PART, Evaluation, and Impacts

What does this mean for Water?

Mike O'Neill, National Program Leader Lisa Duriancik, Program Specialist





Goa

Improve understanding of:

- Why performance and impacts
- The PART process and CSREES
- CSREES' performance and challenges
- What does CSREES need for impacts
- What we can we do to improve impact reporting, results documentation, and program performance





Federal Mandate

- GPRA Government Performance and Results Act enacted by Congress in 1993
 - Focus federal programs on performance
- President's Management Agenda
 - Released by OMB in 2002 to address lack of progress on government performance
 - 5 government-wide initiatives
 - e.g. expand electronic government
 - Budget and Performance Integration
 - Integrate performance review with budget decisions



o <u>http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/</u>



PART

• Program Assessment Rating Tool

Standardized questionnaire

- 25 questions about performance and management; repeated every 5 years
- Four parts to a PART:
 - Program purpose and design
 - Strategic planning
 - Management
 - Results/Accountability
- Numerical score is determined which corresponds to a rating range
- Assessment of Relevance, Quality and Performance





PART & BPI

- 5 rating ranges
- Performing:
 - o Effective 15%
 - Moderately Effective 29%
 - o Adequate 28%
- Not Performing:
 - Ineffective
 - Results Not Demonstrated 24%
- CSREES Natural Resource Programs
 Score 81, Moderately Effective
 - o <u>http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/expectmore</u>

4%



 USDA Natural Resource Base and Environment (Grants)



CSREES Approach



CSREES Approach

- Organized by CSREES Strategic Goals
- o Natural Resources and Environment:
 - Goal 5.1 Forest and Range, Fish and Wildlife
 - Goal 5.2 Soil, Water, and Air Resources
- Developed a "portfolio" of programs addressing these goals
- Utilized primarily CRIS data and reports, known success stories, when possible Plan of Work information



Portfolio 5.2



Water Portfolio

Core Water Knowledge Areas:

- KA 111 Conservation and efficient use of water
- KA 112 Watershed protection and management
- KA 405 Drainage and irrigation systems and facilities

Cross-cutting Knowledge Areas:

- KA 133 Pollution prevention and mitigation
- KA 403 Waste disposal, recycling and reuse
- KA 605 Natural resource and environment economics





Panel Results

CSREES Natural Resource Programs

- Score 81, Moderately Effective
- <u>http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/expect</u>
 <u>more</u>
- USDA Natural Resource Base and Environment (Grants)

• Four parts to a PART:

- Program purpose and design 100
- Strategic planning
- Management
- Results/Accountability

100% 90% 100% 47%





Recommendations

- Do a better job of getting awardees to acknowledge CSREES funding.
- More attention needs to be paid to the Partnership & communication.
- Improve the level of integration to address CSREES' unique mission.
 - Higher education integration needs to be documented.
- Provide more detail and outcome-based examples of **extension** activities.
 - Extension results need to be documented.





Recommendations

 Need for more systematic and comprehensive **documentation** of program outcomes & impacts.

Logic models

- NPLs develop and use logic models more
- Project directors should incorporate logic models into work plans
- Define, standardize, and expand evaluation metrics.
- Distinguish productivity between formula, competitive, & congressionallydirected funding. [5.2 comment]



Example Impacts

- 49,000 acre feet of water conserved annually (through efficient irrigation)
 - \$79 thousand to \$5.9M in potential savings
 - This is what reviewers were looking for...
- Reduction in sulfate emissions in the Northeast quantified through long-term monitoring
 ...Panel said, so what?
- No-till cropping reduced dust emissions in the Northwest
 …Panel asked, by how much?



Wind tunnel research in the Pacific Northwest







Example Impacts

From the Southern Region Progress and Impact Report:

- Adoption and sustained use of soil testing as a BMP for water resource protection
 - N&P applications reduced by over 4,176,049 pounds
 - Estimated economic impact totaled over \$1,002,820 in fertilizer cost savings
 - Enabled by \$20,000 in 406 NIWQP funding.





NIWQP Resources

Logic model

- Do we need to develop specific metrics?
- RFA requirements for evaluation
 - Are we capturing this information in reporting?

Reporting requirements

- Are we capturing the kind of information we need to develop desired impacts?
- Impact reports
- Website/success stories





Final Thoughts

Our program is very well positioned
 – with the many tools and resources
 we have – to exceed in reporting.

 What do we need to do to take better advantage of that?



