Peer Review Plan

Title of Review:	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program (FFVP) Evaluation – Review	[X] Influential Scientific Information
Agency:	Food and Nutrition Service, USDA	[] Highly Influential Scientific Assessment
Agency Contact:	Rich Lucas, Office of Research	ch and Analysis (703-305-2017)
Subject of Review:	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program (FFVP) Evaluation Final Report	
Purpose of Review:	To assure the quality of the information presented in the assessment.	
Type of Review:	[] Panel	Review [X] Individual Reviewers
	[] Altern	native Process (Briefly Explain):
Timing of Review (Es	t.): Start: 4/201	End: 6/2011 Completed:
Number of Reviewers	: [] 3 or fewer	[X] 4 to 10 [] More than 10
	ypes of Expertise Needed for R	
analysis; (2) knowledge of the operation of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program in elementary schools; and (3) nutritional characteristics of school meals.		
Reviewers selected by	: [X] Agency	[] Designated Outside Organization Organization's Name:
Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No		
How:	ate how and when these opportu	unities will be provided:
When:		
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? [] Yes [X] No		
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel? [] Yes [X] No		
Other: See next page.		



The final report is expected to be a large, complex document. Six reviewers will be charged with two tasks as follows:

- 1. Reviewers will be requested to determine if (i) the data collection as implemented was appropriate, (ii) whether the analyses as carried out reflect the original plans and (iii) whether the analyses are appropriate given the actual implementation of sampling and data collection.
- 2. Reviewers will be charged with evaluating the clarity of hypotheses, the robustness of the methods employed to address the hypotheses, the appropriateness of the methods for the hypotheses being tested, the extent to which the conclusions follow from the analysis, and the strengths and limitations of the overall conclusions. The peer reviewers will be requested, as appropriate, to suggests ways to clarify assumptions, findings, and conclusions; identify oversights, omissions, and inconsistencies; and, if needed, encourage authors to more fully acknowledge limitations and uncertainties.

All 6 peer reviewers will be informed that the Agency does not have funds to make changes that require additional data collection, reconsideration of the research design, or significant modifications to data collection and analysis methods. The reviewers will be informed that the Agency, while it will welcome recommendations that may improve the design of other child nutrition studies, requires an evaluation of the current product that is cognizant of the funding constraints.

Each reviewer will be instructed to supply the results of their review in written form. Because this study is considered influential scientific information, reviewers will be informed that the Agency is required to make available to the public the written charge to the peer reviewers, the peer reviewers' names, the peer reviewers' report(s), and the agency's response to the peer reviewers' report(s).