

|  | 105 |  | 107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00:70:488PM 1 | point in the early '90s he had less of a day-to-day involvement | 01:473389M 1 | A. Yes, I am. |
| ${ }^{01740.582 \mathrm{M}} \mathbf{2}$ | with Arthur Andersen's work that continued on the tribal trust | 01:43389PM 2 | Q. And how long have you been a C.P.A.? |
| STPM 3 | reconciliation that you heard about, and we will not be going | 01:473.389 3 | A. Since 1971. |
| 01:44:009m 4 | into that with him. He retired from Arthur Andersen in 1999 and | 01:43:428M 4 | Q. At our request have you recently reviewed the audits and |
| OPPM | he is now partner with a local Albuquerque accounting firm of | 5 | various reports that Arthur Andersen issued to BIA in connection |
| 01:441:098M 6 | about 150 people where he heads up a fifty-person division of | 01:43:519m 6 | with the audits for the years 1988, '89, and '90? |
| 01:44:12PM 7 | their audit and business consulting practice. | 01:43:54PM 7 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 01:4:1:198M 8 | We are going to ask Mr. Ziler questions in connection | 01:133.5spm 8 | Q. And generally refreshed your memory regarding that work? |
| 26PM 9 | with the audit that had to do with the internal accounting | ${ }^{01.43: 358 P M} 9$ | A. Yes, I have. |
| 298m 10 | controls at BIA, focusing on three primary points: the lack of | 0:144.02PM 10 | Q. Have you also reviewed certain of the audit Arthur Andersen |
| Sspm 11 | reconciliation between treasury and BIA regarding the IIM funds, | 0:144.0.88M 11 | work papers that were generated as part of those audits? |
| 41 PM 12 | the lack of reconciliation between components of BIA's own | 01:44.09PM 12 | A. Yes. It's a very limited portion, but yes, I have. |
| 00:44:4777MM 13 | accounting systems, and then third, BIA's weaknesses in | 01:44:11PM 13 | Q. Based on the work that Arthur Anderson did and as you have |
| 01:44:53PM 14 | accounting both for receipts and disbursements, including its | 01:44:188M 14 | reviewed it recently, were the accounting systems then being |
| 01:44:588PM 15 | vulnerability to misappropriation and fraud. | 01:44273PM 15 | used by BIA reliable? |
| 01:12.202PM 16 | And I would expect the direct examination of Mr. Ziler | 01:4427PM 16 | A. No, they were not. |
| 01:12:204PM 17 | to last for approximately an hour, your Honor. | е288 17 | Q. And were BIA's internal controls over its accounting and |
| 00.42:2089 18 | THE COURT: All right, sir. | 0:144349 18 | financial systems adequate? |
| 00:122:O8PM 19 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Excuse me, your Honor. Plaintiffs in | 01:44.359 19 | A. No, they were not. |
| 10PM 20 | their witness list identified Mr. Ziler as an expert witness. | 01:44377>M 20 | Q. Why not? |
| 00:122:15PM 21 | I'm not clear whether he's a fact witness testifying on the | pm 21 | A. We knew going into the initial engagement just through |
| gРм 22 | events he recalls from his work with Arthur Andersen. That's | spm 22 | dialogue with bureau management that they exposed internal |
| 00.4.22389 23 | all I heard so far. I see no grounds to qualify him as an | ¢ 23 | weaknesses and internal controls before we ever even started the |
| ярм 24 | expert, and if he is, I don't know what it's on. | ¢брм 24 | work. And what we generally found after engaging in the audits |
| ${ }^{01 / 423: 309 m} 25$ | MR. DORRIS: Your Honor, we did that in an abundance | 01:44.59PM 25 | was pretty much in the line with what we had been told, although |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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| 01:42328M | of caution because we found when you call a C.P.A. to talk about | 01:45:038M 1 | as we learned more, I think we realized that they were worse |
| 01:42:3.35M 2 | the audit, sometimes people view questions as being eliciting | 01.45:08PM 2 | than we had originally realized. |
|  | opinion, but it was asking them about opinions that they formed | 01:45:70PM 3 | Q. And how did BIA's internal controls compare to other |
| 01:12:483PM 4 | at the time that they did the audit. I do not plan to tender | M 4 | systems you've seen in your almost forty years of public |
| 01:124.47PM 5 | Mr. Ziler as an expert, but there may be some points where he's | ${ }^{01.45: 188 \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{5}$ | accounting? |
| ${ }^{01 / 42 / 253 P M} 6$ | talking about the opinions that he rendered on behalf of Arthur | 01:45:188M 6 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Objection; vague. |
| 01.42:577M 7 | Andersen at this time. | 01:452.1PM 7 | THE COURT: I'll allow it. |
| 001:3,301PM 8 | THE COURT: Let's see how the Q and A goes and deal | ${ }^{01.455238 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{8}$ | THE WITNESS: I have never encountered anything quite |
| ${ }^{011483.555 M} 9$ | with it question by question. | ${ }^{01.455300 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | like it. You know, the bureau was so decentralized. It had |
| 01743.3089 10 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: I appreciate the clarification, your | 01:453.889 10 | over a hundred operating locations with no consistency from one |
| 01743.388PM 11 | Honor. | 01:45:408PM 11 | location to the next and systems that were out of sync with each |
| 00173.888M 12 | MR. DORRIS: Thank you, your Honor. | 01:45:4.5PM 12 | other. The remote sites, the agency sites were basically |
| 01743:888M 13 | RAY ZILER, WITNESS FOR THE PLAI NTIFFS, SWORN | 01.455.50PM 13 | uncontrolled. |
| 01743.309\% 14 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 01:455.17PM 14 | BY MR. DORRIS: |
| 01743.09Pm 15 | BY MR. DORRIS: | 01:45:538M 15 | Q. Okay. Now, as a result of the work that Arthur Andersen |
| 01:43.309m 16 | Q. If you'd please state your name. | 01:460.1PM 16 | did, was it able to express an unqualified opinion regarding |
| 01.43:11PM 17 | A. Raymond Ziler. | 01:46.6.5PM 17 | BIA's financial statements of the IIM trust funds? |
| 00:43:318PM 18 | Q. Where do you live, Mr. Ziler? | 01:46:088 18 | A. No. |
| 01:439.4PM 19 | A. Albuquerque, New Mexico. | 01:46.098 19 | Q. And so when we talk about a qualified opinion, what does |
| 001433:77PM 20 | Q. Can you adjust the microphone to where -- | 01:46:77PM 20 | that mean? |
| 001:43208M 21 | A. That is better? | 01:46:188M 21 | A. The original undertaking was to audit both the tribal and |
| 00.43321PM 22 | Q. And you heard my brief description of your background. Was | ${ }_{0}^{01.46838 \mathrm{P}} 22$ | the IIM accounts, and they were separate. They were within one |
| 017:432989 23 | that accurate? | 01:46:288M 23 | financial statement, but they were separate trust funds, and |
| 01:433009 24 | A. It was accurate. | ${ }_{\text {01:46.30pm }} 24$ | they were -- the audit was for the entire trust assets and the |
| 00:73,3.17PM 25 | Q. Now, are you a C.P.A.? | 01:46.377M 25 | trust liability for each of those pools of funds, and so we |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter | with, we were unable to really establish that anything was correct other than certain assets that we could either confirm, like securities, or that we could observe them. We actually saw the documents, the original documents of a CD or something like that, so that was the only area where we were able to really 10 opine. The rest of the audit was qualified.

11 Q. When you say that was the only area you were able to opine,
12 was on the assets that you physically saw?
13 A. Yes.
14 Q. And --
15 A. And we could not, we could not give an opinion on the
16 treasury cash account either because we could not get a
17 confirmation from Treasury as to the balance.
18 Q. Okay. So there were certain of the assets that were you
19 not able to opine about?
20 A. Correct.
21 Q. Now, in each of the three audit years, did Arthur Andersen
22 provide a report on compliance and internal accounting controls?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. And did the BIA accept those findings and recommendations
25 in each of those years?
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter
Q. I want us to look at the first of these reports on internal controls, and we have marked it previously as Plaintiff's Exhibit 31. It's the report on compliance and internal controls for the year-ending September 30, 1988. This is the only time I'm faster than a computer your Honor. If you kind of blow up the heading there so Mr. Ziler can confirm that this is the document that I just referred to.
A. Correct.

10 Q. Mr. Ziler, if at any point I show you documents there on
11 the screen that are not large enough, tell us and we'll blow up
12 those sections, okay?
13 A. Okay.
14 Q. Now, if we might turn to page -- the document as it will be
15 submitted to the Court on electronic form has page numbers one
through the end branded in the bottom left-hand corner, as
17 you'll see here on page one, your Honor, and we'll turn to page
18 four of the exhibit as presented to the Court, please. And if

20 summary" and the date, and this is an executive summary that you
21 and others at Arthur Andersen prepared and dated March 23rd,
01:49.54PM 22 1989; is that correct?
01:49.55PM 23 A. Correct.
01:49:57PM 24 Q. I want to show you some highlighted language, if we can
01:49.59PM 25 bring that up here on page four of Exhibit 31. And we are now Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter
looking at the first full paragraph, about the second half of that paragraph. Do you see it, Mr. Ziler?
A. Yes.
Q. And it refers to that the audits were conducted with the
knowledge of both the bureau and of Arthur Andersen that
material weaknesses in internal accounting controls existed and
that it was likely that violations of certain regulations
applicable to the management of the trust firms had occurred and were continuing to occur, and if you'll read the rest of that to yourself for a moment.
A. Okay.
Q. And going into the audit, then what was Arthur Andersen's
understanding about the internal accounting controls at BIA?
A. They were very weak. I believe the purpose of the
financial statement audits were in line with discussions we had had with the bureau about when you have a problem with accounting records that's as long-standing as this had been, our suggestion was to try to get a snapshot on a current state of affairs and try to find out, you know, what the current conditions of the control environment is, try to plug those holes where weaknesses existed, focus on that first and then back on the historical data afterwards, because the idea was to try to bring the controls into a stronger environment in the current period.
Q. Now, were there instances where BIA's various accounting Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter
individual Indian money trust, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All my questions have to do with IIM, okay?
A. Understood.
Q. Now, let's look over at page five, the next page. And down toward the bottom of the page you'll see the heading Analyzed Amounts Recorded by the Bureau in the trust fund's financial reporting systems, and if you will take a moment and read the first approximately eight lines of that paragraph to yourself.
A. Okay.
Q. As used in this report where it talks about the bureau's finance system, what is that?
A. That's the equivalent of a general ledger and a corporation that would be the summary level in which account balances were kept, not to the individual beneficiary level, but just a summary account, financial accounting system.
Q. And do you see down a little bit, and I have it
highlighted, where it refers to then that the finance system is
not reconciled with some of the subsidiary systems, including
the Integrated Resource Management System, or IRMS?
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter

|  | 113 |  | 115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01.53.30PM 1 | A. Correct. | 01.56.52PM 1 | to the financial system. |
| 01.533.08M 2 | Q. Do you recall how out of balance those were during the | 01.56.54PM 2 | Q. Okay. Now, I want to ask you about some of the specific |
| ${ }^{0155333 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{3}$ | audit years that you were involved with? | ${ }^{01565.588 \mathrm{M}} \mathbf{3}$ | weaknesses or problems that are noted on this page, and let's |
| ${ }^{01553347 \mathrm{PM}} 4$ | A. First it should be understood that the reason they're out | ${ }_{0}^{01577.38 P M} 4$ | start with paragraph number two here on page six of Plaintiffs' |
| ${ }^{0153} 53.39 \mathrm{~m} ~ 5$ | of balance is they're input from different sources, so it's not | ${ }_{0}^{01577.88 P M} 5$ | Exhibit 31. If you would look at that. |
| ${ }^{01.533438 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{6}$ | an integrated system. That word, integrated, is a little bit | 01.57.4PM 6 | A. Yes. It's the one about the multiple accounting systems |
| 01.53.488M 7 | misleading because it was not integrated with the general ledger | 01.577.88M 7 | that had various data entry points that were not in agreement |
| ${ }^{01535350 \mathrm{PM}} 8$ | system, so they had different sources of input and there were | ${ }^{01557228 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{8}$ | with each other, nor was there really an attempt for the most |
| 9 | differences. I think the first year at the end of 1988 the two | ${ }_{0}^{01572727 \times M} 9$ | part to reconcile the systems, and as a matter of fact, at one |
| ${ }^{0.553599 \mathrm{M}} 10$ | systems were out of balance between \$2 million and \$3 million, | 0.57.32PM 10 | point we asked the Bureau of Management I think the first year |
| 01:54.028P 11 | closer to \$3 million, and then over time, I think in '89, that | 01.57377PM 11 | whether they'd be able to go back and compute the original \$3 |
| 01.54.10PM 12 | number grew to something like $\$ 11$ million, and by 1990 I think | 12 | million issue and they declined to attempt at that time. They |
| $00^{0.54477 \text { PM }} 13$ | the systems were out of balance between \$19 and \$20 million, so | ¢ 13 | said they didn't think it was possible. |
| ${ }_{0}^{01.542089} 14$ | the difference kept growing. | 0.577.45M 14 | Q. Well, this is the problem you alluded to earlier where you |
| $00^{0154228 \mathrm{PM}} 15$ | Q. Okay. And when we're talking about they're out of balance, | 01.577.88PM 15 | have multiple systems with multiple points of entry; is that |
| 01.54277PM 16 | we're talking about two separate systems, both of them BIA | 01.57.53PM 16 | correct? |
| 01.54.328M 17 | systems? | 01.57538M 17 | A. Correct. |
| 0.154 .338 PM 18 | A. Yes. In other words, if you added up all of the individual | 0.575 .54 PM 18 | Q. Item three, it refers in item three to accounting entries |
| 0.154.359M 19 | Indian monies accounts within the accounting system and ran a | ¢рм 19 | being posted on a decentralized basis without adequate review. |
| $00^{0.544 .41 \mathrm{PM}} 20$ | total of them, they would not agree to the general ledger | pom 20 | When you talk about decentralized basis, what did you mean? |
| $0_{01.54438 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{2 1}$ | balance. | 0.158.38PM 21 | A. An entry being made at an area office or at an agency |
| 01.54.44PM 22 | Q. Should those two totals agree? | ${ }_{0}^{01.58271 P M} 22$ | office that was not subjected to any form of supervisory review, |
| ¢ 23 | A. Correct, yes. | ${ }_{0}^{0.583 .317 \mathrm{PM}} 23$ | either in the field or the centralized location. |
| P 24 | Q. You just told us some amounts ranging from $\$ 2$ million to | \% 3 ¢ 24 | Q. And that is a problem? |
| ${ }^{0.5454 .51 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | \$19, million to \$20 million. Does that tell you what the true | ${ }^{01.58 .359 \mathrm{M}} 25$ | A. Well, yes. When information gets into a system there |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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| 00.544 .59 PM 1 | scope of the issue is? | 01.58:38PM 1 | should be a review process. The document theory support should |
| 01.54.558M 2 | A. No, because you don't know whether either one of them is | 2 | be reviewed just to make sure that there's evidence that it's an |
| 01.54.59PM 3 | correct. We were unable to establish that either balance was | ${ }_{0}^{01585852 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{3}$ | appropriate entry, and some of the entries being made did not |
| ${ }^{01.555 .77 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{4}$ | correct. | ${ }^{01.585 .58 P M} 4$ | necessarily make sense, nor could we tell why they had been made |
| ${ }^{01.55077 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | Q. Okay. Let's look, then, over at the next page at the top. | ${ }^{01599.688 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | or whether anyone had ever reviewed their accuracy. |
| ${ }^{01.55238 \mathrm{P}} \mathbf{6}$ | I don't have it highlighted here, but could you pull that up, | 01.59.998M 6 | Q. This comes up in a couple of these items. In some places |
| 01.55258M 7 | the first full paragraph, and highlight or blow it up for us? | 01.59.15PM 7 | in the report it talks about a lack of standardization -- |
| $\begin{array}{ll}0.553 .3 \mathrm{PM} & 8\end{array}$ | And Mr. Ziler, it says here that these errors and the | ${ }^{01.59,188 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{8}$ | A. Yes. |
| ${ }^{0.555388 \mathrm{P}} 9$ | related adjustments result from a wide variety of procedural | ${ }^{0.159 .188 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{9}$ | Q. -- between the various offices. Can you describe what you |
| ${ }_{0}^{01.554 .4 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{1 0}$ | weaknesses, some of which are material weaknesses in accounting | 01.5922PM 10 | found when Arthur Andersen began this audit in terms of any |
| 01.554.38M 11 | systems and internal control procedures utilized by the bureau, | 01.592689 11 | standardization between the various agencies and area offices? |
| $00^{0.555477 \mathrm{PM}} 12$ | and other problems such as inadequate training and supervision | 01.59.317M 12 | A. We didn't go to every single office. I think maybe around |
| ${ }_{0}^{01.5551 P M} 13$ | of personnel and personnel shortages. It goes on in the next | 01.59.359M 13 | somewhere around maybe a hundred plus, I believe we went to |
| $00^{0.555 .58 \mathrm{PM}} 14$ | sentence and says, Certain of these weaknesses are so pervasive | 01.59.388M 14 | maybe $\mathbf{2 5}$ agency offices and maybe half the area offices. |
| 01.56.00pm 15 | and fundamental as to render the accounting systems unreliable. | 01.59:45PM 15 | Q. And there were twelve area offices? |
| ${ }_{0}^{01.56 .585 M} 16$ | What do you mean by "unreliable"? | 01.594989 16 | A. Yes. And in that process we were looking for, you know, to |
| 0.156 .77 PM 17 | A. We concluded that the systems could not be relied upon to | 01.59.35PM 17 | try to develop a standard audit program. We said, well, this |
| 0.156:122M 18 | capture the transactions they were supposed to capture or the | 01.59.77PM 18 | should be a program that we could use to audit every agency or |
| $00^{0.56 .68 P M} 19$ | balances they were supposed to capture, and we knew of accounts | 02:00.01PM 19 | area office, and we went there. What we thought we understood |
| $00^{01.56238 \mathrm{P}} \mathbf{2 0}$ | that were incorrect just by the fact that the trust liability | 02000.058M 20 | going in and what we could test, we either found we couldn't |
| ${ }_{0}^{0156629 P M} 21$ | was a debit balance versus a credit balance, and the related | о2:00.999\% 21 | test it because the procedure didn't exist or the documentation |
| ${ }_{0}^{01.56 .328 \mathrm{PM}} 22$ | assets in some cases were credit balances rather than debit | 02:00.12PM 22 | didn't exist, or that's not what they were doing at that |
| ${ }_{0}^{01.56 .3889} 23$ | balances, which is impossible. We knew that there were missing | 0200:159M 23 | particular office, so the audit process broke down to a large |
| 01.56.40PM 24 | accounts. Just the fact that they did not balance with one | 0200:999\% 24 | extent because there was anything used as a preconceived notion |
| ${ }_{0}^{01.56 .488 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | another, a variety of issues that just did not lend credibility | 02:00255M 25 | about what to expect it did not exist. |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02006.5sm 1 | Q. And the first type of asset that's referred to there is | 02:10:419M 1 | I think there was a quantification of the lost interest up in |
| 02007.00PM 2 | cash, and would you take a moment and read that paragraph to | 02:10:468M 2 | the millions of dollars, and there was no -- so the treasury did |
| 02007.09PM 3 | yourself? | 02:10.52PM 3 | not know they had a deposit because they weren't told they had a |
| 0207:7.5PM 4 | A. Okay. | ${ }^{02} 21.0 .58 \mathrm{PM} \mathrm{M}$ | deposit. |
| ${ }^{020077309 M} 5$ | Q. Mr. Ziler, this is talking about treasury functioning as a |  | Q. Okay. Because of this is it possible for money to be |
| 02007.37> 6 | bank and disbursing agent for the bureau. Describe that for us. | 02:11:00PM 6 | deposited into treasury from the IIM trust but to never be |
| ¢0Р 7 | A. Okay. U.S. treasury was the bureau's checking account. It | 02:11:068M 7 | accounted for by BIA? |
| 02077.58M 8 | was where the bureau received deposits and the account from | ${ }^{02} 211.1088 \mathrm{P}$ | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Objection; calls for speculation. |
| ${ }^{02007.5589} 9$ | which it made disbursements, so all cash activity took place | 02:11:11PM 9 | He's a fact witness. We established that. |
| 02:08:00pm 10 | through treasury. The problem we had, as pointed out here, was | 02:11:27PM 10 | THE COURT: I'll sustain that. Can you give me a |
| 0208:0.7PM 11 | that initially going in we thought that the treasury was going | 02:11:238M 11 | better foundation for that? Is it possible for money to be |
| 02:0:70PM 12 | to be able to confirm the bank account like you would when you | 02:11:27PM 12 | deposited? |
| 0208:49PM 13 | get a monthly statement from your bank on your own personal | 02:11:288M 13 | MR. DORRIS: Okay. |
| 02:08:177M 14 | account. You'd have a balance and you can reconcile your cash | 02:11:288M 14 | BY MR. DORRIS: |
| 02:08.21PM 15 | account to it, but early into our work we came to the | О2:11:30Рм 15 | Q. As part of Arthur Andersen's internal control process are |
| 02:08277¢ 16 | realization that treasury said they would not be able to provide | 02:11:35PM 16 | checks of BIA's internal accounting controls. You've indicated |
| 02.08.29PM 17 | a confirmation of the account, nor did they maintain that | 02:11:408M 17 | that you communicated with treasury and grew to have an |
| 02.08.33PM 18 | information, nor had they previously maintained that | 02:11:45PM 18 | understanding of how treasury and BIA worked together with |
| 0208:3889 19 | information, so that is a severe constraint on the bureau's | 02:11:499M 19 | respect to what you've referred to here as essentially the |
| 02.08.4.5PM 20 | ability to determine that its cash balance was correct at any | - 20 | banking relationship? |
| ¢ 21 | point in time. | M 21 | A. Well, within my, you know, I don't have a good view behind |
| 02.08:4989 22 | And what's more, when we talked to people at treasury | ¢ 22 | the curtain of what goes on at treasury, but from my |
| 02.08:53PM 23 | we were informed that all they knew of was the information that | 02:12.05PM 23 | understanding the only record of deposits into the bureau |
| 0208:565M 24 | went in and out of the account, all the bureau's accounts, | 02:12:12PM 24 | account at treasury was from the bureau, and those -- the |
| 0209:00pM 25 | whether they were trust accounts or operating accounts or | 02:12:19PM 25 | treasury depended on the bureau to correctly code and enter that |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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| 0209:0.5PM 1 | whatever they were. Based on the coding that the bureau | 02:12:288M 1 | information to get it into the right accounts. If it was mis- |
| ${ }^{\text {0200.909PM }} \mathbf{2}$ | provided, and they did not independently check that information, |  | coded it could have gone into an account other than a trust |
| 0209:49PM 3 | so the treasury's information source even to confirm activity |  | account and, you know, that we know for one period of time I |
| 02:09:19PM 4 | back to us was based on information that was provided by the | 02:12:398M 4 | believe because the bureau found it itself. There was a |
| 02:0924PM 5 | bureau itself. The bureau could only reconcile with itself, not | 02:12:28PM 5 | substantial amount of money that was deposited with treasury and |
| 02:092889 6 | with any banker. | 02:12.488M 6 | the treasury did not know about it until the bureau said, oops, |
| 02009289 7 | Q. And could the bureau actually even reconcile within its own | 0212:538M 7 | we found it, so they happened to catch it in that instance. I |
| 0209:338M 8 | accounting systems? | ${ }^{02121.568 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{8}$ | would believe there's the potential certainly for it to happen |
| ${ }^{\text {020093apm }} 9$ | A. It's not much of a reconciliation because you have no | ${ }^{02} 1212.59 \mathrm{M} M$ | again. I can't say that it didn't. |
| 02:99377M 10 | independent source to verify with. | 02:13.02PM 10 | Q. As part of -- |
| 0209:42PM 11 | Q. Now, looking at the fourth line down over to the right, the | 02:13.338. 11 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Objection. We request that that |
| 0209:477M 12 | sentence begins: In addition to treasury's inability to provide | 02:13.055M 12 | answer be stricken. The question elicited nothing but hearsay |
| 0209:4989 13 | an independent verification of the cash balances held, there are | 02:13.09PM 13 | from the witness. |
| 0209.5.49M 14 | known instances where, one, the bureau has not provided proper | 02:13:10PM 14 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 02:10:00p 15 | notification to treasury of amounts deposited, and then it goes | 02:13.117pM 15 | BY MR. DORRIS: |
| 02:10.09PM 16 | on, and I'll come back there. But this first one tells us what | 02:13:13PM 16 | Q. Mr. Ziler, as part of the Arthur Andersen audit, was Arthur |
| 02:10:077 17 | you know about what's being referred to there as known instances | 02:13:19PM 17 | Andersen able to get independent verification from treasury that |
| 02:10:010pm 18 | where the bureau didn't provide notification to treasury of | 02:13:24PM 18 | would indicate what the full amount of the IIM trust funds had |
| 02:10:49PM 19 | deposits that had been made in treasury? | 02:13:289M 19 | been deposited into treasury? |
| 02:10:17PM 20 | A. Okay. One of the things we became aware of during the ' 88 | 02:13.32PM 20 | A. No. |
| 02:10:21PM 21 | audit, and then I think it was brought to our attention by the | 02:173389M 21 | Q. And then looking at the last sentence in this paragraph, it |
| 02:10:248 22 | bureau, was that they had not told treasury about deposits that | 02:13.49PM 22 | says because the treasury's inability to independently confirm |
| 02:10:2889 23 | had been made, I think it was in for half a year in 1986. And | 02:13.53PM 23 | balances and the bureau's history of known instances of |
| 02:10:3989 24 | so treasury had no record of those deposits, and they were | 02:13.568M 24 | accounting errors that resulted in overinvestment and |
| ${ }_{\text {02:10.38PM }} 25$ | supposed to be earning interest during that period of time, and | 02:4,4009 25 | underinvestment available cash balances, it was not possible to |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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|  | 125 |  | 127 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | verify cash balances. And is that what you described earlier, | 02:17.788M 1 | Q. Was this a concern to you and Arthur Andersen? |
| о8PM 2 | that you weren't able to verify certain assets, including the | 02:17.51PM 2 | A. Yeah. It's very rare to have this kind of a confirmation |
| ${ }^{\text {02:4,417PM }} \mathbf{3}$ | cash balances? | 02:17.75sm 3 | result. I audited a number of banks and on those banks we |
| ${ }^{\text {02: }}$ :1412PM 4 | A. Correct. That's what we've been talking about, yep. | ${ }^{02} 17.7$ F9PM 4 | rarely get back an exception on a confirmation other than |
| 02:4:1.15M 5 | Q. Now, if we'll look down the page at the next heading, and | 02.18.038M 5 | something that's a timing difference. A long draft was taken |
| 27ヶм 6 | two paragraphs under that. We probably can get them together. | 02:18.088M 6 | out at a point in time that created a reconciling difference, |
| 02:4.308M 7 | These address a confirmation process that you're talking about. | 02:18:12PM 7 | and in those cases we generally try to verify that they were |
| ¢88m | With respect to the IIM audit, would describe briefly what the | ${ }^{02} 181.16 \mathrm{PM} 8$ | just timing differences and thereby validate the confirmation |
| м 9 | results of the confirmation -- first of all, what the | ${ }^{\text {02:182:1PM }} 9$ | process. In these cases, just so many statements that they just |
| m 10 | confirmation process is. |  | didn't agree, or they could see the statement but the checks |
| spm 11 | A. Okay. Well, an audit, where possible, constitutes | 02:18.300 11 | that were shown that they were supposed to be receiving, they |
| ¢ 12 | confirming balances with third parties so that you have some | 12 | never received them, so we had a lot of exceptions that were |
| ssem 13 | evidence that there's a meeting of the mind between the parties, | 02:18:400 13 | very concerning and ultimately concluded that we could not give |
| 02:50.01PM 14 | either treasury or the account beneficiaries, so in connection | ${ }^{02} \mathbf{2 1 8 , 4 6 8 \mathrm { PM }} 14$ | any comfort that the individual IIM account balances were |
| 02:15.06P 15 | with the IIM audit, there being somewhere around 300,000 | 02.18.488Р 15 | accurate. |
| 02:57.11PM 16 | accounts, we didn't attempt to send what we call a positive | 02:18.50PM 16 | Q. As part of this report and the other reports that were done |
| 02:15:55m 17 | confirmation account holder, a positive account -- | 02.18.55PM 17 | in 19 -- for the year ending September 30, 1989 and 1990, did |
| 02:52.17m 18 | Q. A positive confirmation? | 02:19.02PM 18 | Arthur Andersen report on BIA's compliance with applicable |
| 02:1523PM 19 | A. Confirmation request consists of sending a balance or the | ттр 19 | regulations and codes? |
| ${ }^{02} \mathbf{2} 51588 \mathrm{~mm}$ | statement to the party with a letter requesting to confirm their | яврм 20 | A. Yes. |
| ${ }^{\text {02: } 1: 33 \mathrm{sPm}} \mathbf{2 1}$ | agreement back to us, and in that -- we call it a positive | врм 21 | Q. And in this document there are seventeen such noncompliance |
| 02:15377M 22 | confirmation because we're asking them to confirm the request, | 02:19:13PM 22 | items. I want to ask you to look at four of them very briefly, |
| 02:54.41PM 23 | whether they agree or disagree, and what we did, because there | 02:19:17PM 23 | three dealing with disbursements and one dealing with receipts. |
| ¢ 24 | were so many accounts, we picked only the accounts that were | 02:1921PM 24 | The first disbursement issue, let's look at page 22, which is |
| 02:1548PM 25 | over \$50,000 each, and there were, I don't know, some -- I'm | ${ }_{0} 0219.349 \mathrm{PM} 25$ | page 8 of the report on noncompliance, and do you see up at the |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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| ${ }^{02} \mathbf{2} 15.55 \mathrm{sm} 1$ | trying to remember the number, but it was somewhere around five | 02:19:408M 1 | top where it talks about lack of documentation for IIM |
| ${ }^{\text {02: } 1: 588 \mathrm{~Pa} \mathrm{M}} \mathbf{2}$ | hundred accounts that had balances of that size, so we sent | 02:19.45PM 2 | disbursements? |
| 1PM 3 | those confirmations out, and then to everyone else that received | 02:19.458M 3 | A. Yes. |
| овPM 4 | a statement, I believe at the time statements were being sent | ¢Pm 4 | Q. If you'll take a look at that first paragraph. |
| оярм 5 | out theoretically every six months. We had the I.T. group that | 02.79.49PM 5 | A. Okay. |
| 02:16:15PM 6 | was printing out the statements print a confirmation request on | 02:19.54PM 6 | Q. And what was the problem here? |
| 02:16:19PM 7 | the statement itself saying that if the beneficiary did not | 02:19.588M 7 | A. Well, there's certain documentation, as I mentioned |
| ${ }^{\text {02:16268M }} 8$ | agree with the balance to please contact Arthur Andersen, so we | ${ }^{0220.0009} 8$ | earlier, that's required for disbursement, just to demonstrate |
| З2PM 9 | sent both of those type of requests. | ${ }^{\text {0220.0.8PM }} 9$ | that it was for the legitimate purpose it was supposed to be |
| 349 10 | Q. Okay. At the second paragraph under this heading indicates | 0220.098 10 | for, and we in many instances or a certain number of instances, |
| 02:16:36M 11 | a significant portion of the confirmation requests were not | 0220:49PM 11 | we're citing here, I don't know how many that was, we're saying |
| 02:16:40Р 12 | returned to us, and in addition, the confirmation requests which | 0220:17PM 12 | that no such documentation existed. |
| 02:16:4PM 13 | were returned to us indicated both disagreements in certain | 0220:19PM 13 | Q. Okay. Let's look now toward the bottom of the page, and |
| 02:16:488PM 14 | instances and simply apply an inability to confirm cumulative | 0220.28PM 14 | that's dealing with IIM disbursements from minors' accounts made |
| 02:16.52PM 15 | balances and/or activity in many instances. Describe for us, if | ${ }^{02} 20.31 \mathrm{Pm} 15$ | to unauthorized persons, and if you'll look at that. |
| 02:77.008 16 | you can, summarize for us, what was your view as an experienced | ${ }_{\text {о2го.зтр }} 16$ | A. Okay. |
| 02:77.06M 17 | C.P.A. when these confirmation requests started coming in? | 0220.37> 17 | Q. This is a similar situation where disbursements were being |
| 02:77:10PM 18 | A. I guess I'd use the term "alarming." Out of, say, five | 0220:41PM 18 | made without the proper authorization forms being signed? |
| 02:77.168M 19 | hundred positive confirmations, we received responses that | 0220:47PM 19 | A. Yes. It's a little different situation. Here you have |
| 02:1722PM 20 | indicated agreement of only about seventy accounts, I think it | 0220.51PM 20 | children and someone who are beneficiaries, that someone in |
| 02:1727PM 21 | was, and in '88, in 1988, so that was like one out of every six | 0220.5spm 21 | their custody is supposed to be looking out for their best |
| 02:77.38PM 22 | or seven beneficiaries agreed with their account balance. The | 0221:009 22 | interest in making sure that they authorize the payments for the |
| 02:77378M 23 | rest of them either didn't respond or in many cases provided | 0221:04PM 23 | minor's benefit, and in a number of cases we saw disbursements |
| 02:77:38PM 24 | confirmations that said that they really didn't agree with what | 022:1:10PM 24 | coming out of these accounts that didn't have any identifiable |
|  | was on the statement. | 022:149PM 25 | relationship with the individual or their guardian. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 022:177PM 1 | Q. Okay. And so this is then where a disbursement was going | 0224.53PM 1 | more likely than not from what we saw the money was taken and |
| ${ }^{02} 22122 \mathrm{PM} \mathrm{C}$ | to someone that wasn't even on the account? | ${ }^{02} 24.457 \mathrm{PM} \mathbf{2}$ | charged to somebody's account or a special deposit account where |
| ${ }^{022212489} 3$ | A. There could have been a legitimate purpose, but we weren't | 0225.00PM 3 | it was really difficult, there was no one person that could say, |
| 02:21:28PM 4 | able to establish it. | ${ }^{022504989} 4$ | hey, where did the money go, because it was sort of a commingled |
| ${ }^{022212989} 5$ | Q. Okay. The last disbursement issue I want to ask you about | ${ }^{\text {0225.09PM }} 5$ | account, so we saw more of that than we did that we had any |
| ${ }^{02} 21: 138 \mathrm{PM} 6$ | in terms of noncompliance is over on page 26 , the bottom half of | ${ }^{02} 25.14 \mathrm{PM} 6$ | awareness of checks being taken and not being accounted for in |
| ${ }^{02} 21.137 \mathrm{PM} 7$ | that page. As it comes up you'll see that it has to do with | ${ }^{02} 25.18 \mathrm{BPM} 7$ | the system. |
| 02221:42PM 8 | inadequate safeguarding of treasury checks. Would you read that | ${ }^{\text {0225.19PM }} 8$ | Q. How many did you see? Was it ever processed? |
| ${ }^{02} 221.468 \mathrm{PM} 9$ | paragraph to yourself? | ${ }^{02} 25522 \mathrm{PM} 9$ | A. Some was. |
| 0221:788PM 10 | A. Okay. |  | Q. In what sorts of amounts? |
| sppm 11 | Q. This was talking about treasury checks at BIA. Can you | ${ }_{0} 025.24 \mathrm{PM} 11$ | A. I believe we are going to get -- |
| 0222.04PM 12 | explain how that happens? | ${ }_{02}$ 22528PM 12 | Q. Go ahead. |
| ${ }_{0} 0222.06 \mathrm{PM} 13$ | A. Well, I believe every area office and agency had some | ${ }_{\text {022527PM }} 13$ | A. -- into a discussion about that, but we had hearsay of a |
| 02:22:38PM 14 | ability to write checks on site using treasury check stock, and | ${ }_{0}^{025532 \mathrm{Pm}} 14$ | number of instances of fraud, but the only one that I had, have |
| ${ }_{\text {O222:19PM }} 15$ | they kept stacks of them sitting around. In some cases they | ${ }_{02} \mathbf{2} 2537 \mathrm{PM} 15$ | any documentation on, was in the first quarter in '91. The |
| 02:22:23PM 16 | were unprotected, and we apparently noticed instances when we | ${ }_{0} 02.25 .428 \mathrm{PM} 16$ | Crown Point area office had a complaint from an accountholder |
| 02223:309 17 | went to one agency office here that's cited where they opened | 9рм 17 | and we got engaged to go out and take a look to see what was |
| 0222:3889 18 | the vault, left it open. All the employees had the combination, | ${ }_{0} 0255.54 \mathrm{PM} 18$ | going on, and then that particular instance a lady -- there were |
| 02:22:41pm 19 | but they left the safe open all day long unguarded and | 0226.01pm 19 | about 13,000 accounts at the Crown Point agency, so that's a lot |
| 0222.458M 20 | unprotected so that check stock, you can just pick up a stack of | трм 20 | of IIM accounts. It's like four percent of the total, and there |
| ${ }_{\text {o222:49PM }} 21$ | it and take it. | ¢pm 21 | was apparently a lady there that had a lot of money flowing |
| ${ }^{02225.519 M} 22$ | Q. Okay. And were there other safeguards in place regarding | 22 | through her account, but she lived in California. She came out |
| 0222:577M 23 | the treasury checks? | 23 | to the reservation periodically to pick up her money, and a |
| pm 2 | A. It think part of the problem is if you think about your own | ¢рм 24 | worker there thought that -- as a matter of fact, when she saw |
| ${ }^{\text {0223.03PM }} 25$ | personal checking account you can say, well, last one I wrote | ${ }_{0}^{0226288 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | the volume of money going through this lady's account the lady |
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| 0223.07PM | was 5641, so darn, l forgot to enter it, so you'll go back and | $0^{02263089} 1$ | even commented to her that, geez, I'm getting killed on income |
| 02:23:13PM 2 | you'll look to see where it was and you try to keep track of | ${ }^{02} 26.3$ 3PM 2 | taxes for all this income I'm getting, and she says, I need a |
| ${ }^{02} 23.16 \mathrm{PM}$ 3 | them in sequence, and with a hundred different locations issuing | ${ }^{0226837 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{3}$ | charity, so this lady decided in her deposition as her -- it's |
| ${ }^{02} 23230 \mathrm{PM} 4$ | checks, keeping track of the check stock and the used checks in | M 4 | deposition, or what would you call that? |
| ${ }^{\text {0223227PM }} 5$ | sequence was a virtual impossibility. | ${ }^{02} 26.44 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | Q. You're correct. |
| 0223239PM 6 | Q. Okay. Now, let me ask you, I want to look at an instance | ${ }^{02} 26.488 \mathrm{PM} 6$ | A. Her interview. With the IG she said that she just thought |
| 02233:349 7 | of noncompliance that deals with -- | ${ }^{0226.519 P M} 7$ | she'd take it upon herself to be that charity, and in her |
| ${ }^{\text {0223.368 }} \mathbf{8}$ | THE COURT: Before you leave this check question, what | ${ }^{0226.568 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{8}$ | account and her boyfriend's account, who was also an area IIM |
| ${ }^{02} 23.411 \mathrm{P}^{2} 9$ | does the check thing have to do with the cash in this case? I | O227:01PM 9 | area manager, he was her live-in, she got pregnant, decided she |
| 02:23.44PM 10 | mean, if somebody took a check out of an unprotected safe, an | 0227.7.08M 10 | needed some money, they had a joint account and we saw something |
| ${ }^{0223.500 P M} 11$ | original office, and wrote a check to himself, would the money | 02277:0PM 11 | like 130, \$155,000 being deposited into her account using two |
| ${ }_{0} 023.544 \mathrm{PM} 12$ | come out of an IIM account or would it come out of the treasury | 0227720PM 12 | schemes, and her boyfriend, although they had a joint account, |
| ${ }_{0} 0223.588 \mathrm{PM} 13$ | general account? | 022724PM 13 | said he knew nothing about it. She justified it because she |
| ${ }_{\text {0223:59PM }} 14$ | THE WITNESS: It would come out of both because the | 0227728PM 14 | needed the money. Her parents needed money. She took a lot of |
| 0224:019 15 | check was on the treasury account but typically they were -- | 0227732PM 15 | money from that one account, so we had that one person that |
| 0224.0.08M 16 | there was -- there were carbon copies to the check, and those | ${ }_{0}^{\text {0227.38PM }} 16$ | actually confessed to part of that $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 5 , 0 0 0}$. During that same |
| ${ }^{02} 284.11 \mathrm{PM} 17$ | got submitted to the central office, so in the instances where | 0227741PM 17 | investigation we spent about seven hundred hours out there I |
| 02244689 18 | we'd seen the person didn't just walk off with the check and | 02277488M 18 | think. We just picked on accounts that had a thousand dollars |
| 02242009M 19 | there was no accounting for it. What we typically saw, we have | 0227.500PM 19 | or more going out of them at a time and certain accounts that |
| ${ }_{0} 022423 \mathrm{PM} 20$ | some instances of this where the individual that stole the money | 0227.749 20 | had maybe only five hundred going out, but in the course of a |
| 0224.3089 21 | dummied up the carbon copies, sent them in to the bureau, | 277.58M 21 | month maybe \$5,000 was taken out, so there would be like ten |
| ${ }_{\text {0224.35PM }} 22$ | because they weren't really probably that aware of how closely | ¢рм 22 | transactions totaling five thousand a month, so we looked at |
| 02244.41PM 23 | they were being watched. I'm not sure they were being watched | 28.06PM 23 | those, looked at patterns, found patterns. We knew that there |
| 022.4,43PM 24 | that closely, but I guess you're right, money could be taken and | ${ }_{0}^{0228.11 P^{\prime}} 24$ | were -- there was money being taken out to certain parties, but |
| ${ }_{0}^{0224.468 \mathrm{P}} 25$ | not accounted for at all, and that came out of treasury, but | ${ }^{02}$ 228:188M 25 | we could not get, at the time we performed the work we didn't |
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have subpoenas or the IG didn't have subpoenas for their bank account records so we couldn't look at the other side of it, but it was, I mean, there were hundreds of thousands of dollars that were problems at that one location.
Q. Thank you. In the one instance that you talked about, this
one employee, and I think you said about one hundred fifty-five
thousand, that she had a couple of different schemes, but did all of them involve access to treasury checks and writing treasury checks?
A. Yes. And, you know, then when we received the information, the documentation justifying those disbursements, they had disappeared, none of them had existed, and the only track we could get on them was to get cancelled checks from treasury and find out whose accounts that they were going to, so it was a very long process. It took a long time to do it, but the two mechanisms were just to write the check out to herself but turn in the carbon papers as though they were written out to the correct beneficiary. That was done. And then in another case they were made out to a vendor name that ultimately got deposited into her account, so two schemes.
Q. Let me ask you now to look at the bottom of page 25 of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 31. And this is the one item, a noncompliance dealing with receipts that I wanted to ask you about. It's titled Proper Recording and Safeguarding of Cash Receipts, and then you see it cites to a particular section. It Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter

02:30:11PM 1 talks about only authorized employees designated in writing by

21 Q. Okay. It also indicates here that, in addition, it was 22 noted that in certain instances cash receipts were kept in an 23 opened and unlocked safe. These practices are in violation of 24 the regulations referenced above. Is that an instance that was proper authority will receive, handle, or deposit monies.
A. Correct.
Q. And then if you'll go to the top of the next page, please, that first full paragraph. And it goes on to the quote from the manual, but it says employees in the realty division. If you'll
read that to yourself I want to ask some questions to you.
A. Okay.
Q. What's happening here and what's the problem with it?
A. Persons with no authority to receive money were receiving money, and then we saw steal deposit sitting around that had not been deposited for a long period of time, so two issues. One of them is because the segregation of duties. You would apparently -- the design of the system was to ensure that employees that shouldn't be making deposits if they had access to certain other information for segregation of duty purposes, if you had those people actually receiving money and then they weren't doing the job. They weren't depositing, at least for some period of time. Maybe they got ultimately deposited but they sat around for at least a long period of time. observed by Arthur Andersen?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, with respect to the items of internal control weaknesses, this report identifies 59 specific findings. I want to ask you briefly about three.
A. Okay.
Q. If we'll turn to page 47 of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 31. This
is where it talks about inability to reconcile cash, including balances of vested overnight in the U.S. Treasury.

MR. DORRIS: Your Honor, the computer went down and
he's rebooting so it may take just a moment.
BY MR. DORRIS:
Q. But I'll tell you what, maybe we can do it without looking
at it.
A. Okay.
Q. You've already described this issue?
A. Yes.
Q. And on page 47 where it talks about this inability of treasury and BI A to reconcile, it says this condition represents a material weakness in an internal control. You say this about a couple of them, but was this in Arthur Andersen's material weakness in connection with internal accounting controls?
A. Probably the largest concern before anything. you about has to do with the IIM accounts, and it begins on page 61 when our system comes back up, and it's talking about IIM
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
Official Court Reporter
reconciliations. And it talks about being IRMS and the finance
system being out of balance, and it states specific, we
recommend that IRMS be reconciled to the finance system with
emphasis placed on area and agency offices with large
discrepancies between the two systems. That was Arthur -- was
that Arthur Andersen's recommendation on that point?
A. It sounds like it from what you're saying. I don't have it
in front of me, but it sounds like something we would have said,
yes.
Q. All right. It goes on and states: We also understand that
management does not believe it will be possible to reconcile all
the differences which have accumulated over a period of several
years. The reference there to management, who is that referring
to?
A. Oh, I don't remember specifically who we would have
discussed this with, but I 'm sure we, as part of the audit
process, we requested that that differential be reconciled down
to as small a number as possible by the time the audit was over,
and it was not.
Q. Okay. Let's go to page 61 at the bottom. The third
specific finding on internal control issues deals with IIM
withdrawals. And here at the bottom of page 61 of Plaintiffs'
Exhibit 31 it says, A number of instances were noted in which
applications for withdrawal from IIM accounts were not signed by
either the accountholder or guardian. And then at the top of
mer
system being out of balance, and it states specific, we recommend that IRMS be reconciled to the finance system with emphasis placed on area and agency offices with large discrepancies between the two systems. That was Arthur -- was that Arthur Andersen's recommendation on that point?
A. It sounds like it from what you're saying. I don't have it in front of me, but it sounds like something we would have said, a. management does not believe it will be possible to reconcile all the differences which have accumulated over a period of several years. The reference there to management, who is that referring discussed this with, but I'm sure we, as part of the audit process, we requested that that differential be reconciled down to as small a number as possible by the time the audit was over, specific finding on internal control issues deals with IIM withdrawals. And here at the bottom of page 61 of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 31 it says, A number of instances were noted in which either the accountholder or guardian. And then at the top of Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter


|  | 141 |  | 143 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02:24:10PM 1 | the last audit probably in '91 and I continued on as managing | 02.45.59PM 1 | information, yes. |
| 02:24:777M 2 | partner of the office where the work was being performed on up | ${ }^{02} 2.45 .55 \mathrm{PM} \mathrm{C}$ | Q. Did you review it? |
| ${ }^{02} 2422$ 23PM 3 | to '99. At some point prior to '99 we stopped doing the work in | ${ }^{02} 4.45 .56 \mathrm{PM} 3$ | A. I skimmed it. |
|  | the office. | ${ }^{02} 2455.58 \mathrm{PM} 4$ | Q. For what purpose? |
|  | Q. I'm sorry. Some? | ${ }^{02455.59 P M} 5$ | A. Just to get a general sense of what the status of the |
| 02:422989 6 | A. At some point prior to '99 I don't believe we were doing | 02.46:09PM 6 | process was. |
| 02:24.338M 7 | any more work in the office on the IIM accounts. | ${ }^{02} 246.65 \mathrm{Sm} 7$ | Q. Now, you stated regarding the first audit for the period |
| 02:24.37M 8 | Q. At some point, Mr. Ziler, you became Andersen's assurance | ${ }^{0246822 P M} 8$ | ending September 30th, 1988 that you were requested to perform |
| 02:24:4989 9 | and business advisory services head, the head of Andersen's | ${ }^{02468288 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | that audit by the BIA, correct? |
| 02:42:5spm 10 | assurance and business advisory services prior to 1994? | ${ }_{0} 02.46 .31 \mathrm{PM} 10$ | A. Correct. |
| 02:43:32PM 11 | A. When I came to the Albuquerque office from the L.A. office | 46:317M 11 | Q. And in fact, I believe you testified that the BIA was aware |
| 02:43.068 12 | that was what I became. That would have been like in 1984, so I | ${ }_{\text {024.8.39PM }} 12$ | of its weaknesses, correct? |
| 02:43:38PM 13 | ran the audit and business advisory services practice out of the | ${ }_{0}^{02.468419} 13$ | A. Correct. |
| 02:23:19PM 14 | Albuquerque office for those years until I became managing | ${ }^{02} 246411 \mathrm{PM} 14$ | Q. And did the BIA make it aware to you that they wanted to |
| 02:43:38PM 15 | partner probably in '91, '92; something like that. | ${ }_{\text {02: } 26.488 \mathrm{PM}} 15$ | correct those weaknesses? |
| 02:4327PM 16 | Q. Okay. And when you became managing partner did you have | ${ }_{0} 0246.477 \times \mathrm{M}$ | A. Well, I believe the whole process was designed to help |
| 02:43.317PM 17 | any direct involvement in the IIM trust accounts? | грм 17 | guard their weaknesses and get a better inventory of them. I'm |
| 02:43.35PM 18 | A. Not as the point person, but probably had some continuing | ¢м 18 | not sure they were fully known, so I think the audit process |
| s9pm 19 | general awareness of what was going on. | 02477.03PM 19 | illuminated some things. |
| 02:43:4,1/PM 20 | Q. Continuing what? | 02:77.a4P 20 | Q. Do you have any firsthand knowledge as to what BIA and the |
| 02:43:428PM 21 | A. General awareness of what was going on. Other partners in | 02:77:88PM 21 | Department of the Interior in general did subsequent to 1990 to |
| 02:43:4689 22 | the office were working on it. | 02477:12PM 22 | improve those processes? |
| 02:434747M 23 | Q. But you did not perform any work related to those accounts? | ${ }_{0}^{02477138 \mathrm{M}} \mathbf{2 3}$ | A. I haven't kept track of that. |
| ¢орм 24 | A. Not directly. | ${ }_{0}^{02477716 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{2 4}$ | Q. Have you ever seen or heard of the record depository in |
| 02:37.51PM 25 | Q. So it's fair to say that you did not do any work on these | ${ }_{0}^{0247724 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | Lenexa, Kansas that houses documents? |
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| 02:43:589M 1 | accounts prior to 1994? | ${ }^{02477288 \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{1}$ | A. Something I saw referred to that. |
| 02:4.400PM 2 | A. Subsequent to '94 or? |  | Q. But that's the extent of your knowledge? |
| 02:4.4.03PM 3 | Q. Prior to 1994, subsequent to 1994. | ${ }^{02477.35 \mathrm{~Pa}} \mathrm{3}$ | A. Yes. |
| ттр 4 | A. No. | 02.477.35M 4 | Q. So your testimony today is limited to what Arthur Andersen |
| \%орм 5 | Q. When was the last time you saw Plaintiffs' Exhibits 31 | 02477399M 5 | saw or reported up to 1999 -- I'm sorry. Up to September 1990? |
| 02:44.35PM 6 | through I believe it's 35 prior to preparing for this trial? | 02.47747PM 6 | A. Correct. |
| 02:44:4089 7 | A. Were those the confirmations? | 02:77.50PM 7 | Q. For these audits what role did you personally play in |
| 02:44.438M 8 | Q. I'm sorry? | ${ }^{02477.59 P M} 8$ | performance of the audit? |
| ¢рм 9 | A. Were those the confirmation exhibits? I don't know what | ${ }^{02.48 .017 P M} 9$ | A. Well, I was the engagement partner, so I oversaw it, I |
| 02:44477PM 10 | they are. | 02.48.0.8pM 10 | coordinated it, I reviewed the work papers, I conferred with |
| 02:444778M 11 | Q. Those were all the documents you just reviewed with Mr. | 02:88:10pm 11 | other concurring partners. I talked with all of our key staff |
| 02:44.50PM 12 | Dorris. When was the last time you had seen those before you | 02.48:1.5PM 12 | members on the team and interfaced with people at the bureau. |
| 02:44.53PM 13 | prepared for your testimony today? | 02:48222PM 13 | Q. In your role as engagement partner did you ever review any |
| 02:4.4.59PM 14 | A. Oh, probably yesterday. | 02:48828PM 14 | of the confirmation letters? |
| 02:44.55PM 15 | Q. Okay. And before that? |  | A. Yes. |
| 02:44.588M 16 | A. Some of the documents were sent to me a few weeks ago. | 02:48.30РМ 16 | Q. Now, as a result of Andersen's audit work it was not your |
| 0244.506M 17 | Q. Did you ask to see any documents? | 02490.1PM 17 | conclusion that no audit or reconciliation could be performed |
| 02:4,509PM 18 | A. Well, I was asked if I would provide some testimony on what | 02490.5sm 18 | for all of the different types of IIM accounts, isn't that |
| 02:45:188M 19 | we saw during our audits, and the law firm sent me a set of | 02:49:14PM 19 | correct? |
| 02:4524PM 20 | documents that they had for me to kind of review and refresh my | 02:99:14PM 20 | A. Well, we were asked by Congressman Synard at one time to |
| ${ }_{0}^{0245 / 5288 \mathrm{M}} 21$ | memory. | 02499:99PM 21 | give him an estimation of what we thought could be done and |
| ${ }^{02} 245829 \mathrm{PM} 22$ | Q. In preparing to testify today, Mr. Ziler, did you see any | 1922PM 22 | could not be done. |
| ${ }_{0}^{02,45.498 \mathrm{PM}} 23$ | of the exhibits or review any of the transcripts from the | ${ }_{0}^{02,49238 \mathrm{P}} \mathbf{2 3}$ | Q. And do you recall telling the Congressman that you, Arthur |
| ${ }_{0}^{02.45 .488 \mathrm{P}} \mathbf{2 4}$ | October 2007 trial in this case? | ${ }^{02} 279.308 \mathrm{PM} 24$ | Andersen, believed that judgment accounts could possibly be |
| ${ }_{02} 024.50 \mathrm{PM} 25$ | A. I believe I did receive it. I did receive that | 02.49.359M 25 | reconciled and audited? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0249937PM 1 | A. Correct. | 02.52.58PM 1 | Whether or not all trust accounts are audited and reconciled, we |
| ${ }^{\text {02, } 29.37 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{2}$ | Q. And that's because in that time frame there was enough | 02:53.02PM 2 | believe that unidentified and unallocated balances exceeding a |
| ${ }^{02,494.41 P M} 3$ | information and paperwork for judgment accounts, correct? | 0253.07PM 3 | specific dollar amount should be analyzed to the extent |
| 02:9994P㐌 4 | A. Well, there were a couple of factors. A judgment account | 0253:09PM 4 | reasonably possible in significant accounts for which account- |
| 02.499.49PM 5 | usually doesn't have a long history, so it has a defined start | 02.53:3PM 5 | holders indicate disagreement or misunderstanding should be |
| ${ }^{0249.549 \mathrm{PM}} 6$ | date that's within the recent enough past to where, you know, | 02.53:15PM 6 | analyzed and researched and discussed with the beneficiaries. |
| ${ }^{\text {0250.0.1PM }} 7$ | the trust period is over a limited number of years versus | 0253:188M 7 | Do you see that? |
| ${ }^{\text {O250.08PM }} 8$ | decades or centuries. So that was one factor. And another |  | A. Yes. |
| 0250:138. 9 | factor was judgment accounts are usually from a single source | 0253:19PM 9 | Q. Do you have any knowledge today whether in fact that work |
| 02:50:15PM 10 | that makes the -- | 02558238. 10 | has been done? |
| 02:50:188M 11 | Q. I'm sorry. I could not hear you. | 0253.24PM 11 | A. Well, this was written in 1989, and I believe before the |
| 0250:99PM 12 | A. Judgment accounts are usually from a single source so that | 0253.317M 12 | end of the '90 audit, somewhere along that timeline, some of the |
| 02.50:23PM 13 | the money, you can kind of anticipate what should be going into | 02.53.37PM 13 | unallocated balances that had been hung up in the special |
| ${ }_{\text {0250.288 }} 14$ | the account. It makes it easier to tackle, and it did cover a | 02:53.41PM 14 | deposit accounts for years were analyzed and to the extent |
| 0250.3289 15 | fairly large number of dollars, so we did recommend that this be | 0253:45PM 15 | possible the bureau made an effort to distribute some of those |
| 0250.3889 16 | undertaken. I don't know what subsequently happened, if that | 02.53.488. 16 | funds, so to some extent I knew that part of the problem had |
| 0250:41PM 17 | was done or not. | 0253.55PM 17 | been resolved prior to the completion of our three-year |
| 0250:42PM 18 | Q. Do you recall in your communication with the Congressman | 02.54.00PM 18 | engagement. After that I lost track on it. |
| 0250:488 19 | that you also suggested that, although there were issues | 0254.02PM 19 | Q. Okay. And for the record, this is an October 2nd, 1989 |
| 0250.54pm 20 | regarding the documentation, that nonjudgment award account- | 02.54:77pM 20 | letter from Arthur Andersen to representative Mike Cynar, |
| 025.100PM 21 | holders should not be ignored? | - 21 | Chairman of Environment, Energy and Natural Resource |
| 025.1022PM 22 | A. Oh, I'm sure we did say that. | 02:54.2PM 22 | Subcommittee. |
| ${ }_{\text {025:108PM }} 23$ | Q. And do you recall suggesting that account balances | 02.54.23PM 23 | You testified, Mr. Ziler, regarding the confirmation |
| 025.1:4apm 24 | exceeding a specific dollar amount should be analyzed to the | 02:54.48PM 24 | requests. |
| ${ }_{\text {O25:188P }} 25$ | extent reasonably possible? | 0254.488M 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 025:1:19PM 1 | A. I imagine. I don't remember that specifically, but that | 0254.488M 1 | Q. Correct? |
| ${ }^{02551238 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{2}$ | seems like a suggestion we would have made. Going after the | 02.54.49PM 2 | A. Um-hmm. |
| ${ }^{\text {025:129PM }} 3$ | large dollars first would make the most sense, yes. | 0254, 50PM 3 | Q. And I apologize if I don't get this correct, but you called |
| ${ }^{025.51317 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{4}$ | Q. Would it help your recollection if I showed you the letter | ${ }^{025.54 .58 \mathrm{PM}} 4$ | them positive confirmations, right? |
| ${ }^{025.51349} 5$ | I'm referring to? | 02.55.00PM 5 | A. Some of them were positives and some we called negative |
| ${ }_{\text {025.1.359 }} 6$ | A. That would be fine. | 02.55.04PM 6 | confirmations. The negative confirmation is when a request is |
| ${ }^{\text {025.1.37PM }} 7$ | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Your Honor, may I approach the | 02.55.10PM 7 | printed on the statement asking the beneficiary if they didn't |
| ${ }^{\text {025.1.38PM }} 8$ | witness? | 02.55.4PM 8 | agree with the account to please contact us. |
| ${ }^{025.51388 \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{P}$ | THE COURT: You may. | ${ }^{20.55 .188 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{M}$ | Q. Ask the beneficiary if they did not agree to please contact |
| 025:1408PM 10 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Your Honor, I was going to pass out | 02.55.30PM 10 | Arthur Andersen? |
| 02520.389 11 | hard copies the old-fashioned way, but I believe we also have | 02.55.317M 11 | A. Right. |
| o2:52.08P 12 | this on the monitors | 02.55.3PM 12 | Q. Okay. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 35 has I think Mr. Dorris said a |
| 0252.08PM 13 | THE COURT: Let's hear it for monitors. The whole | 02.55.39PM 13 | dozen confirmation letters. Which type are these? I note that |
| 0252:10pm 14 | idea of the monitors is to save all that walking. You'll have | 02.55.45PM 14 | there's a paragraph that reads: If you do not return this page |
| 0252:47pm 15 | to get your exercise some other way. | 02.55.488M 15 | to the auditors they will consider this statement to be correct. |
| 02.52:19PM 16 | THE WITNESS: Thanks. | 02:55.53PM 16 | A. That was still a positive confirmation. |
| 025522089 17 | BY MR. KIRSCHMAN: | 02:55.55PM 17 | Q. That's a positive one? |
| 02522389 18 | Q. Mr. Ziler, I'll turn your attention to page five of the | 02.55.78PM 18 | A. Yes. |
| 0252288PM 19 | letter. | 02.55.58PM 19 | Q. Now, how many positive confirmation letters went out? |
| ${ }_{02525288 \mathrm{P}} 20$ | A. Okay. | 0256.05PM 20 | A. I'm going by vague memory, but it varied probably by year. |
| ${ }_{0} 0252289 \mathrm{M}$ 21 | Q. And refer you to the second paragraph on that page. And it | 02.56:11pm 21 | We did it every year I believe, but I believe what we did is we |
| ${ }_{0}^{02525408 \mathrm{P}} 22$ | is a letter that was signed by you; is that correct? | ${ }^{02556: 6 \mathrm{Pm}} 22$ | sent positive confirmations to accountholders with balances over |
| 0252:489 23 | A. I'm sure it is, yes. | 0256:208m 23 | fifty thousand, and I believe that at least in one year I just |
| ${ }_{\text {02-52:48PM }} 24$ | Q. In that paragraph you state: We do not believe, however, | 0256:30PM 24 | remember seeing some of our documentation, the number was |
| 0252.5spm 25 | that nonjudgment award accountholders should be ignored. | 0256.33PM 25 | somewhere around five hundred accounts. |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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issue here in this hearing. The first goes to a very fundamental part of our case, which is that money can be in the treasury without being accounted for by BIA and that there are no reconciliation processes that go on between the two of them. For example, there is the instance that he testified about where money came in to treasury, was not -- was deposited into treasury from IIM funds and was not accounted for by BIA and that treasury didn't even know that had to do with the IIM trust, so that's point number one.

The second point is that -- well, really three points.
The second --
THE COURT: How am I supposed to make use of that? What we have on that point is one man's recollection of what happened twenty years ago. We've got no documentation, we've got no quantification. What am I supposed to do with it? Remember we're trying to put a number together here. How does it help me put a number together?

MR. DORRIS: Well, first of all, that it's not just that one incident that's important. What's also important is that you have -- we will be looking at BIA records, and essentially treasury was their bank, and there's no reconciliation between BIA records and what cash was actually deposited in the treasury throughout the course of that this time, so that doesn't help you actually quantify the number, but it is an underlying premise for part of what we hope to prove

> Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter

19 satisfactory for them to make the burden that they will have in
20 this case. However, from the Plaintiffs' standpoint we can 21 essentially use that information from their own systems as an 22 admission by them of at least at a minimum of what is there so 23 it puts into context the evidence that will have to do with the 24 quantification. I admit it does not have direct bearing on 25 how -- on what numbers you add up in a column to get to that Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter

## think plaintiffs' counsel has it backwards but they have to

 present a case and that has not been done yet.THE COURT: All right.
MR. KIRSCHMAN: Regarding the government's burden,

THE COURT: Okay. Look, there are only two people in
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR Official Court Reporter
quantification, but we hope that it puts some of the numbers you'll hear into the proper context and will be important for you we believe as you begin to try to judge the burdens and who has met their burden and who has not

THE COURT: All right.
Do you want to add or subtract anything from that, Mr.

## Kirschman?

MR. KIRSCHMAN: Well, your Honor, I would just note that I only objected once but it was to a line of questioning that we did not believe were relevant that was relevant to this trial. So we did not see the relevance of Mr. Ziler's testimony. The basis of the cross-examination once you allowed the testimony was to demonstrate that he was testifying from a twenty-year old memory and that his knowledge was limited to events well before the passage of the '94 act, and that to the extent he spoke with specificity he was doing so based on a document that plaintiffs had sent him which they had in their possession to help establish I guess one singular case of fraud, so that was the purpose of the cross, and we agree, we do not
this room whose continued presence is mandatory, and I'm one of them and the court reporter is the other one, and till and unless the court reporter or myself think it's time for a break, we're just going to keep plugging right along.

You've got the right report?
MR. HARPER: I'm fine.
THE COURT: You're fine. Let's go. Call your next
witness.
MR. HARPER: Good afternoon, sir.
Plaintiffs call Ms. Mona Infield.
THE COURT: Ms. Infield, we haven't used this device for some time. Do you know if it works?

MS. INFIELD: I brought my cane with me today, your Honor, so l'll be fine.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. I can't
tell you how much money we spent for those lifts, and I don't
think they've been used more than twice in the whole courthouse in two years, and I'm not sure they work, to be honest with you.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you should give to the Court in this case now on trial should be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

MS. INFIELD: I do.
COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
MONA INFIELD, WITNESS FOR THE PLAINTIFF, SWORN

|  | 161 |  | 163 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:16:34PM 1 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 03:19.28PM 1 | invested and subsequently disbursed to the annuitants, Osage |
| 03:16.34PM 2 | BY MR. HARPER: | 03:79.9889 2 | estate, and there are -- at the Osage allotment there were $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 9}$ |
|  | Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Infield. | 03:19:438M 3 | allotted Osages that received their surface ownership of land |
| 03:16.388M 4 | A. Good afternoon. | 03:19.988PM 4 | and their one head right share of the mineral estate. |
| 03:16.39PM 5 | Q. Could you please state your name and spell your last name | 03.19.5.2PM 5 | Q. And when you say the annuitants, are you familiar with the |
| 42 M \% | for the record? | 03.19.588M 6 | term the Osage Annuity Account? |
| 42PM 7 | A. Mona B. Infield, I-n-fi-i-e-I-d. | ${ }^{0320.011 P M} 7$ | A. Yes. That's generally how the treasury account that all |
| А8Рм 8 | Q. And could you tell me where you reside? | ¢ 8 | these funds are collected into as they come in or is referred |
| ${ }^{03316.52 P M} 9$ | A. I reside in Chorales, New Mexico, just outside of | ${ }^{\text {03200.09PM }} 9$ | to. |
| 03:16.59Pm 10 | Albuquerque. | 0320:10PM 10 | Q. And the annuitants in that annuity account, who are they? |
| 03:16.59Pm 11 | Q. Where are you currently employed? | 03:20:49PM 11 | A. The annuitants are the shareholders, the people who own the |
| 03:17.00PM 12 | A. I'm currently with the Bureau of I ndian Affairs at the | ${ }_{\text {0320:18PM }} 12$ | head right shares. |
| 03.17.0.68M 13 | Albuquerque data center in the Office of Operations. | оз:20:999 13 | Q. Are they exclusively individual Indians? |
| 03:17:70PM 14 | Q. Have you been -- how long have you been a government | ${ }_{0} 0320228 \mathrm{PM} 14$ | A. Well, no. Mostly individual Indians. You do have some |
| SPM 15 | employee? | 2889 15 | nonl ndians, you have some charity organizations, some faith- |
| 03.77:1.5PM 16 | A. I started my government career 26 years and one week ago | оом 16 | based organizations that have inherited shares of the head right |
| 03.17:78PM 17 | today. | зрм 17 | over the years. |
| 03:177:18PM 18 | Q. And I just would like to start by outlining a little bit of | ${ }_{0} 0320.35 \mathrm{Pm} 18$ | Q. And what was your personal involvement in the management of |
| 03:1724PM 19 | your experience with the government for the Court. Could you | 0320.399\% 19 | the Osage annuity account? |
| 03:172.29m 20 | tell me what your first position was and where it was at? | ${ }_{0} 0320.41 P^{\prime} 20$ | A. Well, I worked closely with the people that were |
| 03:17.329M 21 | A. My first position was as a clerk-typist with the appraisals | 03.20.45PM 21 | distributing the money to the annuitants at each quarter. |
| 03.177.389\% 22 | office in the Osage agency in Pahos, Oklahoma. I also did some | M 22 | Quarterly payments are made from that annuity account to those |
| gem 23 | clerk work for the adult vocational education program and the | 23 | head right shareholders. Now, realizing an allotment time they |
| M 24 | Social Services program at that time. I then moved into the | 24 | were allotted 2,229 shares each to an individual Osage Indian on |
| 03.77.519M 25 | realty shop as a realty clerk. And then I left government | 0322:1.5sM 25 | the role at that time. When I went to work for the agency in |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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| SPM 1 | service and went to work for the Osage tribe on a 638 contract | 0321:108PM 1 | 1982 there had been some error made over the years in estate |
| Sspem 2 | where they were performing minerals function for the Bureau of | ${ }^{03} \mathbf{0 2 2 1 : 4 7 P M} 2$ | distributions and so we were not distributing a full $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 9}$ |
| ${ }^{03} \mathbf{0 3 1 8 : 0 9 4 P M} 3$ | Indian Affairs. I worked as a clerk in the minerals office and | ${ }_{0} 0321: 198 \mathrm{M} M$ | shares. We were distributing $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 8 . 9 7 3 9 3}$ shares, and that is |
| 03:18.077M 4 | then became the senior systems operator for the computer systems |  | today still the number of shares that are distributed on a |
| 03:18.12PM 5 | on that $\mathbf{6 3 8}$ contract at Osage. | ${ }^{03} \mathbf{3 2 1 / 3 1 7 \mathrm { PM }} 5$ | quarterly basis. |
| 03:38:189 6 | Q. When you say the "minerals office," could you explain | ${ }^{03221328 \mathrm{PM}} 6$ | Q. So that fraction of a share, do you know what happened to |
| 03:8.8.9PM 7 | exactly what the minerals office did? | ${ }_{0} 0321: 349 \mathrm{P} \quad 7$ | that fraction of a share? |
| ${ }^{033182229 \mathrm{M}} \mathbf{8}$ | A. Well, Osage is a little different from the rest of the | ${ }^{032213498} 8$ | A. We have -- nobody knows. Nobody has ever taken the time to |
| 18259 9 | Bureau of Indiana Affairs in that not only does the agency take | ${ }_{\text {03221:39PM }} 9$ | go back to discover when it was lost or how it was lost. |
| 03:18289Pm 10 | care of the leasing activities for minerals production but they | 0322:417PM 10 | Q. We'll get further into specific Osage issues in a moment, |
| 03:183.3PM 11 | also take care of the oil, gas, accounting, which in the rest of | ${ }_{0} 0321.488 \mathrm{PM} 11$ | but I do want to continue down with your work history before we |
| ${ }_{0}^{03,183.39 \mathrm{~mm}} 12$ | the bureaus is handled by the minerals service, and they also | ${ }_{0} 0321: 158 \mathrm{PM} 12$ | get into the specifics. Could you tell me at what point did you |
| 03:18842PM 13 | handle the field work, which for the rest of them is handled by | ${ }_{0} 0321.5$ SfPM 13 | leave the Osage agency office? |
| 03:388477M 14 | the Osage agency, is fairly self-contained as far as their oil | ${ }_{0} 0321.588 \mathrm{M} / 14$ | A. I worked for the tribe on the $\mathbf{6 3 8}$ contract until October of |
| 03:18.509m 15 | and gas operation is concerned. They do not use minerals | ${ }_{0} 0322.11 \mathrm{PM} 15$ | 1987, at which time I came back into the bureau in Muskogee, |
| 03:18.549PM 16 | management purposes for accounting purposes. They do not use | 03:22:128M 16 | Oklahoma, and the Muskogee area office was what today they term |
| 03:18.588M 17 | BLM for field purposes. | 0322:168M 17 | as a regional office, and it encompassed the oversight for the |
| ${ }^{03} \mathbf{0 3 8 1 8 . 5 9 \mathrm { m }} 18$ | Q. When you say the minerals, what minerals are you talking | 0322221PM 18 | Miami agency, the Osage agency, and those agencies for the five |
| 03.18.59Pm 19 | about? | 032222889 19 | civilized tribes. |
| 03:39.922m 20 | A. Oil and gas and some hard rock minerals, sand, gravel; you | ${ }_{0} 032227$ PM 20 | Q. And what was your position at the Muskogee area office? |
| 03:19.07PM 21 | know, things like that. | ${ }_{\text {03223989 }} \mathbf{2 1}$ | A. I was a computer specialist, and actually I was the only |
| 03:39.0.7PM 22 | Q. And who do these -- what happens with the proceeds from | ${ }_{0} 0322398922$ | computer specialist in the Muskogee area office at the time. We |
| 03:19:138M 23 | these minerals? | ${ }_{03} 0322411 \mathrm{PM} 23$ | had an information management center that provided some services |
| 03:99.44PM 24 | A. The share that belongs to the shareholders is collected by | ${ }_{0}^{03224.48 \mathrm{PM}} 24$ | out of the Anadarko area office, and that's where they ran the |
| 03:1924PM 25 | the agency and forwarded to a treasury account where it's | ${ }_{0}^{0322.519 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | IRMS system for Anadarko and Muskogee was in the Anadarko |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0322.57 PM | information management center. | 03268:18PM | THE COURT: All right, go ahead. |
| ${ }_{0}^{03} 32.577 \mathrm{MM} 2$ | Q. For the court reporter I'm going to restate, you mentioned |  | MR. HARPER: Thank you. |
| 0323.300PM 3 | an acronym, and that's IRMS, correct? | ${ }^{03,26: 1889} \quad 3$ | BY MR. HARPER: |
| ${ }_{0}^{0323.309 P M} 4$ | A. The integrated records management system. | 03326.20PM | Q. We'll talk more about the IRMS system and some of the |
| ${ }^{03223.07 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | Q. And what is IRMS and how is IRMS related to individual | 03.26828 PM | importance for this particular trial, Ms. Infield, but moving |
| 0323:35PM 6 | Indian trusts? | ${ }^{03} 268289 \mathrm{PM} 6$ | from the Muskogee area office, what was your next position at |
| 0323:177PM 7 | A. Well, at its conception IRMS was a set of subsystems that | ${ }^{03268349 \mathrm{~Pa}} 7$ | the Department of Interior? |
| ${ }_{0}^{0323224 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{8}$ | were interrelated by ID number where you would have the account, | 8 | A. In August of 1991 I took a position in the data center in |
| ${ }_{0}^{0323278 M} 9$ | the individual Indian money account for an individual. You | 9 | Albuquerque, New Mexico as a programmer. |
| ${ }_{0323,328 \mathrm{PM}} 10$ | would have their people record and the people subsystem which | ззр 10 | Q. And what is the data center? |
| ${ }_{0}^{0323377 \mathrm{PM}} 11$ | would show their heritage and their blood quantum and their | - 11 | A. The data center at that time was where we housed all of the |
| ${ }_{0}^{0323,411 \mathrm{PM}} 12$ | decadency and their education and other things about their | 26.51PM 12 | computer systems used nationwide by the Bureau of Indian Affairs |
| ${ }_{0}^{0323,468 \mathrm{~m}} 13$ | background and demographics, and you would also have ownership | трм 13 | with the exception of those IRMS and auto systems. They were |
| ${ }_{0}^{0323,498 \mathrm{M}} 14$ | records that showed by that ID number what piece of -- which | 0327:72PM 14 | out of six information management systems at the time. Those |
| ${ }_{0} 323.54 \mathrm{Pm} 15$ | trust property was owned by that person, and those ownership | явм 15 | I RMS or information management centers, those systems were |
| ${ }_{0} 023.5 .58 \mathrm{Pm} 16$ | records were also retrievable by track number so that you could | м 16 | brought into the data center in the '90s so that now it's all |
| 0324.038M 17 | get to a specific piece of land, and then you have the lease | ¢ 17 | operated centrally in a data center. |
| 0324.068M 18 | subsystem which held all of the lease instruments that had been | ррм 18 | Q. And what additional positions have you had since that time |
| 1pm 19 | approved and were being operated under for trust income to be | ггр 19 | in Albuquerque? |
| трм 20 | received on those -- on the trust property. | ззр 20 | A. I was first -- my first job was as a programmer for the |
| орм 21 | Q. And we'll get a little bit more into the IRMS system after | трм 21 | royalty distribution and reporting system. I was then the team |
| ${ }_{0}^{0324248 \mathrm{P}} 22$ | we get through your history here and experience. | Рм 22 | leader for the IRMS programmers. I became a section chief for |
| - 23 | As a computer specialist at the Muskogee area office, | spm 23 | data management and scheduling. I was acting as the branch |
| ${ }_{0}^{0324.317 \mathrm{PM}} 24$ | did you then become familiar with the integrated resource | рм 24 | chief for the applications support branch, which was all of the |
| ${ }_{0}^{0324.37 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | management system? | ${ }_{0} 0327747 \mathrm{PM} 25$ | programmers for all of the applications used. |
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| 0324.438M | A. I actually got my first training in I RMS when I was at | PM | Q. And in the mid-1990s when there started to be the Office of |
| ${ }_{0}^{0324.428 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{2}$ | Osage, and in probably late ' 82 or early ' 83 because no one in | 2 | Special Trustee offices was created pursuant to the '94 act, did |
| ${ }^{0324.50 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{3}$ | the Muskogee regional area was using IRMS at the time and | 3 | you have any particular role with respect to the OST and the |
|  | computers were fairly new to the bureau. As a matter of fact, | spm 4 | reform effort? |
| ${ }^{0324.458 \mathrm{M}} 5$ | the personal computers were pretty much nonexistent at that | ¢PM | A. I took a detail and then applied for a permanent position |
| 03225.0.17M 6 | point in time so we used -- we were trained to use IRMS, and it |  | in the Office of Trust Funds Management. About the same time or |
| ${ }^{0325.5068 M} 7$ | was such an antiquated system at that time that we didn't even |  | maybe right before I was removed from the Bureau of I ndiana |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325: 510 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{8}$ | have data entry screens. We would take information from, for | ${ }^{03} \mathbf{3 8} 8$ :99PM 8 | Affairs and put in the Office of the Special Trustee. |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325.449 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{9}$ | instance, an IIM jacket folder and put the information off of | 22PM 9 | Q. Were you involved in any projects that allowed you to |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325.188 \mathrm{M}} \mathbf{1 0}$ | that on to a code sheet, and then you would take the code sheet | ${ }_{0}$ 0328317PM $^{10}$ | review the systems and procedures used within the Bureau of |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325238 \mathrm{PM}} 11$ | to the computer and sit down and in code an 80-character stream | ${ }_{0} \mathbf{3 2 8 8 3 7 \text { PM }} 11$ | Indiana Affairs for the management of trust assets and funds? |
| ${ }_{0}^{03252888 \mathrm{M}} 12$ | of letters and/ or numbers across the screen and that's how you |  | A. I was in charge of the Whereabouts Unknown project where we |
| ${ }_{0} 0325.50 \mathrm{Pm} 13$ | got data into the system. | ${ }_{0} 0388.488 \mathrm{PM} 13$ | tried to locate accountholders that we did not have a good |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325.3 .4 P M} 14$ | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Your Honor, continuing objection to | ${ }_{\text {03288.50PM }} 14$ | address for. I also worked on several IIM reform projects, or |
| ${ }_{0} 02.25 .36 \mathrm{~mm} 15$ | the relevance of this testimony, and we'd also point out that | ${ }_{0} 0328.57 \mathrm{PM} 15$ | trust reform projects as they later became known. I was also |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325.541 / \mathrm{PM}} 16$ | this is very much repetitive of testimony Ms. Infield supplied | 0329.01pm 16 | the coordinator for the federal tribal task force that went |
| 0325.477PM 17 | this Court in October of last year. | 0329.0.5PM 17 | around the country and took -- did tribal and individual |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325.498 \mathrm{M}} 18$ | THE COURT: Yes. I'm looking at my notes of her | 0329.098 18 | consultation on the problems with the trust systems and we |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325.52 P M} 19$ | testimony when she was here before me, and I really don't have | 0329:15PM 19 | gathered all the problems together. The task force came back |
| ${ }_{0}^{0325.568 \mathrm{~m}} 20$ | to have that repeated, but I'll allow some leeway here, Mr. | ${ }_{0} 0329.188 \mathrm{M} / 20$ | together. We prioritized the problems and that subsequently |
| 03226.00PM 21 | Harper. | 2гPM 21 | became the basis for Paul Homeman's first strategic plan for |
| ${ }_{0}^{0326.602 P M} 22$ | MR. HARPER: Thank you, your Honor. I won't spend too | ${ }_{0} 0329288 \mathrm{P}$ 22 | Office of the Special Trustee. |
| 0328.0.9PM 23 | much time on the background. I think the basis of some of the | ${ }_{0} 0329289 \mathrm{PM} 23$ | Q. I'd like to step back now and discuss one of your earlier |
| ${ }_{0}^{0326.077 \mathrm{PM}} 24$ | more substantive questions, I wanted to give the Court a little | ${ }_{0} 0329.3$ з\% 24 | experiences, and you mentioned your experience at the Osage, and |
| ${ }_{0} 0326.12 \mathrm{PM} 25$ | sense of some of the experiences Ms. Infield has had. |  | talk a little bit about the Osage annuity account. From where |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{0} 0329482 \mathrm{Mm} 1$ | were those funds derived that were placed in the Osage annuity | ${ }^{\text {0332388PM }} 1$ | Q. Ms. Infield, just a final question on that. Would it |
| ${ }_{0} 03294488 \mathrm{PM} 2$ | account? | ${ }_{\text {03, } 322411 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{2}$ | surprise you to hear that there is an assertion that those are |
| ${ }_{0} 0329488 \mathrm{PM} 3$ | A. Those were royalties on oil and gas production. Sand, | ${ }_{0} 03.32477 \mathrm{PM} 3$ | actually tribal trust funds? |
| ${ }_{\text {o3299.52PM }} 4$ | gravel, limestone, salt water disposal easements and things like | 03:32249PM 4 | MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Same objection; relevance. |
| ${ }_{0} 0329.558 \mathrm{M} \quad 5$ | that for the mineral estate. | ${ }_{0} 03.32 .51 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| ${ }_{0} 0329.588 \mathrm{M} \quad 6$ | Q. And you said at one point you were working for BIA and then | ${ }_{0} 0332528 \mathrm{PM} 6$ | THE WITNESS: Yes, it would be very surprising to me |
| 03:30.01pm 7 | you were also working for the tribe under a 638 contract? | 03:325499 7 | to hear that those were actually tribal trust funds. |
| оз30.0.68 8 | A. Yes. | 03.32257PM 8 | BY MR. HARPER: |
| оззз.0.8рм 9 | Q. In both those roles you had you worked with -- | ${ }_{\text {03,330.1pm }} 9$ | Q. I'd like to now turn your attention to some of the efforts |
| 03:30:128M 10 | A. I worked on the annuity system, yes. | озз3,94p 10 | that you made when you were working on trust reform projects and |
| 03:30:49PM 11 | Q. The funds in the annuity system, did you at the time -- who | 03:33:11pm 11 | some of your observations there. Did you have any involvement |
| 03:30:99PM 12 | did you think those funds belonged to? | 03,33:1689 12 | in the Tiger Team report? |
| 0330221PM 13 | A. The annuitants, the head right shareholders. | 03,33:1889 13 | A. I did. I was a member of the Tiger Team, yes. |
| 03302249 14 | Q. And who were they? | 03,33249 14 | MR. HARPER: And, your Honor, we have marked for |
| ${ }_{\text {03:30258M }} 15$ | A. They belonged to the head right shareholders at allotment | озз3з27¢ 15 | Plaintiffs' Exhibit 30 the Tiger Team report. |
| 03:302899 16 | time. | 03:3327PM 16 | BY MR. HARPER: |
| 03:302989 17 | Q. When you described earlier is mostly individual Indians? | оззззззР 17 | Q. And I just want to show you a couple of items on the second |
| 0330032PM 18 | A. Yes. | озззззтр 18 | page of the report. You can see that, Ms. Infield, that that is |
| озззоззр 19 | Q. At the time did you ever hear anybody suggest that those | озз3з428. 19 | the executive summary. If we can go down to the section called |
| оззоозэ9 20 | funds belonged actually to the tribe by and large? | 03:33:49PM 20 | Problems in the third heading. So you were involved in the |
| 03:30:41PM 21 | A. No. No, and that's the general understanding, and the | ${ }_{\text {03,33:58PM }} 21$ | investigations and the evaluation that led to these conclusions, |
| оз30:477>M 22 | culture at Osage was that those funds belonged to the head right | 03.34.01PM 22 | is that true? |
| ${ }_{0} 0330.51 \mathrm{PM} 23$ | shareholders and the counsel was allowed to use a certain | 03:34.028M 23 | A. That's correct. |
| ${ }_{\text {0330.5spm }} 24$ | percentage of the interest earned on those funds to operate the | ${ }_{\text {03, } 34.028 \mathrm{P}} 24$ | Q. I'd like to look at two of them. Number one, excuse me. |
| ${ }_{\text {033:000PM }} 25$ | minerals counsel from, but other than that, the shareholders | ${ }_{\text {03, } 34.10 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | I'm sorry. Number two. Data records are outdated and/or |
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| 03:31:04PM 1 | were the owners of the money. | 03:34.15PM 1 | inaccurate? |
| 03:3:108PM 2 | Q. Did the tribe have any head rights of their own? | ${ }_{\text {03, }}$ 034,15PM 2 | A. That's correct. |
| ${ }^{\text {03, } 31: 111 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{3}$ | A. They have a few, I think. They did have then or a piece of | ${ }_{\text {03, 334.15PM }} 3$ | Q. And did you see that as isolated problems with the systems |
| ${ }_{\text {03, }}{ }^{1717 \text { 17PM }} 4$ | one or a piece of two. A handful maybe. | ${ }_{0} 03.3421 \mathrm{PM} \quad 4$ | related to individual Indian monies or -- |
| ${ }_{\text {03, }}{ }^{\text {031:199\% }} 5$ | Q. And you said that they also had in addition to that the | ${ }_{0} 03.3424 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | A. No. At this point in time we had been trying to combine |
| оз:312289 6 | ability to use a certain percentage of the earnings? |  | all of the work that was being done at the information |
| 03:3127PM 7 | A. The interest earned on those deposits, yes. | ${ }^{\text {03, } 3.3 .17 \mathrm{PM}} 7$ | management centers across the country into one operational IRMS |
|  | Q. And do you know about what percent that was? |  | system, and what we discovered was IRMS was a different system |
| ${ }_{\text {03:313289 }} 9$ | A. Ithink it was somewhere around one-and-a-half percent. | ${ }_{\text {03, } 34400 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | and each one of those management centers where it was being |
| ${ }_{0}$ 03.31.358. 10 | Q. And other than those two, the few head rights that they | 03:3448РМ 10 | operated because different programmers worked on it and |
| 03:3:1400 11 | have out the 2,229 and the one-and-a-half percent they could | 03:344689 11 | different areas and agencies used codes in different ways and |
|  | utilize from the earnings, was the tribe able to spend any of | ${ }_{0} 03.4 .50 \mathrm{PM} 12$ | coded data in different manners and it was very difficult for us |
| ${ }_{0} 03.31519 \mathrm{PM} 13$ | the other monies? | ${ }_{\text {03, }}$ 4.538M 13 | to reconcile those differences on the programming side to make |
| ${ }_{\text {03:31.52PM }} 14$ | A. No. | 03344.57PM 14 | one IRMS system out of six. |
| ${ }_{\text {03:31:53PM }} 15$ | Q. And was there ever a suggestion by any tribal officials | 03.35.01pm 15 | Q. And you had mentioned earlier that there was at least at |
| 03.3.157PM 16 | that they were -- they had the right to spend any of those | 03.35.94PM 16 | some point a requirement to have a code sheet that you laid down |
| оз32200p 17 | monies? | 03355.7pM 17 | a series of numbers, and were there any problems that could |
| 03:32.01pm 18 | A. Not in my tenure there, no. | 03:35:128M 18 | occur because of that? |
| 03.322.38¢ 19 | Q. And did you ever hear anybody suggest that the tribe could | ${ }_{\text {03,35:13PM }} 19$ | A. Well, yeah. I mean, you could have transposed data coming |
| 03:32.77¢ 20 | spend such funds? | ${ }_{\text {03, 35:188M }} 20$ | from the hard copy record to the code sheet. You could have |
| ${ }_{\text {03,32:08PM }} 21$ | A. No, I did not. | ${ }_{03} 03521 \mathrm{PM} \mathbf{2 1}$ | made a human error. If you were the person who was checking the |
| ${ }_{\text {03, } 32100 \mathrm{PM}} 22$ | MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Objection, your Honor. It calls for | ${ }_{0} 03.3527 \mathrm{PM} 22$ | in-coding you could have missed a coding that had been made, so |
| 03:32:128M 23 | hearsay and really is irrelevant. | ${ }_{\text {03,35317PM }} 23$ | human error was possible in any one of those steps. |
| ${ }_{\text {03, } 32: 49 \mathrm{Pm}} 24$ | THE COURT: Sustained. | 03:35349 24 | Q. When you worked on the Tiger Team and when it says |
| ${ }_{\text {03, } 322 \text { :5PM }} 25$ | BY MR. HARPER: | ${ }_{\text {03, } 3537 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | inaccuracies, was that true for the integrated resource |
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${ }_{0}$ 0.42:158M 1 Q. And sometimes information was changed. Would it be true that information was changed to match the paper records?
A. Yes.

MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Objection; leading. THE COURT: Sustained.

14 Q. Were there ever occasions where there were names that were 15 in error?
16 A. Yes, there were, because sometimes you would have a
17 different name on the system than what you could even find in
18 the paper records because sometimes people were married or 19 divorced and last names changed and their name would be different in the paper records than what was on the system, and there were some of those even that we couldn't resolve at all because we just didn't have the documentation to support the current name in the system.
Q. How significant of a problem was the lack of documentation?
A. Well, there were missing documentation in a good number of
Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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03:33:43PM 1 the files that we looked at. And it was important enough that
there was a missing document or a document retrieval subproject that had been started later on in the trust reform effort.
Q. Based on your Tiger Team report experience and your experience with the high-level implementation plan data clean-up subproject, would you say in general that the information that
is reasonable to rely on is the information disbursement
information in particular on the integrated resource management system?
A. They didn't look at the disbursement information in my

12 effort, but given what I know about the nonsegregation of duties I wouldn't call the data in the system reliable at all.

13 Q. Ms. Infield, you talked a little bit about your experience
14 with the various computer systems at the department and I want to talk a little bit about that and the trustworthiness of those systems. Do you have experience with whether and to what extent 17 those systems have been documented and determined to be 18 trustworthy?
A. If we're talking about the trust systems in general, I do

20 have 26 years of experience working mostly with the trust systems. I did some administrative system work over the years but most of it was trust, and in my current position I am the director of the division of disaster recovery, so one of the
24 things that we have to do is make sure that we can reconstitute
25 and operate a system should something happen to the major data Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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center that now resides in Herndon, Virginia, so our alternate
site is Albuquerque, New Mexico. If something happened here we would have to bring systems up in Albuquerque. In order to do
that we need to have the current documentation for that system.
We need to have the current run sheets and operational
procedures for those systems.
MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Objection. We've had a 59-day trial
in 2005 dealing with this very same issue and it's just not
relevant to the issues.

THE COURT: I'm having a little trouble with it myself, but I'll let Mr. Harper continue.

MR. HARPER: Thank you, your Honor. I mean, I can make a proffer on it.

THE COURT: I just don't know where it's all going. I mean, the Plaintiffs' case so far is you can't trust anything the government is going to put in. That's basically what your case is

MR. HARPER: Well, your Honor, we do believe that it is a relevant consideration that the information on IRMS in particular is in our estimation, based on the evidence that Ms. Infield and others will be providing, is unreliable.

THE COURT: I know, but where does that take us? We need a number at the end of this case. How are we going to get it? Are you going to ask me to add the twenty percent that she thinks it was off?

Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR
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MR. HARPER: No, your Honor. What we're attempting to demonstrate, if I may, is that we are making what we believe is a reasonable approximation. The government's counter, as we understand it, is that disbursement information that they intend to bring up counters that, and that there were --

THE COURT: I'm going to hear a number from you at some point in this trial?

MR. HARPER: Yes. We hope to provide you a series of numbers that we hope will satisfy your Honor.

THE COURT: That's a reasonable approximation?
MR. HARPER: We believe both to the number and to my

THE COURT: This from the people who thought that statistical accounting was an absolute no-no, but you're going to give me a reasonable approximation?

MR. HARPER: Well, if I may take that on for a minute, your Honor, because I do believe that the burdens are different between the beneficiary and the trustee as we saw and have repeatedly cited to your Honor. It is axiomatic that all inferences are against the trustee and for the beneficiary where there are uncertainties, and so our burden to establish a reasonable approximation is certainly not equivalent to the government's burden of establishing these balances with specific evidence of those disbursements, and so we think because the burdens are different ones would establish the prima fascia
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{0} 03.488419 \mathrm{PM} 1$ | indication of reasonable approximation. It is then on the | 0355:142PM 1 | Q. I'd like to bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 24. Ms. Infield, |
| 03:488:38PM 2 | government to establish why with specific evidence why that is | 03.55.5.59M 2 | as you can see, this is an e-mail from Yolanda -- a person named |
| 03,488.88PM 3 | not so. | 03:52.0.1PM 3 | Yolanda Montoya on November 16, 2006, to you. Do you recognize |
| 03.488.49PM 4 | THE COURT: All right. | ${ }^{03} 58.2068 \mathrm{M} \quad 4$ | this document? |
| ${ }_{0} 03.48 .50 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | MR. HARPER: Your Honor -- | ${ }_{0}^{0352.206 P M} 5$ | A. Yes. |
| 03.48.500PM 6 | THE COURT: Ms. Infield came all the way up here from | 03552.077M 6 | Q. And could you tell the Court what this document is? |
| 03.48.5.5sm 7 | Albuquerque to testify. I'm going to let her finish testifying, | 03:52.09PM 7 | A. Well, it's an e-mail from Yolanda Montoya, who works for |
| ${ }_{0} 03.48 .57 \mathrm{PM} 8$ | but let's make it as clear as we can, Mr. Harper, because | ${ }_{0}^{0352: 149} \times 8$ | the Office of Trust Records, sending me a copy of a spreadsheet |
| ${ }_{\text {03, 49000pm }} 9$ | frankly the thrust of what she has to say she has said twice | ${ }_{0}^{03,52: 188 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{9}$ | that identifies all of our systems that have an approved record |
| оз,490989 10 | before. | 03:52222PM 10 | schedule by NARA, the schedules that are being drafted and then |
| оз,490989 11 | MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I think the material in Osage | 03:52.259M 11 | the schedules that need to be drafted yet, so we have a whole |
| 03:499:12PM 12 | we think was different and went to one of the issues that your | $0_{03.52288 \mathrm{M}} 12$ | list of our systems and where they are at in the record schedule |
| 03:39:17PM 13 | Honor put in his order and I'm hoping to get into some | ${ }_{03585238 \mathrm{PM}} 13$ | process. |
| 03,49208PM 14 | information that I hope would be helpful to the Court. | ${ }_{0} 0352.338 \mathrm{M}$ 14 | Q. If we can bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 25. And is this the |
| 03:492389 15 | THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thank you. | 03:52.438. 15 | schedule that you're talking about? |
| 03:492489 16 | BY MR. HARPER: | 03:52.4.45M 16 | A. Yes. That's the spreadsheet that was attached to that |
| 03:492:5PM 17 | Q. Ms. Infield, if I can bring up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 22. Ms. | 03:52:488M 17 | e-mail showing me which record schedules for which systems have |
| озз493989 18 | Infield, this is part -- I'm sorry. 23. Do you recognize this | 03:52.549M 18 | been drafted, developed, and approved by NARA and ready for |
| 03:499.52PM 19 | part of the code of federal regulations? | ¢spm 19 | implementation. |
| -54PM 20 | A. This appears to be $\mathbf{3 6}$ CFR part 1234 dealing with electronic | 09353:00pm 20 | Q. Now, have these actually been implemented? |
| 03:50.02PM 21 | recordkeeping. | 09353:33PM 21 | A. No, they have not been implemented at this time. |
| 03:50.asp $^{22}$ | Q. And are you familiar with this regulation? | 03:53.05PM 22 | Q. So the process required under the regulations has not come |
| 03.50.08PM 23 | A. Yes, I am. There are certain requirements that the | 03:53.09PM 23 | to completion? |
| 03:50:10pm 24 | government has to meet in order to preserve and protect records, | ${ }_{0}^{0353: 30 P M} 24$ | A. Has not been completed, that's correct. |
| ${ }_{\text {03, } 50: 16 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | ral records, and in -- | 0353:12PM 25 | Q. I mean, as of today had they been completed? |
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| 03:50:99PM 1 | MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Objection; legal opinion being | 03:53.15PM 1 | A. No, they have not. |
| 035.021PM 2 | rendered here be the witness. The regulation speaks for itself. | 03:53.16PM 2 | Q. And so as you sit here today, I mean, do you know whether |
| ${ }_{0} 03.502$ 2SM 3 | . HARPER: She's just testifying |  | or not the process by which trustworthiness of the systems has |
| 03:502889 4 | THE COURT: I'll allow it. | ${ }_{0} 03.53 .37 \mathrm{PM} 4$ | been determined has been fully implemented today? |
| 03:50289PM 5 | MR. HARPER: -- as to her understanding. | 03.53.41PM 5 | A. No, it has not. The record schedules have not been |
| 03.50.309 6 | THE COURT: Overruled. | 03:53.44PM 6 | implemented, and one of the problems that $I$ see with that is |
| 03:50.32PM 7 | THE WITNESS: And actually, in my job description I am | ${ }_{0}^{03,53,488 \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{7}$ | that the record schedules as they have been approved by NARA |
| 03:50.349 8 | required to understand these regulations and apply them | ${ }_{0}^{03535353 P M} 8$ | require us to keep for permanent retention all trust records and |
| ${ }_{0}^{0350.37 \mathrm{PM}} 9$ | accordingly to my operation, so one of the things that we're | ${ }_{0}^{03535.56 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{M}$ | all of the IRMS modules and any trust system. That's how the |
| 03:50:438M 10 | required to do is protect and preserve records based on a | ${ }_{0} 0354.00 \mathrm{PM} 10$ | schedules were drafted and approved by NARA, and since they have |
| 03:50:47PM 11 | federal -- on an approved federal records schedule, electronic | 0354.098 11 | not been implemented to this day we are still destroying trust |
| ${ }_{\text {03 }}^{0.50 .52 P M} 12$ | records schedule, for any system. And that's what these, that's | 0354.4.88M 12 | records on a daily basis because the schedules, we're not |
| 03.50.588M 13 | what this particular chapter of the CFR is about, is how to use | 0354.1389 13 | preserving them as we're required to by the schedules. |
| 035:101PM 14 | those electronic records and how to safeguard them and what they | ${ }_{0} 0354.15 \mathrm{FP}$ 14 | Q. These are the electronic records that are being destroyed? |
| 03.5.:08¢ 15 | can be used for. | 03.54.188M 15 | A. That's correct. |
| 03:51:07pM 16 | BY MR. HARPER: | 0354421/PM 16 | MR. HARPER: Can I have a moment, your Honor? |
| 035.1:07p 17 | Q. And as you mentioned, one of the requirements is that you | 03.54.25PM 17 | (There was a pause in the proceedings.) |
| 035.5:117PM 18 | document the NARA process? | 0354.328M 18 | MR. HARPER: Thank you, Ms. Infield. I think counsel |
| 03.51:17PM 19 | A. Yes. | 0354.3389 19 | for the government will have a few questions. |
| 03.51:17PM 20 | Q. And has that been done? | 03.54.358M 20 | Thank you, your Honor. |
| 03.512009 21 | A. Yes. In portions it has for some of the trust systems, | 0354.437M 21 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 03.5.1.25M 22 | yes. Electronic record schedules have been created by the | 03.54.388M 22 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 03.5.12989 23 | Office of Trust Records and they had been approved by NARA and | 03:54.3889 23 | BY MR. STEMPLEWICZ: |
| ${ }_{03,5137 \mathrm{PM}} 24$ | they have been submitted to the Bureau of Indian Affairs for | [47PM 24 | Q. Good afternoon. |
| 03:51:400 25 | implementation back in 2004, I believe. | 035.447PM 25 | A. Good afternoon. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{00354.488 \mathrm{M}} 1$ | THE COURT: I think it goes without saying, counsel, | 03.57742PM 1 | Q. And with associations? |
| 003.4.50PM 2 | but it is not necessary to engage in lengthy cross-examination | 03.57.4.58M 2 | A. Y |
| 4.54PM 3 | of that which you claim is irrelevant. | 035.7.45PM 3 | Q. Do you remember which associations? |
| 03.54.57PM 4 | MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Well, if it had been admitted, your | 03.57.4.5PM | A. The Navajo Shivakay (ph) Association, and the Anadarko. |
| 5500PM 5 | Honor, I have to deal with it, I suppose | 0357.5.5PM | There is an oil and gas allottee association out of Anadarko and |
|  | BY MR. STEMPLEWICZ | 035.7.568M 6 | had members from each one of those associations were part of |
| 035.5.48M 7 | Q. In terms of this 20 percent error rate | 03:7.7.5PM 7 | at federal tribal task force |
| 00.55.07PM 8 | THE COURT: Hint, hint | 03:58.02PM 8 | Q. Were there any from any other parts of the country as well? |
| ${ }^{\text {03555.9PM }} 9$ | MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Yes, your Honor | ${ }_{0} 0358.04 \mathrm{Pm} 9$ | A. Not that I can recall. |
| 03.55:17pM 10 | THE COURT: Go ahead. | 03.58.0.88M 10 | Q. What do these associations to your understanding do for |
| ${ }_{0}^{0355.12 \mathrm{PM}} 1$ | BY MR. STEMPLEWICZ | о3.58.98pm 11 | their members? |
| 03:55:12PM 12 | Q. I do have a few questions just for clarification, Ms. | 0358.099m 12 | A. Well, they tend to have a common goal in that they try to |
| 03955:689M 13 | Infield. In terms of the 20 percent error rate you talked about | ${ }_{0} 0358: 4 \mathrm{Pm} 13$ | keep track of what is produced on their property as far as oil |
| 03, 55.20PM 14 | in the data clean-up, you mentioned that some of these errors | 03:58:199 14 | d gas is concerned and try to follow the collection of that |
|  | were date of birth, names were wrong because marriages had taken | 03:5822PM 15 | money and make -- they're trying to make sure that they actually |
|  | place, divorces and that sort of thing. Were those the majority | ${ }_{03588288 \mathrm{PM}} 16$ | receive their money. |
| $\text { 03.55:33PM } 17$ | of errors or do you have any kind of a breakdown or what those | 03588279 17 | Q. And there have been some lawsuits where the associations |
| 03:55,37M | errors are? | 03:58.30pm 18 | have sued the United States? |
| 0355.388M 19 | A. I don't have a breakdown of what each category of the | 03:58.31PM 19 | A. Yes. |
| 03.554.41PM 20 <br> 0354.25 M 21 | errors were, | ${ }_{03}^{0358.317 \mathrm{PM}} 20$ | Q. Do you know of anywhere they've sued third parties like oil |
|  | Q. Were any of them financial errors? | 21 | refineries and that sort of thing? |
| 03,55.45PM 2 | A. We did not look at the financial transactions. | ${ }_{0}^{0358.3889} 22$ | A. I'm not sure about that. |
|  | Q. Okay. Now, you testified in October about what you said | 03:58.389 23 | MR. STEMPLEWICZ: No further questions, your Honor. |
| $\begin{gathered} 0.5 .5600 \mathrm{PM} \\ \mathbf{2} \\ 03.56 .05 \mathrm{PM} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{gathered}$ | today. You referred I think to your work with OST and Mr. | оз:58.39¢ 24 | THE COURT: Thank you. There's nothing further for |
|  | Homan? | ${ }_{03,58.45 \mathrm{PM}} 25$ | Ms. Infield. |
| Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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| 03, 56:059M 1 | A. Um-hmm. | ${ }_{0} 03.58 .488 \mathrm{PM} 1$ | Thank you, ma'am. You're excused. You may step down. |
| 03566.68PM | Q. And I recall you saying something back then about meetings |  | (Witness excused at about the 3:59 p.m. |
| 03.66.11PM | that you had with allottees and allottees associations and | ${ }_{0} 04.00258 \mathrm{PM} \quad 3$ | MR. LEVITAS: Plaintiffs call Dr. James Miller |
| 03.566 .77 PM <br>  <br>  | feedback that this project was trying to obtain from the | ${ }_{0}^{04000258 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{4}$ | MR. KIRSCHMAN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to |
| ${ }_{0} 0356623 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | individual Indians and tribes, and I think you noted that the | ${ }_{0} 0400027 \mathrm{PM} 5$ | this. I believe they're out of order |
| 6627PM 6 | biggest concern for all the allottees that I heard all over the | 04:002899 6 | THE COURT: Out of order? |
| ${ }^{03565328 \mathrm{M}}$ | country was I don't know what's in my account. When I get a | 04:00308PM 7 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: As far as no witness order. We were |
| 0356537PM | check in the mail I don't know what that money is from. Do you | 04:00:389 8 | told that there would be three witnesses today and three |
| ${ }^{03} 50.5641 \mathrm{PM} 9$ | recall saying that? | 04.00:35PM | tomorrow and that the fourth would be Joan Tyler |
| 03:56.42PM 10 | A. Yes. | 04.00:389 10 | THE COURT: Well, this is just what happens when you |
| 03:56.43PM 1 | Q. Have you had an opportunity since then to get any feedback | 04:0:0:00PM 11 | try cases in my court. Things move faster than you think |
| ${ }^{03} 56.5$ Sopm 12 | from any individuals who have IIM accounts about their concerns | 04:00:438M 12 | they're going to. |
| 03566.57pM 13 | that as a result of what's transpiring in this hearing they | 04:00:44PM 13 | MR. KIRSCHMAN: I'm not objecting to the speed. I'm |
| 03:57:01PM 14 | still don't get an accounting that when they may end up with is | 04:0:4.48PM 14 | objecting to the fact that they now have Mr. Miller testifying |
| 03:57.788M 15 | a check, have you talked to anybody about that? | 04:0:4989 15 | stead of Joan Tyler, who we were prepared to deal with as a |
| 03577.88PM 16 | A. I'm sorry. I'm not understanding your question. | 04:00:54PM 16 | fourth witness. |
| 03577:11PM 17 | Q. Have you done any sort of follow-up with any IIM account- | 04:00.54PM 17 | THE COURT: Well, if you don't think you can |
| 03:577.15PM 18 | holders about what their continuing concerns are about finding | 0400:5889 18 | meaningfully cross-examine him we'll adjourn until tomorrow when |
| 035.5722PM 19 | out what's in their accounts? | 0400:100PM 19 | he's finished with his direct. |
| 003.572589 20 | A. No. I don't currently have face-to-face conversations with | 04:0:101PM 20 | You may take the stand, Dr. Miller. |
| 03577288M 21 | the accountholders because I'm not in that position anymore and | 0400:108PM 21 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you solemnly swear that the |
| 03.57.338M 22 | in the data center I don't have direct contact with the account- | 04.01:108M 22 | testimony you should give to the Court in this case now on trial |
| ${ }_{0} 03.57 .786 \mathrm{~m} 23$ | holders now. | 04.01:49PM 23 | will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so |
| ${ }_{0} 03577408 \mathrm{M} 24$ | Q. But you did at one time? | 040:1:17PM 24 | help you God? |
| 03.57740РM 25 | A. Yes. $\begin{array}{r}\text { Jacqueline M. Sullivan, R } \\ \text { Official Court Reporter }\end{array}$ | 040:1717PM 25 | DR. MILLER: Yes indeed. |
|  |  |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  |  |  |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:00:20PM 1 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Please be seated. | 04.0.5.45PM 1 | OMB also evaluates various programs that agencies have, tries to |
| 22PM 2 | MR. LEVITAS: Your Honor, if I may, I will identify | 04.0.5.52PM 2 | establish priorities. That's all in the budget side. On the |
| 0400.124PM 3 | Dr. Miller and give a brief summary of what I expect him to | 04.0.5.5PM 3 | management side, OMB tries to increase the effectiveness and |
| 0400.128PM 4 | testify to. | 040.5.58PM 4 | efficiency of government agencies. It also works with treasury |
| 04.0.1298m 5 | THE COURT: Thank you. | 04.006.38M 5 | and financial management. It has an office of procurement |
| 04.0.1389M 6 | MR. LEVITAS: Dr. James C. Miller is an economist by | ${ }^{\text {04,0.6.09PM }} 6$ | policy to make sure that the procurement policy is effectual and |
| зврм 7 | training and professional practice who has had vast experience | 04.00: 515 PM 7 | efficient. It also has a regulatory function and information |
| 2PP 8 | in our government. He will testify about why and how the United | 04.006:18PM 8 | function, and those are to make sure that the regulatory |
| 0440.5009 9 | States government benefits from holding IIM funds, and he will | 0400621PM 9 | activities of the agencies are consistent with law, but also |
| 040.0.57PM 10 | also testify about the use of restitution as an effective remedy | 04.00.24PM 10 | consistent with benefit costs analysis and the President's |
| 040.0.07PM 11 | to deter misconduct as well as to discourage improper profits, | 04.068288M 11 | programs. |
| 0440:2:77PM 12 | and after he is sworn I'll give a little bit of background of | 04.002989 12 | Q. In connection with the budget function, does the Office of |
| $0_{040.0248 \mathrm{~m}} 13$ | his professional educational and other experience. |  | Management and Budget address the budget of the entire |
| 04,02288M 14 | THE COURT: Has the witness not been sworn, Tina? | 04.0.639Pm 14 | government or some portion thereof? |
| 04.0.2311pm 15 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: No, he's been sworn. | 04.06:41PM 15 | A. Well, it addresses the budget of the entire government and |
| 0440.238PM 16 | THE COURT: He has been sworn. | 04.0.6.45PM 16 | in that regard it has to work with treasury and special counsel |
| 04,02.35PM 17 | eed. | яspm 17 | of economic advisors as well too, and in fact, there's a name |
| 04,023.389 18 | MR. LEVITAS: Okay. Dr. Miller was educated and has | 04.0.6.5sp 18 | coined for it. It's called the troika. Those three agency |
| ярм 19 | two degrees, three degrees, and is a practicing economist. He | 04.0.6.59PM 19 | heads and their staffs meet and discuss and forecast the outlays |
| 04,03.00PM 20 | has taught at two universities and has served in the government | 040:77.08PM 20 | of the federal government, the income of the federal government, |
| 04:0:3:3PM 21 | in various capacities. He served in the Council and Wage and | gpm 21 | and the borrowing needs, if any, of the federal government. |
| 04:0322PM 22 | Price Stability. He was a chairman of the Federal Trade | 04.077:3PM 22 | Q. And how frequently does this troika meet? |
| 04.033089 23 | Commission, and he was director of the Office of Management and | 04.077.17PM 23 | A. Well, it meets, especially budget times it meets very |
| spm 24 | Budget. And he has authored I think it's nine books and over a | г2PM 24 | frequently. When I was budget director the secretary of |
| 45PM 25 | ndred articles variously dealing with economic matters, the | 040.72.86M 25 | treasury and the chairman of the counsel of economic advisors |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
| Official Court Reporter |  |  | Official Court Reporter |
|  | 190 |  | 192 |
| 04.035.51PM 1 | budget, and other matters relating to government. Dr. Miller | 04.0729PM | and I had practically weekly breakfast. |
| ¢9Pm 2 | has expertise in the overall functioning of the government and | 04,0,732PM 2 | Q. And in those meetings one of the subjects that you |
| отpm 3 | the budget and how the government may benefit from holding IIM | 04.0.7377PM 3 | addressed was the borrowing needs of the government in order to |
| 200m 4 | funds in the treasury. | 04.077.4PM 4 | address whatever current or long-term requirements had to be |
| 268M 5 | If I may begin to ask Dr. Miller some questions I | 04.077.49M 5 | met; is that correct? |
| 31Pм 6 | think I will be able to bring out the points that I expect him | 04.0.7.49PM 6 | A. Yes. |
| 04:004.35M 7 | to testify to, and while we had noted his testimony as being | 04.0.7.53PM 7 | Q. In connection with your work with the treasury, what |
| 04:0,4.43PM 8 | four hours, my guess is that we will be able to complete his | 04.0.7.59Pm 8 | particular units within the treasury were addressing matters |
| 46 P | testimony in an hour. | ${ }^{\text {04.0.0.55M }} 9$ | relating to borrowing needs? |
| 04:04.517PM 10 | THE COURT: I'm sure Dr. Miller will be happy to hear | 04.0.8.09PM 10 | A. Well, it was the Bureau of the Federal Debt and also the |
| 04:04.538. 11 | that. | 04.088.9Pm 11 | financial management service, those were the two principal ones |
| 04:04.558m 12 | MR. LEVITAS: I think others will too as well, your | 04,08825PM 12 | dealing with borrowing and dealing with the debt. Of course we |
| 040.0.55PM 13 | Honor. | 04:088288M 13 | dealt with the treasury on other parts of treasury as well. IRS |
| 04,04.558m 14 | DR. JAMES C. MI LLER, WITNESS FOR THE PLAI NI FFS, SWORN | 04.08833PM 14 | of course raises the money or collects the money, taxpayers' |
| 040.0.5.5PM 15 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 04,083.38pm 15 | money, and how efficient they were doing that, but basically in |
| 0440.5.22PM 16 | BY MR. LEVITAS: | 04,088.00¢ 16 | looking at what to do about the deficit or borrowing to meet the |
| 04,0:502PM 17 | Q. If I may, Dr. Miller, would you describe the role and | 04.088.45PM 17 | needs of the government we were dealing with F\&S and also the |
| 04,05:70PM 18 | function of OMB, Office of Management and Budget, in our | 04.08.50PM 18 | Bureau of the Debt. |
| 04,05:575M 19 | government? | 04.0.5.51PM 19 | Q. Dr. Miller, in preparation for your testimony today what |
| 04,0.5.15PM 20 | A. OMB basically takes the President's priorities and policies | 04.0.8.56m 20 | documents or reports or other materials did you read and study |
| 04.05221PM 21 | and translates those into a budget and puts it all together and | 044090.38PM 21 | in order to be prepare for this testimony? |
| трм 22 | works with the agencies putting it all together for the | 04:09.0.68M 22 | A. Well, the first thing I did was to read your opinion, your |
| 15.30PM 23 | President to propose to Congress. Congress responds. The OMB | 04.09:00PM 23 | Honor, of J anuary 30th. |
| 988 24 | then makes sure that the agencies administer the budget | 04.099:12PM 24 | THE COURT: How much are they paying you an hour? |
| 04,05.40PM 25 | consistent with the legislation and the President's policies. | 04:09:17pm 25 | Don't answer that. |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:16:47PM 1 | what we're looking at is the longer term that is the deficit | 0420:188M 1 | attention when it comes up, to lines 4 through 24. First of |
| 9¢м 2 | that you have to -- in most of our history we've had a deficit, | 04.20.3PPM 2 | all, let me ask you if you disagree with the analysis or the |
| 04:16:258M 3 | but even when we run a surplus you have to role over the debt so | 0420:377PM 3 | statements made by Commissioner Greg. |
| орм 4 | you have to issue new debt, and if you have this extra amount of | M 4 | A. No, I don't disagree. In fact, that's just basically what |
| зярм 5 | money, that's money that you don't have to borrow and so that is | PM 5 | I was saying about you put money in an account that goes into |
| 04416:388M 6 | a benefit to the government, and also the interest that you | 0420:47PM 6 | the general account and that belongs -- it's an asset just like |
| 04:16:4.11PM 7 | don't have to pay on money that you're obviously not borrowing. |  | my money becomes an asset of the bank. This money becomes an |
| 4 Pm | Q. That was the other aspect that I wanted to inquire about. | ${ }^{0420.568 \mathrm{PM}} \mathbf{8}$ | asset of the federal government's. |
| ¢рм 9 | The impact of having these funds reduces the interest being paid | ${ }_{0} 0420.57 \mathrm{PM} 9$ | Q. When you said the federal government, you mean the United |
| mpm 10 | by the federal government for borrowing? | 0422:008M 10 | States of America? |
| 04:16:577M 11 | A. Yes. | 04.2:100PM 11 | A. United States of America. |
| 0446:6.58M 12 | Q. Now, you identified that as a significant benefit to the | ггм 12 | Q. And that United States of America is, based on what you |
| \%opm 13 | government. Is there any way to quantify that benefit? | 0422:108PM 13 | have read, is that the trustee of the IIM trust that you've |
| 04:177.88PM 14 | A. Yes, yes. And the methodology is rather straightforward | 0422:13PM 14 | talked about? |
| 04:17712PM 15 | and it's the methodology from all that I 've understood about the | 04:21:13PM 15 | A. Well, I think you're asking me for a legal opinion. I |
| 04:177:17PM 16 | analyses prepared by CRA. They have followed that methodology. | 04.21:188M 16 | wouldn't proffer that. |
| 04:17722PM 17 | Q. CRA is the expert witness that the plaintiffs intend to | 04.2:2:21PM 17 | Q. Well, I wouldn't dare ask you for a legal opinion, Dr. |
| 04:172727M 18 | call later to discuss your testimony about how you can quantify? | spm 18 | Miller. |
| 04:177.34PM 19 | A. That's correct. | 042:1288PM 19 | Now, so you as former director of OMB, former chairman |
| ¢рр 20 | Q. Please proceed. | врм 20 | of the FTC, experienced in government, and Commissioner Greg who |
| трм 21 | A. Well, you basically take the amount of money that you don't | 21 | was head of the FMS that makes the borrowing decisions, concur |
| оом 22 | have to borrow and you take the interest on the money that you | ¢ 22 | that when funds are left in the treasury general account, IIM |
| 04:17.7.45M 23 | would have had to pay and then for the following year you have | 04.21:59PM 23 | funds which otherwise would be distributed, there is a |
| 04:17.51PM 24 | the same amount of money that you don't have to borrow and you | грм 24 | significant and quantifiable benefit to the United States? |
| 04.17.577M 25 | have the interest on that money that you don't have to borrow, | 04.2.0.68M 25 | A. Yes. |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
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| 04418:01PM 1 | which includes not only the basic principal plus the interest | 04.22088M 1 | Q. Now, Commissioner Greg testified in 1999, and let me try to |
| 04,18.059M 2 | you would have paid the previous year and you add that up for | 04.22:77PM 2 | bring something up a little bit closer in time, and I'd like you |
| ${ }^{\text {04,48:09PM }}$ ( 3 | each subsequent year and that comes to a rather sizeable figure | 042222PM 3 | to display the GAO report. I'm going to call to your attention, |
| 04:18:49PM 4 | and so you can prepare a table for each and every year that you | 04223030M 4 | and by the way, I'm going to call to your attention the GAO |
| 04:18:208M 5 | have positive balances that are in the treasury general fund. | ${ }_{0422378 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | report dated September 2007, just nine months ago. Have you |
| 04:18:288M 6 | Q. Let me turn now to Commissioner Greg's testimony which you | 0422:338M 6 | read this report? |
| 044183:39M 7 | said you have read. Do you recognize that as the testimony that | 04.22:4PM 7 | A. Yes, sir, I have. |
| 04:18:477>M 8 | you referred to from Commissioner Greg that you read? | 04.22:45PM 8 | Q. And I'll ask you to display, I think it's page three, |
| 04:18:508M 9 | A. Yes, sir. | ${ }^{0423.355 \mathrm{SM}} 9$ | please. You see the portion of that page highlighted? |
| 04:18:517M 10 | Q. All right. I want to show you several portions of that | 0423:00PM 10 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 04418.577M 11 | testimony and an ask you some questions about them. The first | 04.23:117M 11 | Q. What is the significance of that statement? |
| 04419:02PM 12 | is on page 3,315 of the transcript, and I'll direct your | 0423:689 12 | A. It's just pointing out that because treasury is writing |
| 04:19:10PM 13 | attention to lines 10 through 19. And could you tell the Court, | 0423208M 13 | checks from time to time it needs to keep some minimum balance |
| 04:19:238M 14 | tell the judge, what the significance of Commissioner Greg's | 0423235PM 14 | to avoid overdraft and the debt can't honor overdrafts from the |
| 0449:277\% 15 | testimony is that you're reading? | 0423:30PM 15 | federal government so it has to maintain a minimum balance. |
| 044,9:3089 16 | A. Well, that means he's basically saying here just what I | 0422323PM 16 | Q. And how does that relate to your testimony and Mr. Greg's |
| 04:19.38PM 17 | said, that is, if there are monies in the account that should | 0423:399\% 17 | testimony concerning the impact of funds being held by the |
| 04419.3889 18 | have otherwise been disbursed, it's a benefit to the federal | 0423:47PM 18 | government which otherwise would be distributed? |
| 04419:42PM 19 | government because the federal government doesn't have to borrow | 0423:49PM 19 | A. Well, the treasury has to look very carefully at that |
| 04:19:44PM 20 | that money. | 0423.517PM 20 | number, and when it sees that that number might be depressed |
| 04:19:44PM 21 | Q. And is there anything in Commissioner Greg's testimony that | 0423.54PM 21 | below a reasonable protection against overdraft it has to |
| 04:19.55sm 22 | you have looked at both before you or any other portion of his | 0423.59PM 22 | borrow, and if it has extra money it doesn't have to borrow that |
| 0420:008M 23 | testimony with which you disagree? | 04.24.048M 23 | extra money. |
| 0420.02PM 24 | A. No. Not on substance, no. | 04.24:17pM 24 | Q. Which is this benefit that you have? |
| 0420:09PM 25 | Q. Now, if you would display page 3,396, and I'll direct your | 04.24.13PM 25 | A. It's the benefit to the federal government I mean, yes. |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter |


|  | 201 |  | 203 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0424:15PM 1 | Q. Now let me just ask you to look at one more portion of this | 04288.08PM 1 | MR. LEVITAS: The reason, your Honor, I think this is |
| 0424:488M 2 | recent GAO report on page ten. Now, this statement that you're | 04288.08PM 2 | relevant -- |
| 0424.4389 3 | looking at, how does that relate to what you've just testified | 04.28:10PM 3 | THE COURT: SEC, all those agencies use discourgement |
| 0424.4.38M 4 | to in terms of the benefit to the government? | 04.28:49PM 4 | as a remedy, but it's a punitive remedy. |
| ${ }^{0424.468 \mathrm{PM}} 5$ | A. It says basically the same thing in different words. | 04288.18PM 5 | MR. LEVITAS: Well, the point that I want to make is |
| 04244.51PM 6 | Q. All right. Thank you. I think you may have alluded to | 04.28221PM 6 | that, and I have an exhibit I can display to that effect, is |
| ${ }^{0424.555 \mathrm{Sm}} \mathbf{7}$ | this earlier, but if the government -- if the government is | 0428827PM 7 | that it's not just a punitive remedy. It's a deterrent, and the |
| 0425502PM 8 | operating at a surplus, does that obviate the need for | 04.28338PM 8 | need for a deterrent in this particular matter is something that |
| ${ }_{0}^{0425.07 P M} 9$ | government borrowing? | 04.28.39PM 9 | the plaintiffs think is very important. There is not going to |
| 04255.08PM 10 | A. No, no. Keep in mind that there are several terms here | 04.28.44PM 10 | be apparently any injunctive ability to bring about trust |
| ${ }_{0} 0425: 4 \mathrm{~Pa} \mathrm{PM}$ | that I've found confusing in my own mind sometimes, but there's | 04.288888 11 | reform, and in order to deter the government from continuing the |
| ${ }_{0}^{0425221 P M} 12$ | debt. That's the amount you owe. That's the amount the federal | 04.285.54PM 12 | same type of conduct that has got us to this point, restitution |
| ${ }_{0} 0425.258 \mathrm{PM} 13$ | government owes. That's a stock concept. And there's deficit | 04288.59PM 13 | or discourgement is an appropriate remedy. That's the point |
| 0425288PM 14 | and that's the amount that outlays exceed revenues or revenues | 04.290.04P 14 | that we will argue to your Honor. |
| 0425.338M 15 | fall short of outlays, and that's been in our history the usual | 04299.08PM 15 | THE COURT: I think I'll pass on that one, counsel. |
| 0425.3889 16 | circumstance. There have been times when we've run a surplus, | 04.29088PM 16 | You can move on. I think the notion of deterring the federal |
| 0445:42PM 17 | but even in times of surpluses the federal government has to | 04.29.13PM 17 | government with a discourgement claim is delightful to |
| 04.25.45PM 18 | borrow. Now why is that? The reason is that so much of this | 04.292.21PM 18 | contemplate, but I don't think Dr. Miller's experience deterring |
| 0425:488M 19 | debt is churning and turning over every year that you still have | 0429278¢ 19 | corporate defendants in the FTC is particularly relevant, so |
| ${ }_{0}^{0425.538 \mathrm{M}} \mathbf{2 0}$ | to issue new debt to retire the old debt, so if you're running a | з1рм 20 | I'll sustain the objection. |
| ${ }_{0}^{0425.57 P M} 21$ | surplus, your Honor, you really have less to turn over but you | - 21 | MR. LEVITAS: All right. Your Honor, I have no |
| PPM 22 | still have to keep turning over, so if you have any extra money, | M 22 | further questions. |
| ${ }_{0}^{0426.088 P} 23$ | that means that you have to turn over or you have to borrow | 0429935PM 23 | THE COURT: Thank you, sir. |
| ${ }_{04} 0268: 11 \mathrm{PM} 24$ | less. | 04,299408M 24 | MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Your Honor, as we indicated, we were |
| ${ }_{0}^{0426: 117 P M} 25$ | Q. And as I understand what you're saying, even in times of | 04.29942PM 25 | expecting Mr. -- |
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| 0426:68PM 1 | budget surplus, these debt instruments that had been issued at | 0429944PM 1 | THE COURT: Flatfooted, right. |
| 0426823PM 2 | earlier times mature? | 04, 29,488M 2 | MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Exactly. We request the ability to |
| ${ }_{0}^{0426828 P M} 3$ | A. Yes. | 04299508PM | continue or do our cross-examination starting tomorrow. |
|  | Q. And they have to be paid off? | 04,2959PM 4 | THE COURT: Dr. Miller, you live in town, don't you? |
| ${ }^{0426827 \times M} 5$ | A. Yes. | 04299.5PM 5 | THE WITNESS: We have an apartment downtown. I live |
| 0426827PM 6 | Q. And in order to do that, if there is not current funds | 04299588M 6 | out in Rapihy County, but we have an apartment here. |
| 0426839PM 7 | available, the government needs to borrow funds to do it? | 00430.038M 7 | THE COURT: Well, the traffic on the way out there |
| 0426839PM 8 | A. Exactly. It has to borrow to retire that debt and issue | 09430.05PM 8 | tonight is terrible anyway. We'll reconvene tomorrow morning at |
| 04268:38PM 9 | new instruments in order to keep the government going, and that | оя:30.09PM 9 | 9:30. |
| ${ }_{0} 0426.488 \mathrm{PM} 10$ | is again a benefit to the federal government to have an extra | 00.30:070¢ 10 | By the way, counsel, Wednesday morning of this week I |
| 0426:538M 11 | increment of revenue there. | 00:30:68PM 11 | have a criminal matter that I've got to take care of that |
| ${ }_{0}^{0426.558 M} 12$ | Q. Let me shift gears for a moment and refer you to your | 04.302.21PM 12 | probably is going to take most of the morning and I think it |
| 04277.09PM 13 | responsibilities as chairman of the Federal Trade Commission. | 00.302.24PM 13 | would be sensible for us to convene after lunch on Wednesday |
| 0427:99PM 14 | And the Federal Trade Commission has certain enforcement powers, | 00430288P⿳ 14 | instead of the morning, so consider that in your planning. |
| 04277:18PM 15 | certain remedies when it acts to deal with a violation of the | 00:303.38PM 15 | MR. DORRIS: There's one more matter I need to make |
| 0427244PM 16 | law, whether it's an antitrust violation or something else. And | 04.303.38¢ 16 | the Court aware of, your Honor. As indicated when we called Mr. |
| 04277.31PM 17 | there are an array of different remedies that can be used. Is | 00.30:408PM 17 | Miller, sometimes things in this court move faster than |
| 04277.389 18 | that correct? | 00:30.4.4PM 18 | expected. Our final witness that we were planning to call after |
| 04277378M 19 | A. Yes. | 00:30.488 19 | we have some other witnesses after Mr. Miller was Mr. Palace, |
| 04277.389 20 | Q. All right. Now, in the course of your chairmanship, are | 04.305.52PM 20 | and he is only available to come in late Tuesday night and be |
| ${ }_{0}^{04277.45 \mathrm{SP}} \mathbf{2 1}$ | you aware whether or not restitution and discouragement was one | 04.305.58PM 21 | available Wednesday morning or Wednesday at one. With the pace |
| ${ }_{0}^{0427752 P M} 22$ | of the remedies used by the FTC? | 00431:02PM 22 | things are going, we could see that we would run out of |
| ${ }_{0}^{0427.555 M} 23$ | MR. STEMPLEWICZ: Objection; relevance. | 04.31:05PM 23 | witnesses tomorrow and that there would be a gap before Mr. |
| 04288.00PM 24 | THE COURT: I'll sustain that. I don't know what the | 04.31:10PM 24 | Palace would be available. We could certainly let the |
| о4288.03P 25 | FTC's discourgement -- | 04.31:13PM 25 | government begin and call Mr. Palace in our rebuttal case. |
|  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |  | Jacqueline M. Sullivan, RPR |
|  | Official Court Reporter |  | Official Court Reporter |







| Commercial [1] - | conclusion [1] - | 125:14, 135:21, | $\text { 111:13, } 111: 23$ | counsel [10]-156:15, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103:10 | 144:17 | $138: 12,191: 12$, 192.7 | 118:6, 118:22, | 159:23, 169:23, |
| commingled [5] - | conclusions [1] - | 192:7 | 119:13, 119:24, | $169: 25,184: 18$ |
| 131:4, 194:11, | 171:21 | consider [3]-148:15, | 119:25, 120:5, | 185:1, 191:16, |
| 194:13, 195:11, | concur [1] - 199:21 | 149:21, 204:14 | 120:9, 120:13, | 191:25, 203:15, |
| 195:15 | concurring [1] | consideration [1] - | 123:16, 135:21, | 204:10 |
| Commission [4] - | 144:11 | 179:19 | 137:5, 137:19, | counter [1] - 180:3 |
| 189:23, 194:21, | condition [1]-135:18 | considered [1] - | 137:24 | counters [1]-180:5 |
| $202: 13,202: 14$ | conditions [1] - | 177:13 | Controls [1] - 173:3 <br> convene [2] - 156:15 | counting [1] - 205:22 |
| $193: 24$ | conduct [1] - 203:12 | 108:10 | 204:13 | $172: 7,186: 7,187: 8$ |
| Commissioner [7] - <br> 198.6, 198:8 | conducted [5] - | consistent [4]-175:7, | conversations [1] - | County [1] - 204:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 198: 6,1 \\ & 198: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 15,111: 4, \\ & 120: 10,120: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $190: 25,191: 9,$ $191: 10$ | coordinated | couple [8] - 116:6, <br> $133 \cdot 7$ 135:20 |
| 199:3, 199:20, 200:1 | 120:16 | consistently [1] - | 144:10 | 145:4, 171:17, |
| common [1] - 187:12 | conferred [1] - 144:10 | 120:10 | coordinator [1] - | 173:19, 193:13, |
| communicated [1] - | confessed [1] - | consists [1] - 125:19 | $168: 16$ | $205: 7$ |
| 123:17 communi | $132: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { constitutes [1] - } \\ & 125: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { copies [4]-130:16 } \\ 130: 21,146: 11, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { course [6] - 132:20, } \\ \text { 157:23, 192:12, } \end{gathered}$ |
| 145:18 | 149:18 | Constitution [1] - | $150: 14$ | 192:14, 193:18, |
| compare [3]-108:3, | confirm [12]-109:4, | $103: 15$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { copy }[3]-172: 20, \\ 176 \cdot 10-182 \cdot 8 \end{gathered}$ | $202: 20$ |
| $117: 2,117: 4$ | 109:6, 110:7, | constraint [1]-121:19 | 176:10, 183:8 | Court [17] - 103:13, |
| $\text { complete [1] - } 190: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121: 12,122: 3, \\ & 124: 22,125: 20 \end{aligned}$ | consultation [1] - 168:18 | corporate [1]-203:19 | $110: 15,110: 18$ |
| completed [3] - | 125:22, 126:14, | consulting [1]-105:7 | corporation | $140: 20,160: 21,$ |
| 174:21, 183:24, | 137:18, 137:23, | contact [4]-126:8, | 112:18 | 161:19, 166:17, |
| 183:25 | 138:5 | 148:8, 148:9, $186: 22$ | correct [37] - 109:6, | 166:24, 181:14, |
| completion [2] - | Confirmation [1] - | contained [1]-162:14 | 110:22, 112:6, | 183:6, 188:22, |
| $\text { 147:17, } 183: 23$ | $125: 19$ | contemplate [1] 203:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 114: 3,114: 4, \\ & 115: 16,121: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 193: 11,198: 13 \\ & 204: 16,208: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| compliance [5] 109:22, 110:4 | confirmation [29] 109:17, 121:17, |  | 132:5, 133:18, | COURT [76]-101•1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 109: 22,110: 4, \\ & 127: 18,137: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 125:7, 125:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { context [2]-158:23, } \\ & \text { 159:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $137: 21,140: 18$ | $104: 2,104: 4,104: 7$ |
| 137:23 | 125:10, 125:17, | continue [3]-164:11, | 140:23, 143:9, | 104:18, 105:18, |
| components [1] - | 125:18, 125:22, | 179:11, 204:3 | 143:12, 143:15, | 106:8, 108:7, |
| 105:12 | 126:6, 126:11, | continued [4] - 105:2, | $144: 19,145: 3$ <br> 146:22, 148:3 | 117:12, 123:10, |
| compute [1]-115:11 computer [10] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:12, 126:17, } \\ & \text { 127:2, 127:4, 127:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $118: 16,141: 1,160: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 22,148: 3, \\ & 148: 15,149: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124: 14,130: 8, \\ & 139: 24,140: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| 103:20, 110:6, | 138:12, 138:16, | continues [1]-137:1 | $149: 22,154: 18,$ | $146: 9,146: 13$ |
| 135:9, 162:4, | 138:17, 138:22, | 141:18, 166:14 | 165:3, 171:23, | 152:5, 152:11, |
| 164:21, 164:22, | 138:25, 142:9, | 186:18, 203:11 | 172:2, 174:5, 174:6, | 155:22, 156:2, |
| 165:23, 166:11, | 144:14, 147:23, | Continuing [1] - | 174:8, 175:10, | 156:10, 156:14, |
| 167:12, 178:14 | 148:6, 148:13, | $141: 20$ | 177:9, 183:24, | $157: 12,158: 3$ |
| computer -aided [1] 103.20 | $\begin{aligned} & 148: 16,148: 19 \\ & 149: 3,150: 6 \end{aligned}$ | contract [7]-140:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 184:15, 192:5, } \\ & \text { 197:19, 202:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159: 5,159: 21, \\ & 159: 25,160: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| 103:20 <br> computers [2] - 166:4, | 149:3, 150:6 <br> confirmations <br> [10] - | 140:15, 152:14, | 208:3 | $160: 11,160: 15$ |
| 166:5 | 126:3, 126:19, 126.24, 138:15 | $164: 14,169: 7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Correct [19] - 109:20, } \\ 110: 9,110: 23, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166: 18,167: 1, \\ & 170: 24,171: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { con't [2]-101:25, } \\ & 102: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 24,138: 15 \\ & 139: 19,142: 7, \end{aligned}$ | contractor [2] - | 112:3, 113:1, | $173: 15,175: 16$ |
| concept [1] - 201:13 | 148:4, 148:6, | contrary [1] - 150:19 | 113:23, 115:17, | $175: 18,177: 5$ |
| conception [1] - 165:7 | $148: 22,149: 18$ | control [8] - 111:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 4,134: 3, \\ & 139: 18,139: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 179: 10,179: 14, \\ & 179: 22,180: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| concern [4]-127:1, | confirmed [1] - 120:21 confirming [1]- | $\begin{aligned} & 114: 11,118: 11, \\ & 123: 15,135: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 140:19, 140:22, | $180: 10,180: 13$ |
| 135:22, 139:3, $186: 6$ concerned [2] - | $125: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 15,135: 2 \\ & 135: 19,135: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 143:10, 143:13, | $181: 4,181: 6,$ |
| $162: 15,187: 14$ | confusing [1]-201:11 | $136: 21$ | $144: 6,145: 1,148: 1$ | $181: 15,182: 4$ |
| concerning [2] - | Congress [2]-190:23 | controlled [1] - 120:6 | 153:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 182: 6,184: 21, \\ & 185: 1.185: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| $127: 13,200: 17$ | Congressman [4] 144:20, 144:23 | controls [24]-105:10, | corrected [1] - 177:12 <br> correctly [2]-123:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 185:1, 185:8, } \\ & \text { 185:10, 187:24, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { concerns [2] - 186:12, } \\ & \text { 186:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $145: 18,155: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:17, 107:23, } \\ & \text { 108:3, 109:3 } \end{aligned}$ | 153:24 | 188:6, 188:10, |
| concluded [2] - | connection [7] - | 109:22, 110:3, | costs [1] - 191:10 | 188:17, 189:5, |
| 114:17, 127:13 |  |  | Council [1] - 189:21 | 189:14, 189:16, |


| ```190:10, 192:24, 193:4, 193:6, 194:20, 202:24, 203:3, 203:15, 203:23, 204:1, 204:4, 204:7, 205:1, 205:6, 205:16, 205:25 court [5] - 160:2, 160:3, 165:2, 188:11, 204:17 courthouse [1] - 160:17 Courthouse [1] - 103:14 COURTROOM [7] - 104:8, 104:13, 160:19, 160:24, 188:21, 189:1, 189:15 cover [1] - 145:14 CRA [3]-196:1, 197:16, 197:17 created [3]-127:6, 168:2, 182:22 credibility [1]-114:25 credit [3] - 114:21, 114:22, 118:4 credited [1] - 155:4 criminal [1] - 204:11 Cross [2] - 207:6, 207:9 CROSS [1] - 184:22 cross [7]-120:12, 156:23, 159:12, 159:19, 185:2, 188:18, 204:3 cross-check [1] - 120:12 cross-examination [4] - 156:23, 159:12, 185:2, 204:3 CROSS- EXAMINATION [1] - 184:22 Cross-Examination [2]-207:6, 207:9 cross-examine [1] - 188:18 Crown \([6]-131: 16\), 131:19, 138:2, 138:6, 151:25, 152:3 culture \([1]-169: 22\) cumulative [1] - 126:14 current \([11]-111: 18\), 111:19, 111:24, 137:2, 176:9, 177:23, 178:22, 179:4, 179:5, 192:4,``` |  | ```192:18 debt [10]-192:12, 197:3, 197:4, 200:14, 201:12, 201:19, 201:20, 202:1, 202:8 decadency [1] - 165:12 decades [1]-145:8 decentralized [3] - 108:9, 115:19, 115:20 decided [2]-132:3, 132:9 decisions [2]-196:8, 199:21 declined [1] - 115:12 Defendant 's [1] - 193:16 Defendants [2] - 101:8, 103:4 defendants [2] - 193:14, 203:19 deficit [4]-192:16, 197:1, 197:2, \(201: 13\) defined [1] - 145:5 definition [1]-138:24 degrees [2]-189:19 delightful [1] - 203:17 demographics [1] - 165:13 demonstrate [3] - 128:8, 159:13, 180:2 demonstrated [1] - 150:17 DENNIS [1] - 101:14 Dennis [1]-101:14 Department [6] - 103:6, 103:9, 143:21, 154:17, 154:25, 167:7 department [2] - 178:14, 194:2 department 's [1] - 193:9 depended [1] - 123:25 deposit [7]-123:3, 123:4, 131:2, 134:2, 134:11, 147:14, 195:7 deposited [12] - 122:15, 123:6, 123:12, 124:5, 124:19, 132:11, 133:20, 134:12, 134:19, 157:6, 157:23, 194:8 depositing [1] - 134:18 deposition [2] - 132:3,``` | ```132:4 depository [1] - 143:24 deposits [8]-121:8, 122:19, 122:22, 122:24, 123:23, 134:15, 154:16, 170:7 depressed [1] - 200:20 DEPUTY [7]-104:8, 104:13, 160:19, 160:24, 188:21, 189:1, 189:15 derived [1] - 169:1 describe [4]-116:9, 125:8, 190:17, 196:11 Describe [2]-121:6, 126:15 described [6]-119:2, 125:1, 135:15, 138:1, 138:7, 169:17 description [2] - 106:22, 182:7 design [1] - 134:14 designated [1] - 134:1 designed [3] - 120:7, 143:16 destroyed [1] - 184:14 destroying [1] - 184:11 detail [2]-151:11, 168:5 deter [2]-189:11, 203:11 determine [2] - 119:16, 121:20 determined [2] - 178:17, 184:4 deterrent [2] - 203:7, 203:8 deterring [2]-203:16, 203:18 develop [1]-116:17 developed [2] - 152:12, 183:18 device [1] - 160:11 dialogue [1] - 107:22 difference [3]- 113:14, 127:5, 127:6 differences [4] - 113:9, 127:8, 136:12, 172:13 different [20]-113:5, 113:8, 119:6, 128:19, 130:3, 133:7, 144:18, 162:8, 172:8, 172:10, 172:11,``` | ```172:12, 177:17, 177:20, 180:17, 180:25, 181:12, 201:5, 202:17 differential [1] - 136:17 difficult [3] - 117:3, 131:3, 172:12 Direct [3]-207:6, 207:9, 207:11 direct [7]-105:16, 141:17, 158:24, 186:22, 188:19, 198:12, 198:25 DIRECT [3] - 106:14, 161:1, 190:15 directly [2] - 141:24, 194:25 director [4]-178:23, 189:23, 191:24, 199:19 DIRK [1] - 101:7 dirty [1] - 175:3 disagree [5]-125:23, 138:17, 198:23, 199:2, 199:4 disagreement [1] - 147:5 disagreements [1] - 126:13 disappeared [2] - 119:21, 133:12 disappears [1] - 119:15 disappointed [1] - 205:16 disaster [1] - 178:23 disbursed [2]-163:1, 198:18 disbursement [9] - 118:15, 127:24, 128:8, 129:1, 129:5, 137:9, 178:7, 178:10, 180:4 disbursements [13] - 105:14, 109:3, 121:9, 127:23, 128:2, 128:14, 128:17, 128:23, 133:11, 137:5, 137:10, 139:3, 180:24 disbursing [1] - \(121: 6\) discourage [1] - 189:11 discouragement [1] - 202:21 discourgement [4] - 202:25, 203:3, 203:13, 203:17``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```discover [1] - 164:9 discovered [1] - 172:8 discovering [1] - 155:3 discrepancies [1] - 136:5 discuss [3]-168:23, 191:19, 197:18 discussed [3] - 117:24, 136:16, 147:6 discussion [1] - 131:13 discussions [1]- 111:15 display [4] - 198:25, 200:3, 200:8, 203:6 disposal [1] - 169:4 distribute [1] - 147:15 distributed [5] - 151:15, 164:4, 195:19, 199:23, 200:18 distributing [3] - 163:21, 164:2, 164:3 distribution [1] - 167:21 distributions [1] - 164:2 DISTRICT [3] - 101:1, 101:1, 101:12 division [3] - 105:6, 134:6, 178:23 Division [1] - 103:10 divorced [1] - 177:19 divorces [1] - 185:16 document [12] - 110:8, 110:14, 116:1, 127:21, 159:17, 175:10, 175:22, 178:2, 182:18, 183:4, 183:6 documentation [19] - 116:21, 119:20, 128:1, 128:7, 128:12, 131:15, 133:11, 137:4, 137:11, 145:20, 148:24, 157:14, 174:4, 175:8, 176:21, 177:22, 177:24, 177:25, 179:4 documented [3] - 119:16, 119:20, 178:17 documents [14] - 109:8, 110:10, 142:11, 142:16, 142:17, 142:20,``` | ```143:25, 153:1, 153:6, 153:10, 154:3, 192:20, 193:20 dollar [3]-117:10, 145:24, 147:3 dollars [6] - 123:2, 132:18, 133:3, 145:15, 146:3, 153:25 done [11]-118:10, 127:16, 133:18, 144:21, 144:22, 145:17, 147:10, 159:24, 172:6, 182:20, 186:17 DORRIS [23] - 101:18, 104:3, 104:5, 104:14, 104:19, 105:25, 106:12, 106:15, 108:14, 117:14, 123:13, 123:14, 124:15, 135:9, 135:11, 139:22, 140:2, 152:3, 156:3, 156:24, 157:18, 158:4, 204:15 Dorris [6] - 104:2, 142:12, 148:12, 151:21, 156:2, 207:6 down [13]-112:10, 112:22, 116:23, 122:11, 125:5, 135:9, 136:17, 151:15, 164:11, 166:11, 171:19, 172:16, 188:1 downtown [1] - 204:5 dozen [1] - 148:13 Dr [14] - 188:3, 188:20, 189:3, 189:6, 189:18, 190:1, 190:5, 190:10, 190:17, 192:19, 195:4, 199:17, 203:18, 204:4 DR[3] - 188:25, 190:14, 207:10 draft [1] - 127:5 drafted [4] - 183:10, 183:11, 183:18, 184:10 dummied [1] - 130:21 During [1] - 132:16 during [4]-113:2, 122:20, 122:25, 142:19 duties [4] - 117:16, 134:13, 173:10,``` | ```178:11 duty [1] - 134:16 DX [4] - 155:20, 155:22, 155:25, 207:18 e-mail [3] - 183:2, 183:7, 183:17 early [4]-105:1, 121:15, 166:2, 205:9 earned [2] - 169:24, 170:7 earning [1] - 122:25 earnings [2]-170:6, 170:12 earns [1] - \(196: 25\) easements [1]-169:4 easier [1] - 145:14 economic [3] - 189:25, 191:17, 191:25 economist [2] - 189:6, 189:19 educated [1] - 189:18 education [2] - 161:23, 165:12 educational [1] - 189:13 effect [1] - 203:6 effective [1] - 189:10 effectiveness [1] - 191:3 effectual [1]-191:6 efficiency [1] - 191:4 efficient [2]-191:7, 192:15 effort [10] - 147:15, 168:4, 174:17, 176:15, 176:16, 176:17, 178:3, 178:11, 205:8, 205:20 efforts [1]-171:9 eight [1]-112:14 either [11]-109:6, 109:16, 114:2, 114:3, 115:23, 116:20, 119:19, 125:14, 126:23, 136:25, 139:13 electronic [5] - 110:15, 181:20, 182:11, 182:14, 184:14 Electronic [1] - 182:22 elicit [1]-104:17 elicited [1] - 124:12``` |  | ```112:18, 180:22 error [7]-164:1, 172:21, 172:23, 174:11, 177:15, 185:7, 185:13 errors [7] - 114:8, 124:24, 185:14, 185:17, 185:18, 185:20, 185:21 especially [1]-191:23 ESQ [11] - 101:14, 101:17, 101:18, 101:21, 101:22, 103:1, 103:1, 103:4, 103:5, 103:5, 103:8 essentially [3] - 123:19, 157:21, 158:21 establish [8] - 109:5, 114:3, 129:4, 159:18, 180:21, 180:25, 181:2, 191:2 established [1] - 123:9 establishing [1] - 180:23 estate [4] - 163:2, 163:4, 164:1, 169:5 estimate [1] - 205:14 estimated [1] - 154:23 estimation [2] - 144:21, 179:20 et [2] - 101:3, 101:7 evaluates [1] - 191:1 evaluation [1] - 171:21 events [2]-105:22, 159:15 eventually [2] - 194:9, 194:12 evidence [10] - 116:2, 125:13, 139:25, 155:21, 155:25, 158:23, 175:19, 179:20, 180:24, 181:2 evident [1] - 119:19 exact [1] - 140:14 Exactly [2]-202:8, 204:2 exactly [1] - 162:7 Examination [5] - 207:6, 207:6, 207:9, 207:9, 207:11 examination [5] - 105:16, 156:23, 159:12, 185:2, 204:3 EXAMINATION [4] - 106:14, 161:1, 184:22, 190:15``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




| idea [2]-111:22, | impossible [1] - | 140:16, 151:15, | 130:6, 131:18, | 194:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 146:14 | 114:23 | 163:13, 163:14, | 133:5, 134:24, | inventory [1]-143:17 |
| identifiable [1] - | improper [1] - 189:11 | 163:24, 165:5, | 150:24, 157:5, | invested [3] - 155:12, |
| 128:24 | improve [1] - 143:22 | 165:9, 168:17 | 166:9, 177:10 | 155:13, 163:1 |
| Identification [1] - | in-coding [1] - 172:22 | 169:17, 172:4, | instances [15] - | investigation [2] - |
| 207:16 | inability [5] - 122:12, | 173:24, 186:5 | $111: 25,122: 14$ | 132:17, 154:6 |
| identified [3]-105:20, 194:1, 197:12 | 124:22, 126:14, | individuals [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 122: 17,124: 23 \\ & 126: 14,126: 15 \end{aligned}$ | investigations [1] - |
| identifies [2]-135:3, | 135:7, 135:17 <br> inaccuracies | inferences [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 14,126: 15, \\ & 128: 10,129: 16, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 183:9 | 172:25 | 180:20 | 130:17, 130:20, | 120:23 |
| identify [4]-137:14, | inaccurate [2]-172:1, | Infield [20] - 160:10, | $131: 14,134: 22,$ | involve [1] - 133:8 |
| 137:16, 137:17, | 174:8 | 160:11, 161:3, | $136: 23,153: 7$ | involved [7]-113:3, |
| 189:2 | inadequate [3] - | $161: 7,166: 16$ | instead [2]-188:15, | 118:14, 154:11, |
| IG [5] - 132:6, 133:1, | 114:12, 117:16, | 166:25, 167:5, | 204:14 | 168:9, 171:20, |
| 153:15, 153:16, | 129:8 | 171:1, 171:18, | instruments [3]- | 173:18, 173:19 |
| 153:25 | incident [2]-153:4, | 173:18, 175:25, | 165:18, 202:1, 202:9 | involvement [5] - |
| ignored [2]-145:21, | 157:19 | 178:13, 179:21, | Integrated [1] - 112:25 | 105:1, 141:17, |
| $146: 25$ | includes [1] - 198:1 | 181:6, 181:17, | integrated [7]-113:6, | 163:18, 171:11, |
| IIM [46] - 104:20, | including [4]-105:14, | 181:18, 183:1, | 113:7, 165:4, | 174:23 |
| 105:11, 108:17, | $\text { 112:24, 125:2, } 135: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 184: 18,185: 13 \\ & 187: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 165:24, 172:25, | IRMS [24]-112:25, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 108: 22,112: 2, \\ & 112: 8,123: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { income [4]-132:1, } \\ 132: 2,165: 19, \end{gathered}$ | INFIELD [4]-160:13, | intend [2] - 180:4 | 136:1, 136:3, $164: 25,165: 3,$ |
| $124: 18,125: 8$ | $191: 20$ | $160: 23,160: 25$ | $197: 17$ | $165: 5,165: 7,$ |
| 125:15, 127:14, | Inconsistent [1] - | 207:8 | intentional [1] - | 165:21, 166:1, |
| 128:1, 128:14, | 173:4 | inflows [1]-196:12 | 117:20 | 166:3, 166:6, 167:4, |
| 130:12, 131:20, | incorrect [3]-114:20, | information [46] | interest [16] - 122:25, | $167: 13,167: 15$ |
| 132:8, | 175:11, 177:10 | 109:4, 115:25 | $123: 1,128: 22$ | 167:22, 172:7 |
| $135: 25,136: 21,$ | increase [1]-191:3 | 117:1, 121:18, | 154:23, 155:4, | $172: 8,172: 14$ |
| $141: 7,141: 17$ | increment [1] - 202:11 | 122:2, 122:3, 122:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 155: 11,155: 12 \\ & 169: 24,170: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 173: 25,175: 2, \\ & 176: 24,179: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 144:18, 150:18, | independent [3] - | 124:1, 133:10, | $195: 23,196: 25,$ | 184:9 |
| 150:20, 150:25, | 122:10, 122:13, | 134:16, 143:1, | 197:6, 197:9, | irrelevant [2] - 170:23, |
| 151:4, 151:13, | 124:17 | 145:3, 151:11, | 197:22, 197:25, | 185:3 |
| 151:16, 157:7, | independently [2] | 153:10, 158:15, | 198:1 | IRS [1] - 192:13 |
| $168: 14,174: 17$ | 122:2, 124:22 | $165: 1,166: 8,166: 9$ | Interior [5] - 101:7, | isolated [1] - 172:3 |
| 174:19, 176:13, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Indian [12]-112:6, } \\ 113: 19,140: 16, \end{gathered}$ | $167: 14,167: 15,$ $172: 6,173: 1$ | $143: 21,154: 17$ | $115: 12,127: 24$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 186: 12,186: 17 \\ & 189: 9,190: 3,19 \end{aligned}$ | 161:12, 162:3, | 173:24, 174:7, | Internal | $\begin{aligned} & 129: 5,135: 15, \\ & 138: 1,138: 6,152 \end{aligned}$ |
| 194:8, 195:18, | 163:24, 165:6, | $174: 8,175: 11$ | internal [26] - 105:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 138: 1,138: 6,152: 4 \\ & 157: 1,158: 6,179: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 199:13, 199:22 | $172: 4,173: 24$ | 176:3, 176:9, | 107:17, 107:22, | 197:4, 201:20, 202:8 |
| illuminated [1] - 143:19 | 182:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 176: 24,177: 1, \\ & 177: 2,177: 7,177: \end{aligned}$ | 107:23, 108:3, $109: 22,110: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { issued [2] - 107:5, } \\ & 202: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Indiana [3]-162:9, | $178: 6,178: 7,1$ |  | 202:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { imagination [1] - } \\ & \text { 139:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $168: 7,168: 11$ | 178:10, 179:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 4,111: 6 \\ & 111: 13,114: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { issues [9]-114:25, } \\ 119: 22,134: 12, \end{gathered}$ |
| imagine [1] - 146:1 | $163: 14,169: 17$ | 180:4, 181:14, 191:7 | 119:13, 119:24, | 136:21, 145:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { impact [2]-197:9, } \\ & 200: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 186:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { informed [2] - } 121: 23 \text {, } \\ & \text { 154:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 25,120: 5, \\ & 120: 6,123: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $164: 10,179: 9$ |
| implementation | indicate [2]-124:18, | inherited [1]-163:16 | 123:16, 135:2, | 181:12, 196:19 issuing [2] - 130:3, |
| $175: 15,178: 5$ | 147:5 <br> indicated [7]-123:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { initial [2] - 107:21, } \\ & 151: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135: 19,135: 21, \\ & 135: 23,136: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $196: 18$ |
| implemented [5] | 126:13, 126:20, | injunctive [1]-203:10 | 137:5, 137:19, | Item [1]-115:18 <br> item [5]-115:18, |
| 183:20, 183:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 137: 2,139: 17 \\ & 203: 24,204: 16 \end{aligned}$ | input [2] - 113:5, | 137:24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { item }[5]-115: 18, \\ 117: 6,117: 15, \end{gathered}$ |
| 184:4, 184:6, 184:11 | indicates [2] - 126:10, | $113: 8$ | Internet [1] - 193:15 | 118:22, 133:22 |
| importance [1] - 167:5 | $134: 21$ | inquire [1] - 197:8 | interrelated [1] - 165:8 | items [4]-116:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { important [5] - } \\ & \text { 157:19, 159:2, } \end{aligned}$ | indication [1]-181:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inquiry [2] - 117:8, } \\ & 154: 4 \end{aligned}$ | interview [1]-132:6 interviewed [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 127: 22,135: 2, \\ & 171: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 178:1, 203:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { individual [20]-109:2, } \\ & \text { 112:6, 112:20, } \end{aligned}$ | inside [1] - 119:23 | 153:16 | iterations [1]-193:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { impossibility [1] - } \\ & \text { 130:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113: 18,127: 14, \\ & 128: 25,130: 20, \end{aligned}$ | inspector [1]-193:12 instance [9] - 124:7, | introduce [1] - 155:19 introduction [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { itself }[7]-122: 5, \\ 124: 4,126: 7, \end{gathered}$ |




|  | ```nonjudgment [2] - 145:20, 146:25 nonsegregation [1] - 178:11 normal [1] - 150:6 North [1] - 103:3 Nos [1] - 139:25 note [5] - 148:13, 156:5, 156:8, 159:8, 195:9 noted [6] - 115:3, 134:22, 136:23, 156:18, 186:5, 190:7 notes [1] - 166:18 nothing [6]-104:10, 124:12, 132:13, 160:22, 187:24, 188:23 noticed [2]-129:16, 153:24 notification [3] - 122:15, 122:18, 154:16 notion [2]-116:24, 203:16 November [1] - 183:3 Number [2]-171:24, 171:25 number [30] - 113:12, 115:4, 117:6, 126:1, 127:3, 128:10, 128:23, 131:14, 136:18, 136:23, 145:7, 145:15, 148:24, 157:9, 157:16, 157:17, 157:24, 158:1, 164:4, 165:8, 165:14, 165:16, 176:19, 177:25, 179:23, 180:6, 180:11, 193:11, 200:20 numbered [1] - 173:3 numbers [8]-110:15, 158:18, 158:25, 159:1, 166:12, 172:17, 180:9, 196:2 NW [4] - 101:15, 101:23, 103:6, 103:15``` |  | ```Oil [1]-162:20 Oklahoma [2] - 161:22, 164:16 old [4]-146:11, 159:14, 195:6, 201:20 old-fashioned [1] - 146:11 OMB [8]-190:18, 190:20, 190:23, 191:1, 191:3, 193:17, 194:20, 199:19 once [3]-137:17, 159:9, 159:12 one [76] - 108:10, 108:22, 110:15, 110:17, 114:2, 114:24, 115:6, 115:9, 117:2, 119:8, 119:11, 120:11, 122:14, 122:16, 124:3, 126:21, 127:23, 129:17, 129:25, 131:3, 131:14, 132:15, 133:4, 133:5, 133:6, 133:22, 137:1, 137:20, 138:15, 138:25, 139:3, 144:20, 145:8, 148:17, 148:23, 149:16, 151:1, 157:9, 157:13, 157:19, 159:18, 160:1, 160:2, 161:16, 163:4, 166:2, 168:23, 169:6, 170:4, 170:9, 170:11, 171:24, 172:7, 172:9, 172:14, 172:23, 173:6, 175:24, 178:23, 181:12, 182:9, 182:17, 184:6, 186:24, 187:6, 192:2, 194:1, 196:7, 196:10, 201:1, 202:21, 203:15, 204:15, 204:21 One [4] - 122:20, 134:12, 154:12, 155:15 one-and-a-half [2] - 170:9, 170:11 ones [3]-177:12, 180:25, 192:11 oops [1] - 124:6 open [4]-117:19,``` | ```129:18, 129:19, 176:8 opened [2]-129:17, 134:23 operate [2]-169:24, 178:25 operated [3]-165:19, 167:17, 172:10 operating [4]-108:10, 119:6, 121:25, \(201: 8\) operation [2]-162:15, 182:9 operational [2] - 172:7, 179:5 operations [1] - 104:20 Operations [1] - 161:13 operator [1]-162:4 opine [3]-109:10, 109:11, 109:19 opinion [9]-106:3, 108:16, 108:19, 109:15, 156:19, 182:1, 192:22, 199:15, 199:17 opinions [2] - 106:3, 106:6 opportunity [2] - 117:20, 186:11 order [11]-179:3, 181:13, 181:24, 188:5, 188:6, 188:7, 192:3, 192:21, 202:6, 202:9, 203:11 organizations [2] - 163:15, 163:16 original [4]-108:21, 109:8, 115:11, 130:11 originally [2]-108:2, 119:19 Osage [19]-161:22, 162:1, 162:5, 162:8, 162:14, 163:1, 163:2, 163:6, 163:19, 163:24, 164:10, 164:13, 164:18, 166:2, 168:24, 168:25, 169:1, 169:22, 181:11 Osages [1] - 163:3 OST [2] - 168:3, 185:24 OTFM [2] - 174:20, 174:22 otherwise [4]- 195:19, 198:18, 199:23, 200:18``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| 182:21, 193:16, | 184:13 | 123:15, 125:7, | provide [9]-109:22, | questioning [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 193:20, 198:10 | President [1]-190:23 | 125:10, 127:9, | 120:9, 121:16, | 159:9 |
| position [10]-156:22, | President 's [3] | 133:15, 136:17, | 122:12, 122:18, | questions [18]-105:8, |
| 161:20, 161:21, | 190:20, 190:25, | 143:6, 143:16, | 142:18, 150:14, | $106: 2,112: 8,$ |
| 164:20, 167:6, | 191:10 | 143:18, 154:11, | 158:14, 180:8 | 118:24, 120:17, |
| 167:8, 168:5, | presuming [1] - | 176:23, 182:18, | provided [6]-122:2, | $134: 7,137: 15$ |
| 174:15, 178:22, | 175:10 | 183:13, 183:22, | 122:4, 122:14, | 140:7, 155:17, |
| 186:21 | pretty [3] - 107:25, | 184:3 | 126:23, 153:1, | 156:3, 156:8, |
| positions [1]-167:18 | 137:12, 166:5 | processed [1]-131:8 | 164:23 | 166:24, 184:19, |
| positive [16]-125:16, | previous [2]-193:14, | processes [2] 143.22 157:4 | providing [1] - 179:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 185: 12,187: 23, \\ & 190: 5,198: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| $125: 21,126: 19,$ | previously [6] - 110:3, | processing | public [1]-108:4 | $203: 22$ |
| 138:15, 138:16, | 117:24, 121:18, | 118:22 | pull [3] - 114:6, | quit [1]-205:9 |
| 138:21, 138:25, | 138:24, 156:6, | procurement [2] - | 120:19, 138:9 | quite [2]-108:8, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 148: 4,148: 16, \\ & 148 \cdot 17 \quad 148 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $156: 18$ | $191: 5,191: 6$ | pulling [1] - 158:18 | $196: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:17, 148:19, } \\ & \text { 148:22, 149:3, 198:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price [1] - 189:22 } \\ & \text { prima [1] - 180:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { produced [2]-103:19, } \\ & \text { 187:13 } \end{aligned}$ | punitive [2]-203:4, 203:7 | quote [1]-134:5 |
| positives [1]-148:5 | Primarily [1] - 151:18 | product [1]-173:12 | purpose [6] - 111:14, | R |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 152:22, 159:18 } \\ \text { possible [11]-115:13, } \end{gathered}$ | principal [2]-192:11, | 162:10, 169:3, 173:8 | 143:4, 159:19 | raise [2] - 117:8, |
| 123:5, 123:11, | print [1]-126:6 | 189:7, 189:13 | 2:16, 162:17 | 60:1 |
| 124:25, 125:11, | printed [1] - 148:7 | proffer [2]-179:13, | 196:10 | raises [1]-192:14 |
| 136:11, 136:18, | printing [1] - 126:6 | 199:16 | pursuant [1] - 168:2 | ran [4] - 113:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 145: 25,147: 4, \\ & 147: 15,172: 23 \end{aligned}$ | priorities [2]-190:20, | profits [1]-189:11 | put [15]-139:2, | 141:13, 164:24 $174: 17$ |
| possibly [1] - 144:24 | prioritized [1] - 168:20 | 116:18, 161:23, | 157:16, 157:17, | ranging [1] - 113:24 |
| Possibly [1] - 140:25 | prison [1] - 153:22 | $161: 24$ | $166: 9,168: 8,173: 8$ | Rapihy [1] - 204:6 |
| potential [1] - 124:8 | problem [13]-111:16, | $167: 9,167: 20,173: 7$ | 173:10, 173:11, | rarely [1] - 127:4 |
| powers [1] - 202:14 | 117:21, 118:16, | programmers [3]- | $195: 8,199: 5,205: 3$ | $\text { rate }[7]-149: 7 \text {, }$ |
| practically [1] - 192:1 | $118: 19,121: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 167: 22,167: 25, \\ & 170.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { puts [4] - 158:23, } \\ 159: 1.190: 21 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:10, 149:11, } \\ & 149: 19 . ~ 174: 11 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 141:13, 151:17, | 134:9, 139:5, | programming | 196:25 | $185: 7,185: 13$ |
| 189:7 | 147:16, 177:24 | 172:13 | putting [1]-190:22 | rather [3]-114:22, |
| practices [1] - 134:23 | Problems [1] - 171:20 | programs [3]-119:9, | PX [2] - 207:17, | 197:14, 198:3 |
| Practices [1] - 173:4 | problems [12] - | $191: 1,191: 11$ <br> project [10] - 168: | 207:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ray }[1]-104: 6 \\ & \text { RAY [2] - 106:13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| praying [1] - 193:8 | $119: 24,133: 4$ | 173:20, 173:22, | Q | 207:5 |
| 116:24 | 8:19, 168:20 | 4:20, 174:21 |  | read [26]-111:9 |
| pregnant [1]-132:9 | 172:3, 172:17, | $175: 4,175: 24,186: 4$ | qualified [3] - 108:19, 109:10, 194-19 | 112:13, 117:15, |
| premise [1] - 157:25 | $173: 6,184: 6$ | projects [5] - 168:9, 168:14, 168:15 | qualify [1] - 105:23 | 118:23, 121:2, <br> 129:8, 134:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { preparation [1] - } \\ & \text { 192:19 } \end{aligned}$ | procedural [1]-114:9 <br> procedure [1] - 116:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 168: 14,168: 15, \\ & 171: 10,173: 19 \end{aligned}$ | quantifiable [ | $138: 14,192: 20$ |
| prepare [4]-158:9, | procedures [5] - | proper [4]-122:14, | 199:24 | $192: 22,193: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 192: 21,198: 4, \\ & 205: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 114:11, 119:12, | 128:18, 134:2, $159: 2$ <br> Proper [1] - 133:24 | 123:1, 154:23, | 193:2, 193:3, 193:7, 193:8, 193:9, |
| prepared [5] - 110:21, | 179:6 | properly [1]-119:16 | 157:15, 158:13, | 193:10, 193:11, |
| 142:13, 188:15, | Proceed [1] - 189:17 | property [3] - 165:15, 165:20, 187:13 | $158: 24,159: 1$ <br> quantify [3]-157:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 193: 15,193: 19, \\ & 193: 21,198: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| preparing [2] - 142:6, | proceed [1] - 197:20 <br> Proceedings [2] - | propose [1]-190:23 | 197:13, 197:18 | $198: 8,199: 13,200: 6$ |
| 142:22 | $103: 19,206: 2$ | proposition [1]- | quantum [1] - 165:11 | reading [3]-154:3, |
| presence [1]-160:1 | proceedings [5] - | 137:13 | quarter [2]-131:15, | $155: 9,198: 15$ |
| present [2]-159:24, | $154: 13,155: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { protect [2] - } 181: 24 \text {, } \\ & 182: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 163:21 <br> Quarterly [1] - 163:22 | reads [1]-148:14 <br> ready [3] - 104:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 176:3 } \\ & \text { presented [1] - 110:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 173: 16,184: 17 \\ & 208: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:10 } \\ & \text { protection [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | Quarterly [1] - 163:22 <br> quarterly [2] - 164:5, | $\begin{gathered} \text { ready [3] - 104:5, } \\ 183: 18,205: 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| preserve [2] - 181:24, | proceeds [1]-162:22 | 200:21 | 196:22 | realization [1] - |
| $182: 10$ | process [18]-116:1, | prove [2] - 157:25, | questionable [1] - | $121: 16$ |




| 177:16, 177:18, | Stability [1] - 189:22 | $185: 11,187: 23$ | subsystem [3] - | SWORN [3] - 106:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 196:19, 196:24, | stable [1] - 196:13 | 194:16, 202:23, | 165:10, 165:18, | $160: 25,190: 14$ |
| 201:11, 204:17 | stack [1] - 129:20 | 203:24, 204:2 | 173:25 | Synard [1] - 144:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { somewhat [1] - } \\ & 120 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | stacks [1] - 129:15 staff [2]-144:11 | Stemplewicz [1] - $207: 9$ | subsystems [3] - <br> 119:4, 165:7, 17 | sync [1] - 108:11 |
| somewhere [6] - | 155:1 | step [3]-150:9 | subtract [1] - 159:6 | 112:21, 112:23, |
| 16:13, 125:15, | staffs [1] - 191:19 | 168:23, 188: | sued [2] - 187:18, | 113:6, 113:8, |
| 126:1, 147:12, | stand [1] - 188:20 | steps [1] - 172:23 | 187:20 | 113:19, 115:1, |
| 148:25, 170:9 | standard [2]-116:17, | sticky [1] - 195:9 | suggest [2] - 169:19, | 115:25, 117:2, |
| sorry [10]-138:14, | 119:8 | still [9]-104:23, | 170:19 | 118:13, 119:4, |
| 141:5, 142:8, 144:5, | standardization [3] - | 148:16, 164:4, | suggested [1] - | 119:7, 120:1, 120:5, |
| 145:11, 150:22, | 116:7, 116:11, 117:3 | 174:21, 184:11, | 145:19 | 120:9, 120:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 152: 9,171: 25 \\ & 181: 18.186: 16 \end{aligned}$ | standing [2]-111:17, | $186: 14,195: 12$ | suggesting [1] - | $131: 7,134: 14$ $135: 25,136: 2$ |
| 181:18, 186:16 | $152: 1$ | $\text { 201:19, } 201: 22$ | 145:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 135: 25,136: 2, \\ & 136: 3 \quad 149 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| sort [6] - 119:5, $131: 4$, 185:16, 186:17, | standpoint [2]- | $\begin{gathered} \text { stock [5] - 129:14 } \\ 129: 20,130: 4 . \end{gathered}$ | suggestion [3] - <br> 111.18, 146.2 | $149: 16,149: 17$ |
| $187: 21,196: 12$ | start [3]-115:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 129: 20,730: 4, \\ & 149: 22,201: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $170: 15$ | $150: 18,150: 20,$ |
| sorts [1] - 131:10 <br> sounds [2]-136:7, | $145: 5,161: 18$ | Stockton [3]-101:18, 101:22, 103:2 | Suite [2]-101:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 25,164: 25, \\ & 165: 4,165: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| $136: 8$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { started [6]-107:23, } \\ 126: 17,154: 6, \end{gathered}$ | stole [1] - 130:20 | SULLIVAN [3] - | $165: 25,166: 7,$ |
| source [4]-122:3, | $161: 16,168: 1,178: 3$ | stopped [1] - $141: 3$ | $103: 13,208: 2,208: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166: 13,167: 4, \\ & 167: 21,169: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 122: 10,145: 9, \\ & 145: 12 \end{aligned}$ | starting [1] - 204:3 | store [1] - 120:13 | summarize [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 167: 21,169: 10, \\ & 169: 11,172: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sources [2] - 113:5, } \\ & 113: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 111:18, 146:24, | 197:14 | summary [6] - 110:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 172: 14,173: 1, \\ & 173: 7,173: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| speaks [1] - 182:2 | statement [18] - | stream [1] - 166:11 | 171:19, 189:3 | 174:2, 174:5, 174:6, |
| Special [3]-168:2, 168:8, 168:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 23,111: 15, \\ & 121: 13,125: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Street [5]-101:15, } \\ 101: 19,101: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sunday [3] - 152:7, } \\ 152: 10,152: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176: 6,177: 9 \\ & 177: 10,177: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { special }[3]-131: 2, \\ 147: 13,191: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 4,126: 7, \\ & 126: 25,127: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 103:2, 103:6 <br> stricken [1]-124:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supervision }[1] \text { - } \\ & 114: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $177: 23,178: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { specialist [3]-164:21, } \\ & 164: 22,165: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 138:19, 139:8, | strong [1] - 120:4 | supervisory [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 178: 12,178: 21, \\ & 178: 25,179: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| specific [14]-115:2, | 149:21, 155:10 |  | pplie | 182:12, 184:9 |
| 135:3, 136:2, | 4:18, 175:12, | 176:20 | support [4]-116:1, | System [1] - 112:25 |
| 136:21, 145:24 | 200:11, 201:2 | study [1] - 192:20 | $137: 10,167: 24,$ | systems [48]-105:13, |
| 147:3, 153:13, | statements [7] - | Subcommittee | 177:22 | 107:14, 107:18, |
| 154:21, 154:22, | 108:17, 126:4, | 147:22 | suppose [2]-139:13, | 108:4, 108:11, |
| 164:10, 165:17, | 126:6, 127:9, | subject [1] - 120:1 | 185:5 | 112:1, 112:13, |
| 180:23, $181: 2$, | 150:24, 154:21, | subjected [1] - 115:22 | supposed [9] | 112:24, 113:10, |
| 193:22 | 199:3 | subjects [1]-192:2 | 114:18, 114:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 113: 13,113: 16, \\ & 112 \cdot 17 \text { 111.11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| specifically [3] - | STATES [2] - 101:1, | submitted [3] - | $122: 25,127: 11$ | 114:15, 114:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 136: 15,146: 1, \\ & 153: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 101:12 | 110:15, 130:17, | 128:9, 128:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:15, 114:17, } \\ & 115: 6,115: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $153: 11$ | states [3] - $136: 2$, | 182:24 | 157:12, 157:15, | $115: 15,119: 3$ |
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