

Evidence Table 20. Managing Exacerbations: Heliox

Abbreviations used in table:

CI	confidence interval	PEF	peak expiratory flow
ED	emergency department	PEFR	peak expiratory flow rate
FEV₁	forced expiratory volume in 1 sec.	PI	pulmonary index
H	helium-oxygen (heliox)	RCT	randomized control trial
ICS	inhaled corticosteroid	RR	relative risk
O	oxygen	SMD	standardized mean difference
OR	odds ratio	WMD	weighted mean difference

* indicates primary outcome

Evidence Table 20. Managing Exacerbations: Heliox

Citation (Sponsor)	Study Design	Study Population		
		Study N (Number Evaluable)	Population Characteristics	Asthma Severity at Baseline (if reported)
Ho et al. Heliox vs. air–oxygen mixtures for the treatment of patients with acute asthma. Chest 2003;123(3):882–890.	Meta-analysis of studies published between 1966 and 2002	15 articles (8 randomized control trials (RCTs) (n ranged from 11 to 205), 1 nonrandomized prospective controlled trial (n=27), 1 retrospective case-match controlled trial (n=22), 4 before–after case series, and 1 case report)	Age Months to 70 yr Gender Not reported Ethnicity Not reported	Acute asthma
Rodrigo et al. Heliox for nonintubated acute asthma patients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003;(4):CD002884.	Meta-analysis of studies published between 1966 and 2002	6 RCTs with 369 acute asthma patients; 5 studies involved adults and 1 study dealt with children.	Age Mean age of samples: 12.3, 28.5, 32.3, 32.5, 37.0, and 44.5	Patients with clinical diagnosis of acute asthma who were seen in emergency departments (EDs)
Kim et al., Helium/oxygen-driven albuterol nebulization in the treatment of children with moderate to severe asthma exacerbations: a randomized, controlled trial. Pediatrics 2005;116(5):1127–1133. (Praxair Corporation)	Randomized, single-blind, controlled trial	31 (30)	Age 2–18 yr, mean = 7.4 yr Gender 50% male, 50% female Ethnicity 60% Black, 37% White, 3% not given	Moderate–to-severe asthma Patients presented to urban, pediatric ED Pulmonary index (PI) score of >8 out of 15; mean = 10.2 at entry Beta ₂ -agonist, 50% 1 hour before, 87% 24 hours before Corticosteroids, 87% in the past, 17% in past 4 weeks Inhaled steroids, 43% Other controller therapies, 33% Mean initial oxygen (O) saturation on room air, 92.3% Previous hospitalizations, mean = 2.3

Citation (Sponsor)	Study Design	Study Population		
		Study N (Number Evaluable)	Population Characteristics	Asthma Severity at Baseline (if reported)
<p>Lee et al. Beneficial effects of albuterol therapy driven by heliox versus by oxygen in severe asthma exacerbation. Acad Emerg Med 2005;12(9):820–827. (Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, Taiwan)</p>	<p>Two randomized, double-blind, controlled trials (ED of a university-affiliated tertiary care medical center)</p>	<p>Trial 1: 80 (80) Trial 2: 80 (80)</p>	<p><u>Trial 1</u> Age 18–50 yr, mean = 34.6 yr Gender 27% male, 73% female Ethnicity Not reported Smoking 14% tobacco smoking</p> <p><u>Trial 2</u> Age >40 yr, mean = 54 yr Gender 35% male, 65% female Ethnicity Not reported Smoking 12.5% tobacco smoking</p> <p><u>Trial 2</u> Age >40 yr, mean = 54 yr Gender 35% male, 65% female Ethnicity Not reported Smoking 12.5% tobacco smoking</p>	<p><u>Trial 1</u> Asthma diagnosed by American Thoracic Society criteria History of reversible airway obstruction as manifested by episodes of cough, dyspnea, and wheeze interspersed with symptom-free periods; 35% with history of asthma admission and 6% with history of mechanical ventilation for asthma Pretreatment PEF <50%, mean = 35.2% pred. Infectious exacerbation, 47% Days of exacerbation before ED visit, mean = 2.9 days Ipratropium, 22.5%; beta₂-agonist, 72.5%; oral corticosteroids, 17.5%; inhaled corticosteroid (ICS), 42.5%; methylxanthine, 36%; antileukotriene, 17.5% Heart rate, mean = 100 beats/min (104 for heliox group, 97 for O group, p <0.01) Respiratory rate, mean = 25.5 breaths/min SpO₂, mean = 94.8%</p> <p><u>Trial 2</u> Asthma diagnosed by American Thoracic Society criteria History of reversible airway obstruction as manifested by episodes of cough, dyspnea, and wheeze interspersed with symptom-free periods; 35% with history of asthma admission and 6% with history of mechanical ventilation for asthma Pretreatment PEF<40%, mean = 26.4% pred. Baseline FEV₁ % pred., mean = 35.4 Ipratropium, 14%; beta₂-agonist, 84%; systemic corticosteroids, 17.5%; ICS, 42.5%; methylxanthine, 29%; antileukotriene, 15% Heart rate, mean = 95 beats/min Respiratory rate, mean = 23.5 breaths/min SpO₂, mean = 95.4%</p>

Citation (Sponsor)	Study Design	Study Population		
		Study N (Number Evaluable)	Population Characteristics	Asthma Severity at Baseline (if reported)
Rivera et al. Albuterol nebulized in heliox in the initial ED treatment of pediatric asthma: a blinded, randomized controlled trial. Am J Emerg Med 2006;24(1):38–42.	Blinded, randomized controlled trial (pediatric ED of a tertiary care, urban, university-based children’s hospital)	41 (41)	Age 3–16 yr, median 8 in heliox group, 7 in O group Gender 61% male, 39% female Ethnicity Not reported	Moderately severe asthma exacerbation with modified dyspnea index of 4 or higher on admission (median 6 for heliox group and 5 for O group, p=0.936) Previous history of at least 3 prior episodes of reversible bronchospasm

Citation (Sponsor)	Study Characteristics			Findings			
	Treatment	Dose	Duration of Active Treatment; Duration of Postintervention/ Off-Treatment Followup	Lung Function	Vital Signs/ Cardiovascular/ Clinical Laboratory Values	Severity/ Admissions	Safety
Ho et al. Heliox vs. air-oxygen mixtures for the treatment of patients with acute asthma. Chest 2003;123(3): 882-890.	<p>Purpose/Objective: To evaluate the efficacy of heliox on respiratory mechanics and outcomes in patients with acute asthma</p> <p>Arm 1 Any mixture of helium and O with or without concurrent beta-agonists, para-sympatholytics and corticosteroids, and with or without invasive ventilation</p> <p>Arm 2 Oxygen (O)</p>			<p>There was no significant difference in PEF between interventions (WMD +3%, 95% CI -2% to +8%; 4 RCTs) within the first hour.</p> <p>The level of confidence was 92% that heliox provides a benefit as an adjunct to standard medical care in acute asthma.</p> <p>Based on weighted linear regression, patients with <43% PEF may benefit more from heliox vs. patients with less severe acute asthma.</p> <p>Overall, all studies showed results in favor of heliox <u>except</u> 1 RCT and 1 case series that showed no improvement, 1 RCT that showed possible detrimental effect, and 1 small RCT that was inconclusive.</p>			

Citation (Sponsor)	Study Characteristics			Findings				
	Treatment	Dose	Duration of Active Treatment; Duration of Postintervention/ Off-Treatment Followup	Lung Function	Vital Signs/ Cardiovascular/ Clinical Laboratory Values	Severity/ Admissions	Safety	
Rodrigo et al. Heliox for nonintubated acute asthma patients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003;(4): CD002884. NOTE: Includes Henderson et al. study.	<p>Purpose/Objective: To determine the effect of the addition of heliox to standard medical care on the course of acute asthma, as measured by pulmonary function testing and clinical endpoints</p> <p>Arm 1 Helium-oxygen (H)</p> <p>Arm 2 Placebo (O or air)</p>			Four trials used 70:30; 2 trials used 80:29	There were no group differences in pulmonary function (SMD=0.13, 95% CI -0.09 to 0.34) based on 4 studies with n=127.	Heliox produced greater increase in heart rate than oxygen/air (SMD=7.67, 95% CI 0.79 to 14.55). There was no difference in O saturation (SMD=0.04, 95% CI -1.10 to 1.09) based on 3 studies with n=97.	There was no difference in Borg dyspnea score (WMD= -0.11, 95% CI -1.27 to 1.04) based on 3 studies with n=81.	There was no difference in hospital admissions (relative risk (RR) 1.02, 95% CI 0.58 to 1.81) based on 4 studies with n=324.
Kim et al. Helium/oxygen-driven albuterol nebulization in the treatment of children with moderate to severe asthma exacerbations: a randomized, controlled trial. Pediatrics 2005;116(5): 1127-1133. (Praxair Corporation)	<p>Purpose/Objective: to evaluate the efficacy of heliox versus O in driving continuous albuterol nebulization in children with moderate to severe asthma</p> <p>Arm 1 Heliox via compressed gas association 280 regulator driven by pressure of 50 lb per square inch gauge (n=16; n=15 completers)</p> <p>Arm 2 O (n=15)</p>			Flow of 16 L/min Flow of 10 L/min	All received 20 minutes of nebulized albuterol treatment (5 mg) driven by 100% O, and oral steroids followed by nebulized albuterol (15 mg/hour) by heliox or O using nonbreathing face mask up to 3 hours or until ED discharge.	*The mean change in PI score from baseline to 240 minutes was 6.67 for heliox vs. 3.33 for O (p <0.001). At 125 minutes, heliox group showed clinically significant absolute mean PI improvement vs. O group (p <0.05) that was sustained at 150, 180, and 240 minutes.	67% of heliox group were discharged from ED compared with 33% of O group (p=0.07). 73% of heliox group were discharged from hospital in <12 hours vs. 33% in O group (p <0.05).	

Citation (Sponsor)	Study Characteristics			Findings			
	Treatment	Dose	Duration of Active Treatment; Duration of Postintervention/ Off-Treatment Followup	Lung Function	Vital Signs/ Cardiovascular/ Clinical Laboratory Values	Severity/ Admissions	Safety
Lee et al. Beneficial effects of albuterol therapy driven by heliox versus by oxygen in severe asthma exacerbation. Acad Emerg Med 2005;12(9): 820–827. (Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, Taiwan)	<p>Purpose/Objective (Trial 1): To compare the efficacy of albuterol therapy driven by heliox versus by O and determine factors that were associated with beneficial response to heliox-driven albuterol therapy</p> <p>Purpose/Objective (Trial 2): To compare the efficacy of albuterol therapy driven by heliox versus by O in older patients</p>			<p>Trial 1 *Both groups improved in PEF (p <0.001) with increase in H approximately twofold those in O.</p> <p>After first treatment, PEF increased by 17.5% in O and 35.7% in H (p <0.005); after third treatment, PEF increased from baseline by 39.7% in O vs. 71.4% in H (p <0.01).</p> <p>More in H vs. O reached PEF >60% pred. at end of third treatment (OR 2.58, 95% CI 1.03 to 6.46). Age (p=0.035) and pretreatment PEF (p=0.010) were associated with response to heliox; respiratory rate (p=0.13), heart rate (p=0.544), and smoking status (p=0.170) were not associated.</p> <p>Trial 2 Improvement in PEF in H vs. O was greatest (23% pred.) for those in first quartile of pretreatment PEF (p <0.05). There were greater decreases in dyspnea score for H vs. O for those in lower 2 quartiles vs. upper 2 quartiles of pretreatment PEF.</p>			
	<p>Arm 1 Heliox (H) (n=40; n=40 completers)</p> <p>Arm 2 Oxygen (O) (n=40; n=40 completers)</p>	<p>Albuterol (2.5 mg) in 3 mL of 0.9% saline via a nebulizer powered by helium/oxygen 80%/20%</p> <p>Albuterol (2.5 mg) in 3 mL of 0.9% saline via a nebulizer powered by air, O</p>	<p>Trial 1 3 treatments with 15-minute washout periods</p> <p>Trial 2 2 treatments with 15-minute washout periods</p>	<p>Trial 1 There was no difference in admission rates (18/40 in O vs. 12/40 in H, p=0.16). Among those discharged from ED, shorter stay for H vs. O (76 min vs. 86 min, p=0.007)</p>			

Citation (Sponsor)	Study Characteristics			Findings					
	Treatment	Dose	Duration of Active Treatment; Duration of Postintervention/ Off-Treatment Followup	Lung Function	Vital Signs/ Cardiovascular/ Clinical Laboratory Values	Severity/ Admissions	Safety		
Rivera et al. Albuterol nebulized in heliox in the initial ED treatment of pediatric asthma: a blinded, randomized controlled trial. Am J Emerg Med 2006;24(1):38-42.	<p>Purpose/Objective: To determine if delivery of continuous nebulized albuterol with heliox led to greater clinical improvement over nebulized albuterol delivered with O</p> <p>Arm 1 Heliox (H) (n=20; n=20 completers)</p> <p>Arm 2 Oxygen (O) (n=21; n=21 completers)</p>			<p>Continuous albuterol therapy (0.45 mg/kg, maximum dose 15 mg/h) delivered by nonrebreather face mask nebulized with helium/oxygen, 70%/30%</p> <p>Continuous albuterol therapy (0.45 mg/kg, maximum dose 15 mg/hour) delivered by nonrebreather face mask nebulized with air/oxygen, 70%/30%</p>	<p>All patients received 3 doses of aerosolized albuterol (2.5 mg/treatment given with 8 L of O), intravenous (IV) fluids at a weight-based maintenance rate, and 2 mg/kg IV methylprednisolone. After third albuterol treatment, they were randomized to H or O, with assessment at 10 and 20 minutes after randomization.</p>			<p>*Median modified dyspnea index scores improved for both groups, with no clinical (≥ 2 points) or statistical difference ($p=0.169$ after 10 minutes; $p=0.062$ after 20 minutes) between groups. Rate of admission was 60% for H and 81% for O ($p=0.181$).</p>	