

LARGO, MARYLAND Median Crossover Accident February 1, 2002

Staff – On Scene

- Mark Bagnard and Robert Accetta
- Shane Lack
- Burt Simon
- Vernon Roberts and Jennifer Russert
- Hank Hughes and Ronald Kaminski
- James LeBerte
- Don Eick
- Ted Lopatkiewicz
- Dave Rayburn



Staff – Report Development

- Dr. Paula Sind-Prunier
- Dr. Jana Price
- Steve Blackistone
- Ed Pachetti
- Mary Ann Ferencz
- Michele McMurtry



Parties to the Investigation

• Federal Highway Administration

- Maryland State Highway Administration
- Maryland State Police



Safety Issues

- The accident driver's speed, operating inexperience, and unfamiliarity with the vehicle
- The use of a wireless telephone while operating a vehicle
- The need for technology to aid vehicle stability
- Adequacy of the existing barrier system





Source: MS Streets and Trips









Restraint Use – Explorer

- Airbag deployed
- Three-point safety belt available
 - Not used driver ejected
 - Sustained fatal injuries



Restraint Use – Windstar

- Front seat airbags deployed
- Lap/shoulder safety belts available
 - Driver and rear seat passengers in use sustained fatal injuries
 - Right-seat passenger not in use ejected and sustained fatal injuries



Restraint Use – Jeep

- Front seat airbags deployed
- Lap/shoulder safety belts available
 - Driver's belts in use sustained minor injuries
 - Two child safety seats in rear seat in use
 no injuries



Multiple Risk Factors

- 20-year-old driver
- Inexperienced
- Unbelted
- High profile, short-wheelbase SUV
- Unfamiliar with vehicle
- Speed 15 to 20 mph over limit
- Using a wireless telephone
- Wind gusts



Multiple Risk Factors (Continued)

- Oversteered
- Lost control
- Yawed off the left side of road
- Obsolete guardrail end treatment
- Collided with the back of guardrail





Driver Performance

Burt Simon

Explorer Driver

- Medical condition
- Driving experience
- Vehicle familiarity
- Potential distraction



Medical Condition

- Good general health
- No medications
- Normal sleep/wake cycle
- Toxicology negative



Driving Experience

- Licensed for 3 years
- No violation or accident history
- High school driver education
- No vehicle ownership
- Borrowed vehicles infrequently



Vehicle Familiarity

- New purchase
- No test drive
- Less than 2 hours operating experience
- Less than 50 miles





- Witness: 70-75 mph
- Calculated: 69 mph
- Used left-hand lane
- Strong, gusting crosswinds
- 55 mph speed limit



Wireless Telephone Use

- Handheld wireless phone
- 2-3 minute conversation with driver in vehicle ahead



Research Findings: Experience, Familiarity

- Inexperience increases risk of young drivers being involved in speed-related fatal crashes
- Risk is 2 to 3 times higher if driver has driven less than 500 miles in vehicle
- Unfamiliarity interacts with inexperience
- Familiarity enhances control, speed compliance, evasive action



Dual Cognitive Task Research

- Using fMRI images of brain activity
- During single and dual tasks
- Dual tasks decrease brain activity
- Suggests reduced cognitive processing
- Driving and listening results are similar



Wireless Telephone Research Findings

- Primarily a cognitive distraction
- Decreases situational awareness
- Increases reaction time
- Hands-free offers little, if any, improvement



Summary

- Driver inexperience
- Vehicle unfamiliarity
- Excessive speed
- Cognitive distraction caused by wireless telephone conversation





Wireless Telephone Use

Michele McMurtry

Distraction Due to Wireless Telephone Use

- Vulnerability of young drivers
- Educating all drivers
- Driver education course material
- Sufficiency of available data
- Public policy



Safety Board Investigations Largo, Maryland, and Korona, Florida

Young drivers

- were following another vehicle
- lost control and ran off the road
- were unbelted
- engaged in a wireless telephone conversation with the lead car driver



National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) Data

Drivers under 20 years old

- 6.8 percent of driving population
- 14.3 percent of fatal accidents
- 18.0 percent of total societal accident costs



States with Graduated Licensing for Young Drivers



As of June , 2003

New Jersey Law

• Prohibits holders of driver's permits from using any interactive wireless device while operating a motor vehicle



States that Have Introduced Legislation to Prohibit Young Drivers from Using Interactive Wireless Devices While Operating a Vehicle



States that have a law

Educating All Drivers

- 145 million in U.S. subscribe to service
- 25 to 58 percent of drivers interviewed have used a wireless telephone while driving
- Minimal public awareness of dangers of distracted driving



Driver's Education

• Driver's education course material

– Is general in nature

Does not stress cognitive demands of the use of wireless devices



Sufficiency of Available Data

- An Investigation of the Safety Implications of Wireless Communications in Vehicles
- Wireless telephone use has doubled
- Research suggests the detrimental effects of wireless telephone use while driving
- Accident data may be misleadingly low


Misleading Accident Data

- Drivers are unlikely to self-report
- Police officers are not necessarily trained to detect wireless telephone use
- Obtaining and analyzing wireless telephone records is time consuming
- Culling use from accident records is difficult
- Only 16 States have codes for driver distraction on their accident forms



100-Car Naturalistic Driving Study

- Precrash driver behavior
- NHTSA, VA DOT, VA Tech
- Data collected for 1 year on 100 drivers
- Vehicles instrumented with 5 cameras and 23 recording sensors



National Advanced Driving Simulator Projects

NHTSA and the University of Iowa
\$1.5 million contract for two projects
Effects of wireless telephone use
Distraction influenced by the content, length, and intensity of telephone call



Public Policy

• New York law prohibits handheld wireless telephones

- 22 municipalities or counties have similar restrictions
- 24 countries have restrictions



Guidance to Policymakers

- NHTSA should update 1997 report
- Combine findings with results from
 - 100-Car Naturalistic Driving Study
 - National Advanced Driving Simulator research





Simulation

Shane Lack



Aspects of Vehicle Control

• Ability of tires to generate enough force to steer intended path

 Driver's ability to react and steer quickly enough to maintain control during handling maneuver



Simulation Examined

- Explorer's controllability in crosswinds
- Effects of driver-delayed reaction time due to wireless telephone use







Source:



Simulation Parameters

- Speed: 70 mph
- Wind
 - 23 mph crosswind
 - 44 mph gust
- Driver reaction time
 - Alert driver: 0.30 to 0.59 seconds
 - Distracted driver: 0.685 to 1.15 seconds



Simulation Results

High and gusting wind conditionswould have caused vehicle to deviateoff path, but did not render vehicleuncontrollable

Additional effect of delayed reaction time caused by wireless telephone use would not have made the vehicle uncontrollable



Simulation Results (Continued)

Additional effect of delayed reaction time caused by wireless telephone use could have resulted in greater lateral motion to right, increasing likelihood of intrusion into next lane





Vehicle Stability

Vernon Roberts

Crash Avoidance Technology

- Antilock braking systems and traction control
- Monitor wheel rotational speeds and release or apply braking force as needed



Electronic Stability Control (ESC)

- Includes
 - Yaw rate sensor
 - Steering wheel angle sensor
- Monitors vehicle motion and driver intent
- ESC algorithm initiates precise brake applications



Understeer



It automatically applies the inside, rear brake to help you achieve your desired turn. It may also reduce the engine's power.

(When a driver is "understeering" during a turn, the vehicle's front end tends to slide out.)

Oversteer

With ESC

Without ESC

It automatically applies the outside, front brake to help you correct "fishtailing".

(When a driver is "oversteering" during a turn, the vehicle's rear end tends to slide out.)

Source: Continental Teves

ESC Installation

• 6 percent of vehicles manufactured in the United States have ESC

• Most European cars sold in the United States have ESC as standard equipment



Real World Experience

German accident data

15 percent reduction

Swedish accident data

22 percent reduction

Japanese accident data

35 percent reduction



U. S. Experience with ESC

• No data analysis to date

 Potential for greater ESC benefits than that shown by European and Japanese data



Largo Accident Assessment

Possible ESC intervention

- Vehicle push to right by wind
- Driver's sharp left steer input



Largo Accident Assessment (Continued)

• ESC can increase vehicle stability in situations such as this accident

• This accident similar to many occurrences each year





Highway Issues

Mark Bagnard







Turned-down terminal used at accident location

Flared Energy-Absorbing Terminal (FLEAT)

FHWA nhoto



Single-sided W-beam barrier



Double-sided W-beam barrier











American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO)

- Established median barrier guidelines in 1967
- Median widths and traffic volumes added to guidelines in 1977
- Same guidance used for past 26 years







Maryland State Highway Administration

- 1990 review of median crossover accidents
- Number of median crossover accidents small, but severe
- Barrier installation in medians less than 75 feet wide





Guardrail Inventory

- 3,400 turned-down terminals in use
- System upgrade costly --\$34.3 million
- \$2.0 million project for the Baltimore/Washington corridor to be upgraded in FY 2003


Previous Recommendations

- From Slinger, Wisconsin, median crossover accident
- To AASHTO and FHWA
- Regarding the median barrier warrants



Revisions to AASHTO 2002 Roadside Design Guide

- No changes made to chart used for barrier evaluations
- Unshielded median widths of 30-feet may be inadequate
- Description of warrants currently in use by California and Florida



National Cooperative Highway Research Project Test Parameters

- Level surface
- 1992 Ford Explorer
- 58 mph speed
- 20° impact angle

