FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2009 BUDGET ESTIMATES

February 2008



CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE



PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

Chemical Demilitarization

The Chemical Demilitarization Program destroys the U.S. stockpile of chemical weapons. The United States has an obligation to destroy all such weapons under the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), which was entered into in 1997.

PERFORMING

Adequate

- The program has destroyed over 15,552 tons overall since entry-into-force of the CWC. All CWC treaty milestones have been met to date, including the destruction of former production facilities in January 2007 and the CWC 45% destruction milestone in June 2007.
- The program has an excellent safety record. Nonetheless, community concerns had delayed construction of plants. This resulted in increased costs and delayed the destruction of the chemical stockpile.
- The program has developed annual destruction goals to guide its progress toward destroying the entire U.S. chemical weapons stockpile as close as practicable to the CWC 100% destruction deadline of April 2012.

We are taking the following actions to improve the performance of the program:

- Expediting disposal of secondary waste by assessing alternative technologies or using off-site treatment to reduce cost, shorten schedules, make better use of equipment, and improve processing.
- Incentivizing the contractor to meet the extended CWC 100% destruction milestone with high safety and environmental standards, complete closure sooner and collaboratively reduce program risk

- Implementing and tracking performance measures, such as number of chemical agent exposures and releases, tons and percentage of treaty-declared chemical agent destroyed, program-wide recordable incidence rate and cost per ton of agent destroyed.
- Maintaining an Integrated Risk Management Program that stresses early risk identification, mitigation planning, and execution to minimize impacts on cost, schedule, performance, and safety.

This Chemical Demilitarization Program FY 2009 President's budget submission was formulated to achieve the long-term outcome of compliance with the Chemical Weapons Convention. Additionally, the budget request provides the necessary resources to support activities required to meet the program annual performance objectives of no chemical releases and zero exposures to ensure achieving the outcome of worker, public and environmental safety.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY BUDGET ESTIMATE SUBMISSION FOR FY 2009 CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION

TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE	: NO
APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE	:	1
APPROPRIATION JUSTIFICATION. PART I - PURPOSE AND SCOPE PART II - JUSTIFICATION OF FUNDS REQUIRED PART III - MILESTONES	2	2
EXHIBITS FY 2009 Military Construction Project Data (DD Forms 1391) FY 2009 Military Construction Program (DD Forms 1390)		

JUSTIFICATION OF FY 2009 BUDGET ESTIMATE SUBMISSION CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE

APPROPRIATION LANGUAGE

For expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary for the construction of facilities and infrastructure upgrades to support destruction of the United States stockpile of lethal chemical agents and munitions in accordance with the provisions of Section 1412 of the National Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521), \$134,278,000 to become available on October 1, 2008 and to remain available until September 30, 2013.

JUSTIFICATION OF FY 2009 BUDGET ESTIMATE SUBMISSION CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE

APPROPRIATION JUSTIFICATION

(In Thousands of Dollars)

FY 2009 Estimate \$134,278

FY 2008 Budget \$104,176

FY 2007 Actual \$131,000

Part I - Purpose and Scope

This account provides funding for design and construction of full-scale chemical disposal facilities and associated projects to upgrade installation support facilities and infrastructures required to support the Chemical Demilitarization Program. This account was established starting in FY 2005 to comply with Section 141 (b) of the FY 2003 National Defense Authorization Act.

<u>Part II - Justification of Funds Required</u>

The funds requested in this budget submission are required to carry out the Congressional mandate of public law 99-145 and support the commitments of this nation under the Chemical Weapons Convention. This document provides justification for FY 2009 financial requirements in support of the Chemical Demilitarization Program. Requirements for this Program other than those addressed herein are budgeted in the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction appropriation.

The costs for facilities construction for each chemical disposal plant to be built are based on site-specific design criteria and depot infrastructure requirements. These requirements include planning, acquisition, construction and other supporting activities necessary to construct the destruction facilities.

Part III - Program Descriptions and Milestones

The Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives (ACWA) program is a separately managed part of the Chemical Demilitarization Program, responsible for implementing alternative (non-incineration) technologies for the destruction of the chemical weapons stockpile at the Pueblo Chemical Depot in Colorado and the Blue Grass Army Depot in Kentucky.

The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics, or USD (AT&L), approved neutralization followed by bio-treatment as the technology for the destruction of the chemical weapons stockpile at Pueblo in July 2002 and neutralization followed by supercritical water oxidation as the destruction technology for the stockpile at Blue Grass in February 2003. A systems contract for the Pueblo Chemical Agent-Destruction Pilot Plant (PCAPP) was awarded in September 2002 to the Bechtel Pueblo Team. A systems contract for the Blue Grass Chemical Agent-Destruction Pilot Plant (BGCAPP) was awarded to the Bechtel Parsons Blue Grass Team in June 2003.

Major Milestones for Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives Program are as follows:

Program Inception	1997
Criteria Development, Assessment, Demonstration of Alternatives	1997 to 2002
Received Pueblo Record of Decision and Technology Selection	July 2002
Received Blue Grass Record of Decision and Technology Selection	February 2003
Path Forward Redesign Concepts Approved	July 2005
10 USC Sec. 2433 Program Certification	January 2007
Revised Acquisition Program Baseline Approved	April 2007

<u>Pueblo Chemical Agent-Destruction Pilot Plant (PCAPP):</u>

ruebio chemical Agent Descruction filot franc (fcAff).	
Systems Contract Award	September 2002
RCRA RD&D Permit Approval, Stage I	July 2004
RCRA RD&D Permit Approval, Stage II	June 2005
Intermediate Re-Design Completion	February 2006
Stage 1B Permit Modification Approval	June 2006
Stage 2 Permit Modification Submittal and Approval	June 2006 to September 2006
Stage 3 Permit Modification Submittal and Approval	November 2006 to 4th Qtr FY 2008
Stage 1A Construction, Field Activities	October 2005 to June 2007
Stage 1B Construction Activities	August 2006 to October 2007
Stage 2 Construction, Field Activities Start	May 2007
Final Design, Government Acceptance	May 2007

Temporary Authorization Approval (TAA) for Stage 3 Construction¹ 1st Qtr FY 2008 Stage 3 and Balance of Construction, Field Activities² 2nd Qtr FY 2008 to FY 2013 Start Systemization Planning 3rd Qtr FY 2009

Blue Grass Chemical Agent-Destruction Pilot Plant (BGCAPP):

Systems Contract Award June 2003 RCRA RD&D Permit Application Approval September 2005 Begin Intermediate Design Effort October 2004 Design Considerations (Cost Reduction) January 2005 to December 2005 Intermediate Re-Design Completion May 2006 On-Post Access Road & Earthwork Construction May 2006 to May 2007 Final Process and Main Facilities Design, Government Acceptance 3rd Otr FY 2008 Site Fencing (Channelization) September 2006 to April 2007 Main Plant and Balance of Construction, Field Activities3 FY 2008 to FY 2015

 $^{^{1}}$ The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE), the approving authority for the TAA has indicated its willingness to approve a temporary authorization request to allow Stage 3 construction to begin prior to approval of the Stage 3 permit modification request.

 $^{^2}$ During FY 2009 construction activity will continue on the Agent Processing Building (APB), Energetics Processing Building (ERB), and Control and Support Building (CSB). These are the key facilities required for disassembly and treatment of assembled chemical weapons at Pueblo Chemical Depot, CO.

³ Horizontal construction of the Main Demilitarization Building (MDB) is scheduled for 2nd Qtr FY 2008 to 3rd Qtr FY 2009. Construction of the Control and Support Building (CSB) and MDB vertical construction are scheduled to start during 3rd Qtr FY 2009. The MDB and CSB along with the Supercritical Water Process Building (SPB) are the key facilities required for disassembly and treatment of assembled chemical weapons at Blue Grass Army Depot, KY.

Funded Financial Summary (In Thousands of Dollars)

The FY 2007, 2008, and 2009 resource levels required to support the following facilities are shown below:

Locations/Facilities Facilities Construction	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Budget	FY 2009 Estimate
KY, Blue Grass Army Depot - Defense Access Road	0	0	12,000
KY, Blue Grass Chem Agent-Disposal Pilot Plant	89,164	69,017	57,218
CO, Pueblo Chem Agent-Disposal Pilot Plant	41,836	35,159	65,060
Total Funded	131,000	104,176	134,278

This page intentionally left blank

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PISCAL YEAR 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (DOLLARS ARE IN THOUSANDS)

INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

STATE	PROJECT NUMBER	INSTALLATION (COMMAND) PROJECT TITLE	AUT	REQUEST	APPROPRIATION REQUEST	MISSION
Colora		Pueblo Chemical Depot (AMC) Ammunition Demil Pac Incr X		0	65,060	N
		Subtotal Pueblo Chemical Depot	\$	0	65,060	
		* TOTAL ChemD FOR Colorado	\$	0	65,060	
Kentuci	59801	Blue Grass Army Depot (AMC) Ammunition Demil Fac Incr IX Defense Access Road - US 25		0 12,000	57,218 12,000	
** T(OTAL INSID	E THE UNITED STATES FOR ChemD	\$	12,000	134,278	
		Total Cost of New Mission Projects Total Cost of Current Mission Projects Total Cost of other line items Total Cost of FY 2009 ChemD Projects		(3) (0) (0) (3)	\$ 134,278 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 134,278	

This page intentionally left blank

		7.00ST E	9.0051 ESTIMATES							
ITEM	UM	(M/E)	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)					
PRIMARY FACILITY					254,268					
Agent Processing Bldg	m2	(SF)	5,096 (54,850)	16,075	(81,911)					
Enhanced Reconfiguration Bldg	m2	(SF)	3,328 (35,825)	17,490	(58,212)					
Access Road	km	(MI)	9.33 (5.80)	1812111	(16,907)					
Control & Support / Maintenance	m2	(SF)	1,765 (19,000)	12,273	(21,664)					
Multi-Purpose Bldg	m2	(SF)	2,546 (27,400)	8,313	(21,162)					
Total from Continuation page					(54,412)					
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					109,880					
Electric Service	LS				(43,215)					
Water, Sewer, Gas	$_{\rm LS}$				(19,691)					
Steam And/Or Chilled Water Dist	$_{\rm LS}$				(14,220)					
Paving, Walks, Curbs & Gutters	LS				(11,294)					
Storm Drainage	LS				(1,293)					
	$_{\rm LS}$				(17,946)					
Information Systems	$_{\rm LS}$				(2,221)					
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST					364,148					
CONTINGENCY PERCENT (5.00%)					18,207					
SUBTOTAL					382,355					
SUPV, INSP & OVERHEAD (5.70%)					21,794					
DESIGN/BUILD - DESIGN COST					79,759					
TOTAL REQUEST					483,908					
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	l				484,000					
INSTALLED EQT-OTHER APPROP					(333,000)					

10.Description of Proposed Construction Construct a Chemical Stockpile Disposal Program (CSDP) facility using incremental appropriations. This Chem Demil Facility requires an authorization increase of \$223.0 million above the current approved authorization of \$261.0 million for a new total authorization of \$484.0 million. The FY2009 budget request is for Increment X (PN 67607, \$65.060 million). Increment I (PN 17700, \$0 appropriation and \$261.0 million authorization) was approved in FY 1997, FY 2000, and FY 2003, Increment II (PN 40658, \$10.7 million) was approved in FY 2001, Increment III (PN 47261, \$11.0 million) was approved in FY 2002, Increment IV (PN 47846, \$38.0 million) was approved in FY 2003, Increment V (PN 51026, \$69.767 million, original appropriation of \$88.388 million as reduced by \$18.621 million due to reprogramming for an approved Defense Access Road project) was approved in FY 2004, Increment VI (PN 57496 \$44.792 million) was approved in FY 2005, Increment VII (PN 58386, \$0) the FY2006 increment received no funding, Increment VIII (PN 66244 \$41.836 million) was approved in FY 2007, and Increment IX (PN 67606 \$35.159 million) was approved in FY 2008. This project will provide for the design and construction of a toxic chemical munitions demilitarization (Demil) complex based on the Neutralization followed by Biotreatment Process approved in the Acquisition Decision Memorandum dated 16

1.COMPONENT

1.COMPONENT						2.DATE	
	TAR	Y CONST	RUCTION F	RO	IECT DATA		
ARMY				1.00	201 211111	23	JAN 2008
3.INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		23 (DAIN 2000				
Pueblo Chemical Depot, Colorado							
4.PROJECT TITLE					5.PROJECT	NUMBER	
					1		
Ammunition Demil Fac Incr X						61	7607
 COST ESTIMATES (CONTINUED) 							
						Unit	Cost
Item	UM	(M/E)	QUANT	TIT	Y	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY (CONTINUED)							
Bio Treatment Area	m2	(SF)	11,317	(121,815)	1,412	(15,976)
Utility Building	m2	(SF)	696.77	(7,500)	16,596	(11,564)
Access Control Point	m2	(SF)	942.78	(10,148)	4,789	(4,515)
Process Support Bldg	m2	(SF)	3,763	(40,500)	985.54	(3,708)
Lab/Lab Filter Bldg	m2	(SF)	687.48	(7,400)	4,922	(3,384)
Process Support Bldg Mods	m2	(SF)	613.16	(6,600)	4,162	(2,552)
Entry Control Facility	m2	(SF)	232.26	(2,500)	11,302	(2,625)
Agent Filter Area	m2	(SF)	4,045	(43,545)	532.17	(2,153)
Water Recovery/Brine Reduction	m2	(SF)	713.50	(7,680)	2,582	(1,842)
Munitions Storage Magazine	m2	(SF)	185.81	(2,000)	3,617	(672)
Energetics Storage Magazine	m2	(SF)	185.81	(2,000)	3,617	(672)
Munition Body Storage Bldg	m2	(SF)	867.90	(9,342)	771.99	(670)
IDS Installation	LS						(3,486)
Building Information Systems	LS						(593)
						Total	54,412

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: (CONTINUED)

Jul 02. The work is being executed under a design-build cost reimbursement contract. Work includes an energetics processing building with blast containment, an agent processing building, a control building, a process auxiliary building, a personnel and maintenance facility with change rooms, a utility building, maintenance storage, and a medical treatment area; a process support and administrative building; a chemical analysis laboratory; a waste storage building; a biotreatment area; and entry control facilities. Special features include blast doors, fire protection, a cascading heating, ventilation, air conditioning (HVAC) system with airlocks for agent containment, special air filtration, special personnel protective clothing area, toxic chemical resistive coatings and surfaces, explosion-proof electrical fixtures, and an intrusion detection system (IDS). Supporting facilities include utilities; electric service with an electrical substation; standby electric generators; security fencing and lighting; paving and surfacing, walks, curbs and gutters; storm drainage; information systems; and site improvements. Heating will be provided by a central system; air conditioning will be provided by self-contained units.

11. REQ: NONE ADQT: NONE SUBSTD: NONE

PROJECT: Construct a toxic chemical agent munitions demilitarization
facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide the capability to
demilitarize and dispose of the toxic chemical agents and munitions stored at

1.COMPONENT							2.DATE		
	FY 2	2009	MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT	DATA			
ARMY							23	JAN	2008
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	1					•		
Pueblo Chemica	al Depot,	, Colo	rado						
4.PROJECT TITLE					5.I	ROJECT 1	TUMBER		
Ammunition Dem	nil Fac 1	Incr X	[67607	

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

Pueblo Chemical Depot in a safe, environmentally acceptable manner. Congress has mandated the disposal of the existing unitary chemical stockpile and the Army has submitted an implementation plan which cites this facility as an integral and essential part of the chemical stockpile disposal program. CURRENT SITUATION: Projectiles containing lethal chemical agents are stored in igloos at the installation and some currently exhibit an accelerated rate of deterioration. These munitions are of no strategic value, but they must be safely stored and inspected to ensure that there is no risk to the public or the environment. The monitoring and surveillance costs for safe storage continue to accrue. No other acceptable disposal facilities are available. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the Army will not be able to comply with Congressional mandate and Chemical Weapons Convention treaty requirements for chemical munitions stockpile disposal. Also, maintenance and surveillance costs will continue to grow as the agents and munitions deteriorate with age. The threat to the health of Depot employees and the environment will continue.

ADDITIONAL: This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all required physical security measures are included. Anti-terrorism/force protection measures have been designed as required. This project is mandated by Congress and is exempt from preparation of an economic analysis.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

- A. Estimated Design Data:
 - Status:

(a)	Date Design Started	MAY 2003
(b)	Percent Complete As Of January 2008	100.00
(c)	Date 35% Designed	JUN 2005
(d)	Date Design Complete	APR 2007
(e)	Parametric Cost Estimating Used to Develop Costs	NO

- (f) Type of Design Contract: Design-build
- (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: NO

(3)		1 Design Cost (c) = $(a)+(b)$ OR $(d)+(e)$:	(\$000)
	(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	1,654
	(b)	All Other Design Costs	994
	(c)	Total Design Cost	2,648
	(d)	Contract	478
	(e)	In-house	2,170

1.COMPONENT						2.DATE
	FY 2009	MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	PROJECI	DATA	
ARMY						23 JAN 2008
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION					-
Pueblo Chemica	al Depot, Colo	rado				
4.PROJECT TITLE				5.	PROJECT N	UMBER
Ammunition Dem	mil Fac Incr X					67607

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (Continued)

- A. Estimated Design Data: (Continued)

B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations:

Equipment Nomenclature	Procuring Appropriation	Fiscal Year Appropriated Or Requested	Cost (\$000)
Process Equipment	CAMD	2007	71,000
Process Equipment	CAMD	2008	76,000
Process Equipment	CAMD	2009	72,000
Process Equipment	CAMD	2010	64,000
Process Equipment	CAMD	2011	50,000
		TOTAL	333,000

1.COMPONENT 2.DATE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA FY 2009 23 JAN 2008 ARMY 3.INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4.PROJECT TITLE Blue Grass Army Depot Kentucky Ammunition Demil Fac Incr IX 5.PROGRAM ELEMENT 6.CATEGORY CODE 7.PROJECT NUMBER 8.PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth Approp 78007A 216 59801 57,218

9.COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	UM	(M/E)	QUANTITY U	UNITCOST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY	П				252,981
Munitions Disposal Bldg	m2	(SF)	8,986 (96,720)	12,585	(113,083)
Control and Support Bldg	m2	(SF)	3,437 (37,000)	7,720	(26,538)
Filter Area	m2	(SF)	3,608 (38,840)	958.85	(3,460)
Access Control Bldg	m2	(SF)	110.37 (1,188)	14,090	(1,555)
Container Handling Bldg	m2	(SF)	1,823 (19,624)	1,765	(3,218)
Total from Continuation page	l				(105,127)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	П				104,360
Electric Service	$_{\rm LS}$				(35,740)
Water, Sewer, Gas	LS				(9,780)
Steam And/Or Chilled Water Dist	LS				(971)
Paving, Walks, Curbs & Gutters	LS				(12,117)
Storm Drainage	LS				(4,338)
Site Imp(31,804) Demo()	LS				(31,804)
Information Systems	LS				(9,610)
	l				
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST					357,341
CONTINGENCY PERCENT (5.00%)	l				17,867
SUBTOTAL	ı				375,208
SUPV, INSP & OVERHEAD (5.70%)	1				21,387
DESIGN/BUILD - DESIGN COST	1				95,228
TOTAL REQUEST	l				491,823
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1				492,000
INSTALLED EQT-OTHER APPROP					(436,000)

10.Description of Proposed Construction Construct a Chemical Agent Destruction Pilot Plant facility using incremental appropriations which are split over more than one fiscal year. This Chemical Agent Destruction Pilot Plant requires an authorization increase of \$212.675 million above the current authorization of \$279.325 million for a new total authorization of \$492.0 million. The FY2009 budget request is for increment IX PN 59801 (\$57.218 million). Previous appropriations are as follows: FY2000, Increment I, PN 21994 (\$0); FY2002 Increment II, PN 40845 (\$3.0 million); FY2003, Increment III, PN 47847 (\$10.3 million); FY2004 Increment IV, PN 50552 (\$16.22 million); FY2005 Increment V, PN 51027 (\$37.094 million); FY2006, Increment VI, PN 58391 (\$0) (FY06 RDT & B funds (\$46.8 million) were authorized by congress for MILCON design and construction activities for FY2006 only); FY2007 Increment VII, PN 58392 (\$89.157 million); FY2008, Increment VIII, PN 59800 (\$69.017 million). This project will provide for the design and construction of toxic chemical munitions demilitarization (demil) complex based on neutralization followed by supercritical water oxidation (SCWO). The work is being executed under a performance based design-build cost reimbursement contract. Work includes a munitions demilitarization building (MDB) with blast containment areas, an adjoining control and support building, and a corridor connecting the

1.COMPONENT					2.DATE	
	ттар	V CONS	TRUCTION PRO	בדבת חמדם	2.DAIB	
ARMY	TIME	ii como	IROCITON PRO-	OECI DAIA	1 ,,	TAN 2000
ARMI 3.INSTALLATION AND LOCATION					43	JAN 2008
5: INSTRUMENTON THE DOCTOR						
Dive Creage Army Depot Kentucky						
Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky 4.PROJECT TITLE				5.PROJECT	MANDED .	
4.PROJECT TITLE				S.PROOBCI	NUMBER	
Ammunition Demil Fac Incr IX				1		9801
Ammunicion Demili Fac Incl IA					5	9801
9. COST ESTIMATES (CONTINUED)						
9. COST ESTIMATES (CONTINUED)					Unit	Cost
Item	ттм	(M/E)	QUANTIT	v	COST	(\$000)
rcem	OP	(PI/E/	QUANTII	1	COSI	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY (CONTINUED)						
Utility Bldg	m2	(SF)	2,341 (25,200)	10.134	(23,725)
SCWO Bldg		(SF)	2,471 (26,596)		(23,577)
Hydrolysate Storage Tank Area		(SF)	2,775 (29,869)		(2,582)
Standby Diesel Generator Area		(SF)	557.42 (6,000)		(1,610)
Personnel Maintenance Bldg		(SF)	1,417 (15,250)	-	(4,428)
Personnel Maintenance Bldg Personnel Support Bldg		(SF)	2,165 (23,300)	-	(3,380)
Entry Control Facility		(SF)	129.60 (1,395)		(3,380)
Modular Laboratory Bldg		(SF)	704.20 (7,580)		(4,407)
Lab Filter Area			92.90 (1,000)	-	(571)
Maintenance Bldg		(SF)	2,606 (28,049)		(4,809)
Maintenance Bldg Gas Mask Storage Bldg		(SF)	232.26 (28,049)		(4,809)
Badging Facility		(SF)	139.35 (1,500)		(1,009)
Non-Contaminated Rocket Facilit		(SF)	929.03 (10,000)		(13,690)
Toxic Maintenance Bldg / Vehicl		(SF)		-	-	(3,556)
Toxic Maintenance Bldg / Venici Warehouse		(SF)	1,091 (11,744)		. ,
	m2		2,601 (28,000)		(5,195)
Above Ground Magazines	m2	(SF)	2,230 (24,000)	-	(3,581)
Igloos - Below Ground Magazines		(SF)	1,115 (12,000)		(2,664)
Fire Station		(SF)	557.42 (6,000)		(1,066)
BGAD Office Space		(SF)	557.42 (6,000)	1,434	(799)
IDS Instalation	LS					(2,550)
Building Information Systems	LS					(547)
					Total	105,127

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: (CONTINUED)

munitions container handling building; MDB filters, SCWO process building, hydrolysate storage tank area, a utility building with steam boilers and chilled water equipment; bulk chemical storage area, a personnel and maintenance facility with change rooms, maintenance storage, and medical treatment area; a combination maintenance/warehouse building; personnel support building, gas mask storage building, chemical analysis laboratory with filter system, entry control facility, treaty compliance office building, access road and access control point, access control building, badge building, munitions storage magazines, toxic maintenance building, munitions transportation equipment storage building, and a fire station. Features include blast containment doors and gates, room entrance airlocks, fire detection and suppression systems, cascade heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC), charcoal and HEPA air filtration systems, protective clothing area, toxic chemical resistive coatings and surfaces, and explosion-proof electrical components, and an intrusion detection system (IDS). Supporting facilities include utilities; electric service with an

1.COMPONENT	TW 0000	MILIMADA	CONCERNION	DDO TRO	n nama	2.DATE		
ARMY	FY 2009	MILITARI	CONSTRUCTION	PROJEC	DATA	23	JAN :	2008
3.INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION					•		
Blue Grass Arm	y Depot, Kent	ucky						
4.PROJECT TITLE				5	PROJECT 1	NUMBER		
Ammunition Dem	il Fac Incr l	ΙX				5	9801	

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: (CONTINUED)

electrical substation; standby electric generators; security fencing and lighting; paving, walks, curbs and gutters; storm drainage; information systems; fuel storage; and site improvements.

11. REQ: NONE ADQT: NONE SUBSTD: NONE PROJECT: Design and construct an Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives approved toxic chemical agent munitions demilitarization facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to demilitarize and dispose of the toxic chemical agents and munitions stored at the Blue Grass Army Depot (BGAD) Chemical Activity in a safe, environmentally acceptable manner. The Army submitted an implementation plan to Congress in March 1988 in response to a specific request which cites this facility as an integral and essential part of the chemical stockpile disposal program.

CURRENT SITUATION: Rockets and projectiles containing lethal chemical agents are stored in igloos at the installation. Some of these munitions are currently deteriorating at an accelerated rate. These munitions are of no strategic value but they must be safely stored and inspected so that there is no risk to the public or the environment. The monitoring and surveillance costs for safe storage continue to accrue. No other acceptable disposal facilities are available.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If the Chemical Agent Destruction Pilot Plant project is not provided, the Army will not comply with the Congressional mandate for chemical munitions stockpile disposal. Also, maintenance and surveillance costs will continue to accumulate. The threat to the health of Depot employees and to the environment will continue.

ADDITIONAL: This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all required physical security measures are included. This project is mandated by Congress and is exempt from preparation of an economic analysis. Joint Use Certification: The Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installations and Housing) certifies that this project has been considered for joint use potential. This facility will be available for use by other components.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

- A. Estimated Design Data:
 - Status:

(a)	Date Design Started	SEP 2003
(b)	Percent Complete As Of January 2008	85.00
(c)	Date 35% Designed	
(d)	Date Design Complete	MAR 2008
(e)	Parametric Cost Estimating Used to Develop Costs	NO

(f) Type of Design Contract: Design-build

ι	٥)	
	ı		

1.COMPONENT					
Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky	1.COMPONENT		FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJE		DATE
Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky	ARMY				23 JAN 2008
Ammunition Demil Fac Incr IX 59801 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (Continued) A. Estimated Design Data: (Continued) (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design: NO (3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a)+(b) OR (d)+(e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications. (b) All Other Design Costs. (c) Total Design Cost (d) Contract (e) In-house. (4) Construction Contract Award. MAY 2006	3. INSTALLAT	ION AN	D LOCATION	-	
Ammunition Demil Fac Incr IX 59801 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (Continued) A. Estimated Design Data: (Continued) (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design: NO (3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a)+(b) OR (d)+(e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications. (b) All Other Design Costs. (c) Total Design Cost (d) Contract (e) In-house. (4) Construction Contract Award. MAY 2006					
Ammunition Demil Fac Incr IX 59801 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (Continued) A. Estimated Design Data: (Continued) (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design: NO (3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a)+(b) OR (d)+(e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications. (b) All Other Design Costs. (c) Total Design Cost. (d) Contract (e) In-house. (4) Construction Contract Award. APR 2006 (5) Construction Start. MAY 2006	Blue Gras	s Art	my Depot, Kentucky		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (Continued) A. Estimated Design Data: (Continued) (2) Basis:	4.PROJECT T	ITLE		5.PROJECT NUME	BR
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (Continued) A. Estimated Design Data: (Continued) (2) Basis:					
A. Estimated Design Data: (Continued) (2) Basis:	Ammunitio	n Der	mil Fac Incr IX		59801
A. Estimated Design Data: (Continued) (2) Basis:					
A. Estimated Design Data: (Continued) (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design: NO (3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a)+(b) OR (d)+(e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications. (b) All Other Design Costs. (c) Total Design Cost. (d) Contract. (e) In-house. (4) Construction Contract Award. (5) Construction Start. MAY 2006	12. SUPP	LEMEN	TTAL DATA: (Continued)		
(2) Basis:					
(a) Standard or Definitive Design: NO (3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a)+(b) OR (d)+(e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications. (b) All Other Design Costs. (c) Total Design Cost. (d) Contract. (e) In-house. (4) Construction Contract Award. APR 2006 MAY 2006	111				
(3) Total Design Cost (c) = (a)+(b) OR (d)+(e): (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications. (b) All Other Design Costs. (c) Total Design Cost. (d) Contract. (e) In-house. (4) Construction Contract Award. APR 2006 MAY 2006		(2)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	1		(a) Scandard of Delinicive Design: No		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	1	(2)	Total Degian Cost (s) (a) (b) OR (d) (s	١.	(6000)
(b) All Other Design Costs. 0 (c) Total Design Cost. 0 (d) Contract. 0 (e) In-house. APR 2006 (5) Construction Contract Award. MAY 2006		(3)	•		.,
(c) Total Design Cost 0 (d) Contract. (e) In-house. (4) Construction Contract Award. APR 2006 MAY 2006					
(d) Contract. (e) In-house					
(e) In-house In-house (4) Construction Contract Award APR 2006 (5) Construction Start MAY 2006					
(4) Construction Contract Award					
(5) Construction Start			(e) In-house		
(5) Construction Start					
		(4)	Construction Contract Award		. <u>APR 2006</u>
		(5)	Construction Start		. MAY 2006
(-)	1				
(6) Construction Completion	1	(6)	Construction Completion		. SEP 2013

B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations:

Equipment Nomenclature	Procuring Appropriation	Fiscal Year Appropriated <u>Or Requested</u>	Cost (\$000)
Process Equipment	CAMD	2004	48,000
Process Equipment	CAMD	2005	50,000
Process Equipment	CAMD	2006	82,000
Process Equipment	CAMD	2007	187,000
Process Equipment	CAMD	2008	69,000
		TOTAL	436,000

Installation Engineer: Terry Stroschein, PE

Phone Number: 256 895 1419

ı		
	1	
ı		

1.COMPONENT								2.DATE	
3.0057	FY 2	009	MILI	TARY COL	NSTRUCTION	PROJ!	ECT DATA	1	TAN 2000
ARMY 3.INSTALLATION AN	D LOCAT	ION			4 . PROJECT	TITLE	3	23	JAN 2008
Blue Grass Art	my Dep	ot							
Kentucky					Defense	Acc	ess Road	- US 25	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6.CATE	ORY CODE	7.P	ROJECT NUMBER	2	8.PROJECT	COST (\$00	00)
							Auth		000
			851		64265		Approp	12,	000
					ESTIMATES				
PRIMARY FACIL	ITEM			UM (M/E)	QUE	ANTITY		UNITCOST	COST (\$000) 12,000
Access Roads	111			LS					(12,000)
Access Roads				Б					(12,000)
SUPPORTING FAC	CILITI	<u>ES</u>							
ESTIMATED CONT	TRACT	COST							12,000
CONTINGENCY PR	ERCENT	(.00	%)						0
SUBTOTAL									12,000
SUPV, INSP & (OVERHE	AD (.	00 %)						0
TOTAL REQUEST									12,000
TOTAL REQUEST			_						12,000
INSTALLED EQT- 10.Description of Prop	-OTHER	APPRO			/Widow HC	257			(0)
improvements t				_	'Widen US		_		wt mont
of Defense (De				_				_	remene
approximately									r lanes
with turning 1	lanes	at app	ropriat	e locati	ions. The r	coad	- improvem	ents aff	ect US
25 from the in	nterse	ction	at KY 8	376 and 6	continues t	hrou	gh the i	ntersect	ion of
Pumpkin Run Ro	oad. T	he cos	t estin	nate of 8	\$12.0M incl	Ludes	the con	structio	n,
right-of-way a	acquis	ition,	utilit	y reloca	ation, engi	ineer	ing and	administ	rative
costs associat	ted wi	th the	projec	ct. Proje	ect was cer	rtifi	ed as im	portant	to
National Defe							_		
provided will						_			
responsible un	nder T	itle 2	3 USC 2	210 for a	assuring pr	roper	executi	on of th	e work.
11 PPO:		1 m	2 2000	r.	NONE	C	претъ.		1 m2
11. REQ: PROJECT: Wide	an IIC		~		none gh Pumpkin		UBSTD: Poad an	nnovimet	
mile.	5H 05	25 110	ui Ki o	/6 CHrouş	an Pumpkin	Kuii .	koau, ap	proximac	ery one
REQUIREMENT:	This	proje	ct is r	required	to insure	there	e will b	e an app	ropriate
access route									
from the Depot									
are to support									
			_						

	I		
c	χ)	
	_		
	ı		

1.COMPONENT	EV 2000	MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	DROTEC	מידים יידי	2.DATE
ARMY	FY 2009	MILITARI	CONSTRUCTION	PROJEC	I DAIA	23 JAN 2008
3.INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION					•
Blue Grass Army	Depot, Kent	cucky				
4.PROJECT TITLE				5	.PROJECT	NUMBER
Defense Access	Road - US 25	5				64265

Agent Destruction Pilot Plant (BGCAPP) facility, currently scheduled during

REQUIREMENT: (CONTINUED)

the 2008 through 2027 time frame. BGCAPP's mission is the safe destruction of the 40 year old Chemical Weapons stored at Blue Grass Army Depot (BGAD). The current highway system from Blue Grass Army Depot CURRENT SITUATION: (BGAD) to the Interstate (I-75) is not adequate to meet the DoD requirement to support BGCAPP construction and operation. BGAD utilizes this same section of US 25 to ship munitions and other defense related materials. Currently, during peak periods of vehicle traffic use on US 25; vehicles are at a stand still for a distance of more than 2 miles as measured from KY 876 toward BGAD. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The current situation will only become worse as BGCAPP construction begins. BGCAPP staffing during construction is expected to peak at approximately 900 personnel and a similar sustained staffing level is expected during operations Additionally, the US 25 route is the primary route to be used for the shipment of secondary waste materials resulting from operation of the BGCAPP facility. If this section of US 25 is not widened, the only alternative route would force BGCAPP to reroute secondary waste materials through the most populated areas of Richmond, Kentucky. Local government officials are on record as not being in favor of this option. ADDITIONAL: The project has been identified with a Program element 78083D. The estimated construction start date of June 2009 and completion date of April 2011 for roadway widening assumes funding can be provided in early FY 2009. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical Security plan, and physical security measures have been addressed. The project has been coordinated with the Program Manager for Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives (ACWA) and their contractor. Kentucky Department of Highways (KDOH) is in the process of investing \$75.2M in other adjacent road

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

- A. Estimated Design Data:
 - Status:

 - (d) Date Design Complete....
 - (e) Parametric Cost Estimating Used to Develop Costs _____NC
 - (f) Type of Design Contract:

accomplished as part of other related KDOH projects.

- (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: NO

improvements that directly support BGCAPP and Blue Grass Army Depot.

Specifically, Widen KY 52, Widen Duncannon Road and construct an interchange and create an intersection at Interstate 75 (I-75). This DAR project will be

1.COMPONENT		2.DATE
	FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJE	CT DATA
ARMY		23 JAN 2008
3. INSTALLATION AN	ID LOCATION	
D3	Barata Wantaraha	
Blue Grass Art	my Depot, Kentucky	5.PROJECT NUMBER
4.PROUBCT TITLE		S.FROOBCI NOMBER
Defense Acces	s Road - US 25	64265
12. SUPPLEME	NTAL DATA: (Continued)	
	mated Design Data: (Continued)	
(3)	Total Design Cost (c) = $(a) + (b)$ OR $(d) + (e)$	
	(a) Production of Plans and Specification	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Contract Award	
(5)	Construction Start	<u>JUN</u> 2009
(6)	Construction Completion	<u>APR 2011</u>
B. Equi	pment associated with this project which w	ill be provided from
other approp		viii be provided from
	•	Fiscal Year
Equipment	Procuring	Appropriated Cost
Nomenclati	ure Appropriation	Or Requested (\$000
	NONE	
I		

1. COMPONENT	FY	2009 MILITA	EX CONSTR	UCTION	PROGRAM			2.1	DATE
ARMY	· · ·								3 JAN 2008
								-	
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION	4.00%	MAND					5. 3	AREA CONSTRUCTION
									COST INDEX
Pueblo Chemical Dep	ot.	US Army Mat	eriel Con	mand					
Colorado		(Installati			PARAM.T	XEXRES	CON Rec	ļ	0.94
		,						1	
6. Personnel Streng	TH: PERMAN	ENT	STUDEN	TS		SUPPO	ORTED		
	OFFICER ENLI				IL OFFI			IVIL	TOTAL
A. AS OF 30 SEP 200		1 240	0	0	0	0	0	613	855
B. END FY 2013	1	1 322	0	0	0	0	0	1802	2,126
		7. 1	NVENTORY	DATA (\$	(000				
A. TOTAL AREA		9,357 ha		(23,121					
B. INVENTORY TO		-					1,71	14,770	
C. AUTHORIZATION	NOT YET IN IN	IVENTORY						21,696	
D. AUTHORIZATION	REQUESTED IN	THIS PROGRAM	1					. 0	
E. AUTHORIZATION								0	
F. PLANNED IN NE								0	
G. REMAINING DEE							1	10,456	
H. GRAND TOTAL.								16,922	
							-,	,	
8. PROJECT APPROPRI	ATIONS REQUEST	ED IN THIS P	ROGRAM:						
CATEGORY PROJECT	-					നങ	r	DESI	EN STATUS
CODE NUMBER	PF	OJECT TITLE				(\$000	0)	STAR	r complete
216 67607			r X				-		03 04/2007
								,	,
				TOTAL		65.	060		
9. FUTURE PROJECT A	PPROPRIATIONS:								
CATEGORY						ಯಟ	r		
CODE	CODE FROJECT TITLE						0)		
A. INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM (FY 2010) : NONE									
B. FLANNED NEXT	THREE PROGRAM	YEARS : NON	Œ						
C. DEFERRED SUS	TAINMENT, REST	CORATION, AND	MODERNIZ	ATION (SRM):		N/A		
10. MISSION OR MAJO	R FUNCTIONS:								
The mission of	the Pueblo Che	mical Depot	is safe a	nd secu	re stora	age, moor	nitorin	ng, anv	d destruction of
the chemical stocky	ile; and prepa	uration of de	pot closu	re.					
11. OUTSTANDING POL	LUTION AND SAF	ETY DEFICIEN	CIES:						
							(\$00	00)	
A. AIR FOLLUTION								0	
B. WATER POLLUT	TON							0	
C. OCCUPATIONAL	SAFETY AND HE	PALTH						0	
i									

1. COMPONENT	FY	2009 MILITARY COM	ETRUCTION PROG	RAM	2. DATE			
ARMY					23 JAN 2008			
3. INSTALLATION AND	TOCHTON	4. COMMAND			5. AREA CONSTRUCTION			
3. INSTAILATION AND	DOGRITON	4. COMPAND			COST INDEX			
	b		a		COST INDEX			
Blue Grass Army D	epoc	US Army Materiel						
Kentucky		(Installation Mgt	: Command - PAR	AM.TXEXREGION Re	g 0.91			
6. PERSONNEL STRE			DENTS	SUPPORTED				
	OFFICER ENLI	ST CIVIL OFFICER			IVIL TOTAL			
A. AS OF 30 SEP 2	007 4	47 708 0	0 0	16 66	491 1,332			
B. END FY 2013	18 4	31 647 0	0 0	16 66	489 1,667			
			ORY DATA (\$000)					
A. TOTAL AREA.		5,906 ha	(14,594 AC)					
B. INVENTORY T	OTAL AS OF 30 S	EEP 2007		1,0	97,366			
C. AUTHORIZATI	ON NOT YET IN IN	VENTORY		1	98,530			
D. AUTHORIZATI	ON REQUESTED IN	THIS PROGRAM			12,000			
E. AUTHORIZATI	ON INCLUDED IN F	COLLOWING PROGRAM.			0			
F. PLANNED IN	NEXT THREE YEARS	S			0			
G. REMAINING D	EPICIENCY				20,000			
H. GRAND TOTAL					27,896			
					•			
8. PROJECT APPROP	RIATIONS REQUEST	MED IN THIS PROGRAM	1:					
CATEGORY PROJE	-			COST	Design Status			
CODE NUMBE	D DD	OJECT TITLE		(\$000)	START COMPLETE			
		emil Fac Incr IX		57,218				
				-	09/2003 03/2008			
851 642	65 Defense Acce	88 Road - US 25		12,000				
			TOTAL	69,218				
9. FUTURE PROJECT	APPROPRIATIONS:							
CATEGORY				COST				
		OTDOT TITLE						
CODE PROJECT TITLE (\$000)								
A. INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM (FY 2010) : NONE								
D DESANDED ME								
B. FLANNED NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS : NONE								
c remanded o	CONTRACTOR DOOR	onagrous aug mores	ATTERMICAL (CDA)	. nr/n				
C. DEPERRED S	OSTAINMENT, REST	CORATION, AND MODES	dvization (Sem)	: N/A				
10. MISSION OR MA	- פתני דיייינודען קורד.							
		mot potinite secon	ding for the -	societ stores	igma and maintenance			
-			_		issue, and maintenance			
		-	ion support to	attached organi	zations; and operate such			
other facilities	as may be assign	iea.						
11. OUTSTANDING P	OLLUTION AND SAF	ETY DEFICIENCIES:						
				(\$0	00)			
A. AIR POLLUI	ION		0					

INSTALLATION AND LOCATION: Blue Grass Anny Depot, Kentucky		
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAPETY DEFICIENCIES: (CONTINUED) B. WATER POLLUTION C. OCCUPATIONAL SAPETY AND HEALTH	(\$000) 0 0	

FY 2009 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

2. DATE 23 JAN 2008

22 -

1. COMPONENT

ARMY