

Investigations into Cultural Techniques for the Commercial Production of the Coastal Halophyte Seabeach Amaranth (*Amaranthus pumilis* Raf.)

William Skaradek, Meagan Hess and James Futrell. 2003.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Cape May Plant Materials Center, Cape May Court House NJ 08210

Statistical Analysis Assistance provided by:
Rutgers Cooperative Extension Service, Agriculture Extension Agent Russell Blair.

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine if sea beach amaranth responds to different color plastic mulches.

Four treatments of plastic color mulch were chosen based upon anticipated variations in soil temperatures associated with solar radiation transmissivity and reflectivity of the membrane. They were red, black, green and white. Preliminary results indicated that the red and black mulches did improve overall plant growth. Additionally, the use of the plastic mulch did drastically improve seed harvest efficiencies when compared to the 1998 study.

Final data will be collected in the summer of 2005 when the treatment randomization design will be improved upon.

Introduction

Seabeach amaranth (*Amaranthus pumilis*) is an annual member of the Amaranth family (*Amaranthaceae*). The species primary habitat consists of over wash flats at accreting ends of barrier islands, lower fore dunes and upper strands of non-eroding beaches.

Sea beach amaranth was considered extirpated from the State of New Jersey since about 1918. In about 1995 the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers conducted a beach replenishment project in the Sea Bright New Jersey area. In 2000, the plant was observed and reported as occurring in the National Park Service Sandy Hook Unit and southward to Monmouth Beach Borough. Because the plant is on the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service had jurisdictional authority over the management and recovery of this species.

Three years prior to the re-appearance of sea beach amaranth in New Jersey, personnel from the USDA Natural Resources Conservation

Service Cape May Plant Materials Center were invited to an *Amaranthus pumilis* conference held in the Outer Banks of North Carolina and attended by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers and coordinated by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Endangered Species coordinator Nora Murdock. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss potential impacts of Army Corp beach replenishment to existing populations of amaranth occurring in the Bogue Banks region of North Carolina. During this meeting, the USDA NRCS Cape May PMC agreed to provide some interagency assistance to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on better understanding effective propagation protocols for this species. In 1998 USDA NRCS Cape May PMC staff conducted initial evaluations pertaining to the survivability of producing this coastal halophyte in loamy soils. The experiment was successful with 100% survival in five replicated polycross nursery plots. The difficulty of harvesting seed and separating the seed from the sand/soil indicated that some other nursery protocol needed to be evaluated.

During the fall of 2002, the USDA NRCS Cape May PMC entered into a Military Interdepartmental Procurement Request (MIPR) with the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers New York District. The MIPR agreement was entered into to assist the Army in mitigating the impacts that a beach maintenance project was anticipated to have on existing populations of sea beach amaranth. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service were consulted and each agency agreed on a course of action.

During the fall of 2002 PMC personnel collected seed from existing populations of sea beach amaranth at Sea Bright NJ and the Sandy Hook unit of the National Park Service. Materials were cleaned and stored properly.

Materials and Methods:

Seed of sea beach amaranth was stratified for 45 days at 3 degrees Centigrade in zip lock bags containing a mixture of 50% sand and 50% promix growing media. Stratified materials were then placed in standard solid bottom nursery flats and placed into the greenhouse. Upon emergence materials were transplanted into 5 cm containers using a mixture of peat/sand 9:1 ratio. Greenhouse conditions were simulating 14 hour photo period using 400 watt high pressure sodium lights and diurnal temperatures of 27 degrees Centigrade during the days and 10 degrees Centigrade during the night.

Field plots were prepared and red, green, black and white plastic mulch 1.25 cm thickness was

installed in the field. T-tape with emitters every 30.5 centimeter was installed under the plastic mulch for irrigation purposes.

On June 20th, the plants were transplanted 30 cm. apart directly into the plastic mulch treatments with one control planted without plastic mulch along the southern edge of the experiment. The treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCDB) with nine replications (df=36). Each experimental unit consisted of 5 plants (n=180). Shoot height (cm) and length (cm) were measured eight weeks after planting in the field. Shoot length was defined as the distance from the crown to the terminal bud of the longest shoot growing horizontally to the ground. Shoot height was defined as the distance from the crown to the terminal bud growing vertically to the ground.

Results and Discussion:

There was a highly significant effect (P<0.0001) of color of plastic mulch on height and length of shoot growth (see Table 1). Plants grown on red plastic and black plastic had significantly longer shoots (27.3 and 26.6 cm respectively) than plants grown on green plastic (19.9 cm) or white plastic (12.8 cm). Plants grown on red plastic (9.1 cm) produced shoots with the greatest height in the study.

Summary:

Results suggest that red plastic and black plastic mulches improved overall plant growth in comparison to the other mulches evaluated.

Table 1. Effect of plastic mulch on growth of *Amaranthus pumulis* (seabeach amaranth) in Cape May Court House, N.J., 2003.

Treatment	Shoot Growth (cm) ¹	
	Length	Height
Red Plastic	27.2a	9.1a
Black Plastic	26.6a (-2.2%)	8.0b (-12.1%)
Green Plastic	20.0b (-26.5%)	6.8c (-25.3%)
White Plastic	12.8c (-52.9%)	5.9c (-35.1%)
Pr > F	<0.0001	<0.0001

¹Mean separation performed within column.

References and Citations:

- Brenner, D. et al. 2000. Genetic Resource and Breeding of *Amaranthus**. North Central Regional Plant Introduction Station Dept. of Agronomy, Iowa State University Ames, Iowa.
- Jolls, C., and J. Sellars. 2000. Germination, Ecology and Restoration of Seabeach Amaranth (*Amaranthus pumilis* Raf., Amaranthaceae). Dept. Biology East Carolina University Greenville NC.
- Kelly, J. 2002. Field Survey for Population of *Amaranthus pumilis* and Other Rare plant Species on the New Jersey Shore. New Jersey Dept. Environmental Protection Trenton, NJ.
- Lea, C. 2000. Study Plan for Seabeach Amaranth Restoration Assateague Island National Seashore. USDI National Park Service Berlin, Maryland.
- Lea, C. 2001. Seabeach Amaranth Restoration Progress Report, 2000. USDI National Park Service Assateague Island National Seashore Berlin, Maryland.
- Lea, C. 2001. Seabeach Amaranth Restoration Progress Report, 2001. USDI National Park Service Assateague Island National Seashore Berlin, Maryland.
- Murdock, N.¹, A. Weakley² and M. Bucher³. 1996. Recovery Plan for Seabeach amaranth (*Amaranthus Pumilis*) Rafinesque.
-
- ¹ U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Asheville Field Office Asheville, North Carolina.
² The Nature Conservancy, Southeast Regional Office Chapel Hill, North Carolina.
³ The Nature Conservancy North Carolina Field Office Durham, North Carolina.
- Murdock, N. 1993. Endangered Threatened Species of the Southeastern United States (The Red Book) FWS Region 4. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Division of Endangered Species Asheville, North Carolina.
- Radford, A.E., H. Ahles, and C.R. Bell. 1978. Manual of the vascular flora of the Carolinas. UNC Press, Chapel Hill North Carolina.
- Skaradek, W., and N. Murray. 1999. Survival of the Coastal Halophyte Sea Beach Amaranth (*Amaranthus pumilis*) in Loamy Soil Production. United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service Cape May Plant Materials Center, Cape May Court House NJ
- Strand, A.E. 2000. Outline of Current and Potential *Amaranthus pumilis* Restoration Ecology Research Projects. Dept. Biology College of Charleston Charleston, SC.
- Strand, A.E. 2002. Characterization of geographic genetic structure in *Amaranthus pumilis*. Dept. Biology, College of Charleston Charleston, South Carolina.
- Turner, J.E. 1998. Survey Report of sea beach amaranth (*Amaranthus pumilis*) for North Carolina Beaches. U.S. Army Corp of Engineers Wilmington District Office, Wilmington North Carolina.
- Walsh, W. 2002. Seabeach Amaranth (*Amaranthus pumilis*) Life History, Status and Threats. USDI Fish and Wildlife Service Ecological Sciences Region 5, Pleasantville, New Jersey.
- Walsh, W. 2002. Seabeach Amaranth Survey results, Sea Bright, Monmouth Beach and Sevens President Park Monmouth County New Jersey.