q. And what are you talking about when you say the IIM system, collections into the IIM system, what are you talking about?
03.00.56PM 2 03.01.02PM 3 03.01.03PM 4 03.01.03PM 5 03.01.13PM 6 03.01.13PM 7 03.01.17PM 8 03.01.24PM 9 03.01.24PM 10 03.01.25PM 11 03.01.27PM 12 03.01.42PM 15 03.01.43PM 15 03.01.43PM 16 03.01.43PM 17 03.01.43PM 17 03.01.43PM 19 03.01.50PM 19 03.01.50PM 20 03.02.25PM 21 03.02.36PM 22 03.02.40PM 23	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports. A. I have indeed. Q. And if we could turn to page 33 to 34. A. Um-hmm. Q. And if we could focus in on the highlighted section at the bottom of the page. If you could just look at that. And does that reflect your recollection there were complaints from Congress about Interior ceasing to publish estimates regarding	03:04:19PM 2 03:04:19PM 4 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:19PM 5 03:04:29PM 7 03:04:33PM 8 03:04:43PM 10 03:04:45PM 11 03:04:45PM 12 03:04:45PM 15 03:04:45PM 15 03:04:55PM 16 03:04:55PM 17 03:05:01PM 17 03:05:03PM 18 03:05:07PM 19 03:05:10PM 20 03:05:14PM 21 03:05:22PM 22 03:05:22PM 23	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then. I noticed in the designation of your testimony you were specifically identified to testify regarding collections of money into the IIM system, is that fair? A. I did research on collections into the system, yes. Q. And what are you talking about when you say the IIM system, collections into the IIM system, what are you talking about? A. When I'm talking about the IIM system I mean the twofold
03:00:56PM 2 03:01:02PM 3 03:01:05PM 4 03:01:05PM 5 03:01:13PM 6 03:01:17PM 8 03:01:24PM 9 03:01:24PM 11 03:01:24PM 12 03:01:42PM 13 03:01:42PM 15 03:01:42PM 15 03:01:42PM 16 03:01:42PM 17 03:01:42PM 18 03:01:45PM 19 03:01:45PM 20 03:02:23PM 21 03:02:24PM 21 03:02:24PM 22 03:02:44PM 24	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports. A. I have indeed. Q. And if we could turn to page 33 to 34. A. Um-hmm. Q. And if we could focus in on the highlighted section at the bottom of the page. If you could just look at that. And does that reflect your recollection there were complaints from Congress about Interior ceasing to publish estimates regarding Interior data at least at that point in time? A. Certainly Mr. King was very upset about it. I have cited Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:29PM 6 03.04:29PM 7 03.04:33PM 8 03.04:41PM 9 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:45PM 12 03.04:45PM 13 03.04:55PM 14 03.04:55PM 15 03.04:55PM 16 03.04:55PM 17 03.05:03PM 18 03.05:03PM 18 03.05:03PM 20 03.05:10PM 20 03.05:10PM 21 03.05:22PM 21 03.05:22PM 23 03.05:22PM 24	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then. I noticed in the designation of your testimony you were specifically identified to testify regarding collections of money into the IIM system, is that fair? A. I did research on collections into the system, yes. Q. And what are you talking about when you say the IIM system, collections into the IIM system, what are you talking about? A. When I'm talking about the IIM system I mean the twofold individual I ndian money accounts as well as such items as money that does not that enters the system, like tribal IIM, but Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
03.00.56PM 2 03.01.03PM 4 03.01.03PM 5 03.01.03PM 5 03.01.13PM 6 03.01.13PM 9 03.01.25PM 10 03.01.25PM 11 03.01.25PM 15 03.01.45PM 15 03.01.45PM 15 03.01.45PM 16 03.01.45PM 17 03.01.45PM 18 03.01.55PM 19 03.01.55PM 20 03.02.25PM 21 03.02.25PM 22 03.02.45PM 23 03.02.44PM 24 03.02.44PM 25	all government agencies, that the level of detail begins to diminish after 1921. That's my understanding of the situation. There wasn't something unique to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Q. Okay. And that's something Congress was complaining about, is that fair, that we want to find more information on the IIM trust and we're not getting it from Interior. Do you recall that? A. Please tell me which period you're talking about, Mr. Smith. I'm sorry. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 74. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall this document, speech by William King in 1933? A. I do. Q. I believe you've referred to that document in some of your reports. A. I have indeed. Q. And if we could turn to page 33 to 34. A. Um-hmm. Q. And if we could focus in on the highlighted section at the bottom of the page. If you could just look at that. And does that reflect your recollection there were complaints from Congress about Interior ceasing to publish estimates regarding Interior data at least at that point in time? A. Certainly Mr. King was very upset about it. I have cited Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter	03.04:19PM 2 03.04:19PM 4 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:19PM 5 03.04:29PM 6 03.04:29PM 7 03.04:33PM 8 03.04:41PM 9 03.04:45PM 11 03.04:45PM 12 03.04:45PM 13 03.04:55PM 14 03.04:55PM 15 03.04:55PM 16 03.04:55PM 17 03.05:03PM 18 03.05:03PM 18 03.05:03PM 20 03.05:10PM 20 03.05:10PM 21 03.05:22PM 21 03.05:22PM 23 03.05:22PM 24	packages were reviewed in totality we could have fairly good receipt and disbursement numbers. I can't say complete because those records are old. Q. Okay. A. But I think they would provide a gateway into receipt and disbursement numbers. Q. In subsequent years do you recall that the annual reports do provide aggregate tribal and IIM documentation combined? A. Subsequent to the 1933, Mr. King's speech? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So some of the annual reports do have combined tribal and IIM information, it's all lumped together in aggregate numbers, do you recall that? A. I do not. I do not. Q. Let's move on then. I noticed in the designation of your testimony you were specifically identified to testify regarding collections of money into the IIM system, is that fair? A. I did research on collections into the system, yes. Q. And what are you talking about when you say the IIM system, collections into the IIM system, what are you talking about? A. When I'm talking about the IIM system I mean the twofold individual Indian money accounts as well as such items as money that does not that enters the system, like tribal IIM, but

	836	_	838
03:05:40PM 1	does not get necessarily down to the individual Indian.	03:08:15PM 1	A. That's the distinction I've been trying to make, which I
03:05:43PM 2	Q. Okay. So would you agree with me that the individual	03:08:20PM 2	hope I've made at least, is money, individual money system and
03:05:50PM 3	Indian monies include not only those that may go into an	03:08:24PM 3	individual money accounts.
03:05:53PM 4	individual account but may go elsewhere in the system?	03:08:26PM 4	Q. Okay. When you were talking about collections of IIM
03:05:56PM 5	A. That's correct.	03:08:33PM 5	money, do you include individual Indian money that may be placed
03:05:56PM 6	Q. In fact, is it fair to say that the term "individual Indian	03:08:40PM 6	into a tribal account?
03:06:03PM 7	monies" was never really used until, I believe, 1904, is that	03:08:40PM 7	A. Could you please give me an example of that, please?
03:06:06PM 8	fair?	03:08:46PM 8	Q. Sure. Is it fair to say that prior to 1908 it was fairly
03:06:06PM 9	A. That's my memory.	03:08:50PM 9	common to put individual Indian money into tribal accounts?
03:06:07PM 10	Q. Based on a regulation by Interior?	03:08:53PM 10	A. My understanding of it is that prior to the establishment
03:06:10PM 11	A. That's my memory.	03:09:04PM 11	of individual Indian money regulations prior to the 1904
03:06:11PM 12	Q. And by 1913 the Department of Interior specifically	03:09:10PM 12	definition that we discussed, that these monies went into
03:06:18PM 13	prepared a definition of individual Indian money. Do you recall	03:09:15PM 13	miscellaneous receipts of the Indian agent.
03:06:23PM 14	that?	03:09:19PM 14	Q. Let me show you Exhibit 76. Are you familiar with this
03:06:23PM 15	A. I do.	03:09:32PM 15	report by Historical Research Associates?
03:06:23PM 16	Q. Do you recall what that definition was?	03:09:34PM 16	A. I believe I've seen this, yes.
03:06:25PM 17	A. Monies belonging to individual Indians is basically the way	03:09:35PM 17	Q. And HRA is another one of the government's contractors in
03:06:29PM 18	it was. I don't have I cited in one of my reports and I've	03:09:38PM 18	this case, is that true?
03:06:33PM 19	reviewed the regulations, but I can't remember it verbatim of	03:09:39PM 19	A. That's correct.
03:06:37PM 20	course.	03:09:40PM 20 03:09:52PM 21	Q. If we could turn to page sixteen of that report. And I
03:06:38PM 21	Q. If we could look at Exhibit 75, you've seen these before,	03:09:52PM 21	think we're looking at page fourteen. Two more pages. And we
03:06:52PM 22	the regulations for Indian money in 1913?		could focus in on the highlighted language. Does it indicate
24	A. I do. Q. And if we could look at page three of that document. And	03:10:18PM 23 03:10:25PM 24	that at that time in the early 1900s monies, IIM monies were
03:06:55PM 24 03:07:04PM 25	Q. And if we could look at page three of that document. And at the top of that page the first paragraph is highlighted. Is	03:10:25PM 2-7 03:10:28PM 25	collected into class three tribal accounts and then were disbursed to individuals by the local Indian agent?
03:07:04PM 2 0	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR	03:10:28PM 2 0	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	C. C		
	837		839
03:07:07PM 1	837 that the definition that was provided at that time of what	03:10:59PM 1	(Witness reads document.)
03:07:07PM 1	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is?	03:10:59PM 1	
2	that the definition that was provided at that time of what	•	(Witness reads document.)
03:07:10PM 2	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is?	03:10:59PM 2	(Witness reads document.) A. It does.
03:07:10PM 2 03:07:12PM 3	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct.	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:00PM 3	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to
03:07:10PM 2 03:07:12PM 3 03:07:12PM 4	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:00PM 3 03:11:09PM 4	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust
03:07:12PM 2 03:07:12PM 3 03:07:12PM 4 03:07:17PM 5	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:00PM 3 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 3 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:21PM 6	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer?	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:00PM 3 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts?
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 3 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:17PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct.	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 3 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:18PM 6 03:11:19PM 7	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research.
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 3 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss;	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:18PM 6 03:11:19PM 7 03:11:25PM 8	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that,
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 3 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:17PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 8 03.07:26PM 9	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct?	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:00PM 3 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:18PM 6 03:11:19PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:30PM 9	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 3 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 8 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:26PM 10	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct.	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 3 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:18PM 6 03:11:19PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:30PM 9 03:11:35PM 10	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:21PM 7 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:26PM 10 03.07:35PM 11 03.07:35PM 11 03.07:35PM 12	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:30PM 9 03:11:30PM 10 03:11:38PM 11 03:11:38PM 12 03:11:38PM 12	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct?
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 3 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 8 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:25PM 11 03.07:35PM 12 03.07:41PM 13 03.07:44PM 14	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:19PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:35PM 10 03:11:35PM 11 03:11:35PM 12 03:11:35PM 12	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:12PM 6 03.07:21PM 7 03.07:22PM 8 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:25PM 11 03.07:35PM 11 03.07:35PM 12 03.07:44PM 13 03.07:44PM 14	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:19PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:39PM 10 03:11:35PM 11 03:11:35PM 12 03:11:35PM 14 03:11:47PM 14 03:11:47PM 14	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:12PM 6 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:25PM 11 03.07:35PM 11 03.07:35PM 12 03.07:41PM 13 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:15PM 8 03:11:30PM 9 03:11:30PM 10 03:11:35PM 11 03:11:35PM 12 03:11:47PM 13 03:11:51PM 15 03:11:51PM 15	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature.
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:26PM 10 03.07:26PM 11 03.07:35PM 12 03.07:41PM 13 03.07:44PM 14 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:47PM 16	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders.	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:35PM 10 03:11:35PM 11 03:11:35PM 12 03:11:42PM 13 03:11:42PM 14 03:11:51PM 15 03:11:55PM 16 03:11:55PM 16	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:12PM 6 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:25PM 11 03.07:35PM 12 03.07:41PM 13 03.07:44PM 14 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 16 03.07:50PM 17	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders. Q. And we're going to talk about that. You're talking about	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:19PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:35PM 10 03:11:35PM 11 03:11:35PM 12 03:11:47PM 14 03:11:54PM 15 03:11:54PM 16 03:11:54PM 17 03:11:54PM 18	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of tribal trust funds to individual Indians; is that correct?
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 3 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:35PM 11 03.07:35PM 12 03.07:44PM 13 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:45PM 17 03.07:55PM 18	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders. Q. And we're going to talk about that. You're talking about tribal IIM, correct?	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:39PM 10 03:11:39PM 11 03:11:39PM 11 03:11:39PM 12 03:11:42PM 13 03:11:59PM 15 03:11:59PM 16 03:11:59PM 17 03:11:59PM 18	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of tribal trust funds to individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism, yes.
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:12PM 6 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:25PM 11 03.07:35PM 11 03.07:35PM 14 03.07:41PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:45PM 17 03.07:56PM 17 03.07:56PM 19 03.07:56PM 19	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders. Q. And we're going to talk about that. You're talking about tribal IIM, correct? A. Well, tribal IIM, the bid money that entered the system and	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:15PM 8 03:11:39PM 10 03:11:39PM 11 03:11:39PM 11 03:11:39PM 15 03:11:4PM 15 03:11:59PM 17 03:11:59PM 17 03:11:59PM 18 03:11:59PM 18 03:11:59PM 19 03:11:59PM 19 03:11:59PM 19 03:12:04PM 19 03:12:04PM 19	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of tribal trust funds to individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism, yes. Q. And that was money in tribal accounts that was intended for
03:07:10PM 2 03:07:12PM 4 03:07:12PM 5 03:07:21PM 6 03:07:22PM 7 03:07:22PM 9 03:07:22PM 10 03:07:25PM 11 03:07:35PM 12 03:07:41PM 13 03:07:44PM 15 03:07:44PM 15 03:07:44PM 15 03:07:45PM 16 03:07:55PM 18 03:07:55PM 19 03:07:55PM 20 03:08:01PM 21	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders. Q. And we're going to talk about that. You're talking about tribal IIM, correct? A. Well, tribal IIM, the bid money that entered the system and subsequently left the system, some of the administrative fees;	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:35PM 10 03:11:35PM 11 03:11:35PM 12 03:11:35PM 14 03:11:42PM 15 03:11:54PM 15 03:11:54PM 16 03:11:54PM 17 03:12:04PM 18 03:12:04PM 19 03:12:07PM 20 03:12:10PM 21	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of tribal trust funds to individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism, yes. Q. And that was money in tribal accounts that was intended for individual Indians?
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 3 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 8 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:35PM 11 03.07:35PM 12 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 16 03.07:55PM 18 03.07:55PM 19 03.07:57PM 20 03.06:05PM 21	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders. Q. And we're going to talk about that. You're talking about tribal IIM, correct? A. Well, tribal IIM, the bid money that entered the system and subsequently left the system, some of the administrative fees; issues like that.	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:39PM 10 03:11:35PM 11 03:11:35PM 12 03:11:35PM 15 03:11:51PM 15 03:11:51PM 15 03:11:51PM 15 03:11:51PM 16 03:11:51PM 17 03:12:01PM 18 03:12:01PM 20 03:12:10PM 21 03:12:11PM 22	(Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of tribal trust funds to individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism, yes. Q. And that was money in tribal accounts that was intended for individual Indians? A. It was money, it was like annuity payments, for example.
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:12PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:26PM 11 03.07:38PM 12 03.07:38PM 14 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:45PM 17 03.07:56PM 19 03.07:56PM 19 03.07:56PM 19 03.07:56PM 19 03.07:56PM 20 03.08:06PM 21	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders. Q. And we're going to talk about that. You're talking about tribal IIM, correct? A. Well, tribal IIM, the bid money that entered the system and subsequently left the system, some of the administrative fees; issues like that. Q. Okay. So when we are talking about individual Indian	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:30PM 9 03:11:30PM 10 03:11:35PM 11 03:11:35PM 12 03:11:42PM 13 03:11:42PM 14 03:11:51PM 15 03:11:51PM 16 03:11:51PM 17 03:12:01PM 18 03:12:04PM 19 03:12:04PM 19 03:12:04PM 20 03:12:14PM 22 03:12:16PM 23	 (Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of tribal trust funds to individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism, yes. Q. And that was money in tribal accounts that was intended for individual Indians? A. It was money, it was like annuity payments, for example. An individual Indian could request and receive a pro rata share
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:21PM 7 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:25PM 11 03.07:35PM 12 03.07:35PM 14 03.07:41PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 16 03.07:44PM 17 03.07:55PM 18 03.07:55PM 19 03.07:55PM 20 03.08:05PM 21 03.08:05PM 22 03.08:05PM 23 03.08:05PM 24	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders. Q. And we're going to talk about that. You're talking about tribal IIM, correct? A. Well, tribal IIM, the bid money that entered the system and subsequently left the system, some of the administrative fees; issues like that. Q. Okay. So when we are talking about individual Indian money, you're not limiting yourself to money that's specifically	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:30PM 9 03:11:30PM 10 03:11:30PM 11 03:11:30PM 12 03:11:42PM 15 03:11:51PM 15 03:11:51PM 16 03:11:51PM 17 03:12:01PM 18 03:12:04PM 19 03:12:04PM 20 03:12:14PM 21 03:12:14PM 22 03:12:14PM 22 03:12:14PM 22 03:12:14PM 23 03:12:14PM 24	 (Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of tribal trust funds to individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism, yes. Q. And that was money in tribal accounts that was intended for individual Indians? A. It was money, it was like annuity payments, for example. An individual Indian could request and receive a pro rata share of an annuity payment.
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:12PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:26PM 11 03.07:38PM 12 03.07:38PM 14 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:45PM 17 03.07:56PM 19 03.07:56PM 19 03.07:56PM 19 03.07:56PM 19 03.07:56PM 20 03.08:06PM 21	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders. Q. And we're going to talk about that. You're talking about tribal IIM, correct? A. Well, tribal IIM, the bid money that entered the system and subsequently left the system, some of the administrative fees; issues like that. Q. Okay. So when we are talking about individual Indian money, you're not limiting yourself to money that's specifically put into an individual Indian account, is that fair?	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:30PM 9 03:11:30PM 10 03:11:35PM 11 03:11:35PM 12 03:11:42PM 13 03:11:42PM 14 03:11:51PM 15 03:11:51PM 16 03:11:51PM 17 03:12:01PM 18 03:12:04PM 19 03:12:04PM 19 03:12:04PM 20 03:12:14PM 22 03:12:16PM 23	 (Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of tribal trust funds to individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism, yes. Q. And that was money in tribal accounts that was intended for individual Indians? A. It was money, it was like annuity payments, for example. An individual Indian could request and receive a pro rata share of an annuity payment. Q. And the same thing happened again I believe in 1918; is
03.07:10PM 2 03.07:12PM 4 03.07:12PM 5 03.07:12PM 6 03.07:21PM 6 03.07:22PM 7 03.07:22PM 9 03.07:22PM 10 03.07:25PM 11 03.07:35PM 12 03.07:43PM 14 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 15 03.07:44PM 16 03.07:44PM 17 03.07:55PM 18 03.07:55PM 20 03.07:55PM 20 03.08:05PM 21 03.08:05PM 22 03.08:05PM 22	that the definition that was provided at that time of what individual Indian monies is? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Regardless of their deviationnn, which belonged to individual Indians and which come into the individual of disbursing officer? A. That's correct. Q. And that same definition was carried down for deviationss; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. It didn't make a difference where the government agent put that money, whether they put it into a bank, Treasury, or cardboard box, it was still considered Indian money; is that correct? A. That's the definition here, but we do know that money is coming into the system, is being collected in the system that does not go to individual Indian accountholders. Q. And we're going to talk about that. You're talking about tribal IIM, correct? A. Well, tribal IIM, the bid money that entered the system and subsequently left the system, some of the administrative fees; issues like that. Q. Okay. So when we are talking about individual Indian money, you're not limiting yourself to money that's specifically	03:10:59PM 2 03:11:09PM 4 03:11:14PM 5 03:11:14PM 6 03:11:14PM 7 03:11:25PM 8 03:11:30PM 9 03:11:30PM 10 03:11:30PM 11 03:11:30PM 12 03:11:42PM 15 03:11:51PM 15 03:11:51PM 16 03:11:51PM 17 03:12:01PM 18 03:12:04PM 19 03:12:04PM 20 03:12:14PM 21 03:12:14PM 22 03:12:14PM 22 03:12:14PM 22 03:12:14PM 23 03:12:14PM 24	 (Witness reads document.) A. It does. Q. Okay. In doing your research did you make any attempt to make any determination as to what individual Indian trust collections there might have been prior to 1909 that went into tribal accounts? A. I do not recall seeing any in the course of our research. Q. Okay. Now, talking about tribal accounts, is it true that, I believe it was 1907, Theodore Roosevelt took the tribal money and basically divided it up among individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism for it to be done, but my understanding is that it wasn't, you know, simply turning it all over. Indians has to be considered, as we've said the term of the day, competent. They had to make the request; things of that nature. Q. Okay. But he provided in 1907 for the apportionment of tribal trust funds to individual Indians; is that correct? A. He provided the mechanism, yes. Q. And that was money in tribal accounts that was intended for individual Indians? A. It was money, it was like annuity payments, for example. An individual Indian could request and receive a pro rata share of an annuity payment.

	040		040
_	840		842
03:12:27PM 1	that correct, the President said we're going to divide up the	03:15:56PM 1	1985 there was an estimate made of tribal IIM money from ten to
03:12:30PM 2	tribal money in the tribal accounts and a portion among	03:16:02PM 2	fifteen percent based on the data that you provided.
03:12:34PM 3	individual Indians, is that fair?	03:16:05PM 3	A. Correct.
03:12:36PM 4	A. I don't recall the 1918 example that you're talking about.	03:16:05PM 4	Q. Now, can you tell us how you calculated that ten to fifteen
03:12:41PM 5	Q. If we could look at Exhibit 102. Are you familiar with	03:16:11PM 5	percent?
03:12:57PM 6	this report entitled the Historical Development of Individual	03:16:11PM 6	A. Certainly. What we did was we looked at documents we had
03:13:03PM 7	Monies, Policies and Problems?	03:16:15PM 7	collected over the years. These included such documents as
03:13:04PM 8	A. Yes.	03:16:20PM 8	audit reports which have been referred to in here already.
03:13:05PM 9	Q. Who prepared that report?	03:16:24PM 9	These included such documents as Indian trust accounting
03:13:06PM 10	A. I did.	03:16:27PM 10	division accountings of tribal individual Indian monies. These
03:13:06PM 11	Q. And if we can could look at page 53 of that report. Does	03:16:33PM 11	included a review of my review of settled account packages,
03:13:33PM 12	it say if we could focus in on the second paragraph.	03:16:37PM 12	along with the review of others in my office. These included
03:13:38PM 13	A. Oh, yes.	03:16:42PM 13	the 1951 report to Congress, the 1952 report to Congress, the
03:13:39PM 14	Q. Okay?	03:16:48PM 14	1972 OSR audit. These included a review of correspondence. Our
03:13:40PM 15	A. Yes.	03:16:58PM 15	audit was also based on or excuse me, our estimate was also
03:13:40PM 16	Q. Does it indicate in fact in May 1918 that the bureau had a	03:17:04PM 16	based on a review of reports that showed how much money the
03:13:45PM 17	policy of individualizing tribal trust funds and admitted the	03:17:12PM 17	United States government lent to tribal enterprises from the
03:13:51PM 18	1907 act?	03:17:19PM 18	period 1934 to 1949. It was something like \$13 billion, a
03:13:51PM 19	A. Yes.	03:17:25PM 19	little over \$13 billion. We took these estimates, we took this
03:13:52PM 20	Q. So does that refresh your recollection whether in fact in	03:17:30PM 20	data and I made an estimate and that's what it is, an estimate,
03:13:55PM 21	1918 the money held in the tribal accounts was to be divided	03:17:39PM 21	and my estimate was also based on historical circumstances.
03:14:00PM 22	among individual Indians; is that correct?	03:17:43PM 22	1934 it would have just started up. I took the first part of
03:14:02PM 23	A. Yes. It could be divided into subject to withdrawal for	03:17:48PM 23	that estimate at ten percent to 1945 figuring that World War 2
03:14:05PM 24	payment to individual loaners or expenditures for their benefit	03:17:55PM 24	drew many Native Americans away from the reservation, both to
03:14:09PM 25	under regulations governing the use of other individual Indian	03:18:00PM 25	fight in the war obviously and to participate in wartime
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	841		843
03:14:15PM 1	monies.	03:18:04PM 1	activities, and the second part from 1945 to 1985 based largely
03:14:15PM 2	Q. Let's turn to tribal IIM because you've testified about	03:18:11PM 2	on the various reports that I had seen.
03:14:19PM 3	that. And that's basically income that may be tribal but for	03:18:15PM 3	Q. Okay. Now, Dr. Angel, I'm a little bit at a disadvantage
03:14:24PM 4	whatever reason shows up in an IIM account, is that true, or in	03:18:21PM 4	because I have not seen all those documents that you just
03:14:29PM 5	the IIM system?	03:18:23PM 5	mentioned. Did you provide them to Justice?
03:14:30PM 6	A. For the most part those are tribal enterprises that had	03:18:25PM 6	A. These are part of Morgan Angel's.
03:14:35PM 7	been created in accordance with the Indian reorganization of	03:18:30PM 7	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Objection, your Honor; relevance.
03:14:40PM 8	October of 1934 or the Oklahoma Indian Welfare Act of 1936.	03:18:31PM 8	THE COURT: Overruled.
03:14:47PM 9	Tribal funds, as I understand it, normally have to be or at that	03:18:32PM 9	THE WITNESS: These are part of Morgan Angel's
03:14:52PM 10	point in history had to be appropriated by Congress, so these	03:18:34PM 10	document collection.
03:14:58PM 11	tribal enterprises are on a different plain. They're using IIM	03:18:36PM 11	BY MR. SMITH:
03:15:03PM 12	as a way to fund these enterprises as a way to allow an Indian	03:18:36РМ 12	Q. Did you compile those documents?
03:15:10PM 13	tribal enterprise like a stock racing association to have	03:18:37PM 13	A. No, I did not.
03:15:15PM 14	immediate access to its own money, so these really aren't tribal	03:18:38PM 14	Q. You didn't provide them to Interior or provide them to the
03:15:19PM 15	funds, per se, as I understand tribal funds.	03:18:42PM 15	justice department?
03:15:21PM 16	Q. Okay. So your testimony is the only tribal money in IIM	03:18:42PM 16	A. I simply provided an estimate as requested to FDI. I cited
03:15:26PM 17	accounts was associated with these business organizations;	03:18:50PM 17	some of the document that I used, but I provided the estimate.
03:15:29PM 18	things of that nature?	03:18:53PM 18	Q. So you have not provided the backup documentation for your
03:15:30PM 19	A. Well, some tribal money of course would come in by special	03:18:56PM 19	ten to fifteen percent estimate?
03:15:34PM 20	deposit accounts.	03:18:58PM 20	A. No.
03:15:39PM 21	Q. What about per capita funds, do those go in a tribal IIM	03:18:58PM 21	Q. Can you tell us how many documents did you review on 1934
03:15:43PM 22	account?	03:19:09PM 22	that showed the percentage of tribal IIM in the account, in the
03:15:45PM 23	A. Would they go I don't know. I don't know the answer to	03:19:13PM 23	IIM system?
03:15:50PM 24	that.	03:19:14PM 24	A. Off the top of my head, no, I don't know that I could tell
03:15:51PM 25	Q. Now, Ms. Herman has testified that for the period 1934 to	03:19:18PM 25	you for any specific year the percentage. I could say that
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR

844	846
03:1921PM 1 we've looked at audit reports. I could say that we've looked at	os2223PM 1 reports, that this is part of the system.
©3:1925PM 2 reports that indicate how much money was loaned to tribal	©32228PM 2 Q. But the audit reports say it's in there but it shouldn't
3 enterprises, to, excuse me, to help them get started.	032230PM 3 be, correct?
оз:19:35РМ 4 Q. You can't tell me for any particular year what report you	^{0322231PM} 4 A. Many of them do. The 1972 report does, but they're looking
03:19:38PM 5 looked at and what the percentage was you found?	5 for a way to get it out of the system, but there is no
03:19:40PM 6 A. No. I'd have to go back through the documentation to do	03-222-42PM 6 indication to me of illegality.
03:19:43PM 7 that.	OS22245PM 7 Q. Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 78.
03:19:43PM 8 Q. Dr. Angel, do you have a degree in statistics or	THE COURT: How much more cross do you have, Mr.
оз:19.55РМ 9 mathematics?	03:22:52PM 9 Smith?
03:19.56PM 10 A. I've already testified I'm a historian.	MR. SMITH: I have a fair amount. Do you want to take
03:19.55PM 11 Q. Did you have anybody assist in you making that calculation	03:22:56PM 11 a break?
os 2000 of ten to fifteen percent?	THE COURT: Let's take a break. We'll be in recess
33.200.04PM 13 A. Several people in my office.	03:22:59PM 13 for about ten minutes.
0320.05PM 14 Q. And you?	0323301PM 14 COURTROOM DEPUTY: This Honorable Court stands in
15 A. This had the same documentation and reviewed it together.	0322303PM 15 recess until 3:35.
03:20:10PM 16 Q. And do any of them have degrees in accounting or	OS 223:06PM 16 (Recess taken at about 3:35 p.m.)
0320:13PM 17 mathematics or statistics?	03.34.37PM 17 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please come to order and remain
03:20:15PM 18 A. No.	03.34.38PM 18 seated.
0320.15PM 19 Q. You would agree with me, would you not, that since at least	03:34/43PM 19 MR. DORRIS: Your Honor, I was to report back to you
032021PM 20 the 1950s if there was tribal money in the IIM system it was not	20 about our discussions about the exhibits that Mr. Quinn tendered
os2028PM 21 supposed to be there, is that fair?	03:34:53PM 21 with respect to Michelle Herman's testimony.
0320239M 22 A. No. There would have been tribal money that would have	OS:34-55PM 22 THE COURT: Yes.
032032PM 23 come into special deposit accounts.	MR. DORRIS: In light of the way we've handled
0320359M 24 Q. And I'm talking specifically about tribal IIM accounts, the	os:34.58PM 24 exhibits here, and her Exhibits DX 372, the five-volume set, as
25 money was not supposed to be in the IIM system; is that correct?	03:35:05PM 25 I appreciate it being offered to show what's supporting
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter	Official Court Reporter
845	847
0320,42PM 1 A. No, that's not correct. We've got documentation that	1 information she used for her calculations more so than the truth
2 states that there is no specific authorization; however, the	of the matter stated in it, we don't have any objections to the
Bureau of Indian affairs recognized what was going on and	3 exhibits Mr. Quinn called out last Thursday that were offered
approved the use of.	os3524PM 4 for Ms. Herman.
5 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 77. Dr. Angel, this is a copy of the	OS35.25PM 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
6 Interior regulations from 1951, and do you see the section there	6 MR. QUINN: Yes, your Honor. One note: Looking over
on Voluntary Deposits?	the transcript from last Thursday I'm not sure if I misspoke or
03:21:24PM 8 A. I do.	6 if there was a typographical error, but Defendants' Exhibit 327,
oszerzsem 9 Q. And you're familiar with voluntary deposits?	which is a binder set which we used the first binder with Ms.
032129PM 10 A. I am.	10 Herman and there were five numbered binders supporting of Sage,
032129PM 11 Q. And does it indicate that those voluntary deposits will not	osassaem 11 supporting records, we were intending to include that in our
be accepted except under cases of substantial hardship?	osas-49PM 12 set. As Mr. Dorris commented, I'm sure he understood that was
322137PM 13 A. I'm aware of that but I'm also aware that the Bureau of	part of our set, but in one area of the transcript it's referred
14 Indian Affairs at the same time as producing this report to	to as Exhibit 342. Thank you.
0321469M 15 Congress showing that tribal IIM is part of individual Indian	THE COURT: What I have to report to all of you is
032150PM 16 monies.	that Tiger Woods is up two strokes.
OSZ150PM 17 Q. Okay. Now, you've looked at a lot of the audits from the	03.36.379M 17 BY MR. SMITH:
032154PM 18 '40s, the '50s, the '60s on?	O3.36.37PM 18 Q. Dr. Angel, before we were talking about tribal IIM, and Plaintiffs' Exhibit 78, which is an audit report for Anadrako,
-	
oszeorpi 22 system? oszeorpi 23 A. There is, particularly by the '70s and '80s, an effort to	Q. And do you recall reviewing this document as part of your 03.37.02PM 23 work for Interior?
aszeripm 24 move tribal IIM out of the IIM system. However, it's recognized	osarospin 24 A. I may have, Mr. Smith. I can't say specifically.
in the audit reports, it's recognized in the annual investment	
	I 03:37:08PM ZJ Q. UKAV. II WE COLIIO IOOK ALDAGE IWO OLIDAL GOCILIMENT
	. 3
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter

	848		850
03:37:12PM 1	A. Um-hmm.	03:40:50PM 1	be an audit report for the you may be able to pronounce this
03:37:14PM 2	Q. And focus in on the highlighted area, the top half of the	03:40:54PM 2	better than me. Is that the Concho agency or Concho?
03:37:18PM 3	page. And does it indicate the number of accounts and the	03:40:58PM 3	A. Concho agency.
03:37:24PM 4	balance of those accounts, about \$34.5 million?	03:40:59PM 4	Q. July of 1985?
03:37:27PM 5	A. That's correct.	03:41:00PM 5	A. Yes.
03:37:30PM 6	Q. Okay. And if we can now turn to page twelve of that	03:41:01PM 6	Q. And if we could look at page two of that document. And
03:37:35PM 7	document. And do you see a section in the middle highlighted on	03:41:06PM 7	does it indicate there is roughly 5,700 accounts with \$7.7
03:37:44PM 8	tribal IIM accounts?	03:41:12PM 8	million in them?
03:37:45PM 9	A. I do.	03:41:13PM 9	A. It does.
03:37:45PM 10	Q. And if you read that, does it indicate that the tribal IIM	03:41:14PM 10	Q. If we could turn to page 24 of that document. And look at
03:37:51PM 11	was roughly \$670,000?	03:41:21PM 11	the top of the page. It says, as of March 1984 the agency was
03:38:04PM 12	A. In February the very first sentence?	03:41:27PM 12	maintaining 21 tribal accounts with balances totaling about
03:38:08PM 13	Q. Yes.	03:41:32PM 13	\$85,000, eight of those accounts with balances of about \$36,000
03:38:08PM 14	A. Yes.	03:41:36PM 14	related to tribal operations; is that correct?
03:38:09PM 15	Q. Which would be roughly two percent of \$34.5 million. I'll	03:41:40PM 15	A. Correct.
03:38:21PM 16	tell you, Dr. Angel, that's how I calculate it. Does it appear	03:41:40PM 16	Q. So by my calculations that is roughly one percent, but
03:38:24PM 17	to be significantly less than fifteen percent?	03:41:44PM 17	again significantly less than your calculation of about fifteen
03:38:30PM 18	A. Yes.	03:41:47PM 18	percent, is that fair?
03:38:31PM 19	Q. Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 79. This is an audit report	03:41:48PM 19	A. Please let me
03:38:43PM 20	for the Pauny Agency, July 1985. Do you recall reviewing this	03:41:49PM 20	Q. Sure. Take your time. Go ahead and look at it.
03:38:48PM 21	document?	03:42:09PM 21	A. Would you scroll down, please?
03:38:48PM 22	A. Again, I may have. I can't say that I would remember every	03:42:10PM 22	Q. Sure.
03:38:56PM 23	audit report that I read.	03:42:11PM 23	A. Thank you.
03:38:57PM 24	Q. Okay. Let's look at page two of that document. Focus in	03:42:28PM 24	Okay. Thank you.
03:39:04PM 25	on the top half. Do you see there's roughly just under five	03:42:29PM 25	Q. Okay. My question was whether it's obviously significantly
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
4	849	4	851
03:39:09PM 1	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them?	03:42:33PM 1	less than your calculation of fifteen percent.
03:39:12PM 2	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes.	03:42:34PM 2	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is.
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 3	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 3	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 3 03:39:24PM 4	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 3 03:42:38PM 4	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13
03:39:12PM	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000?	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 3 03:42:38PM 4 03:42:42PM 5	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain
03.39·12PM 2 03.39·12PM 3 03.39·24PM 4 03.39·26PM 5 03.39·29PM 6	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please?	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 3 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:42PM 5 03:42:47PM 6	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 3 03:39:24PM 4 03:39:26PM 5 03:39:25PM 6 03:39:23PM 7	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at?	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 3 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:42PM 5 03:42:47PM 6 03:42:52PM 7	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do
03.39·12PM 2 03.39·12PM 3 03.39·24PM 4 03.39·26PM 5 03.39·29PM 6	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please.	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 3 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:42PM 5 03:42:47PM 6	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that?
0339:12PM 2 0339:12PM 3 0339:24PM 4 0339:24PM 5 0339:25PM 6 0339:32PM 7 0339:32PM 8	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please.	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 3 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:42PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:52PM 7 03:42:55PM 8	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 3 03:39:24PM 4 03:39:25PM 5 03:39:25PM 7 03:39:32PM 8 03:39:34PM 8	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two.	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:35PM 5 03:42:47PM 6 03:42:47PM 7 03:42:55PM 8 03:42:55PM 9	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do.
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 4 03:39:24PM 5 03:39:25PM 6 03:39:25PM 7 03:39:34PM 8 03:39:35PM 9 03:39:35PM 10	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 3 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:42PM 5 03:42:47PM 6 03:42:55PM 7 03:42:55PM 8 03:42:55PM 9 03:42:55PM 10	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 3 03:39:24PM 4 03:39:25PM 5 03:39:25PM 6 03:39:32PM 7 03:39:34PM 8 03:39:34PM 10 03:39:34PM 11	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen?	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:42PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:52PM 7 03:42:55PM 8 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts?
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 4 03:39:24PM 5 03:39:25PM 6 03:39:32PM 7 03:39:34PM 8 03:39:34PM 9 03:39:34PM 10 03:39:45PM 11 03:39:45PM 11	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please.	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:35PM 5 03:42:47PM 6 03:42:55PM 7 03:42:55PM 9 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:56PM 11 03:43:01PM 12	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does.
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 4 03:39:24PM 5 03:39:25PM 6 03:39:32PM 7 03:39:34PM 8 03:39:34PM 10 03:39:45PM 11 03:39:45PM 11 03:39:45PM 12	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:35PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:52PM 7 03:42:55PM 8 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:04PM 12 03:43:04PM 13	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money?
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 4 03:39:24PM 5 03:39:24PM 6 03:39:32PM 7 03:39:34PM 8 03:39:34PM 10 03:39:34PM 11 03:39:45PM 12 03:39:45PM 12 03:39:45PM 13	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts?	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:42PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:52PM 7 03:42:55PM 8 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:04PM 12 03:43:04PM 13 03:43:04PM 14	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes.
0339-12PM 2 0339-12PM 3 0339-24PM 4 0339-25PM 5 0339-25PM 6 0339-32PM 7 0339-34PM 8 0339-34PM 10 0339-45PM 11 0339-45PM 12 0339-45PM 13 0339-55PM 15	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page?	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:35PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:55PM 7 03:42:55PM 8 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:01PM 12 03:43:04PM 13 03:43:05PM 14 03:43:05PM 15	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 4 03:39:24PM 5 03:39:25PM 6 03:39:25PM 7 03:39:34PM 8 03:39:35PM 10 03:39:45PM 11 03:39:45PM 12 03:39:45PM 15 03:39:55PM 15 03:39:55PM 15	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page? Q. You sure can.	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:35PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:42PM 7 03:42:55PM 8 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:01PM 12 03:43:04PM 13 03:43:04PM 14 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen percent to figure out how much of the money was in the tribal
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 4 03:39:24PM 4 03:39:24PM 5 03:39:23PM 6 03:39:32PM 7 03:39:34PM 10 03:39:45PM 11 03:39:45PM 12 03:39:45PM 13 03:39:52PM 14 03:39:52PM 15 03:39:53PM 16 03:39:53PM 16	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page? Q. You sure can. A. Thank you. Please, the next page, if I may.	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:43PM 5 03:42:43PM 6 03:42:43PM 7 03:42:45PM 7 03:42:55PM 8 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:01PM 12 03:43:04PM 13 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen percent to figure out how much of the money was in the tribal IIM accounts as tribal and how much was actually IIM money?
03.39-12PM 2 03.39-12PM 4 03.39-24PM 5 03.39-24PM 6 03.39-32PM 7 03.39-34PM 8 03.39-34PM 10 03.39-34PM 11 03.39-45PM 12 03.39-45PM 13 03.39-45PM 15 03.39-55PM 16 03.39-55PM 16 03.39-55PM 17 03.40-17PM 18	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page? Q. You sure can. A. Thank you. Please, the next page, if I may. Q. Sure.	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:35PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:55PM 7 03:42:55PM 9 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:04PM 12 03:43:04PM 13 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 16	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen percent to figure out how much of the money was in the tribal IIM accounts as tribal and how much was actually IIM money? A. No.
03.39.12PM 2 03.39.12PM 4 03.39.24PM 5 03.39.24PM 5 03.39.25PM 6 03.39.32PM 7 03.39.34PM 8 03.39.35PM 10 03.39.45PM 11 03.39.45PM 12 03.39.45PM 15 03.39.52PM 16 03.39.52PM 17 03.39.57PM 18 03.39.57PM 18 03.39.57PM 18	 A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page? Q. You sure can. A. Thank you. Please, the next page, if I may. Q. Sure. A. Thank you. 	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:35PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:55PM 7 03:42:55PM 9 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:04PM 12 03:43:04PM 13 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 16 03:43:04PM 17 03:43:04PM 18 03:43:04PM 18	 less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen percent to figure out how much of the money was in the tribal IIM accounts as tribal and how much was actually IIM money? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anybody who's attempted to make that
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 4 03:39:24PM 5 03:39:24PM 6 03:39:32PM 7 03:39:34PM 8 03:39:34PM 10 03:39:34PM 11 03:39:45PM 11 03:39:45PM 15 03:39:52PM 15 03:39:52PM 16 03:39:52PM 17 03:40:17PM 18 03:40:17PM 18 03:40:17PM 19 03:40:34PM 20 03:40:34PM 22	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page? Q. You sure can. A. Thank you. Please, the next page, if I may. Q. Sure. A. Thank you. Yes. Thank you.	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:35PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:55PM 7 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:01PM 12 03:43:01PM 13 03:43:05PM 15 03:43:05PM 16 03:43:25PM 17 03:43:24PM 18 03:43:25PM 19 03:43:25PM 20 03:43:30PM 21 03:43:30PM 21	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen percent to figure out how much of the money was in the tribal IIM accounts as tribal and how much was actually IIM money? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anybody who's attempted to make that calculation?
0339-12PM 2 0339-12PM 3 0339-24PM 4 0339-25PM 5 0339-25PM 6 0339-32PM 7 0339-34PM 8 0339-35PM 10 0339-45PM 11 0339-45PM 12 0339-45PM 15 0339-55PM 15 0339-55PM 16 0339-55PM 17 0340-17PM 18 0340-17PM 19 0340-25PM 20 0340-30PM 21 0340-34PM 22 0340-37PM 23	 A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page? Q. You sure can. A. Thank you. Please, the next page, if I may. Q. Sure. A. Thank you. Yes. Thank you. Q. Okay. And again, by my calculations it's somewhat less 	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:42PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:42PM 7 03:42:55PM 9 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:04PM 12 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 16 03:43:04PM 17 03:43:24PM 18 03:43:24PM 18 03:43:24PM 19 03:43:24PM 20 03:43:30PM 21 03:43:34PM 22 03:43:34PM 22 03:43:34PM 22	less than your calculation of fifteen percent. A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen percent to figure out how much of the money was in the tribal IIM accounts as tribal and how much was actually IIM money? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anybody who's attempted to make that calculation? A. I'm not aware.
03:39:12PM 2 03:39:12PM 4 03:39:24PM 4 03:39:24PM 5 03:39:25PM 6 03:39:32PM 7 03:39:34PM 8 03:39:34PM 10 03:39:45PM 11 03:39:45PM 12 03:39:45PM 15 03:39:55PM 16 03:39:55PM 16 03:39:55PM 17 03:40:17PM 18 03:40:17PM 19 03:40:25PM 20 03:40:34PM 22 03:40:33PM 22 03:40:33PM 22	 A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page? Q. You sure can. A. Thank you. Please, the next page, if I may. Q. Sure. A. Thank you. Yes. Thank you. Q. Okay. And again, by my calculations it's somewhat less than two percent but significantly less than your fifteen 	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:45PM 5 03:42:45PM 6 03:42:45PM 7 03:42:55PM 8 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:01PM 12 03:43:04PM 13 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 16 03:43:04PM 17 03:43:04PM 18 03:43:05PM 19 03:43:25PM 19 03:43:25PM 20 03:43:30PM 21 03:43:35PM 22 03:43:31PM 22 03:43:31PM 22 03:43:31PM 22 03:43:31PM 22 03:43:31PM 22 03:43:31PM 22	 A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen percent to figure out how much of the money was in the tribal IIM accounts as tribal and how much was actually IIM money? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anybody who's attempted to make that calculation? A. I'm not aware. Q. If we could look at Exhibit 81. Let's move ahead. If we
0339:12PM 2 0339:12PM 4 0339:24PM 4 0339:24PM 5 0339:24PM 6 0339:32PM 7 0339:34PM 10 0339:34PM 11 0339:45PM 12 0339:45PM 15 0339:55PM 15 0339:55PM 16 0339:55PM 17 0340:17PM 18 0340:17PM 19 0340:24PM 20 0340:34PM 21	 A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page? Q. You sure can. A. Thank you. Please, the next page, if I may. Q. Sure. A. Thank you. Yes. Thank you. Q. Okay. And again, by my calculations it's somewhat less than two percent but significantly less than your fifteen percent calculation, is that fair? 	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:42PM 5 03:42:42PM 6 03:42:42PM 7 03:42:55PM 9 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:04PM 12 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 15 03:43:04PM 16 03:43:04PM 17 03:43:24PM 18 03:43:24PM 18 03:43:24PM 19 03:43:24PM 20 03:43:30PM 21 03:43:34PM 22 03:43:34PM 22 03:43:34PM 22	 A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen percent to figure out how much of the money was in the tribal IIM accounts as tribal and how much was actually IIM money? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anybody who's attempted to make that calculation? A. I'm not aware. Q. If we could look at Exhibit 81. Let's move ahead. If we could look at Exhibit 82, please. Dr. Angel, this is a report
0339-12PM 2 0339-12PM 4 0339-24PM 4 0339-25PM 5 0339-25PM 6 0339-32PM 7 0339-34PM 8 0339-35PM 10 0339-35PM 11 0339-45PM 11 0339-45PM 12 0339-45PM 15 0339-55PM 16 0339-55PM 16 0339-55PM 17 0340-17PM 18 0340-17PM 18 0340-17PM 20 0340-36PM 21 0340-36PM 22 0340-36PM 22 0340-36PM 22 0340-36PM 22	thousand accounts with \$2.3 million in them? A. Yes. Q. And if we could turn to page nineteen, please, and focus in on the first paragraph under Tribal Accounts. Does it indicate that the tribal IIM accounts had a balance of \$45,000? A. Could we go back to the very first page, please? Q. The first one we looked at? A. Yes, please. Q. Which was page two. A. Okay. Please now go back to the Q. Page nineteen? A. Please. Q. My question was: Does it indicate there were only about approximately \$45,000 in the tribal IIM accounts? A. Might I see the whole page? Q. You sure can. A. Thank you. Please, the next page, if I may. Q. Sure. A. Thank you. Yes. Thank you. Q. Okay. And again, by my calculations it's somewhat less than two percent but significantly less than your fifteen percent calculation, is that fair? A. Yes.	03:42:34PM 2 03:42:35PM 4 03:42:35PM 5 03:42:47PM 6 03:42:47PM 6 03:42:55PM 7 03:42:55PM 10 03:42:55PM 11 03:43:01PM 12 03:43:01PM 13 03:43:05PM 15 03:43:05PM 16 03:43:25PM 17 03:43:24PM 18 03:43:25PM 19 03:43:25PM 20 03:43:30PM 21 03:43:30PM 21 03:43:30PM 21 03:43:30PM 22 03:44:47PM 23 03:44:57PM 24	A. It is. Q. Okay. And if you could focus in on the highlighted language toward the middle of the page, it says the remaining 13 tribal IIM accounts with total balances of about \$34,000 contain per capita funds for tribal members who cannot be located or whose eligibility is being questioned for various reasons. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. So does it appear that per capita funds are in fact contained in tribal IIM accounts? A. In this instance it does. Q. In this instance it's over half of the tribal IIM money? A. Yes. Q. Did you do anything in calculating your ten to fifteen percent to figure out how much of the money was in the tribal IIM accounts as tribal and how much was actually IIM money? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anybody who's attempted to make that calculation? A. I'm not aware. Q. If we could look at Exhibit 81. Let's move ahead. If we could look at Exhibit 82, please. Dr. Angel, this is a report dated May 1996 from GAO regarding BIA's tribal trust account

	852		854
:45:06PM 1	at any time?	03:48:17PM 1	Your Honor, if it would be helpful I'm going to be
:45:06PM 2	A. I have seen this one before. It's been a while.	03:48:19PM 2	referring to this document. I have a hard copy. If I could
:45:10PM 3	Q. If we could focus in on page 21 of that document. I think	03:48:22PM 3	hand that up.
15:24PM 4	we're on page 20. Look at the highlighted language in the	03:48:25PM 4	THE COURT: All right.
5:32PM 5	center of that page.	03:48:25PM 5	BY MR. SMITH:
5:54PM 6	A. I see it.	03:48:35PM 6	Q. Now, Dr. Angel, did you prepare this entire document?
5:55PM 7	Q. Okay. And essentially is it fair to say that GAO was	03:48:38PM 7	A. Yes, I did.
5:00PM 8	talking about the problems with tribal IIM accounts and the fact	03:48:39PM 8	Q. Did anybody outside of your company assist you in putting
3:04PM 9	that they couldn't separate individual transactions from tribal	03:48:44PM 9	together this information?
:07РМ 10	accounts, is that fair?	03:48:45PM 10	A. No one outside of Morgan Angel.
:08РМ 11	A. That's a fair statement, but this is after the period that	03:48:49PM 11	Q. Did you personally compile all the information in here?
13PM 12	I, you know, was reviewing.	03:48:51PM 12	A. With the assistance of other Morgan Angel staff.
14PM 13	Q. Okay. Do you know what kind of review GAO was attempting	03:48:57PM 13	Q. And it's fair to say, as you've already testified, looking
18PM 14	to do?	03:49:01PM 14	on the left-hand first two columns, there's a number of years
19PM 15	A. I don't recall at this moment. I don't recall this report,	03:49:05PM 15	you found no receipt and disbursement information, is that fair?
23PM 16	this specific report.	03:49:08PM 16	A. That's correct.
24PM 17	Q. Are you familiar with the special procedures pilot work	03:49:09PM 17	Q. Particularly up to 1908 and then 1913 to I believe 1921; is
31PM 18	that was done for the five tribes that is mentioned in this	03:49:20PM 18	that correct?
35PM 19	paragraph?	03:49:20PM 19	A. Please? I'm sorry.
35PM 20	A. No.	03:49:22PM 20	Q. You don't have receipt and disbursement information up to
36PM 21	Q. How far back in history it went?	03:49:26PM 21	1909 and then 1912 through I believe it was 1921?
39PM 22	A. No.	03:49:30PM 22	A. That's correct.
39PM 23	Q. Suffice it to say that you have not attempted to separate	03:49:31PM 23	Q. Now, you do have over on the right-hand side balance
45PM 24	tribal IIM or tribal money from individual money that may be in	03:49:36PM 24	information or what you call total IIM system funds?
50PM 25	the tribal IIM accounts, is that fair?	03:49:40PM 25	A. Yes.
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
4	853		855
:52PM 1	A. That's fair.	03:49:40PM 1	Q. And is it your understanding that this balance information
52PM Z	Q. And you are not aware of anybody who's been authorized to	03:49:48PM 2	was information NORC was going to use in some way in their
55PM 3	try to do that, is that fair?	03:49:53PM 3	calculations?
56PM 4	A. I don't know if it was done during the electronic period or	03:49:53PM 4	A. Yes.
59PM 5	not.	03:49:53PM 5	Q. And do you know how they intended to use that work, that
59PM 5	Q. So today you can't tell us how much of that ten to fifteen	03:49:58PM 6	information, did you discuss that with them?
03PM /	percent you've calculated is actually individual money in those	03:49:59PM 7	A. No. I don't know exactly how they were. I am not quite
оерм 8	tribal accounts?	03:50:06PM 8	aware of their methodology.
отрм 9	A. No.	03:50:08PM 9	Q. Okay. You're not a statistician?
отрм 10	Q. You talked about, I believe it was DX 32, and you probably	03:50:11PM 10	A. Yes.
19PM 11	recall it's a 1909 report that mentioned money being returned to	03:50:11PM 11	Q. And neither am I. We're on even terms.
25PM 12	unsuccessful bidders. Do you recall that?	03:50:15PM 12	But you understood that NORC was going to be relying
27PM 13	A. Okay.	03:50:19PM 13	on the information that you provided in these figures?
30PM 14	Q. I believe you showed us a 1909 report and a 1910 report	03:50:21PM 14	A. Yes.
15 16	that has a similar figure.	03:50:23PM 15	Q. For example, if we look at the balance information on
ирм 16 47	A. That's correct, yes. I don't remember whether it was 1910,	03:50:32PM 16	the let's go down to 1919.
9PM 17	1911, but yes, you're right, I did show two of those reports.	03:50:34PM 17	A. Um-hmm.
2PM 18	Q. Can you tell me another year where it's contained in an	03:50:35PM 18	Q. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. If we could go to the prior page.
15PM 19	annual report that where collection information includes money	03:50:57PM 19	A. I apologize.
эрм 20	to be returned to bidders?	03:51:02PM 20	Q. And if you look on the far right column, it says
1РМ 21	A. I did not see.	03:51:05PM 21	specifically what exhibit your balance numbers come from, is
4PM 22	Q. So you're aware of those two years and those two years	03:51:09PM 22	that fair?
	alone?	03:51:09PM 23	A. Correct.
	A That's correct	03:51:10PM 24	Q. So for example, 1912 through 1917, it will come from?
59РМ 24	A. That's correct.		
59РМ 24	Q. Let's talk about DX 483.	03:51:16PM 25	DX 4-25 for DX 74-2, is that fair?
23 59PM 24 00PM 25		03:51:16PM 25	DX 4-25 for DX 74-2, is that fair? Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter

	856		858
03:51:23PM 1	A. I wonder if I might ask everybody's indulgence and just get	03:54:37PM 1	A. Yes.
03:51:28PM 2	a paper copy of this. May I get a paper copy of this rather	03:54:37PM 2	Q. When you prepared DX 483, did you note on there that for
03:51:32PM 3	than	03:54:47PM 3	those years the data was incomplete?
03:51:33PM 4	MR. SMITH: If I may approach, your Honor.	03:54:48PM 4	A. We did not.
03:51:37PM 5	THE WITNESS: This one is just a little bit easier for	03:54:49PM 5	Q. You did have a footnote on that particular column; is that
03:51:40PM 6	me to read. Thanks. Oh, not greatly easier.	03:54:54PM 6	correct, if you could go back to DX 483?
03:51:43PM 7	(Witness reviews document.)	03:54:59PM 7	A. Yes.
03:52:14PM 8	Q. Okay?	03:55:07PM 8	Q. I believe it's footnote three, under total IIM system
03:52:15PM 9	A. Uh-huh.	03:55:12PM 9	funds. Do you see that?
03:52:15PM 10	Q. If you look at the right-hand column, those balance numbers	03:55:13PM 10	A. Right.
03:52:18PM 11	for those earlier years beginning in 1912 come from a document	03:55:15PM 11	Q. And if you look at footnote three, which is on the last
03:52:22PM 12	DX 374102, at least the complete version, is that fair?	03:55:20PM 12	page, page four, it says, total IIM may include tribal funds and
03:52:27PM 13	A. Uh-huh, yes.	03:55:29PM 13	other funds temporarily placed in special deposit accounts. Do
03:52:28PM 14	Q. And if we could look at DX 374. And is this a copy of that	03:55:33PM 14	you see that?
03:52:44PM 15	particular document?	03:55:33PM 15	A. That's correct, yes.
03:52:45PM 16	A. Yes. That's a copy of the report of the commissioner of	03:55:34PM 16	Q. And can you tell us why you also did not mention that the
03:52:47PM 17	Indian Affairs for the fiscal year ended June 30th, 1919.	03:55:36PM 17	data was incomplete?
03:52:51PM 18	Q. And if we could look at page 102 of that document. And	03:55:37PM 18	A. No.
03:53:07PM 19	does this appear to be the column where that information came	03:55:42PM 19	Q. If you go to the first page again, who came up with the
03:53:10PM 20	from for those early years?	03:55:51PM 20	title Total IIM System Funds, is that something you came up
03:53:13PM 21	A. Yes.	03:55:55PM 21	with?
03:53:13PM 22	Q. And if you focus in, it says funds in banks and in hands of	03:55:56PM 22	A. That's something we discussed in our office.
03:53:17PM 23	superintendents and then has a list, 1919, 30 million 9 hundred	03:55:58PM 23	Q. Did you discuss it with anybody at Interior or Justice?
03:53:24PM 24	30-some thousand, 1918, 23. And that corresponds to the balance	03:56:03PM 24	A. We talked about ITM system funds with Justice, certainly.
03:53:31PM 25	information in your report?	03:56:10PM 25	Q. And did you
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	857		850
03:53:32PM 1	A. Yes.	03:56:12PM 1	A. Or please.
03:53:32PM 1	A. Yes.	03:56:12PM 1	A. Or please.
2	A. Yes.Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some	_	A. Or please.Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you.
03:53:32PM 2	A. Yes.	03:56:13PM 2	A. Or please.
03:53:32PM 2 03:53:41PM 3	A. Yes.Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the	03:56:13PM 2 03:56:16PM 3	A. Or please.Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you.A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize.
03:53:32PM 2 03:53:41PM 3 03:53:44PM 4	A. Yes.Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair?	03:56:13PM 2 03:56:16PM 3 03:56:20PM 4	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with
03:53:32PM	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. 	03:56:13PM 2 03:56:18PM 3 03:56:20PM 4 03:56:22PM 5	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question?
03:53:32PM 2 03:53:41PM 3 03:53:44PM 4 03:53:45PM 5 03:53:45PM 6	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some 	03:56:13PM 2 03:56:16PM 3 03:56:20PM 4 03:56:22PM 5 03:56:24PM 6	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 3 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.45PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.50PM 7	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special 	03:56:13PM 2 03:56:18PM 3 03:56:20PM 4 03:56:22PM 5 03:56:22PM 6 03:56:28PM 7	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with?
03:53:32PM 2 03:53:41PM 3 03:53:44PM 4 03:53:45PM 5 03:53:45PM 6 03:53:50PM 7 03:53:54PM 8	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? 	03:56:13PM 2 03:56:13PM 3 03:56:22PM 4 03:56:22PM 5 03:56:22PM 6 03:56:22PM 7 03:56:28PM 8	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 3 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.45PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.50PM 7	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. 	03:56:19PM 2 03:56:19PM 3 03:56:20PM 4 03:56:22PM 5 03:56:24PM 6 03:56:24PM 8 03:56:24PM 9	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 8 03.53.54PM 9 03.53.56PM 10 03.53.59PM 11 03.53.59PM 11	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that 	03:56:13PM 2 03:56:13PM 3 03:56:20PM 4 03:56:22PM 5 03:56:24PM 6 03:56:24PM 7 03:56:24PM 8 03:56:31PM 9 03:56:33PM 10	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me.
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 8 03.53.54PM 9 03.53.56PM 10 03.53.56PM 11 03.54.05PM 12 03.54.05PM 13	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? 	035613PM 2 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035623PM 7 035633PM 10 035633PM 11 035634PM 12 035644PM 13	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct?
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 8 03.53.54PM 10 03.53.54PM 11 03.53.54PM 12 03.54.05PM 12	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. 	035613PM 2 035613PM 3 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035623PM 8 035623PM 10 035633PM 11 035634PM 12 035634PM 13 035634PM 13	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct.
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 8 03.53.54PM 10 03.53.56PM 11 03.53.56PM 12 03.54.05PM 13 03.54.05PM 14 03.54.05PM 15	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. 	035613PM 2 035613PM 3 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035623PM 8 035633PM 10 035633PM 11 035634PM 11 035634PM 12 035634PM 13 035644PM 13	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 8 03.53.54PM 10 03.53.54PM 11 03.54.01PM 12 03.54.05PM 13 03.54.05PM 14 03.54.05PM 15	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, 	035613PM 2 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035623PM 7 035623PM 10 035633PM 11 035634PM 12 035644PM 13 035644PM 13 035644PM 15 035645PM 15	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column?
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.45PM 9 03.53.56PM 9 03.53.56PM 11 03.54.01PM 12 03.54.05PM 13 03.54.05PM 14 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.05PM 16	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says 	035613PM 2 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035623PM 9 035633PM 10 035633PM 11 035634PM 12 035644PM 13 035645PM 14 035645PM 15 035655PM 16	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes.
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.45PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.50PM 7 03.53.54PM 8 03.53.54PM 10 03.53.56PM 11 03.54.05PM 12 03.54.05PM 13 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.13PM 17 03.54.13PM 18	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says data incomplete. It doesn't refer to special deposit accounts, 	035613PM 2 035613PM 3 035612PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035624PM 8 035633PM 10 035633PM 11 035643PM 12 035644PM 13 035644PM 15 035645PM 15 035653PM 16 035653PM 17 035653PM 17	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes. Q. Now, when you were looking at these charts, did you try to
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 3 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.45PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.50PM 7 03.53.54PM 9 03.53.54PM 10 03.53.56PM 11 03.54.05PM 12 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.05PM 17 03.54.05PM 17 03.54.05PM 18	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says data incomplete. It doesn't refer to special deposit accounts, is that fair? 	035613PM 2 035613PM 3 03562PM 4 03562PM 5 03562PM 6 03562PM 8 03562PM 10 03563PM 10 03563PM 11 03564PM 12 03564PM 13 03564PM 15 03565PM 16 03565PM 16 03565PM 17 03565PM 18	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes. Q. Now, when you were looking at these charts, did you try to go back and verify whether the information in the charts was
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.45PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 8 03.53.56PM 9 03.53.56PM 10 03.53.56PM 11 03.54.01PM 12 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.12PM 17 03.54.13PM 18 03.54.22PM 19 03.54.22PM 20	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says data incomplete. It doesn't refer to special deposit accounts, is that fair? A. Correct. 	03:56:13PM 2 03:56:13PM 4 03:56:24PM 5 03:56:24PM 6 03:56:24PM 7 03:56:24PM 9 03:56:34PM 10 03:56:34PM 12 03:56:44PM 13 03:56:45PM 14 03:56:45PM 15 03:56:54PM 16 03:56:54PM 17 03:56:54PM 17 03:56:54PM 18 03:57:04PM 19 03:57:04PM 20	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes. Q. Now, when you were looking at these charts, did you try to go back and verify whether the information in the charts was accurate?
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.45PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 8 03.53.56PM 10 03.53.56PM 11 03.54.01PM 12 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.12PM 17 03.54.12PM 18 03.54.22PM 19 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.22PM 20	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says data incomplete. It doesn't refer to special deposit accounts, is that fair? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at the columns, if you go back to the full 	035613PM 2 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035622PM 9 03563PM 10 03563PM 11 03563PM 12 03564PM 13 03564PM 15 03565PM 16 03565PM 17 03565PM 17 03565PM 17 03565PM 18 03570PM 19 03570PM 20 03570PM 20	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes. Q. Now, when you were looking at these charts, did you try to go back and verify whether the information in the charts was accurate? A. To the degree that we could we did. But for the most part
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 3 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.45PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 9 03.53.54PM 10 03.53.56PM 11 03.54.05PM 11 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.12PM 17 03.54.12PM 18 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.22PM 21 03.54.22PM 22	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says data incomplete. It doesn't refer to special deposit accounts, is that fair? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at the columns, if you go back to the full page, there's a lot of blanks? 	035613PM 2 035613PM 3 03562PM 4 03562PM 5 03562PM 6 03562PM 8 03562PM 10 03563PM 10 03563PM 11 03563PM 12 03563PM 15 03563PM 15 03563PM 15 03563PM 16 03563PM 17 03565PM 18 03570PM 19 03570PM 20 03570PM 21	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes. Q. Now, when you were looking at these charts, did you try to go back and verify whether the information in the charts was accurate? A. To the degree that we could we did. But for the most part by looking at individual agencies, for example, which are often,
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.32PM 3 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.44PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 9 03.53.54PM 10 03.53.54PM 11 03.54.05PM 11 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.05PM 17 03.54.05PM 18 03.54.22PM 19 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.23PM 21 03.54.23PM 21 03.54.23PM 21	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says data incomplete. It doesn't refer to special deposit accounts, is that fair? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at the columns, if you go back to the full page, there's a lot of blanks? A. Yes. 	035613PM 2 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035623PM 9 035633PM 10 035633PM 11 035634PM 12 035634PM 15 035635PM 16 035635PM 16 035635PM 17 035635PM 18 035702PM 20 035702PM 20 035702PM 21 035702PM 22 035702PM 23	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes. Q. Now, when you were looking at these charts, did you try to go back and verify whether the information in the charts was accurate? A. To the degree that we could we did. But for the most part by looking at individual agencies, for example, which are often, you know, shown in these charts, but, no, we could not do a
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.41PM 4 03.53.44PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.45PM 8 03.53.56PM 9 03.53.56PM 10 03.53.56PM 11 03.54.01PM 12 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.12PM 17 03.54.12PM 18 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.23PM 21 03.54.23PM 22 03.54.36PM 23 03.54.36PM 24	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says data incomplete. It doesn't refer to special deposit accounts, is that fair? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at the columns, if you go back to the full page, there's a lot of blanks? A. Yes. Q. And as you mentioned, if you go back to 1916, 17, 18, 	035613PM 2 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035623PM 9 035633PM 10 035633PM 11 035635PM 12 035634PM 13 035635PM 14 035635PM 15 035635PM 16 035635PM 17 035635PM 17 035635PM 17 035635PM 17 035635PM 17 035635PM 18 035702PM 20 035702PM 20 035702PM 20 035702PM 21 035702PM 22 035712PM 23 035712PM 24	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes. Q. Now, when you were looking at these charts, did you try to go back and verify whether the information in the charts was accurate? A. To the degree that we could we did. But for the most part by looking at individual agencies, for example, which are often, you know, shown in these charts, but, no, we could not do a specific agency-by-agency review.
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.32PM 3 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.44PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.45PM 7 03.53.54PM 9 03.53.54PM 10 03.53.54PM 11 03.54.05PM 11 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.05PM 17 03.54.05PM 18 03.54.22PM 19 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.23PM 21 03.54.23PM 21 03.54.23PM 21	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says data incomplete. It doesn't refer to special deposit accounts, is that fair? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at the columns, if you go back to the full page, there's a lot of blanks? A. Yes. 	035613PM 2 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035623PM 9 035633PM 10 035633PM 11 035634PM 12 035634PM 15 035635PM 16 035635PM 16 035635PM 17 035635PM 18 035702PM 20 035702PM 20 035702PM 21 035702PM 22 035702PM 23	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes. Q. Now, when you were looking at these charts, did you try to go back and verify whether the information in the charts was accurate? A. To the degree that we could we did. But for the most part by looking at individual agencies, for example, which are often, you know, shown in these charts, but, no, we could not do a
03.53.32PM 2 03.53.32PM 3 03.53.44PM 4 03.53.45PM 5 03.53.45PM 6 03.53.54PM 7 03.53.54PM 9 03.53.54PM 10 03.53.55PM 11 03.54.05PM 12 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 15 03.54.05PM 16 03.54.12PM 17 03.54.12PM 18 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.22PM 20 03.54.23PM 21 03.54.23PM 22 03.54.36PM 23 03.54.36PM 24	 A. Yes. Q. Now, it was mentioned earlier today that at least on some of the later reports like this there is a footnote there at the top, is that fair? A. Yes. Q. And I believe Mr. Dorris was asking Ms. Herman about some subsequent to 1920 and they say data incomplete, special deposits are not included? A. Yes. Q. And in the early years has that same footnote, is that fair, or a similar footnote? A. My understanding is the first one that appears is the 1916 report. Q. Okay. A. And the last one that appears is the 1921 report. Q. Okay. And if we could focus in on footnote number one, which is at the bottom of the page. This time it simply says data incomplete. It doesn't refer to special deposit accounts, is that fair? A. Correct. Q. And if you look at the columns, if you go back to the full page, there's a lot of blanks? A. Yes. Q. And as you mentioned, if you go back to 1916, 17, 18, you'll see similar issues; is that correct? 	035613PM 2 035613PM 4 035622PM 5 035622PM 6 035622PM 7 035623PM 9 035633PM 10 035633PM 11 035635PM 12 035634PM 13 035635PM 14 035635PM 15 035635PM 16 035635PM 17 035635PM 17 035635PM 17 035635PM 17 035635PM 17 035635PM 18 035702PM 20 035702PM 20 035702PM 20 035702PM 21 035702PM 22 035712PM 23 035712PM 24	 A. Or please. Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead. I don't mean to interrupt you. A. No, I interrupted you and I apologize. Justice Department or Interior did not come up with this title. Is that, or is that your question? Q. My question was who came up with it, that is something you came up with? A. I would have come up with it or, you know, it would have been discussed in my office, but I would certainly if it was discussed in my office it would be me. Q. Okay. Now, that's different phraseology than what's on the actual reports. The reports actually say Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So it's somewhat different than how you've labeled that particular column? A. Yes. Q. Now, when you were looking at these charts, did you try to go back and verify whether the information in the charts was accurate? A. To the degree that we could we did. But for the most part by looking at individual agencies, for example, which are often, you know, shown in these charts, but, no, we could not do a specific agency-by-agency review. Q. So some of the agencies actually do their own reports and

	860		862
03:57:24PM 1	have some receipt data in there; is that correct?	04:03:29PM 1	Q. But do you have anything for 1913?
03:57:28PM 2	A. Please say that again. I'm sorry.	04:03:31PM 2	A. I don't.
03:57:32PM 3	Q. Sure. Some of the, for example, in 1919 there may be some	04:03:32PM 3	Q. Okay. So if you went back and you saw this document you
03:57:36PM 4	agencies that did their own individual reports and you can	04:03:37PM 4	would realize that there should be some money in Treasury for
03:57:40PM 5	compare it to the full set of data contained on that chart; is	04:03:40PM 5	1913; is that correct?
03:57:45PM 6	that correct?	04:03:40PM 6	A. I'd have to look at this a little bit more closely, Mr.
03:57:45PM 7	A. No, I'm sorry, that's not what I'm saying. I was just	04:03:50PM 7	Smith. I've got to admit that this one has kind of got me a
03:57:50PM 8	going through the chart itself comparing it to previous and post	04:03:54PM 8	little bit confused. I don't know if we're talking strictly
03:57:55PM 9	years, taking whatever historical context I could have in	04:03:57PM 9	individual Indian monies or individual Indian monies and tribal
03:58:02PM 10	putting it in that, but I couldn't actually do an	04:04:01PM 10	funds in this particular paragraph. That's what I'm saying,
03:58:04PM 11	agency-by-agency review.	04:04:04PM 11	trying to determine right now.
03:58:05PM 12	Q. Okay. Have you ever seen annual reports by the Union	04:04:05PM 12	Q. Okay. Let's go on and look at another one. Let's look at
03:58:11PM 13	Agency for the five civilized tribes?	04:04:10PM 13	Exhibit 91. Does this appear to be the annual report for 1915?
03:58:13PM 14	A. I have. Well, no. I've seen annual reports of various	04:04:24PM 14	A. Yes.
03:58:16PM 15	agencies from National Archives materials.	04:04:25PM 15	Q. And if we could look at page 198. And again, you have the
03:58:20PM 16	Q. Okay. And one of those would be the Union Agency for the	04:04:34PM 16	same chart as we've seen in prior years' funds in hands of
03:58:26PM 17	five civilized tribes. Have you seen that?	04:04:39PM 17	superintendents, correct? And actually, once again we have the
03:58:27PM 18	A. It could be. I don't recall specifically seeing it.	04:04:44PM 18 04:04:47PM 19	footnotes at least it was there in 1915 as well, correct?
03:58:30PM 19 03:58:54PM 20	Q. Let's look at Exhibit 121. Does this appear to be an	04:04:47PM 19	A. Yes. O And if we could turn to page 201. And once again if we
03:58:54PM 20	annual report for 1913? A. It does.	04:04:47PM 20	Q. And if we could turn to page 201. And once again if we could focus in on the five civilized tribes you see an entry
03:58:55PM 21	Q. And if you can to page 259 of that particular report. I	04:04:58PM 21	there for \$2.8 million?
03:58:58PM 22	apologize, your Honor. If we could look at page 259. I'm	04:05:02PM 22	A. Correct.
04:00:06PM 23	sorry. 262, I apologize. And do you see the similar table to	04:05:03PM 23	Q. And if we could look at page 376 and focus in on the
04:00:52PM 25	the ones we've looked at before at the top of the page, Value of	04:05:17PM 25	section individual funds. If you could take a look at that.
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	861		863
04:00:55PM 1	Indians Individual Property, June 30, 1913?	04:05:49PM 1	Does it indicate once again that individual money is being
04:01:01PM 2	A. It's the same. In other words, if we scrolled up a couple	04:05:52PM 2	deposited with Treasury, and in this case, approximately
04:01:05PM 3	of pages we'd have the same heading.	04:05:56PM 3	\$210,000?
04:01:08PM 4	Q. Yeah. And do you see the column, third one, Funds in	04:05:57PM 4	A. I understand what you're saying, and I think what's
6	Banks, etcetera?	04:06:00PM 5	throwing me on this, Mr. Smith, is there something called
04:01:13PM 7	A. Uh-huh, yes. O. And do you see an entry for the five civilized tribes of	7	Treasury Checking Accounts that I don't know that I'm not that
04:01:14PM 7	Q. And do you see an entry for the five civilized tribes of \$1,441,918?	04:06:09PM 7	familiar with, and that's why I don't know what this means. It's going into the general fund of the United States Treasury,
04:01:22PM 9	A. I do.	04:06:14PM 9	the Treasury general account, or whether this indicates that
04:01:23PM 10	Q. If we could look at page 461 of the same document. And	04:06:23PM 10	it's going into a Treasury checking account which was used for
04:01:29PM 11	does it appear that there's a discussion from the Union Agency	04:06:29PM 11	disbursements. I don't know.
04:01:32PM 12	of how much money they had for the five civilized tribes?	04:06:30PM 12	
1			Q. Regardless of that, it says the \$210,000 was deposited with
04:02:04PM 13	A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913.	04:06:34PM 13	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct?
04:02:04PM 13 04:02:17PM 14			
	A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913.	04:06:34PM 13	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct?
04:02:17PM 14	A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913.Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:37PM 14	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct.
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15	A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913.Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair?	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:37PM 14 04:06:38PM 15	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15 04:02:22PM 16	 A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913. Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair? A. That's correct. 	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:37PM 14 04:06:38PM 15 04:06:43PM 16	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more than the number on your chart?
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15 04:02:22PM 16 04:02:24PM 17	 A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913. Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, it also mentioned another fact. It says they 	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:37PM 14 04:06:38PM 15 04:06:43PM 16 04:06:43PM 17	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more than the number on your chart? A. Yes.
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15 04:02:22PM 16 04:02:24PM 17 04:02:24PM 18 04:02:35PM 19 04:02:35PM 20	 A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913. Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, it also mentioned another fact. It says they deposited \$328,596.18 with the Treasury. Do you see that? 	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:37PM 14 04:06:38PM 15 04:06:43PM 16 04:06:44PM 17 04:06:45PM 18	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more than the number on your chart? A. Yes. Q. So to the extent money was deposited with the treasurer of
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15 04:02:22PM 16 04:02:24PM 17 04:02:24PM 18 04:02:35PM 19	 A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913. Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, it also mentioned another fact. It says they deposited \$328,596.18 with the Treasury. Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. 	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:37PM 14 04:06:38PM 15 04:06:43PM 16 04:06:43PM 17 04:06:45PM 18	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more than the number on your chart? A. Yes. Q. So to the extent money was deposited with the treasurer of the United States, apparently it was not included on that column
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15 04:02:22PM 16 04:02:24PM 17 04:02:24PM 18 04:02:35PM 19 04:02:35PM 20	 A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913. Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, it also mentioned another fact. It says they deposited \$328,596.18 with the Treasury. Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. Can you tell us where your chart accounts for money 	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:37PM 14 04:06:38PM 15 04:06:43PM 16 04:06:43PM 17 04:06:45PM 18 04:06:53PM 19 04:06:55PM 20	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more than the number on your chart? A. Yes. Q. So to the extent money was deposited with the treasurer of the United States, apparently it was not included on that column that we've been looking at, is that fair?
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15 04:02:22PM 16 04:02:22PM 17 04:02:23PM 18 04:02:33PM 20 04:02:37PM 20 04:02:37PM 21 04:03:19PM 22 04:03:23PM 23	 A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913. Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, it also mentioned another fact. It says they deposited \$328,596.18 with the Treasury. Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. Can you tell us where your chart accounts for money actually deposited in the Treasury? And Doctor, I don't mean to 	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:34PM 14 04:06:38PM 15 04:06:43PM 16 04:06:43PM 17 04:06:43PM 19 04:06:53PM 20 04:06:55PM 21 04:07:00PM 22 04:07:04PM 23	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more than the number on your chart? A. Yes. Q. So to the extent money was deposited with the treasurer of the United States, apparently it was not included on that column that we've been looking at, is that fair? A. The column on the chart, you know, reflects what was in
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15 04:02:22PM 16 04:02:24PM 17 04:02:24PM 19 04:02:35PM 20 04:02:35PM 21 04:03:19PM 22 04:03:25PM 23 04:03:25PM 24	 A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913. Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, it also mentioned another fact. It says they deposited \$328,596.18 with the Treasury. Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. Can you tell us where your chart accounts for money actually deposited in the Treasury? And Doctor, I don't mean to confuse you. Is it fair to say that if individual Indian money was placed into the Treasury it wouldn't be reflected in your chart; is that correct? 	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:37PM 14 04:06:38PM 15 04:06:43PM 16 04:06:43PM 17 04:06:45PM 18 04:06:53PM 20 04:06:55PM 21 04:07:04PM 23 04:07:04PM 23	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more than the number on your chart? A. Yes. Q. So to the extent money was deposited with the treasurer of the United States, apparently it was not included on that column that we've been looking at, is that fair? A. The column on the chart, you know, reflects what was in funds held in the top of the chart, the top line of the chart. Q. Okay. So funds held in banks and in the hands of superintendents?
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15 04:02:22PM 16 04:02:22PM 17 04:02:23PM 18 04:02:33PM 19 04:02:33PM 20 04:02:33PM 21 04:03:19PM 22 04:03:23PM 23	 A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913. Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, it also mentioned another fact. It says they deposited \$328,596.18 with the Treasury. Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. Can you tell us where your chart accounts for money actually deposited in the Treasury? And Doctor, I don't mean to confuse you. Is it fair to say that if individual Indian money was placed into the Treasury it wouldn't be reflected in your chart; is that correct? A. We do have a column for that. 	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:34PM 14 04:06:38PM 15 04:06:43PM 16 04:06:43PM 17 04:06:43PM 19 04:06:53PM 20 04:06:55PM 21 04:07:00PM 22 04:07:04PM 23	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more than the number on your chart? A. Yes. Q. So to the extent money was deposited with the treasurer of the United States, apparently it was not included on that column that we've been looking at, is that fair? A. The column on the chart, you know, reflects what was in funds held in the top of the chart, the top line of the chart. Q. Okay. So funds held in banks and in the hands of superintendents? A. Correct.
04:02:17PM 14 04:02:19PM 15 04:02:22PM 16 04:02:24PM 17 04:02:24PM 19 04:02:35PM 20 04:02:35PM 21 04:03:19PM 22 04:03:25PM 23 04:03:25PM 24	 A. \$1,651,000 on June 30th, 1913. Q. Approximately two hundred thousand more than was in the chart that you used; is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Now, it also mentioned another fact. It says they deposited \$328,596.18 with the Treasury. Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. Can you tell us where your chart accounts for money actually deposited in the Treasury? And Doctor, I don't mean to confuse you. Is it fair to say that if individual Indian money was placed into the Treasury it wouldn't be reflected in your chart; is that correct? 	04:06:34PM 13 04:06:37PM 14 04:06:38PM 15 04:06:43PM 16 04:06:43PM 17 04:06:45PM 18 04:06:53PM 20 04:06:55PM 21 04:07:04PM 23 04:07:04PM 23	the treasurer of the United States; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that number is \$2.8 million is roughly \$210,000 more than the number on your chart? A. Yes. Q. So to the extent money was deposited with the treasurer of the United States, apparently it was not included on that column that we've been looking at, is that fair? A. The column on the chart, you know, reflects what was in funds held in the top of the chart, the top line of the chart. Q. Okay. So funds held in banks and in the hands of superintendents?

	864		866
1		1	
04:07:08PM 1	Q. And to the extent Treasury had money, it's not on your	04:10:33PM	A. Yes.
04:07:11PM 2	chart?	04:10:33PM 2	Q. And if we could focus in on the highlighted language. It
04:07:11PM 3	A. That's correct.	04:10:38PM 3	says, Probably the most noteworthy showing was the subscription
04:07:12PM 4	Q. How about Osage, did you include Osage money in your chart?	04:10:41PM 4	of seven Indians, including incompetents and minors aggregating
04:07:18PM 3	A. I did.	04:10:46PM 5	roughly \$3.9 million. Individual amounts range from 50 to
04:07:18PM 6	Q. Let's look at Exhibit 123. Does this appear to be a report	04:10:52PM 6	\$640,000?
04:07:29PM	of the Department of Interior, 1917 annual report?	04:10:52PM	A. Yes, I see it.
04:07:32PM 8	A. It does.	04:10:53PM 8	Q. And then the largest subscription it says was by someone
04:07:33PM 9	Q. And if we could look at page 194. And once again we have	04:10:57PM 9	through his guardian, is that fair?
04:07:43PM 10	the same chart with the same footnote at the top?	04:10:59PM 10	A. Um-hmm.
04:07:46PM 11	A. Correct.	04:11:00PM 11	Q. If you look at page 194 of this document.
04:07:46PM 12	Q. And individual funds in banks and in hands of	04:11:12PM 12	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: I'm going to object, your Honor,
04:07:49PM 13	superintendents?	04:11:14PM 13	as to relevance. I'm not sure how liberty loan bonds are
04:07:50PM 14	A. Um-hmm.	04:11:18PM 14	pertinent to IIM.
04:07:50PM 15	Q. And if we could turn to page 197. Actually let's turn to	04:11:20PM 15	THE COURT: We may find out. Overruled.
04:08:16PM 16	page 339. And do you see the section starting the problem	04:11:24PM 16	BY MR. SMITH:
04:08:32PM 17	with?	04:11:24PM 17	Q. Were individual monies invested in liberty loan bonds?
04:08:33PM 18	A. Um-hmm.	04:11:28PM 18	A. Yes, they were.
04:08:33PM 19	Q. If you read that, does it indicate there are nearly \$5.7	04:11:29PM 19 04:11:33PM 20	Q. And looking at this chart, if you look down at the
04:08:39PM 20 04:08:43PM 21	million of trust funds in the United States Treasury belonging the Osage Indians?	04:11:33PM 20	footnotes, second page, try third page here, are you aware of anywhere in these charts that talks about these charts including
04:08:45PM 21	A. Yes, but that doesn't say whether they're tribal funds or	04:11:46PM 21	liberty loan bonds? That's my question to you.
04:08:48PM 23	not, and if they're tribal funds they'd be earning interest, and	04:11:59PM 23	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Same objection, your Honor.
04:08:51PM 24	that's what again is kind of throwing me about this.	04:12:01PM 24	THE WITNESS: My guess is they were included in
04:08:54PM 25	Q. Does it say it is estimated that the per capita portion of	04:12:03PM 25	individual Indian monies.
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	865		967
	000		867
04:08:59PM 1	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per	04:12:05PM 1	BY MR. SMITH:
04:08:59PM 1 04:09:02PM 2		04:12:05PM 1	
•	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per	_	BY MR. SMITH:
04:09:02PM 2	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal?	04:12:05PM 2	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts?
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual.	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:08PM 3	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3 04:09:04PM 4	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay.	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:08PM 3 04:12:12PM 4	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM.
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:07PM 5	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of
04.09.03PM 2 04.09.03PM 3 04.09.04PM 4 04.09.04PM 5 04.09.12PM 6	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:17PM 6	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks?
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:07PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:12PM 7	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:17PM 6 04:12:17PM 7	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes.
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:07PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:15PM 7 04:09:20PM 8	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:17PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:18PM 8	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:07PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:13PM 7 04:09:20PM 8 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:27PM 10 04:09:31PM 11	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning.	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:13PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:17PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:18PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:46PM 10 04:13:05PM 11	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts?
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:04PM 6 04:09:13PM 7 04:09:23PM 8 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:24PM 10 04:09:31PM 11 04:09:33PM 12	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know?	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:15PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:45PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:12PM 7 04:09:22PM 8 04:09:22PM 9 04:09:22PM 10 04:09:31PM 11 04:09:32PM 12 04:09:35PM 13	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know.	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 7 04:12:18PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:46PM 10 04:13:08PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:07PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:13PM 7 04:09:20PM 8 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:27PM 10 04:09:31PM 11 04:09:33PM 12 04:09:35PM 13 04:09:35PM 14	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct.	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:13PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:18PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:46PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:20PM 14	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct.
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:07PM 5 04:09:13PM 6 04:09:13PM 7 04:09:20PM 8 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:24PM 10 04:09:31PM 11 04:09:33PM 12 04:09:35PM 13 04:09:35PM 14 04:09:35PM 15	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are?	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:15PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:45PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:20PM 14	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was
04.09.02PM 2 04.09.03PM 4 04.09.04PM 5 04.09.07PM 5 04.09.15PM 7 04.09.20PM 8 04.09.24PM 9 04.09.24PM 10 04.09.31PM 11 04.09.32PM 12 04.09.35PM 13 04.09.35PM 14 04.09.35PM 15	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes.	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 7 04:12:13PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:45PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:20PM 14 04:13:20PM 15	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair?
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:07PM 6 04:09:13PM 7 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:24PM 10 04:09:34PM 11 04:09:34PM 12 04:09:35PM 13 04:09:35PM 15 04:09:35PM 16 04:09:35PM 16	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds?	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:13PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 7 04:12:18PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:48PM 10 04:13:48PM 11 04:13:48PM 13 04:13:20PM 14 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:23PM 15 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 16	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair.
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 3 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:13PM 6 04:09:13PM 7 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:24PM 10 04:09:34PM 11 04:09:34PM 12 04:09:35PM 13 04:09:35PM 14 04:09:35PM 15 04:09:35PM 16 04:09:35PM 17 04:09:35PM 17	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds? A. Those were bonds that were issued during World War 1 and	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:15PM 10 04:12:15PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:25PM 16 04:13:25PM 16	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And once again, the column is Funds in Banks and In
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:04PM 6 04:09:15PM 7 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:24PM 11 04:09:31PM 11 04:09:33PM 12 04:09:35PM 15 04:09:35PM 15 04:09:35PM 16 04:09:35PM 17 04:09:35PM 17	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds? A. Those were bonds that were issued during World War 1 and they formed a fairly significant amount of IIM purchases.	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 7 04:12:13PM 8 04:12:17PM 9 04:12:45PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:45PM 12 04:13:45PM 13 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:20PM 17	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And once again, the column is Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents, so once again, it would not include
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 4 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:07PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:12PM 7 04:09:22PM 9 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:24PM 11 04:09:33PM 11 04:09:33PM 12 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 16 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 18	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds? A. Those were bonds that were issued during World War 1 and they formed a fairly significant amount of IIM purchases. Q. Okay. And are liberty loan bonds included in your chart?	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:18PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:46PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:29PM 15 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 17 04:13:23PM 18	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And once again, the column is Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents, so once again, it would not include money in Treasury, is that fair?
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 4 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:13PM 6 04:09:13PM 7 04:09:23PM 9 04:09:24PM 9 04:09:23PM 11 04:09:33PM 12 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 16 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 18 04:09:53PM 19 04:09:53PM 20 04:10:00PM 21	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds? A. Those were bonds that were issued during World War 1 and they formed a fairly significant amount of IIM purchases. Q. Okay. And are liberty loan bonds included in your chart? A. My understanding is that they are, just from reviewing the	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:13PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:18PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:48PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 17 04:13:23PM 17 04:13:23PM 18 04:13:23PM 19 04:13:35PM 19 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20	 BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And once again, the column is Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents, so once again, it would not include money in Treasury, is that fair? A. That's fair.
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 4 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:13PM 6 04:09:13PM 7 04:09:22PM 9 04:09:22PM 10 04:09:33PM 11 04:09:33PM 12 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 16 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:35PM 19 04:09:35PM 19 04:09:35PM 19 04:09:35PM 20 04:10:00PM 21	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds? A. Those were bonds that were issued during World War 1 and they formed a fairly significant amount of IIM purchases. Q. Okay. And are liberty loan bonds included in your chart? A. My understanding is that they are, just from reviewing the information in the commissioner's reports.	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:15PM 8 04:12:27PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:25PM 16 04:13:25PM 17 04:13:25PM 18 04:13:25PM 18 04:13:25PM 18 04:13:25PM 19 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20	 BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And once again, the column is Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents, so once again, it would not include money in Treasury, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And if you go back and look at DX 483, for that
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 4 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:12PM 7 04:09:22PM 9 04:09:22PM 10 04:09:33PM 11 04:09:33PM 12 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 16 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 18 04:09:35PM 19 04:09:35PM 20 04:10:09PM 21 04:10:04PM 22 04:10:04PM 22	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds? A. Those were bonds that were issued during World War 1 and they formed a fairly significant amount of ITM purchases. Q. Okay. And are liberty loan bonds included in your chart? A. My understanding is that they are, just from reviewing the information in the commissioner's reports. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 123. This is the one we just had.	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:18PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:46PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 15 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 17 04:13:23PM 18 04:13:23PM 19 04:13:33PM 19 04:13:33PM 20 04:13:35PM 21	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And once again, the column is Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents, so once again, it would not include money in Treasury, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And if you go back and look at DX 483, for that period beginning until 1928, you have no funds in Treasury, no
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 4 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:12PM 7 04:09:22PM 8 04:09:22PM 10 04:09:23PM 11 04:09:33PM 11 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 16 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 18 04:09:33PM 19 04:09:33PM 20 04:10:00PM 21 04:10:00PM 22 04:10:00PM 23 04:10:10PM 24	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds? A. Those were bonds that were issued during World War 1 and they formed a fairly significant amount of IIM purchases. Q. Okay. And are liberty loan bonds included in your chart? A. My understanding is that they are, just from reviewing the information in the commissioner's reports. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 123. This is the one we just had. Do we have page seven of that document? Do you see a section	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:15PM 8 04:12:27PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:25PM 16 04:13:25PM 17 04:13:25PM 18 04:13:25PM 18 04:13:25PM 18 04:13:25PM 19 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And once again, the column is Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents, so once again, it would not include money in Treasury, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And if you go back and look at DX 483, for that period beginning until 1928, you have no funds in Treasury, no funds in government securities, no funds in banks; is that
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 4 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:12PM 7 04:09:22PM 9 04:09:22PM 10 04:09:23PM 11 04:09:33PM 11 04:09:33PM 13 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 16 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 19 04:09:33PM 20 04:10:04PM 22 04:10:04PM 22 04:10:04PM 22 04:10:04PM 22	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds? A. Those were bonds that were issued during World War 1 and they formed a fairly significant amount of ITM purchases. Q. Okay. And are liberty loan bonds included in your chart? A. My understanding is that they are, just from reviewing the information in the commissioner's reports. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 123. This is the one we just had. Do we have page seven of that document? Do you see a section entitled Liberty Loan Bonds?	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:18PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:48PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 17 04:13:23PM 18 04:13:23PM 19 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 22 04:13:35PM 22 04:13:35PM 22	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And once again, the column is Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents, so once again, it would not include money in Treasury, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And if you go back and look at DX 483, for that period beginning until 1928, you have no funds in Treasury, no funds in government securities, no funds in banks; is that correct?
04:09:02PM 2 04:09:03PM 4 04:09:04PM 4 04:09:04PM 5 04:09:12PM 6 04:09:12PM 7 04:09:22PM 8 04:09:22PM 10 04:09:23PM 11 04:09:33PM 11 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 15 04:09:33PM 16 04:09:33PM 17 04:09:33PM 18 04:09:33PM 19 04:09:33PM 20 04:10:00PM 21 04:10:00PM 22 04:10:00PM 23 04:10:10PM 24	the Juno wealth is over \$10,000? When you're talking about per capita, is that individual or tribal? A. Individual. Q. Okay. A. But again, I can't say that this, because the trust funds are in the Treasury and that's what's really throwing me about this whole line of questioning, I can't say whether that's in the Treasury general fund or whether that's in a tribal account which would be interest-earning. Q. So whatever you can say today you don't know? A. I can say I don't know. Q. And whatever it is, those amounts of money are not included on your chart? A. That's correct. Q. Wherever they are? A. Yes. Q. What about liberty loan bonds, what are liberty loan bonds? A. Those were bonds that were issued during World War 1 and they formed a fairly significant amount of IIM purchases. Q. Okay. And are liberty loan bonds included in your chart? A. My understanding is that they are, just from reviewing the information in the commissioner's reports. Q. Let's look at Exhibit 123. This is the one we just had. Do we have page seven of that document? Do you see a section	04:12:05PM 2 04:12:05PM 3 04:12:12PM 4 04:12:13PM 5 04:12:13PM 6 04:12:17PM 7 04:12:18PM 8 04:12:27PM 9 04:12:48PM 10 04:13:05PM 11 04:13:15PM 12 04:13:15PM 13 04:13:20PM 15 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 16 04:13:23PM 17 04:13:23PM 18 04:13:23PM 19 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 20 04:13:35PM 22 04:13:35PM 22 04:13:35PM 22	BY MR. SMITH: Q. But they didn't separate it on the particular charts? A. No. My understanding on liberty loan bonds is they would be included in total ITM. Q. Would they be included in bonds in the hands of superintendents and in banks? A. Yes. Q. Let's move on to the 1920s. Now, is it fair to say that beginning in the 1920s you began to rely on similar charts? Let's look at DX 395. And look at page 31, and I believe we looked at this earlier with Ms. Herman, but beginning in 1921 for your balance information you looked at similar charts that were in the annual reports; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And these are the ones that indicated that the data was incomplete and special deposits were not included, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And once again, the column is Funds in Banks and In Hands of Superintendents, so once again, it would not include money in Treasury, is that fair? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. And if you go back and look at DX 483, for that period beginning until 1928, you have no funds in Treasury, no funds in government securities, no funds in banks; is that

	000		970
1	868	1	870
04:14:04PM 1	A. That's correct. I believe 1921 was the last year that had	04:17:14PM	A. I believe my understanding is that funds held in the hands
04:14:09PM 2	that footnote.	04:17:21PM 2	of Indian agents does include liberty loan bonds and securities.
04:14:10PM 3	Q. Okay. If you look at this chart again, 1924, 1924 to 1925,	04:17:26PM 3	Q. Okay. Does it include liberty loan bonds as well as
04:14:30PM 4	does it appear that the revenue actually drops by roughly \$3	04:17:30PM 4	securities?
04:14:38PM 5	million, 28.634 to 25.485?	04:17:30PM 5	A. Well, my understanding is that it includes securities and
04:14:47PM 6	A. Please tell me where.	04:17:34PM 6	liberty loan bonds.
04:14:48PM	Q. If you look at the Receipts column.	04:17:36PM	Q. That it includes?
04:14:50PM 8	A. Uh-huh.	04:17:37PM 8	A. That the agent was I'm sorry.
04:14:50PM 9	Q. 1924 to 1925 revenue drops by roughly \$3 million, is that	04:17:39PM 9	Q. I'm sorry, go ahead. Your understanding is? I apologize
04:14:56PM 10	fair?	04:17:43PM 10	again.
04:14:56PM 11	A. That's correct.	04:17:43PM 11	A. Please.
04:14:57PM 12	Q. And in 1925 disbursements exceed revenues by roughly \$3	04:17:43PM 12	Q. Your understanding includes Treasury securities?
04:15:03PM 13	million, a little over \$3 million?	04:17:46PM 13	A. Yes.
04:15:05PM 14	A. And just so we're correct about this, Mr. Smith, this is	04:17:46PM 14	Q. Okay. If we could look at Exhibit 124. Do you recall this
04:15:10PM 15	these receipt and disbursement figures are from the 1906 act	04:18:09PM 15	report prepared by Mr. Kehoe?
04:15:14PM 16	with the limitations I tendered.	04:18:11PM 16	A. I do.
04:15:16PM 17	Q. Right, and we're going to talk about those in a second.	04:18:12PM 17	Q. And in fact, I think he's going to testify later this week.
04:15:18PM 18	A. All right.	04:18:16PM 18	A. I believe so.
04:15:19PM 19	Q. But if you go over to the column for total IIM system	04:18:39PM 19	Q. And you've reviewed this report prior to today?
04:15:25PM 20	funds, 1924, 35.6 million, and in 1925, 56.8.	04:18:45PM 20	A. I have.
04:15:33PM 21	A. That's correct.	04:18:46PM 21	Q. Okay. And if we could look at this report beginning at
04:15:33PM 22	Q. Now, would the receipts dropping and disbursements	04:18:52PM 22	page fifteen, page fifteen of the document. Do you see in the
04:15:40PM 23	increasing, were you able to determine how the total IIM system	04:19:10PM 23	last paragraph it says if we could focus in on the last
04:15:44PM 24	funds increased by \$20 million?	04:19:15PM 24	paragraph. Despite such costs such attitudes the BIA did begin
04:15:46PM 25	A. I know that they increased largely because of oil and gas	04:19:21PM 25	to invest significant amounts of IIM in federal government
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	869		871
04:15:49PM 1	869 revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me	04:19:24PM 1	871 securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner
04:15:49PM 1 04:15:54PM 2		04:19:24PM 1 04:19:29PM 2	
	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me		securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner
04:15:54PM 2	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link	04:19:29PM 2	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:58PM 3	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances.	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:58PM 3 04:16:00PM 4	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:38PM 4	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:58PM 3 04:16:00PM 4 04:16:04PM 5	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance?	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:38PM 4 04:19:42PM 5	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:58PM 3 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:05PM 6	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct.	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:38PM 4 04:19:34PM 5 04:19:44PM 6	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that?
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:58PM 3 04:16:00PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 6 04:16:04PM 7	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM	04:19:28PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:38PM 4 04:19:34PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes.
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:58PM 3 04:16:00PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 6 04:16:07PM 7 04:16:21PM 8	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:38PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 8	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:58PM 3 04:16:00PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:06PM 6 04:16:07PM 7 04:16:21PM 8 04:16:25PM 9	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million?	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:38PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 8 04:19:45PM 9	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair?
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:58PM 3 04:16:00PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 6 04:16:07PM 7 04:16:21PM 8 04:16:25PM 9 04:16:25PM 10	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of	04:19:28PM 2 04:19:38PM 4 04:19:38PM 5 04:19:48PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:54PM 9 04:19:54PM 10	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:54PM 3 04:15:54PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 6 04:16:07PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:24PM 9 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:32PM 11	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 8 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:01PM 11	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is
04:16:54PM 2 04:16:54PM 3 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:24PM 9 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:32PM 11 04:16:33PM 11	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:42PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 8 04:19:45PM 9 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:01PM 11	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative
04:16:54PM 2 04:16:55PM 3 04:16:00PM 4 04:16:00PM 5 04:16:00PM 7 04:16:21PM 8 04:16:25PM 9 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:25PM 11 04:16:35PM 12 04:16:45PM 13	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held	04:19:28PM 2 04:19:38PM 4 04:19:38PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 8 04:19:52PM 9 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:07PM 12	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that.
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:54PM 3 04:15:54PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 6 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:24PM 10 04:16:24PM 11 04:16:32PM 11 04:16:32PM 12 04:16:34PM 13 04:16:34PM 14	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:38PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 8 04:19:52PM 9 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:01PM 12 04:20:13PM 13	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year?
04:16:54PM 2 04:16:54PM 3 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:24PM 9 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:32PM 11 04:16:33PM 12 04:16:46PM 13 04:16:46PM 14 04:16:53PM 14	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me.	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:42PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 8 04:19:45PM 10 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:07PM 12 04:20:13PM 13	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure.
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:54PM 3 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:25PM 9 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:32PM 11 04:16:34PM 12 04:16:45PM 13 04:16:45PM 14 04:16:55PM 15	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 9 04:19:52PM 10 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:07PM 12 04:20:15PM 14 04:20:15PM 15	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For?
04:16:54PM 2 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:25PM 11 04:16:35PM 12 04:16:35PM 13 04:16:35PM 14 04:16:35PM 15 04:16:55PM 16	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it raised a red flag with you as well?	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 9 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:01PM 12 04:20:13PM 14 04:20:13PM 15 04:20:13PM 16 04:20:13PM 17	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For? A. For years I'm sorry. As Merit is saying it, if I'm
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:54PM 3 04:16:00PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 6 04:16:07PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:32PM 11 04:16:32PM 12 04:16:32PM 13 04:16:45PM 13 04:16:55PM 15 04:16:55PM 15 04:16:55PM 15 04:16:55PM 15	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it raised a red flag with you as well? A. It did indeed.	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:34PM 5 04:19:42PM 6 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 8 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:01PM 13 04:20:13PM 14 04:20:13PM 15 04:20:19PM 16 04:20:25PM 17	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For? A. For years I'm sorry. As Merit is saying it, if I'm reading this correctly, and I certainly would like to see the
04:16:54PM 2 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:04PM 8 04:16:24PM 9 04:16:24PM 10 04:16:32PM 11 04:16:33PM 12 04:16:34PM 14 04:16:55PM 15 04:16:55PM 16 04:17:04PM 17	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it raised a red flag with you as well? A. It did indeed. Q. The numbers just didn't seem to make sense?	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 10 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:01PM 12 04:20:13PM 14 04:20:13PM 15 04:20:13PM 16 04:20:29PM 17 04:20:29PM 18	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For? A. For years I'm sorry. As Merit is saying it, if I'm reading this correctly, and I certainly would like to see the document involved with this, but I think that what he's saying
04:16:54PM 2 04:16:54PM 3 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:24PM 9 04:16:24PM 10 04:16:24PM 11 04:16:34PM 12 04:16:34PM 14 04:16:35PM 15 04:16:35PM 16 04:17:04PM 18	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it raised a red flag with you as well? A. It did indeed. Q. The numbers just didn't seem to make sense? A. That's correct.	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 9 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:54PM 11 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:15PM 14 04:20:15PM 15 04:20:15PM 16 04:20:29PM 17 04:20:29PM 18 04:20:29PM 19	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For? A. For years I'm sorry. As Merit is saying it, if I'm reading this correctly, and I certainly would like to see the document involved with this, but I think that what he's saying is that during the 1920s they're investing money in federal
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:54PM 3 04:15:54PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 6 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:25PM 11 04:16:35PM 12 04:16:34PM 13 04:16:34PM 14 04:16:35PM 15 04:16:35PM 16 04:17:04PM 17 04:17:04PM 18 04:17:04PM 19 04:17:04PM 19	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it raised a red flag with you as well? A. It did indeed. Q. The numbers just didn't seem to make sense? A. That's correct. Q. Now, did you consider when looking at that that in fact	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:38PM 4 04:19:32PM 5 04:19:34PM 6 04:19:34PM 7 04:19:34PM 10 04:19:54PM 11 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:01PM 13 04:20:13PM 14 04:20:13PM 15 04:20:13PM 16 04:20:29PM 17 04:20:29PM 18 04:20:29PM 19 04:20:32PM 20 04:20:32PM 20	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For? A. For years I'm sorry. As Merit is saying it, if I'm reading this correctly, and I certainly would like to see the document involved with this, but I think that what he's saying is that during the 1920s they're investing money in federal government securities. By 1926 he could report that this total
04:16:54PM 2 04:16:54PM 3 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:24PM 10 04:16:32PM 11 04:16:33PM 12 04:16:34PM 14 04:16:35PM 15 04:16:55PM 16 04:17:04PM 17 04:17:04PM 18 04:17:04PM 19 04:17:05PM 20 04:17:05PM 21	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it raised a red flag with you as well? A. It did indeed. Q. The numbers just didn't seem to make sense? A. That's correct. Q. Now, did you consider when looking at that that in fact perhaps there was money invested in government securities during	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 10 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:01PM 12 04:20:13PM 14 04:20:13PM 15 04:20:13PM 16 04:20:29PM 17 04:20:29PM 19 04:20:32PM 20 04:20:40PM 21	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For? A. For years I'm sorry. As Merit is saying it, if I'm reading this correctly, and I certainly would like to see the document involved with this, but I think that what he's saying is that during the 1920s they're investing money in federal government securities. By 1926 he could report that this total number, \$27 million as opposed to the number in 1926. Have I
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:54PM 3 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 6 04:16:04PM 9 04:16:24PM 10 04:16:24PM 11 04:16:34PM 11 04:16:34PM 14 04:16:35PM 15 04:16:55PM 16 04:17:04PM 17 04:17:04PM 18 04:17:04PM 19 04:17:04PM 19 04:17:04PM 20 04:17:04PM 21	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it raised a red flag with you as well? A. It did indeed. Q. The numbers just didn't seem to make sense? A. That's correct. Q. Now, did you consider when looking at that that in fact perhaps there was money invested in government securities during that period?	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:44PM 10 04:19:52PM 9 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:01PM 11 04:20:01PM 12 04:20:15PM 15 04:20:15PM 16 04:20:15PM 17 04:20:25PM 18 04:20:25PM 19 04:20:32PM 20 04:20:45PM 21	Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For? A. For years I'm sorry. As Merit is saying it, if I'm reading this correctly, and I certainly would like to see the document involved with this, but I think that what he's saying is that during the 1920s they're investing money in federal government securities. By 1926 he could report that this total number, \$27 million as opposed to the number in 1926. Have I lost you, Mr. Smith? That's not my intention.
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:54PM 3 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 6 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:25PM 11 04:16:35PM 12 04:16:34PM 13 04:16:34PM 15 04:16:35PM 16 04:17:04PM 17 04:17:04PM 18 04:17:04PM 19 04:17:04PM 20 04:17:04PM 21 04:17:04PM 21 04:17:04PM 22 04:17:04PM 22 04:17:04PM 22	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it raised a red flag with you as well? A. It did indeed. Q. The numbers just didn't seem to make sense? A. That's correct. Q. Now, did you consider when looking at that that in fact perhaps there was money invested in government securities during that period? A. Yes, there was.	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 9 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:54PM 11 04:20:19PM 11 04:20:15PM 14 04:20:15PM 15 04:20:15PM 16 04:20:29PM 17 04:20:25PM 18 04:20:25PM 20 04:20:45PM 21 04:20:35PM 21	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For? A. For years I'm sorry. As Merit is saying it, if I'm reading this correctly, and I certainly would like to see the document involved with this, but I think that what he's saying is that during the 1920s they're investing money in federal government securities. By 1926 he could report that this total number, \$27 million as opposed to the number in 1926. Have I lost you, Mr. Smith? That's not my intention. Q. You have, but perhaps we ought to look at the original
04:15:54PM 2 04:15:54PM 3 04:16:04PM 4 04:16:04PM 5 04:16:04PM 7 04:16:24PM 8 04:16:25PM 10 04:16:25PM 11 04:16:25PM 12 04:16:25PM 15 04:16:35PM 15 04:16:35PM 15 04:16:35PM 16 04:17:04PM 17 04:17:04PM 18 04:17:04PM 19 04:17:04PM 20 04:17:04PM 21 04:17:04PM 21 04:17:04PM 22 04:17:04PM 22 04:17:04PM 22 04:17:04PM 22	revenues, but no, that was one of the things that troubled me about these receipt and disbursement figures. I could not link them to year-end account balances. Q. Okay. So the receipt and disbursement information appears low in comparison to the \$20 million increase in the balance? A. That's correct. Q. And in fact, in 1926 it suddenly drops to the total IIM system funds drop by \$12 million and then increase by \$30 million? A. We attempted to explain that. In fact, using the Bureau of Indian Affairs report for 1926 you may notice that their figure was \$22 million. What we did is we went back to that report, we took all the figures from the various columns, Funds Held column. We retotaled it to get that \$44 million figure, and yes, it still seemed low to me. Q. So like me when I looked at it and raised a red flag, it raised a red flag with you as well? A. It did indeed. Q. The numbers just didn't seem to make sense? A. That's correct. Q. Now, did you consider when looking at that that in fact perhaps there was money invested in government securities during that period? A. Yes, there was. Q. That is not reflected on your chart?	04:19:29PM 2 04:19:34PM 3 04:19:34PM 4 04:19:42PM 5 04:19:44PM 6 04:19:44PM 7 04:19:45PM 9 04:19:54PM 10 04:20:54PM 11 04:20:19PM 11 04:20:15PM 14 04:20:15PM 15 04:20:15PM 16 04:20:29PM 17 04:20:25PM 18 04:20:25PM 20 04:20:45PM 21 04:20:35PM 21	securities during the 1920s. By 1926 Assistant Commissioner Merit could report that \$27 million of monies held in trust for individual Indians are invested at the present time in United States government bonds and notes, and it goes most of which bear interest at four-and-a-quarter percent. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, you do not have the \$27 million entry under Government Bonds on your chart for that year, is that fair? A. Well, as I'm reading this I believe, I think that's a cumulative figure. So Merit is saying in 1926 \$27 million is held, but I don't think he means that 27 that's a cumulative figure as I'm reading that. Q. Cumulative for that year? A. No, no, no. Cumulative. Total figure. Q. For? A. For years I'm sorry. As Merit is saying it, if I'm reading this correctly, and I certainly would like to see the document involved with this, but I think that what he's saying is that during the 1920s they're investing money in federal government securities. By 1926 he could report that this total number, \$27 million as opposed to the number in 1926. Have I lost you, Mr. Smith? That's not my intention. Q. You have, but perhaps we ought to look at the original report and we can check on that.

	872		874
04:21:00PM 1	A. Okay.	04:24:43PM 1	A. That's correct.
04:21:00PM 2	Q. Is it fair to say that prior to 1928 your chart doesn't	04:24:44PM 2	Q. Now, let's look at
04:21:04PM 3	reflect any funds invested in government securities, except to	04:24:49PM 3	A. May I just see, scroll up just a bit on that same page?
04:21:10PM 4	the extent they may be included in some other column?	04:24:52PM 4	Q. Sure.
04:21:12PM 5	A. Oh, correct. Okay.	04:24:52PM 5	A. It appears to me that they're using, the comptroller
04:21:14PM 6	Q. Now let's talk about who is responsible for reporting	04:25:18PM 6	general's figures and ours are the same, total amount of
04:21:21PM 7	government securities. If you could look at Defendants' Exhibit	04:25:22PM 7	individual Indian monies since June 30th, 1928.
04:21:27PM 8	42, DX 42. And this is the government document that the	04:25:25PM 8	Q. 1928. And in 1928 you actually have a figure for funds
04:21:50PM 9	government produced to you. If we could see the entire	04:25:29PM 9	invested in government securities; is that correct?
04:21:53PM 10	document. Is this one that you've looked at before?	04:25:31PM 10	A. Yes.
04:21:55PM 11	A. It is.	04:25:31PM 11	Q. Which you didn't have for prior years?
04:21:55PM 12	Q. Okay. And it's entitled Indian Funds From the Comptroller	04:25:33PM 12	A. That's correct.
04:22:00PM 13	General of the United States back in, I believe it was published	04:25:34PM 13	Q. Okay. Let's look at DX 426. If you could look at the last
04:22:03PM 14	in 1929?	04:25:51PM 14	paragraph and take a look at that and read that, please?
04:22:09PM 15	A. Correct.	04:26:17PM 15	A. I see it.
04:22:09PM 16	Q. If we could look at 42-15 and focus in on the highlighted	04:26:17PM 16	Q. Does it in fact indicate that at some point in time they're
04:22:18PM 17	language. If you could take a second and read that.	04:26:23PM 17	actually accounting for Treasury's as property rather than
04:22:27PM 18	(Witness reviews document.)	04:26:25PM 18	funds?
04:23:18PM 19	Have you had a chance to read it?	04:26:25PM 19	A. It does.
04:23:19PM 20	A. I have.	04:26:26PM 20	Q. Okay. So if you're looking at at least in some years
04:23:20PM 21	Q. And does it indicate that accounts for monies in the	04:26:29PM 21	looking at funds, it's not going to be reflecting what may be
04:23:25PM 22	depository banks are kept with a local agency?	04:26:32PM 22	invested in Treasuries, is that fair?
04:23:28PM 23	A. That's correct.	04:26:33PM 23	A. I don't know if that's the case with the rendering of IIM
04:23:29PM 24	Q. But the treasurer keeps the records for funds invested	04:26:39PM 24	for settlement in the semi-annual period.
04:23:36PM 25	represented by coupon bonds in the custody of a superintendent	04:26:43PM 25	Q. Have you been able to come up to any extent to figure out
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	873		875
04:23:39PM 1	873 for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the	04:26:48PM 1	875 how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to
04:23:39PM 1		04:26:48PM 1 04:26:52PM 2	
2	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the	_	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to
04:23:42PM 2	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or	04:26:52PM 2	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928?
04:23:42PM 2 04:23:46PM 3	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look.
04:23:42PM 2 04:23:46PM 3 04:23:48PM 4	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected.	04:26:53PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.)
042348PM 2 042348PM 3 042348PM 4 042351PM 5 042354PM 6 042359PM 7	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:05PM 5 04:27:05PM 6 04:27:05PM 7	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No.
042342PM 2 042346PM 3 042348PM 4 042351PM 5 042354PM 6 042359PM 7 042402PM 8	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct?	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:05PM 7 04:27:11PM 8	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being
042342PM 2 042346PM 3 042346PM 4 042351PM 5 042354PM 6 042359PM 7 0424-02PM 8 0424-02PM 9	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:04PM 5 04:27:05PM 6 04:27:05PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:14PM 9	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds.
042342PM 2 042348PM 4 042351PM 5 042351PM 6 042354PM 7 042402PM 8 042402PM 9 042408PM 10	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures.	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:06PM 7 04:27:14PM 8 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:22PM 10	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to
0423-42PM 2 0423-48PM 4 0423-48PM 5 0423-51PM 6 0423-51PM 7 0423-59PM 7 0424-02PM 8 0424-02PM 9 0424-02PM 10 0424-08PM 11	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:03PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:22PM 10 04:27:23PM 11	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've
042342PM 2 042346PM 3 042346PM 4 042351PM 5 042351PM 6 042359PM 7 042402PM 8 042402PM 9 042406PM 10 042408PM 11 042413PM 12	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct?	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:09PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 12	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him.
042342PM 2 042348PM 4 042348PM 5 042351PM 5 042354PM 6 042359PM 7 042402PM 8 042402PM 10 042408PM 11 042413PM 12 042413PM 13	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes.	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 12 04:27:30PM 13	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do.
0423-42PM 2 0423-48PM 4 0423-51PM 5 0423-51PM 6 0423-54PM 6 0423-54PM 7 0424-02PM 8 0424-02PM 10 0424-08PM 11 0424-18PM 12 0424-16PM 13 0424-16PM 14	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:06PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:23PM 10 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 12 04:27:30PM 13 04:27:31PM 14	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just
042348PM	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct?	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:09PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:22PM 10 04:27:22PM 11 04:27:23PM 12 04:27:33PM 13 04:27:33PM 14	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did.
042342PM 2 042345PM 4 042345PM 5 042345PM 5 042351PM 6 042359PM 7 042402PM 9 042402PM 10 042403PM 11 042413PM 12 042415PM 13 042415PM 15	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement?	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:04PM 5 04:27:05PM 6 04:27:05PM 7 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:24PM 10 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 12 04:27:33PM 14 04:27:33PM 15 04:27:34PM 16	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
042342PM 2 042348PM 4 042351PM 5 042351PM 6 042359PM 7 042402PM 8 042402PM 10 042402PM 11 042413PM 12 042419PM 13 042419PM 15 042419PM 16 04242PM 17	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right.	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:06PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:24PM 11 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 13 04:27:30PM 13 04:27:34PM 15 04:27:34PM 16 04:27:34PM 16	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH:
0423-42PM 2 0423-48PM 4 0423-51PM 5 0423-51PM 6 0423-51PM 7 0424-02PM 8 0424-02PM 10 0424-02PM 11 0424-18PM 12 0424-16PM 13 0424-16PM 14 0424-19PM 15 0424-19PM 16 0424-23PM 17	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right. A. Well, the general Treasury of the United States, correct.	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:06PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:11PM 9 04:27:23PM 10 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 13 04:27:33PM 14 04:27:33PM 15 04:27:33PM 16 04:27:33PM 16 04:27:33PM 17 04:27:33PM 18	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Let's look at your receipt and disbursement information for
042342PM 2 042345PM 3 042345PM 4 042351PM 5 042351PM 6 042359PM 7 042402PM 9 042402PM 10 042405PM 11 042413PM 12 042415PM 13 042415PM 15 042422PM 15 042423PM 16	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right. A. Well, the general Treasury of the United States, correct. Q. And in fact, the last sentence says, No accounts are	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:04PM 5 04:27:05PM 6 04:27:05PM 7 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 12 04:27:33PM 14 04:27:33PM 15 04:27:35PM 17 04:27:35PM 18 04:27:35PM 18	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Let's look at your receipt and disbursement information for the same period. You've testified that that came from something
042342PM 2 042348PM 4 042348PM 5 042348PM 6 042351PM 5 042354PM 6 042359PM 7 042402PM 9 042402PM 10 0424-02PM 11 0424-13PM 12 0424-15PM 14 0424-15PM 15 0424-15PM 16 0424-23PM 17 0424-23PM 18 0424-23PM 18 0424-23PM 20	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right. A. Well, the general Treasury of the United States, correct. Q. And in fact, the last sentence says, No accounts are required to be kept at the agencies for securities purchased by	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:04PM 5 04:27:05PM 6 04:27:05PM 7 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 12 04:27:34PM 14 04:27:34PM 15 04:27:34PM 16 04:27:35PM 17 04:27:35PM 18 04:27:35PM 18 04:27:35PM 19 04:27:35PM 20	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Let's look at your receipt and disbursement information for the same period. You've testified that that came from something called the Sundry Civil Appropriations Act; is that correct?
042342PM 2 042348PM 4 042351PM 5 042351PM 6 042354PM 6 042359PM 7 042402PM 8 042402PM 10 042402PM 11 042413PM 12 042416PM 13 042419PM 15 04242PM 16 04242PM 17 04243PM 18	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right. A. Well, the general Treasury of the United States, correct. Q. And in fact, the last sentence says, No accounts are required to be kept at the agencies for securities purchased by a superintendent; is that correct?	04.26.52PM 2 04.26.53PM 3 04.27.04PM 4 04.27.06PM 5 04.27.06PM 6 04.27.06PM 7 04.27.11PM 8 04.27.14PM 9 04.27.22PM 10 04.27.23PM 11 04.27.23PM 13 04.27.33PM 15 04.27.33PM 15 04.27.33PM 16 04.27.33PM 17 04.27.33PM 18 04.27.34PM 19 04.27.34PM 20 04.27.34PM 20	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Let's look at your receipt and disbursement information for the same period. You've testified that that came from something called the Sundry Civil Appropriations Act; is that correct? A. That's correct. Active June 30, 1906.
042342PM 2 042348PM 4 042348PM 5 042348PM 6 042351PM 5 042359PM 7 042402PM 8 042402PM 10 042403PM 11 042413PM 12 042416PM 13 042419PM 15 042419PM 16 042423PM 18 042423PM 18 042423PM 18 042430PM 20 042430PM 20	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right. A. Well, the general Treasury of the United States, correct. Q. And in fact, the last sentence says, No accounts are required to be kept at the agencies for securities purchased by a superintendent; is that correct?	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:05PM 5 04:27:05PM 6 04:27:05PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:11PM 9 04:27:23PM 10 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:33PM 14 04:27:33PM 15 04:27:35PM 16 04:27:35PM 17 04:27:35PM 18 04:27:35PM 19 04:27:45PM 20 04:27:45PM 20	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Let's look at your receipt and disbursement information for the same period. You've testified that that came from something called the Sundry Civil Appropriations Act; is that correct? A. That's correct. Active June 30, 1906. Q. Right. And essentially all of your information from 1922
042342PM 2 042348PM 4 042348PM 5 042348PM 6 042348PM 7 042402PM 8 042402PM 9 042402PM 10 042408PM 11 042413PM 12 042418PM 15 042419PM 15 04242PM 17 04243PM 18 04243PM 19 04243PM 20 04243PM 20	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right. A. Well, the general Treasury of the United States, correct. Q. And in fact, the last sentence says, No accounts are required to be kept at the agencies for securities purchased by a superintendent; is that correct? A. Um-hmm. Q. So to get that kind of information the agent isn't going to	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:04PM 5 04:27:05PM 6 04:27:05PM 7 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:24PM 11 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 13 04:27:34PM 14 04:27:34PM 15 04:27:35PM 16 04:27:35PM 17 04:27:35PM 18 04:27:35PM 19 04:27:35PM 19 04:27:35PM 20 04:27:45PM 21 04:27:45PM 21 04:27:45PM 21	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Let's look at your receipt and disbursement information for the same period. You've testified that that came from something called the Sundry Civil Appropriations Act; is that correct? A. That's correct. Active June 30, 1906. Q. Right. And essentially all of your information from 1922 to 1949 comes from reports made pursuant to that particular Act;
042342PM 2 042345PM 4 042345PM 5 042345PM 6 042351PM 5 042359PM 7 042402PM 9 042402PM 10 042403PM 11 042413PM 12 042415PM 15 042415PM 16 04242PM 17 04242PM 17 04243PM 19 04243PM 20 04243PM 20 04243SPM 21	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right. A. Well, the general Treasury of the United States, correct. Q. And in fact, the last sentence says, No accounts are required to be kept at the agencies for securities purchased by a superintendent; is that correct? A. Um-hmm. Q. So to get that kind of information the agent isn't going to have it, or the agency it's going to be at the Treasury	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:06PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:24PM 11 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 13 04:27:34PM 15 04:27:34PM 16 04:27:34PM 16 04:27:34PM 17 04:27:34PM 18 04:27:34PM 19 04:27:35PM 18 04:27:35PM 20 04:27:46PM 20 04:27:46PM 20 04:27:55PM 21 04:27:55PM 24	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Let's look at your receipt and disbursement information for the same period. You've testified that that came from something called the Sundry Civil Appropriations Act; is that correct? A. That's correct. Active June 30, 1906. Q. Right. And essentially all of your information from 1922 to 1949 comes from reports made pursuant to that particular Act; is that correct.
042342PM 2 042348PM 4 042348PM 5 042348PM 6 042348PM 7 042402PM 8 042402PM 9 042402PM 10 042408PM 11 042413PM 12 042418PM 15 042419PM 15 04242PM 17 04243PM 18 04243PM 19 04243PM 20 04243PM 20	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right. A. Well, the general Treasury of the United States, correct. Q. And in fact, the last sentence says, No accounts are required to be kept at the agencies for securities purchased by a superintendent; is that correct? A. Um-hmm. Q. So to get that kind of information the agent isn't going to have it, or the agency it's going to be at the Treasury level, is that fair?	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:04PM 5 04:27:05PM 6 04:27:05PM 7 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:24PM 11 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 13 04:27:34PM 14 04:27:34PM 15 04:27:35PM 16 04:27:35PM 17 04:27:35PM 18 04:27:35PM 19 04:27:35PM 19 04:27:35PM 20 04:27:45PM 21 04:27:45PM 21 04:27:45PM 21	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Let's look at your receipt and disbursement information for the same period. You've testified that that came from something called the Sundry Civil Appropriations Act; is that correct? A. That's correct. Active June 30, 1906. Q. Right. And essentially all of your information from 1922 to 1949 comes from reports made pursuant to that particular Act; is that correct. A. Yes.
042342PM 2 042345PM 4 042345PM 5 042345PM 6 042351PM 5 042359PM 7 042402PM 9 042402PM 10 042403PM 11 042413PM 12 042415PM 15 042415PM 16 04242PM 17 04242PM 17 04243PM 19 04243PM 20 04243PM 20 04243SPM 21	for funds invested in bonds registered in the name of the superintendent as trustees or guardian for a minor or incompetent Indian; is that correct? A. That's correct. And in fact, Mr. Smith, I've always wondered about the relationship of that to the receipt and disbursement figures that I collected. Q. Okay. So that raises some concern for you as well; is that correct? A. No. I was just hoping it would be a way to explain the receipt and disbursement figures. Q. Your receipt and disbursement figures for those years are as reported by the ISSDA, the Act; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's for funds not invested or not placed in Treasury; is that correct? A. The receipt and disbursement? Q. Right. A. Well, the general Treasury of the United States, correct. Q. And in fact, the last sentence says, No accounts are required to be kept at the agencies for securities purchased by a superintendent; is that correct? A. Um-hmm. Q. So to get that kind of information the agent isn't going to have it, or the agency it's going to be at the Treasury	04:26:52PM 2 04:26:53PM 3 04:27:04PM 4 04:27:06PM 5 04:27:06PM 6 04:27:06PM 7 04:27:11PM 8 04:27:14PM 9 04:27:24PM 11 04:27:23PM 11 04:27:23PM 13 04:27:34PM 15 04:27:34PM 16 04:27:34PM 16 04:27:34PM 17 04:27:34PM 18 04:27:34PM 19 04:27:35PM 18 04:27:35PM 20 04:27:46PM 20 04:27:46PM 20 04:27:55PM 21 04:27:55PM 24	how much was actually invested in Treasury securities prior to 1928? A. Prior to 1928 I don't believe so. Please let me look. (Witness reviews document.) No. Q. There's no doubt in your mind that money was invested in Treasuries prior to 1928, but you don't know how much? A. That's correct. In 1917 we know that money was being invested in liberty loan bonds. MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, may I ask the Court to remind the witness to please speak into the microphone. I've having a little trouble hearing him. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Will do. THE COURT: I don't think I have to. I think he just did. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Let's look at your receipt and disbursement information for the same period. You've testified that that came from something called the Sundry Civil Appropriations Act; is that correct? A. That's correct. Active June 30, 1906. Q. Right. And essentially all of your information from 1922 to 1949 comes from reports made pursuant to that particular Act; is that correct.

	876		878
04:28:02PM 1	Q. Let's give an example of that. If we could look at DX	04:31:01PM 1	Q. Is it fair to say that it's supposed to be public money
04:28:12PM 2	414-1. Is this one of the documents that you pulled and	04:31:03PM 2	that's not paid into the general Treasury, correct?
04:28:25PM 3	provided to Interior?	04:31:06PM 3	A. Yes.
04:28:26PM 4	A. Yes.	04:31:06PM 4	Q. And it's they're required to provide a detailed accounting,
04:28:29PM 5	Q. And it says it's being prepared in connection with the act	04:31:11PM 5	is that fair?
04:28:37PM 6	of June 30, 1906 which you mentioned, is that fair?	04:31:12PM 6	A. Detailed account of all the payments, yes.
04:28:40PM 7	A. Yes.	04:31:16PM 7	Q. Okay. So if we take that \$35.5 million number, did you
04:28:41PM 8	Q. If we could then turn to the second page. And this is the	04:31:20PM 8	ever see a detailed account supporting it?
04:28:46PM 9	actual report that's been prepared, is that fair?	04:31:22PM 9	A. No.
04:28:48PM 10	A. That's correct.	04:31:23PM 10	Q. On any of the receipt and disbursement figures that you
04:28:50PM 11	Q. And the only reference to individual money is on the bottom	04:31:27PM 11	have in your report for 1922 through 1949, did you ever see that
04:28:54PM 12	of the page, and it says, In addition to the foregoing,	04:31:35PM 12	detailed account information?
04:28:58PM 13	disbursing agents collected and paid out approximately \$5.5	04:31:37PM 13	A. What I was able to get, most of these are from House of
04:29:01PM 14	million of individual Indian money?	04:31:41PM 14	Representatives. I believe we located most of these documents
04:29:03PM 15	A. That's correct. That was	04:31:46PM 15	and records of the House of Representatives were just the
04:29:05PM 16	Q. I'm sorry. Go ahead.	04:31:51PM 16	summary reports, but I can't say that a detailed accounting did
04:29:07PM 17	A. That was actually one of the documents that struck me like	04:31:55PM 17	not serve as the basis for the report.
04:29:12PM 18	the 1926 number for total IIM as being out of bounds with	04:32:00PM 18	Q. Okay.
04:29:20PM 19	everything else, and in fact, I had mentioned it to NORC that	04:32:00PM 19	A. I did not locate detailed accounting, but that's not to say
04:29:25PM 20	this number did not strike me as a realistic number based on	04:32:04PM 20	that one did not exist.
04:29:30PM 21	everything else we saw.	04:32:05PM 21	Q. And that was my question, did you ever see in all your
04:29:31PM 22	Q. So you put it on your chart, but you told	04:32:07PM 22	research did you ever see the detailed account statement
04:29:34PM 23	A. I felt obligated to put it on our chart rather than ignore	04:32:10PM 23	supporting your figures?
04:29:39PM 24	its existence. It seemed to me that that was my only options:	04:32:10PM 24	A. I did not.
04:29:42PM 25	I would either put it on or say that, well, you know, try to	04:32:11PM 25	Q. Let's look at DX 414-1. And looking again at page two, the
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
4	877	4	879
04:29:46PM I	hide it.	04:32:38PM 1	\$5.5 million figure.
04:29:46PM Z	Q. So any number, even if it was unrealistic to you, was		A. Yes.
04:29:50PM 3	included on this chart? A. And it was reported to NORC in particular as what they call	04:32:42PM 3	Q. And that's the number you adopted in your report because you felt you had to tell everything; is that correct?
04:29:50PM 4	an outlier as something that does not make sense.	04:32:46PM 4	
04:29:54PM 5	Q. Okay. Have you ever looked at that particular statute, the	04:32:48PM 5	A. That's correct. Q. You didn't include a footnote on your report that says this
04:30:02PM 7	one you've referenced as the Sundry Civil Appropriations Act?	04:32:52PM 7	doesn't look right, it looks low?
04:30:09PM 8	A. Yes, I have.	04:32:53PM 8	A. No. I mentioned that to NORC and FTI. I mentioned it as
04:30:09PM 9	Q. If we could look at Exhibit 125. Focus in on the	04:32:58PM 9	something that didn't strike me as right in the same manner that
04:30:26PM 10	highlighted language. If you could look at that. Is that your	04:33:02PM 10	I mentioned the 1926 total figure.
04:30:30PM 11	understanding of what you read?	04:33:07PM 11	Q. If we could look at Exhibit 126, please. Does this appear
04:30:31PM 12	A. Yes, it is.	04:33:19PM 12	to be an annual report for 1922?
04:30:33PM 13	Q. And basically as I understand it there's three	04:33:22PM 13	A. It does.
04:30:37PM 14	requirements: Number one, it's got to be public money, right?	04:33:22PM 14	Q. The same year you have that \$5.5 million figure?
04:30:45PM 15	A. Um-hmm.	04:33:25PM 15	A. It does.
04:30:45PM 16	Q. Does it reference public money in there?	04:33:26PM 16	Q. I'm sorry?
04:30:47PM 17	A. I'm sorry. Were you asking something? I wasn't aware that	04:33:29PM 17	A. It is, yes.
04:30:50PM 18	was a question.	04:33:30PM 18	Q. And if we could look at pages 21 to 22. Do you see a
04:30:51PM 19	Q. Proceeds are public property?	04:33:47PM 19	section starting oil and gas in the five civilized tribes?
04:30:53PM 20	A. Correct.	04:33:50PM 20	A. Yes.
	THE COURT: Are you asking him about the meaning of a	04:33:50PM 21	Q. If you could turn to the next page. And is there a number
04:30:53PM 21			
04:30:53PM 21 04:30:55PM 22	statute, counsel? Why don't you just assert what the meaning is	04:33:56PM 22	there for oil and gas for 1922 for restricted Indians?
	statute, counsel? Why don't you just assert what the meaning is and move on.	04:33:56PM 22 04:34:03PM 23	A. Yes.
04:30:55PM 22 04:30:58PM 23 04:30:59PM 24			_
04:30:55PM 22 04:30:58PM 23	and move on.	04:34:03PM 23	A. Yes.
04:30:55PM 22 04:30:58PM 23 04:30:59PM 24	and move on. MR. SMITH: Okay, sure. I'll do that.	04:34:03PM 23 04:34:04PM 24	A. Yes.Q. That's almost 3.9 million?
04:30:55PM 22 04:30:58PM 23 04:30:59PM 24	and move on. MR. SMITH: Okay, sure. I'll do that. BY MR. SMITH:	04:34:03PM 23 04:34:04PM 24	A. Yes.Q. That's almost 3.9 million?A. Correct.

	880		882
04:34:08PM 1	Q. So roughly \$3.9 million of the 5.5 would come from one	04:38:01PM 1	A. I can only think that I missed it.
04:34:12PM 2	tribe, is that fair?	04:38:08PM 2	Q. So you didn't tell Dr. Scheuren that there were \$121
04:34:13PM 3	A. Yes. And I'm sure adding Osage would push it over.	04:38:13PM 3	million of receipts in 1969?
04:34:18PM 4	Q. And how many allotted Indian tribes were there at that	04:38:14PM 4	A. No.
04:34:23PM 5	time?	04:38:18PM 5	Q. Certainly that would be important information you would
04:34:23PM 6	A. At that time how many allotted? There would be a total of	04:38:25PM 6	want to convey to him to get accurate information?
04:34:27PM 7	118. But by that point it would be some number less than 118.	04:38:27PM 7	A. Yes.
04:34:33PM 8	Q. Okay. And if you look at page 24, in fact, is there a	04:38:28PM 8	Q. Is that correct?
04:34:40PM 9	number there for Osage of 22.1 million?	04:38:31PM 9	A. Yes.
04:34:44PM 10	A. Yes, there is, but that's of course not all allotted I	04:38:31PM 10	Q. When we're looking at these receipt and disbursement
04:34:57PM 11	don't believe, but I don't.	04:38:50PM 11	information that you've taken from these reports, these 1906
04:34:58PM 12	Q. But don't know?	04:38:56PM 12	statutory reports, do you consider the fact that Interior
04:34:59PM 13	A. No.	04:39:05PM 13	commonly used the phrase "disbursement" to mean not only money
04:34:59PM 14	Q. So is it fair to say that the numbers that are reported by	04:39:10PM 14	disbursed to individual Indians but also put into banks on their
04:35:02PM 15	the disbursing agents may or may not be accurate, is that fair?	04:39:14PM 15	behalf?
04:35:05PM 16	A. In the case of 5.5 million, you know, I did believe it was	04:39:14PM 16	A. Please say that again. Where are you looking, at the 1906
04:35:11PM 17	accurate but also I didn't feel that I could withhold it just	04:39:27PM 17	act reports?
04:35:14PM 18	because I felt it was inaccurate. I didn't think that would	04:39:27PM 18	Q. Right. You've referred to these 1906 reports, that all
04:35:17PM 19	be I just didn't feel that would be right, to withhold a	04:39:31PM 19	they have are receipts and disbursements?
04:35:20PM 20	number just because it was inaccurate.	04:39:32PM 20	A. Correct.
04:35:23PM 21	Q. On that point can we look at DX 483? The figure for	04:39:32PM 21	Q. No backup documentation, correct?
04:35:41PM 22	1969, and you have a figure if we could focus in on 1969 would	04:39:34PM 22	A. That's right.
04:35:58PM 23	be the next page, and do you see it says IIM system funds and	04:39:35PM 23	Q. And did you consider that perhaps Interior commonly used
04:36:10PM 24	banks, \$40.8 million?	04:39:40PM 24	the word "disbursements" to be not only money given to the
04:36:17PM 25	A. Yes.	04:39:44PM 25	individual Indians but also put into banks or invested on their
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter 881		Official Court Reporter 883
04:36:17PM 1	Q. And go ahead to the right. IIM system funds invested in	04:39:48PM 1	behalf?
04:36:27PM 2	government securities, \$29 million roughly?	04:39:48PM 2	A. I can only go by what the report itself said.
04:36:30PM 3	A. Um-hmm.	04:39:51PM 3	Q. Okay. Have you seen instances where the phrase
04:36:30PM 4	Q. And total IIM funds, \$69.8 million.	04:39:55PM 4	"disbursements" doesn't mean actually disbursed to an individual
04:36:35PM 5	A. Correct.	04:39:58PM 5	Indian?
04:36:35PM 6	Q. And if we could look at DX 72. This is an Office of Survey	04:39:59PM 6	A. Yes.
04:36:57PM 7	and Review Audit Operations dated 1969. Do you see that?	04:40:00PM 7	Q. If we can look at Exhibit 87. And this is a 1918 annual
04:37:02PM 8	A. Yes, I do.	04:40:16PM 8	report; is that fair?
04:37:05PM 9	Q. And that's one of the documents you've used in your	04:40:18PM 9	A. Yes.
04:37:08PM 10	calculations, is that fair?	04:40:18PM 10	Q. If we could look at page 459. And do you see this is a
04:37:08PM 11	A. That's correct.	04:40:33PM 11	part of the report dealing with the five civilized tribes, do
04:37:09PM 12	Q. If we could look at 72-4. Focus in on the highlighted	04:40:38PM 12	you see that at the top of the page?
04:37:18PM 13	language. It says the individual Indian money account system	04:40:39PM 13	A. I do.
04:37:25PM 14	has many attributes of a commercial banking operation. Some	04:40:39PM 14	Q. And go ahead and scroll down. And there's a section
04:37:29PM 15	pertinent statistics are cash receipts are running at the rate	04:40:45PM 15	beginning "disbursements" on that page. Do you see that?
04:37:32PM 16	of \$121 million per year. Do you see that?	04:40:47PM 16	A. I do.
04:37:38PM 17	A. Yes.	04:40:47PM 17	Q. And if we could go to page 460. And do you see toward the
04:37:38PM 18	Q. That's roughly twice your balance number; is that correct?	04:40:57PM 18	bottom of the page highlighted it's talking about disbursements
04:37:41PM 19	A. Yes, but money is being disbursed. I guess I don't see the	04:41:01PM 19	for individual Indian and miscellaneous monies?
04:37:51PM 20		04:41:08PM 20	A. Yes.
04:37:52PM 21	Q. Did you include that under Receipts under 1969?	04:41:09PM 21	Q. And it has \$1.7 million paid to Indians, and then \$5.5
04:37:57PM 22	A. The \$121 million?	04:41:16PM 22	million for the purchase of liberty loan bonds, and \$294,000 for
04:37:59PM 23	Q. Yes.	04:41:20PM 23	the purchase of war savings stamps. Do you see that?
24		/д	
04:38:00PM 24	A. No.		A. I do.
04:38:00PM 24 04:38:00PM 25	Q. Can you tell us why you didn't?	04:41:24PM 25	Q. If we could go on to the next page. And look at the top

	884		886
04:41:29PM 1	half of the page. And then it talks about other Indian monies	04:44:37PM 1	\$29 million?
04:41:33PM 2	paid to Indians, 631,000, again the purchase of liberty loan	04:44:37PM 2	A. I do.
04:41:40PM 3	bonds, five thousand, purchase of war savings stamps, \$208,000,	04:44:37PM 3	Q. And then total IIM system funds, \$66 million. Do you see
04:41:44PM 4	and they total it up for total disbursements. Do you see that?	04:44:44PM 4	that?
04:41:48PM 5	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Your Honor, same objection as	04:44:44PM 5	A. I do.
04:41:50PM 6	before. Again, we fail to see the relevance of an examination	04:44:44PM 6	Q. Can you tell me how \$41 million plus \$29 million totaled
04:41:53PM 7	regarding liberty loans and war savings stamps.	04:44:50PM 7	\$66 million?
04:41:56PM 8	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, these are investments of IIM	04:44:50PM 8	A. We took that figure from two different documents. One was
04:41:58PM 9	money and they're characterized in the investments as	04:44:55PM 9	the GAO report, I believe, and the other was a congressional
04:42:02PM 10	disbursements.	04:45:01PM 10	report, and they are for two different time periods. The GAO
04:42:03PM 11	THE COURT: Overruled.	04:45:06PM 11	report, if I remember it correctly, was September, and I could
04:42:09PM 12	BY MR. SMITH:	04:45:11PM 12	be wrong. I could have these reversed, but the report to the
04:42:09PM 13	Q. And my question is, they use the term "disbursements" but	04:45:15PM 13	House of Representatives was from an earlier period. I felt the
04:42:13PM 14	sometimes when they're talking about disbursements they're	04:45:19PM 14	most accurate way to represent that was by doing it this way,
04:42:16PM 15	simply talking about money used to invest IIM funds, is that	04:45:23PM 15	but the two figures come from two different documents.
04:42:20PM 16	fair?	04:45:26PM 16	Q. Okay. Both documents come from 1968?
04:42:20PM 17	A. But you're also relating to the 1906 act.	04:45:30PM 17	A. Correct.
04:42:24PM 18	Q. Let's talk about this first.	04:45:30PM 18	Q. And one document, whatever it is, shows a total IIM system
04:42:25PM 19	A. Okay.	04:45:36PM 19	funds of \$66 million?
04:42:26PM 20	Q. In this context the disbursements include investments on	04:45:37PM 20	A. That's correct. I believe that's a General Accounting
04:42:30PM 21	behalf of the Indians?	04:45:40PM 21	Office report.
04:42:32PM 22	A. Yes.	04:45:40PM 22	Q. And then the other two documents show closer to \$71
04:42:32PM 23	Q. Do you know how they use the term "disbursements" under the	04:45:47PM 23	million?
04:42:37PM 24	1906 act, whether they're talking about money actually disbursed	04:45:48PM 24	A. Yes. I believe that's the House of Representatives report.
04:42:41PM 25	to an individual Indian or simply disbursed on their behalf?	04:45:50PM 25	Q. So you elected to make sure you put the lower amount under
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	885	_	887
04:42:44PM 1	A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that	04:45:57PM 1	total IIM system funds; is that correct?
04:42:48PM 2	A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general	04:45:59PM 2	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3	A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it.	04:45:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year,
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a 	04:45:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I
04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5	A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct?	04:45:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the
04:42-48PM 2 04:42-51PM 3 04:42-53PM 4 04:42-58PM 5 04:42-58PM 6	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. 	04:45:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:16PM 6	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there.
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? 	04:45:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:16PM 6 04:46:18PM 7	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 8	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. 	04:45:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:14PM 6 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to 	04:46:55PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:16PM 6 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:25PM 9	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million?
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 8 04:43:04PM 9 04:43:04PM 10	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? 	04:46:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:16PM 6 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:25PM 9 04:46:25PM 10	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:56PM 5 04:42:56PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 8 04:43:04PM 9	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to 	04:46:55PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:16PM 6 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:25PM 9	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million?
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 8 04:43:04PM 9 04:43:04PM 10 04:43:04PM 11	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. 	04:46:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:25PM 9 04:46:25PM 10 04:46:30PM 11	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC?
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 8 04:43:04PM 9 04:43:04PM 10 04:43:04PM 11	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 	04:46:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:25PM 9 04:46:25PM 10 04:46:30PM 11 04:46:33PM 12	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 8 04:43:04PM 9 04:43:04PM 10 04:43:04PM 11 04:43:04PM 12 04:43:04PM 13 04:44:05PM 14	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom 	04-45-59PM 2 04-46-01PM 3 04-46-07PM 4 04-46-11PM 5 04-46-18PM 7 04-46-18PM 7 04-46-25PM 9 04-46-25PM 10 04-46-33PM 11 04-46-33PM 12 04-46-33PM 13	A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:58PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 9 04:43:03PM 10 04:43:04PM 11 04:43:10PM 12 04:43:40PM 13	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, 	04:46:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:24PM 9 04:46:25PM 10 04:46:30PM 11 04:46:33PM 12 04:46:33PM 13 04:46:34PM 14	A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct?
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 8 04:43:04PM 10 04:43:04PM 11 04:43:10PM 12 04:43:04PM 13 04:44:05PM 14	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? 	04:46:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:11PM 6 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:25PM 9 04:46:25PM 10 04:46:30PM 11 04:46:33PM 12 04:46:38PM 13 04:46:44PM 14	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct.
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:55PM 4 04:42:55PM 5 04:42:55PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 9 04:43:04PM 10 04:43:04PM 11 04:43:10PM 12 04:43:10PM 14 04:44:15PM 15 04:44:15PM 16	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. 	04:46:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:01PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:25PM 9 04:46:25PM 10 04:46:33PM 11 04:46:33PM 12 04:46:33PM 14 04:46:49PM 15	A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:55PM 4 04:42:56PM 5 04:42:56PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 9 04:43:04PM 10 04:43:04PM 11 04:43:16PM 12 04:44:15PM 15 04:44:15PM 16 04:44:15PM 17	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. THE COURT: What's the heading on that column? 	04-46-59PM 2 04-46-01PM 3 04-46-01PM 4 04-46-11PM 5 04-46-14PM 6 04-46-14PM 7 04-46-25PM 9 04-46-25PM 10 04-46-39PM 11 04-46-33PM 12 04-46-34PM 14 04-46-34PM 15 04-46-34PM 15 04-46-34PM 16	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about some of the reports that came out in 1914, '15, '16, that had
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 10 04:43:03PM 11 04:43:03PM 11 04:43:03PM 12 04:43:04PM 13 04:44:05PM 14 04:44:15PM 15 04:44:15PM 16 04:44:15PM 18	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. THE COURT: What's the heading on that column? MR. SMITH: Yes, if we could get that. 	04-45-59PM 2 04-46-01PM 3 04-46-01PM 4 04-46-11PM 5 04-46-18PM 7 04-46-18PM 8 04-46-25PM 9 04-46-25PM 10 04-46-35PM 11 04-46-33PM 12 04-46-33PM 13 04-46-49PM 15 04-46-49PM 15 04-46-55PM 16 04-46-55PM 17 04-47-01PM 18	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about some of the reports that came out in 1914, '15, '16, that had some statistical data on individual Indian money; is that
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:56PM 5 04:42:56PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 10 04:43:04PM 12 04:43:10PM 12 04:43:10PM 14 04:44:13PM 15 04:44:15PM 16 04:44:16PM 17 04:44:18PM 18	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. THE COURT: What's the heading on that column? MR. SMITH: Yes, if we could get that. BY MR. SMITH: 	04:45:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:11PM 6 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:25PM 9 04:46:25PM 10 04:46:33PM 11 04:46:33PM 12 04:46:34PM 14 04:46:49PM 15 04:46:49PM 16 04:46:55PM 17 04:47:01PM 18 04:47:05PM 19	A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about some of the reports that came out in 1914, '15, '16, that had some statistical data on individual Indian money; is that correct?
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:58PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:42:58PM 7 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 10 04:43:04PM 11 04:43:16PM 12 04:44:15PM 15 04:44:15PM 16 04:44:15PM 18 04:44:15PM 18 04:44:15PM 19	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. THE COURT: What's the heading on that column? MR. SMITH: Yes, if we could get that. BY MR. SMITH: Q. \$41.8 million is 	04-45-59PM 2 04-46-01PM 3 04-46-01PM 4 04-46-11PM 5 04-46-11PM 6 04-46-18PM 7 04-46-21PM 8 04-46-25PM 9 04-46-25PM 10 04-46-33PM 11 04-46-33PM 12 04-46-33PM 14 04-46-34PM 15 04-46-49PM 16 04-46-49PM 16 04-46-49PM 17 04-46-34PM 18 04-47-05PM 18	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about some of the reports that came out in 1914, '15, '16, that had some statistical data on individual Indian money; is that correct? A. The commissioner's reports.
04.42-48PM 2 04.42-58PM 4 04.42-58PM 5 04.42-58PM 6 04.43-03PM 7 04.43-03PM 10 04.43-04PM 11 04.43-10PM 12 04.43-04PM 13 04.44-15PM 15 04.44-15PM 16 04.44-15PM 17 04.44-15PM 18 04.44-15PM 18 04.44-15PM 19 04.44-23PM 20 04.44-23PM 20	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. THE COURT: What's the heading on that column? MR. SMITH: Yes, if we could get that. BY MR. SMITH: Q. \$41.8 million is THE COURT: System funds in banks, isn't it? 	04-45-59PM 2 04-46-01PM 3 04-46-01PM 4 04-46-11PM 5 04-46-18PM 7 04-46-18PM 8 04-46-25PM 9 04-46-25PM 10 04-46-35PM 11 04-46-33PM 12 04-46-38PM 13 04-46-38PM 15 04-46-38PM 16 04-46-35PM 16 04-46-35PM 17 04-47-01PM 18 04-47-05PM 20 04-47-05PM 20	A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about some of the reports that came out in 1914, '15, '16, that had some statistical data on individual Indian money: is that correct? A. The commissioner's reports. Q. That's correct?
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:53PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 10 04:43:03PM 11 04:43:03PM 11 04:43:03PM 15 04:44:13PM 15 04:44:15PM 16 04:44:15PM 17 04:44:15PM 18 04:44:15PM 19 04:44:23PM 20 04:44:23PM 20	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. THE COURT: What's the heading on that column? MR. SMITH: Yes, if we could get that. BY MR. SMITH: Q. \$41.8 million is THE COURT: System funds in banks, isn't it? BY MR. SMITH: 	04:45:59PM 2 04:46:01PM 3 04:46:07PM 4 04:46:11PM 5 04:46:11PM 6 04:46:18PM 7 04:46:21PM 8 04:46:25PM 9 04:46:25PM 10 04:46:33PM 11 04:46:33PM 12 04:46:38PM 15 04:46:49PM 15 04:46:49PM 16 04:46:59PM 17 04:47:01PM 18 04:47:05PM 20 04:47:05PM 20	A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about some of the reports that came out in 1914, '15, '16, that had some statistical data on individual Indian money; is that correct? A. The commissioner's reports. Q. That's correct? A. Yes.
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:51PM 3 04:42:58PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:43:03PM 7 04:43:03PM 10 04:43:04PM 12 04:43:10PM 12 04:43:10PM 14 04:44:13PM 15 04:44:15PM 16 04:44:15PM 17 04:44:15PM 18 04:44:15PM 19 04:44:23PM 20 04:44:27PM 21 04:44:27PM 21	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. THE COURT: What's the heading on that column? MR. SMITH: Yes, if we could get that. BY MR. SMITH: Q. \$41.8 million is THE COURT: System funds in banks, isn't it? BY MR. SMITH: Q. Do you see that, Dr. Angel? 	04-45-59PM 2 04-46-01PM 3 04-46-01PM 4 04-46-11PM 5 04-46-11PM 6 04-46-11PM 7 04-46-21PM 8 04-46-25PM 9 04-46-25PM 10 04-46-35PM 11 04-46-35PM 12 04-46-35PM 14 04-46-45PM 15 04-46-45PM 16 04-46-45PM 17 04-46-45PM 17 04-46-45PM 18 04-47-05PM 19 04-47-05PM 20 04-47-05PM 21 04-47-05PM 21	 A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about some of the reports that came out in 1914, '15, '16, that had some statistical data on individual Indian money; is that correct? A. The commissioner's reports. Q. That's correct? A. Yes. Q. If we could look at Exhibit 130. Is that the 1916
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:58PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:42:58PM 7 04:43:03PM 9 04:43:03PM 10 04:43:04PM 12 04:43:10PM 13 04:44:15PM 15 04:44:15PM 16 04:44:15PM 17 04:44:15PM 18 04:44:15PM 19 04:44:27PM 20 04:44:27PM 21 04:44:30PM 21	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. THE COURT: What's the heading on that column? MR. SMITH: Yes, if we could get that. BY MR. SMITH: Q. \$41.8 million is THE COURT: System funds in banks, isn't it? BY MR. SMITH: Q. Do you see that, Dr. Angel? A. I do. 	04-45-59PM 2 04-46-01PM 3 04-46-01PM 4 04-46-11PM 5 04-46-11PM 6 04-46-18PM 7 04-46-25PM 9 04-46-25PM 10 04-46-25PM 11 04-46-35PM 12 04-46-35PM 13 04-46-35PM 14 04-46-35PM 15 04-46-35PM 16 04-46-35PM 16 04-46-35PM 17 04-46-35PM 18 04-47-05PM 19 04-47-05PM 20 04-47-05PM 21 04-47-05PM 22 04-47-05PM 22 04-47-05PM 22 04-47-05PM 22	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about some of the reports that came out in 1914, '15, '16, that had some statistical data on individual Indian money; is that correct? A. The commissioner's reports. Q. That's correct? A. Yes. Q. If we could look at Exhibit 130. Is that the 1916 commissioner's report?
04:42:48PM 2 04:42:58PM 4 04:42:58PM 5 04:42:58PM 6 04:42:58PM 7 04:43:03PM 9 04:43:03PM 10 04:43:04PM 12 04:43:10PM 13 04:44:15PM 15 04:44:15PM 16 04:44:15PM 17 04:44:15PM 18 04:44:15PM 19 04:44:27PM 20 04:44:27PM 21 04:44:30PM 21	 A. My understanding of the 1906 act is what it says, and that is, the general monies that were not paid into the general Treasury of the United States or disbursed from it. Q. Right, and that could include money simply placed into a bank; is that correct? A. I don't know. I couldn't answer that. Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. Q. Because you've never seen any documentation at all to support those disbursement numbers, correct? A. That's correct. Q. If we could look at, moving ahead, 1968. I'm sorry. On DX 483, the bottom of the page 1968. Can you focus on the bottom line? Maybe you can't. Okay. 1968, it begins \$41 million, \$41.8 million? A. Yes. THE COURT: What's the heading on that column? MR. SMITH: Yes, if we could get that. BY MR. SMITH: Q. \$41.8 million is THE COURT: System funds in banks, isn't it? BY MR. SMITH: Q. Do you see that, Dr. Angel? A. I do. Q. And then the next item, Invested in Government Securities, 	04-45-59PM 2 04-46-01PM 3 04-46-01PM 4 04-46-11PM 5 04-46-11PM 6 04-46-18PM 7 04-46-25PM 9 04-46-25PM 10 04-46-25PM 11 04-46-35PM 12 04-46-35PM 13 04-46-35PM 14 04-46-35PM 15 04-46-35PM 16 04-46-35PM 16 04-46-35PM 17 04-46-35PM 18 04-47-05PM 19 04-47-05PM 20 04-47-05PM 21 04-47-05PM 22 04-47-05PM 22 04-47-05PM 22 04-47-05PM 22	total IIM system funds; is that correct? A. Well, it comes from different time periods, and I believe that the GAO report, and it was correct to the fiscal year, which is what we were using, but I also felt that in fairness I had to include everything. Again, that's why we have those, the three different figures in there. Q. And now did you tell Dr. Scheuren that actually at one point in time during the year the total IIM system funds were \$71 million? A. We did talk about that, yes. Again, I don't know if it was specific may I say NORC? Q. Okay. We've talked a little bit about things you excluded from your data. And one was that 1969 report, the one we talked about, the \$120 million plus on receipts, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now you've talked this afternoon a little bit about some of the reports that came out in 1914, '15, '16, that had some statistical data on individual Indian money; is that correct? A. The commissioner's reports. Q. That's correct? A. Yes. Q. If we could look at Exhibit 130. Is that the 1916 commissioner's report? A. It is.

	888		890
04:47:22PM 1	Q. And look at page 169. If you focus in up at the top you	04:50:08PM 1	A. No. And I don't, you know, if I cannot distinguish what's
04:47:37PM 2	actually have a chart for sales of Indians allotted lands during	04:50:16PM 2	paid directly and what's not paid directly, no, I can't. It's
04:47:41PM 3	fiscal year ended June 30, 1916. Do you see that?	04:50:19PM 3	not, that's my point, is that I can simply include revenue and
04:47:45PM 4	A. I see it.	04:50:27PM 4	equate it to receipts into the ITM system.
04:47:46PM 5	Q. And for noncompetence and inherited land sales?	04:50:35PM 5	Q. Now tell us where in this report this money is being paid
04:47:50PM 6	A. Yes.	04:50:37PM 6	directly rather than into the system.
04:47:50PM 7	Q. And as totaled by year. You can figure out what was sold	04:50:40PM 7	A. I don't know.
04:47:53PM 8	and what the proceeds were; is that correct?	04:50:40PM 8	Q. Can you tell me where in this report or any other report it
04:47:56PM 9	A. Yes.	04:50:41PM 9	says they're keeping records, Interior is keeping records of
04:47:56PM 10	Q. Is this information that you could use in determining IIM	04:50:45PM 10	direct pay transactions?
04:48:00PM 11	funds for that particular year?	04:50:46PM 11	A. I know Interior has kept records earlier regarding the
04:48:01PM 12	A. Not if we don't know what's paid directly to an individual	04:50:55PM 12	number of allotments that receive direct pay, but I can't in
04:48:06PM 13	and what is not.	04:50:59PM 13	this report, no, but these are revenue figures, not receipt
04:48:07PM 14	Q. Okay. Noncompetent sales, are you dealing with	04:51:04PM 14	figures.
04:48:12PM 15	individuals?	04:51:06PM 15	Q. Let's talk a little bit about the history of the
04:48:12PM 16	A. We are, yes.	04:51:18PM 16	disbursements. Isn't it fair it was quite common during the
04:48:13PM 17	Q. How about page 112. And here we're looking at least	04:51:23PM 17	early periods of this trust to withhold money from
04:48:30PM 18	agricultural land, and you see there's a section there for	04:51:25PM 18	beneficiaries?
04:48:35PM 19	allotted?	04:51:26PM 19	A. Please explain that.
04:48:35PM 20	A. I do.	04:51:28PM 20	Q. Sure. If you are a minor you didn't get your money,
04:48:36PM 21	Q. And shows what the income was?	04:51:32PM 21	correct?
04:48:37PM 22	A. I do.	04:51:32PM 22	A. If you're a minor
04:48:37PM 23	Q. Does that income reflect individual beneficiary income for	04:51:36PM 23	Q. The government kept your money in trust?
04:48:40PM 24	that particular year?	04:51:38PM 24	A. There were provisions for making some disbursements, but,
04:48:41PM 25	A. I don't know. I don't know what's paid directly to an	04:51:41PM 25	yes, it was kept in trust.
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter
	889		891
04:48:46PM 1	allottee and what there were direct pay provisions, so I	04:51:44PM 1	Q. If you were incompetent the money was kept in trust except
04:48:51PM 2	accept the \$2,600,000 figure as revenue for allotted lands, but	04:51:51PM 2	for certain disbursements; is that correct?
04:48:58PM 3	I can't say how much of that was paid directly and didn't hit	04:51:52PM 3	
04:49:03PM 4		04.31.32FW	A. Correct.
	the IIM system.	04:51:52PM 4	Correct. Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered
04:49:04PM 5	the ITM system. Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records	4	_
04:49:04PM 5 04:49:07PM 6		04:51:52PM 4	Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered
6	Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:55PM 5	Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct?
04:49:07PM 6	Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions?	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:55PM 5 04:51:56PM 6	Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct?A. Correct.
04:49:07PM 6 04:49:09PM 7	Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions?A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure.	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:55PM 5 04:51:56PM 6 04:51:57PM 7	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say,
04:49:07PM 6 04:49:09PM 7 04:49:15PM 8	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:07PM 9 04:52:11PM 10	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white
04:49:07PM 6 04:49:09PM 7 04:49:15PM 8 04:49:19PM 9	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:53PM 6 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:07PM 9 04:52:11PM 10 04:52:13PM 11	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-03PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-21PM 11 04-49-34PM 12	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the 	04:51:53PM 4 04:51:53PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:55PM 7 04:52:03PM 8 04:52:03PM 9 04:52:13PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-24PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 13	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:55PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:07PM 9 04:52:11PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-21PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 13 04-49-34PM 14	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:07PM 9 04:52:11PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 13	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-03PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-21PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 13 04-49-33PM 14 04-49-33PM 14	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:02PM 10 04:52:13PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909.
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-24PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 13 04-49-35PM 14 04-49-35PM 15 04-49-55PM 16	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:07PM 9 04:52:11PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:23PM 16	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age?
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-19PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-24PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-35PM 13 04-49-35PM 15 04-49-35PM 15 04-49-35PM 16 04-49-35PM 17	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:07PM 9 04:52:11PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 16	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes.
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-03PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-21PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 13 04-49-34PM 14 04-49-35PM 15 04-49-55PM 16 04-49-55PM 17 04-49-55PM 18	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it shows what the revenue was, advanced royalties, 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:55PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:02PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 17 04:52:35PM 18	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes. Q. And so if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood you
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-24PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 14 04-49-34PM 15 04-49-35PM 16 04-49-55PM 17 04-49-55PM 18 04-49-55PM 19	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it shows what the revenue was, advanced royalties, royalty on production, bonuses; is that correct? 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:55PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:02PM 9 04:52:13PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:35PM 16 04:52:35PM 17 04:52:35PM 18 04:52:35PM 18	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes. Q. And so if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood you were treated as incompetent and the government withheld your
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-19PM 8 04-49-19PM 10 04-49-20PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 13 04-49-35PM 14 04-49-35PM 15 04-49-55PM 16 04-49-55PM 18 04-49-55PM 19 04-49-55PM 20	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it shows what the revenue was, advanced royalties, royalty on production, bonuses; is that correct? A. That's correct. 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:02PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 17 04:52:33PM 18 04:52:34PM 19 04:52:44PM 19	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes. Q. And so if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood you were treated as incompetent and the government withheld your money and gave you periodic disbursements, correct?
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-19PM 8 04-49-19PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-21PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 14 04-49-34PM 15 04-49-35PM 16 04-49-54PM 17 04-49-54PM 17 04-49-54PM 19 04-49-54PM 20 04-49-54PM 20 04-49-54PM 20	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it shows what the revenue was, advanced royalties, royalty on production, bonuses; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. If you look down at footnote two at the bottom, it tells 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:55PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:02PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 17 04:52:33PM 18 04:52:34PM 19 04:52:44PM 20 04:52:47PM 20	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes. Q. And so if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood you were treated as incompetent and the government withheld your money and gave you periodic disbursements, correct? A. That's correct, in 1909.
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-24PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 14 04-49-34PM 15 04-49-35PM 16 04-49-55PM 17 04-49-55PM 19 04-49-55PM 20 04-49-55PM 21 04-50-02PM 22	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it shows what the revenue was, advanced royalties, royalty on production, bonuses; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. If you look down at footnote two at the bottom, it tells you whether it's unallotted or allotted land? 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:53PM 6 04:51:53PM 6 04:51:53PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:03PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:13PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 17 04:52:33PM 18 04:52:43PM 19 04:52:43PM 20 04:52:53PM 20	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes. Q. And so if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood you were treated as incompetent and the government withheld your money and gave you periodic disbursements, correct? A. That's correct, in 1909. Q. Do you recall when that standard was eliminated?
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-19PM 8 04-49-19PM 10 04-49-20PM 11 04-49-24PM 12 04-49-34PM 13 04-49-34PM 14 04-49-34PM 15 04-49-35PM 16 04-49-55PM 16 04-49-55PM 18 04-49-55PM 20 04-49-55PM 21 04-50-02PM 21 04-50-02PM 23	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it shows what the revenue was, advanced royalties, royalty on production, bonuses; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. If you look down at footnote two at the bottom, it tells you whether it's unallotted or allotted land? A. Yes. 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:53PM 6 04:51:53PM 7 04:51:53PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:03PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 17 04:52:33PM 18 04:52:34PM 19 04:52:34PM 20 04:52:52PM 21 04:52:52PM 21	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Ouite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes. Q. And so if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood you were treated as incompetent and the government withheld your money and gave you periodic disbursements, correct? A. That's correct, in 1909. Q. Do you recall when that standard was eliminated? A. By about 1917 when Kayto Cells was commissioner of Indian
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-09PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-24PM 11 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 13 04-49-35PM 15 04-49-35PM 16 04-49-35PM 17 04-49-55PM 17 04-49-55PM 19 04-49-55PM 20 04-49-55PM 21 04-50-03PM 22 04-50-03PM 23 04-50-03PM 24	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it shows what the revenue was, advanced royalties, royalty on production, bonuses; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. If you look down at footnote two at the bottom, it tells you whether it's unallotted or allotted land? A. Yes. Q. Now, all of this information you don't include in your 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:55PM 7 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:02PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 17 04:52:33PM 18 04:52:35PM 20 04:52:52PM 21 04:52:52PM 21 04:52:52PM 22 04:52:53PM 22 04:52:53PM 22	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes. Q. And so if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood you were treated as incompetent and the government withheld your money and gave you periodic disbursements, correct? A. That's correct, in 1909. Q. Do you recall when that standard was eliminated? A. By about 1917 when Kayto Cells was commissioner of Indian Affairs he issued a declaration of policy. We very much
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-03PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 10 04-49-20PM 11 04-49-24PM 12 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-35PM 13 04-49-35PM 15 04-49-55PM 16 04-49-55PM 17 04-49-55PM 19 04-49-55PM 20 04-49-55PM 21 04-50-02PM 21 04-50-02PM 22	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it shows what the revenue was, advanced royalties, royalty on production, bonuses; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. If you look down at footnote two at the bottom, it tells you whether it's unallotted or allotted land? A. Yes. Q. Now, all of this information you don't include in your chart, do you? 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:53PM 6 04:51:53PM 7 04:51:53PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:03PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 17 04:52:33PM 18 04:52:34PM 19 04:52:34PM 20 04:52:52PM 21 04:52:52PM 21	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes. Q. And so if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood you were treated as incompetent and the government withheld your money and gave you periodic disbursements, correct? A. That's correct, in 1909. Q. Do you recall when that standard was eliminated? A. By about 1917 when Kayto Cells was commissioner of Indian Affairs he issued a declaration of policy. We very much loosened disbursement regulations, did not abolish them but
04-49-07PM 6 04-49-03PM 7 04-49-15PM 8 04-49-15PM 9 04-49-20PM 10 04-49-24PM 12 04-49-34PM 12 04-49-34PM 15 04-49-35PM 15 04-49-35PM 16 04-49-35PM 17 04-49-55PM 17 04-49-55PM 19 04-49-55PM 20 04-49-55PM 21 04-50-03PM 22 04-50-03PM 23 04-50-03PM 24	 Q. Now, are you telling me that Interior actually kept records of direct pay transactions? A. What I'm saying is that this is a total figure. Q. Well, that's my question: Did Interior keep records of direct pay transactions? A. I do not know. Q. Let's go to page 117. Same thing for glazing lands, you can figure out what was leased, what was allotted, and what the income was, is that fair? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Go to page 174. And you have the same table for mining; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And it shows what the revenue was, advanced royalties, royalty on production, bonuses; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. If you look down at footnote two at the bottom, it tells you whether it's unallotted or allotted land? A. Yes. Q. Now, all of this information you don't include in your 	04:51:52PM 4 04:51:52PM 5 04:51:55PM 6 04:51:55PM 7 04:51:57PM 7 04:52:02PM 8 04:52:02PM 10 04:52:13PM 11 04:52:13PM 12 04:52:23PM 13 04:52:23PM 14 04:52:23PM 15 04:52:33PM 16 04:52:33PM 17 04:52:33PM 18 04:52:35PM 20 04:52:52PM 21 04:52:52PM 21 04:52:52PM 22 04:52:53PM 22 04:52:53PM 22	 Q. They didn't hand over the money to people they considered incompetent; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And I mean, is it I want to be careful about what I say, but at that time in U.S. history if you were considered white you were competent; if you were Indian, more than fifty percent Indian blood, you were not, is that fair, is that common? A. Please tell me what period we're talking about. Q. 1909/1910 that was the standard, wasn't it, you were presumed to be incompetent if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood? A. Quite often that was the case by 1909. Q. And it was a different age? A. Yes. Q. And so if you had more than fifty percent Indian blood you were treated as incompetent and the government withheld your money and gave you periodic disbursements, correct? A. That's correct, in 1909. Q. Do you recall when that standard was eliminated? A. By about 1917 when Kayto Cells was commissioner of Indian Affairs he issued a declaration of policy. We very much

		1	
	892	,	894
04:53:16PM 1	loosened them up. It wasn't until about 1951 when most	04:58:07PM 1	disbursed from the restricted individual Indian monies the
04:53:22PM 2	everything was taken off, most of it. Nonetheless, so saying	04:58:12PM 2	aggregate sum of \$4,869,000, but this is from restricted funds,
04:53:27PM 3	there were within the IIM regulations various provisions that	04:58:20PM 3	right, so there could be other individual Indian monies
04:53:36PM 4	would allow a superintendent to disburse more money under	04:58:22PM 4	disbursed.
04:53:40PM 5	specific circumstances: the need to buy agricultural supplies;	04:58:25PM 5	BY MR. SMITH:
04:53:46PM 6	things of that nature.	04:58:25PM 6	Q. Okay. Okay.
04:53:47PM 7	Q. So the disbursement of the money wasn't unrestricted until	04:58:30PM 7	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I have another maybe thirty,
04:53:54PM 8	the 1950s?	04:58:32PM 8	forty minutes. Do you want to stop for today or do you want me
04:53:55PM 9	A. That's correct.	04:58:35PM 9	to go forward?
04:53:56PM 10	Q. Except for Osage?	04:58:36PM 10	THE COURT: I'm tempted to just let you play the whole
04:53:57PM 11	A. With Osage I know that quite a bit of money was paid	04:58:38PM 11	thing out today, counsel, but we have a criminal matter right
04:54:00PM 12	directly. We read that through the historical record.	04:58:42PM 12	behind you that they've been waiting for half an hour for you to
04:54:04PM 13	Q. Let's look at Exhibit 85. How about 86? I'm sorry.	04:58:45PM 13	finish.
04:54:48PM 14	Exhibit 86 appears to be the 1909 annual report for Interior?	04:58:48PM 14	MR. SMITH: Okay.
04:54:52PM 15	A. Yes, it does.	04:58:48PM 15	THE COURT: In vein. We'll recess until tomorrow
04:54:53PM 16	Q. If we could look on page 67. If you could focus in on the	04:58:52PM 16	morning at 9:30.
04:55:03PM 17	highlighted language. Just take a look at that.	17	(Proceedings adjourned at about 4:59 p.m.)
04:55:13PM 18	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Objection, your Honor, relevance	18	
04:55:15PM 19	in terms of what the policy was for payment.	19	
04:55:17PM 20	THE COURT: Sustained. We've seen this before anyway.	20	
04:55:21PM 21	Tie this to receipts and disbursements, but let's not dwell on	21	
04:55:25PM 22	this.	22	
04:55:27PM 23	BY MR. SMITH:	23	
04:55:27PM 24	Q. Is it fair to say, Dr. Angel, that you would not expect	24	
04:55:30PM 25	disbursements to equal receipts in those early years when you	25	
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR		Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter		Official Court Reporter 895
	893	1	INDEX
04:55:34PM 1	had these restrictions on Indian money?	2 3	WITNESSES:
04:55:38PM 2	A. What period again, please, Mr. Smith?	4	WITHESSES.
04:55:40PM 3	Q. Beginning 1909 through, say, 1930.	5	EDWARD ANGEL, Ph.D
E	A. We would not expect to see receipts, equal disbursements,	6	Direct Examination by Mr. Siemietkowski
04:55:53PM 5	equal receipts.	_	Cross-Examination by Mr. Smith
04:55:54PM 0	Q. Right, for all the money received to be disbursed?A. I don't know that that's the case at all.	7	
04:55:56PM	A. I don't know that that's the case at all.Q. Let's look at Exhibit 91. Looking at the 1915 report, do	8	
04:55:58PM 8	you see that?	9	
04:56:23PM 10	A. I do.	40	
04:56:24PM 11	Q. Pages 376 to 377. Focus in on the section marked	10	EXHIBITS
04:56:38PM 12	Individual Funds. This is the one we looked at before, but does	11	
04:56:47PM 13	it reflect I'm sorry. If you could actually go take it away	12	Defendants' Exhibit
04:57:03PM 14	off the highlighting. Go on to the go to the next page.		No. Identification Marked Admitted
04:57:17PM 15	Okay. This is the wrong document. Let's go forward. If we	13	DX483- 826
04:57:22PM 16	could look at Exhibit 131, please. Does this appear to be an	14	DX490
04:57:33PM 17	annual report of the commissioner of Indian Affairs for 1929?	15	All 826
04:57:36PM 18	A. It does.		DX 300s
04:57:37PM 19	Q. And if we could look at page 20. And you see there's a	16	and DX 400s
04:57:43PM 20	section on the five civilized tribes. And there is \$14 million	17	
04:57:51PM 21	taken in and only \$4.8 million disbursed?	18 19	
04:57:59PM 22	MR. SIEMIETKOWSKI: Objection, your Honor; relevance	20	
22	and cumulative.	21 22	
04:58:01PM 23			
04:58:01PM 23 04:58:04PM 24	THE COURT: Overruled.	23	
0.4	THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: It says during the fiscal year there was	23 24	
04:58:04PM 24		23	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
04:58:04PM 24	THE WITNESS: It says during the fiscal year there was Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter	23 24	Official Court Reporter

	896
1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, JACQUELINE M. SULLIVAN, Official Court Reporter,
3	certify that the foregoing pages are a correct transcript from
4	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
5	
	JACQUELINE M. SULLIVAN
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
	Official Court Reporter
	·

\$	•	826:10	831:14, 832:11,	842:18, 875:23,
		19 [4] - 796:22,	857:7	878:11
N4 444 040 004 0	14.5	815:18, 818:14,	1920 s [4] - 867:8,	1950 [2] - 790:17,
\$1,441,918 [1] - 861:8	'15 [1] - 887:17	832:23	867:9, 871:1, 871:20	790:18
\$1,651,000 [1] -	'16 [1] - 887:17	1900s [1] - 838:23	1921 [10] - 790:14,	1950s [2] - 844:20,
861:13	'22 [1] - 792:21	1904 [2] - 836:7,	807:7, 807:11,	892:8
\$10,000 [1] - 865:1	'40s [1] - 845:18	838:11	832:23, 833:2,	1951 [4] - 807:11,
\$12 [1] - 869:8	'49 [1] - 792:21	1906 [15] - 790:6,	854:17, 854:21,	842:13, 845:6, 892:
\$12,000 [1] - 802:6	'50s [2] - 796:4,	790:16, 791:6,	857:15, 867:11,	1952 [3] - 818:13,
\$12,644.40 [1] - 802:5	845:18	791:17, 815:11,	868:1	820:15, 842:13
\$120 [1] - 887:14	'55 [1] - 794:12	868:15, 875:21,	1922 [10] - 787:25,	1955 [3] - 793:10,
\$121 [3] - 881:16,	'60s [1] - 845:18	876:6, 882:11,	788:4, 788:25,	794:18, 821:4
881:22, 882:2	'70s [1] - 845:23	882:16, 882:18,	789:16, 790:13,	1956 [1] - 794:12
\$13 [2] - 842:18,	'80s [1] - 845:23	884:17, 884:24,	798:23, 875:22,	1960 [1] - 796:3
842:19		885:1	878:11, 879:12,	1960s [1] - 796:22
\$13,126,000 [1] -	1	1907 [3] - 839:9,	879:22	1966 [1] - 797:6
818:24		839:17, 840:18	1924 [4] - 868:3,	1967 [1] - 797:6
\$14 [1] - 893:20		1908 [7] - 786:15,	868:9, 868:20	1968 [4] - 885:12,
\$152,872.69 [1] -	1 [1] - 865:18	800:5, 816:22,	1925 [4] - 868:3,	885:13, 885:14,
805:1	1,346,000 [1] - 823:15	816:23, 817:1,	868:9, 868:12,	886:16
\$157,944 [1] - 804:25	1,496 [1] - 817:16	838:8, 854:17	868:20	1969 [6] - 880:22,
\$188, 794.02 [1] -	1,500 [1] - 817:16	1909 [14] - 784:3,	1926 [8] - 869:7,	881:7, 881:21,
804:19	1.7 [1] - 883:21	793:9, 793:16,	869:11, 871:1,	882:3, 887:13
\$2,600,000 [1] - 889:2	10 [1] - 801:13	799:20, 821:4,	871:11, 871:21,	197 [1] - 864:15
\$20 [2] - 868:24, 869:5	1001 [1] - 781:4	831:14, 839:5,	871:22, 876:18,	1971 [1] - 820:6
\$208,000 [1] - 884:3	102 [2] - 840:5, 856:18	853:11, 853:14,	879:10	1972 [4] - 818:6,
\$210,000 [3] - 863:3,	11.4 [1] - 820:6	854:21, 891:15,	1927 [1] - 813:14	819:8, 842:14, 846:
863:12, 863:15	110 [1] - 819:25	891:21, 892:14,	1928 [8] - 867:23,	1977 [1] - 787:6
\$22 [1] - 869:12	1100 [2] - 780:19,	893:3	872:2, 874:7, 874:8,	198 [1] - 862:15
\$27 [4] - 871:2, 871:8,	781:8	1909/1910 [1] - 891:12	875:2, 875:3, 875:7	1984 [1] - 850:11
871:11, 871:22	112 [1] - 888:17	1910 [8] - 793:9,	1929 [2] - 872:14,	1985 [10] - 786:16,
		794:4, 800:14,	893:17	787:18, 787:19,
\$29 [3] - 881:2, 886:1,	117 [1] - 889:11	816:6, 816:11,	1930 [4] - 788:5,	787:20, 799:8,
886:6	118 [3] - 823:18, 880:7	821:4, 853:14,	789:15, 789:17,	842:1, 843:1,
\$294,000 [1] - 883:22	1189 [3] - 795:22,	853:16	893:3	847:20, 848:20,
\$3,108,625.94 [1] -	827:16, 828:3	1911 [4] - 793:9,	1933 [3] - 791:20,	850:4
800:10	121 [1] - 860:19	794:4, 821:4, 853:17	833:13, 835:9	
\$30 [1] - 869:8	1219 [1] - 796:21	1912 [3] - 854:21,	1934 [7] - 799:8,	1996 [1] - 851:24
\$328,596.18 [1] -	1220 [1] - 797:18	855:24, 856:11	823:18, 841:8,	1997 [1] - 827:9
861:18	123 [2] - 864:6, 865:23	1913 [8] - 836:12,	841:25, 842:18,	1998 [1] - 784:8
\$34,000 [1] - 851:5	124 [1] - 870:14	836:22, 854:17,	842:22, 843:21	1999 [1] - 827:6
\$36,000 [1] - 850:13	125 [1] - 877:9	860:20, 861:1,	1935 [1] - 823:17	19th [1] - 807:1
\$39,040,000 [1] -	126 [1] - 879:11	861:13, 862:1, 862:5	1936 [1] - 841:8	1:37 [1] - 780:7
818:23	13 [1] - 851:4	1914 [1] - 887:17	1936 [1] - 841.8	1st [1] - 800:5
\$4,869,000 [1] - 894:2	130 [1] - 887:23	1915 [5] - 821:14,	804:24, 808:20,	
\$40,069.43 [1] -	131 [1] - 893:16	822:22, 862:13,	808:25	2
803:10	14 [1] - 809:19	862:18, 893:8		
\$41 [2] - 885:14, 886:6	14,600,000 [1] - 816:6	1916 [4] - 857:12,	194 [2] - 864:9, 866:11	
\$44 [1] - 869:14	14th [2] - 780:15,		1940 [3] - 801:13,	2 [1] - 842:23
\$45,000 [2] - 849:5,	780:23	857:24, 887:23,	804:14, 804:19	2,229 [2] - 816:22,
849:14	14X6039 [1] - 828:22	888:3	1941 [2] - 802:23,	817:1
\$640,000 [1] - 866:6	15 [1] - 816:7	1917 [4] - 855:24,	803:6	2.3 [1] - 849:1
\$ 66 [3] - 886:3, 886:7,	16 [2] - 780:7, 804:14	864:7, 875:8, 891:23	1942 [1] - 813:4	2.8 [2] - 862:22,
886:19	1601 [1] - 783:18	1918 [6] - 839:25,	1944 [3] - 788:6,	863:15
\$670,000 [1] - 848:11	169 [1] - 888:1	840:4, 840:16,	789:15, 789:17	20 [2] - 852:4, 893:19
\$71 [2] - 886:22, 887:9	16th [1] - 802:23	840:21, 856:24,	1945 [5] - 788:4,	20001 [1] - 781:19
\$71,358.37 [2] - 803:7,		883:7	789:16, 811:21,	20005 [3] - 780:16,
	17 [2] - 811:21, 857:24 174 [1] - 889:15	1919 [4] - 855:16,	842:23, 843:1	780:24, 781:9
803:10	1/4 1 - XXY''ID	856:17, 856:23,	1949 [8] - 787:25,	
803:10 \$85 000 (4) - 850:13			1949 [6] - 101.23,	20044 [1] - 781:15
803:10 \$85,000 [1] - 850:13	18 [1] - 857:24 1889 [2] - 817:8,	860:3	788:4, 788:25,	20044 [1] - 781:15 2008 [1] - 780:7

	I			
202-307-0010 [1] -	808:20, 815:11,	858:6, 867:22,	76 [2] - 819:4, 838:14	820:7, 826:13,
	856:17, 861:13,			827:20, 828:22,
781:9		880:21, 885:13	77 [1] - 845:5	
202-307-1104 [1] -	874:7	483-DX [1] - 826:24	78 [2] - 846:7, 847:19	834:10, 834:11,
781:15	31 [1] - 867:10	484 [2] - 817:6, 826:10	79 [1] - 848:19	836:4, 837:25,
202-354-3187 [1] -	31st [2] - 804:19,	485 [2] - 801:7, 826:11	_	838:6, 841:4,
781:19	820:5	486 [2] - 802:19,	8	841:22, 842:11,
202-514-3368 [1] -	32 [3] - 793:11,	826:11		843:22, 851:24,
781:16	799:16, 853:10	487 [4] - 802:18,		863:9, 863:10,
202-585-0053 [1] -	327 [1] - 847:8	804:9, 805:13,	80 [1] - 849:25	865:8, 869:3, 878:6,
780:24	33 [2] - 800:11, 833:18	826:11	81 [1] - 851:22	878:8, 878:12,
202-824-1448 [1] -	333 [1] - 781:18	488 [2] - 795:23,	82 [1] - 851:23	878:22, 881:13
780:16	336-607-7392 [1] -	826:12	826 [2] - 895:13,	accountability [3] -
21 [5] - 811:7, 811:22,	781:5	489 [2] - 796:18,	895:15	796:22, 826:14,
850:12, 852:3,	339 [1] - 864:16	826:12	85 [1] - 892:13	828:17
879:18	34 [1] - 833:18	490 [3] - 797:14,	86 [2] - 892:13, 892:14	accountant [3] -
213 [1] - 823:18	34.5 [2] - 848:4,	826:12, 826:24	87 [1] - 883:7	807:16, 813:16,
22 [4] - 811:7, 811:22,	848:15	4:59 [1] - 894:17	67 [1] = 003.7	815:2
815:20, 879:18		4.39 [1] - 694.17	0	accountholder [1] -
,	342 [1] - 847:14	F	9	805:10
22.1 [1] - 880:9	35.5 [1] - 878:7	5		accountholders [3] -
224 [2] - 828:21,	35.6 [1] - 868:20		0 tot 040:0 050:00	
828:24	351 [1] - 812:7	Fra 000:0 000 7	9 [2] - 813:3, 856:23	799:15, 820:17,
23 [1] - 856:24	372 [1] - 846:24	5 [2] - 828:6, 828:7	900 [1] - 780:23	837:17
24 [2] - 850:10, 880:8	374 [1] - 856:14	5,700 [1] - 850:7	91 [2] - 862:13, 893:8	accounting [11] -
246 [2] - 810:9, 810:16	374102 [1] - 856:12	5.5 [6] - 876:13, 879:1,	94 [1] - 786:4	809:25, 810:4,
247 [1] - 810:16	376 [2] - 862:24,	879:14, 880:1,	96-1285 [1] - 780:5	810:24, 820:14,
25.485 [1] - 868:5	893:11	880:16, 883:21	975 [1] - 781:14	821:22, 842:9,
256 [2] - 808:17,	377 [1] - 893:11	5.7 [1] - 864:19	9:30 [1] - 894:16	844:16, 874:17,
809:19	395 [1] - 867:10	50 [2] - 822:4, 866:5		878:4, 878:16,
259 [2] - 860:22,	3:35 [2] - 846:15,	51 [2] - 812:1, 812:19	Α	878:19
860:23	846:16	52 [1] - 818:15		Accounting [13] -
	0.00			
26 [1] - 818·8		53 [1] - 840:11		790:18, 794:9,
26 [1] - 818:8	A	+	able [11] - 789:3,	790:18, 794:9, 798:16, 806:22,
262 [1] - 860:24	4	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19	able [11] - 789:3, 790:23, 792:3,	
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12	4	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20		798:16, 806:22,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5		56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12	790:23, 792:3,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5	4-25 [1] - 855:25	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] -
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] -	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15,	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17,	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16,	6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25,	56 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17,	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] -	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16	6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14	6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 6 607 [2] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] -	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20	607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 613 [3] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15,	607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 667 [1] - 892:16	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20	607 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24 67 [1] - 892:16 6720 [1] - 781:18	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] -	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2,	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24 67 [1] - 892:16 6720 [1] - 781:18 69.8 [1] - 881:4	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25	607 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24 67 [1] - 892:16 6720 [1] - 781:18	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 838:24, 839:6,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24 67 [1] - 892:16 6720 [1] - 781:18 69.8 [1] - 881:4	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10 300s [4] - 826:16,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 820:6 40.8 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:25, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8 42-15 [1] - 872:16	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24 67 [1] - 892:16 6720 [1] - 781:18 69.8 [1] - 881:4	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:17, 789:21,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 838:24, 839:6,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10 300s [4] - 826:16, 826:19, 826:25,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:25, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8 42-15 [1] - 872:16 426 [1] - 874:13	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24 67 [1] - 892:16 6720 [1] - 781:18 69.8 [1] - 881:4 7 7.7 [1] - 850:7	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:17, 789:21, 789:24, 793:22,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 838:24, 839:6, 839:8, 839:20,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10 300s [4] - 826:16, 826:19, 826:25, 895:15	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8 42-15 [1] - 872:16 426 [1] - 874:13 45 [1] - 822:4	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24 67 [1] - 892:16 6720 [1] - 781:18 69.8 [1] - 881:4 7 7.7 [1] - 850:7 72 [1] - 850:7	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:17, 789:21, 789:24, 793:22, 795:16, 795:20,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 838:24, 839:6, 839:8, 839:20, 840:2, 840:21,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10 300s [4] - 826:16, 826:19, 826:25, 895:15 30309-4530 [1] -	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8 42-15 [1] - 872:16 426 [1] - 874:13 45 [1] - 882:4 459 [1] - 883:10	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24 67 [1] - 892:16 6720 [1] - 781:18 69.8 [1] - 881:4 7 7.7 [1] - 850:7 72 [1] - 881:6 72-4 [1] - 881:12	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:17, 789:21, 789:24, 793:22, 795:16, 795:20, 797:21, 803:9,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 839:8, 839:6, 839:8, 839:20, 840:2, 840:21, 841:17, 841:20,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10 300s [4] - 826:16, 826:19, 826:25, 895:15 30309-4530 [1] - 780:20	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:20 41.8 [2] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8 42-15 [1] - 872:16 426 [1] - 874:13 45 [1] - 822:4 459 [1] - 883:10 460 [1] - 883:17	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 56.8 [1] - 868:20 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, 780:23 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, 810:22, 810:23 631,000 [1] - 884:2 660 [1] - 803:24 67 [1] - 892:16 6720 [1] - 781:18 69.8 [1] - 881:4 7 7.7 [1] - 850:7 72 [1] - 881:6 72-4 [1] - 881:12 73-page [2] - 824:3,	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:17, 789:21, 789:24, 793:22, 795:16, 795:20, 797:21, 803:9, 808:9, 810:5,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 838:24, 839:6, 839:8, 839:20, 840:2, 840:21, 841:17, 841:20, 844:23, 844:24,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10 300s [4] - 826:16, 826:19, 826:25, 895:15 30309-4530 [1] - 780:20 30th [12] - 790:6,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8 42-15 [1] - 872:16 426 [1] - 874:13 45 [1] - 882:4 459 [1] - 883:10	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 - 56.8 [1] - 868:20 - 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 - 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, - 780:23 - 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, - 810:22, 810:23 - 631,000 [1] - 884:2 - 660 [1] - 803:24 - 67 [1] - 892:16 - 6720 [1] - 781:18 - 69.8 [1] - 881:4 7 7.7 [1] - 850:7 - 72 [1] - 881:6 - 72-4 [1] - 881:12 - 73-page [2] - 824:3, - 825:9	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:17, 789:21, 789:24, 793:22, 795:16, 795:20, 797:21, 803:9, 808:9, 810:5, 810:12, 811:12,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 838:24, 839:6, 839:8, 839:20, 840:2, 840:21, 841:17, 841:20, 844:23, 844:24, 848:3, 848:4, 848:8,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10 300s [4] - 826:16, 826:19, 826:25, 895:15 30309-4530 [1] - 780:20 30th [12] - 790:6, 791:16,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:25, 826:20 41.8 [2] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8 42-15 [1] - 872:16 426 [1] - 874:13 45 [1] - 822:4 459 [1] - 883:10 460 [1] - 883:17	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 - 56.8 [1] - 868:20 - 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 - 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, - 780:23 - 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, - 810:22, 810:23 - 631,000 [1] - 884:2 - 660 [1] - 803:24 - 67 [1] - 892:16 - 6720 [1] - 781:18 - 69.8 [1] - 881:4 7 7.7 [1] - 850:7 - 72 [1] - 881:6 - 72-4 [1] - 881:12 - 73-page [2] - 824:3, - 825:9 - 74 [1] - 833:10	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:17, 789:21, 789:24, 793:22, 795:16, 795:20, 797:21, 803:9, 808:9, 810:5, 810:12, 811:12, 812:21, 813:12,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 838:24, 839:6, 839:8, 839:20, 840:2, 840:21, 841:17, 841:20, 844:23, 844:24, 848:3, 848:4, 848:8, 849:1, 849:5,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10 300s [4] - 826:16, 826:19, 826:25, 895:15 30309-4530 [1] - 780:20 30th [12] - 790:6, 791:6, 791:16, 793:15, 799:20,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8 42-15 [1] - 872:16 426 [1] - 874:13 45 [1] - 883:10 460 [1] - 883:17 461 [1] - 861:10	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 - 56.8 [1] - 868:20 - 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 - 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15,	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:17, 789:21, 789:24, 793:22, 795:16, 795:20, 797:21, 803:9, 808:9, 810:5, 810:12, 811:12, 812:21, 813:12, 814:22, 815:7,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 838:24, 839:6, 839:8, 839:20, 840:2, 840:21, 841:17, 841:20, 844:23, 844:24, 848:3, 848:4, 848:8, 849:1, 849:5, 849:14, 850:7, 850:12, 850:13,
262 [1] - 860:24 27 [2] - 790:20, 871:12 27101 [1] - 781:5 28.634 [1] - 868:5 2800 [1] - 780:19 2:53 [1] - 827:1 3 3 [4] - 868:4, 868:9, 868:12, 868:13 3.9 [3] - 866:5, 879:24, 880:1 30 [7] - 790:16, 800:14, 856:23, 861:1, 875:21, 876:6, 888:3 30-some [1] - 856:24 300 [1] - 788:10 300s [4] - 826:16, 826:19, 826:25, 895:15 30309-4530 [1] - 780:20 30th [12] - 790:6, 791:6, 791:16,	4-25 [1] - 855:25 4.6 [3] - 820:5, 820:6 4.8 [1] - 893:21 40 [1] - 880:24 400 [1] - 788:10 400s [4] - 826:16, 826:20, 826:25, 895:16 402 [1] - 788:14 404-815-6450 [1] - 780:20 41.8 [2] - 885:15, 885:20 414-1 [2] - 876:2, 878:25 42 [3] - 816:10, 872:8 42-15 [1] - 872:16 426 [1] - 874:13 45 [1] - 883:10 460 [1] - 883:17 461 [1] - 861:10 483 [9] - 786:11,	66 [2] - 812:1, 812:19 - 56.8 [1] - 868:20 - 57 [2] - 810:17, 812:12 - 5th [1] - 788:22 6 607 [2] - 780:15, - 780:23 - 63 [4] - 794:7, 810:17, - 810:22, 810:23 - 631,000 [1] - 884:2 - 660 [1] - 803:24 - 67 [1] - 892:16 - 6720 [1] - 781:18 - 69.8 [1] - 881:4 7 7.7 [1] - 850:7 - 72 [1] - 881:6 - 72-4 [1] - 881:12 - 73-page [2] - 824:3, - 825:9 - 74 [1] - 833:10	790:23, 792:3, 792:5, 800:18, 822:25, 825:25, 850:1, 868:23, 874:25, 878:13 abolish [1] - 891:25 above-entitled [1] - 896:4 accept [1] - 889:2 accepted [1] - 845:12 access [1] - 841:14 accessible [3] - 794:24, 795:2, 795:5 accord [1] - 824:24 accordance [2] - 791:16, 841:7 account [48] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:17, 789:21, 789:24, 793:22, 795:16, 795:20, 797:21, 803:9, 808:9, 810:5, 810:12, 811:12, 812:21, 813:12,	798:16, 806:22, 807:4, 807:5, 807:6, 807:7, 807:9, 811:20, 813:25, 831:6, 886:20 accountings [1] - 842:10 accounts [54] - 794:3, 794:18, 796:2, 796:24, 796:25, 802:8, 802:14, 803:4, 805:21, 805:24, 809:2, 809:7, 809:24, 811:25, 819:14, 819:25, 820:3, 820:4, 827:21, 828:22, 835:24, 838:3, 838:9, 838:24, 839:6, 839:8, 839:20, 840:2, 840:21, 841:17, 841:20, 844:23, 844:24, 848:3, 848:4, 848:8, 849:1, 849:5, 849:14, 850:7,

			T	
851:17, 852:8,	837:21	796:5, 797:24,	823:19, 823:20,	802:17, 802:21,
852:10, 852:25,	admission [2] - 826:5,	811:3, 814:4,	823:22, 832:7,	803:13, 804:16,
853:8, 857:18,	826:16	837:11, 838:13,	880:4, 880:6,	805:4, 805:5,
858:13, 861:20,	admit [1] - 862:7	838:25, 870:8,	880:10, 888:2,	805:15, 806:13,
872:21, 873:19	admitted [6] - 786:4,	873:23	888:19, 889:2,	807:12, 808:4,
Accounts [5] - 795:22,	790:20, 799:17,	agents [10] - 789:23,	889:12, 889:22	808:16, 809:15,
818:20, 819:3,	800:12, 826:7,	796:9, 806:22,	allottee [1] - 889:1	810:9, 811:18,
849:4, 863:6	840:17	806:25, 807:1,	allottees [1] - 823:23	812:20, 813:5,
accumulating [1] -	Admitted [1] - 895:12	809:18, 809:25,	allow [2] - 841:12,	813:22, 814:23,
814:25	adopted [1] - 879:3	870:2, 876:13,	892:4	815:5, 815:13,
accurate [5] - 859:20,	advance [2] - 830:2,	880:15	almost [2] - 817:15,	816:16, 817:6,
880:15, 880:17,	830:4	aggregate [20] -	879:24	817:23, 818:8,
882:6, 886:14	advanced [1] - 889:18	783:25, 784:2,	alone [1] - 853:23	818:25, 819:4,
acres [4] - 816:5,	Affairs [20] - 788:13,	784:4, 784:6, 784:7,	American [1] - 795:4	819:13, 819:21,
816:6, 816:8, 816:10	793:9, 793:14,	784:12, 784:15,	Americans [1] -	820:24, 821:5,
Act [10] - 790:7,	795:3, 799:20,	784:22, 784:24,	842:24	822:3, 822:6, 823:7,
790:18, 807:7,	800:14, 801:10,	785:3, 785:4,	amount [12] - 791:24,	823:11, 823:20,
816:5, 816:7, 841:8,	801:13, 802:24,	788:23, 789:8,	803:8, 803:9,	824:2, 825:3,
873:12, 875:20,	804:14, 813:9,	793:7, 834:19,	811:12, 813:3,	825:16, 826:3,
875:23, 877:7	817:2, 818:11,	834:21, 834:22,	817:20, 818:23,	826:12, 827:5,
act [13] - 790:8,	832:22, 833:3,	835:8, 835:13, 894:2	820:20, 846:10,	827:8, 829:19,
790:16, 791:5,	845:14, 856:17,	aggregated [2] -	865:19, 874:6,	832:17, 843:3,
791:15, 791:16,	869:11, 891:24,	804:19, 825:21	886:25	844:8, 845:5,
815:10, 840:18,	893:17	aggregating [2] -	amounting [1] -	847:18, 848:16,
868:15, 876:5,	affairs [2] - 817:8,	804:25, 866:4	804:25	851:23, 854:6,
882:17, 884:17,	845:3	aggregation [1] -	amounts [3] - 865:12,	854:10, 854:12,
884:24, 885:1	affect [1] - 820:19	808:7	866:5, 870:25	885:23, 892:24
Action [1] - 780:5	afternoon [4] - 782:5,	ago [2] - 796:24,	Anadrako [1] - 847:19	ANGEL [3] - 782:13,
Active [1] - 875:21	782:6, 827:2, 887:16	828:13	analysis [3] - 816:19,	783:7, 895:5
activities [2] - 831:16,	AFTERNOON [1] -	agree [2] - 836:2,	816:20, 818:1	Angel's [4] - 826:9,
843:1	780:11	844:19	analyzed [2] - 815:17,	826:16, 843:6, 843:9
activity [2] - 803:20,	age [1] - 891:16	agricultural [2] -	815:20	Annual [2] - 799:19,
803:22	agencies [12] -	888:18, 892:5	Angel [110] - 782:7,	800:13
actual [3] - 820:25,	802:15, 813:6,	Agricultural [1] -	782:17, 782:25,	annual [28] - 788:12,
859:12, 876:9	813:9, 813:10,	822:18	783:10, 783:12,	789:1, 793:13,
add [2] - 795:11,	819:15, 833:1,	ahead [11] - 812:18,	783:16, 783:20,	795:3, 798:15,
822:24	845:21, 859:22,	825:1, 826:8,	784:23, 785:23,	804:23, 804:24,
added [4] - 791:25,	859:25, 860:4,	850:20, 851:22,	786:3, 786:10,	808:11, 808:19,
792:10, 794:18,	860:15, 873:20	859:2, 870:9,	786:11, 786:14,	808:25, 813:11,
811:22	Agency [5] - 811:3,	876:16, 881:1,	786:20, 787:8,	817:7, 831:15,
adding [2] - 821:23,	848:20, 860:13,	883:14, 885:12	787:16, 787:21,	835:7, 835:12,
880:3	860:16, 861:11	aided [1] - 781:23	788:2, 788:7,	845:25, 853:19,
addition [2] - 799:1,	agency [30] - 784:19,	al [2] - 780:4, 780:7	788:18, 789:3,	860:12, 860:14,
876:12	796:10, 801:15,	Alaska [1] - 818:22	789:12, 789:18,	860:20, 862:13,
additional [14] -	801:22, 802:23,	Albuquerque [1] -	790:3, 790:19,	864:7, 867:13,
785:9, 785:10,	803:4, 803:16,	797:7	790:21, 791:2,	874:24, 879:12,
785:22, 785:24,	804:8, 808:10,	alert [1] - 782:16	791:11, 791:18,	883:7, 892:14, 893:17
786:8, 787:8, 792:9,	808:13, 808:14,	alleged [4] - 782:21,	791:24, 792:14,	
792:13, 792:14,	808:15, 813:24,	815:16, 815:24,	793:5, 793:12,	annually [2] - 790:15,
792:15, 792:16,	814:15, 816:25,	820:19	793:19, 794:5,	813:15
792:17, 792:18,	817:16, 817:17,	allotment [5] - 816:3,	794:7, 794:15,	annuity [4] - 816:21, 816:24, 839:22,
		816:4, 816:12,	794:22, 795:23,	, , ,
826:7	850:2, 850:3,			
826:7 address [2] - 811:24,	850:11, 859:24,	816:15, 823:17	795:25, 796:18,	839:24
826:7 address [2] - 811:24, 812:25	850:11, 859:24, 860:11, 872:22,	816:15, 823:17 allotments [3] -	797:4, 797:13,	answer [5] - 824:23,
826:7 address [2] - 811:24, 812:25 addresses [1] -	850:11, 859:24, 860:11, 872:22, 873:24	816:15, 823:17 allotments [3] - 800:21, 800:22,	797:4, 797:13, 798:9, 798:22,	answer [5] - 824:23, 824:24, 827:23,
826:7 address [2] - 811:24, 812:25 addresses [1] - 813:14	850:11, 859:24, 860:11, 872:22, 873:24 agency-by-agency [4]	816:15, 823:17 allotments [3] - 800:21, 800:22, 890:12	797:4, 797:13, 798:9, 798:22, 799:9, 799:16,	answer [5] - 824:23, 824:24, 827:23, 841:23, 885:6
826:7 address [2] - 811:24, 812:25 addresses [1] - 813:14 adjourned [1] - 894:17	850:11, 859:24, 860:11, 872:22, 873:24 agency-by-agency [4] - 796:10, 808:10,	816:15, 823:17 allotments [3] - 800:21, 800:22, 890:12 allotted [20] - 816:6,	797:4, 797:13, 798:9, 798:22, 799:9, 799:16, 799:23, 800:4,	answer [5] - 824:23, 824:24, 827:23, 841:23, 885:6 answering [1] -
826:7 address [2] - 811:24, 812:25 addresses [1] - 813:14 adjourned [1] - 894:17 administration [2] -	850:11, 859:24, 860:11, 872:22, 873:24 agency-by-agency [4] - 796:10, 808:10, 859:24, 860:11	816:15, 823:17 allotments [3] - 800:21, 800:22, 890:12 allotted [20] - 816:6, 816:7, 816:8, 816:9,	797:4, 797:13, 798:9, 798:22, 799:9, 799:16, 799:23, 800:4, 800:11, 800:16,	answer [5] - 824:23, 824:24, 827:23, 841:23, 885:6 answering [1] - 821:22
826:7 address [2] - 811:24, 812:25 addresses [1] - 813:14 adjourned [1] - 894:17 administration [2] - 794:10, 815:6	850:11, 859:24, 860:11, 872:22, 873:24 agency-by-agency [4] - 796:10, 808:10, 859:24, 860:11 agent [12] - 788:16,	816:15, 823:17 allotments [3] - 800:21, 800:22, 890:12 allotted [20] - 816:6, 816:7, 816:8, 816:9, 816:10, 823:13,	797:4, 797:13, 798:9, 798:22, 799:9, 799:16, 799:23, 800:4, 800:11, 800:16, 801:7, 801:8,	answer [5] - 824:23, 824:24, 827:23, 841:23, 885:6 answering [1] - 821:22 anyway [1] - 892:20
826:7 address [2] - 811:24, 812:25 addresses [1] - 813:14 adjourned [1] - 894:17 administration [2] -	850:11, 859:24, 860:11, 872:22, 873:24 agency-by-agency [4] - 796:10, 808:10, 859:24, 860:11	816:15, 823:17 allotments [3] - 800:21, 800:22, 890:12 allotted [20] - 816:6, 816:7, 816:8, 816:9,	797:4, 797:13, 798:9, 798:22, 799:9, 799:16, 799:23, 800:4, 800:11, 800:16,	answer [5] - 824:23, 824:24, 827:23, 841:23, 885:6 answering [1] - 821:22

			1	
855:19, 859:3,	Atlanta [1] - 780:20	828:19, 829:5,	began [3] - 790:13,	862:8, 874:3,
860:23, 860:24,	attachments [1] -	845:13, 851:19,	816:4, 867:9	887:12, 887:16,
870:9	815:18	851:21, 853:2,	begin [3] - 784:20,	890:15, 892:11
appear [13] - 796:23,	attempt [1] - 839:3	853:22, 855:8,	790:13, 870:24	blanks [1] - 857:22
805:23, 848:16,	attempted [4] -	866:20, 877:17	Beginning [1] - 893:3	blood [3] - 891:10,
849:25, 851:10,	822:10, 851:19,		beginning [14] -	891:14, 891:18
856:19, 860:19,	852:23, 869:10	В	784:8, 787:20,	bonded [2] - 793:25,
861:11, 862:13,	attempting [1] -		788:14, 789:5,	800:9
864:6, 868:4,	852:13		796:5, 797:1, 803:5,	bonds [18] - 865:17,
879:11, 893:16	attention [2] - 811:17,	backup [2] - 843:18,	803:19, 856:11,	865:18, 865:20,
APPEARANCES [3] -	822:8	882:21	867:9, 867:11,	866:13, 866:17,
780:13, 780:25,	attitudes [1] - 870:24	backward [1] - 816:14	867:23, 870:21,	866:22, 867:3,
781:1	Attorney [2] - 781:11,	balance [25] - 786:18,	883:15	867:5, 870:2, 870:3,
applicable [1] - 820:2	781:12	788:5, 788:17,	begins [3] - 801:24,	870:6, 871:4,
application [1] - 810:6	attorney [1] - 813:20	793:23, 794:3,	833:1, 885:14	872:25, 873:1,
apportionment [1] -	attorneys [5] - 787:10,	794:20, 796:6,	behalf [4] - 882:15,	875:9, 883:22, 884:3
839:17	787:14, 795:7,	796:7, 797:1, 797:2,	883:1, 884:21,	Bonds [2] - 865:25,
appreciate [1] -	795:8, 831:4	797:21, 803:5,	884:25	871:9
846:25	attributes [1] - 881:14	815:8, 820:7, 848:4,	behind [1] - 894:12	bonuses [1] - 889:19
approach [2] - 821:10,	audit [29] - 794:10,	849:5, 854:23,	belonged [1] - 837:4	bottom [12] - 784:20,
856:4	798:16, 801:9,	855:1, 855:15,	belonging [6] -	801:19, 801:23,
appropriate [1] -	801:14, 802:22,	855:21, 856:10,	819:22, 819:23,	802:10, 822:20,
817:22	803:3, 804:8,	856:24, 867:12,	822:15, 822:18,	833:21, 857:17,
appropriated [3] -	804:11, 809:1,	869:5, 881:18	836:17, 864:20	876:11, 883:18,
784:16, 789:9,	809:6, 809:22,	balances [16] - 785:6,	Ben [1] - 781:14	885:13, 889:21
841:10	810:1, 811:6,	785:16, 786:24,	beneficial [1] - 792:10	bounds [1] - 876:18
Appropriations [3] -	811:21, 814:6,	789:21, 789:24,	beneficiaries [1] -	Box [1] - 781:14
790:7, 875:20, 877:7	819:6, 819:22,	793:22, 795:17,	890:18	box [1] - 837:13
approved [3] - 804:3,		795:21, 801:21,		branch [1] - 807:8
804:4, 845:4	825:10, 825:23,	802:14, 820:4,	beneficiary [1] - 888:23	Branch [1] - 781:13
April [2] - 815:20,	842:8, 842:14,	850:12, 850:13,		
816:23	842:15, 844:1,	851:5, 869:3	benefit [6] - 782:22,	branches [2] - 785:19,
	845:25, 846:2,	bank [3] - 794:2,	815:16, 815:24,	790:8
archival [1] - 788:23	847:19, 848:19,	837:12, 885:5	819:25, 820:19,	break [4] - 831:18,
Archives [6] - 785:11,	848:23, 850:1	banking [1] - 881:14	840:24	832:1, 846:11,
785:19, 789:6,	Audit [1] - 881:7	banks [13] - 786:17,	best [2] - 823:9,	846:12
795:1, 801:12,	auditor [1] - 807:15	793:25, 800:9,	825:13	brief [2] - 815:18,
860:15	audits [4] - 801:10,	856:22, 863:23,	better [3] - 787:2,	815:21
area [6] - 796:9,	807:8, 845:17,	864:12, 867:6,	795:24, 850:2	briefly [1] - 798:9
796:12, 806:14,	845:20	867:24, 872:22,	between [8] - 786:15,	Briefly [1] - 806:19
823:9, 847:13, 848:2	August [2] - 802:23,	880:24, 882:14,	789:4, 814:4,	bring [1] - 822:7
areas [2] - 782:17,	819:8	882:25, 885:21	823:20, 831:18,	broad [1] - 821:20
782:18	authored [1] - 829:16	Banks [3] - 859:12,	832:2, 832:5, 832:18	broke [1] - 820:15
arise [1] - 809:3	authorities [1] -	861:5, 867:18	BIA [2] - 794:11,	broken [4] - 789:22,
aside [2] - 798:24,	811:13	Based [1] - 836:10	870:24	803:7, 804:20,
815:5	authority [1] - 811:23	based [9] - 825:5,	BIA's [1] - 851:24	823:13
aspect [1] - 829:17	authorization [1] -	834:6, 834:23,	bid [3] - 800:21,	buckets [10] - 799:10,
assert [1] - 877:22	845:2	· · · · ·	800:23, 837:20	799:13, 799:24,
assist [2] - 844:11,	authorized [3] -	842:2, 842:15,	bidders [5] - 794:2,	800:19, 800:23,
854:8	819:16, 819:18,	842:16, 842:21,	800:3, 801:6,	801:18, 803:1,
assistance [2] -	853:2	843:1, 876:20	853:12, 853:20	804:16, 805:7,
798:10, 854:12	availability [5] -	basis [8] - 796:10,	bids [2] - 800:21,	826:11
assistant [1] - 791:13	782:18, 783:20,	808:9, 808:10,	800:24	Budget [2] - 790:17,
Assistant [1] - 871:1	784:24, 806:13,	808:11, 813:1,	billion [3] - 820:5,	807:7
assisted [1] - 799:4	815:13	814:2, 826:18,	842:18, 842:19	Bureau [9] - 795:3,
associated [1] -	available [2] - 794:23,	878:17	binder [2] - 847:9	801:9, 801:12,
841:17	834:7	bear [2] - 795:16,	binders [1] - 847:10	813:9, 818:11,
Associates [2] -	Avenue [2] - 781:18,	871:5	bit [14] - 795:14,	833:3, 845:3,
783:16, 838:15	783:18	bearing [1] - 806:2	795:24, 798:10,	845:13, 869:10
association [1] -	aware [14] - 821:5,	become [1] - 828:2	804:21, 806:17,	bureau [1] - 840:16
841:13	825:10, 828:2,	BEFORE [1] - 780:11	843:3, 856:5, 862:6,	business [1] - 841:17
* · · · · *	-,,		, , ,	

859:19, 859:23, **CCC** [4] - 805:15, complaints [2] buy [1] - 892:5 **COLUMBIA** [1] - 780:1 866:21, 867:2, BY [21] - 783:9, 805:18, 805:23, column [16] - 788:7, 812:25, 833:22 867:9, 867:12 806:12, 808:3, 806:4 855:20, 856:10, complete [8] - 788:10, check [5] - 811:11, 793:3, 793:4, 793:7, 809:13, 815:4, ceasing [1] - 833:23 856:19, 858:5, 822:2, 825:2, 827:4, 814:1, 814:2, 871:25 810:4, 826:17, Cells [1] - 891:23 859:16, 861:4, 830:9, 843:11, cemetary [4] - 803:8, check-oriented [1] -861:25, 863:19, 835:2, 856:12 847:17, 854:5, 803:13, 803:15, 814.1 863:21, 867:18, compliance [3] -866:16, 867:1, 803:16 Checking [3] - 795:22, 868:7, 868:19, 791:5, 791:15, 810:2 875:17, 877:25, center [1] - 852:5 827:21, 863:6 869:14, 872:4, component [2] -884:12, 885:19, 885:17 checking [7] - 796:2, century [1] - 807:2 786:17, 804:20 885:22, 892:23, 796:24, 796:25, columns [3] - 854:14, certain [3] - 785:5, comprises [1] -894:5 857:21, 869:13 823:5, 891:2 826:13, 827:20, 794:25 Certainly [7] - 786:23, 828:3, 863:10 combined [2] - 835:8, Comptroller [1] -C 835:12 797:18, 822:9, checks [3] - 794:2, 872:12 comfortable [1] -831:6, 833:25, 811:10, 811:15 comptroller [6] chief [1] - 810:24 814:14 808:19, 808:23, 842:6, 882:5 calculate [1] - 848:16 CHRISTOPHER [1] coming [1] - 837:16 809:21, 810:6, certainly [9] - 787:2, calculated [2] - 842:4, 781:7 commented [1] -810:7, 874:5 792:7, 792:9, 793:1, 853:7 813:17, 829:19, CIA [1] - 800:20 847:12 computer [1] - 781:23 calculating [1] comments [1] - 824:4 858:24, 859:9, circumstances [2] computer -aided [1] -851:15 commercial [1] -871:18 842:21, 892:5 781:23 calculation [10] -CERTIFICATE [1] citations [1] - 811:23 881:14 con't [2] - 780:25, 782:21, 815:16, 896:1 cited [4] - 825:9, Commercial [1] -781:1 815:24, 816:11, certify [1] - 896:3 833:25, 836:18, 781:13 concept [2] - 799:9, 820:19, 844:11, chance [3] - 792:16, 843:16 Commissioner [3] -799:13 849:23, 850:17, 872:19 Civil [5] - 780:5, 799:19. 800:13. concern [1] - 873:7 851:1, 851:20 781:13. 790:7. 871:1 channeled [1] concerned [1] - 816:3 calculations [9] -875:20, 877:7 commissioner [11] -821:25 concerning [7] -816:2, 821:17, civilian [2] - 805:17, characterized [1] -788:12, 793:8, 783:24, 784:17, 822:9, 826:2, 847:1, 884:9 824:16 793:13, 802:24, 790:10, 799:3, 849.21 850.16 civilized [8] - 860:13, 804:13, 817:2, 818:10, 823:2, chart [57] - 785:25, 855:3. 881:10 817:7, 832:21, 786:3, 786:4, 860:17, 861:7, 825:19 calendar [1] - 804:24 856:16, 891:23, concerns [1] - 800:9 786:13, 786:14, 861:12, 862:21, cancelled [1] - 812:24 879:19, 883:11, 893:17 786:15, 786:20, Concho [3] - 850:2, cannot [3] - 806:7, 893:20 commissioner 's [5] -786:21, 786:25, 850:3 851:6, 890:1 claims [2] - 809:2, 793:8. 826:10. 787:6, 787:7, 787:9, conclude [2] - 814:18, capita [5] - 841:21, 787:13, 787:17, 822:1 865:22. 887:20. 825:15 851:6, 851:10, 887:24 787:19, 787:22, class [1] - 838:24 conclusion [1] -864:25, 865:2 common [3] - 838:9, 787:23, 788:19, clear [2] - 793:2, 793:5 783:24 cardboard [1] - 837:13 890:16, 891:10 792:1, 793:20, clearly [2] - 792:5, conclusions [2] care [1] - 803:16 commonly [2] -794:16, 794:25, 831:10 823:2, 830:23 careful [1] - 891:7 795:15, 799:5, 882:13, 882:23 clerk [1] - 810:24 conduct [1] - 806:24 Carolina [1] - 781:5 818:25, 823:1, company [4] - 827:8, closely [1] - 862:6 conducted [7] - 801:9, carried [1] - 837:8 826:10, 826:17, 827:11, 829:12, closer [1] - 886:22 804:12, 807:2, case [15] - 782:10, 826:18, 826:20, 854:8 COBELL [1] - 780:3 807:8, 807:12, 784:14, 795:9, 831:22, 831:25, compare [1] - 860:5 807:18, 825:24 Cobell [1] - 829:6 814:4, 821:13, 860:5, 860:8, comparing [1] - 860:8 collected [7] - 828:9, conducting [1] -827:6. 829:6. 861:15, 861:20, comparison [1] -828:12, 837:16, 813:25 829:14, 838:18, 861:24, 862:16, 869:5 838:24, 842:7, confuse [1] - 861:22 863:2, 874:23, 863:16, 863:21, 873:6, 876:13 compendium [1] confused [2] - 812:15, 880:16, 891:15, 863:22, 864:2, 825:9 collection [5] -862:8 893:7 864:4. 864:10. 810:11, 825:10, competent [2] -Congress [12] cases [1] - 845:12 865:13, 865:20, 828:4, 843:10, 839:15, 891:9 784:18, 790:6, 866:19, 868:3, cash [2] - 817:11, 853:19 compilation [1] -794:10, 794:12, 869:25, 871:9, 881:15 collections [9] -824:4 815:12, 818:10, 872:2, 876:22, categories [2] compile [2] - 843:12, 810:4, 814:8, 831:9, 833:4, 833:23, 794:19, 822:21 876:23, 877:3, 834:8, 835:18, 854:11 841:10. 842:13. 888:2, 889:25 category [5] - 789:12, compiled [1] - 790:1 845:15 835:20. 835:22. charts [11] - 832:3, 790:2, 802:12, 838:4, 839:5 complaining [1] congressional [3] -832:4, 859:18, 820:2, 820:7 833:4 785:12, 788:13, collective [1] - 795:10

886:9	831:16, 831:20,	856:24	782:14, 846:14,	824:19, 825:6,
Connecticut [1] -	832:3, 832:13,	costs [1] - 870:24	846:17	825:13, 831:11,
783:18	832:16, 834:4,	Counsel [1] - 821:20	courts [1] - 810:8	833:24, 834:7,
connection [2] -	836:5, 837:3, 837:7,	counsel [3] - 830:23,	cover [2] - 793:12,	842:2, 842:20,
809:4, 876:5	837:9, 837:10,	877:22, 894:11	799:17	857:7, 857:18,
conservation [2] -	837:14, 837:19,	couple [2] - 782:15,	created [2] - 803:15,	858:3, 858:17,
805:17, 824:16	838:19, 839:11,	861:2	841:7	860:1, 860:5,
•	839:18, 840:1,			867:15, 887:13,
consider [4] - 783:3,	840:22, 844:25,	coupon [1] - 872:25	creation [1] - 807:3	887:18
869:21, 882:12,	845:1, 846:3, 848:5,	course [16] - 783:5,	credit [4] - 800:9,	Data [2] - 818:19,
882:23	850:14, 853:16,	784:13, 785:17,	801:25, 802:4, 803:7	
considered [4] -	853:24, 854:16,	788:9, 788:21,	criminal [1] - 894:11	819:2
837:13, 839:14,		791:5, 795:18,	crisis [1] - 787:4	date [2] - 794:11,
891:4, 891:8	854:18, 854:22,	806:4, 815:9,	criticisms [1] - 817:2	811:11
consisted [1] - 801:21	857:25, 858:6,	825:11, 830:15,	criticized [1] - 845:20	dated [8] - 796:3,
Consistently [1] -	858:15, 859:13,	830:24, 836:20,	critique [1] - 782:21	801:13, 802:23,
784:8	859:14, 860:1,	839:7, 841:19,	CROSS [1] - 827:3	804:14, 818:13,
consists [1] - 810:2	860:6, 861:16,	880:10	Cross [1] - 895:6	819:8, 851:24, 881:7
consolidated [1] -	861:24, 862:5,	Court [33] - 781:17,	cross [1] - 846:8	DAVID [1] - 781:3
784:9	862:17, 862:18,	781:17, 782:10,	CROSS-	deal [3] - 788:19,
constituted [1] - 820:6	863:13, 864:3,	782:16, 782:25,	EXAMINATION [1] -	805:25, 825:18
Constitution [1] -	865:14, 867:13,	783:2, 785:14,	827:3	dealing [2] - 883:11,
781:18	867:14, 867:25,	787:22, 788:20,	Cross-Examination	888:14
contain [3] - 797:19,	868:1, 868:11,	791:1, 792:20,	[1] - 895:6	December [2] - 802:4,
797:22, 851:5	868:14, 868:21,	793:5, 793:19,	cumulative [3] -	820:5
contained [6] -	869:6, 869:20,	795:25, 797:16,	871:11, 871:12,	decision [1] - 809:6
793:14, 825:6,	872:5, 872:23,	798:11, 799:23,	893:23	decisions [2] - 810:3,
826:20, 851:11,	873:3, 873:4, 873:8,	800:18, 801:4,	Cumulative [2] -	810:6
853:18, 860:5	873:12, 873:15,	801:18, 802:20,	871:14, 871:15	declaration [1] -
containing [1] -	873:18, 873:21,	803:1, 804:17,	currency [1] - 813:3	891:24
826:10	874:1, 874:9,	806:19, 812:2,		decrease [1] - 832:12
contains [2] - 786:16,	874:12, 875:8,	819:21, 824:1,	current [1] - 789:4	DEFENDANTS [1] -
796:5	875:20, 875:21,	825:16, 825:17,	cursor [8] - 786:19,	783:7
context [3] - 798:25,	875:24, 876:10,	846:14, 875:10,	788:1, 802:25,	Defendants [2] -
860:9, 884:20	876:15, 878:2,	896:2	803:11, 803:21,	780:8, 781:6
•	879:4, 879:5,	COURT [39] - 780:1,	804:15, 804:21,	Defendants' [4] -
continue [2] - 802:2, 820:1	881:11, 881:18,	782:4, 782:6, 782:8,	822:5	826:24, 847:8,
	882:8, 882:21,	782:23, 783:5,	cursors [3] - 801:16,	872:7, 895:11
contractors [1] -	885:5, 885:10,	805:11, 805:15,	810:18, 812:2	Defense [1] - 797:14
838:17	885:11, 886:20,	805:19, 805:25,	custody [1] - 872:25	
contradict [1] - 809:10	887:1, 887:3,	806:6, 806:11,	customary [1] - 830:1	defense [1] - 782:7
contradicts [1] - 809:8	887:14, 887:19,	807:23, 808:2,	_	Definitely [1] - 813:21
controls [6] - 782:20,	887:21, 888:8,	809:10, 815:3,	D	definitely [2] - 792:25,
806:16, 808:5,	889:14, 889:16,	821:20, 824:15,		825:22
814:17, 814:24,	889:19, 889:20,	824:23, 826:8,		definition [6] - 836:13,
815:15	890:21, 891:2,	826:22, 830:8,	D.C [7] - 780:6,	836:16, 837:1,
conversations [1] -	891:5, 891:20,	843:8, 846:8,	780:16, 780:24,	837:8, 837:15,
830:24	891:21, 892:9, 896:3		781:9, 781:15,	838:12
convey [2] - 792:24,	Correct [18] - 842:3,	846:12, 846:22,	781:19, 783:19	degree [2] - 844:8,
882:6	845:19, 850:15,	847:5, 847:15,	dances [1] - 804:1	859:21
conveyed [1] - 792:25	855:23, 857:20,	854:4, 866:15,	DANIEL [1] - 781:3	degrees [1] - 844:16
copies [1] - 828:25	862:23, 863:14,	875:14, 877:21,	data [38] - 784:11,	DENNIS [1] - 780:14
copy [6] - 845:5,	863:25, 864:11,	884:11, 885:17,	785:15, 786:15,	Dennis [1] - 780:14
854:2, 856:2,	872:15, 877:20,	885:21, 892:20,	787:25, 788:16,	deny [1] - 824:24
856:14, 856:16	879:25, 881:5,	893:24, 894:10,	788:17, 792:12,	Department [13] -
core [2] - 805:17,		894:15	792:17, 792:24,	781:8, 781:12,
824:16	882:20, 886:17,	Court's [2] - 787:16,	793:2, 793:7,	784:9, 785:20,
correct [108] - 790:4,	887:15, 891:3, 891:6	822:8	798:19, 806:14,	793:15, 798:6,
	correctly [2] - 871:18,	Courthouse [1] -	808:7, 814:13,	801:10, 804:12,
794:6, 807:25	006.11		,,	
794:6, 807:25, 827:6, 827:7	886:11	781:18	815:14. 815:15.	819:7. 831:14.
827:6, 827:7,	correspondence [1] -	781:18 COURTROOM [5] -	815:14, 815:15, 821:15, 824:5,	819:7, 831:14, 836:12, 859:4, 864:7
			815:14, 815:15, 821:15, 824:5, 824:8, 824:11,	819:7, 831:14, 836:12, 859:4, 864:7 department [14] -

785:20, 789:20,	837:8	834:12, 834:13,	discussions [7] -	803:14, 825:8,
789:25, 790:1,	difference [2] -	834:15, 834:17,	793:1, 830:10,	826:18, 830:12,
790:9, 796:17,	823:20, 837:11	835:2, 835:6,	830:16, 830:17,	830:13, 842:6,
797:12, 807:2,	different [14] - 782:17,	854:15, 854:20,	830:18, 830:21,	842:7, 842:9, 843:4,
815:9, 827:12,	785:12, 785:21,	868:15, 869:2,	846:20	843:12, 843:21,
830:25, 831:5,	786:19, 803:14,	869:4, 873:6,	distinct [1] - 789:22	876:2, 876:17,
843:15	841:11, 859:11,	873:10, 873:11,	distinction [1] - 838:1	878:14, 881:9,
departments [1] -		873:16, 875:18,		886:8, 886:15,
790:9	859:15, 886:8,	878:10, 882:10,	distinguish [1] - 890:1	886:16, 886:22
	886:10, 886:15,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	distinguishable [1] -	
deposit [8] - 801:20,	887:2, 887:6, 891:16	882:13, 885:10,	820:12	dollar [3] - 813:2,
802:4, 805:15,	diggg [1] - 793:9	891:25, 892:7	DISTRICT [3] - 780:1,	813:3, 818:22
818:24, 841:20,	diminish [1] - 833:2	disbursements [43] -	780:1, 780:12	done [10] - 784:13,
844:23, 857:18,	DIRECT [1] - 783:8	785:16, 786:16,	divide [1] - 840:1	785:22, 792:19,
858:13	direct [7] - 794:1,	790:3, 791:8, 792:8,	divided [5] - 810:16,	793:6, 798:12,
deposited [7] -	800:2, 889:1, 889:6,	793:4, 793:21,	832:4, 839:10,	813:1, 823:14,
801:25, 805:24,	889:9, 890:10,	793:25, 794:17,	840:21, 840:23	839:12, 852:18,
861:18, 861:21,	890:12	795:16, 795:20,	division [4] - 801:10,	853:4
863:2, 863:12,	Direct [2] - 823:8,	796:6, 797:1,	801:11, 805:18,	Dorris [2] - 847:12,
863:18	895:6	804:25, 808:10,	842:10	857:6
depository [2] -	directly [10] - 806:8,	810:5, 814:3, 814:8,	Division [1] - 781:13	DORRIS [3] - 780:18,
819:17, 872:22	817:3, 823:6,	821:24, 831:10,	Doctor [1] - 861:21	846:19, 846:23
Deposits [1] - 845:7	888:12, 888:25,	834:8, 834:23,	document [48] -	Dos [2] - 816:4, 816:7
deposits [4] - 845:9,	889:3, 890:2, 890:6,	863:11, 868:12,	788:8, 788:14,	doubt [1] - 875:6
845:11, 857:8,	892:12	868:22, 882:19,	795:10, 799:18,	down [21] - 784:19,
867:16	director [1] - 804:12	882:24, 883:4,	803:11, 804:15,	789:9, 789:22,
Depression [1] -	DIRK [1] - 780:7	883:15, 883:18,		801:19, 802:2,
786:24	= =	884:4, 884:10,	810:10, 811:18,	803:7, 803:11,
DEPUTY [5] - 782:2,	disadvantage [1] -	884:13, 884:14,	812:6, 817:9, 819:9,	
782:9, 782:14,	843:3	884:20, 884:23,	819:19, 820:8,	803:21, 804:21,
	disburse [1] - 892:4	890:16, 890:24,	828:4, 828:15,	811:14, 814:2,
846:14, 846:17	disbursed [16] -	891:2, 891:20,	833:12, 833:15,	831:18, 832:2,
describe [3] - 789:12,	791:9, 791:20,	892:21, 892:25,	836:24, 839:1,	836:1, 837:8,
798:11, 812:23	799:14, 810:1,	893:4	843:10, 843:17,	850:21, 855:16,
description [1] - 802:3	820:20, 838:25,		847:22, 847:25,	866:19, 883:14,
deserves [1] - 824:25	881:19, 882:14,	disbursing [17] -	848:7, 848:21,	889:21
designation [1] -	883:4, 884:24,	788:16, 789:1,	848:24, 850:6,	downtown [1] -
835:17	884:25, 885:3,	789:14, 789:23,	850:10, 851:25,	801:12
designed [1] - 784:11	893:6, 893:21,	794:1, 796:5, 796:9,	852:3, 854:2, 854:6,	DR [1] - 782:13
Despite [1] - 870:24	894:1, 894:4	797:24, 800:2,	856:7, 856:11,	Dr [112] - 782:16,
despite [1] - 825:12	disbursement [64] -	806:22, 807:1,	856:15, 856:18,	782:25, 783:10,
detail [5] - 797:19,	782:19, 783:21,	809:18, 811:3,	861:10, 862:3,	783:20, 784:23,
797:22, 809:3,	783:25, 784:3,	811:13, 837:6,	865:24, 866:11,	785:23, 786:2,
832:23, 833:1	784:4, 784:22,	876:13, 880:15	870:22, 871:19,	786:3, 786:10,
Detailed [1] - 878:6	784:24, 785:3,	discipline [2] -	872:8, 872:10,	786:11, 786:14,
detailed [7] - 831:15,	785:4, 785:7,	821:21, 821:25	872:18, 875:4,	786:20, 787:8,
878:4, 878:8,	786:25, 787:25,	discovered [2] -	886:18, 893:15	787:16, 787:21,
878:12, 878:16,	788:3, 788:15,	789:13, 811:7	document -gathering	788:2, 788:7,
878:19, 878:22	788:24, 788:25,	discuss [2] - 855:6,	[1] - 795:10	788:18, 789:3,
	789:15, 790:10,	858:23	documentation [10] -	789:12, 789:18,
details [1] - 832:12	790:22, 792:4,	discussed [16] -	798:14, 807:17,	790:3, 790:19,
determination [5] -	790.22, 792.4,	794:23, 796:24,	825:18, 835:8,	790:21, 791:1,
807:18, 810:2,		798:21, 805:5,	843:18, 844:6,	791:11, 791:18,
815:2, 832:22, 839:4	792:21, 793:7,	806:13, 815:8,	, ,	791:11, 791:16,
determine [2] -	794:19, 798:20,	815:10, 815:13,	844:15, 845:1,	793:5, 793:12,
	800:2, 801:5,	815:14, 830:22,	882:21, 885:9	
862:11, 868:23	000.44 000.05	0.0.17, 000.22,	documents [31] -	793:19, 794:4,
862:11, 868:23 determining [2] -	806:14, 806:25,	830.25 831.16		
862:11, 868:23	808:7, 815:10,	830:25, 831:16,	785:5, 787:8,	794:7, 794:15,
862:11, 868:23 determining [2] -	808:7, 815:10, 815:14, 820:25,	838:12, 858:22,	787:10, 787:12,	794:22, 795:23,
862:11, 868:23 determining [2] - 795:20, 888:10	808:7, 815:10,	838:12, 858:22, 859:9, 859:10		794:22, 795:23, 795:25, 796:18,
862:11, 868:23 determining [2] - 795:20, 888:10 Development [1] - 840:6	808:7, 815:10, 815:14, 820:25,	838:12, 858:22, 859:9, 859:10 discussion [3] -	787:10, 787:12, 787:13, 788:15, 789:13, 795:1,	794:22, 795:23, 795:25, 796:18, 797:4, 797:13,
862:11, 868:23 determining [2] - 795:20, 888:10 Development [1] - 840:6 deviationnn [1] -	808:7, 815:10, 815:14, 820:25, 821:8, 821:13,	838:12, 858:22, 859:9, 859:10 discussion [3] - 815:16, 834:11,	787:10, 787:12, 787:13, 788:15,	794:22, 795:23, 795:25, 796:18,
862:11, 868:23 determining [2] - 795:20, 888:10 Development [1] - 840:6	808:7, 815:10, 815:14, 820:25, 821:8, 821:13, 825:18, 826:1,	838:12, 858:22, 859:9, 859:10 discussion [3] -	787:10, 787:12, 787:13, 788:15, 789:13, 795:1,	794:22, 795:23, 795:25, 796:18, 797:4, 797:13,

799:23, 800:4,	826:9, 826:10,	788:5, 788:16,	essentially [2] - 852:7,	790:14
800:11, 800:16,	826:11, 826:16,	789:21, 789:24,	875:22	Exhibit [30] - 797:14,
801:7, 801:8,	826:20, 826:24,	790:17, 793:22,	established [1] -	826:24, 833:10,
801:16, 802:10,	826:25, 846:24,	794:20, 795:16,	816:23	836:21, 838:14,
802:17, 802:20,	853:10, 853:25,	795:20, 797:2,	establishment [1] -	840:5, 845:5, 846:7,
803:13, 804:16,	855:25, 856:12,	815:7, 823:17, 869:3	838:10	847:8, 847:14,
805:4, 805:5,	856:14, 858:2,	ended [7] - 793:15,	estimate [10] - 834:2,	847:19, 848:19,
805:15, 806:13,	858:6, 867:10,	799:20, 800:14,	842:1, 842:15,	849:25, 851:22,
807:12, 808:4,	867:22, 872:8,	808:20, 823:18,	842:20, 842:21,	851:23, 860:19,
808:16, 809:15,	874:13, 876:1,	856:17, 888:3	842:23, 843:16,	862:13, 864:6,
810:9, 811:18,	878:25, 880:21,	ending [1] - 796:7	843:17, 843:19	865:23, 870:14,
812:20, 813:5,	881:6, 885:12,	energy [1] - 787:4	estimated [1] - 864:25	872:7, 877:9,
813:22, 814:23,	895:15, 895:16	engaged [2] - 788:22,		879:11, 883:7,
815:5, 815:13,	DX483 [1] - 895:13	795:9	estimates [5] - 799:2,	887:23, 892:13,
816:16, 817:6,	DX490 [1] - 895:14		799:3, 799:6,	
		Enlarge [1] - 817:13	833:23, 842:19	892:14, 893:8,
817:23, 818:8,	DXs [1] - 826:12	enlarge [8] - 793:18,	et [2] - 780:3, 780:7	893:16, 895:12
818:25, 819:4,		794:14, 799:22,	etcetera [2] - 804:2,	exhibit [5] - 788:10,
819:12, 819:21,	E	808:22, 812:9,	861:5	800:11, 800:15,
820:24, 821:5,		817:10, 818:17,	evaluate [2] - 813:16,	805:11, 855:21
822:3, 822:6, 823:7,	7000	819:10	813:18	Exhibits [1] - 846:24
823:11, 823:20,	early [8] - 796:3,	enlarged [9] - 795:24,	evidence [3] - 786:5,	exhibits [8] - 788:9,
824:2, 825:3,	796:22, 807:1,	796:19, 797:16,	826:21, 826:25	788:11, 822:3,
825:16, 826:3,	838:23, 856:20,	800:16, 800:17,	evident [3] - 799:24,	823:2, 826:6,
826:9, 826:12,	857:10, 890:17,	802:25, 809:19,	800:19, 808:5	846:20, 846:24,
826:16, 827:5,	892:25	810:18, 822:4	exactly [4] - 792:21,	847:3
830:11, 830:17,	earning [2] - 864:23,	enlarges [2] - 801:16,	805:22, 827:21,	exist [2] - 821:1,
830:22, 831:3,	865:9	810:18	855:7	878:20
831:7, 843:3, 844:8,	easier [2] - 856:5,	enter [1] - 790:11	Exactly [1] - 831:2	existence [2] - 828:7,
845:5, 847:18,	856:6	entered [3] - 799:13,	EXAMINATION [2] -	876:24
848:16, 851:23,	easy [1] - 831:11	820:11, 837:20	783:8, 827:3	existing [1] - 809:8
854:6, 882:2,	economic [1] - 787:3	enterprise [2] - 805:3,	examination [3] -	expect [2] - 892:24,
885:23, 887:7,	Ed [1] - 782:7	841:13		893:4
892:24	EDWARD [2] - 783:7,		806:23, 814:1, 884:6	expenditure [1] -
drawn [1] - 794:2	895:5	enterprises [5] -	Examination [2] -	810:3
drew [1] - 842:24	Edward [1] - 783:12	841:6, 841:11,	895:6, 895:6	expenditures [1] -
drink [1] - 802:17		841:12, 842:17,	examines [1] - 819:14	•
drop [1] - 869:8	effectively [1] - 812:25	844:3	examining [1] - 814:3	840:24
dropping [1] - 868:22	effort [1] - 845:23	enters [1] - 835:25	example [19] - 784:17,	expert [2] - 782:25,
	eight [1] - 850:13	entire [2] - 854:6,	796:1, 798:19,	783:3
drops [3] - 868:4,	either [3] - 795:1,	872:9	811:10, 813:2,	expertise [1] - 822:1
868:9, 869:7	805:13, 876:25	entitled [6] - 818:19,	816:4, 820:15,	explain [13] - 787:21,
during [15] - 787:4,	elected [1] - 886:25	819:2, 840:6,	822:22, 828:11,	788:20, 791:1,
796:22, 798:2,	electronic [2] -	865:25, 872:12,	830:25, 831:5,	793:18, 799:23,
810:13, 817:12,	787:20, 853:4	896:4	838:7, 839:22,	800:18, 810:19,
830:2, 853:4,	element [1] - 786:25	entry [3] - 861:7,	840:4, 855:15,	812:2, 813:1,
865:18, 869:22,	elements [1] - 787:24	862:21, 871:8	855:24, 859:22,	819:20, 869:10,
871:1, 871:20,	eligibility [1] - 851:7	equal [3] - 892:25,	860:3, 876:1	873:9, 890:19
887:8, 888:2,	eliminated [1] -	893:4, 893:5	examples [3] - 805:6,	explained [1] - 801:3
890:16, 893:25	891:22	equate [1] - 890:4	815:6, 826:11	explains [1] - 808:24
dwell [1] - 892:21	ELLIOTT [1] - 780:17	era [1] - 787:20	exceed [1] - 868:12	expressed [1] -
DX [50] - 786:4,	ELOUISE [1] - 780:3	ERR [3] - 795:5,	except [3] - 845:12,	832:22
	elsewhere [1] - 836:4	795:7, 795:11	872:3, 891:1	extensive [1] - 813:25
786:11, 788:7,		100.1, 100.11	Except [1] - 892:10	extent [4] - 863:18,
786:11, 788:7, 788:14, 790:20,		error [1] - 8/17·8		,
	embrace [1] - 809:24	error [1] - 847:8	-	
788:14, 790:20,	embrace [1] - 809:24 embraces [1] - 810:4	ESQ [12] - 780:14,	excess [1] - 807:22	864:1, 872:4, 874:25
788:14, 790:20, 793:11, 794:7, 795:23, 796:18,	embrace [1] - 809:24 embraces [1] - 810:4 encompassed [1] -	ESQ [12] - 780:14, 780:17, 780:18,	excess [1] - 807:22 exchange [1] - 814:4	864:1, 872:4, 874:25
788:14, 790:20, 793:11, 794:7, 795:23, 796:18, 799:16, 800:11,	embrace [1] - 809:24 embraces [1] - 810:4 encompassed [1] - 792:22	ESQ [12] - 780:14, 780:17, 780:18, 780:21, 780:22,	excess [1] - 807:22 exchange [1] - 814:4 excluded [1] - 887:12	
788:14, 790:20, 793:11, 794:7, 795:23, 796:18, 799:16, 800:11, 801:7, 802:18,	embrace [1] - 809:24 embraces [1] - 810:4 encompassed [1] - 792:22 encompasses [1] -	ESQ [12] - 780:14, 780:17, 780:18, 780:21, 780:22, 781:3, 781:3, 781:6,	excess [1] - 807:22 exchange [1] - 814:4 excluded [1] - 887:12 excluding [1] - 834:10	864:1, 872:4, 874:25
788:14, 790:20, 793:11, 794:7, 795:23, 796:18, 799:16, 800:11, 801:7, 802:18, 802:19, 804:9,	embrace [1] - 809:24 embraces [1] - 810:4 encompassed [1] - 792:22 encompasses [1] - 818:20	ESQ [12] - 780:14, 780:17, 780:18, 780:21, 780:22, 781:3, 781:3, 781:6, 781:7, 781:7,	excess [1] - 807:22 exchange [1] - 814:4 excluded [1] - 887:12	864:1, 872:4, 874:25
788:14, 790:20, 793:11, 794:7, 795:23, 796:18, 799:16, 800:11, 801:7, 802:18, 802:19, 804:9, 805:13, 808:17,	embrace [1] - 809:24 embraces [1] - 810:4 encompassed [1] - 792:22 encompasses [1] - 818:20 end [20] - 785:5,	ESQ [12] - 780:14, 780:17, 780:18, 780:21, 780:22, 781:3, 781:3, 781:6, 781:7, 781:7, 781:10, 781:11	excess [1] - 807:22 exchange [1] - 814:4 excluded [1] - 887:12 excluding [1] - 834:10	864:1, 872:4, 874:25 F fact [20] - 795:8,
788:14, 790:20, 793:11, 794:7, 795:23, 796:18, 799:16, 800:11, 801:7, 802:18, 802:19, 804:9,	embrace [1] - 809:24 embraces [1] - 810:4 encompassed [1] - 792:22 encompasses [1] - 818:20	ESQ [12] - 780:14, 780:17, 780:18, 780:21, 780:22, 781:3, 781:3, 781:6, 781:7, 781:7,	excess [1] - 807:22 exchange [1] - 814:4 excluded [1] - 887:12 excluding [1] - 834:10 Excuse [1] - 802:15	864:1, 872:4, 874:25

840:16, 840:20,	783:2, 790:8,	811:1, 811:10,	form [14] - 795:22,	803:13, 803:17,
851:10, 852:8,	870:25, 871:20	812:5, 812:10,	796:2, 796:21,	804:5, 805:2, 805:3,
861:17, 869:7,	fees [1] - 837:21	812:23, 813:2,	797:18, 826:18,	809:16, 809:17,
869:10, 869:21,	feet [1] - 807:23	815:11, 819:15,	827:16, 827:18,	809:25, 814:18,
870:17, 873:4,	felt [5] - 876:23, 879:4,	822:18, 825:7,	828:2, 828:8,	814:24, 818:23,
873:19, 874:16,	880:18, 886:13,	827:16, 828:2,	828:21, 828:23,	818:24, 819:1,
876:19, 880:8,	887:4	836:25, 842:22,	828:24, 828:25	819:17, 819:22,
882:12	fields [1] - 783:3	847:9, 848:12,	formed [1] - 865:19	819:23, 820:14,
factors [1] - 815:24	fifteen [12] - 842:2,	849:4, 849:6, 849:7,	former [1] - 810:6	839:18, 840:17,
facts [1] - 834:3	842:4, 843:19,	854:14, 857:12,	forms [9] - 785:6,	841:9, 841:15,
fail [1] - 884:6	844:12, 848:17,	858:19, 884:18	796:3, 796:4,	841:21, 851:6,
fair [63] - 829:14,	849:22, 850:17,	fiscal [10] - 791:20,	796:23, 797:19,	851:10, 854:24,
829:24, 831:12,	851:1, 851:15,	793:15, 794:18,	827:15, 828:16,	856:22, 858:9,
831:21, 832:11,	853:6, 870:22	800:14, 808:20,	829:2	858:12, 858:13,
832:21, 833:5,	fifty [7] - 829:9,	817:12, 856:17,	forth [1] - 830:12	858:24, 862:10,
834:9, 834:16,	829:10, 829:11,	887:3, 888:3, 893:25	forty [1] - 894:8	862:16, 862:25,
834:21, 835:19,	834:14, 891:9,	Fisner [1] - 810:24	forums [1] - 795:18	863:22, 863:23,
836:6, 836:8,	891:13, 891:18	fit [2] - 800:23, 819:1	forward [5] - 787:1,	864:12, 864:20,
837:25, 838:8,	fight [1] - 842:25	five [16] - 790:7,	787:6, 829:12,	864:22, 864:23,
840:3, 844:21,	figure [26] - 794:3,	790:15, 816:5,	893:15, 894:9	865:5, 867:23,
845:20, 846:10,	802:7, 803:24,	846:24, 847:10,	forwarded [1] - 808:23	867:24, 868:20,
849:23, 850:18,	816:23, 821:13,	848:25, 852:18,	four [6] - 789:22,	868:24, 869:8,
852:7, 852:10,	822:25, 823:15,	860:13, 860:17,	811:17, 820:24,	870:1, 872:3,
852:11, 852:25,	851:16, 853:15,	861:7, 861:12,	821:6, 858:12, 871:5	872:24, 873:1,
853:1, 853:3,	869:11, 869:14,	862:21, 879:19,	four-and-a-quarter [1]	873:14, 874:8,
854:13, 854:15,	871:11, 871:13,	883:11, 884:3,	- 871:5	874:18, 874:21,
855:22, 855:25,	871:15, 874:8,	893:20	fourteen [1] - 838:21	880:23, 881:1,
856:12, 857:4,	874:25, 879:1,	five-volume [1] -	Fourteen [1] - 807:23	881:4, 884:15,
857:11, 857:19,	879:10, 879:14,	846:24	Fourth [1] - 781:4	885:21, 886:3,
861:15, 861:22,	880:21, 880:22,	flag [2] - 869:16,	Franklin [1] - 781:14	886:19, 887:1,
863:20, 866:9,	886:8, 888:7, 889:2,	869:17	FTI [10] - 786:1,	887:8, 888:11, 894:2
867:8, 867:16,	889:7, 889:12	Floor [1] - 780:15	787:19, 792:25,	Funds [7] - 858:20,
867:17, 867:20,	figures [17] - 821:8,	focus [18] - 833:20,	798:10, 798:25,	859:12, 861:4,
867:21, 868:10,	821:9, 822:12,	838:22, 840:12,	799:2, 799:3, 799:7,	867:18, 869:13,
871:9, 872:2,	855:13, 868:15,	848:2, 849:3, 851:3,	830:11, 879:8	872:12, 893:12
873:25, 874:22,	869:2, 869:13,	852:3, 856:22,	FTI's [2] - 799:9,	•
876:6, 876:9, 878:1,	873:6, 873:10,	857:16, 862:21,	799:13	G
878:5, 880:2,	873:11, 874:6,	862:24, 866:2,	fulfill [1] - 817:12	
880:14, 880:15, 881:10, 883:8,	878:10, 878:23,	870:23, 872:16,	full [5] - 783:10, 789:8,	GAO [24] - 793:10,
884:16, 889:13,	886:15, 887:6,	880:22, 885:13,	792:20, 857:21,	806:24, 807:10,
890:16, 891:10,	890:13, 890:14	888:1, 892:16	860:5	807:11, 807:19,
892:24	figuring [1] - 842:23	Focus [4] - 848:24, 877:9, 881:12,	function [4] - 807:3,	809:6, 811:6, 811:8,
fairly [6] - 804:18,	filings [1] - 824:3	893:11	807:6, 807:10,	811:23, 811:25,
807:21, 813:25,	fill [1] - 827:22	focusing [1] - 808:4	807:11	812:16, 812:17,
835:1, 838:8, 865:19	filled [1] - 796:4	folks [1] - 806:5	fund [9] - 791:7,	812:21, 813:1,
fairness [1] - 887:4	Finally [2] - 797:13,		791:9, 802:8,	813:13, 813:14,
familiar [9] - 799:9,	826:15	following [3] - 787:2, 801:21, 802:6	803:15, 803:22,	814:5, 829:4,
821:14, 824:3,	financial [2] - 784:9, 813:13	follows [1] - 805:2	804:2, 841:12,	851:24, 852:7,
828:21, 838:14,		footnote [11] - 857:3,	863:8, 865:8	852:13, 886:9,
840:5, 845:9,	findings [1] - 831:1	857:10, 857:11,	Funded [1] - 795:22	886:10, 887:3
852:17, 863:7	Fine [1] - 782:23 finish [1] - 894:13	857:16, 858:5,	funded [5] - 796:1,	GAO's [2] - 812:4,
far [6] - 784:3, 785:8,	firms [1] - 831:8	858:8, 858:11,	796:23, 796:25,	812:14
788:7, 824:21,		864:10, 868:2,	826:13, 827:19	gas [4] - 787:5,
852:21, 855:20	First [5] - 792:3,	879:6, 889:21	funds [81] - 783:25,	868:25, 879:19,
fashion [1] - 825:15	798:14, 815:17, 823:3, 824:9	footnotes [2] - 862:18,	784:17, 786:13,	879:22
FDI [1] - 843:16	623.3, 624.9 first [30] - 783:20,	866:20	786:17, 789:9,	gateway [1] - 835:5
FDI [1] - 043.10	111 31 [30] - 103.20,		801:20, 801:22,	gather [2] - 792:3,
February [2] - 801:13,	784.7 788.18	FOR [2] - 780:1, 783:7	001.04 000.4	
	784:7, 788:18, 790:25, 791:3	FOR [2] - 780:1, 783:7	801:24, 802:4,	792:5
February [2] - 801:13,	784:7, 788:18, 790:25, 791:3, 798:1, 806:24,	FOR [2] - 780:1, 783:7 foregoing [2] - 876:12, 896:3	801:24, 802:4, 802:13, 802:14, 803:7, 803:8,	792:5 gathered [2] - 795:4,

gathering [1] - 795:10 grand [1] - 818:21 help [2] - 782:12, 891:8 784:14, 785:25, 786:3, 786:4, 844:3 hit [1] - 889:3 general [16] - 790:11, great [4] - 788:19, 786:12, 786:17, hitting [1] - 823:6 795:19, 800:17, helpful [1] - 854:1 791:7, 791:9, 786:25, 792:1, 825:18 791:21, 798:11, Herman [10] - 786:1, **hmm** [11] - 832:6, 792:10, 794:18, 806:14, 808:19, 830:17, 830:21, greatly [2] - 787:5, 833:19, 848:1, 794:25, 795:15, 808:24, 810:7, 856:6 831:3, 831:7, 855:17, 861:19, 795:17, 796:6, 863:8, 863:9, 865:8, grew [1] - 787:5 841:25, 847:4, 864:14, 864:18, 797:1. 797:2. 873:18, 878:2, 885:2 ground [2] - 784:20, 847:10, 857:6, 866:10, 873:22, 798:15, 798:17, General [11] - 794:9, 789:10 867:11 877:15, 881:3 799:6, 799:13, 798:16, 806:22, Herman's [2] - 800:23, group [2] - 804:3, **holders** [1] - 803:10 799:14, 803:2, 846:21 807:3, 807:5, 807:6, Honor [27] - 782:5, 831:20 804:18, 805:7, 807:9, 811:19, groups [5] - 785:12, hide [1] - 877:1 782:16, 782:24, 805:9, 805:21, 813:25. 872:13. high [1] - 814:16 802:19, 805:22, 785:13, 804:3, 805:24, 813:14, 886:20 819:23, 820:3 higher [2] - 788:14, 807:25, 822:10, 814:9, 814:19, general's [2] - 809:21, 824:13, 824:21, guardian [2] - 866:9, 820:21 814:25, 815:6, 874:6 826:4, 826:15, 873:2 highlight [1] - 799:22 817:18, 817:24, Generally [4] - 785:1, 827:2, 830:7, 843:7, guess [3] - 834:3, highlighted [25] -818:1, 818:2, 818:5, 815:23, 825:7, 846:19, 847:6, 866:24, 881:19 791:19, 793:18, 818:7, 819:1, 825:12 **GUILDER** [1] - 780:22 794:14, 794:17, 854:1, 856:4, 819:12, 820:10, generally [6] - 809:22, 860:23, 866:12, 799:22, 801:2, 820:11, 820:12, 813:6, 817:23, 866:23, 875:10. 808:22, 809:20, Н 820:15, 820:16, 823:22, 825:23, 817:10, 817:14, 884:5, 884:8, 820:18, 822:7, 832:1 818:17, 819:10, 892:18, 893:22, 825:11, 825:19, generate [1] - 823:22 half [6] - 834:2, 848:2, 894:7 833:20, 836:25, 825:22, 825:25, genesis [1] - 787:12 848:25, 851:13, 838:22, 848:2, HONORABLE [1] -826:10, 826:17, 848:7, 851:3, 852:4, Georgia [1] - 780:20 884:1, 894:12 780:11 826:18, 831:11, GINGOLD [1] - 780:14 hand [8] - 800:5, Honorable [1] -866:2, 872:16, 831:16, 831:19, 803:6, 804:18, 846:14 Gingold [1] - 780:14 877:10, 881:12, 831:20, 832:2, 854:3, 854:14, 883:18, 892:17 hope [1] - 838:2 given [5] - 786:1, 832:19, 833:5, 854:23, 856:10, highlighting [5] hoped [1] - 792:9 795:13, 825:20, 834:9, 834:23, 891:4 882:24 799:25, 800:1, hoping [1] - 873:9 835:8, 835:13, handled [5] - 802:8, 819:20, 893:14 hour [1] - 894:12 glance [1] - 788:18 835:19, 835:21, House [4] - 878:13, 802:13, 807:3, historian [20] glazing [3] - 822:15, 835:22, 835:23, 818:5, 846:23 783:14, 805:6, 878:15, 886:13, 823:10, 889:11 835:25, 837:19, handling [1] - 816:16 805:8, 807:15, 886:24 God [1] - 782:12 837:20, 838:4, hands [6] - 856:22, 813:23, 813:24, house [1] - 791:4 governing [2] - 810:3, 838:23, 841:2, 862:16, 863:23, 814:10, 814:12, HRA [1] - 838:17 840:25 841:4, 841:5, 864:12, 867:5, 870:1 814:14, 814:17, Government [2] huge [3] - 824:19, 841:11, 841:16, Hands [2] - 859:13, 814:23, 815:1, 871:8, 885:25 824.23 841:21, 842:1, 867:19 818:4, 820:9, government [34] hundred [7] - 796:11, 843:22, 843:23, 821:10, 821:21, handy [1] - 817:21 801:6, 807:23, 782:19, 782:22, 844:20, 844:24, hard [2] - 825:21, 821:23, 824:10, 787:10, 787:14, 813:8, 816:5, 844:25, 845:15, 824:13, 844:10 854.2 790:8, 806:15, 856:23, 861:14 845:21, 845:24, historian's [1] -808:4, 809:18, hardship [1] - 845:12 847:18, 848:8, 807:18 HARPER [1] - 780:21 ı 814:7, 814:11, 848:10, 849:5, Historical [3] - 831:6, 815:15, 815:17, head [1] - 843:24 849:14, 851:5, 838:15, 840:6 815:25, 820:19, heading [2] - 861:3, 851:11, 851:13, historical [15] idea [1] - 803:13 824:5, 824:8, 885:17 851:17, 852:8, Identification [1] -786:21, 787:7, 824:11, 824:18, hear [2] - 809:11, 852:24, 852:25, 895:12 789:7. 798:24. 833:1, 837:11, 824:23 854:24, 858:8, 798:25. 806:16. identified [4] - 805:19, 842:17, 867:24, heard [3] - 828:23, 858:12, 858:20, 810:20. 815:23. 819:25, 820:12, 869:22, 870:25, 828:24, 830:10 858:24, 865:19, 824:4, 827:12, 835:18 871:4. 871:21. hearing [1] - 875:12 866:14, 867:4, 829:13, 834:24, identify [6] - 797:16, 872:3, 872:7, 872:8, Hearing [1] - 826:22 868:19, 868:23, 842:21, 860:9, 872:9, 874:9, 881:2, 801:17, 802:20, hearings [1] - 788:13 869:7, 870:25, 892:12 803:1, 804:16, 834:8 890:23, 891:19 heavily [1] - 788:22 874:23, 876:18, history [8] - 783:1, ignore [1] - 876:23 government 's [1] held [6] - 840:21, 880:23, 881:1, 784:19, 796:8, 838:17 **II** [1] - 787:2 863:22, 863:23, 881:4, 884:8, 821:20, 841:10, gradual [2] - 816:12, IIM [136] - 783:25, 870:1, 871:2, 871:12 884:15, 886:3, 852:21, 890:15, 816:14 784:4, 784:11, Held [1] - 869:13 886:18, 887:1,

		T		1
887:8, 888:10,	869:18	794:2, 800:2,	837:2, 837:5,	initiated [1] - 804:2
889:4, 890:4, 892:3	Indian [122] - 783:1,	800:10, 803:9,	837:17, 837:23,	Insofar [1] - 834:10
illegality [1] - 846:6	783:2, 787:4,	805:20, 805:25,	837:25, 838:2,	instance [2] - 851:12,
immediate [1] -	788:13, 788:16,	810:1, 811:11,	838:3, 838:5, 838:9,	851:13
841:14	789:1, 789:14,	816:23, 816:25,	838:11, 839:4,	instances [2] - 823:5,
immediately [1] -	789:17, 791:19,	817:4, 817:11,	839:10, 839:18,	883:3
802:6	793:9, 793:14,	817:16, 820:13,	839:21, 839:23,	intended [2] - 839:20,
impact [1] - 815:24	794:11, 795:3,	822:16, 822:18,	840:3, 840:22,	855:5
imply [1] - 834:20	795:4, 796:4, 796:8,	823:6, 836:17,	840:24, 840:25,	intending [1] - 847:11
important [1] - 882:5	797:20, 797:24,	837:5, 839:10,	842:10, 845:15,	intention [1] - 871:23
impression [1] - 818:6	799:4, 799:19,	839:14, 839:18,	852:9, 852:24,	interest [5] - 793:24,
inaccurate [2] -	800:14, 801:9,	839:21, 840:3,	853:7, 859:22,	807:16, 864:23,
880:18, 880:20	801:13, 801:14,	840:22, 861:1,	860:4, 861:22,	865:9, 871:5
include [18] - 798:15,	801:25, 802:9,	864:21, 866:4,	862:9, 862:25,	interest -earning [1] -
799:13, 818:6,	802:13, 802:22,	871:3, 879:22,	863:1, 864:12,	865:9
836:3, 838:5,	802:24, 803:3,	882:14, 882:25,	865:2, 866:17,	interesting [2] -
847:11, 858:12,	803:6, 803:9,	883:21, 884:2,	866:25, 871:3,	804:18, 806:2
864:4, 867:19,	803:18, 803:23,	884:21, 888:2	874:7, 876:11,	Interior [36] - 780:7,
870:2, 870:3, 879:6,	804:6, 804:8,	indicate [14] - 811:4,	876:14, 881:13,	784:18, 785:12,
881:21, 884:20,	804:11, 804:13,	838:22, 840:16,	882:14, 882:25,	785:20, 789:25,
885:4, 887:5,	805:10, 805:18,	844:2, 845:11,	883:4, 883:19,	791:14, 791:15,
889:24, 890:3	806:21, 809:15,	848:3, 848:10,	884:25, 887:18,	793:15, 801:11,
included [17] - 819:23,	809:17, 809:23,	849:4, 849:13,	888:12, 888:23,	804:12, 810:8,
821:7, 842:7, 842:9,	809:24, 809:25,	850:7, 863:1,	894:1, 894:3	815:6, 819:7,
842:11, 842:12,	810:3, 810:5, 811:7,	864:19, 872:21,	individualizing [1] -	827:12, 830:25,
842:14, 857:8,	811:12, 811:21,	874:16	840:17	831:5, 831:15,
863:19, 865:12,	811:25, 812:22,	indicated [6] - 785:2,	individuals [2] -	833:6, 833:23,
865:20, 866:24,	813:9, 814:1, 814:4,	803:3, 805:1, 834:2,	838:25, 888:15	833:24, 836:10,
867:4, 867:5,	816:22, 817:2,	847:20, 867:15	indulgence [1] - 856:1	836:12, 843:14,
867:16, 872:4, 877:3	817:4, 817:8, 818:11, 819:3,	indicates [1] - 863:9	information [56] -	845:6, 847:23,
includes [5] - 818:23,	820:17, 823:16,	indication [1] - 846:6 Individual [8] - 804:6,	784:25, 785:9, 785:10, 785:22,	858:23, 859:4,
853:19, 870:5,	825:5, 825:14,	818:19, 819:3,	785:24, 786:1,	864:7, 876:3,
870:7, 870:12	832:21, 833:3,	840:6, 861:1, 865:3,	786:9, 786:16,	882:12, 882:23,
including [4] - 809:2,	835:24, 836:1,	866:5, 893:12	786:18, 788:3,	889:5, 889:8, 890:9,
815:18, 866:4,	836:3, 836:6,	individual [102] -	788:5, 788:12,	890:11, 892:14 interpolation [1] -
866:21 inclusion [1] - 820:18	836:13, 836:22,	784:5, 784:12,	788:19, 790:10,	816:13
income [7] - 805:1,	837:2, 837:13,	784:21, 791:19,	791:25, 792:4,	interrupt [2] - 788:2,
823:16, 841:3,	837:17, 837:23,	794:11, 797:20,	792:5, 793:24,	859:2
888:21, 888:23,	837:25, 838:5,	799:4, 800:10,	794:25, 796:5,	interrupted [1] - 859:3
889:13	838:9, 838:11,	801:14, 801:25,	813:16, 826:1,	introduced [3] -
incompetent [5] -	838:13, 838:25,	802:9, 802:13,	828:1, 828:6, 833:5,	785:25, 788:11,
873:3, 891:1, 891:5,	839:4, 839:23,	802:22, 803:3,	834:13, 834:15,	810:13
891:13, 891:19	840:25, 841:7,	803:6, 803:9,	834:17, 835:13,	inverse [1] - 832:18
incompetents [1] -	841:8, 841:12,	803:18, 803:23,	847:1, 853:19,	invest [2] - 870:25,
866:4	842:9, 842:10,	804:8, 804:11,	854:9, 854:11,	884:15
incomplete [5] -	845:3, 845:14,	805:9, 805:20,	854:15, 854:20,	Invested [1] - 885:25
857:7, 857:18,	845:15, 856:17,	809:23, 809:24,	854:24, 855:1,	invested [14] - 866:17,
858:3, 858:17,	861:22, 862:9,	810:1, 810:5, 811:6,	855:2, 855:6,	869:22, 871:3,
867:16	866:25, 869:11,	811:11, 811:12,	855:13, 855:15,	872:3, 872:24,
increase [2] - 869:5,	870:2, 872:12,	811:21, 811:25,	856:19, 856:25,	873:1, 873:14,
869:8	873:3, 874:7, 876:14, 880:4	812:22, 814:1,	859:19, 865:22,	874:9, 874:22,
increased [2] -	876:14, 880:4, 881:13, 883:5,	817:3, 817:4,	867:12, 869:4,	875:1, 875:6, 875:9,
868:24, 868:25	883:19, 884:1,	818:23, 820:2,	873:23, 875:18, 875:22, 878:12	881:1, 882:25
increases [2] - 787:2,	884:25, 887:18,	820:7, 820:12,	875:22, 878:12,	investigation [4] -
787:3	891:9, 891:10,	820:17, 823:6, 825:14, 832:5	882:5, 882:6, 882:11, 888:10,	818:10, 830:18,
increasing [1] -	891:14, 891:18,	825:14, 832:5, 835:24, 836:1,	889:24	830:22, 834:6
868:23	891:23, 893:1,	836:2, 836:4, 836:6,	inherited [2] - 822:23,	investigations [2] -
indeed [4] - 833:17,	893:17, 894:1, 894:3	836:13, 836:17,	888:5	801:11, 804:13
834:12, 834:13,	Indians [39] - 794:1,	200.10, 000.11,	300.0	investing [1] - 871:20
	,			

investment [4] -876:6, 888:3 823:23. 823:24. 814:16, 814:20, 790:23, 878:19 888:2, 889:2, 889:11 832:12, 832:23, located [25] - 785:5, Juno [1] - 865:1 788:13, 795:3, 833:1, 873:25 798:15, 845:25 language [8] - 838:22, 785:6, 785:9, justice [2] - 827:12, 851:4, 852:4, 866:2, **LEVITAS** [1] - 780:17 785:11, 785:15, investments [3] -843:15 872:17, 877:10, 785:17, 785:18, 884:8, 884:9, 884:20 liabilities [1] - 820:2 Justice [6] - 781:8, involved [8] - 814:21, 781:12, 843:5, 881:13, 892:17 liberty [14] - 865:17, 785:19, 785:23, 816:13, 819:22, 858:23, 858:24, large [1] - 829:13 865:20, 866:13, 785:24, 786:9, 787:8, 787:24, 822:19. 827:5. 859:4 largely [2] - 843:1, 866:17, 866:22, 788:3, 788:5, 827:8, 829:17, JUSTIN [1] - 780:22 868:25 867:3. 870:2. 870:3. largest [1] - 866:8 788:24, 789:14, 871:19 870:6. 875:9. 795:15, 795:18, involves [2] - 818:24, Κ last [19] - 790:12, 883:22, 884:2, 884:7 822:20 796:2, 797:6, 829:2, 797:15, 801:23, **Liberty** [1] - 865:25 851:6, 878:14 involving [1] - 812:22 803:19, 804:24, library [3] - 785:20, Kayto [1] - 891:23 locating [1] - 799:4 **ISSDA** [12] - 788:25, 805:11, 805:14, 785:21, 795:2 keep [2] - 821:25, locations [1] - 819:24 789:17, 790:1, 807:23, 810:21, light [2] - 820:8, 889.8 Look [1] - 852:4 796:14, 797:6, 847:3, 847:7, 846:23 keeping [2] - 890:9 798:2, 807:1, 857:15, 858:11, likewise [1] - 814:23 look [86] - 797:14, 807:19, 814:4, keeps [1] - 872:24 868:1, 870:23, Limit [1] - 824:20 810:17, 814:22, 815:7, 827:17, Kehoe [1] - 870:15 873:19, 874:13 limitation [1] - 798:19 818:12, 818:25, 873:12 **KEITH** [1] - 780:21 Law [1] - 780:14 819:19, 822:10, limitations [7] -ISSDAs [2] - 797:3, **KEMPTHORNE** [1] laws [1] - 810:2 827:16, 833:10, 792:12, 792:23, 833:21, 836:21, 797:5 lead [1] - 814:17 792:24, 793:2, issue [1] - 809:23 kept [12] - 784:5, leaking [1] - 814:19 798:18, 798:20, 836:24, 840:5, issued [3] - 790:14, 840:11, 845:5, 784:6, 784:7, learn [1] - 788:21 868:16 846:7, 847:25, 865:18, 891:24 784:15, 804:5, learned [2] - 784:23, limited [8] - 787:24, 848:19, 848:24, issues [7] - 784:16, 872:22, 873:20, 828:7 791:24, 792:6. 849:25, 850:6, 811:24, 812:4, 889:5, 890:11, lease [2] - 789:10, 792:7. 809:15. 850:10, 850:20, 812:21, 822:13, 890:23, 890:25, 822:14 809:17 851:22, 851:23, 837:22, 857:25 891:1 limiting [1] - 837:24 leased [1] - 889:12 855:15, 855:20, item [1] - 885:25 Kilpatrick [3] - 780:18, limits [1] - 785:4 leasing [1] - 793:25 856:10, 856:14, items [1] - 835:24 780:22, 781:4 line [4] - 800:4, least [14] - 813:12, 856:18, 857:21, itself [5] - 798:19, kind [7] - 807:12, 821:12, 829:9, 863:22, 865:7, 858:11, 860:19, 819:2, 832:19, 807:16, 832:7, 829:10, 829:11, 885:14 860:23, 861:10, 860:8, 883:2 852:13, 862:7, 830:14, 833:24, linear [1] - 816:13 862:6, 862:12, 864:24, 873:23 838:2, 844:19, link [1] - 869:2 862:15, 862:24, King [3] - 833:12, 856:12, 857:2, J list [1] - 856:23 862:25, 864:6, 833:25, 834:5 862:18, 874:20, listed [2] - 790:14, 864:9, 865:23, King's [1] - 835:9 888:17 790:17 866:11, 866:19, J.E [1] - 810:24 KIRSCHMAN [1] leave [2] - 825:16, listing [1] - 811:11 867:10, 867:22, JACQUELINE [3] -781:6 825:17 literally [1] - 784:20 868:3, 868:7, 781:17, 896:2, 896:5 knowing [2] - 791:24, left [2] - 837:21, Litigation [1] - 781:13 870:14. 870:21. **JAMES** [1] - 780:11 814:14 854:14 litigation [2] - 829:20, 871:24. 872:7. **JOHN** [3] - 781:7, known [1] - 786:15 left-hand [1] - 854:14 830:2 872:16. 874:2. 781:10, 781:11 **knows** [1] - 808:25 lengthy [2] - 793:1, **LLP** [3] - 780:18, 874:13. 874:14. John [1] - 798:1 **KOHN** [1] - 781:7 814:3 780:22. 781:4 875:3. 875:18. JR [2] - 781:3, 781:6 lent [1] - 842:17 loan [13] - 865:17, 876:1, 877:9, **JUDGE** [1] - 780:12 L less [7] - 817:1, 865:20, 866:13, 877:10, 878:25, Judge [2] - 809:8, 848:17, 849:21, 866:17, 866:22, 879:7, 879:11, 809:10 849:22, 850:17, 867:3, 870:2, 870:3, 879:18, 880:8, labeled [1] - 859:15 judgment [1] - 809:6 851:1, 880:7 870:6, 875:9, 880:21, 881:6, lacked [1] - 811:13 July [4] - 790:6, 800:5, letter [9] - 791:13, 883:22, 884:2 881:12, 883:7, Lamberth [2] - 809:8, 848:20, 850:4 810:24, 811:4, Loan [1] - 865:25 883:10, 883:25, June [17] - 780:7, 809:11 811:6, 811:20, loaned [1] - 844:2 885:12, 887:23, 790:6, 790:16, land [7] - 822:14, 811:24, 812:11, loaners [1] - 840:24 888:1, 889:21, 791:6, 791:16, 822:19, 822:20, 812:14, 812:17 loans [1] - 884:7 892:13, 892:16, 793:15, 799:20, 822:23, 888:5, level [18] - 784:6, local [2] - 838:25, 892:17, 893:8, 800:14, 808:20, 888:18, 889:22 784:7, 784:12, 872:22 893:16, 893:19 815:10, 856:17, lands [11] - 822:7, 784:15, 784:19, locally [1] - 785:19 looked [21] - 795:19, 861:1, 861:13, 822:15, 822:18, 784:20, 789:10, locate [5] - 785:3, 816:21, 824:20, 874:7, 875:21, 823:13, 823:22, 807:18, 814:2, 788:23, 789:3,

816:8, 816:10, 825:19. 825:24. matter [3] - 847:2, 839.9 839.20 797:10, 798:4 828:9, 828:14, 820:5, 820:6, 820:7, 839:22, 840:2, month-end [1] - 797:2 894:11, 896:4 834:14, 842:6, 848:4, 848:15, 840:21, 841:14, matters [2] - 782:15, monthly [3] - 796:15, 844:1. 844:5. 849:1. 850:8. 841:16, 841:19, 827:5 827:17, 828:3 845:17. 849:7. 856:23, 862:22, 842:1. 842:16. Matthew [22] - 786:19, Morgan [8] - 783:16, 860:25.867:11. 786:22, 788:1, 863:15. 864:20. 844:2. 844:20. 827:8, 829:19, 867:12, 869:16, 866:5, 868:5, 868:9, 844:22, 844:25, 790:24, 793:17, 832:17, 843:6, 872:10. 877:6. 868:13.868:20. 845:21. 851:13. 794:14, 801:1, 843:9, 854:10, 893:12 868:24, 869:5, 851:16, 851:17, 801:16, 803:11, 854:12 869:8, 869:9, 852:24, 853:7, looking [23] - 784:16, morning [1] - 894:16 804:15, 804:22, 788:7, 791:11, 869:12, 869:14, 853:11, 853:19, 805:13, 808:21, most [15] - 784:3, 808:8, 814:10, 871:2, 871:8, 861:12, 861:20, 810:18, 811:1, 784:5, 784:14, 822:11, 822:13, 871:11, 871:22, 861:22, 862:4, 812:1, 812:2, 812:9, 785:10, 785:18, 824:1, 828:4, 876:14, 878:7, 863:1, 863:18, 812:19, 817:10, 813:14, 841:6, 838:21, 846:4, 879:1, 879:14, 864:1, 864:4, 818:16, 822:5 859:21, 866:3, 854:13, 859:18, 879:24, 880:1, 865:12, 867:20, mean [8] - 821:23, 871:4, 878:13, 859:22, 863:20, 880:9, 880:16, 869:22, 871:20, 834:20, 835:23, 878:14, 886:14, 866:19, 869:21, 880:24, 881:2, 875:6, 875:8, 859:2. 861:21. 892:1, 892:2 881:4, 881:16, 874:20, 874:21, 876:11, 876:14, 882:13, 883:4, 891:7 move [13] - 806:14, 881:22, 882:3, 877:14, 877:16, 878:25. 882:10. meaning [2] - 877:21, 809:14, 810:9, 882:16, 888:17 883:21, 883:22, 878:1, 881:13, 877:22 810:10, 815:15, Looking [3] - 809:19, 885:14, 885:15, 881:19, 882:13, means [5] - 805:16, 817:18, 826:5, 847:6, 893:8 885:20, 886:1, 882:24, 884:9, 816:12, 819:21, 826:15, 835:16, 886:3, 886:6, 886:7, 884:15, 884:24, looks [1] - 879:7 863:7, 871:12 845:24, 851:22, 885:4, 887:18, loosened [2] - 891:25, 886:19, 886:23, meant [1] - 802:19 867:8, 877:23 887:9, 887:14, 890:5, 890:17, 892:1 mechanism [2] moved [1] - 807:9 893:20, 893:21 890:20, 890:23, lost [1] - 871:23 839:12, 839:19 moving [2] - 795:14, 891:1, 891:4, mind [2] - 793:2, loud [1] - 800:7 meetings [2] - 830:10, 885:12 875:6 891:20, 892:4, low [3] - 869:5, MR [51] - 782:5, 782:7, 830:11 892:7, 892:11, mining [2] - 822:14, 869:15, 879:7 members [2] - 831:7, 782:15, 782:24, 893:1, 893:6 889:16 lower [1] - 886:25 783:9, 805:13, 851:6 minor [3] - 873:2, Monies [2] - 836:17, lumped [1] - 835:13 memory [2] - 836:9, 806:12, 808:3, 840:7 890:20. 890:22 809:5, 809:13, 836:11 monies [51] - 791:7, minors [1] - 866:4 815:1, 815:4, 822:2, M mention [1] - 858:16 minus [1] - 789:16 791:20, 793:24, 824:10, 824:12, mentioned [19] -794:11, 797:20, minutes [2] - 846:13, 786:3. 790:2. 824:20, 825:2, 799:4, 800:2, 894.8 machine [1] - 781:23 826:9, 827:2, 827:4, 792:23. 798:9. 801:14, 802:9, miscellaneous [2] maintained [4] -830:7, 830:9, 843:7, 810:21, 827:15, 802:22, 803:18, 838:13, 883:19 784:6, 803:17, 843:11, 846:10, 828:17, 830:16, 803:23, 804:6, 803:18, 819:25 missed [1] - 882:1 846:19, 846:23, 843:5, 852:18. 804:8, 804:11, maintaining [1] -853:11, 857:2, misspoke [1] - 847:7 847:6, 847:17, 805:8, 809:23, 850:12 mix [1] - 831:19 854:5, 856:4, 857:24, 861:17, 809:24, 810:4, majority [1] - 806:10 866:12, 866:16, 876:6, 876:19, modlers [1] - 792:11 811:7, 811:22, man [1] - 821:21 866:23, 867:1, 879:8, 879:10 moment [3] - 796:24, 811:25, 812:22, 875:10, 875:17, manner [1] - 879:9 mere [1] - 834:3 812:15, 852:15 814:1, 818:7, March [8] - 788:22, Monday [1] - 780:7 877:24, 877:25, Merit [3] - 871:2, 820:11, 820:16, 804:19, 813:3, 871:11, 871:17 Money [2] - 818:19, 884:5, 884:8, 823:5, 825:14, 815:18, 828:6, 819:3 884:12, 885:18, methodology [1] -825:19, 836:3, 885:19, 885:22, 828:7, 847:20, 855.8 money [93] - 784:18, 836:7, 837:2, 892:18, 892:23, 850:11 Michelle [1] - 846:21 790:10, 799:13. 838:12, 838:23, 893:22, 894:5, mark [1] - 813:4 800:9. 802:1. 802:3. microphone [1] -841:1, 842:10, 894:7, 894:14 marked [3] - 786:11, 802:13. 803:4. 875:11 845:16, 862:9, multiple [1] - 792:3 797:14, 893:11 803:6, 817:3, 817:5, middle [2] - 848:7, 866:17, 866:25, multiplier [1] - 816:22 820:16, 835:19, Marked [1] - 895:12 851:4 871:2, 872:21, 835:24, 836:13, material [1] - 825:21 might [7] - 784:17, 874:7, 883:19, Ν 836:22, 837:12, materials [4] - 822:10, 788:23, 795:16, 884:1, 885:2, 894:1, 837:13, 837:15, 822:12, 830:13, 798:15, 828:5, 894:3 837:20, 837:24, 860:15 839:5, 856:1 month [8] - 796:7, name [4] - 783:10, 838:2, 838:3, 838:5, mathematics [2] -Might [1] - 849:15 796:11, 797:2, 783:12, 827:19, 838:9, 838:11, 844:9, 844:17 million [60] - 801:6,

797:7, 797:8, 797:9,

873:1 obviously [4] - 806:8, noted [1] - 784:2 867:19 799:1, 820:1, 841:17 807:15, 842:25, National [5] - 785:11, Once [4] - 797:10, oriented [1] - 814:1 notes [1] - 871:4 850:25 797:16, 798:4, 785:18, 795:1, original [2] - 787:12, noteworthy [1] - 866:3 801:12, 860:15 802:12 871:24 **Obviously** [1] - 807:15 nothing [1] - 782:11 October [25] - 783:1, One [7] - 787:24, Native [1] - 842:24 notice [7] - 793:23, Osage [19] - 799:4, nature [3] - 839:16, 783:22, 783:24, 795:21, 816:2, 799:5, 816:16, 800:1, 801:23, 841:18, 892:6 803:4, 803:8, 784:23, 785:1, 816:20, 825:17, 816:20, 816:21, 785:15, 785:23, near [1] - 790:13 823:12, 869:11 847:6, 886:8 816:22, 817:4, nearly [1] - 864:19 noticed [1] - 835:17 785:25, 786:5, one [63] - 782:16, 817:16, 817:17, 787:17, 787:22, 782:18, 786:22, 817:18, 826:11, necessarily [1] - 836:1 noting [1] - 791:15 864:4, 864:21, 787:23, 788:11, need [4] - 795:11, 786:23, 787:1, November [1] - 794:12 788:20, 789:4, 787:3, 790:22, 880:3, 880:9, 800:16, 825:3, 892:5 Number [2] - 782:16, 789:13, 790:20, 892:10, 892:11 792:18, 794:4, never [3] - 823:16, 877:14 790:23, 791:25, 795:9, 796:1, 797:8, OSR [1] - 842:14 836:7, 885:9 number [41] - 782:18, 799:17, 800:12, 804:18, 808:17, ought [1] - 871:24 new [9] - 787:22, 782:19, 782:21, 806:17, 810:13, 808:24, 809:1, outlier [1] - 877:5 787:23, 788:14, 785:17, 788:8, 826:6, 841:8 810:12, 810:14, outside [3] - 830:23, 788:19, 789:12, 788:14, 792:6, 810:15, 813:2, **OF** [2] - 780:1, 780:11 854:8, 854:10 791:25, 792:23, 792:9, 796:12, 813:3, 814:15, 805:25, 826:17 811:7, 811:11, offered [2] - 846:25, overlooked [1] -847:3 815:3. 818:16. Next [3] - 782:24, 812:25, 813:4, 817:20 offhand [4] - 828:14, 820:3. 820:8. Overruled [5] - 830:8, 816:24, 816:25, 802:3, 819:4 821:11, 822:19, next [18] - 780:25, 820:4, 821:12, 828:15, 829:1, 829:3 843:8, 866:15, 824:13, 827:16, 796:18, 800:11, 826:11, 826:19, office [5] - 842:12, 884:11, 893:24 827:22, 831:20, 844:13, 858:22, 805:14, 809:14, 827:15, 827:18, overruled [1] - 809:12 832:17, 836:18, 809:19, 810:9, 829:13, 848:3, 859:9, 859:10 oversight [3] - 814:11, 838:17, 847:13, Office [14] - 794:9, 811:17. 812:1. 854:14, 857:16, 814:21. 815:6 849:7, 850:16, 795:12, 798:16, 817:22. 819:19. 863:15, 863:16, own [6] - 821:25, 852:2, 854:10, 806:22, 807:4, 849:17, 879:21, 871:22, 876:18, 830:18, 834:6, 856:5, 857:12, 807:5, 807:6, 807:9, 880:23, 883:25, 876:20, 877:2, 841:14, 859:25, 857:15. 857:16. 885:25, 893:14 878:7, 879:3, 811:20, 813:25. 860:4 860:16, 861:4, 819:7, 831:6, 881:6, next-to-last [1] -879:21, 880:7, 862:7, 862:12, 886:21 805:14 880:9, 880:20, Р 865:23, 869:1. officer [1] - 837:6 nine [2] - 790:21, 881:18, 890:12 872:10, 876:2, officers [3] - 794:1, 797:15 numbered [1] - 847:10 876:17, 877:7, numbers [13] - 821:1, 800:3, 804:3 p.m [4] - 780:7, 827:1, nineteen [2] - 849:3, 877:14, 878:20, 849:11 821:5, 834:19, offices [2] - 796:9, 846:16, 894:17 880:1, 881:9, Ninth [1] - 780:15 834:21, 834:22, 796:12 P.O [1] - 781:14 886:18, 887:7, 835:2, 835:6, Offices [1] - 780:14 package [1] - 812:22 noncompetence [1] -887:13, 893:12 835:13, 855:21, official [7] - 801:24, packages [25] -888:5 ones [3] - 826:7, 856:10, 869:19, 811:6, 811:8, 806:17. 806:21. noncompetent [1] -860:25, 867:15 880:14, 885:10 811:23, 812:24, 807:13, 807:14, 822:22 operation [1] - 881:14 **NW** [4] - 780:15, 814:5 807:17, 807:19, Noncompetent [1] operations [1] -780:23, 781:8, Official [2] - 781:17, 807:20, 808:5, 888:14 850:14 781:18 896:2 808:9, 810:12, None [2] - 787:11, Operations [1] - 881:7 813:7, 813:10, officials [1] - 831:5 787:15 opinion [10] - 785:1, 813:12, 813:22, 0 often [8] - 796:14, none [1] - 826:22 789:3, 792:1, 805:6, 813:24, 814:10, 797:9, 798:3, 806:4, Nonetheless [1] -805:8, 808:6, 808:8, 814:22, 815:5, 813:10, 816:25, 892:2 object [2] - 809:7, 859:22, 891:15 809:8, 816:19, 820:9 829:4, 829:5, NORC [10] - 786:1, 866:12 opportunity [1] -834:10, 834:12, 792:25, 798:11, OHTA [1] - 831:5 Objection [6] - 815:1, 827:25 834:18, 835:1, oil [4] - 787:4, 868:25, 798:25, 855:2, 824:10, 830:7, opposed [3] - 801:3, 842:11 879:19, 879:22 855:12, 876:19, 843:7, 892:18, 823:15, 871:22 packages '[1] - 808:6 877:4, 879:8, 887:11 **Oklahoma** [1] - 841:8 893:22 options [1] - 876:24 Page [3] - 812:7, normally [1] - 841:9 old [1] - 835:3 objection [7] - 783:5, order [3] - 782:2, 812:12, 849:11 once [12] - 789:5, North [1] - 781:5 790:14, 846:17 809:5, 809:11, page [110] - 780:25, Northwest [1] -796:19, 797:9, 824:24, 826:22, organization [1] -788:1, 790:25, 802:25, 809:19, 783:18 806:24 791:3, 791:13, 866:23, 884:5 810:17, 862:17, Nos [1] - 826:24 objections [1] - 847:2 organizations [5] -791:18, 791:19, 862:20, 863:1, note [4] - 801:20, obligated [1] - 876:23 798:12, 798:13, 793:11, 793:12, 864:9, 867:18, 811:8, 847:6, 858:2

793:17, 794:13,	823:5, 876:13,	890:12	personally [4] - 827:5,	819:10
797:15, 798:1,	878:2, 883:21,	payment [5] - 816:21,	829:8, 829:16,	positive [1] - 814:24
799:21, 800:15,	884:2, 885:2,	817:20, 839:24,	854:11	•
800:19, 801:19,		840:24, 892:19		possession [1] -
	888:12, 888:25,	,	Personally [1] - 829:9	828:18
803:12, 805:14,	889:3, 890:2, 890:5,	Payments [1] - 804:2	pertinent [2] - 866:14,	possibility [1] -
808:17, 808:21,	892:11	payments [8] -	881:15	814:18
809:14, 809:19,	pamphlet [1] - 832:15	816:17, 816:21,	Ph.D [2] - 783:7, 895:5	possible [2] - 801:17,
810:21, 811:17,	paper [2] - 856:2	816:24, 817:11,	phrase [2] - 882:13,	806:8
811:18, 812:5,	paragraph [12] -	817:12, 823:8,	883:3	post [1] - 860:8
812:10, 817:9,	802:10, 803:5,	839:22, 878:6	phraseology [1] -	potentially [1] -
817:13, 817:15,	804:24, 812:23,	PDFs [1] - 810:16	859:11	795:19
817:19, 817:20,	836:25, 840:12,	Peachtree [1] - 780:19	pick [1] - 787:20	practices [3] - 782:20,
818:16, 819:9,	849:4, 852:19,	people [4] - 827:24,	picture [1] - 804:1	806:15, 814:7
819:19, 822:20,	862:10, 870:23,	830:24, 844:13,	pilot [1] - 852:17	preceeding [1] -
823:11, 823:12,	870:24, 874:14	891:4	Pineridge [1] - 811:3	808:25
833:18, 833:21,	Pardon [1] - 802:16	PEPION [1] - 780:3	placed [5] - 838:5,	precisely [1] - 834:7
836:24, 836:25,	part [24] - 784:4,	per [7] - 841:15,	858:13, 861:23,	preface [1] - 825:8
838:20, 838:21,	784:5, 784:14,	841:21, 851:6,	873:14, 885:4	preliminary [1] -
840:11, 847:25,	785:10, 785:18,	851:10, 864:25,	places [1] - 785:18	782:15
848:3, 848:6,	803:22, 811:10,	865:1, 881:16	plain [1] - 841:11	preparation [3] -
848:24, 849:3,	812:14, 813:14,	percent [20] - 820:3,	plaintiffs [1] - 818:4	829:17, 829:20,
849:6, 849:9,	818:1, 818:7,	820:6, 842:2, 842:5,	Plaintiffs [5] - 780:5,	830:18
849:15, 849:17,	820:11, 830:14,	842:23, 843:19,	780:14, 821:5,	prepare [2] - 830:1,
850:6, 850:10,	841:6, 842:22,	844:12, 848:15,	822:25, 823:14	854:6
850:11, 851:4,	843:1, 843:6, 843:9,	848:17, 849:22,	Plaintiffs' [15] -	prepared [11] -
852:3, 852:4, 852:5,	845:15, 846:1,	849:23, 850:16,	782:21, 815:16,	829:12, 829:20,
855:18, 856:18,	847:13, 847:22,	850:18, 851:1,	815:18, 815:20,	830:4, 830:12,
857:17, 857:22,	859:21, 883:11	851:16, 853:7,	816:2, 816:16,	831:15, 836:13,
858:12, 858:19,	participate [1] -	871:5, 891:9,	818:1, 820:9,	840:9, 858:2,
860:22, 860:23,	842:25	891:13, 891:18	820:18, 821:15,	870:15, 876:5, 876:9
860:25, 861:10,	particular [31] - 785:6,	percentage [3] -	822:3, 822:9, 824:3,	present [5] - 792:19,
862:15, 862:20,	786:20, 787:23,	843:22, 843:25,	826:2, 847:19	825:13, 830:25,
862:24, 864:9,	792:2, 796:2,	844:5	play [1] - 894:10	831:3, 871:3
864:15, 864:16,	797:15, 799:24,	perform [1] - 807:10	plus [3] - 801:6, 886:6,	presented [3] - 792:7,
865:24, 866:11,	800:1, 800:20,	performed [1] -	887:14	792:8, 793:3
866:20, 867:10,	802:14, 819:15,	807:11	point [20] - 787:21,	President [1] - 840:1
870:22, 874:3,	821:15, 828:8,	perhaps [5] - 817:21,	788:22, 793:11,	president [1] - 790:14
876:8, 876:12,	828:25, 829:5,	828:14, 869:22,	796:8, 796:22,	presumed [1] - 891:13
878:25, 879:21,	829:13, 831:22,	871:24, 882:23	797:25, 809:5,	pretty [2] - 831:10,
880:8, 880:23,	831:25, 832:3,	Period [1] - 799:8	809:9, 813:1,	831:15
883:10, 883:12,	844:4, 856:15,	period [24] - 787:5,	819:16, 825:17,	previous [1] - 860:8
883:15, 883:17,	858:5, 859:16,	787:25, 788:4,	832:10, 833:24,	previously [1] - 826:6
883:18, 883:25,	860:22, 862:10,	788:5, 789:15,	841:10, 874:16,	primary [1] - 807:16
884:1, 885:13,	867:2, 875:23,	789:16, 798:2,	880:7, 880:21,	
888:1, 888:17,	877:4, 877:6,	813:11, 813:13,	887:8, 890:3	principal [2] - 804:4,
889:11, 889:15,	888:11, 888:24	821:18, 823:17,	point-by-point [1] -	805:1
892:16, 893:14,	Particularly [1] -	831:14, 833:8,	813:1	pro [1] - 839:23
893:19	854:17	841:25, 842:18,	points [2] - 786:15,	problem [3] - 795:13,
Pages [1] - 893:11	particularly [5] -	852:11, 853:4,	825:16	832:19, 864:16
pages [16] - 786:20,	787:4, 787:6,	867:23, 869:23,	Policies [1] - 840:7	Problems [1] - 840:7
790:20, 791:1,	828:19, 829:7,	874:24, 875:19,		problems [8] - 811:8,
791:11, 801:17,	845:23	886:13, 891:11,	policy [3] - 840:17,	811:9, 816:20,
807:22, 810:15,	parties [1] - 804:1	893:2	891:24, 892:19	825:11, 825:22,
810:17, 810:20,	parts [2] - 786:17,	periodic [1] - 891:20	portion [13] - 794:14,	826:1, 852:8
812:1, 812:3,	804:20	periods [3] - 886:10,	794:17, 799:23,	procedures [1] -
822:15, 838:21,	passed [1] - 790:7	887:2, 890:17	801:2, 808:22,	852:17
861:3, 879:18, 896:3	past [1] - 829:23	permanent [1] -	809:20, 809:21,	Proceedings [2] -
paid [21] - 791:7,	Pauny [1] - 848:20	819:17	809:22, 817:10,	781:23, 894:17
791:21, 794:1,	pay [5] - 889:1, 889:6,	permission [2] -	817:14, 818:17,	proceedings [1] -
800:2, 805:20,	889:9, 890:10,	795:11, 795:12	840:2, 864:25	896:4
817:3, 820:17,		100.11, 100.12	portions [2] - 786:21,	Proceeds [1] - 877:19
	1	I .	1	1

877:11, 892:12 proceeds [3] - 822:22, pure [1] - 803:2 receive [3] - 787:13, references [1] - 819:1 reading [5] - 804:23, 822:23, 888:8 purposes [2] - 808:24, 839:23, 890:12 referred [5] - 823:7, 818:20, 871:10, process [8] - 806:24, 822:14 received [9] - 787:9, 833:15, 842:8, 871:13, 871:18 847:13, 882:18 806:25, 809:15, 791:20, 793:24, pursuant [1] - 875:23 809:22, 814:21, reads [1] - 839:1 810:1, 820:20, **push** [1] - 880:3 referring [1] - 854:2 816:3, 816:12, realistic [1] - 876:20 826:21, 826:23, put [12] - 805:11, refers [1] - 803:13 816:14 827:24, 837:11, realize [1] - 862:4 826:25, 893:6 reflect [6] - 786:21, produce [2] - 813:6, 837:12, 837:25, really [3] - 836:7, recently [1] - 828:19 818:18, 833:22, 813:10 838:9, 876:22, 841:14, 865:6 recess [3] - 846:12, 872:3, 888:23, produced [3] - 781:23, 876:23, 876:25, Really [1] - 789:9 846:15, 894:15 893:13 814:15, 872:9 882:14, 882:25, reason [1] - 841:4 **Recess** [1] - 846:16 reflected [6] - 782:20, producing [1] -886:25 recognize [15] -787:7, 806:16, reasons [1] - 851:7 845:14 putting [2] - 854:8, 786:11, 790:21, 815:15, 861:23, receipt [55] - 783:25, production [1] -860:10 784:2. 784:4. 793:12, 794:8, 869:25 889:19 796:19, 797:17, reflecting [1] - 874:21 784:22, 784:24, profession [1] -799:17, 800:12, Q 785:2, 785:4, 785:7, reflects [1] - 863:21 801:8, 804:10, 783:13 786:25, 787:24, refresh [3] - 787:16, project [1] - 795:10 808:18, 810:10, 788:3, 788:15, 806:19, 840:20 qualified [3] - 782:25, projects [1] - 825:24 788:24, 788:25, 817:6, 818:9, 819:5 regard [2] - 812:24, 815:2, 827:24 recognized [3] promise [1] - 785:7 789:15, 790:10, 824:21 quarter [1] - 871:5 845:3, 845:24, regarding [24] pronounce [1] - 850:1 790:22, 792:4, Quarterly [1] - 813:11 792:17, 792:21, 845:25 785:15, 787:17, properly [1] - 822:1 quarterly [1] - 808:11 793:7, 794:19, recollection [3] -794:10, 796:5, Property [1] - 861:1 questioned [2] -787:16, 833:22, 798:20, 800:21, 805:6, 805:7, property [2] - 874:17, 823:9, 851:7 812:25, 813:4, 840:20 809:23, 814:8, 877:19 questioning [2] reconciliation [1] -815:9, 820:25, 814:13. 814:18. provide [10] - 798:25, 811:15, 865:7 825:18, 825:25, 851:25 814:24. 816:19. 799:6, 808:9, 828:1, 828:5, questions [3] - 809:3, record [5] - 783:11, 821:17, 822:7, 832:22, 835:5, 828:10, 831:10, 821:22, 826:4 785:12, 785:13, 824:5, 826:12, 835:8, 843:5, Quinn [2] - 846:20, 834:12, 834:13, 892:12, 896:4 828:22, 830:18, 843:14, 878:4 834:15, 834:17, 847:3 records [42] - 782:19, 830:23, 833:23, provided [11] -QUINN [1] - 847:6 835:2, 835:5, 782:20, 783:2, 835:18, 851:24, 798:10, 837:1, 854:15, 854:20, quite [6] - 806:1, 783:21, 783:25, 884:7, 890:11 839:12, 839:17, 860:1, 868:15, 816:25, 822:1, 784:3, 784:4, 784:5, Regardless [2] -839:19, 842:2, 855:7. 890:16. 869:2, 869:4, 873:5, 784:14, 784:17, 837:4, 863:12 843:16, 843:17, 892:11 873:10, 873:11, 784:20, 784:22, region [1] - 808:12 843:18, 855:13, 873:16, 875:18, Quite [2] - 806:4, 785:3, 785:4, 785:8, regional [1] - 785:19 876:3 878:10, 882:10, 788:23, 788:24, providing [2] - 798:24, 891:15 registered [2] -890:13 788:25, 789:6, 809:25 816:25, 873:1 receipts [38] - 782:19, 790:3, 795:4, 799:5, regular [1] - 805:18 provisions [3] - 889:1, R 783:21, 785:16, 801:12, 806:16, 890:24, 892:3 regulation [1] - 836:10 786:16, 790:2, 813:13, 814:12, public [4] - 877:14, regulations [8] racing [1] - 841:13 792:8, 793:3, 814:15, 814:16, 877:16, 877:19, 810:3, 836:19, 793:21, 793:23, 824:21, 825:6, raise [1] - 809:5 878:1 836:22, 838:11, 794:17, 795:16, raised [3] - 811:25, 825:10, 825:13, publication [1] - 784:9 840:25, 845:6, 869:16, 869:17 795:20, 796:6, 834:24, 835:3, 891:25, 892:3 publicly [6] - 794:23, raises [1] - 873:7 796:25, 797:1, 847:11, 872:24, Rehabilitation [1] -794:24, 795:2, 801:24, 804:25, 878:15, 889:5, range [1] - 866:5 805:2 795:5, 832:22, 834:7 806:13, 808:7, 889:8, 890:9, 890:11 rata [1] - 839:23 rehabilitation [1] publicly-accessible 808:10, 812:24, Records [1] - 795:12 [1] - 795:2 rate [1] - 881:15 802:12 814:3, 815:14, red [2] - 869:16, rather [6] - 817:4, related [4] - 784:16, publish [1] - 833:23 821:8, 821:23, 869:17 823:6, 856:2, 812:4, 828:5, 850:14 published [3] - 789:6, 823:4, 834:22, redeposited [1] -874:17, 876:23, relating [4] - 791:7, 809:1, 872:13 838:13, 868:22, 813:3 890:6 827:6, 829:13, pulled [1] - 876:2 881:15, 882:3, refer [4] - 799:12, reached [2] - 806:9 purchase [4] - 883:22, 884:17 882:19, 887:14, 802:11, 803:20, read [11] - 800:7, relation [1] - 828:10 883:23, 884:2, 884:3 890:4, 892:21, 857:18 803:14, 848:10, purchased [1] relations [1] - 783:1 892:25, 893:4, 893:5 reference [2] - 876:11, 848:23, 856:6, relationship [2] -873:20 Receipts [3] - 800:4, 877:16 864:19, 872:17, 832:18, 873:5 purchases [1] -868:7, 881:21 referenced [1] - 877:7

872:19, 874:14,

865:19

relative [1] - 811:21

		T	T	T
relatively [2] - 791:24,	847:15, 847:19,	844:1, 844:2,	response [2] - 811:19,	780:11
807:1	848:19, 848:23,	845:25, 846:1,	812:16	Room [1] - 781:18
Relevance [1] - 830:7	850:1, 851:23,	846:2, 853:17,	responsible [1] -	Roosevelt [1] - 839:9
relevance [6] - 792:1,	852:15, 852:16,	857:3, 859:12,	872:6	roughly [13] - 848:11,
843:7, 866:13,	853:11, 853:14,	859:25, 860:4,	restricted [3] - 879:22,	848:15, 848:25,
884:6, 892:18,	853:19, 856:16,	860:12, 860:14,	894:1, 894:2	850:7, 850:16,
893:22	856:25, 857:13,	865:22, 867:13,	restrictions [1] - 893:1	863:15, 866:5,
relevant [1] - 819:12	857:15, 860:20,	875:23, 878:16,	result [1] - 790:17	868:4, 868:9,
reliability [6] - 814:13,	860:22, 862:13,	882:11, 882:12,	= =	868:12, 880:1,
, , ,	864:6, 864:7,	882:17, 882:18,	results [1] - 851:25	881:2, 881:18
824:5, 824:7,	869:11, 869:12,	887:17, 887:20	retotaled [1] - 869:14	Roughly [1] - 813:8
824:11, 824:18, 825:6	870:15, 870:19,	repositories [4] -	return [1] - 801:5	
	870:21, 871:2,	785:15, 785:21,	returned [5] - 794:1,	routinely [1] - 813:13
reliable [2] - 824:13,	871:21, 871:25,	794:23, 794:24	800:3, 800:24,	row [1] - 818:22
825:13	876:9, 878:11,	repository [1] - 795:4	853:11, 853:20	royalties [1] - 889:18
rely [1] - 867:9	878:17, 879:3,	represent [10] -	revealed [1] - 825:23	royalty [1] - 889:19
relying [1] - 855:12	879:6, 879:12,	• •	revenue [7] - 804:1,	RPR [1] - 781:17
remain [3] - 782:2,	883:2, 883:8,	786:14, 788:8,	868:4, 868:9, 889:2,	running [1] - 881:15
800:24, 846:17	883:11, 886:9,	788:9, 791:12,	889:18, 890:3,	
remaining [1] - 851:4	886:10, 886:11,	793:4, 811:18,	890:13	S
remains [1] - 785:2	886:12, 886:21,	812:3, 812:20,	revenues [6] - 787:5,	
remedies [2] - 792:2,	886:24, 887:3,	820:16, 886:14	823:4, 823:13,	0
815:18	887:13, 887:24,	representatives [1] -	823:23, 868:12,	Sage [1] - 847:10
remember [4] -	890:5, 890:8,	791:4	869:1	Salem [1] - 781:5
836:19, 848:22,	890:13, 892:14,	Representatives [4] -	reversed [1] - 886:12	sales [7] - 822:19,
853:16, 886:11	893:8, 893:17	878:14, 878:15,	Review [2] - 819:7,	822:20, 822:23,
remind [3] - 782:25,	Report [2] - 799:19,	886:13, 886:24	881:7	888:2, 888:5, 888:14
785:14, 875:11	800:13	represented [4] -	review [21] - 806:23,	savings [3] - 883:23,
removed [7] - 791:22,	reported [4] - 781:23,	791:1, 796:9, 820:3,	807:12, 807:14,	884:3, 884:7
794:21, 798:7,	873:12, 877:4,	872:25	807:18, 815:9,	saw [4] - 814:5,
805:4, 813:5,	880:14	represents [4] -	815:11, 816:16,	816:20, 862:3,
820:22, 823:25		786:15, 788:10,	818:1, 821:7,	876:21
rendering [1] - 874:23	Reporter [3] - 781:17, 781:17, 896:2	793:19, 793:21	824:17, 825:5,	schedule [1] - 788:22
reorganization [1] -	· ·	request [4] - 789:20,	834:24, 842:11,	Schedule [1] - 823:1
841:7	reporting [1] - 872:6	795:11, 839:15,	842:12, 842:14,	Scheuren [8] - 786:2,
repeat [1] - 830:20	reports [76] - 788:12,	839:23	842:16, 843:21,	830:11, 830:17,
repeated [1] - 825:3	788:13, 789:1,	requested [2] -	852:13, 859:24,	830:22, 831:3,
replaced [1] - 796:23	789:2, 789:8, 789:15, 789:16,	811:23, 843:16	860:11	831:7, 882:2, 887:7
replicate [1] - 822:12	' '	required [2] - 873:20,	reviewed [12] -	school [2] - 804:1,
reply [2] - 811:20,	789:17, 789:19, 790:1, 790:12,	878:4	814:15, 815:17,	804:4
815:20		requirements [1] -	815:20, 821:16,	scope [1] - 792:7
report [95] - 788:4,	790:15, 790:16,	877:14	824:2, 828:4, 829:7,	screen [18] - 786:10,
790:16, 792:19,	790:22, 791:7,	research [10] - 784:13,	829:19, 835:1,	790:19, 791:23,
793:8, 793:10,	792:21, 793:9,	784:16, 785:8,	836:19, 844:15,	793:12, 794:21,
793:13, 794:9,	793:14, 794:4,	793:6, 795:18,	870:19	796:18, 798:7,
794:10, 794:11,	795:2, 795:3,	824:7, 835:20,	reviewing [7] - 822:9,	801:2, 802:10,
794:19, 794:20,	796:11, 796:14,	839:3, 839:7, 878:22	825:25, 847:22,	803:19, 805:5,
795:2, 800:20,	796:15, 797:7,	Research [1] - 838:15	848:20, 851:25,	805:12, 808:17,
801:9, 801:11,	798:16, 798:20,	Reservation [2] -	852:12, 865:21	808:21, 813:6,
801:13, 808:19,	804:23, 804:24,	818:19, 819:3	reviews [3] - 856:7,	820:22, 822:13,
808:24, 808:25,	815:7, 815:8,	reservation [1] -	872:18, 875:4	823:25
809:21, 817:7,	815:10, 816:2,	842:24	revolving [3] - 802:3,	scroll [4] - 811:14,
818:10, 819:6,	817:2, 824:4,	reservations [6] -	802:8, 805:2	850:21, 874:3,
819:14, 820:15,	825:10, 825:23,	801:22, 802:15,	right-hand [2] -	883:14
826:10, 827:17,	829:13, 829:17,	818:21, 823:16,	854:23, 856:10	scrolled [1] - 861:2
828:3, 830:1, 830:4,	829:20, 831:15,	823:18, 832:9	ROBERT [1] - 781:6	se [1] - 841:15
838:15, 838:20,	832:14, 832:17,	resources [2] -	Roberts [5] - 811:2,	seated [3] - 782:3,
840:6, 840:9,	832:18, 833:16,	792:14, 792:16	812:15, 812:16,	782:14, 846:18
840:11, 842:13,	835:7, 835:12,	respect [1] - 846:21	812:17, 814:5	second [10] - 800:7,
844:4, 845:14,	836:18, 842:8,	respectively [1] -	Roberts [1] - 811:19	806:14, 813:24,
846:4, 846:19,	842:16, 843:2,	826:13	ROBERTSON [1] -	821:12, 840:12,
•				, ,
i.	1	1	1	

843:1, 866:20,	839:7, 860:18	several [3] - 816:1,	significance [1] -	832:1, 832:10
868:17, 872:17,	seem [2] - 788:18,	826:5, 826:6	810:19	somewhat [2] -
876:8	869:19	share [1] - 839:23	significant [3] -	849:21, 859:15
Secondly [1] - 825:21	segments [1] - 789:22	shifts [1] - 796:12	823:11, 865:19,	sorry [32] - 788:2,
secretary [3] - 791:3,	segregate [1] - 820:14	shorthand [1] -	870:25	797:24, 802:19,
791:14	selected [1] - 828:10	781:23	significantly [5] -	807:25, 812:6,
Secretary [2] - 780:7,	semi [6] - 804:23,	shot [1] - 825:20	817:1, 848:17,	812:10, 812:15,
810:7	804:24, 808:11,	show [35] - 785:7,	849:22, 850:17,	812:18, 817:19,
Section [1] - 790:7	813:11, 813:14,	786:10, 789:20,	850:25	818:13, 818:14,
section [15] - 790:15,	874:24	790:19, 794:7,	similar [7] - 794:4,	822:19, 830:20,
793:18, 810:25,	semi-annual [5] -	795:23, 796:18,	853:15, 857:11,	833:9, 834:20,
817:11, 833:20,	804:23, 804:24,	796:25, 797:13,	857:25, 860:24,	854:19, 855:18,
845:6, 848:7,	808:11, 813:11,	799:16, 800:11,	867:9, 867:12	859:2, 860:2, 860:7,
862:25, 864:16,	874:24	801:1, 801:3,	simply [10] - 806:25,	860:24, 870:8,
865:24, 879:19,	send [1] - 790:9	804:25, 805:7,	807:8, 809:17,	870:9, 871:17,
883:14, 888:18,	Senior [1] - 781:11	808:16, 808:21,	839:13, 843:16,	875:13, 876:16,
893:11, 893:20	sense [2] - 869:19,	809:20, 810:20,	857:17, 884:15,	877:17, 879:16,
securities [14] -	877:5	812:1, 817:6,	884:25, 885:4, 890:3	885:12, 892:13,
867:24, 869:22,	sent [1] - 789:19	817:23, 818:8,	single [1] - 797:6	893:13
870:2, 870:4, 870:5,	sentence [7] - 800:7,	818:16, 819:4,	situation [2] - 832:25,	sound [1] - 821:22
870:12, 871:1,	801:23, 802:6,	821:12, 822:3,	833:2	source [8] - 788:7,
871:21, 872:3,	803:19, 803:24,	822:14, 825:25,	six [1] - 794:13	789:7, 789:12,
872:7, 873:20,	848:12, 873:19	832:9, 834:16,	sixteen [1] - 838:20	822:10, 822:11,
874:9, 875:1, 881:2	separate [6] - 790:2,	838:14, 846:25,	size [1] - 832:18	824:13, 826:18,
Securities [1] - 885:25	805:18, 820:16,	853:17, 886:22	slide [1] - 786:22	830:13
see [69] - 786:23,	852:9, 852:23, 867:2	showed [5] - 809:1,	slow [1] - 816:3	sourced [1] - 795:14
787:1, 787:2, 787:3,	September [1] -	820:8, 842:16,	slowly [4] - 786:19,	sources [3] - 794:22,
787:6, 791:19,	886:11	843:22, 853:14	801:17, 810:19,	798:17, 805:1
800:4, 800:16,	series [4] - 788:10,	showing [3] - 809:2,	812:2	speaker [1] - 791:4
800:21, 801:5,	791:18, 797:13,	845:15, 866:3	Smith [13] - 827:7,	speaking [8] - 785:1,
802:5, 802:12,	797:15	shown [11] - 793:11,	831:23, 832:25,	808:1, 813:8,
807:16, 811:22,	serve [1] - 878:17	794:13, 799:21,	833:9, 846:9,	815:23, 823:22,
818:20, 818:21,	service [8] - 788:16,	801:7, 802:18,	847:24, 862:7,	825:7, 825:12,
819:15, 820:1,	789:1, 789:14,	804:9, 814:21,	863:5, 868:14,	825:24
822:5, 822:6,	796:4, 796:8,	817:9, 819:9,	871:23, 873:4,	special [18] - 788:16,
824:15, 845:6,	797:24, 806:21,	823:10, 859:23	893:2, 895:6	789:1, 789:14,
847:20, 848:7,	809:25	shows [10] - 794:17,	SMITH [26] - 781:3,	789:17, 789:23,
848:25, 849:15,	SESSION [1] - 780:11	804:1, 804:18,	809:5, 815:1,	796:4, 796:8,
851:8, 852:6,	set [6] - 830:12,	805:8, 817:15,	824:10, 827:2,	797:24, 806:22,
853:21, 857:25,	846:24, 847:9,	817:20, 841:4,	827:4, 830:9,	811:2, 818:24,
858:9, 858:14, 860:24, 861:4,	847:12, 847:13,	886:18, 888:21,	843:11, 846:10,	841:19, 844:23, 852:17, 857:7,
	860:5	889:18	847:17, 854:5,	
861:7, 861:18, 862:21, 864:16,	settled [8] - 808:8,	side [5] - 800:2,	856:4, 866:16,	857:18, 858:13,
865:24, 866:7,	810:12, 812:21,	800:21, 801:1,	867:1, 875:17,	867:16 specific [9] - 811:13,
870:22, 871:18,	813:12, 814:22,	801:5, 854:23	877:24, 877:25,	•
872:9, 874:3,	834:10, 834:11,	SIEMIETKOWSKI [24]	884:8, 884:12,	812:4, 820:25,
874:15, 878:8,	842:11	- 781:11, 782:5,	885:18, 885:19,	843:25, 845:2,
878:11, 878:21,	settlement [11] -	782:7, 782:15,	885:22, 892:23,	852:16, 859:24,
878:22, 879:18,	806:17, 807:13,	782:24, 783:9,	894:5, 894:7, 894:14	887:11, 892:5 specifically [15] -
880:23, 881:7,	807:14, 808:5,	805:13, 806:12, 808:3, 809:13,	so-called [2] - 787:20,	790:20, 797:14,
881:16, 881:19,	809:2, 809:7, 813:7,	, ,	796:21	
883:10, 883:12,	815:5, 829:4,	815:4, 822:2, 824:12, 824:20,	sold [1] - 888:7	799:21, 808:17, 810:10, 811:16,
883:15, 883:17,	834:25, 874:24	825:2, 826:9, 830:7,	solemnly [1] - 782:9	819:16, 819:18,
883:23, 884:4,	Seven [1] - 795:2	843:7, 866:12,	someone [1] - 866:8	835:18, 836:12,
884:6, 885:23,	seven [9] - 790:21,	866:23, 875:10,	sometimes [7] -	837:24, 844:24,
886:3, 888:3, 888:4,	793:11, 793:17,	884:5, 892:18,	823:7, 831:18,	847:24, 855:21,
888:18, 893:4,	795:1, 799:21,	893:22	831:19, 832:2,	860:18
893:9, 893:19	801:6, 819:24,	Siemietkowski [2] -	832:10, 832:14,	speech [3] - 833:12,
seeing [3] - 789:7,	865:24, 866:4	782:4, 895:6	884:14	834:1, 835:9
	Several [1] - 844:13	702.4, 000.0	Sometimes [2] -	331.1, 330.0

spelled [1] - 804:19	still [3] - 824:1,	878:16	844:20, 844:25,	830:19, 831:9,
sponsors [1] - 804:3	837:13, 869:15	Sundry [3] - 790:7,	845:22, 845:24,	835:17, 841:16,
staff [1] - 854:12	stipulations [1] -	875:20, 877:7	846:1, 846:5,	846:21
	817:13	superintendent [6] -	854:24, 858:8,	THE [52] - 780:1,
stamps [3] - 883:23,		801:20, 811:2,	858:24, 868:19,	780:11, 782:4,
884:3, 884:7	stock [1] - 841:13	, ,		
standard [7] - 795:22,	Stockton [3] - 780:18,	872:25, 873:2,	868:23, 869:8,	782:6, 782:8,
796:21, 797:18,	780:22, 781:4	873:21, 892:4	880:23, 881:1,	782:23, 783:5,
828:21, 828:24,	stop [3] - 787:17,	Superintendent [3] -	881:13, 886:3,	783:7, 805:11,
891:12, 891:22	787:19, 894:8	811:19, 812:15,	886:18, 887:1,	805:15, 805:17,
stands [1] - 846:14	Street [5] - 780:15,	814:5	887:8, 889:4, 890:4,	805:19, 805:22,
started [4] - 806:25,	780:19, 780:23,	superintendents [5] -	890:6	805:25, 806:4,
832:12, 842:22,	781:4, 781:8	856:23, 862:17,	_	806:6, 806:7,
844:3	strictly [1] - 862:8	863:24, 864:13,	T	806:11, 807:23,
starting [2] - 864:16,	strike [2] - 876:20,	867:6		807:25, 808:2,
879:19	879:9	Superintendents [2] -		809:10, 815:3,
starts [1] - 789:10	strokes [1] - 847:16	859:13, 867:19	Table [1] - 818:19	821:20, 824:15,
state [3] - 783:10,	struck [2] - 816:1,	supplied [1] - 799:3	table [9] - 792:10,	824:23, 826:8,
803:25, 818:21	876:17	supplies [1] - 892:5	798:15, 798:17,	826:22, 830:8,
Statement [1] - 795:21	struggling [1] - 832:8	supply [1] - 798:13	819:2, 823:10,	843:8, 843:9, 846:8
statement [14] - 796:1,	student [3] - 803:20,	support [1] - 885:10	823:12, 830:6,	846:12, 846:22,
796:21, 796:23,	803:22, 804:2	supporting [8] -	860:24, 889:15	847:5, 847:15,
796:25, 797:18,	subject [1] - 840:23	797:19, 797:22,	talks [4] - 811:10,	854:4, 856:5,
826:13, 826:14,	submit [2] - 789:23,	823:2, 846:25,	817:11, 866:21,	866:15, 866:24,
	= = =	847:10, 847:11,	884:1	875:13, 875:14,
827:19, 828:17,	796:14	· · ·	TAYLOR [1] - 781:3	875:16, 877:21,
832:19, 852:11,	Submitted [1] -	878:8, 878:23	temporarily [1] -	884:11, 885:17,
878:22	797:12	supposed [3] -	858:13	885:21, 892:20,
statements [3] -	submitted [18] -	844:21, 844:25,	tempted [1] - 894:10	893:24, 893:25,
784:9, 791:16,	789:25, 790:15,	878:1	ten [9] - 827:11, 842:1,	894:10, 894:15
797:21	794:12, 796:15,	Survey [2] - 819:7,	842:4, 842:23,	themselves [2] -
STATES [2] - 780:1,	796:16, 796:17,	881:6		825:23, 830:13
780:12	797:5, 797:11,	sustain [1] - 815:3	843:19, 844:12, 846:13, 851:15,	Theodore [1] - 839:9
states [5] - 791:5,	797:20, 797:23,	Sustained [1] - 892:20		
803:5, 811:20,	797:25, 798:3,	swear [1] - 782:9	853:6	they've [5] - 785:11,
818:22, 845:2	802:24, 804:13,	SWORN [1] - 783:7	tendered [2] - 846:20,	823:4, 823:14,
States [19] - 784:10,	806:21, 813:12,	synonymous [1] -	868:16	823:15, 894:12
790:11, 791:4,	815:8, 815:11	823:4	term [4] - 836:6,	thick [2] - 807:20,
791:8, 791:10,	submitting [1] - 797:3	System [2] - 858:20,	839:14, 884:13,	810:14
791:21, 808:20,	subscription [2] -	885:21	884:23	third [7] - 791:18,
809:18, 815:12,	866:3, 866:8	system [67] - 782:20,	terms [5] - 798:12,	791:19, 802:12,
819:6, 842:17,	subsequent [6] -	784:1, 784:11,	806:19, 808:6,	803:5, 826:1, 861:4
863:8, 863:13,	791:11, 791:13,	786:13, 786:17,	855:11, 892:19	866:20
863:19, 864:20,	807:7, 828:6, 835:7,	795:17, 796:6,	testified [12] - 820:24,	thirty [1] - 894:7
871:4, 872:13,	857:7	796:7, 797:2,	824:2, 824:22,	thousand [8] - 801:6
873:18, 885:3	Subsequent [1] -	799:14, 800:25,	826:12, 826:17,	807:22, 810:15,
statician [1] - 813:18	835:9	805:7, 805:9,	829:23, 834:6,	816:5, 849:1,
Station [1] - 781:14	subsequently [2] -	806:15, 808:4,	841:2, 841:25,	856:24, 861:14,
statistical [3] -		814:9, 814:17,	844:10, 854:13,	884:3
= =	786:8, 837:21	814:19, 814:23,	875:19	three [18] - 782:17,
832:12, 834:3, 887:18	Subsequently [1] -	· · ·	testify [4] - 824:11,	782:21, 785:6,
	800:23	814:25, 815:15,	824:13, 835:18,	795:18, 801:21,
statistician [1] - 855:9	substantial [1] -	817:5, 818:7, 819:1,	870:17	807:22, 810:15,
statisticians [1] -	845:12	819:16, 820:11,	testifying [3] - 782:17,	817:13, 817:15,
792:11	suddenly [1] - 869:7	820:16, 823:6,	783:21, 806:17	819:19, 832:14,
statistics [4] - 832:9,	Suffice [1] - 852:23	825:19, 825:22,	testimony [17] -	836:24, 838:24,
844:8, 844:17,	Suite [2] - 780:19,	825:25, 835:19,	-	
001.15	780:23	835:20, 835:21,	782:10, 782:18,	858:8, 858:11,
881:15	CLILL IVANI (a)	835:22, 835:23,	783:3, 785:14,	877:13, 887:6
statute [2] - 877:6,	SULLIVAN [3] -			
	781:17, 896:2, 896:5	835:25, 836:4,	806:15, 809:7,	throughout [1] -
statute [2] - 877:6,		835:25, 836:4, 837:16, 837:20,	809:11, 810:13,	822:24
statute [2] - 877:6, 877:22	781:17, 896:2, 896:5			_

Thursday [2] - 847:3,	822:20, 822:23	tribal [94] - 795:8,	828:16, 832:25,	847:8
847:7	toward [3] - 822:19,	795:9, 799:3, 799:6,	838:18, 839:8, 841:4	
tick [1] - 813:4	851:4, 883:17	801:20, 801:22,	truly [1] - 784:19	U
Tie [1] - 892:21	track [1] - 784:11	801:24, 803:15,	trust [19] - 795:9,	
Tiger [1] - 847:16	traditionally [1] -	805:3, 817:18,	802:13, 831:11,	
timber [1] - 800:22	797:21	817:24, 818:1,	833:6, 834:9,	U.S [4] - 781:8,
title [2] - 858:20, 859:5	transactions [7] -	818:5, 818:7,	834:23, 839:4,	781:12, 781:18,
today [11] - 783:4,	797:19, 826:15,	818:24, 819:1,	839:18, 840:17,	891:8
820:24, 830:5,	828:18, 852:9,	819:12, 819:14,	842:9, 851:24,	ultimately [1] - 816:9
830:19, 834:14,	889:6, 889:9, 890:10	819:17, 819:23,	864:20, 865:5,	Ultimately [1] - 816:10
853:6, 857:2,	transcript [4] - 781:23,	820:1, 820:10,	871:2, 890:17,	Um-hmm [11] - 832:6,
865:10, 870:19,	847:7, 847:13, 896:3	820:15, 820:18,	890:23, 890:25,	833:19, 848:1,
894:8, 894:11	TRANSCRIPT [1] -	822:7, 831:18,	891:1	855:17, 861:19,
together [5] - 827:25,	780:11	831:20, 832:2,	Trust [2] - 795:12,	864:14, 864:18,
831:19, 835:13,	transcription [1] -	832:5, 835:8,	831:6	866:10, 873:22,
844:15, 854:9	781:23	835:12, 835:25,	trusted [1] - 805:25	877:15, 881:3
tomorrow [1] - 894:15	transfers [1] - 828:22	837:19, 837:20,	trustee [1] - 806:1	Unallotted [1] -
took [10] - 807:6,	transmitting [3] -	838:6, 838:9,	trustees [1] - 873:2	823:23
822:21, 822:22,	791:6, 791:16	838:24, 839:6,	truth [4] - 782:11,	unallotted [5] - 822:7,
	travel [2] - 803:8,	839:8, 839:9,	847:1	823:13, 823:21,
822:23, 839:9, 842:19, 842:22,	823:23	839:18, 839:20,	try [4] - 853:3, 859:18,	832:7, 889:22
869:13, 886:8	treasurer [3] - 863:13,	840:2, 840:17,	866:20, 876:25	Under [1] - 817:16
top [18] - 801:2,	863:18, 872:24	840:21, 841:2,	trying [3] - 788:23,	under [16] - 800:4,
803:12, 818:20,	Treasuries [2] -	841:3, 841:6,	838:1, 862:11	801:22, 815:10,
818:21, 818:22,	874:22, 875:7	841:11, 841:13,	turn [14] - 783:20,	816:7, 820:7,
	Treasury [45] - 784:8,	841:14, 841:15,	811:17, 831:9,	840:25, 845:12,
836:25, 843:24, 848:2, 848:25,	785:12, 785:20,	841:16, 841:19,	833:18, 838:20,	848:25, 849:4,
850:11, 857:4,		841:21, 842:1,	841:2, 848:6, 849:3,	858:8, 871:8,
860:25, 863:22,	789:19, 789:20,	842:10, 842:17,	850:10, 862:20,	881:21, 884:23,
864:10, 883:12,	790:1, 790:9,	843:22, 844:2,	864:15, 876:8,	886:25, 892:4
883:25, 888:1	790:11, 791:3,	844:20, 844:22,	879:21	underneath [1] -
topic [1] - 783:20	791:8, 791:10,	844:24, 845:15,	turned [1] - 827:23	800:8
total [46] - 786:3,	791:14, 791:21, 796:17, 797:12,	845:21, 845:24,	turning [1] - 839:13	understood [4] -
786:4, 786:12,	798:6, 807:2, 807:3,	847:18, 848:8,	twelve [6] - 796:8,	792:11, 798:18,
792:1, 793:21,	807:8, 810:7, 815:9,	848:10, 849:5,	796:12, 797:3,	847:12, 855:12
794:3, 794:25,	815:11, 837:12,	849:14, 850:12,	797:5, 797:7, 848:6	undetected [1] -
795:15, 803:10,	861:18, 861:21,	850:14, 851:5,	twenty [1] - 801:6	814:19
808:9, 808:10,	861:23, 862:4,	851:6, 851:11,	twice [1] - 881:18	Union [3] - 860:12,
816:7, 818:2,	863:2, 863:6, 863:8,	851:13, 851:16,	two [33] - 782:19,	860:16, 861:11
818:22, 820:4,	863:9, 863:10,	851:17, 851:24,	787:23, 798:12,	unique [1] - 833:3
820:7, 820:20,	864:1, 864:20,	852:8, 852:9,	798:13, 798:14,	UNITED [2] - 780:1,
822:21, 823:15,	865:6, 865:8,	852:24, 852:25,		780:12
826:9, 826:16,	867:20, 867:23,	853:8, 858:12,	800:15, 800:22,	United [19] - 784:10,
826:18, 834:2,	870:12, 873:15,	862:9, 864:22,	801:6, 801:19, 808:21, 810:16,	790:11, 791:4,
851:5, 854:24,	873:18, 873:24,	864:23, 865:2, 865:8	817:9, 819:9,	791:8, 791:10,
858:8, 858:12,	875:1, 878:2, 885:3	Tribal [3] - 820:11,	832:14, 847:16,	791:21, 808:20,
867:4, 868:19,	Treasury's [1] -	841:9, 849:4	847:25, 848:15,	809:18, 815:12,
868:23, 869:7,	874:17	tribe [1] - 880:2		819:6, 842:17,
871:21, 874:6,	treated [1] - 891:19	tribes [15] - 801:25,	848:24, 849:9, 849:22, 850:6,	863:8, 863:13,
876:18, 879:10,	treatment [1] - 820:10	819:22, 819:23,	853:17, 853:22,	863:19, 864:20,
880:6, 881:4, 884:4,	treaty [1] - 817:12	819:25, 820:2,	854:14, 861:14,	871:3, 872:13,
886:3, 886:18,	trends [3] - 786:21,	852:18, 860:13,	878:25, 886:8,	873:18, 885:3
887:1, 887:8, 889:7	786:23, 787:7	860:17, 861:7,	886:10, 886:15,	unless [1] - 824:1
Total [2] - 858:20,		861:12, 862:21,	886:22, 889:21	unlimited [4] - 792:13,
871:15	TRIAL [1] - 780:11	879:19, 880:4,	Two [1] - 838:21	792:14, 792:15
totaled [4] - 820:4,	trial [6] - 782:10,	883:11, 893:20	twofold [1] - 835:23	unnoticed [1] - 814:25
823:14, 886:6, 888:7	788:21, 789:4,	tried [1] - 798:17		unrealistic [1] - 877:2
totaling [1] - 850:12	790:23, 792:2,	trouble [1] - 875:12	typically [4] - 789:7,	
	810:13	troubled [1] - 869:1	795:12, 805:3,	unrestricted [1] - 892:7
totality [1] - 835:1 totals [3] - 802:5,	Trial [2] - 781:11,	true [6] - 827:13,	823:23	
totals [5] - 002.3,	781:12		typographical [1] -	unsuccessful [4] -

794:2, 800:3, 801:5, 853:12 unsupported [1] -834:3 up [30] - 784:20, 784:21, 787:20, 789:11, 798:17, 801:24, 817:22, 822:24, 834:22, 834:25, 839:10, 840:1, 841:4, 842:22, 847:16, 854:3. 854:17. 854:20, 858:19, 858:20, 859:4, 859:6, 859:7, 859:8, 861:2, 874:3, 874:25, 884:4, 888:1, 892:1 update [1] - 787:9 updated [4] - 785:25, 786:7, 786:8, 786:12 upset [1] - 833:25 utility [1] - 808:6

٧

Value [1] - 860:25 value [2] - 786:12, 795:19 varied [1] - 813:11 various [12] - 798:16, 802:15, 804:3, 804:20, 814:22, 824:4, 843:2, 851:7, 860:14, 869:13, 892:3 vary [1] - 807:21 Veil [1] - 798:2 vein [1] - 894:15 verbatim [1] - 836:19 verify [1] - 859:19 version [3] - 786:12, 788:11, 856:12 view [7] - 818:4, 821:10, 821:17, 821:19, 824:7, 824:18, 825:5 viewpoint [1] - 810:20 vis [2] - 819:12 vis-a-vis [1] - 819:12 visited [2] - 795:7, 819:24 **volume** [1] - 846:24 volumes [1] - 832:14 voluminous [1] -784:17 Voluntary [1] - 845:7

voluntary [2] - 845:9,

845:11 voucher [2] - 811:22 vouchers [1] - 811:7

W

W.O [1] - 811:2

806:1

wages [4] - 805:15,

805:19, 805:23,

waiting [1] - 894:12

842:23, 865:18

883:23, 884:3, 884:7

war [4] - 842:25,

War [3] - 787:2,

WARSHAWSKY [1] -781:7 wartime [1] - 842:25 Washington [8] -780:6, 780:16, 780:24, 781:9, 781:15, 781:19, 783:17, 783:18 water [1] - 802:17 wealth [1] - 865:1 week [1] - 870:17 weight [1] - 824:25 Welfare [1] - 841:8 West [1] - 781:4 wha [1] - 787:22 white [1] - 891:8 whole [4] - 782:11, 849:15, 865:7, 894:10 WILLIAM [1] - 780:18 William [1] - 833:12 Winnebago [4] -801:14, 801:22, 802:23, 803:4 winning [1] - 800:23 Winston [1] - 781:5 Winston-Salem [1] -781:5 withdrawal [1] -840:23 withheld [1] - 891:19 withhold [3] - 880:17, 880:19, 890:17 WITNESS [12] - 783:7, 805:17, 805:22, 806:4, 806:7, 807:25, 843:9, 856:5, 866:24, 875:13, 875:16, 893:25 Witness [4] - 839:1, 856:7, 872:18, 875:4 witness [2] - 795:25, 875:11

WITNESSES [1] - 895:3
wonder [1] - 856:1
wondered [1] - 873:5
Woods [1] - 847:16
word [1] - 882:24
words [3] - 796:10,
814:2, 861:2
workers [1] - 806:4
works [1] - 789:11
World [3] - 787:2,
842:23, 865:18
written [4] - 808:23,
830:1, 830:4, 830:12

Υ

year [46] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:16, 789:21, 789:23, 791:20, 793:10, 793:15, 793:22, 793:23, 794:18, 794:20, 795:16, 795:20, 799:20, 800:14, 804:24, 808:20, 808:25, 815:7, 817:12, 834:9, 834:23, 843:25, 844:4, 853:18, 856:17, 868:1, 869:3, 871:9, 871:14, 879:14, 881:16, 887:3, 887:8, 888:3, 888:7, 888:11, 888:24, 893:25 year-end [15] - 785:5, 785:16, 786:18, 786:24, 788:5, 788:16, 789:21, 793:22, 794:20, 795:16, 795:20, 815:7, 869:3 year-ended [1] -793:15 years [32] - 784:13, 785:17, 787:4, 792:6, 793:6, 796:13, 798:22, 799:6, 820:25, 821:3, 821:6, 821:8, 821:14, 821:15, 825:12, 827:11, 828:13, 835:7, 842:7, 853:22, 854:14, 856:11,

856:20, 857:10, 858:3, 860:9, 871:17, 873:11, 874:11, 874:20, 892:25 years' [1] - 862:16 yellow [1] - 801:2 young [1] - 806:9 younger [1] - 806:5 yourself [2] - 802:17, 837:24 Youve [1] - 821:12

Jacqueline Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter