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## Objectives

This report presents national estimates of pregnancies and pregnancy rates according to women's age, race, and Hispanic origin, and by marital status, race, and Hispanic origin. Data are presented for 1976-96. Data from the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) are used to show information on sexual activity, contraceptive practices, and infertility, as well as women's reports of pregnancy intentions.

## Methods

Tables of pregnancy rates and the factors affecting pregnancy rates are presented and interpreted. Birth data are from the birth-registration system for all births registered in the United States and reported by State health departments to NCHS; abortion data are from The Alan Guttmacher Institute (AGI) and the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); and fetal loss data are from pregnancy history information collected in the NSFG.

## Results

In 1996 an estimated 6.24 million pregnancies resulted in 3.89 million live births, 1.37 million induced abortions, and 0.98 million fetal losses. The pregnancy rate in 1996 was 104.7 pregnancies per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years, 9 percent lower than in 1990 (115.6), and the lowest recorded since 1976 (102.7). Since 1990 rates have dropped 8 percent for live births, 16 percent for induced abortions, and 4 percent for fetal losses.

The teenage pregnancy rate has declined considerably in the 1990's, falling 15 percent from its 1991 high of 116.5 per 1,000 women aged 15-19 years to 98.7 in 1996. Among the factors accounting for this decline are decreased sexual activity, increases in condom use, and the adoption of the injectable and implant contraceptives.
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## Highlights

This report presents comprehensive information on pregnancies and pregnancy rates in the United States. The study incorporates birth, abortion, and fetal loss data to compile national estimates of pregnancy rates according to characteristics such as age, race and Hispanic origin, and marital status. Trends and variations in pregnancy rates are described and interpreted. Summary data on pregnancies by age are presented for 1976-96; 1996 is the most recent year for which abortion data by age are available. Pregnancy estimates by age, race and Hispanic origin, and by marital status, race, and Hispanic origin are shown for 1995, the latest year for which national abortion data are available by these characteristics.

## Number of Pregnancies

An estimated 6,240,000 pregnancies resulted in a live birth, induced abortion, or fetal loss in the United States in 1996, half a million fewer than the number estimated for $1990(6,778,000)$, when the number of pregnancies reached its peak. Since 1990 the total
number of pregnancies has declined steadily, by an average of more than 1 percent per year.

The 1996 total of 6.24 million pregnancies included 3.89 million live births, 1.37 million induced abortions, and 0.98 million fetal losses. This means that 62 percent of pregnancies in the U.S. ended in live birth, 22 percent in induced abortion, and 16 percent in fetal loss.

## Pregnancy Rates

The pregnancy rate, defined as the number of pregnancies per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years, was 104.7 in 1996, 9 percent lower than the rate in 1990 (115.6). The 1996 pregnancy rate is the lowest recorded since 1976 (102.7 per 1,000 ).

Pregnancy rates are highest for women in their early twenties, with a rate in 1996 of 183 pregnancies per 1,000 women aged $20-24$ years. Rates are also high among women aged 25-29 years ( 171 per 1,000 ) and older teenagers 18-19 years (146 per 1,000).

## Pregnancy Outcomes

Trends in the three components of the pregnancy rates (live births, induced

[^0]abortions, and fetal losses) have varied somewhat during the two decades, 1976-96. However, since 1990, rates for all components have declined. The rate for live births (fertility rate) fell 8 percent from 1990 to 1997; the 1997 rate matched the record low recorded in 1976 ( 65.0 births per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years). According to preliminary data, the fertility rate increased 1 percent in 1998 to 65.6 per 1,000. The generally downward trend in the fertility rate reflects generally stable or declining birth rates for women in all age groups under 30 years. The only age groups for which birth rates have consistently increased are women aged 30 years and over.

The rate for induced abortions declined 16 percent from 1990 to 1996-27.4 induced abortions per 1,000 women aged $15-44$ years to 22.9. This rate has fallen fairly steadily since its peak in 1980, at 29.4. Abortion rates generally declined for all age groups under 40 years between 1990 and 1995. Rates for teenagers continued to decline between 1995 and 1996, while rates for women aged 20 years and over held steady or increased slightly in 1996. Also contributing to the long-term decline in the overall rate was the shift in the age composition of women in the childbearing ages to older ages, when abortion rates are lower.

The fetal loss rate has also declined since 1990, but more modestly (4 percent), from 17.2 to 16.5 per 1,000 in 1996. The comparatively smaller changes in fetal loss rates also reflect the shifting age distribution of women of reproductive age, to ages at which fetal losses are relatively more likely.

## Trends in Teenage Pregnancy

Teenage pregnancy rates have declined considerably in the 1990's with declines found for all three pregnancy outcomes. The overall pregnancy rate was 98.7 per 1,000 women aged $15-19$ years in 1996, down 15 percent from its high point of 116.5 in 1991. The 1996 rate is the lowest recorded in the two decades for which this series of pregnancy rates is available.

The rate for live births to teenagers 15-19 years dropped 12 percent from 62.1 to 54.4 per 1,000 during 1991-96, and has continued to decline. The teenage birth rate has fallen a total of 18 percent from 1991 to 1998 (51.1), according to preliminary data. The first birth rate for teenagers was virtually unchanged in 1991-95; nearly all of the total 10-percent decline since 1991 occurred in 1996 and 1997. In contrast, the rate of second births to teenagers who have had one birth has fallen sharply, 21 percent since 1991. This decline was especially evident for black teenagers, for whom the second birth rate dropped 28 percent.

In contrast to the decline in the teenage birth rate which began after 1991, the induced abortion rate for teenagers began to decline after 1988. From 1988 to 1996, the abortion rate fell 33 percent from 43.5 to 29.2 per 1,000 . The fetal loss rate for teenagers declined 10 percent between 1991 and 1996 (15.2 per 1,000).

Among the factors accounting for the overall falling teenage pregnancy rates are decreased sexual activity, increases in condom use, and the adoption of the injectable and implant contraceptives. The switch from the pill to Depo-Provera and Norplant among black teenage mothers may be an important factor in the recent sharp decline in their second birth rates during the 1990's.

The pregnancy rates for non-Hispanic black and Hispanic teenagers are about twice as high as the rates for non-Hispanic white teenagers. The lower pregnancy rate for non-Hispanic white teenagers is due to both the lower proportion sexually active and the lower pregnancy rate for those who are sexually active. In 1995, 57 percent of non-Hispanic black teenagers and 52 percent of Hispanic teenagers compared with 46 percent of non-Hispanic white teenagers were sexually active. In 1995 about 1 out of 3 sexually active black and Hispanic teenagers became pregnant compared with about 1 out of 6 sexually active non-Hispanic white teenagers.

## Variations by Marital Status

The pregnancy rate for married women in 1995 was 113 per 1,000, 18 percent higher than for unmarried women, 96 per 1,000 . But the differences in birth and abortion rates for married and unmarried women were much larger: The birth rate for married women was 84 per 1,000 , nearly 10 times their abortion rate of 9 per 1,000 in 1995. For unmarried women, birth and abortion rates were nearly equal: Their birth rate was 45 per 1,000 and their abortion rate was 39 per 1,000 .

About three-quarters of pregnancies among married women ended in live births during the period 1980-95. In contrast to this relative stability, the proportion of pregnancies among unmarried women ending in live birth has increased from 33 percent in 1980 to 47 percent in 1995. These nonmarital pregnancies are now much less likely to end in abortion-4 in 10 in 1995 compared with 6 out of 10 in 1980.

Changes in marital status and cohabitation are important factors accounting for trends and variations in pregnancy rates for married and unmarried women. In fact, an analysis of birth patterns among unmarried women from the National Survey of Family Growth shows that almost all of the increase from the early 1980's to the early 1990's in births to unmarried women was in births to unmarried cohabiting women. In the period 1980-84, 29 percent of births to unmarried women were to cohabiting women. By 1990-94, this proportion increased to 39 percent. Most of the increase was found for non-Hispanic white women.

## Lifetime Pregnancies

Overall, U.S. women are currently expected to average 2.0 live births, 0.7 induced abortions, and 0.5 miscarriages and stillbirths (fetal losses), or 3.2 pregnancies each over their lifetimes, if they experience the age-specific pregnancy rates observed in 1995 throughout their childbearing ages. Lifetime pregnancy rates, including rates
for each pregnancy outcome, declined since 1990.

Estimates of lifetime pregnancy rates are also available for non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Hispanic women. In 1995 the rates were 2.7 pregnancies per woman for nonHispanic white women, and 4.6 pregnancies each for non-Hispanic black and Hispanic women. Since 1990, when these rates were first computed (when abortion data by race and Hispanic origin became available), the rate has declined considerably for non-Hispanic black women ( 12 percent from 5.2 to 4.6 per woman), almost twice the decline reported for non-Hispanic white women ( 7 percent from 2.9 to 2.7 per woman). The lifetime pregnancy rate for Hispanic women increased slightly, from 4.5 to 4.6 .

## Factors Affecting Variations and Trends in Pregnancy Rates

Data on sexual activity and contraceptive use based on information from the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) can help explain trends and variations in pregnancy rates. NSFG data on another aspect of pregnancy, whether the pregnancy was considered "wanted" by the woman when it happened, is closely linked to contraceptive use at the time of the pregnancy. Overall, U.S. women have an average of 3.2 pregnancies of which 1.8 are wanted births. On average, non-Hispanic white and black women report that they want about the same number of babies ( 1.7 and 1.8 births each, respectively), but black women have about 70 percent more pregnancies (4.6 compared with 2.7 pregnancies). Pregnancies among non-Hispanic black women are more than twice as likely to end in abortion as pregnancies among non-Hispanic white and Hispanic women. Hispanic women want and have substantially more births than either non-Hispanic white or black women.

Differences in pregnancy wantedness among non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Hispanic women are due in part to socioeconomic factors at the individual, family, and
neighborhood levels. For example, women with more education have fewer unwanted births. This pattern is found especially for non-Hispanic white and non-Hispanic black women, with a smaller effect found for Hispanic women. Women with more education use contraception more regularly and use more effective methods than their less educated counterparts. Differences in cultural factors help account for differences in pregnancy wantedness between Hispanic and non-Hispanic women.

In reviewing the declines in pregnancy, birth, and abortion rates for women in general and for teenagers in particular, it may be useful to consider several possible factors. One is changing attitudes toward premarital sex. Many public and private efforts have focused teenagers' attention on the importance of pregnancy prevention through abstinence and responsible behavior. Another is the adoption of new, easier-touse, effective birth control methods by some sexually active teenagers. Third is the long economic expansion in the 1990's, increasing economic opportunity for teenagers as well as older women. Sexual activity of teenagers, for example, is closely associated with simple measures of economic prosperity. Economic opportunity may have given teenagers a reason to more highly value education and work. Thus the educational and occupational goals of teenagers may have changed over the period and the changing attitudes and new contraceptive methods may have helped them attain their new goals. These factors may help explain the declines in teenage pregnancy rates during the 1990's.

## Introduction

Detailed national data on live births and birth rates from birth certificates are published annually by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). It is more challenging to assemble data on pregnancies and pregnancy rates because of difficulty in obtaining timely data for the remaining two types of pregnancy
outcome, induced abortions and fetal losses.

This is the fifth in a series of reports that combine data on registered births with estimates of induced abortions and fetal losses to estimate the number of pregnancies and pregnancy rates according to women's age, race, and Hispanic origin, and by marital status. The previous reports covered the periods 1976-81, 1976-85, 1980-88, and 1980-92 (1-4). This report includes data on pregnancies and pregnancy rates for the period 1976-96, with emphasis on the period 1990-96. Variations in pregnancies and pregnancy rates by age are shown for 1996. Data by age, race and Hispanic origin, and marital status are available, and are shown for 1990-95. Estimates of pregnancy rates for teenagers, calculated in different ways, have been published for 1980 and 1990-95 for most States, and for the United States for 1973-96 (5-8).

## Sources and Methods

The estimates of pregnancies in this report are the sum of the three outcomes: live birth, induced abortion, and fetal loss.

- The live birth data are complete counts of all live births tabulated from the birth-registration system. These data are published annually by NCHS (9-12).
- Estimates of the numbers and rates of induced abortions are derived from published and unpublished reports from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and The Alan Guttmacher Institute (AGI) (8, 13-18). The AGI estimates the national number of abortions from surveys it conducts of all known abortion providers, but it does not collect data on the characteristics of women having abortions (8, 13-15,17). The CDC's National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP) gathers data on the characteristics of women having abortions from most State health departments $(16,18)$. In 1996, for
example, information on the age of abortion patients was provided to CDC by 44 States and New York City (18). The AGI national totals are distributed by age, race, Hispanic origin, and by marital status, race, and Hispanic origin according to tabulations prepared by CDC's NCCDPHP.

States with no data or incomplete data on abortions, however, included California and Florida, which together accounted for an estimated 29 percent of abortions in 1996 $(14,18)$. This means that the characteristics of a large proportion of abortion patients are not known. Several other States have data that are known to be incomplete. The AGI estimates shown in this report assume that the women whose characteristics are known are representative of all women who had abortions. Detailed information on the adjustment procedures is provided in the Technical Notes.

- Estimates of fetal loss rates are based on sample survey data from the 1982, 1988, and 1995 National Surveys of Family Growth (NSFG), conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) (19-21). National samples of women aged 15-44 years were asked to report the dates and outcomes of each of their pregnancies, including spontaneous fetal losses from recognized pregnancies. Estimates of fetal loss rates for individual years 1976-81 are based on the 1982 NSFG; estimates for 1982-87 are based on averages for the 5 years before the 1982 and 1988 surveys; estimates for 1988 and 1989 are based on averages from the 1988 and 1995 surveys; and estimates for 1990-96 are based on the 1995 NSFG. For the small numbers of pregnancies to teenagers under 15 years, and for women aged 35 years and over, estimates of fetal loss are based on relatively small numbers of sample cases and should, therefore, be interpreted with caution. (See Technical Notes.)

The rate of fetal loss is highest in the early weeks of gestation (22). Most fetal losses reported here therefore are miscarriages; relatively few are stillbirths occurring late in pregnancy. Ectopic pregnancies are also included in the fetal losses. Because some women are not aware of very early fetal losses, the estimates in this report are estimates of fetal losses from recognized pregnancies. Estimates using special methods of detecting these very early losses would be higher. The estimates shown here incorporate age and race and Hispanic origin-specific rates of fetal loss from the NSFG. Other studies have estimated fetal losses differently; see Technical Notes $(8,17)$.

NSFG data on fetal losses, rather than fetal loss registration data, have been used in this report because registration data are generally limited to losses occurring at 20 weeks or more, whereas NSFG data include all gestations.

- Estimates of pregnancies and pregnancy rates previously published for 1988-92 (4) have been revised for this report. The revisions take into account more complete estimates of fetal losses as reported in the 1995 NSFG. It is believed that the higher levels of fetal losses reported in the 1995 NSFG represent more complete reporting rather than actual increases in the rate of fetal loss. The revisions are discussed in more detail in the Technical Notes.

Data shown by age of woman refer to her age at the outcome of the pregnancy. Some studies of abortion have used age at conception $(13,23)$. Pregnancy estimates by marital status in this report are based on the woman's marital status at the time the pregnancy ended rather than at time of conception; the effect of this methodology on the estimates is described in the section on trends in pregnancy rates by marital status.

Since 1990 the CDC's NCCDPHP has obtained information on the race and Hispanic origin of abortion patients
from most State health departments. Therefore, pregnancy data for 1990-95 are shown for non-Hispanic white women, non-Hispanic black women, and Hispanic women. Prior to 1990, information on induced abortion was available only for white women and women of all other races combined. Trend data for the period 1976-95, therefore are limited to the categories "white" and "all other."

The trend data for the "all other" category are affected by compositional changes. In 1995, 75 percent of "all other" births were to black women, down somewhat from 84 percent in 1980. This reflects the growing proportions of American Indian and Asian or Pacific Islander births in the United States (9). The proportion of abortions to "all other" women that were to black women was 85 percent in 1995, compared with 89 percent in 1990. This information is not available for years prior to 1990 .

## Results

## Trends

An estimated 6,240,000 pregnancies resulted in a live birth, induced abortion, or fetal loss in 1996, more than half a million fewer than the peak number estimated for $1990(6,778,000)$ (table 1). The total number of pregnancies has declined steadily since 1990, by an average of a little more than 1 percent annually. During the years 1976-90, the estimated number of pregnancies increased in all but 2 years.

The pregnancy rate has also declined steadily in the 1990 's-by 9 percent overall-from 115.6 pregnancies per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years in 1990 to 104.7 in 1996. The 1996 rate is the lowest recorded since 1976 (102.7) (table 1). While the estimated number of pregnancies in 1996 was 25 percent higher than in 1976, the pregnancy rate was just 2 percent higher. Much of the increase in the number of pregnancies over the two decades 1976-96 is due to the

22-percent growth in the population of women in the childbearing ages; this increase was most rapid during the late 1970's and early 1980's $(24,25)$.

The trends in the components of the pregnancy rates have varied somewhat during the period 1976-96. From 1976 to 1980, the overall pregnancy rate rose 9 percent. This increase is reflected in a 21-percent increase in the induced abortion rate and 5 percent increases each in the live birth rate and the fetal loss rate. During the 1980 's, the pregnancy rate initially declined by 5 percent through 1986, and then increased 8 percent to 1990 . The trends in the live birth and fetal loss rates were parallel to the overall pregnancy rate. In contrast, the induced abortion rate declined fairly steadily beginning in 1980, with an overall reduction of 7 percent from 1980 to 1990 . The 9-percent decline in the overall pregnancy rate between 1990 and 1996 was reflected in reductions in all components-the fertility rate (live births) (down 8 percent), the induced abortion rate (down 16 percent), and the fetal loss rate (down 4 percent).

## Trends by Age

Pregnancies and pregnancy rates were lower in 1996 than in 1990 for women in all age groups under age 30 years (tables 2 and 3 and figures 1-3). Over the time period 1976-96, pregnancy rates for women under age 30 peaked in 1990 or 1991. Rates for women in their thirties have risen fairly steadily since 1976; rates in 1996 for these women were the highest ever recorded. Rates for women in their forties have generally increased since 1984. More of the increase in the pregnancy rate for older women is associated with the substantial rise in first birth rates for women in their thirties and early forties, than in the second birth rates for these women. There has been an increasing tendency for many women in this age group to postpone their first birth. For example, the first birth rate for childless women aged 30-34 years rose by more than two-thirds from 1975 (53.4 first births per 1,000 ) to 1996 (90.1) and continued to rise in 1997 to 92.1 (table A) $(11,26)$.


Figure 1. Pregnancy rates by age: United States, 1980-96

Changes in rates of live births by age were quite similar to the changes in pregnancy rates. In contrast, rates of induced abortion have generally
declined since 1990 for all age groups, although there was a slight upturn in 1996 in the rates for women in age group 20-39 years. The most dramatic


Figure 2. Pregnancy, birth, and abortion rates for teenagers 15-17 years


Figure 3. Pregnancy, birth, and abortion rates for teenagers 18-19 years
decline in abortion rates since 1990 has been for teenagers 15-17 years-down 28 percent (figure 2). The rate for older teenagers 18-19 years fell 22 percent (figure 3). Rates for women aged 20-24 years, the ages when abortion rates are highest, were 11 percent lower in 1996 than in 1990, and rates for women in their thirties were 8 percent lower. The rate for women aged $25-29$ years in 1996 was just 1 percent lower than in 1990. While induced abortion rates for teenagers in 1996 were substantially lower than in 1980, rates for women aged 20-39 years in 1996 were about the same as in 1980, despite the declines since 1990.

The drop in abortion rates for all age groups has resulted in a decline in the overall abortion rate from 1990 through 1995 (the rate held steady in 1996). The decline was reinforced and strengthened by changes in the age distribution of women in the childbearing ages. For example, the
proportion of all women aged 15-44 years who were 18-29 years of age (the age group when abortion rates are highest) declined from 40 percent in 1990 to 36 percent in 1996 (24).

## Trends in First and Second Births

The trends in birth rates for first and second births in the United States by age for 1975-97 are striking (table A). According to birth certificate data, the first birth rate for teenagers (first births to teenagers per 1,000 childless teenagers) changed very little between 1991 when it peaked and 1995 (49.6 in 1991 and 49.2 in 1995). Nearly all the decline in the first birth rate for teenagers from 1991 to 1997 (a 10-percent decline) occurred in 1996 and 1997 (44.7 per 1,000). In the 1990's, the second birth rate for teenagers (second births per 1,000 women who have had a first birth) did change dramatically; the second birth
rate peaked in 1991 at 220.9 , and declined to 173.7 in 1997-a decline of 21 percent in just 6 years.

For women aged 20-24 years, the first birth rate changed very little during the early 1990's and declined just 3 percent from 1995 to 1997. The second birth rate for women aged 20-24 years has declined but at a somewhat slower pace than observed for teenagers; the rate fell 13 percent from its peak in 1990 through 1996 and then increased slightly in 1997. For women aged 25-29 years, the first birth rate declined 5 percent from its 1990 peak to 1995, followed by a slight increase during 1995-97. The second birth rate fell 7 percent between 1990 and 1997.

The first and second birth rates at ages 30-34 and 35-39 years both continued to increase in the 1990's, although at a slower pace than during the years 1975-90. The first birth rate for childless women aged 30-34 years increased from 81.0 per 1,000 in 1990 to 92.1 per 1,000 in 1997 , an increase of about 14 percent; the second birth rate only increased about 6 percent.

At ages 35-39 years, the first birth rate increased from 36.1 per 1,000 in 1990 to 44.5 in 1997, up 23 percent, while the second birth rate at age 35-39 years increased 18 percent from 50.7 per 1,000 to 59.7. Both rates more than doubled from 1975 to 1997. Clearly, birth rates for women aged 35-39 years increased because of delayed first and second births.

## Trends in Pregnancy Rates by Race and Hispanic Origin

For both white women and women of all other races, pregnancy rates generally declined in the early 1980's, then increased until 1990, and have since fallen (table 3). (The most recent year for which detailed abortion data by age, race, and Hispanic origin are available is 1995; data specific for teenagers are available for 1996. (See tables 4 and 5.) The rate for white women in 1995 was 97.5 pregnancies per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years, 8 percent lower than in 1990 ( 106.2 per $1,000)$. The 1995 rate for white women matched the recent low point, in 1987. The pregnancy rate for women of all

Table A. Birth rates by age and parity of mother: United States, 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990-97

|  | Year | $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 30-34 years | $\begin{gathered} 35-39 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | First births per 1,000 childless women |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 |  | 44.7 | 79.5 | 95.4 | 92.1 | 44.5 |
| 1996 |  | 46.7 | 80.9 | 94.4 | 90.1 | 42.6 |
| 1995 |  | 49.2 | 81.8 | 94.0 | 87.8 | 40.8 |
| 1994 |  | 50.0 | 81.5 | 93.4 | 85.2 | 39.5 |
| 1993 |  | 49.3 | 80.6 | 94.2 | 83.2 | 37.8 |
| 1992 |  | 48.9 | 80.4 | 95.3 | 81.4 | 37.3 |
| 1991 |  | 49.6 | 81.4 | 96.4 | 80.5 | 36.4 |
| 1990 |  | 47.9 | 81.6 | 98.6 | 81.0 | 36.1 |
| 1985 |  | 42.1 | 78.6 | 91.7 | 69.0 | 29.3 |
| 1980 |  | 44.5 | 87.5 | 96.8 | 64.8 | 20.9 |
| 1975 |  | 47.3 | 86.4 | 98.2 | 53.4 | 19.8 |
|  |  | Second births per 1,000 women who have had one child |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 |  | 173.7 | 172.9 | 157.6 | 131.4 | 59.7 |
| 1996 |  | 173.5 | 171.7 | 158.7 | 129.8 | 58.4 |
| 1995 |  | 177.5 | 172.3 | 158.5 | 127.8 | 56.6 |
| 1994 |  | 189.6 | 178.7 | 161.2 | 126.2 | 54.8 |
| 1993 |  | 203.6 | 184.5 | 162.9 | 125.1 | 53.5 |
| 1992 |  | 216.9 | 190.9 | 164.2 | 124.0 | 52.1 |
| 1991 |  | 220.9 | 193.8 | 164.7 | 122.5 | 51.4 |
| 1990 |  | 218.2 | 196.8 | 168.8 | 124.4 | 50.7 |
| 1985 |  | 192.1 | 185.0 | 162.9 | 111.5 | 38.0 |
| 1980 |  | 187.8 | 189.9 | 165.5 | 99.4 | 30.0 |
| 1975 |  | 171.9 | 178.7 | 158.4 | 92.1 | 28.0 |

other races was 137.4 per 1,000 in 1995, 14 percent lower than in 1990 (159.2), and lower than in any year during the 1976-95 period (table 3).

Trends in pregnancy rates for non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Hispanic women are available for 1990-95 (table 4 and figure 4). (Numbers of pregnancies by outcome, age, race, and Hispanic origin for 1995 are shown in table I in the Technical Notes.) Pregnancy rates have fallen steadily in the 1990's for non-Hispanic white and black women. The rate for non-Hispanic white women was 86.4 per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years in 1995, 12 percent lower than in 1990 (97.7). The rate for non-Hispanic black women was 153.4 in 1995, 15 percent lower than in 1990 (180.2). The pregnancy rate for Hispanic women declined only after 1992, dropping from 169.0 to 159.6 in 1995. From 1990 to 1995, the live birth rate dropped much more for non-Hispanic black women (16 percent) than for non-Hispanic white (8 percent) or Hispanic women ( 2 percent). In contrast, the induced abortion rate fell much more for non-Hispanic white women ( 28 percent) than for non-Hispanic black (13 percent), or Hispanic women ( 2 percent).

## Trends in Pregnancy Rates by Marital Status

Pregnancy rates for married and unmarried women have been estimated for 1980 and 1990-95 and are shown in table 6. Rates by marital status, race, and

Hispanic origin are available for 1993-95. (Numbers of pregnancies by outcome, marital status, race, and Hispanic origin are shown in table II in the Technical Notes.) Although birth rates by marital status, age, race, and Hispanic origin are available (10-12),


Figure 4. Pregnancy, live birth, induced abortion, and fetal loss rates by race and Hispanic origin, 1990 and 1995
unfortunately, pregnancy rates by marital status and age cannot be computed because data on abortions by marital status and age are not available.

For married women, pregnancy and live birth rates generally declined since 1980 and dropped 10 percent each between 1990 and 1995. The induced abortion rate for married women also declined, from 10.6 to 8.5 per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years from 1990 to 1995.

Unlike married women, whose pregnancy rates generally declined, the pregnancy rate for unmarried women rose 16 percent between 1980 and 1990, and then dropped 6 percent from 1990 to 1995 . The birth rate for unmarried women increased 49 percent from 1980 to 1990 , and remained about the same during 1990-95. In contrast, the induced abortion rate declined 24 percent between 1980 and 1995.

Rates by marital status are affected to some extent by the fact that they reflect marital status at the time the pregnancy ends. Traditionally, some unwed couples have married following the discovery of a premarital conception, often before the woman gives birth. However, these "shotgun" marriages declined steeply between the mid-1960's and the mid-1980's and have continued to drop (27). In fact, the increase in nonmarital birth rates over this period is due in large part to the decline in these marriages (28).

Changes in pregnancy, live birth, and induced abortion rates between 1990 and 1995 for married women were fairly similar for white and all other women. However, pregnancy and live birth rates (and fetal loss rates (data not shown)) declined more for all other women than for white women between 1990 and 1995. In contrast, the relative decline in the abortion rate was somewhat greater for white women (from 8.5 to 6.6 per 1,000 ) than for women of all other races (from 24.7 to 20.2 per 1,000 ).

Trends between 1990 and 1995 in pregnancy, live birth, and induced abortion rates for unmarried women differed somewhat for white and all other women. The overall pregnancy rate changed very little for unmarried white women, but dropped from 175.0
to 151.9 per 1,000 for unmarried women of all other races in the 1990's. The live birth rate for unmarried white women increased 14 percent, whereas the rate for unmarried women of all other races fell 15 percent. The induced abortion rate fell 23 percent for white women, and 12 percent for all other women. Variations in pregnancy, live birth, and induced abortion rates for 1995 by race and Hispanic origin are described in the next section of this report.

## Pregnancy Rates in 1995 and 1996

## Variations by Age

Women aged 20-24 years have had the highest pregnancy rate throughout the 1976-96 period (table 3 and figures 1 and 5). The rate in 1996 was 183.3 pregnancies per 1,000 women aged 20-24 years. Rates for women aged $25-29$ and $18-19$ years were the next highest at 170.7 and 146.4 per 1,000 , respectively. The rate for women aged $30-34$ years was 122.5 per 1,000 , and for women aged 35-39 years, it was 60.4 per 1,000 . Rates for women under age 18 years were 67.8 per 1,000 for those aged $15-17$ years and 2.8 per 1,000 for ages $10-14$ years.

The patterns by age for live birth and induced abortion rates differ in that abortion rates are higher at younger ages in comparison with birth rates (figure 5 and table 3). Live birth rates are highest for ages 20-24 and 25-29 years; birth rates for these groups in 1996 were 110.4 and 113.1 per 1,000 , respectively. The peak ages for induced abortion rates have been ages 18-19 and 20-24 years; abortion rates for these groups in 1996 were 44.9 and 50.7 per 1,000, respectively. Abortion rates drop off sharply for women in age groups 30 years and over.

## Race and Hispanic Origin Variations in 1995

As noted earlier, pregnancy rates by outcome of pregnancy for Hispanic and non-Hispanic white and black women are available for 1990-95 (table 4). The text discussion in this section focuses on variations in these rates for 1995, the most recent year for which detailed statistics are available for induced abortions for all age groups. Age-specific rates for teenagers recently became available for 1996 (table 5). The overall pregnancy rates for non-Hispanic black and Hispanic women are fairly similar (figure 4). In 1995, the rates for these groups were


Figure 5. Pregnancy and birth rates by age: United States, 1996


Figure 6. Pregnancy rates by age, race, and Hispanic origin of woman, 1995
153.4 and 159.6 pregnancies per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years, respectively. The rate for non-Hispanic white women was much lower, at 86.4 per 1,000.

While the overall pregnancy rates for non-Hispanic black and Hispanic women are similar, the rates by outcome differ considerably (table 4 and figure 4). The live birth rate in 1995 was higher for Hispanic women, 105.0 per 1,000, compared with 74.5 for non-Hispanic black women. But the induced abortion rate was higher for non-Hispanic black women ( 58.2 per 1,000 ) than for Hispanic women ( 34.4 per 1,000 ). In sum, non-Hispanic black and Hispanic women were about equally likely to be pregnant in 1995, but Hispanic women were more likely to carry the pregnancy to term. Live birth and induced abortion rates for Hispanic women were about double the rates for non-Hispanic white women (figure 4).

Patterns by age in pregnancy rates were similar for non-Hispanic black and Hispanic women (figure 6). Rates for both groups were highest for women
aged 20-24 years and dropped sharply thereafter: The rate for non-Hispanic black women aged 20-24 years was 302.4 per 1,000 and the rate for Hispanic women was 276.5 per 1,000. Pregnancy rates for non-Hispanic white women varied less by age and were consistently lower in each age group than rates for non-Hispanic black or Hispanic women. The highest pregnancy rate for non-Hispanic white women was for those aged 25-29 years, with a rate of 147.6 per 1,000 .

For women under age 25 years, pregnancy rates were highest for non-Hispanic black women. In age groups 25 and older, rates were highest for Hispanic women. The variations by age for birth rates are similar except that rates for Hispanic women age 15 years and over are consistently higher than for non-Hispanic white or black women (figure 7). The gap is greatest for women in their twenties. Abortion rates are highest for non-Hispanic black women in every age group.

## Differences by Marital Status in 1995

The pregnancy rate for married women in 1995 was 113.2 per 1,000, 18 percent higher than the rate for unmarried women, 95.8 per 1,000. Differences in the overall pregnancy rates, however, are much smaller than the differences in birth and abortion rates for married and unmarried women. The birth rate for married women was nearly 10 times the abortion rate, 83.7 compared with 8.5 per 1,000 married women, respectively, in 1995. For unmarried women, birth and abortion rates were relatively similar, 45.1 and 39.3 per 1,000, respectively (table 6).

Pregnancy rates by marital status for black and Hispanic women were quite different from the rates for non-Hispanic white women (figure 8). (Rates cannot be computed for non-Hispanic black women because the necessary populations by marital status are not available; see Technical Notes.) Pregnancy rates were higher for unmarried black and Hispanic women than for married black and Hispanic women, the opposite of the findings for non-Hispanic white women. The gap was especially large for black women: The pregnancy rate for unmarried women was 169.4 per 1,000, compared with 104.5 per 1,000 for married women. Both birth and abortion rates were higher for unmarried than for married women: The birth rate for unmarried black women was 17 percent higher, while the induced abortion rate for unmarried black women was more than 3 times the rate for married black women.

While the overall pregnancy rate for unmarried Hispanic women, 171.7 per 1,000 , was 15 percent higher than the pregnancy rate for married Hispanic women (149.6), the birth rate for unmarried Hispanic women ( 95.0 per $1,000)$ was 16 percent lower. This variation is associated with the greater use of abortion by unmarried Hispanic women-4 times the rate for married Hispanic women ( 58.4 compared with 14.6 per 1,000 ).

Live birth rates for married women ranged from 65.1 births per 1,000 married black women to 80.1 per 1,000


Figure 7. Birth rates by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother, 1995
married non-Hispanic white women and 113.2 per 1,000 married Hispanic women. The range in induced abortion rates for married women was relatively greater: from 5.3 per 1,000 for non-Hispanic white women to 14.6 for Hispanic women, and 21.5 for black women (table 6).

Among unmarried women, live birth rates were 28.2 per 1,000 for non-Hispanic white women; 75.9 for black women; and 95.0 for Hispanic women. Induced abortion rates for unmarried women were 25.6 for non-Hispanic white women; 58.4 for Hispanic women; and 72.7 for black women. To summarize, pregnancy rates are highest for married and unmarried Hispanic women and unmarried black women. Birth rates are highest for married Hispanic women and lowest for married black women. Induced abortion rates were consistently higher for unmarried women.

## Lifetime Pregnancy Experience

The most recent report on pregnancies and pregnancy rates included estimates of the number of lifetime pregnancies per woman for 1991 (4). These estimates are, methodologically, extensions of the better-known total fertility rate (TFR). The TFR suggests the number of births per woman implied by the current age-specific birth rates; the TFR is published routinely by NCHS. Extending this approach, a total abortion rate and a total fetal loss rate can also be calculated. Summing these rates yields a total pregnancy rate, and answers the question, "How many pregnancies would a woman have in her lifetime if she experiences the age-specific pregnancy rates observed in the current year?" (Details of the method of computation are described in the Technical Notes.)

The data shown in table B and figure 9 represent the average number of
lifetime pregnancies, live births, and induced abortions per woman implied by the age-specific rates in 1990 and in 1995 for each group. Given the age-specific rates for 1995, nonHispanic black and Hispanic women would have an average of 4.6 pregnancies each during their lifetimes, with non-Hispanic white women averaging about 2.7 pregnancies each. Non-Hispanic black and Hispanic women have the same number of pregnancies, but Hispanic women average about 3.0 births each, about onethird more than non-Hispanic black women (2.2). The differences in estimated lifetime abortions are striking: Non-Hispanic white women average about 0.5 lifetime abortions per woman compared with 1.0 abortions per Hispanic woman and 1.8 abortions per non-Hispanic black woman. Differences in lifetime fetal losses are small: 0.4 for non-Hispanic white women and 0.6 each for non-Hispanic black and Hispanic women.

The total pregnancy, live birth, and induced abortion rates declined between 1990 and 1995 in total and for non-Hispanic white and black women. Overall, the total pregnancy rate (TPR) fell from 3.4 to 3.2 per woman, reflected in declines in live births and induced abortions (table B). While lifetime pregnancies fell for non-Hispanic white and black women, the declines were substantial for black women. Black women averaged 4.6 pregnancies in 1995, compared with 5.2 per woman in 1990. Estimated lifetime live births dropped more (from 2.6 to 2.2 per woman) than induced abortions (from 2.0 to 1.8) for black women. Rates for Hispanic women were essentially the same in 1990 and 1995.

## Outcomes in 1995-96

Pregnancies in 1996 were about as likely to end as live births ( 62 percent) as in 1980 and 1990 (61 percent) (data for 1996 shown in table 7). The proportion ending in induced abortion fell slightly, from 26 percent in 1980 to 24 percent in 1990 to 22 percent in 1996 (basic data in tables 2 and 3). Fetal losses increased slightly, possibly reflecting more complete reporting in


NOTE: Rates cannot be computed for non-Hispanic black women by marital status because the necessary populations are not available; see Technical Notes.

Figure 8. Pregnancy, birth, and abortion rates, by marital status, race, and Hispanic origin, 1995
recent years, earlier pregnancy detection, and a shift within the childbearing ages to ages when the risk of fetal loss is higher. The changes since 1990 reflect declines in the live birth (fertility) rate and fetal loss rate that occurred concurrently with slightly greater declines in the induced abortion rate (table 1).

## Pregnancy Outcomes by Age

Just as live birth and induced abortion rates vary considerably by age, the percent of pregnancies ending in birth, abortion, and fetal loss also varies sharply by age. The proportion of pregnancies ending in live births
increased with age from under 15 years to ages 30-34 years-to more than two-thirds—and then declined (table 7 and figure 10). The lowest proportions of pregnancies ending in live births-about 50 percent-were for teenagers 17 years and under and women aged 40 years and over.

## Variations in Pregnancy Outcomes by Race and Hispanic Origin

Pregnancy outcomes differ considerably by race and Hispanic origin. Overall, about two-thirds of pregnancies among non-Hispanic white women and Hispanic women ended in
live births in 1995 (table 7 and figure 11). About 16 percent of pregnancies among non-Hispanic white women ended in abortion (one in six pregnancies) compared with 22 percent for Hispanic women (one in five), and 38 percent of pregnancies among non-Hispanic black women (two in five).

Among pregnancies to women aged 20 years and over, the proportion ending in induced abortion was somewhat higher for pregnancies among non-Hispanic black women compared with non-Hispanic white and Hispanic women. At age 20 years and over, more than one-third of pregnancies among black women ended in induced abortion (33 to 41 percent).

Pregnancies among Hispanic teenagers were more likely to end in live births (nearly two-thirds for each age group 15-17 and 18-19 years) than were pregnancies among non-Hispanic white and black teenagers. For pregnancies among non-Hispanic white women, the percent ending in live births was much higher for older teenagers (60 percent) than for younger teenagers (47 percent). The percent of pregnancies ending in live births among non-Hispanic black teenagers was similar for teenagers 15-17 (53 percent) and 18-19 years ( 55 percent).

Among the youngest teenagers under 15 years, pregnancies among non-Hispanic white teenagers were most likely to end in induced abortion, 46 percent, followed by non-Hispanic black teenagers, 43 percent, with the likelihood of an induced abortion lowest for Hispanic teenagers, 29 percent.

Table B. Estimated lifetime pregnancy, live birth, and induced abortion rates by race and Hispanic origin, 1990 and 1995
[Rates are sums of rates for 5 -year age groups (in table 5) multiplied by 5 and divided by 1,000; see Technical Notes]

| Race and Hispanic origin | Pregnancies ${ }^{1}$ |  | Live births |  | Induced abortions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1995 | 1990 | 1995 | 1990 | 1995 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$. | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Non-Hispanic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Black | 5.2 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ | 4.5 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes estimates of fetal losses not shown separately.
${ }^{2}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
NOTE: Total pregnancy rates are estimates of the number of pregnancies a woman would have if she experiences the age-specific pregnancy rates observed in a given year.


Figure 9. Total pregnancy and fertility rates by race and Hispanic origin, 1990 and 1995


Figure 10. Percent of pregnancies ending as a live birth, induced abortion, or fetal loss, by age of woman, 1996

## Differences in Pregnancy Outcomes by Marital Status

About three-quarters of pregnancies among married women ended in live births during each year from 1980 through 1995 (based on data in table 6). In contrast to the relative stability in pregnancy outcome for married women since 1980, among unmarried women, the proportion of pregnancies ending in live births has increased from 33 percent in 1980 to 47 percent in 1995.
Conversely, the proportion ending in abortion was 59 percent in 1980 and 41 percent in 1995 (figure 12).

## Race and Hispanic origin-About

 three-quarters of pregnancies among married white non-Hispanic and Hispanic women ended in live births in 1995 compared with about two-thirds of pregnancies among married black women. Among married women, pregnancies of non-Hispanic black women were most likely to end in abortion (21 percent), compared with Hispanic (10 percent) and non-Hispanic white women (5 percent).
## Factors Associated with Pregnancy Rates

Information from the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG), conducted by NCHS, can help explain trends and differences in pregnancy rates. The NSFG is a large population-based survey of women of childbearing age, focusing on factors associated with their childbearing and pregnancies, including sexual activity, contraceptive practices, and infertility. The most recent NSFG, which included interviews with more than 10,800 women, was conducted in 1995; earlier cycles of the survey were conducted in 1982 and 1988. A small and short reinterview of the 1988 respondents was conducted by telephone in 1990 (19-21). Data on the sexual activity and contraceptive use of teenage males are drawn from the 1988 and 1995 National Survey of Adolescent Males (NSAM) (29).

Figure 13 presents a model of fertility known as "the proximate


Figure 11. Percent of pregnancies by outcome, race, and Hispanic origin of woman, 1995
determinants of fertility" ( $20,30-32$ ). This framework is based on the idea that the process leading to a live birth can be divided into three parts: the occurrence of sexual intercourse, the occurrence of pregnancy as a result of intercourse, and the occurrence of a live birth from a pregnancy. (Exceptions because of reproductive technologies such as artificial insemination or in vitro fertilization are still rare enough that they can be ignored for this purpose.)

The perspective outlined in figure 13 suggests that a variety of social factors (such as race and ethnicity, income, education, work, access to health care, and the community environment) affect birth rates primarily by affecting the probability:

1. That intercourse will occur ("intercourse variables")
2. That intercourse will result in pregnancy ("conception variables")
3. That pregnancy will result in live birth ("gestation variables")

One central purpose of the NSFG is to provide national data on all of these social and intermediate variables from a single source. This report uses the NSFG data in combination with information on registered births and induced abortions. Thus, the following sections that discuss trends and differences in pregnancy rates are necessarily limited to variables that are available from information on registered births and induced abortions: age,


Figure 12. Percent of pregnancies ending in abortion by marital status, 1980, 1990, and 1995
marital status (married and unmarried), and race and origin (Hispanic, non-Hispanic black, and non-Hispanic white). Because the NSFG and the NSAM are sample surveys, the data from the surveys have some sampling variability (or sampling error) so small differences between percents from the surveys may be a result of sampling error. As a result, the following text will focus only on the larger differences.

Following a brief general discussion of contraceptive use, this section will focus on differences in pregnancy rates by age and among Hispanic, non-Hispanic black, and non-Hispanic white women. The intermediate variables of sexual intercourse and contraceptive use will be the focus for explaining these differences. Selected details of the intermediate variables will be discussed: the age at first intercourse and the frequency of intercourse (tables 8-10); marital status and cohabitation (tables 11 and 12); first use of contraception (table 13); and current contraceptive use and method choice (tables 14 and 15). Also briefly discussed are other factors that are important for understanding pregnancy rates and differentials: pregnancy rates among unmarried women, the impact of characteristics of the community on pregnancy rates, and whether pregnancies are wanted or unwanted. The final section is devoted to proximate determinants associated with teenage pregnancy (tables 16-19).

For ease and clarity, in the discussions of differences among non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Hispanic women, non-Hispanic white women are usually referred to as "white," and non-Hispanic black women are usually referred to as "black." Hispanic women may be of any race.

Contraceptive use-Contraceptive use and specific method choice are among the most critical factors affecting the likelihood that sexual intercourse will result in a pregnancy. Contraceptive methods have different rates of effectiveness, so the decision to use a contraceptive method, and the method that is chosen, may help to explain

*Also known as the proximate determinants of fertility.
SOURCE: References 30-32.
Figure 13. Factors affecting birth rates
group differences in pregnancy rates. Data from the NSFG have been used to calculate contraceptive "failure" rates, which show the probability of having an unintended pregnancy within the first year of use of a given contraceptive method (33). These rates represent "typical use" of contraception, rather than "perfect use" (34). That is, a contraceptive failure may be due to (a) failure of the method or to (b) failure of the user to use the method correctly or consistently. Inconsistent use of the pill-forgetting to take them some days, for example-is relatively common (35); inconsistent use of the condom-not using it during every act of intercourse-is also quite common (21). In other words, many users of contraception found it difficult to consistently use the methods that were available in 1995.

A recent analysis of the 1995 NSFG data (33) shows 1-year probabilities of unintended pregnancy during use of each method. The rates are corrected for underreporting of abortion and standardized for characteristics of users
(controlling for duration of use, age, marital status, and poverty status), so that they are as comparable to each other as possible. The data show clearly that the choice of contraceptive method is an important factor in determining pregnancy rates $(33,34)$. The overall unintended pregnancy rates for each method, expressed in percents, vary

Table C. 1-year probability of unintended pregnancy, according to contraceptive method used: United States, 1995

| Method | Percent becoming pregnant |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total, all reversible methods | 13 |
| Norplant implants | 1 |
| Depo-Provera Injectable. | 3 |
| Pill | 8 |
| Condom | 15 |
| Diaphragm/cervical cap | 16 |
| Withdrawal | 27 |
| Periodic abstinence | 25 |
| Spermicides | 29 |
| No method | 85 |

SOURCES: Specific methods: reference 32. The rates cited here are labeled "corrected, standardized" in table 1 of the article (see Internet release: http://www.agi-usa.org/pubs/ journals/3105699.html. No method: reference 33.
from 1 percent for the Norplant implant to 29 percent for spermicides (table C). For injectable contraception, the probability of an unintended pregnancy in 12 months was 3 percent; for the pill, it was 8 percent, probably because some women sometimes forgot to take the pill. In contrast, other methods have substantially higher rates of unintended pregnancy: condoms have a rate of 15 percent, while diaphragms have a rate of 16 percent per year. Withdrawal (27 percent), periodic abstinence ( 25 percent) and spermicides ( 29 percent) have the highest "failure" rates, which means that many users find it difficult to use them properly. However, the estimated pregnancy rate for those not using any method for a year is around 85 percent, so choosing any method sharply reduces the pregnancy rates compared with using no method.

## Pregnancy Rates by Age

There is a distinct and long-standing age pattern in pregnancy rates in the United States (1996 rates shown in figure 5) (1-4). The rate increases with age to a peak of 183 at ages 20-24 years and then declines. In this section, the factors behind these age variations are considered. These factors include sexual activity, marital status, parity, contraceptive use, and infertility. Along with marital status, current parity is a key factor in determining whether a woman wants to have a(nother) child.

Sexual activity-Overall 49 percent of females aged 15-19 years have had intercourse at least once-and thus, have been exposed to the chance of becoming pregnant (table 8). At age 15, about one-fourth of females have ever had intercourse; by age 19, about three-fourths have had intercourse at least once. The figures for males follow the same pattern but are somewhat higher at each age (table 8).

About 47 percent of teenage females have had intercourse in the last 12 months (prior to the 1995 interview) (table 9). Among women in their twenties, 86 percent have had sex in the last 12 months; 89 percent of women aged 30-44 years have had intercourse in the last 12 months.

The frequency of intercourse is another factor that affects pregnancy rates: according to 1995 data, 13 percent of teenagers $15-17$ years, 31 percent of teenagers 18-19 years, and 52-53 percent of women aged 20 years and over report having had intercourse once a week or more (table 9).

Marital status and parity-A higher percent of adult women have intercourse regularly because they are more likely to be married. The effect of marital status on pregnancy is tempered with age as women reach their desired number of children (tables 9 and 11). In each successive age group, an increasing proportion of women is married and an increasing proportion has had children; women aged 30 years and over are more likely than younger women to have had the number of children they want, so more of them take steps to prevent themselves from having more pregnancies (35). Only 4 percent of females aged 15-19 years are currently married, but about 8 percent of teenagers have already had a child. Among women aged 20-24 years, 27 percent are married and 35 percent have had a child (basic data are shown in table 11). In part because more women in their twenties are sexually active and more are married, the pregnancy rate of 183 per 1,000 for women aged 20-24 years is nearly double the pregnancy rate for women aged 15-19 years. At ages 30-44 years, the pregnancy rate declines despite the fact that more women are married and sexually active than women in their twenties, in part because 79 percent of women aged $30-44$ years have already had children.

First contraceptive use-Information for women who have ever had intercourse according to the year in which they had their first intercourse and the interval, in months, between their first intercourse and their first use of contraception is shown in table 13. Timing of first use of contraception is associated with variations in pregnancy rates for teenagers, as shown in a recent study which found that 40 percent of teenagers who did not use contraception at their first intercourse became pregnant
as teenagers, compared with 24 percent of teenage women who did use contraception at first intercourse (36).

Use of contraception at first intercourse has become more common in recent decades. This could be a factor influencing recent declines in pregnancy rates at the youngest teen ages presented in this report. In the 1970 's, 49 percent used a method at first intercourse, compared with 61 percent in the early 1980's, 65 percent in the late 1980's, and 77 percent in the early 1990 's (table 13). Most of this increase was due to large increases in use of the male condom at first intercourse, which was in turn closely associated with public concern and education about the spread of HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases (21).

Nonuse of contraception-Even after contraceptive use has been initiated, occasional and short-term nonuse of contraception is very common in the United States, according to data from the NSFG. A typical woman using contraception during all of her reproductive life "will discontinue use for a method-related reason nearly 10 times. Such high rates of discontinuation almost surely reflect dissatisfaction with current methods" (37). In addition, the proportion of women who are not using contraception because they are trying to become pregnant is closely related to age, as shown in table $\mathbf{D}$. The proportion peaks at 6 percent at ages 25-34 years and declines to about 2.2 percent at ages $40-44$ years. The pattern of pregnancy rates by age is similar (table D).
Infertility—At ages 15-34 years, most women who remain nonusers of contraception will become pregnant
within a few months. By ages 35-39 and 40-44 years, women's physical ability to conceive and carry a baby to term declines $(21,38)$. Thus, nonuse of contraception is not as likely to result in a pregnancy at ages $35-44$ years as it is for younger women. In 1995, 13 percent of women aged 35-44 years had "impaired fecundity"-that is, difficulty or inability to get pregnant or carry a baby to term. An additional 7 percent were surgically sterile for reasons other than contraception (for a total of 20 percent with inability or difficulty having a live birth) (21). The proportion who had impaired fecundity or were surgically sterile for noncontraceptive reasons was only 6 percent among women aged 15-24 years; among women aged 25-34 years, it was 12 percent. Thus, as depicted in figure 13, in addition to sexual activity, marital status, parity, and contraceptive use, the ability of the woman to become pregnant is a factor in determining pregnancy rates, especially at older ages.
Contraceptive method choice-In 1995, as in previous years, the pill was the method chosen most often by young women and by women who intend to have more children: 51 percent of contraceptive users who intend to have more children use the pill, and 32 percent have partners who use condoms (a total of 83 percent, or 5 out of 6) (table E). Use of the pill and condom are highest at 15-29 years of age (39).

Many women who do not intend to have any more births were using female sterilization or male sterilization (46 percent and 18 percent of users, respectively, for a total of 64 percent). By age 40-44 years, 70 percent of contraceptive users are using female or

Table D. Percent of women who are seeking pregnancy and pregnancy rate by age: United States, 1995

|  | Age | Seeking pregnancy | Pregnancy rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent in each age group |  |
| 15-19 years |  | 0.9 | 9.9 |
| 20-24 years |  | 3.4 | 18.3 |
| 25-29 years |  | 6.1 | 17.1 |
| 30-34 years |  | 6.2 | 12.3 |
| 35-39 years |  | 4.6 | 6.0 |
| 40-44 years |  | 2.2 | 1.3 |

Table E. Percent distribution of contraceptive users aged 15-44 years, by method, according to age and intent to have more children: United States, 1995

| Age and birth intentions | Total | Contraceptive method |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female sterilization | Male sterilization | Pill | Condom | All other |
| Total, 15-44 years. | 100 | 28 | 11 | 27 | 20 | 14 |
| 15-19 years | 100 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 37 | 19 |
| 20-24 years | 100 | 4 | 1 | 52 | 26 | 17 |
| 25-29 years | 100 | 17 | 5 | 39 | 24 | 15 |
| 30-34 years | 100 | 29 | 10 | 28 | 18 | 15 |
| 35-39 years | 100 | 41 | 19 | 11 | 17 | 12 |
| 40-44 years | 100 | 50 | 20 | 6 | 12 | 12 |
| Intends to have more children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes. | 100 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 32 | 17 |
| No. . | 100 | 46 | 18 | 13 | 13 | 10 |

SOURCE: reference 39.
male sterilization. This use of sterilization along with greater difficulty in conceiving helps explain the decline of pregnancy rates as age increases and the very low rates for women aged 40 years and over.

## Imperfect use of reversible contraceptive methods- The

 effectiveness of contraceptive use increases with age. Overall, the probability of having an unintended pregnancy in the first year of contraceptive use was about 13 percent in the early 1990's, according to a recent study (33). For teenagers, the probability was 16 percent; at ages 20-24 years, it was 15 percent; at ages 25-29 years, it was 13 percent; and at ages 30-44 years, the probability of unintended pregnancy was 9 percentabout half the figure for teenagers. (These figures represent reversible contraceptive methods only; that is, sterilization is excluded.)
## Pregnancy Rates for Hispanic, Non-Hispanic Black, and Non-Hispanic White Women

This section will focus on factors associated with differences in birth and pregnancy patterns by race and Hispanic origin. As was noted earlier, the total pregnancy rates (TPR) of black and Hispanic women (4.6 pregnancies per woman) are about 70 percent higher than for white women ( 2.7 per woman). Later in this report, we will show that
higher levels of unwanted births and abortions among black and Hispanic women account for this difference (tables B and F). This section will attempt to explain how the disparity occurs.

## Sexual Experience

One reason that black and Hispanic women have more pregnancies and births and more unwanted pregnancies than white women is that they are at risk of becoming pregnant at earlier ages, hence the disparity in pregnancy rates during the teenage years as shown in figure 6. One important reason for this difference is that they begin their sexual activity at an earlier age than white women. In 1995, 59 percent of black teenage females had ever had sexual intercourse, compared with 53 percent of Hispanic and 49 percent of white teenagers (table 8).

Differences between Hispanic, white, and black individuals, such as in
sexual experience, are often associated with characteristics other than race and ethnicity per se, such as socioeconomic and family background characteristics. The data in table 8 illustrate the associations of individual characteristics with teenage sexual experience, using data from the 1995 NSFG and the 1995 NSAM (29, 40).

For example, among teenage females in 1995, about 60 percent had ever had intercourse if their mother did not complete high school compared with just 37 percent of teenage females whose mothers were college graduates. This difference by mother's education was found for both male and female teenagers in 1988 and in 1995, but the educational disparity was larger in 1995.

Teenage females who lived with both of their parents at age 14 were much less likely than their counterparts who lived with a single parent to have had intercourse (43 compared with 59 percent). For teenage males, a similar pattern was found.

Table F. Total pregnancy rates and total fertility rates by wantedness of pregnancy, by race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1995
[Rates are pregnancies and births per woman; see Technical Notes for method of calculation]

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1995 \\ & \text { TPR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1995 \\ & \text { TFR } \end{aligned}$ | Percent wanted | Wanted TFR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 3.2 | 2.0 | 90.1 | 1.8 |
| White non-Hispanic | 2.7 | 1.8 | 93.3 | 1.7 |
| Black non-Hispanic | 4.6 | 2.2 | 80.9 | 1.8 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 4.6 | 3.0 | 89.6 | 2.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
NOTE: Figures for percent wanted include births that are intended as well as births that are mistimed. See reference 21 (table 14).

## Contraception

First use-In each time period, Hispanic women were much less likely than white and black women to use a contraceptive method at their first intercourse (table 13). In the 1990's, 54 percent of Hispanic women used a method at first intercourse-compared with 74 percent of black women and 84 percent of white women. Similarly, in the 1990 's, 16 percent of Hispanic women began their first contraceptive use more than a year after first intercourse compared with 9 and 4 percent, respectively, for black and white women.

The proportion of black women and their partners who used a method at first intercourse nearly doubled in recent decades, from 38 percent in the 1970's to 74 percent in the 1990's. This rapid increase may be related to the decline in the black teenage birth rate in the 1990's (12).

Contraceptive choice—As discussed previously, choice of a contraceptive method is a very important factor affecting pregnancy rates, due to the varying levels of effectiveness of methods. Examination of differences in methods used by white, black, and Hispanic women can thus contribute to an understanding of pregnancy
differentials. It is also useful to examine method choice by parity, because methods tend to differ between childless women and women with children. Data on contraceptive method(s) women were using at the time of the survey ("current contraceptive status") are shown for 1988 and 1995 by age and parity for white women (table 14), and for black women (table 15). (Sample sizes for Hispanic women, especially in 1988, are too small to show reliable trend data by age and parity.)

Differences in methods may help to explain why 20 percent of black women experience an accidental pregnancy (i.e., a contraceptive failure) during the first year of use, compared with 16 percent for Hispanic women and 11 percent for white women (33). This leads to the relatively high rate of unwanted births and abortions among black and Hispanic women, and the preference of these
groups for female sterilization as a contraceptive method $(21,39)$.

## Methods for childless contracepting

women-Among teenagers with no births (zero parity), that is, over 90 percent of teenage females, the pill and condom are the leading methods for both whites and blacks.

Among women aged 20-24 years with no births (parity 0) in 1995, black women were more likely than white women to rely on the male condom and less likely to use the pill (tables 14 and 15). Given that the condom's failure rate (15 percent) is about double that of the pill (8 percent), this difference may be a factor in the higher pregnancy and abortion rates for black women in their early twenties, compared to white women in that age group (table 4).

Among childless women in the age groups 25-29 and 30-44 years in 1995, white and black women had similar patterns of contraceptive choice (tables 14 and 15). For these childless women, the pill is generally the predominant method, with condom use also common.

Changes in contraceptive use among white childless women aged 20-24, $25-29$, and $30-44$ years were relatively small, except for increases in use of the condom. Among black childless women aged 20-24 years, the proportion using the pill dropped from 78 percent in 1988 to 52 percent in 1995; use of the male condom was reported by 39 percent in 1995.

Contraceptive use among women contraceptors with one or more children-The sample sizes in tables 14 and 15 are small for teenagers with one or more births, so caution in interpreting estimates is warranted. For white teenage mothers (parity $1+$ ) in 1995, the pill was the leading method (44 percent), followed by the condom at 28 percent. About 22 percent of white teenage mothers using contraception used Depo-Provera (injectable contraception) or Norplant (implant contraception), two hormonal methods newly introduced to the United States in 1993.

Black teenage mothers are very distinctive in the predominance of Depo-Provera and Norplant (with failure rates of only 1 to 3 percent) among the
methods they were using in 1995 (table 15). Pill use by black teenagers dropped dramatically. In 1988, 86 percent were using the pill, while in 1995 the number was too small to estimate proportions reliably. This shift from the pill to Depo-Provera and Norplant (methods with lower failure rates) among black teenage mothers may be an important factor in the recent sharp decline (28 percent) in their second birth rates from 251 per 1,000 in 1991 to 181 in 1997.

Among white mothers aged 20-24 years, there was no significant change in condom use, pill use declined, and 16 percent were using Depo-Provera or Norplant by 1995. Among white mothers aged 25 years and over, there were few significant changes in contraceptive practice.

For black women aged 20-24 years with children, the proportion using the pill dropped from 63 to 33 percent. In 1995, 22 percent were using Depo-Provera or Norplant, and the proportion using the condom soared to 28 percent.

In addition to shifts toward more reliable contraception, the proportion of all black women having intercourse and not using any contraception dropped in every age group (data not shown). This drop in unprotected intercourse also contributed to the decline in the second birth rates for black women aged 15-19, 20-24, and 25-29 years.

In summary, black women with children changed their contraceptive choices significantly between 1988 and 1995, in a way that should have reduced their risk of unintended pregnancies:

- the proportion having intercourse and not using a method dropped; and
- use of injectables and implants (which have very low failure rates) became quite common, apparently replacing pill use (which has somewhat higher failure rates).
Sterilization is the predominant contraceptive method among women who have had children. The data in tables 14 and 15 demonstrate a well-established pattern: Nearly all sterilizations to black women were
female operations, while among white women, about one-third of sterilizations were male operations (41).


## Other Factors Affecting Pregnancy Rates

Three other factors that help us understand trends and differentials in pregnancy rates are discussed in the sections that follow. These include pregnancy rates for unmarried women, community characteristics associated with pregnancy differentials, and wanted and unwanted births. Data on these topics are drawn from the NSFG.

## Pregnancy Rates Among Unmarried Women

Substantial proportions of women of childbearing age are unmarried. Overall, about 49 percent of women 15-44 years of age were currently married in 1995; another 7 percent were cohabiting (living with a man in a sexual relationship, but not married); 33 percent were never married (and not cohabiting), and 10 percent were separated, divorced, or widowed (table 11). Only 25 percent of black women are currently married, compared with 47 percent of Hispanic women and 54 percent of white women (table 11, lower panel).

Pregnancy rates for unmarried women are affected by differences in sexual activity. Included in table $\mathbf{1 2}$ is information on unmarried women according to whether they had sexual intercourse in the last 12 months. About 65 percent of unmarried women had intercourse in the last 12 months. For unmarried black women aged 20 years and over, 83 percent had had intercourse in the last 12 months, compared with 74 percent of unmarried white women in this age group.

The rise in cohabitation is an important factor when examining trends in nonmarital pregnancy. In fact, a recent analysis of birth patterns among unmarried women from the NSFG found that almost all of the increase from the early 1980's to the early 1990 's in births to unmarried women was in births to unmarried cohabiting women (42). In the period 1980-84, 29 percent of births
to unmarried women were to cohabiting women. By 1990-94, this proportion increased to 39 percent. Most of the increase was found for non-Hispanic white women.

It is useful to calculate pregnancy rates for unmarried women limited to women who have had intercourse, as shown in table $\mathbf{G}$. The overall rate for all unmarried women was 96 pregnancies per 1,000 unmarried women. When the same number of births is divided by the number of unmarried women who have ever had intercourse, the rate was 26 percent higher, at 121 per 1,000 .

Limiting the rate further to women who had intercourse in the last 12 months represents a close approximation to the population who were actually at risk of pregnancy in 1995. The pregnancy rate computed on this basis was 147 per 1,000 unmarried women, or about 15 percent. But these rates differ substantially for white, black, and Hispanic women: 95 for unmarried white women (per 1,000 who had intercourse in the last 12 months), 222 for unmarried black women, and 291 for unmarried Hispanic women. In sum, nearly 30 percent of sexually active unmarried Hispanic women became pregnant in 1995, almost triple the rate for unmarried white women. The rate for sexually active unmarried black women is about double the rate for unmarried sexually active white women. Thus, factors other than just sexual activity account for the racial disparity in pregnancy rates for unmarried women.

Table G. Pregnancy rates for unmarried women in 1995, using 3 different denominators: per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years; per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years who have ever had intercourse; and per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years who had intercourse in the last 12 months: United States, 1995

| Race and origin | Pregnancy rates per 1,000 unmarried women 15-44 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Who ever had intercourse | Who ever had intercourse in the last 12 months |
| All women ${ }^{1}$ | 96 | 121 | 147 |
| Non-Hispanic white | 61 | 78 | 95 |
| Black | 169 | 192 | 222 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 172 | 222 | 291 |

[^1]
## Community Characteristics

Differences in pregnancy rates among white, black, and Hispanic persons are associated with characteristics of the communities in which they live as well as the characteristics of individuals (43-45). Information on the characteristics of the communities in which women live is available in the NSFG contextual data file (see Technical Notes). Three of those characteristics are shown in table 10: median family income, the unemployment rate, and the percent of households receiving welfare assistance, all taken from 1990 census data. (There were not enough Hispanic teenagers or teenagers of other races in the sample to compute these statistics reliably.)

In neighborhoods (defined here as block groups) with a median family income less than $\$ 20,000$, 69 percent of teenage females had ever had sexual intercourse; in neighborhoods in which the median family income was between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 50,000$, 51 percent had ever had intercourse; and in neighborhoods with median family incomes of $\$ 50,000$ or more, only 37 percent had ever had intercourse. (See also figure 14.) These differences are found for both white and black teenagers. In addition, these findings show that the white and black differences tend to be smaller when the characteristics of the community are taken into account (table 10 compared with table 8).

If the unemployment rate in the teenager's neighborhood in 1990 was 10 percent or higher, a larger proportion of teenage females had ever had intercourse (by 1995) than in


NOTES: Community unit of aggregation = block group. Data are not shown for Hispanic teenagers because the sample size was insufficient to compute these statistics reliably.

Figure 14. Percent of females aged 15-19 years who have ever had sexual intercourse by median family income of community and race, 1995
neighborhoods in which the unemployment rate was less than 5 percent. Again, this was true for both white and black teenagers. The same pattern was found for the proportion of households receiving welfare in 1990. The proportion of teenage females, both white and black, who had ever had intercourse was substantially higher in communities with 9 percent or more of the households receiving welfare than in communities with 8 percent or fewer households receiving welfare in 1990.

Thus, early sexual activity is closely associated with these simple measures of the economic prosperity of the neighborhood: its average family income, the percent unemployed, and the percent receiving welfare assistance. The findings for these three measures are consistent with theories suggesting that teenage sexual behavior is affected significantly by the social environment and by economic opportunity (43-47). A multivariate analysis of the 1988 NSFG data, for example, also found that, controlling for the effects of other variables, use of contraception at first intercourse among black and white women was significantly higher when the teenage girl's mother had higher levels of education, when the teenager lived in a two-parent family, and when the socioeconomic status of her neighborhood was higher (48).

## Wanted and Unwanted Births

Despite widespread availability of contraceptive methods, women's ability to control their own reproduction is far from perfect. The measure of unwanted births can be combined with birth rates to show the effect on population growth of women having more children than they want-overall and in various subgroups of the population.

Generated from a series of questions in the NSFG interview, an unwanted birth refers to a birth resulting from a pregnancy that a woman had despite wanting no more pregnancies at the time she became pregnant. This concept is closely linked to contraceptive use at the time of pregnancy. For example, if a woman had two children and did not want to have any more, but became pregnant with her third child, that pregnancy would be classified as unwanted. Women were asked to report the wantedness of pregnancies retrospectively, that is, thinking back to the time the pregnancy was conceived. For the purposes of this exercise, "wanted" births are all those not falling into this "unwanted" category. It should be noted that births that were unwanted at conception do not necessarily become unwanted children. Mothers who report a pregnancy as unwanted at the time of
conception may later cherish the child born as a result of that pregnancy.

Among births occurring during 1990-95, 93.3 percent of births to non-Hispanic white women, 80.9 percent of births to non-Hispanic black women, and 89.6 percent of births to Hispanic women were wanted (table F) (21). A wanted total fertility rate can be computed by multiplying the TFR for 1995 (table B) by the percent of births that were wanted as shown in table F. Black and white women want about the same number of children (1.8 and 1.7), but black women average almost 2 pregnancies more per woman than white women (4.6 compared with 2.7). These pregnancies to black women ended primarily in abortions or in unwanted births. Hispanic women want more births ( 2.7 per woman) than either black women or white women, but they also have about two pregnancies more (4.6) than they wanted. Thus, while more of their births are wanted, they still have a substantial proportion of pregnancies that end in abortion and unwanted births (49).

Differences in pregnancy wantedness among white, black, and Hispanic women are associated with measures of socioeconomic status such as the woman's education. The percents of births unwanted by the woman's education and her race and origin are shown in figure 15.

At least two facts are clear from this figure. First, women with more education have fewer unwanted births. The reduction in the percent of births that were unwanted as education rises is very clear for all women (18 to 5 percent), white women (13 to 3 percent), and black women (34 to 11 percent). It is much less apparent for Hispanic women (14 to 10 percent). Second, the white-black difference in the percent of births that were unwanted when they were conceived persists at every educational level. Thus, part, but not all, of the overall disparity in unwanted pregnancies between white and black women is associated with the lower educational attainment of black women (50). Other factors besides education may include marital status (table 11) and age at first birth (12).


Figure 15. Percent of births unwanted by the mother, by education and race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1995

## Teenage Pregnancy

In two previous reports on pregnancy rates $(3,4)$, the rate of teenage pregnancy was calculated in two ways: per 1,000 teenage women $15-19$ years, and per 1,000 women aged 15-19 years who had ever had intercourse. In this report, another refinement has been introduced: the pregnancy rate per 1,000 teenagers who had intercourse in the last 12 months. Also used in table G, this is a better approximation of the population at risk of a pregnancy in the last year than those who have "ever had intercourse." A recent study defined the population "at risk" for teenage pregnancy more narrowly, as teenagers who had intercourse in the 3 months before the survey (51).

Pregnancy rates for unmarried women sexually active in the last 12 months were described earlier (table G). As mentioned previously, estimates of sexual activity in these data are based on sample surveys, so small differences-up to 20 per 1,000 or 2 percent-should be attributed to sampling variability, not to real change.

Pregnancy rates by teenage groupsPregnancy rates in 1995 for teenage
women aged 15-19 years and for age groups $15-17$ and $18-19$ years are shown in table 16. For teenagers 15-17 years, the rate was 72 per 1,000 (7 percent). Limiting to sexually experienced teenagers $15-17$ years old (38 percent of 15-17 year-olds), the pregnancy rate was 187 per 1,000 , or 19 percent. Further limiting the population to 15-17 year- olds who had intercourse in the 12 months before the interview ( 34 percent of 15-17 year-olds), 212 out of 1,000 younger teenagers, or 21 percent, became pregnant.

The pregnancy rate per 1,000 teenagers $18-19$ years is much higher than at age 15-17 years: 151 in 1995, or 15 percent, which is more than twice the rate among those aged $15-17$ years. Limiting the population to sexually experienced 18-19 year-olds ( 71 percent of 18-19 year-olds), 213 per 1,000 became pregnant. Finally, limiting to only those who had intercourse in the 12 months prior to the survey (67 percent of 18-19 year-olds), the rate was 227 per 1,000.

Thus, in 1995, pregnancy rates were more than twice as high for older teenagers as for younger teenagers, but mainly because about twice as many
older teenagers (over two-thirds) were sexually active in the last 12 months.

Pregnancy rates for teenage racial and ethnic groups- Looking now at the differences by race and ethnicity, the overall pregnancy rates in 1995 were 72 per 1,000 for non-Hispanic white teenagers, 184 for black teenagers, and 163 for Hispanic teenagers (table 17 and figure 16). The pregnancy rates for sexually experienced teenagers-those who ever had intercourse-were 142 for non-Hispanic white, 305 for non-Hispanic black, and 291 for Hispanic teenagers.

About 46 percent of white, 57 percent of black, and 52 percent of Hispanic teenagers had intercourse in the last 12 months. For teenagers sexually active in the last year, the pregnancy rates were 156 for non-Hispanic white, 326 for non-Hispanic black, and 314 for Hispanic teenagers.

In summary, the pregnancy rates for non-Hispanic black and Hispanic teens were about twice as high as those for non-Hispanic white teenagers: one out of three of sexually active black and Hispanic teens became pregnant in a year, compared with one out of six non-Hispanic white teenagers. Thus the lower pregnancy rate for non-Hispanic white teenagers is due to both the lower proportion sexually active and the lower pregnancy rate for those who are sexually active.

What produced these differences in behavior between white and black teenagers? The answer is not clear-cut. Differences between white and black teenagers in current contraception are not large (tables 14 and 15). The only differences that are large are use at first intercourse ( 84 percent of white teenagers and 74 percent of black teenagers), and the percent who first began using contraception more than 1 year after first intercourse ( 4 percent white, and 9 percent black). Differences are due to multiple factors, involving previously mentioned contraceptive use, effectiveness of contraceptive use, and the magnitude of sexual activity-related risk. As suggested earlier these behaviors could be related to measures of economic opportunity and family


Figure 16. Teenage pregnancy rates by race and Hispanic origin, 1995
stability that are correlated with teen sex and pregnancy $(43,44,46,47)$. One of the most thorough demonstrations of this finding was authored by Hogan and Kitagawa, using a representative sample of black teenagers in Chicago (47). They showed that black teenagers from"high-risk social environments" are at greatly elevated risk of becoming pregnant compared with black teenagers from low-risk environments.

## Trends in pregnancy-related variables for teenagers 15-19 years—Table 18

 shows a wealth of data related to sexual activity and pregnancy rates and outcomes for all females 15-19 years of age. (Please note that data on sexual experience rates and the number of sexually experienced teenagers are based on small samples from the NSFG. Therefore, small changes in either direction of 2 percent or 100,000 women should be interpreted with caution.) Although the number of females aged 15-19 years fell 11 percent from 1982 to 1990 and has only recently begun to increase, the number of births to teenagers 15-19 years remained fairly constant at about 500,000 per year throughout the 13-year period 1982-95 (lines 1,2). Births were stable because fluctuations in the teenage birth rate during this period were substantial enough to compensate for the changes in the teenage population. Births tounmarried teenagers, however, rose steadily throughout this period-by 44 percent (line 3 of table 18).

The increase in the 1980's in nonmarital teenage births coincides with the steady increases in teenage sexual activity (1982-90) $(21,52)$. The proportion of teenage females who had ever had intercourse rose from 47 percent in 1982 to 55 percent in 1990 before declining to 51 percent in 1995 (line 4). There was no particular trend in the number of sexually experienced teenagers; it ranged from 4.5 to 4.8 million during this period (line 5).

The teenage pregnancy rate increased 8 percent between 1982 and 1990 (107.8 to 116.3) before declining to 102.9 in 1995 (and 98.7 in 1996) (line 6). Changes in the number of pregnancies were not consistent, reflecting the varying numbers of sexually experienced teenage women (lines 5 and 7 of table 18).

One clear pattern during the period 1982-95 was the steady and substantial decline in abortions among teenagers (table 18 and figures 2, 3). The number of abortions to teenagers fell 37 percent (line 8). Combining information on pregnancies, live births, and abortions, it is clear that teenage pregnancies were increasingly less likely to end in abortion, but more likely to end as nonmarital teenage births (lines 9 and 10 of table 18).

While most of the information available relates to teenage females, some important data on teenage males are available from the National Surveys of Adolescent Males (NSAM), conducted in 1988 and 1995, the same years as the NSFG (table 19) (29). Two indicators of sexual activity and two indicators of condom use from the 1988 and 1995 NSAM are shown for male teenagers in table 19. The findings are:

1. For non-Hispanic white males, sexual activity decreased: the proportion who ever had intercourse dropped from 57 to 50 percent among non-Hispanic white teenage males; there was no significant change for black or Hispanic teenage males.
2. For non-Hispanic white teenage males, the proportion who had intercourse in the last 3 months before the interview decreased from 41 to 32 percent. There was no significant change for black or Hispanic males.
3. The proportion of males who used a condom at last intercourse increased significantly for both black (66 to 74 percent) and non-Hispanic white (54 to 67 percent) teenage males.
4. Finally, the proportion who used a condom every time they had intercourse with a female in the last 12 months, increased for black ( 37 to 47 percent) and for non-Hispanic white ( 32 to 48 percent) teenage males.

Additional, more recent evidence of some declines in teen sexual experience is available from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS), conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. According to the YRBS, the percent of high school students in grades 9 through 12 who were sexually experienced declined significantly between 1991 and 1997, from 54 to 48 percent (53). Statistically significant declines were found for males, for white students, and for black students. Declines for females were not statistically significant.

Ideally, survey data on sexual activity and contraceptive use would be available to provide data for teenagers at risk of pregnancy that would correspond
directly to key years of peaks and valleys in their pregnancy rates. According to the vital statistics data, the teenage pregnancy rate began to decline after 1990. NSFG data are available only for 1988 and 1995 (the 1990 NSFG telephone follow-up was too small to produce reliable data for subgroups of teenagers). Thus, trends in sexual and contraceptive behavior based on snapshots of these 2 single years cannot shed light on the significant recent decline in pregnancy rates, especially because the 1988 NSFG was conducted during the period when teenage sexual activity was on an upswing. Responding to the need for more frequent indicators, or "snapshots" of sexual and contraception behavior, the NSFG could be conducted more frequently beginning with the Cycle 6 survey in 2001 (54). However, indicators of sexual activity and contraceptive use that pertain to cohorts of teenagers and signify broad changes in behavior may help to explain pregnancy and birth rate declines.

According to the data that are available, then, at least for non-Hispanic white teenagers, some of the decrease in pregnancy and birth rates in the 1990's was due to more consistent condom use, and some to a postponement of sexual activity. More consistent condom use, and the increasing use of injectable and implant contraception, appear to have produced the reduction in the non-Hispanic black teenage birth rate. The birth rate for black teenagers has continued to fall in the mid-1990's, dropping to 85.3 per 1,000 in 1998 (down 26 percent since 1991), according to preliminary data (55). The Hispanic teenage birth rate did not change much between 1988 and 1995, but has fallen 12 percent from 1995 (106.7) to 1998 (93.7) $(55,56)$.

Several possible explanations can be offered for these changes in contraceptive use and sexual activity among teenagers in the 1990's. One is changing attitudes toward premarital sex. A large variety of public and private initiatives at the Federal, State, and local level have focused teenagers' attention on the importance of pregnancy prevention through abstinence and responsible behavior (54). Another
factor is the introduction of effective new birth control methods (implants and injectables); some sexually active teenagers have switched to these methods $(33,39)$. A third factor is the long economic expansion of the 1990's, increasing economic opportunity for teenagers. The sexual activity of both white and black teenagers has been shown to be closely associated with simple measures of economic prosperity (table 10 and figure 14). Economic opportunity may have given teenagers reasons to more highly value education and work (45-47). Thus the educational and occupational goals of some teenagers may have changed over the period. Changing attitudes, new contraceptive methods and new programs may have helped make these goals more attainable. These factors may help to explain the declines in teenage pregnancy rates during the 1990's.
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Table 1. Numbers and rates of pregnancies, live births, induced abortions, and fetal losses, and number of women: United States, 1976-96

| Year | All pregnancies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Women aged 15-44 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Live births | Induced abortions | Fetal losses ${ }^{1}$ | Total | Live births | Induced abortions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fetal } \\ & \text { losses }^{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Number in thousands |  |  |  | Rate per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | Number in thousands |
| 1996. | 6,240 | 3,891 | 1,366 | 983 | 104.7 | 65.3 | 22.9 | 16.5 | 59,606 |
| 1995. | 6,245 | 3,900 | 1,364 | 982 | 105.1 | 65.6 | 22.9 | 16.5 | 59,442 |
| 1994. | 6,373 | 3,953 | 1,431 | 989 | 107.5 | 66.7 | 24.1 | 16.7 | 59,284 |
| 1993. | 6,494 | 4,000 | 1,500 | 993 | 109.8 | 67.6 | 25.4 | 16.8 | 59,143 |
| 1992. | 6,596 | 4,065 | 1,529 | 1,002 | 111.8 | 68.9 | 25.9 | 17.0 | 59,020 |
| 1991. | 6,674 | 4,111 | 1,557 | 1,007 | 113.0 | 69.6 | 26.3 | 17.0 | 59,079 |
| 1990. | 6,778 | 4,158 | 1,609 | 1,011 | 115.6 | 70.9 | 27.4 | 17.2 | 58,619 |
| 1989. | 6,527 | 4,041 | 1,567 | 919 | 111.8 | 69.2 | 26.8 | 15.7 | 58,367 |
| 1988. | 6,393 | 3,910 | 1,591 | 893 | 110.0 | 67.3 | 27.4 | 15.4 | 58,120 |
| 1987. | 6,183 | 3,809 | 1,559 | 815 | 106.8 | 65.8 | 26.9 | 14.1 | 57,901 |
| 1986. | 6,129 | 3,757 | 1,574 | 798 | 106.7 | 65.4 | 27.4 | 13.9 | 57,430 |
| 1985. | 6,144 | 3,761 | 1,589 | 795 | 108.3 | 66.3 | 28.0 | 14.0 | 56,716 |
| 1984. | 6,019 | 3,669 | 1,577 | 773 | 107.4 | 65.5 | 28.1 | 13.8 | 56,031 |
| 1983. | 5,977 | 3,639 | 1,575 | 763 | 108.0 | 65.7 | 28.5 | 13.8 | 55,359 |
| 1982. | 6,024 | 3,681 | 1,574 | 769 | 110.1 | 67.3 | 28.8 | 14.1 | 54,700 |
| 1981. | 5,958 | 3,629 | 1,577 | 751 | 110.5 | 67.3 | 29.3 | 13.9 | 53,926 |
| 1980. | 5,912 | 3,612 | 1,554 | 746 | 111.9 | 68.4 | 29.4 | 14.1 | 52,833 |
| 1979. | 5,714 | 3,494 | 1,498 | 722 | 109.9 | 67.2 | 28.8 | 13.9 | 52,016 |
| 1978. | 5,433 | 3,333 | 1,410 | 690 | 106.7 | 65.5 | 27.7 | 13.5 | 50,921 |
| 1977. | 5,331 | 3,327 | 1,317 | 687 | 107.0 | 66.8 | 26.4 | 13.8 | 49,814 |
| 1976. | 5,002 | 3,168 | 1,179 | 655 | 102.7 | 65.0 | 24.2 | 13.4 | 48,721 |
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Table 2. Numbers of pregnancies, live births, induced abortions, and fetal losses, by age and race of woman: United States, 1976 and 1980-96

| Pregnancy outcome and year | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 years |  |  | 20-24 <br> years | 25-29 years | 30-34 years | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over |  |  |
|  | Total | Under 15 years | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18-19 } \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | White | All other |
| All pregnancies | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 6,240 | 26 | 893 | 372 | 521 | 1,570 | 1,617 | 1,312 | 683 | 140 | --- | --- |
| 1995 | 6,245 | 28 | 904 | 384 | 520 | 1,602 | 1,598 | 1,322 | 659 | 132 | 4,692 | 1,553 |
| 1994 | 6,373 | 30 | 923 | 392 | 531 | 1,682 | 1,637 | 1,333 | 642 | 127 | 4,755 | 1,618 |
| 1993 | 6,494 | 30 | 928 | 387 | 542 | 1,762 | 1,701 | 1,332 | 622 | 119 | 4,834 | 1,660 |
| 1992 | 6,596 | 30 | 939 | 384 | 556 | 1,813 | 1,771 | 1,326 | 603 | 113 | 4,924 | 1,671 |
| 1991 | 6,674 | 29 | 975 | 388 | 587 | 1,843 | 1,827 | 1,313 | 581 | 106 | 5,006 | 1,668 |
| 1990 | 6,778 | 29 | 1,013 | 393 | 620 | 1,847 | 1,908 | 1,319 | 562 | 100 | 5,117 | 1,660 |
| 1989 | 6,527 | 28 | 999 | 383 | 616 | 1,808 | 1,858 | 1,244 | 501 | 89 | 4,923 | 1,603 |
| 1988 | 6,393 | 27 | 993 | 389 | 600 | 1,805 | 1,832 | 1,191 | 465 | 80 | 4,838 | 1,555 |
| 1987 | 6,183 | 28 | 957 | 386 | 571 | 1,784 | 1,783 | 1,136 | 424 | 71 | 4,688 | 1,495 |
| 1986 | 6,129 | 29 | 964 | 385 | 579 | 1,828 | 1,765 | 1,081 | 399 | 62 | 4,683 | 1,446 |
| 1985 | 6,144 | 30 | 981 | 385 | 596 | 1,891 | 1,764 | 1,045 | 373 | 60 | 4,733 | 1,411 |
| 1984 | 6,019 | 30 | 983 | 378 | 605 | 1,894 | 1,718 | 993 | 343 | 58 | 4,657 | 1,362 |
| 1983 | 5,977 | 29 | 1,020 | 392 | 628 | 1,913 | 1,692 | 947 | 319 | 57 | 4,628 | 1,350 |
| 1982 | 6,024 | 27 | 1,058 | 405 | 653 | 1,970 | 1,695 | 919 | 298 | 56 | 4,682 | 1,341 |
| 1981 | 5,958 | 28 | 1,103 | 424 | 678 | 1,945 | 1,663 | 897 | 268 | 54 | 4,613 | 1,345 |
| 1980 | 5,912 | 29 | 1,146 | 446 | 699 | 1,956 | 1,626 | 844 | 258 | 54 | 4,585 | 1,328 |
| 1976 | 5,002 | 32 | 1,073 | 439 | 635 | 1,644 | 1,381 | 602 | 214 | 56 | 3,871 | 1,131 |
| Live births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 3,891 | 11 | 492 | 186 | 306 | 945 | 1,071 | 898 | 400 | 75 | 3,093 | 798 |
| 1995 | 3,900 | 12 | 500 | 193 | 307 | 966 | 1,064 | 905 | 384 | 70 | 3,099 | 801 |
| 1994 | 3,953 | 13 | 505 | 195 | 310 | 1,001 | 1,089 | 906 | 372 | 66 | 3,121 | 832 |
| 1993 | 4,000 | 13 | 501 | 191 | 311 | 1,038 | 1,129 | 901 | 357 | 61 | 3,150 | 850 |
| 1992 | 4,065 | 12 | 505 | 188 | 318 | 1,070 | 1,179 | 895 | 345 | 58 | 3,202 | 863 |
| 1991 | 4,111 | 12 | 520 | 188 | 331 | 1,090 | 1,220 | 885 | 331 | 54 | 3,241 | 870 |
| 1990 | 4,158 | 12 | 522 | 183 | 338 | 1,094 | 1,277 | 886 | 318 | 50 | 3,290 | 868 |
| 1989 | 4,041 | 11 | 507 | 181 | 325 | 1,078 | 1,263 | 842 | 294 | 46 | 3,192 | 849 |
| 1988 | 3,910 | 11 | 478 | 177 | 302 | 1,067 | 1,239 | 804 | 270 | 41 | 3,102 | 807 |
| 1987 | 3,809 | 10 | 462 | 173 | 290 | 1,076 | 1,216 | 761 | 248 | 36 | 3,044 | 766 |
| 1986 | 3,757 | 10 | 462 | 169 | 293 | 1,102 | 1,200 | 721 | 230 | 31 | 3,019 | 737 |
| 1985 | 3,761 | 10 | 467 | 168 | 300 | 1,141 | 1,201 | 696 | 214 | 29 | 3,038 | 723 |
| 1984 | 3,669 | 10 | 470 | 167 | 303 | 1,142 | 1,166 | 658 | 196 | 28 | 2,967 | 702 |
| 1983 | 3,639 | 10 | 489 | 173 | 317 | 1,160 | 1,148 | 625 | 180 | 27 | 2,946 | 692 |
| 1982 | 3,681 | 10 | 514 | 181 | 333 | 1,206 | 1,152 | 605 | 168 | 26 | 2,985 | 696 |
| 1981 | 3,629 | 10 | 527 | 187 | 340 | 1,212 | 1,128 | 581 | 146 | 25 | 2,948 | 682 |
| 1980 | 3,612 | 10 | 552 | 198 | 354 | 1,226 | 1,108 | 550 | 141 | 24 | 2,936 | 676 |
| 1976 | 3,168 | 12 | 559 | 215 | 343 | 1,092 | 972 | 392 | 116 | 26 | 2,594 | 574 |
| Induced abortions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 1,366 | 10 | 264 | 104 | 160 | 434 | 318 | 195 | 112 | 33 | --- | --- |
| 1995 | 1,364 | 11 | 264 | 106 | 157 | 442 | 308 | 196 | 110 | 32 | 820 | 544 |
| 1994 | 1,431 | 12 | 276 | 111 | 165 | 478 | 316 | 205 | 111 | 32 | 861 | 570 |
| 1993 | 1,500 | 12 | 289 | 113 | 175 | 514 | 332 | 211 | 111 | 31 | 911 | 589 |
| 1992 | 1,529 | 13 | 295 | 114 | 181 | 526 | 341 | 213 | 110 | 31 | 944 | 585 |
| 1991 | 1,557 | 12 | 314 | 118 | 196 | 533 | 348 | 213 | 107 | 29 | 982 | 574 |
| 1990 | 1,609 | 13 | 351 | 130 | 221 | 532 | 360 | 216 | 108 | 29 | 1,039 | 570 |
| 1989 | 1,567 | 13 | 371 | 139 | 232 | 509 | 345 | 203 | 99 | 26 | 1,006 | 561 |
| 1988 | 1,591 | 14 | 393 | 158 | 234 | 520 | 347 | 197 | 96 | 24 | 1,026 | 565 |
| 1987 | 1,559 | 14 | 382 | 161 | 221 | 518 | 337 | 192 | 93 | 23 | 1,017 | 542 |
| 1986 | 1,574 | 16 | 389 | 165 | 224 | 531 | 339 | 186 | 92 | 21 | 1,045 | 529 |
| 1985 | 1,589 | 17 | 399 | 166 | 234 | 548 | 336 | 181 | 87 | 21 | 1,076 | 513 |
| 1984 | 1,577 | 17 | 399 | 161 | 238 | 551 | 332 | 176 | 82 | 20 | 1,087 | 491 |
| 1983 | 1,575 | 16 | 411 | 166 | 245 | 548 | 328 | 172 | 78 | 21 | 1,084 | 491 |
| 1982 | 1,574 | 15 | 419 | 168 | 250 | 552 | 326 | 168 | 73 | 21 | 1,095 | 479 |
| 1981 | 1,577 | 15 | 433 | 176 | 257 | 555 | 316 | 167 | 70 | 21 | 1,108 | 470 |
| 1980 | 1,554 | 15 | 445 | 183 | 261 | 549 | 304 | 153 | 67 | 21 | 1,094 | 460 |
| 1976 | 1,179 | 16 | 363 | 153 | 210 | 392 | 221 | 110 | 57 | 21 | 785 | 394 |

[^3]Table 2. Numbers of pregnancies, live births, induced abortions, and fetal losses, by age and race of woman: United States, 1976 and 1980-96-Con.

| Pregnancy outcome and year | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Under 15 years | 15-19 years |  |  | 20-24 years | $\begin{gathered} 25-29 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 30-34 years | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | White | All other |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{1}$ | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 983 | 4 | 137 | 82 | 55 | 191 | 228 | 219 | 172 | 32 | --- | --- |
| 1995 | 982 | 5 | 140 | 85 | 55 | 195 | 226 | 221 | 165 | 30 | 773 | 209 |
| 1994 | 989 | 5 | 141 | 86 | 56 | 202 | 232 | 221 | 160 | 28 | 773 | 216 |
| 1993 | 993 | 5 | 139 | 83 | 56 | 210 | 240 | 220 | 153 | 26 | 773 | 220 |
| 1992 | 1,002 | 5 | 138 | 82 | 57 | 216 | 251 | 219 | 148 | 25 | 779 | 223 |
| 1991 | 1,007 | 5 | 141 | 82 | 59 | 220 | 259 | 216 | 142 | 23 | 783 | 223 |
| 1990 | 1,011 | 5 | 140 | 79 | 61 | 221 | 271 | 216 | 137 | 22 | 788 | 222 |
| 1989 | 919 | 4 | 121 | 63 | 59 | 221 | 250 | 199 | 108 | 17 | 725 | 193 |
| 1988 | 893 | 3 | 122 | 54 | 63 | 218 | 245 | 190 | 100 | 15 | 710 | 183 |
| 1987 | 815 | 3 | 113 | 53 | 61 | 190 | 229 | 184 | 83 | 12 | 627 | 187 |
| 1986 | 798 | 3 | 113 | 51 | 62 | 194 | 226 | 174 | 77 | 10 | 619 | 180 |
| 1985 | 795 | 3 | 114 | 51 | 63 | 201 | 226 | 168 | 72 | 10 | 620 | 175 |
| 1984 | 773 | 3 | 114 | 51 | 64 | 201 | 220 | 159 | 66 | 9 | 603 | 170 |
| 1983 | 763 | 3 | 119 | 53 | 66 | 205 | 216 | 151 | 61 | 9 | 597 | 167 |
| 1982 | 769 | 3 | 125 | 55 | 70 | 213 | 217 | 146 | 56 | 9 | 602 | 167 |
| 1981 | 751 | 3 | 142 | 61 | 81 | 178 | 218 | 148 | 53 | 9 | 558 | 193 |
| 1980 | 746 | 3 | 149 | 65 | 84 | 180 | 214 | 140 | 51 | 9 | 555 | 192 |
| 1976 | 655 | 4 | 152 | 70 | 82 | 160 | 188 | 100 | 42 | 9 | 492 | 163 |

-     -         - Data not available.
${ }^{1}$ Spontaneous fetal losses from recognized pregnancies of all gestational periods as reported by women in the 1982, 1988, and 1995 National Surveys of Family Growth conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics. The rate of fetal loss depends on the degree to which losses at very early gestations are detected.

NOTES: Due to rounding, figures may not add to totals. Figures for 1988-92 have been revised on the basis of new information on fetal loss from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. The revised estimates differ, therefore, from those previously published (see Technical Notes and reference 4).
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Table 3. Pregnancy, live birth, induced abortion, and fetal loss rates by age and race of woman: United States, 1976 and 1980-96

| Pregnancy outcome and year | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 30-34 years | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Under 15 years $^{2}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | White | All other |
| All pregnancies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 104.7 | 2.8 | 98.7 | 67.8 | 146.4 | 183.3 | 170.7 | 122.5 | 60.4 | 13.4 | --- | --- |
| 1995 | 105.1 | 3.0 | 102.7 | 71.7 | 150.8 | 182.2 | 168.7 | 120.5 | 58.9 | 12.9 | 97.5 | 137.4 |
| 1994 | 107.5 | 3.3 | 107.6 | 75.5 | 156.7 | 186.6 | 171.2 | 119.9 | 58.2 | 12.7 | 98.8 | 145.0 |
| 1993 | 109.8 | 3.3 | 110.4 | 76.8 | 160.6 | 191.1 | 174.0 | 119.4 | 57.3 | 12.2 | 100.4 | 150.8 |
| 1992 | 111.8 | 3.4 | 112.8 | 77.3 | 165.1 | 194.1 | 176.3 | 118.8 | 56.8 | 11.9 | 102.2 | 153.9 |
| 1991 | 113.0 | 3.4 | 116.5 | 79.8 | 167.2 | 195.7 | 177.0 | 118.1 | 56.2 | 11.1 | 103.4 | 156.2 |
| 1990 | 115.6 | 3.5 | 116.3 | 80.3 | 162.4 | 196.7 | 179.6 | 120.2 | 56.1 | 11.3 | 106.2 | 159.2 |
| 1989 | 111.8 | 3.4 | 113.0 | 76.9 | 159.3 | 190.8 | 173.0 | 114.2 | 51.0 | 10.3 | 102.4 | 156.2 |
| 1988 | 110.0 | 3.4 | 109.9 | 74.1 | 158.7 | 186.3 | 169.0 | 110.8 | 48.4 | 9.8 | 100.6 | 154.7 |
| 1987 | 106.8 | 3.5 | 104.8 | 70.9 | 154.8 | 178.9 | 163.6 | 107.7 | 45.1 | 9.0 | 97.5 | 152.0 |
| 1986 | 106.7 | 3.6 | 104.7 | 69.8 | 157.1 | 178.2 | 161.6 | 105.0 | 42.4 | 8.5 | 97.9 | 150.6 |
| 1985 | 108.3 | 3.6 | 106.9 | 71.1 | 158.3 | 179.4 | 163.0 | 103.7 | 41.8 | 8.4 | 99.9 | 150.9 |
| 1984 | 107.4 | 3.5 | 105.8 | 70.4 | 154.4 | 177.2 | 160.2 | 101.1 | 40.1 | 8.3 | 99.3 | 149.4 |
| 1983 | 108.0 | 3.3 | 107.2 | 72.2 | 153.5 | 177.8 | 160.0 | 98.4 | 39.0 | 8.6 | 99.6 | 151.9 |
| 1982 | 110.1 | 3.1 | 107.8 | 72.1 | 155.7 | 182.4 | 163.4 | 97.3 | 37.6 | 8.8 | 101.7 | 154.9 |
| 1981 | 110.5 | 3.1 | 109.2 | 72.6 | 159.6 | 180.0 | 164.3 | 94.8 | 36.8 | 8.8 | 101.3 | 159.9 |
| 1980 | 111.9 | 3.2 | 110.0 | 73.2 | 162.2 | 183.5 | 165.7 | 95.0 | 36.4 | 9.1 | 102.4 | 164.4 |
| 1976 | 102.7 | 3.2 | 101.4 | 69.4 | 148.9 | 166.1 | 150.8 | 82.2 | 35.3 | 9.9 | 92.8 | 161.6 |
| Live births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 65.3 | 1.2 | 54.4 | 33.8 | 86.0 | 110.4 | 113.1 | 83.9 | 35.3 | 7.1 | 64.3 | 69.5 |
| 1995 | 65.6 | 1.3 | 56.8 | 36.0 | 89.1 | 109.8 | 112.2 | 82.5 | 34.3 | 6.8 | 64.4 | 70.8 |
| 1994 | 66.7 | 1.4 | 58.9 | 37.6 | 91.5 | 111.1 | 113.9 | 81.5 | 33.7 | 6.6 | 64.9 | 74.5 |
| 1993 | 67.6 | 1.4 | 59.6 | 37.8 | 92.1 | 112.6 | 115.5 | 80.8 | 32.9 | 6.3 | 65.4 | 77.3 |
| 1992 | 68.9 | 1.4 | 60.7 | 37.8 | 94.5 | 114.6 | 117.4 | 80.2 | 32.5 | 6.1 | 66.5 | 79.5 |
| 1991 | 69.6 | 1.4 | 62.1 | 38.7 | 94.4 | 115.7 | 118.2 | 79.5 | 32.0 | 5.7 | 67.0 | 81.5 |
| 1990 | 70.9 | 1.4 | 59.9 | 37.5 | 88.6 | 116.5 | 120.2 | 80.8 | 31.7 | 5.6 | 68.3 | 83.2 |
| 1989 | 69.2 | 1.4 | 57.3 | 36.4 | 84.2 | 113.8 | 117.6 | 77.4 | 29.9 | 5.3 | 66.4 | 82.7 |
| 1988 | 67.3 | 1.3 | 53.0 | 33.6 | 79.9 | 110.2 | 114.4 | 74.8 | 28.1 | 5.0 | 64.5 | 80.3 |
| 1987 | 65.8 | 1.3 | 50.6 | 31.7 | 78.5 | 107.9 | 111.6 | 72.1 | 26.3 | 4.6 | 63.3 | 77.9 |
| 1986 | 65.4 | 1.3 | 50.2 | 30.5 | 79.6 | 107.4 | 109.8 | 70.1 | 24.4 | 4.2 | 63.1 | 76.8 |
| 1985 | 66.3 | 1.2 | 51.0 | 31.0 | 79.6 | 108.3 | 111.0 | 69.1 | 24.0 | 4.1 | 64.1 | 77.3 |
| 1984 | 65.5 | 1.2 | 50.6 | 31.0 | 77.4 | 106.8 | 108.7 | 67.0 | 22.9 | 4.0 | 63.2 | 77.0 |
| 1983 | 65.7 | 1.1 | 51.4 | 31.8 | 77.4 | 107.8 | 108.5 | 64.9 | 22.0 | 4.0 | 63.4 | 77.9 |
| 1982 | 67.3 | 1.1 | 52.4 | 32.3 | 79.4 | 111.6 | 111.0 | 64.1 | 21.2 | 4.1 | 64.8 | 80.3 |
| 1981 | 67.3 | 1.1 | 52.2 | 32.0 | 80.0 | 112.2 | 111.5 | 61.4 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 64.8 | 81.1 |
| 1980 | 68.4 | 1.1 | 53.0 | 32.5 | 82.1 | 115.1 | 112.9 | 61.9 | 19.8 | 4.1 | 65.6 | 83.7 |
| 1976 | 65.0 | 1.2 | 52.8 | 34.1 | 80.5 | 110.3 | 106.2 | 53.6 | 19.0 | 4.5 | 62.2 | 82.0 |
| Induced abortions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 22.9 | 1.1 | 29.2 | 19.0 | 44.9 | 50.7 | 33.6 | 18.2 | 9.9 | 3.2 | --- |  |
| 1995 | 22.9 | 1.2 | 30.0 | 19.9 | 45.7 | 50.3 | 32.6 | 17.9 | 9.8 | 3.2 | 17.0 | 48.1 |
| 1994 | 24.1 | 1.3 | 32.2 | 21.4 | 48.8 | 53.0 | 33.1 | 18.4 | 10.0 | 3.2 | 17.9 | 51.1 |
| 1993 | 25.4 | 1.4 | 34.3 | 22.5 | 52.0 | 55.8 | 33.9 | 18.9 | 10.2 | 3.2 | 18.9 | 53.5 |
| 1992 | 25.9 | 1.5 | 35.5 | 23.1 | 53.8 | 56.3 | 33.9 | 19.0 | 10.4 | 3.2 | 19.6 | 53.9 |
| 1991 | 26.3 | 1.4 | 37.6 | 24.3 | 55.9 | 56.6 | 33.7 | 19.1 | 10.4 | 3.0 | 20.3 | 53.8 |
| 1990 | 27.4 | 1.5 | 40.3 | 26.5 | 57.9 | 56.7 | 33.9 | 19.7 | 10.8 | 3.2 | 21.6 | 54.6 |
| 1989 | 26.8 | 1.6 | 42.0 | 28.0 | 60.0 | 53.8 | 32.2 | 18.6 | 10.1 | 3.0 | 20.9 | 54.7 |
| 1988 | 27.4 | 1.7 | 43.5 | 30.2 | 62.0 | 53.6 | 32.0 | 18.4 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 21.3 | 56.2 |
| 1987 | 26.9 | 1.8 | 41.8 | 29.6 | 59.8 | 52.0 | 31.0 | 18.2 | 9.9 | 2.9 | 21.2 | 55.1 |
| 1986 | 27.4 | 2.0 | 42.3 | 29.9 | 60.8 | 51.8 | 31.1 | 18.0 | 9.7 | 2.8 | 21.8 | 55.1 |
| 1985 | 28.0 | 2.0 | 43.5 | 30.6 | 62.0 | 52.0 | 31.1 | 17.9 | 9.7 | 2.9 | 22.7 | 54.9 |
| 1984 | 28.1 | 2.0 | 42.9 | 29.9 | 60.8 | 51.6 | 31.0 | 17.9 | 9.6 | 2.9 | 23.2 | 53.8 |
| 1983 | 28.5 | 1.9 | 43.2 | 30.7 | 59.9 | 50.9 | 31.0 | 17.8 | 9.5 | 3.2 | 23.3 | 55.2 |
| 1982 | 28.8 | 1.6 | 42.7 | 30.0 | 59.7 | 51.1 | 31.5 | 17.8 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 23.8 | 55.3 |
| 1981 | 29.3 | 1.7 | 42.9 | 30.1 | 60.6 | 51.4 | 31.3 | 17.7 | 9.5 | 3.4 | 24.3 | 55.8 |
| 1980 | 29.4 | 1.7 | 42.7 | 30.1 | 60.6 | 51.6 | 31.0 | 17.2 | 9.4 | 3.5 | 24.4 | 57.0 |
| 1976 | 24.2 | 1.6 | 34.3 | 24.2 | 49.3 | 39.6 | 24.1 | 15.0 | 9.3 | 3.7 | 18.8 | 56.3 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3. Pregnancy, live birth, induced abortion, and fetal loss rates by age and race of woman: United States, 1976 and 1980-96-Con.

| Pregnancy outcome and year | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 30-34 years | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Under 15 years $^{2}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | White | All other |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 16.5 | 0.5 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 15.5 | 22.3 | 24.1 | 20.5 | 15.2 | 3.1 | -- - | -- - |
| 1995 | 16.5 | 0.5 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 22.1 | 23.9 | 20.1 | 14.8 | 2.9 | 16.1 | 18.5 |
| 1994 | 16.7 | 0.6 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 22.4 | 24.2 | 19.9 | 14.5 | 2.8 | 16.1 | 19.3 |
| 1993 | 16.8 | 0.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 22.8 | 24.6 | 19.7 | 14.1 | 2.7 | 16.1 | 20.0 |
| 1992 | 17.0 | 0.5 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.9 | 23.2 | 25.0 | 19.6 | 13.9 | 2.6 | 16.2 | 20.5 |
| 1991 | 17.0 | 0.5 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 23.4 | 25.1 | 19.4 | 13.8 | 2.4 | 16.2 | 20.9 |
| 1990 | 17.2 | 0.5 | 16.1 | 16.2 | 15.9 | 23.5 | 25.5 | 19.7 | 13.6 | 2.4 | 16.4 | 21.3 |
| 1989 | 15.7 | 0.5 | 13.7 | 12.6 | 15.2 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 18.3 | 11.0 | 2.0 | 15.1 | 18.8 |
| 1988 | 15.4 | 0.4 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 16.8 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 17.7 | 10.4 | 1.8 | 14.8 | 18.2 |
| 1987 | 14.1 | 0.4 | 12.4 | 9.6 | 16.5 | 19.0 | 21.0 | 17.4 | 8.8 | 1.5 | 13.0 | 19.1 |
| 1986 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 12.3 | 9.3 | 16.7 | 19.0 | 20.7 | 16.9 | 8.2 | 1.4 | 12.9 | 18.7 |
| 1985 | 14.0 | 0.4 | 12.4 | 9.4 | 16.7 | 19.1 | 20.9 | 16.7 | 8.1 | 1.4 | 13.1 | 18.7 |
| 1984 | 13.8 | 0.4 | 12.3 | 9.5 | 16.2 | 18.9 | 20.5 | 16.2 | 7.7 | 1.4 | 12.9 | 18.6 |
| 1983 | 13.8 | 0.3 | 12.5 | 9.7 | 16.2 | 19.0 | 20.4 | 15.7 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 12.8 | 18.8 |
| 1982 | 14.1 | 0.3 | 12.7 | 9.8 | 16.7 | 19.7 | 20.9 | 15.5 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 13.1 | 19.3 |
| 1981 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 14.1 | 10.5 | 19.0 | 16.5 | 21.6 | 15.7 | 7.2 | 1.4 | 12.2 | 23.0 |
| 1980 | 14.1 | 0.4 | 14.3 | 10.6 | 19.5 | 16.9 | 21.8 | 15.8 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 12.4 | 23.7 |
| 1976 | 13.4 | 0.4 | 14.4 | 11.1 | 19.1 | 16.2 | 20.5 | 13.6 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 11.8 | 23.3 |
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Table 4. Pregnancy, live birth, induced abortion, and fetal loss rates by age, race, and Hispanic origin of woman: United States, 1990-95

| Pregnancy outcome and race and Hispanic origin and year | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-19 years |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years }^{3} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Under 15 years $^{2}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. | 86.4 | 1.2 | 71.6 | 46.7 | 110.2 | 139.9 | 147.6 | 112.2 | 54.2 | 11.1 |
| 1994. | 87.8 | 1.3 | 74.5 | 48.7 | 114.0 | 142.6 | 149.2 | 110.9 | 53.2 | 10.8 |
| 1993. | 89.7 | 1.3 | 76.9 | 49.9 | 117.3 | 147.1 | 151.9 | 109.8 | 52.0 | 10.3 |
| 1992. | 91.7 | 1.4 | 79.3 | 50.4 | 121.8 | 150.4 | 154.9 | 109.1 | 51.2 | 9.9 |
| 1991. | 94.0 | 1.4 | 84.7 | 54.1 | 126.9 | 155.2 | 157.7 | 109.0 | 51.1 | 9.2 |
| 1990 | 97.7 | 1.5 | 87.4 | 56.3 | 126.4 | 159.7 | 162.0 | 111.3 | 51.1 | 9.4 |
| Live births: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 57.6 | 0.4 | 39.3 | 22.0 | 66.1 | 90.0 | 106.5 | 82.0 | 32.9 | 6.1 |
| 1994. | 58.3 | 0.5 | 40.4 | 22.8 | 67.4 | 90.9 | 107.9 | 80.7 | 32.1 | 5.9 |
| 1993. | 59.0 | 0.5 | 40.7 | 22.7 | 67.7 | 92.1 | 109.2 | 79.4 | 31.1 | 5.5 |
| 1992. | 60.2 | 0.5 | 41.7 | 22.7 | 69.8 | 93.9 | 111.5 | 78.7 | 30.5 | 5.3 |
| 1991. | 61.0 | 0.5 | 43.4 | 23.6 | 70.5 | 95.7 | 112.7 | 77.9 | 30.2 | 4.8 |
| 1990. | 62.8 | 0.5 | 42.6 | 23.3 | 66.9 | 97.9 | 115.3 | 79.2 | 29.9 | 4.8 |
| Induced abortions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. | 14.1 | 0.6 | 20.3 | 13.3 | 31.3 | 31.2 | 19.3 | 11.0 | 6.7 | 2.3 |
| 1994 | 14.7 | 0.6 | 21.7 | 14.0 | 33.4 | 32.9 | 19.3 | 11.4 | 6.7 | 2.3 |
| 1993. | 16.0 | 0.6 | 23.8 | 15.3 | 36.5 | 35.8 | 20.3 | 11.9 | 7.0 | 2.3 |
| 1992. | 16.6 | 0.7 | 25.0 | 15.9 | 38.5 | 37.0 | 20.6 | 12.1 | 7.1 | 2.3 |
| 1991. | 17.9 | 0.7 | 28.4 | 18.1 | 42.6 | 39.6 | 22.0 | 12.9 | 7.4 | 2.2 |
| 1990. | 19.6 | 0.8 | 32.3 | 21.0 | 46.5 | 41.5 | 23.1 | 13.7 | 7.9 | 2.4 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{4}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995... | 14.6 | 0.2 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 12.9 | 18.7 | 21.7 | 19.1 | 14.7 | 2.7 |
| 1994. | 14.7 | 0.2 | 12.4 | 11.9 | 13.1 | 18.9 | 22.0 | 18.8 | 14.3 | 2.6 |
| 1993. | 14.8 | 0.2 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 13.2 | 19.1 | 22.3 | 18.5 | 13.9 | 2.5 |
| 1992. | 14.9 | 0.2 | 12.5 | 11.8 | 13.6 | 19.5 | 22.8 | 18.3 | 13.6 | 2.4 |
| 1991. | 15.0 | 0.2 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 13.7 | 19.9 | 23.0 | 18.2 | 13.5 | 2.2 |
| 1990. | 15.3 | 0.2 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 13.0 | 20.3 | 23.5 | 18.5 | 13.3 | 2.1 |
| Black: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. | 153.4 | 9.6 | 184.4 | 137.0 | 258.3 | 302.4 | 213.4 | 137.9 | 62.8 | 15.0 |
| 1994. | 163.4 | 10.8 | 201.2 | 149.8 | 280.9 | 323.5 | 222.4 | 141.3 | 63.9 | 14.9 |
| 1993. | 171.7 | 11.0 | 211.7 | 158.0 | 292.4 | 340.3 | 230.4 | 145.5 | 64.9 | 14.9 |
| 1992. | 175.5 | 11.3 | 217.3 | 160.4 | 300.5 | 346.1 | 232.9 | 145.2 | 64.5 | 14.4 |
| 1991. | 177.4 | 11.4 | 221.7 | 164.6 | 299.8 | 347.0 | 231.5 | 144.3 | 63.2 | 14.2 |
| 1990. | 180.2 | 11.8 | 221.3 | 165.0 | 295.3 | 342.5 | 233.2 | 145.9 | 63.3 | 14.4 |
| Live births: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995... | 74.5 | 4.3 | 99.3 | 72.1 | 141.9 | 141.7 | 102.0 | 65.9 | 29.4 | 6.3 |
| 1994. | 79.0 | 4.7 | 107.7 | 78.6 | 152.9 | 150.3 | 107.0 | 67.5 | 29.5 | 6.2 |
| 1993. | 82.7 | 4.7 | 112.2 | 82.5 | 156.7 | 157.4 | 111.5 | 69.0 | 29.8 | 6.2 |
| 1992. | 85.5 | 4.8 | 116.0 | 83.9 | 162.9 | 163.0 | 114.6 | 69.1 | 29.4 | 5.9 |
| 1991. | 87.6 | 4.9 | 118.9 | 86.7 | 163.1 | 166.1 | 116.3 | 69.3 | 28.9 | 5.7 |
| 1990 . | 89.0 | 5.0 | 116.2 | 84.9 | 157.5 | 165.2 | 118.3 | 70.2 | 28.6 | 5.8 |
| Induced abortions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. | 58.2 | 4.1 | 66.2 | 45.8 | 98.1 | 122.7 | 86.0 | 45.5 | 21.9 | 6.2 |
| 1994. | 62.6 | 4.8 | 73.0 | 50.3 | 108.2 | 133.0 | 88.8 | 46.7 | 22.8 | 6.3 |
| 1993. | 66.2 | 5.0 | 78.3 | 53.6 | 115.4 | 140.8 | 91.0 | 48.7 | 23.5 | 6.3 |
| 1992. | 66.6 | 5.2 | 79.5 | 54.2 | 116.5 | 139.5 | 89.8 | 48.2 | 23.6 | 6.2 |
| 1991. | 65.9 | 5.1 | 80.5 | 54.9 | 115.7 | 136.4 | 86.3 | 47.1 | 23.0 | 6.2 |
| 1990. | 67.0 | 5.4 | 83.5 | 57.7 | 117.4 | 133.1 | 85.4 | 47.5 | 23.5 | 6.4 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{4}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. | 20.7 | 1.2 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 18.3 | 37.9 | 25.4 | 26.5 | 11.5 | 2.5 |
| 1994. | 21.9 | 1.3 | 20.4 | 20.9 | 19.8 | 40.2 | 26.6 | 27.1 | 11.6 | 2.5 |
| 1993. | 22.8 | 1.3 | 21.2 | 21.9 | 20.3 | 42.1 | 27.8 | 27.7 | 11.7 | 2.4 |
| 1992. | 23.4 | 1.3 | 21.8 | 22.3 | 21.1 | 43.6 | 28.5 | 27.8 | 11.5 | 2.3 |
| 1991. | 23.9 | 1.3 | 22.2 | 23.0 | 21.1 | 44.5 | 29.0 | 27.9 | 11.3 | 2.3 |
| 1990.... . . . . | 24.2 | 1.3 | 21.6 | 22.5 | 20.4 | 44.2 | 29.5 | 28.2 | 11.2 | 2.3 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 4. Pregnancy, live birth, induced abortion, and fetal loss rates by age, race, and Hispanic origin of woman: United States, 1990-95-Con.

| Pregnancy outcome and race and Hispanic origin and year | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-19 years |  |  |  | 20-24 years | $\begin{gathered} 25-29 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 30-34 years | $\begin{gathered} 35-39 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years }^{3} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Under 15 years ${ }^{2}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995.... | 159.6 | 4.4 | 162.8 | 110.0 | 242.7 | 276.5 | 232.7 | 142.3 | 79.9 | 21.3 |
| 1994.... | 163.3 | 4.6 | 167.2 | 113.9 | 246.5 | 281.4 | 234.9 | 144.0 | 80.2 | 21.9 |
| 1993. | 165.1 | 4.5 | 166.1 | 110.2 | 249.3 | 281.8 | 235.7 | 145.7 | 80.5 | 21.5 |
| 1992. | 169.0 | 4.5 | 167.8 | 110.9 | 251.6 | 286.6 | 237.7 | 148.0 | 83.5 | 22.4 |
| 1991. | 164.8 | 4.2 | 164.6 | 107.0 | 247.6 | 273.8 | 231.1 | 143.7 | 80.5 | 21.3 |
| 1990. | 163.2 | 4.0 | 155.8 | 101.0 | 231.4 | 263.0 | 229.2 | 146.4 | 81.0 | 21.7 |
| Live births: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. | 105.0 | 2.7 | 106.7 | 72.9 | 157.9 | 188.5 | 153.8 | 95.9 | 44.9 | 11.2 |
| 1994. | 105.6 | 2.7 | 107.7 | 74.0 | 158.0 | 188.2 | 153.2 | 95.4 | 44.3 | 11.1 |
| 1993. | 106.9 | 2.7 | 106.8 | 71.7 | 159.1 | 188.3 | 154.0 | 96.4 | 44.7 | 11.0 |
| 1992. | 108.5 | 2.6 | 107.1 | 71.4 | 159.7 | 190.6 | 154.4 | 96.8 | 45.6 | 11.4 |
| 1991. | 108.1 | 2.4 | 106.7 | 70.6 | 158.5 | 186.3 | 152.8 | 96.1 | 44.9 | 11.1 |
| 1990. | 107.6 | 2.4 | 100.2 | 65.8 | 147.6 | 180.8 | 152.8 | 98.1 | 45.2 | 11.4 |
| Induced abortions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995... . | 34.4 | 1.3 | 38.6 | 25.1 | 58.9 | 68.3 | 44.8 | 25.9 | 14.8 | 5.0 |
| 1994. | 37.3 | 1.4 | 41.7 | 27.8 | 62.5 | 73.5 | 47.8 | 28.2 | 16.0 | 5.8 |
| 1993. | 37.8 | 1.5 | 41.7 | 26.7 | 64.1 | 73.9 | 47.6 | 28.6 | 15.7 | 5.5 |
| 1992. | 39.7 | 1.6 | 43.1 | 27.8 | 65.7 | 76.1 | 49.1 | 30.5 | 17.4 | 5.9 |
| 1991. | 36.2 | 1.4 | 40.4 | 24.7 | 63.0 | 68.1 | 44.4 | 27.1 | 15.5 | 5.2 |
| 1990. | 35.1 | 1.1 | 39.1 | 24.3 | 59.5 | 63.4 | 42.6 | 27.2 | 15.4 | 5.2 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{4}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995.. | 20.3 | 0.4 | 17.5 | 12.0 | 26.0 | 19.7 | 34.1 | 20.5 | 20.2 | 5.1 |
| 1994. | 20.3 | 0.4 | 17.7 | 12.2 | 26.0 | 19.6 | 34.0 | 20.4 | 19.9 | 5.0 |
| 1993. | 20.5 | 0.4 | 17.6 | 11.8 | 26.2 | 19.6 | 34.1 | 20.7 | 20.1 | 5.0 |
| 1992. | 20.8 | 0.4 | 17.6 | 11.7 | 26.3 | 19.9 | 34.2 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 5.1 |
| 1991. | 20.6 | 0.4 | 17.5 | 11.6 | 26.1 | 19.4 | 33.9 | 20.6 | 20.2 | 5.0 |
| 1990. | 20.5 | 0.4 | 16.5 | 10.8 | 24.3 | 18.9 | 33.9 | 21.0 | 20.4 | 5.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Rates computed by relating the number of events to women of all ages to women aged 15-44 years.
${ }^{2}$ Rates computed by relating the number of events to women under 15 years to women aged 10-14 years.
${ }^{3}$ Rates computed by relating the number of events to women aged 40 years and over to women aged 40-44 years.
${ }^{4}$ Spontaneous fetal losses from recognized pregnancies of all gestational periods as reported by women in the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics. The rate of fetal loss depends on the degree to which losses at very early gestations are detected.
${ }^{5}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
NOTES: Due to rounding, figures may not add to totals. Rates for 1990-91 have been revised on the basis of information from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG). The revised estimates differ, therefore, from those previously published (see Technical Notes and reference 4).

Table 5. Numbers and rates of pregnancies, live births, induced abortions, and fetal losses for teenagers, by age, race, and Hispanic origin: United States, 1996

| Pregnancy outcome and race and Hispanic origin | Number (in thousands) |  |  |  | Rate per 1,000 women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-19 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10-14 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 15-19 years |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10-14 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
| All races ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies | 26 | 893 | 372 | 521 | 2.8 | 98.7 | 67.8 | 146.4 |
| Live births | 11 | 492 | 186 | 306 | 1.2 | 54.4 | 33.8 | 86.0 |
| Induced abortions. | 10 | 264 | 104 | 160 | 1.1 | 29.2 | 19.0 | 44.9 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{2}$. | 4 | 137 | 82 | 55 | 0.5 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 15.5 |
| Non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies | 7 | 416 | 163 | 253 | 1.1 | 68.1 | 43.9 | 105.6 |
| Live births | 3 | 229 | 76 | 153 | 0.4 | 37.6 | 20.6 | 63.7 |
| Induced abortions. | 3 | 117 | 46 | 71 | 0.5 | 19.1 | 12.5 | 29.4 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{2}$. | 1 | 70 | 40 | 30 | 0.2 | 11.4 | 10.8 | 12.4 |
| Black: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies | 12 | 242 | 106 | 136 | 8.7 | 177.8 | 128.1 | 254.4 |
| Live births | 5 | 128 | 55 | 73 | 3.8 | 94.2 | 66.6 | 136.6 |
| Induced abortions. | 5 | 90 | 36 | 54 | 3.9 | 65.9 | 43.7 | 100.1 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{2}$. | 1 | 24 | 15 | 9 | 1.0 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 17.7 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies | 5 | 183 | 74 | 110 | 4.3 | 157.1 | 105.0 | 235.4 |
| Live births | 3 | 119 | 48 | 71 | 2.6 | 101.8 | 69.0 | 151.1 |
| Induced abortions. | 2 | 45 | 17 | 28 | 1.3 | 38.6 | 24.7 | 59.5 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{2}$. | 1 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 0.4 | 16.7 | 11.3 | 24.8 |

[^5]
Statistics. The rate of pregnancy loss depends on the degree to which losses at very early gestations are detected.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
NOTE: Due to rounding, figures may not add to totals.

Table 6. Pregnancy, live birth, and induced abortion rates by marital status and race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1980 and 1990-95
[Rates per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years in specified group]

| Race and Hispanic origin and year | Married |  |  | Unmarried |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All pregnancies ${ }^{1}$ | Live birth | Induced abortion | All pregnancies ${ }^{1}$ | Live birth | Induced abortion |
| All races |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. . . . . . . . . . . . | 113.2 | 83.7 | 8.5 | 95.8 | 45.1 | 39.3 |
| 1994. . . . . . . . . . . | 113.7 | 83.8 | 9.0 | 100.4 | 46.9 | 41.6 |
| 1993. . . . . . . . . . . . | 117.9 | 86.8 | 9.6 | 100.4 | 45.3 | 43.7 |
| 1992. . . . . . . . . . . . | 120.5 | 89.0 | 9.7 | 101.4 | 45.2 | 44.9 |
| 1991. . . . . . . . . . . . | 122.0 | 89.9 | 10.1 | 102.2 | 45.2 | 45.8 |
| 1990. . . . . . . . . . . . | 126.3 | 93.2 | 10.6 | 102.3 | 43.8 | 47.7 |
| 1980. . | 128.7 | 97.0 | 12.3 | 88.3 | 29.4 | 52.0 |
| White, total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. . . . . . . . . . | 112.9 | 85.1 | 6.6 | 77.4 | 37.5 | 30.5 |
| 1994. . . . . . . . . . | 113.0 | 85.0 | 6.9 | 80.1 | 38.3 | 32.3 |
| 1993. . . | 116.4 | 87.6 | 7.3 | 79.1 | 35.9 | 34.3 |
| 1992. . | 119.0 | 89.6 | 7.6 | 79.6 | 35.2 | 35.8 |
| 1991. . | 120.4 | 90.6 | 7.9 | 80.2 | 34.6 | 37.3 |
| $1990 .$ | 125.1 | 94.1 | 8.5 | 80.2 | 32.9 | 39.4 |
| 1980. . . | 125.9 | 97.5 | 10.1 | 66.7 | 18.1 | 45.3 |
| White, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1995 .$ |  |  | 5.3 | 61.0 |  | 25.6 |
| 1994. . . . | 106.6 | $80.7$ | 5.4 | 63.1 | 28.5 | 27.4 |
| All other ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1995 .$ | 114.8 |  | 20.2 | 151.9 | 68.1 | 66.1 |
| $1994 .$ | 117.8 | $76.2$ | 21.9 | 162.7 | 73.4 | 70.2 |
| 1993. . . | $126.9$ | $81.8$ | 23.9 | 166.2 | 74.3 | 72.6 |
| 1992. . . | $130.5$ | 84.8 | 23.8 | 169.0 | 76.1 | 73.3 |
| 1991. . | 132.5 | 85.6 | 25.0 | 171.9 | 78.8 | 72.9 |
| 1990. . | 134.4 | 87.4 | 24.7 | 175.0 | 79.7 | 74.8 |
| 1980. . | 149.6 | 93.5 | 29.0 | 176.2 | 75.2 | 79.3 |
| Black, total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. . . | 104.5 | 65.1 | 21.5 | 169.4 | 75.9 | 72.7 |
| 1994. . . | 109.2 | 66.9 | 23.9 | 182.8 | 82.1 | 78.2 |
| 1993. . . | 120.4 | 73.7 | 26.5 | 189.4 | 84.0 | 82.5 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995. . . | 149.6 | 113.2 | 14.6 | 171.7 | 95.0 | 58.4 |
| 1994. . | 147.0 | 109.3 | 16.7 | 181.7 | 101.2 | 61.1 |
| 1993. . | 155.7 | 116.4 | 17.0 | 176.7 | 95.2 | 63.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes pregnancies ending in fetal loss, not shown separately.
${ }^{2}$ Includes black and other races.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
 from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. The revised estimates differ from those previously published (4). See Technical Notes.

Table 7. Percent distribution of pregnancies by outcome of pregnancy, according to age, race, and Hispanic origin of woman: United States, 1995

| Pregnancy outcome and race and Hispanic origin |  |  | 15-19 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 30-34 <br> years | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Under 15 years | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races ${ }^{1}$ | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Live births | 62.4 | 43.7 | 55.3 | 50.2 | 59.1 | 60.3 | 66.6 | 68.5 | 58.3 | 52.9 |
| Induced abortions. | 21.8 | 38.8 | 29.2 | 27.7 | 30.3 | 27.6 | 19.3 | 14.8 | 16.7 | 24.5 |
| Fetal losses | 15.7 | 17.4 | 15.5 | 22.1 | 10.6 | 12.1 | 14.1 | 16.7 | 25.1 | 22.6 |
| Non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Live births | 66.7 | 35.7 | 54.8 | 47.1 | 59.9 | 64.4 | 72.2 | 73.1 | 60.6 | 55.1 |
| Induced abortions. | 16.4 | 45.6 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 22.3 | 13.2 | 9.8 | 12.3 | 20.3 |
| Fetal losses | 17.0 | 18.6 | 16.8 | 24.5 | 11.7 | 13.4 | 14.7 | 17.0 | 27.0 | 24.6 |
| Black: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Live births | 48.5 | 45.4 | 53.9 | 52.6 | 54.9 | 46.9 | 47.8 | 47.8 | 46.8 | 42.2 |
| Induced abortions. | 38.0 | 42.5 | 35.9 | 33.4 | 38.0 | 40.6 | 40.3 | 33.0 | 34.8 | 41.3 |
| Fetal losses | 13.5 | 12.0 | 10.2 | 14.0 | 7.1 | 12.6 | 11.9 | 19.2 | 18.4 | 16.6 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Live births | 65.8 | 61.0 | 65.5 | 66.3 | 65.0 | 68.2 | 66.1 | 67.4 | 56.2 | 52.6 |
| Induced abortions. | 21.5 | 28.9 | 23.7 | 22.8 | 24.3 | 24.7 | 19.3 | 18.2 | 18.5 | 23.7 |
| Fetal losses | 12.7 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 14.7 | 14.4 | 25.3 | 23.7 |

[^6]${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.

Table 8. Percent of never married males and females 15-19 years of age who have had sexual intercourse, by selected age groups and sociodemographic characteristics: United States, 1988 and 1995

| Characteristic | Females |  | Males |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1995 | 1988 | 1995 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |
| All teenagers 15-19 years ${ }^{1}$. | 51.1 | 49.3 | 60.4 | **55.2 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15. | 27.9 | 24.3 | 32.6 | 27.1 |
| 16. | 34.1 | 38.3 | 49.9 | 44.6 |
| 17. | 47.6 | 50.8 | 65.6 | 58.4 |
| 18. | 65.5 | 62.3 | 71.6 | 67.0 |
| 19. | 79.7 | 74.0 | 85.7 | 84.0 |
| 15-17 | 37.2 | 38.0 | 50.0 | ***43.1 |
| 18-19 | 72.6 | **68.0 | 77.3 | 75.4 |
| Age, race, and Hispanic origin |  |  |  |  |
| White non-Hispanic . | 50.4 | 48.5 | 56.8 | ***49.5 |
| 15-17..... . | 34.7 | 35.6 | 44.4 | ***34.8 |
| 18-19.. | 72.7 | 68.0 | 76.8 | 74.7 |
| Black non-Hispanic | 60.4 | 59.3 | 80.6 | 80.4 |
| 15-17 . . . . . . . | 50.2 | 48.9 | 76.6 | 75.4 |
| 18-19. . | 77.5 | 77.1 | 87.7 | 89.0 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 45.8 | 52.7 | 59.7 | 60.9 |
| 15-17. | 35.2 | **49.0 | 57.1 | 49.7 |
| 18-19. | 67.5 | 59.0 | 63.6 | **78.6 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 years. | 55.4 | 60.2 | 67.3 | 59.8 |
| 12 years. . | 49.4 | 47.2 | 65.3 | **58.0 |
| 13-15 years . . | 51.2 | 55.2 | 53.9 | 57.5 |
| 16 years or more . . . . . . | 48.5 | **37.2 | 50.9 | 45.5 |
| Family structure at age 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Both biological/adoptive parents . | 44.9 | 42.5 | 57.0 | **50.4 |
| Single parent ${ }^{3}$ | 58.7 | 58.9 | 71.1 | 67.6 |
| Parent and stepparent | 66.2 | **55.3 | 56.5 | 54.0 |
| Nonparental/other . . . . | 72.8 | 64.2 | 91.0 | 80.3 |

** change from 1988 to 1995 is significant at the .10 level.
${ }^{* * *}$ change from 1988 to 1995 is significant at the .05 level.
${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
${ }^{3}$ Includes parent and gir//boyfriend; also includes parent and other adults.
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Table 9. Percent distribution of women 15-44 years of age, by frequency of sexual intercourse in the last $\mathbf{3}$ months, according to age, marital status, and race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1988 and 1995

|  |  |  | Sex in the last 3 months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 12 months but no sex in last 3 months | Once a month or less | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-3 per } \\ & \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Once a week | More than once a week |


| Total, 15-44 years | Percent distribution |
| :--- | :--- |
| All races ${ }^{1}$ : |  |



1988 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
100.0
100.0
Hispanic²:
1988 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
1995 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

All races, ${ }^{1}$ by age
15-19 years:
1988 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
1995 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 100.0

| 51.1 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 11.9 | 7.7 | 13.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 53.5 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 13.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66.2 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 9.4 | 3.7 | 7.2 |
| 66.6 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 7.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.0 | 8.3 | 10.4 | 15.4 | 13.5 | 23.5 |
| 33.9 | 8.5 | 12.4 | 14.7 | 8.7 | 21.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.0 | 4.0 | 10.3 | 18.3 | 19.6 | 36.1 |
| 14.1 | 5.6 |  | 17.7 | 17.6 | 34.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.5 | 2.9 |  | 21.0 | 24.9 | 33.7 |
| 10.8 | 3.5 |  | 20.1 | 20.4 | 32.6 |

Married, 15-44 years
All races ${ }^{1}$ :
Alraces .
1988 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 100.

Unmarried, 15-44 years

| All races ${ }^{1}$ : |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 100.0 |
| 1995 | 100.0 |
| White non-Hispanic: |  |
| 1988 | 100.0 |
| 1995 | 100.0 |
| Black non-Hispanic: |  |
| 1988 | 100.0 |
| 1995 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ : |  |
| 1995. | 100.0 |

[^7]Table 9. Percent distribution of women 15-44 years of age, by frequency of sexual intercourse in the last 3 months, according to age, marital status, and race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1988 and 1995-Con.

|  |  |  |  | Sex in the last 3 months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Never had } \\ & \text { sex or } \\ & \text { no sex in } \\ & \text { last } 12 \text { months } \end{aligned}$ | 12 months but no sex in last 3 months | Once a month or less | 2-3 per <br> month | Once a week | More than once a week |
| Unmarried, all races, by age | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 years: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 100.0 | 52.7 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 12.0 | 7.6 | 11.6 |
| 1995 | 100.0 | 55.7 | 7.1 | 9.2 | 10.5 | 6.5 | 11.0 |
| 20-29 years: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988. | 100.0 | 27.4 | 7.0 | 9.3 | 16.6 | 14.2 | 25.5 |
| 1995. | 100.0 | 23.2 | 7.9 | 13.1 | 15.9 | 12.6 | 27.2 |
| 30-44 years: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 100.0 | 32.1 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 15.7 | 14.0 | 21.2 |
| 1995... | 100.0 | 30.6 | 8.2 | 16.4 | 14.2 | 9.6 | 21.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
NOTE: Sample sizes for Hispanic women were too small to provide these data for 1988 from the National Survey of Family Growth.

Table 10. Percent of females 15-19 years of age who have ever had sexual intercourse, by specified contextual characteristic measured in the 1990 census: United States, 1995

| Community characteristic in 1990 | Total ${ }^{1}$ | White non-Hispanic | Black non-Hispanic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median family income |  | Percent |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 69 | 61 | 68 |
| \$20,000 to 49,999 | 51 | 51 | 57 |
| \$50,000 or more | 37 | 38 | 45 |
| Unemployment rate |  |  |  |
| Under 5 percent | 42 | 43 | 56 |
| 5-9 percent | 54 | 57 | 56 |
| 10 percent and over. | 66 | 57 | 64 |
| Percent of households on welfare |  |  |  |
| Under 3 percent | 43 | 44 | 55 |
| 3-8 percent | 48 | 50 | 49 |
| 9 percent and over | 63 | 58 | 65 |

[^8]Table 11. Number of women 15-44 years of age and percent distribution by marital and cohabitation status, according to age, parity, and race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1995

| Characteristic | Number in thousands | Total | Currently married | Unmarried |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Cohabiting | Never married | Widowed, divorced, separated |
|  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |
| All women ${ }^{1}$ | 60,201 | 100.0 | 49.3 | 7.0 | 33.4 | 10.3 |
| All races |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 years | 8,961 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 91.5 | 0.6 |
| Parity 0 | 8,208 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 94.8 | 0.1 |
| Parity 1+ | 752 | 100.0 | 24.0 | 14.9 | 55.5 | 5.6 |
| 20-24 years | 9,041 | 100.0 | 27.2 | 11.2 | 56.1 | 5.5 |
| Parity 0 | 5,905 | 100.0 | 16.9 | 10.2 | 70.2 | 2.7 |
| Parity 1+ | 3,137 | 100.0 | 46.8 | 13.1 | 29.4 | 10.7 |
| 25-29 years | 9,693 | 100.0 | 52.5 | 9.8 | 28.9 | 8.8 |
| Parity 0. | 4,219 | 100.0 | 36.7 | 11.8 | 47.5 | 4.1 |
| Parity 1+ | 5,474 | 100.0 | 64.7 | 8.3 | 14.6 | 12.5 |
| 30-44 years | 32,506 | 100.0 | 67.0 | 5.8 | 12.4 | 14.9 |
| Parity 0. | 6,911 | 100.0 | 43.1 | 7.5 | 37.8 | 11.6 |
| Parity 1+ | 25,595 | 100.0 | 73.5 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 15.7 |
| White non-Hispanic | 42,522 | 100.0 | 54.3 | 7.0 | 29.4 | 9.3 |
| 15-19 years. | 5,962 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 91.0 | 0.8 |
| 20-24 years | 6,062 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 12.8 | 52.7 | 5.3 |
| 25-29 years | 6,694 | 100.0 | 57.3 | 10.8 | 24.4 | 7.5 |
| 30-44 years | 23,803 | 100.0 | 72.5 | 5.0 | 9.5 | 13.0 |
| Black non-Hispanic | 8,210 | 100.0 | 25.2 | 6.9 | 52.5 | 15.5 |
| 15-19 years | 1,392 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 96.0 | - |
| 20-24 years | 1,328 | 100.0 | 11.3 | 5.7 | 76.6 | 6.4 |
| 25-29 years | 1,346 | 100.0 | 23.8 | 8.7 | 52.5 | 15.0 |
| 30-44 years | 4,144 | 100.0 | 37.6 | 8.5 | 30.1 | 23.8 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 6,702 | 100.0 | 47.4 | 8.2 | 32.8 | 11.6 |
| 15-19 years | 1,150 | 100.0 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 87.0 | 0.3 |
| 20-24 years | 1,163 | 100.0 | 37.9 | 11.5 | 45.3 | 5.3 |
| 25-29 years | 1,217 | 100.0 | 55.6 | 8.4 | 25.4 | 10.7 |
| 30-44 years | 3,173 | 100.0 | 62.8 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 18.4 |

- Quantity zero.
${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.

Table 12. Number of women 15-44 years of age and percent distribution by sexual experience, according to marital status, and by race and Hispanic origin and age for unmarried women: United States, 1995

| Characteristic | Number in thousands | Total | Never had sex | Had sex but not in last 12 months | Had sex in last 12 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |  |
| All women. | 60,201 | 100.0 | 10.6 | 7.5 | 81.9 |
| Married | 29,673 | 100.0 | - | 0.9 | 99.1 |
| Unmarried |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ${ }^{1}$ | 30,528 | 100.0 | 21.0 | 13.8 | 65.2 |
| White non-Hispanic | 19,445 | 100.0 | 22.1 | 13.5 | 64.4 |
| Black non-Hispanic | 6,141 | 100.0 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 76.4 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 3,524 | 100.0 | 22.6 | 18.4 | 59.1 |
| Unmarried, 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ${ }^{1}$ | 8,566 | 100.0 | 50.4 | 4.9 | 44.7 |
| White non-Hispanic | 5,704 | 100.0 | 51.1 | 5.0 | 43.9 |
| Black non-Hispanic | 1,355 | 100.0 | 40.7 | 3.9 | 55.4 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 1,053 | 100.0 | 47.2 | 5.1 | 47.8 |
| Unmarried, 20-44 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ${ }^{1}$ | 21,909 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 17.4 | 73.5 |
| White non-Hispanic | 13,699 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 17.1 | 73.3 |
| Black non-Hispanic | 4,789 | 100.0 | 3.4 | 13.9 | 82.7 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$. . . . | 2,444 | 100.0 | 11.6 | 24.3 | 64.1 |

- Quantity zero.
${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race
NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding
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Table 13. Number of sexually experienced women 15-44 years of age and percent distribution by interval between first sexual intercourse and first contraceptive method use, according to year of first intercourse and race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1995

| Year of first intercourse | Number in thousands | Total | At or before first intercourse | Less than 3 months later | 4-12 months later | 13 months or longer | Never used a method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| All women. | 53,630 | 100.0 | 58.8 | 10.2 | 9.2 | 19.3 | 2.5 |
| 1970's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ${ }^{1}$ | 24,338 | 100.0 | 49.0 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 26.1 | 2.5 |
| White non-Hispanic. | 18,112 | 100.0 | 54.6 | 12.7 | 10.4 | 21.2 | 1.2 |
| Black non-Hispanic | 3,346 | 100.0 | 37.7 | 8.2 | 12.9 | 36.5 | 4.7 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$. . . . . | 2,160 | 100.0 | 26.1 | 6.2 | 16.0 | 43.3 | 8.4 |
| 1980-84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ${ }^{1}$ | 10,434 | 100.0 | 60.6 | 10.8 | 8.4 | 18.0 | 2.2 |
| White non-Hispanic . | 7,425 | 100.0 | 67.7 | 10.9 | 7.2 | 13.1 | 1.1 |
| Black non-Hispanic . | 1,435 | 100.0 | 52.9 | 9.0 | 13.1 | 22.5 | 2.5 |
| Hispanic $^{2}$. | 1,077 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 40.3 | 5.0 |
| 1985-89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ${ }^{1}$ | 9,962 | 100.0 | 64.6 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 15.5 | 2.1 |
| White non-Hispanic . | 6,729 | 100.0 | 71.5 | 9.1 | 6.8 | 11.6 | 1.0 |
| Black non-Hispanic . | 1,403 | 100.0 | 55.6 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 22.6 | 3.4 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ | 1,349 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 7.2 | 16.9 | 28.2 | 5.3 |
| 1990-95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ${ }^{1}$ | 8,896 | 100.0 | 76.8 | 7.9 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 3.1 |
| White non-Hispanic . | 5,829 | 100.0 | 84.0 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 1.5 |
| Black non-Hispanic . | 1,297 | 100.0 | 73.6 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 9.4 | 1.8 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$. . . . . | 1,301 | 100.0 | 53.5 | 11.9 | 9.8 | 16.1 | 8.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race
NOTES: Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding. "Sexually experienced" women are those who have ever had voluntary sexual intercourse since menarche. Twenty-three cases were excluded from this tabulation because they had either never had voluntary intercourse or never had intercourse since menarche.

Table 14. Number of non-Hispanic white women 15-44 years of age and percent distribution by current contraceptive status and method, according to age at interview and parity: United States, 1988 and 1995

| Age, contraceptive status, and method | All |  | Parity 0 |  | Parity 1+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1995 | 1988 | 1995 | 1988 | 1995 |
|  | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |
| All women . | 42,575 | 42,522 | 19,113 | 18,512 | 23,462 | 24,009 |
| 15-19 years | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Percent not using . | 66.0 | 69.5 | 67.2 | 71.4 | 38.1 | 38.3 |
| Percent using | 34.0 | 30.5 | 32.8 | 28.6 | 61.9 | 61.7 |
| All contraceptive users | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilization. | 1.8 | - | - | - | * | - |
| Male sterilization | 0.3 | - | - | - | * | - |
| Pill . | 55.6 | 48.9 | 55.8 | 49.7 | * | 44.4 |
| Depo/Norplant | -- - | 8.5 | - - | 7.0 | -- | 22.2 |
| Male condom | 34.1 | 35.7 | 36.6 | 36.7 | * | 27.9 |
| All other users . | 8.2 | 6.6 | * | 6.6 | * | 5.5 |
| 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Percent not using . | 37.4 | 34.7 | 40.3 | 37.5 | 29.6 | 27.7 |
| Percent using | 62.6 | 65.3 | 59.7 | 62.5 | 70.4 | 72.3 |
| All contraceptive users | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilization. . | 3.7 | 3.2 | - | - | * | 10.1 |
| Male sterilization . | 2.2 | 1.4 | * | 0.8 | * | 2.9 |
| Pill. | 68.1 | 56.7 | 74.4 | 63.4 | 53.4 | 42.2 |
| Depo/Norplant. | -- - | 7.7 | --- | 3.7 | , | 16.2 |
| Male condom | 16.6 | 23.9 | 14.4 | 25.3 | 21.6 | 20.7 |
| All other users . | 9.4 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 6.9 | * | 7.9 |
| 25-29 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Percent not using . | 33.9 | 28.0 | 43.5 | 32.9 | 26.1 | 23.4 |
| Percent using . . | 66.1 | 72.0 | 56.5 | 67.1 | 73.9 | 76.6 |
| All contraceptive users . | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilization. | 14.2 | 14.3 | - | 0.3 | 23.3 | 25.3 |
| Male sterilization | 7.9 | 5.4 | - | 1.9 | 11.5 | 8.2 |
| Pill . . . . . | 44.6 | 42.5 | 61.4 | 61.7 | 34.1 | 27.2 |
| Depo/Norplant |  | 5.1 |  | 1.3 | , | 8.0 |
| Male condom | 15.7 | 24.6 | 16.6 | 26.8 | 15.0 | 22.7 |
| All other users . | 17.5 | 8.2 | 19.8 | 7.7 | 16.2 | 8.6 |
| 30-44 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Percent not using . | 29.5 | 26.3 | 54.6 | 50.5 | 22.6 | 19.3 |
| Percent using | 70.5 | 73.7 | 45.4 | 49.5 | 77.4 | 80.7 |
| All contraceptive users . | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilization. . | 38.9 | 34.7 | 11.7 | 8.1 | 43.0 | 39.5 |
| Male sterilization . | 21.8 | 20.1 | 19.4 | 13.5 | 22.1 | 21.2 |
| Pill . | 10.4 | 16.1 | 29.3 | 32.5 | 7.4 | 13.1 |
| Depo/Norplant . | --- | 1.4 | --- | 1.6 | -- - | 1.4 |
| Male condom | 11.9 | 15.6 | 16.3 | 25.5 | 11.1 | 13.9 |
| All other users . . . . . . . . . | 17.3 | 11.9 | 23.6 | 18.4 | 16.3 | 10.8 |

- Quantity zero.
-     -         - Data not available.
* Fewer than 20 cases.

NOTES: Figures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding or because there were not enough cases to make stable estimates. The percent not using contraception includes women who have never had sex, women who are pregnant, and women who are having sex but not using contraception.
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Table 15. Number of non-Hispanic black women 15-44 years of age and percent distribution by current contraceptive status and method, according to age at interview and parity: United States, 1988 and 1995

| Age, contraceptive status, and method | All |  | Parity 0 |  | Parity 1+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1995 | 1988 | 1995 | 1988 | 1995 |
|  | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |
| All women . | 7,408 | 8,210 | 2,739 | 3,061 | 4,669 | 5,149 |
| 15-19 years | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Percent not using . | 64.4 | 65.1 | 72.1 | 72.3 | 25.5 | 34.0 |
| Percent using | 35.6 | 34.9 | 27.9 | 27.7 | 74.5 | 66.0 |
| All contraceptive users | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilization. | * | - | - | - | * | - |
| Male sterilization | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pill | 75.6 | 32.4 | 69.9 | 37.5 | 85.5 | * |
| Depo/Norplant . | - - - | 24.1 | - - - | * | - - - | 52.7 |
| Male condom | 21.3 | 38.4 | 27.6 | 46.2 | * | * |
| All other users . | * | * | * | * | - | - |
| 20-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Percent not using . | 38.3 | 32.1 | 42.8 | 36.2 | 33.5 | 28.1 |
| Percent using | 61.7 | 67.9 | 57.2 | 63.8 | 66.5 | 71.9 |
| All contraceptive users | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilization. | 9.2 | 7.4 | - | * | 17.3 | 12.9 |
| Male sterilization | - | * | - | - | - | * |
| Pill | 70.2 | 41.8 | 78.3 | 51.6 | 62.9 | 33.4 |
| Depo/Norplant . | -- | 13.1 | -- - | * | - - - | 22.4 |
| Male condom | 9.7 | 33.3 | * | 39.2 | * | 28.1 |
| All other users . | 11.0 | * | * | * | * | * |
| 25-29 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Percent not using . | 36.5 | 35.5 | 52.1 | 54.2 | 29.9 | 25.2 |
| Percent using | 63.5 | 64.5 | 47.9 | 45.8 | 70.1 | 74.8 |
| All contraceptive users | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilization. . | 32.4 | 28.8 | - | * | 42.2 | 36.6 |
| Male sterilization | - | * | - | * | - | * |
| Pill | 45.8 | 30.4 | 64.1 | 47.4 | 40.5 | 24.7 |
| Depo/Norplant | --- | 12.4 | - - - | * | -- - | 15.9 |
| Male condom | 9.9 | 18.3 | * | * | 7.6 | 15.1 |
| All other users . | 11.7 | 8.7 | * | * | 9.7 | * |
| 30-44 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Percent not using . | 39.3 | 31.5 | 67.7 | 59.0 | 34.1 | 24.9 |
| Percent using | 60.7 | 68.5 | 32.3 | 41.0 | 65.9 | 75.1 |
| All contraceptive users | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilization. | 60.5 | 60.9 | * | * | 65.3 | 67.8 |
| Male sterilization | 1.8 | 2.6 | * | * | * | 2.5 |
| Pill | 12.7 | 14.6 | * | 29.3 | 11.4 | 12.8 |
| Depo/Norplant | - | * | -- - | * | -- | * |
| Male condom | 7.7 | 13.4 | * | 34.1 | 6.4 | 10.8 |
| All other users . | 17.3 | 6.9 | * | * | 15.3 | 5.3 |

- Quantity zero.
-- - Data not available.
* Fewer than 20 cases.
 sex, women who are pregnant, and women who are having sex but not using contraception.

Table 16. Measures of teenage sexual activity and pregnancy for women aged 15-19 years by age: United States, 1995

| Measure | 15-19 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-17 years | 18-19 years |
| Pregnancy rate (per 1,000 women). | 102.7 | 71.7 | 150.8 |
| Percent who ever had intercourse | 51.3 | 38.3 | 70.8 |
| Pregnancy rate of those who have ever had intercourse (per 1,000) | 200 | 187 | 213 |
| Percent who had intercourse in the last 12 months . | 46.9 | 33.8 | 66.5 |
| Pregnancy rate for teens sexually active in the last 12 months (per 1,000) | 219 | 212 | 227 |

Table 17. Measures of teenage sexual activity and pregnancy for women 15-19 years of age, by race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1995

| Measure | Total ${ }^{1}$ | White non-Hispanic | Black non-Hispanic | Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of women 15-19 years of age in the sample | 1,396 | 842 | 289 | 210 |
| Weighted number in millions ${ }^{3}$ | 8.923 | 5.926 | 1.395 | 1.140 |
| Pregnancy rate | 102.7 | 71.6 | 184.4 | 162.8 |
| Percent who ever had intercourse . | 51.3 | 50.4 | 60.5 | 56.0 |
| Pregnancy rate for those who have ever had intercourse. | 200 | 142 | 305 | 291 |
| Percent who had sex in the last 12 months | 46.9 | 46.0 | 56.6 | 51.8 |
| Pregnancy rate for those who had intercourse in the last 12 months | 219 | 156 | 326 | 314 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
${ }^{3}$ Estimated from the National Survey of Family Growth.

Table 18. Number of women 15-19 years of age, and measures of sexual activity, pregnancy, and births for women aged 15-19 years: United States 1982, 1988, 1990, and 1995
[Rates per 1,000 women aged 15-19 years in specified group]

| Measure | 1982 | 1988 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Number of women aged 15-19 (millions) ${ }^{1}$ | 9.809 | 9.029 | 8.709 | 8.799 |
| 2. Number of births to women aged 15-19. | 513,758 | 478,353 | 521,826 | 499,873 |
| 3. Number of births to unmarried women aged 15-19 | 260,626 | 312,499 | 349,970 | 375,738 |
| 4. Percent ever had sexual intercourse | 46.9 | 52.6 | 54.7 | 51.3 |
| 5. Number of sexually experienced women aged 15-19 (millions) | 4.600 | 4.749 | 4.764 | 4.514 |
| 6. Pregnancy rate, all teens | 107.8 | 109.9 | 116.3 | 102.7 |
| 7. Number of pregnancies | 1,058,000 | 993,000 | 1,013,000 | 904,000 |
| 8. Number of abortions | 419,000 | 393,000 | 351,000 | 264,000 |
| 9. Percent of pregnancies to women aged 15-19 that ended in abortion | 40 | 40 | 35 | 29 |
| 10. Percent of pregnancies to women aged 15-19 that ended in nonmarital births | 25 | 31 | 35 | 42 |

${ }^{1}$ U. S. Bureau of the Census, various reports.

 National Surveys of Family Growth.

Table 19. Selected indicators of sexual activity and condom use among never-married males aged 15-19 years from the National Surveys of Adolescent Males, 1988 and 1995


[^9]
## Appendix

## Technical Notes

## Sources of Data

Live births-Beginning in 1985 all live birth data are based on 100 percent of all births registered in the United States. Birth data for 1976-84 are based on 100 percent of births in selected States and on a 50-percent sample of births in all other States (9-12). Birth data are reported to the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP).

Induced abortions-Abortion data shown in this report are national estimates compiled by The Alan Guttmacher Institute (AGI) from their surveys of all known abortion providers. The National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) also compiles abortion data mainly from State health agencies. The numbers of abortions published by NCCDPHP tend to be lower than the numbers published by AGI, which are obtained by direct surveys of abortion providers (8, 13-18, 57-61). For example, the total number of abortions reported by CDC was about 14 percent lower in 1988 and about 12 percent lower in 1996 than reported by AGI (13,14, 16, 18).

Estimates of the number of abortions performed in the United States in 1989, 1990, and 1993-94 were made by interpolations between AGI's 1988 and 1991 totals and between AGI's 1992 and 1995 totals, because AGI did not conduct surveys in 1989-90 and 1993-94.

The NCCDPHP publishes the number of abortions according to women's characteristics, including age, race, Hispanic origin, and marital status. These data were obtained from varying numbers of States, ranging from 21 States and New York City for the cross-tabulation of marital status by ethnicity to 44 States and New York City for age in 1996 (18). States were
excluded for the calculation of a particular characteristic if that characteristic was unknown for more than 15 percent of the abortions. The AGI used these data to calculate percent distributions, with adjustments to remove the influence of year-to-year changes in the States that report to CDC , changes in the definitions of items, and changes in the proportion of missing data (13). The adjusted percent distributions for these reporting areas were applied to the U.S. totals compiled by AGI. This means that the areas with data were considered representative of the country as a whole.

The numbers of abortions by marital status differ from those published previously (4) because separated women have been included with married women, whereas previously they were treated as unmarried. All Hispanic women are assumed to be white since the tabulations provided by the CDC do not show the race of Hispanic women having abortions; in 1997, 97 percent of Hispanic women who gave birth were reported as white (12).

Fetal losses-Information on fetal losses is based on the 1982, 1988, and 1995 National Surveys of Family Growth (NSFG), conducted by NCHS (19-21). In this report, the proportion of pregnancies (excluding induced abortions) ending in fetal loss in the 5 years preceding each survey are used $(20,21)$. Fetal losses for years prior to 1982 were based on the 1982 NSFG. To increase the reliability of the estimates by age, race, and Hispanic origin, the proportions of pregnancies ending in fetal loss in the 1982 and 1988 surveys were averaged and used for 1982-87. Similarly, the proportions of pregnancies ending in fetal loss in the 1988 and 1995 surveys were averaged and used for 1988-89. Rates shown in this report for 1988 and 1989 therefore differ from those published earlier; the previously published estimates were based on averages of the 1982 and 1988 NSFG (4). Because sample sizes in the 1995 NSFG were much larger than in earlier surveys, the proportion of pregnancies ending in fetal loss in that survey were used for 1990-96. Rates shown in this
report for 1990-92 differ therefore from those published earlier, because fetal loss estimates previously published were based on averages of the 1982 and 1988 NSFG (4).

The proportion of pregnancies ending in fetal loss increased somewhat for all groups between the 1988 and 1995 surveys. It is believed that the increased proportion of fetal losses represents better and more complete reporting of fetal losses rather than an actual increase in the likelihood of a fetal loss. Some of this increase may be associated with the growth in the use of home pregnancy testing kits and other means of early pregnancy detection.

The NSFG data on fetal losses, rather than vital statistics reports of fetal losses, have been used in this report because vital statistics data are generally limited to losses occurring at gestations of 20 weeks or more, whereas NSFG data include all gestations. The vast majority of fetal losses occur early in pregnancy before the reporting requirements for fetal losses are in effect (22). Even fetal losses of 20 weeks or more are underreported in vital statistics data.

Other studies have used a formula to estimate the numbers of fetal losses $(8,17)$. For example, the AGI estimates of fetal losses are the sum of 20 percent of live births and 10 percent of induced abortions for each age, race, and Hispanic origin group. In contrast, the estimates in the NCHS series of reports incorporate actual reports of pregnancy losses which vary by age, race, and Hispanic origin (table 7) (1-4).

Frequency data on the numbers of pregnancies by outcome by age, race, and Hispanic origin, and the numbers of pregnancies by marital status, race, and Hispanic origin are shown in tables I and II.

## Population Denominators

The numbers of women by age, race, and Hispanic origin used to compute rates for 1981-89 are revised estimates that are consistent with 1990 census levels. These revised populations have been published in the U.S. Bureau of the Census reports (24). Populations used to compute rates for 1990-96 have

Table I. Estimated number of pregnancies by outcome of pregnancy by age, race, and Hispanic origin of woman: United States, 1995
[Numbers in thousands]

| Pregnancy outcome and race and Hispanic origin | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Under 15 years | 15-19 years |  |  | 20-24 years | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 30-34 years | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 years and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White non-Hispanic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies | 3,651 | 8 | 427 | 170 | 258 | 838 | 968 | 879 | 446 | 85 |
| Live births | 2,435 | 3 | 234 | 80 | 154 | 539 | 699 | 643 | 270 | 47 |
| Induced abortions. | 597 | 4 | 121 | 48 | 73 | 187 | 127 | 87 | 55 | 17 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{1}$. | 619 | 1 | 72 | 42 | 30 | 112 | 143 | 150 | 121 | 21 |
| Black non-Hispanic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies | 1,222 | 13 | 245 | 111 | 134 | 385 | 274 | 197 | 89 | 18 |
| Live births | 593 | 6 | 132 | 58 | 74 | 181 | 131 | 94 | 42 | 8 |
| Induced abortions. | 464 | 5 | 88 | 37 | 51 | 156 | 110 | 65 | 31 | 8 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{1}$. | 165 | 2 | 25 | 15 | 10 | 48 | 33 | 38 | 16 | 3 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All pregnancies | 1,034 | 5 | 181 | 73 | 107 | 305 | 270 | 171 | 84 | 18 |
| Live births | 680 | 3 | 118 | 49 | 70 | 208 | 178 | 115 | 47 | 10 |
| Induced abortions. | 223 | 2 | 43 | 17 | 26 | 75 | 52 | 31 | 15 | 4 |
| Fetal losses ${ }^{2}$. | 161 | 1 | 19 | 8 | 11 | 22 | 40 | 25 | 21 | 4 |


Statistics. The rate of pregnancy loss depends on the degree to which losses at very early gestations are detected.
${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
NOTES: Due to rounding, figures may not add to totals. Data for 1990 and 1991 have been revised based on new estimates of fetal losses from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. See text and Technical Notes.

Table II. Estimated number of pregnancies by outcome of pregnancy, marital status, and race and Hispanic origin of woman: United States, 1995
[Numbers in thousands]

| Pregnancy outcome and race/Hispanic origin | Married |  |  |  | Unmarried |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All pregnancies | Live birth | Induced abortion | Fetal loss ${ }^{1}$ | All pregnancies | Live birth | Induced abortion | Fetal loss ${ }^{1}$ |
| All races ${ }^{2}$ | 3,578 | 2,646 | 269 | 664 | 2,666 | 1,254 | 1,095 | 318 |
| White, total | 3,071 | 2,314 | 180 | 577 | 1,621 | 785 | 640 | 196 |
| White, non-Hispanic. | 2,534 | 1,919 | 128 | 488 | 1,117 | 516 | 469 | 131 |
| Black, total | 292 | 182 | 60 | 50 | 941 | 421 | 404 | 116 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ | 532 | 402 | 52 | 78 | 502 | 278 | 171 | 54 |

 Statistics.
${ }^{2}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
NOTE: Figures shown refer to the woman's marital status when the pregnancy ended; see text and Technical Notes. Due to rounding figures may not add to totals.
been published by the Bureau of the Census (24,25,62-66).

Populations by marital status are those prepared by the Division of Vital Statistics, NCHS, to produce birth rates by marital status (10-12, 67). These population figures are based on annual reports of the population by marital status published by the Bureau of the Census (68-74) adjusted to annual population estimates as of July 1 (24,25,62-66).

Rates by marital status are shown for all black women because the necessary populations by marital status
are not available for non-Hispanic black women. However, because 95 percent of black women in the childbearing ages are non-Hispanic, the differences in the statistics for the two groups are minimal (25).

## Race and Hispanic Origin

For births, race and Hispanic origin of the mother are reported independently on the birth certificate. Birth data in this report are tabulated by race of mother for 1980-96; data for 1976-79 are tabulated by race of child. Details of the
differences in tabulation procedures are described elsewhere (9). Beginning in 1993, all States have reported Hispanic origin of the mother on the birth certificate. Previously, in 1990, all States except New Hampshire and Oklahoma reported Hispanic origin; in 1991 and 1992, all States except New Hampshire reported Hispanic origin. In calculating rates, it is assumed that there were no Hispanic births in New Hampshire in 1990-92. Rates for 1990 by Hispanic origin include an estimate for Hispanic births to Oklahoma residents, which assumes proportionately the same level
of Hispanic births by age in 1990 as were reported in 1991, when this information became available (75).

Births for white non-Hispanic women in 1991 and 1992 include all white births in New Hampshire, and births for black non-Hispanic women include all black births in New Hampshire. In computing rates for all years beginning in 1990, births for white and black non-Hispanic women also include all white and black births with origin not stated in the total reporting area ( 1 to 1.5 percent annually) (75).

## Interpretation of data by race and

 Hispanic origin-Differences by race and Hispanic origin in pregnancy rates and related factors may be associated with individual and community-level differences in socioeconomic status, including unemployment, income, and health insurance coverage. Some studies suggest that the greater marital instability found among black couples is a result of the economic difficulties that many black couples have (45). For example, the black male unemployment rate in 1995 was 10.6 percent, more than double the rate of white males (4.9 percent). Median income for both black and Hispanic families was just under $\$ 25,000$ in 1994, compared with about $\$ 41,000$ for white families-a gap of more than 60 percent (76). More than one-third of Hispanic persons and 22 percent of black persons had no health insurance coverage in 1998, compared with 12 percent of non-Hispanic white persons (77).
## Lifetime Pregnancies, Live Births, and Abortions per Woman

The estimates of the number of lifetime pregnancies, live births, and induced abortions per woman shown in table B were computed by summing the 1990 and 1995 age-specific rates for each outcome, each multiplied by 5 , and dividing the result by 1,000 . The figure for live births per woman, therefore, is equivalent to the total fertility rate (TFR), a hypothetical measure that indicates how many births a woman would have if she experienced throughout her childbearing years the set
of age-specific birth rates observed in a given calendar year, 1990 and 1995 in this report $(9,12)$. Similarly, the total pregnancy rate (TPR) for 1995 for example is the number of pregnancies a woman would have if the 1995 pregnancy rates continued through her lifetime; the total abortion rate (TAR) is the number of abortions a woman would have if the 1995 abortion rates by age continued. The TPR then, is the sum of the TFR, the TAR, and the TFLR (total fetal loss rate). The TFLR is not shown separately in this report.

## Age Data from the NSFG

Data on age for respondents in the 1988 and 1995 NSFG are based on the woman's age as of the "central" date for each cycle of the NSFG-that is, the expected average date of the interview. Tabulations from the 1988 and 1995 NSFG in this report (except data for teenagers in tables $8,9,12$, and $16-18$ and figure 16) show data by age based on the "central" date, which are the estimated midpoints of each interviewing period (21). The central dates were March 15 for the 1988 NSFG and April 1 for the 1995 NSFG. Data shown in tables $8,9,12$, and 16-18 and figure 16 , relating to proportions of sexually experienced teenagers, are based on the exact age of the woman at the time of her interview. The proportions of teenagers who have ever had sexual intercourse, based on age at interview and age as of the central date, are shown in table III. It is evident that the differences are small and the trends are identical. Although the differences are small, they can affect the interpretation of trends in teenage

Table III. Percent of teenage females 15-19 years who have ever had sexual intercourse, according to 2 measures of age from the National Survey of Family Growth: United States, 1982, 1988, 1990, and 1995

| Measure of age | 1982 | 1988 | 1990 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age at "central" date | --- | 52.9 | --- | 50.4 |
| Age at interview | 46.9 | 52.6 | 54.7 | 51.3 |

NOTE: Age at central date is not available in 1982 or 1990.
pregnancy. Tabulating the data on teenage sexual experience by age at interview rather than age at the central date helps to ensure comparability with other reports specifically for teenagers from NCHS (40), as well as other publications on teenage pregnancy, which incorporate data from NSFG (52).

## NSFG Contextual Data File

The contextual data file is a compilation of neighborhood characteristics from the 1990 census and other data sources. These characteristics of the neighborhood are attached or linked to the characteristics of the woman who is interviewed so that the effects of the communities in which women live can be measured. The geographic unit used in this report is the block group. For the first time in the 1990 census, all areas in the U.S. were block-numbered. A census block is a small, usually compact area, bounded by streets and other prominent physical features as well as certain legal boundaries. A block group is a cluster of census blocks within a census tract. For the 1990 census, block groups averaged 452 housing units, or 1,100 people. A typical census tract contains 4 or 5 block groups. Researchers can apply to use this contextual data file by contacting the NSFG staff at NCHS.

## Vital and Health Statistics series descriptions

SERIES 1. Programs and Collection Procedures-These reports describe the data collection programs of the National Center for Health Statistics. They include descriptions of the methods used to collect and process the data, definitions, and other material necessary for understanding the data.
SERIES 2. Data Evaluation and Methods Research-These reports are studies of new statistical methods and include analytical techniques, objective evaluations of reliability of collected data, and contributions to statistical theory. These studies also include experimental tests of new survey methods and comparisons of U.S. methodology with those of other countries.
SERIES 3. Analytical and Epidemiological Studies-These reports present analytical or interpretive studies based on vital and health statistics. These reports carry the analyses further than the expository types of reports in the other series.
SERIES 4. Documents and Committee Reports-These are final reports of major committees concerned with vital and health statistics and documents such as recommended model vital registration laws and revised birth and death certificates.
SERIES 5. International Vital and Health Statistics Reports-These reports are analytical or descriptive reports that compare U.S. vital and health statistics with those of other countries or present other international data of relevance to the health statistics system of the United States.

SERIES 6. Cognition and Survey Measurement-These reports are from the National Laboratory for Collaborative Research in Cognition and Survey Measurement. They use methods of cognitive science to design, evaluate, and test survey instruments.

SERIES 10. Data From the National Health Interview Survey-These reports contain statistics on illness; unintentional injuries; disability; use of hospital, medical, and other health services; and a wide range of special current health topics covering many aspects of health behaviors, health status, and health care utilization. They are based on data collected in a continuing national household interview survey.
SERIES 11. Data From the National Health Examination Survey, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, and the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination SurveyData from direct examination, testing, and measurement on representative samples of the civilian noninstitutionalized population provide the basis for (1) medically defined total prevalence of specific diseases or conditions in the United States and the distributions of the population with respect to physical, physiological, and psychological characteristics, and (2) analyses of trends and relationships among various measurements and between survey periods.

SERIES 12. Data From the Institutionalized Population SurveysDiscontinued in 1975. Reports from these surveys are included in Series 13.

SERIES 13. Data From the National Health Care Survey-These reports contain statistics on health resources and the public's use of health care resources including ambulatory, hospital, and longterm care services based on data collected directly from health care providers and provider records.

SERIES 14. Data on Health Resources: Manpower and FacilitiesDiscontinued in 1990. Reports on the numbers, geographic distribution, and characteristics of health resources are now included in Series 13.

SERIES 15. Data From Special Surveys-These reports contain statistics on health and health-related topics collected in special surveys that are not part of the continuing data systems of the National Center for Health Statistics.

SERIES 16. Compilations of Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics-Advance Data Reports provide early release of information from the National Center for Health Statistics health and demographic surveys. They are compiled in the order in which they are published. Some of these releases may be followed by detailed reports in Series 10-13.

SERIES 20. Data on Mortality-These reports contain statistics on mortality that are not included in regular, annual, or monthly reports. Special analyses by cause of death, age, other demographic variables, and geographic and trend analyses are included.
SERIES 21. Data on Natality, Marriage, and Divorce-These reports contain statistics on natality, marriage, and divorce that are not included in regular, annual, or monthly reports. Special analyses by health and demographic variables and geographic and trend analyses are included.

SERIES 22. Data From the National Mortality and Natality SurveysDiscontinued in 1975. Reports from these sample surveys, based on vital records, are now published in Series 20 or 21.
SERIES 23. Data From the National Survey of Family Growth-These reports contain statistics on factors that affect birth rates, including contraception, infertility, cohabitation, marriage, divorce, and remarriage; adoption; use of medical care for family planning and infertility; and related maternal and infant health topics. These statistics are based on national surveys of women of childbearing age.
SERIES 24. Compilations of Data on Natality, Mortality, Marriage, Divorce, and Induced Terminations of PregnancyThese include advance reports of births, deaths, marriages, and divorces based on final data from the National Vital Statistics System that were published as supplements to the Monthly Vital Statistics Report (MVSR). These reports provide highlights and summaries of detailed data subsequently published in Vital Statistics of the United States. Other supplements to the MVSR published here provide selected findings based on final data from the National Vital Statistics System and may be followed by detailed reports in Series 20 or 21 .

For answers to questions about this report or for a list of reports published in these series, contact:

```
Data Dissemination Branch National Center for Health Statistics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
6525 Belcrest Road, Room 1064
Hyattsville, MD 20782-2003
(301) 458-4636
E-mail: nchsquery@cdc.gov
Internet: www.cdc.gov/nchs/
```
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Spontaneous fetal losses from recognized pregnancies of all gestational periods as reported by women in the 1982, 1988, and 1995 National Surveys of Family Growth conducted by the National
    Center for Health Statistics. The rate of pregnancy loss depends on the degree to which losses at very early gestations are detected.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rates computed by relating the number of events to women of all ages to women aged 15-44 years.
    NOTES: Due to rounding, figures may not add to totals. Rates for 1988-1992 have been revised on the basis of new information on fetal loss from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. The revised estimates differ, therefore, from those previously published (see Technical Notes and reference 4).

[^3]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^4]:    -     -         - Data not available.
    ${ }^{1}$ Rates computed by relating the number of events to women of all ages to women aged 15-44 years.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rates computed by relating the number of events to women under 15 years to women aged 10-14 years.
    ${ }^{3}$ Rates computed by relating the number of events to women aged 40 years and over to women $40-44$ years.
     Center for Health Statistics. The rate of fetal loss depends on the degree to which losses at very early gestations are detected.

    NOTES: Due to rounding, figures may not add to totals. Rates for 1988-92 have been revised on the basis of new information on fetal loss from the 1995 National Survey of Family Growth. The revised estimates differ, therefore, from those previously published (see Technical Notes and reference 4).

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black and origin not stated.

[^7]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Total includes races other than white and black and women of Hispanic origin, not shown separately because of insufficient sample size (for this table). NOTE: All 3 community characteristics in this table were measured at the Block Group level, using Summary Tape Files from the 1990 census. SOURCE: National Survey of Family Growth Contextual Data File.

[^9]:    ** Change from 1988 to 1995 is significant at the 10 percent level or better.
    ${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.
    SOURCE: References 29 and 40.

