Security Lessons Learned Center

User's Guide

LA-UR-07-6254

September 2008



Welcome to the Security Lessons Learned Center

In February 2007, the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), Office of the Associate Administrator for Defense Nuclear Security, established a complex-wide Security Lessons Learned Center (SEC-LLC). Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) was selected by Defense Nuclear Security (DNS) as the site to host the center. The SEC-LLC will serve as the executive agent of DNS for the complex-wide application of the program.

The objectives of the SEC-LLC are to provide a platform for sharing innovative new tools and practices to prevent security incidents; assist security professionals in identifying security issues and implementing effective solutions; facilitate discussion about security issues and best practices; and provide tools for tracking and trending security issues.

Getting Started

The operating concept begins at the local levels where workers observe adverse outcomes, potential best practices, or applicable information gathered from external sources. Workers are the key to the success of any Lessons Learned Program. These are the individuals who are on the front lines and see the security events as they occur or have ideas of ways to prevent such events from happening.

Logging on to the SEC-LLC

From your Web browser, go to the DOE Corporate Web site at http://www.hss.energy.gov/CSA/analysis/DOEll/index.asp.

The following window appears:



You can now click on **Security Lessons Learned Center** from the menu in the left-hand column.

BUT WAIT, before you leave this page you might want to establish your "user's profile." This profile will allow you to search for lessons learned documents from the entire database and receive electronic copies of documents specific to your needs.

Establishing a User's Profile

To subscribe to the lessons learned system, sign up for an account at http://www.hss.energy.gov/csa/analysis/DOEII/reqProfile1.asp and apply for a password.

Once you have your password, you will be able to log onto the system and select which functional categories of lessons learned you wish delivered to you. You can also select daily, weekly, or monthly summaries.

NOTE: You must enter your Site Office initials in the *DOE OFFICE*. Hit continue. On the second page of this request form you have the option of tailoring what documents you want to receive and how often you want to receive them.

Continue to page three and select submit profile when done. You'll begin to receive this information at your e-mail address approximately 24 hours after you complete the request form.

Accessing the SEC-LLC Web Page

Now you're ready to move on. Let's get right into the SEC-LLC Web page. Click on the Defense Nuclear Security Lessons Learned Web site link located in the left-hand column.

The following window will appear:



You can now navigate though the SEC-LLC Web site to familiarize yourself with its capabilities.

Creating a Lessons Learned Document

Determining the Type of Document You Want to Submit

You, as the originator, must determine which of the <u>three types</u> of lessons learned documents you want to submit.

Lesson Learned - Knowledge and experience, positive or negative, derived from actual events shared to promote positive information or prevent recurrence of negative events; benefit from the experiences of others.

Best Practice – A positive example of work processes, procedures, good ideas, or solutions that "work" and are solidly grounded upon actual experience in operations, training, or exercises.

Success Story – An exemplary initiative that has shown notable achievement in its specific environment and that may provide useful information to others.

These categories promote the best methods of communicating accomplishments in security operations or avoiding recurring deficiencies. All three are variations on the same basic idea: experiential information that can inform future decision-making, job planning, and workers and supervisors in the conduct of their work activities.

7.2.2 Selecting the Template

Once you've determined what type of lessons learned document you are submitting, select the appropriate template and save it to your desktop. Attachments A through C are blank and annotated samples of each of the templates. Attachment D contains field descriptions for the various templates.

7.2.3 Completing the Template

Complete the template to the best of your ability. Remember—this is not a writing contest. The SEC-LLC will vet all submitted documents for formatting, grammar, spelling, etc., before they release the final versions.

It is also important that you complete all the requested fields to provide sufficient detail to allow a reader to understand the problem, how it was identified, and what steps have been or will be taken to correct the problem or prevent recurrence.

Standardized templates ensure consistency in reporting for purposes of analysis and that the same type of information is being shared and communicated across the DOE and NNSA complex.

You have the option of indicating whether or not you want to maintain anonymity. You can opt to have all descriptive information such as originator name and site name excluded from the published version of your lessons learned. Please be sure to indicate your choice by placing a checkmark in the "Anonymous" box if you want your document to be <u>published without site-specific information</u>. This option provides the participating sites with assurance that the originating site has no criticism or "bad press" directed at it.



caution! Submitting a Lessons Learned Document

Originator Roles and Responsibilities

- Obtain DC/Reviewing Official Approval. Please provide your lessons learned document to your local classification group or a DC for review **before** submitting it. The SEC-LLC is only accepting and publishing UNCLASSIFIED documents.
- As the originator of the document, it is your responsibility to ensure that the
 information detailed in your template is in compliance with local and
 departmental regulations for the protection of classified and unclassified
 controlled information.
- Once you've completed your document and have had your DC review it, send
 it to your site POC, who will coordinate the document through the rest of the
 process. You may be called upon for additional information or clarification along
 the way but, for now, your job is done!

Thank you for your submittal!

POC Roles and Responsibilities

- Screen site-produced lessons learned documents for applicability and readability and to **ensure DC review**.
- Submit to the SEC-LLC at sec-llc@lanl.gov.

SEC-LLC Roles and Responsibilities

- Collect lessons learned documents from the participating sites.
- Review for classification.
- Screen the documents and obtain SME review and input if needed.

- Develop the document in final format and obtain necessary approvals before releasing it.
- Communicate the information across the DOE complex.
- Track data and provide reports.

Resources

If you require assistance you can contact the SEC-LLC Security Help Desk. Support hours are Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Mountain Standard Time.

You can reach the SEC-LLC Help Desk at

- Telephone **(505) 665-0196**
- E-mail sec-Ilc@lanl.gov

You can also contact your SEC-LLC **POC.** NA-74 has identified points of contact at each participating site, and. although the POCs primarily promote the use and application of the SEC-LLC program at assigned organizational areas of responsibility, they are also a resource to you.

| SITE NAME | NAME | SITE | PHONE # | E-MAIL ADDRESS |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Albuquerque Service Center | Kathy Sumbry-Wilkins | ABQ Service Center | 505-845-4355 | ksumbry-wilkins@doeal.gov |
| Los Alamos Site Office | Diane Menapace | LASO | 505-665-3229 | dmenapace@doeal.gov |
| Lawrence Livermore Site Office | David Aron | LLNL | 925-424-3540 | dave.aron@oak.doe.gov |
| Kansas City Site Office | Anthony George | KCSO | 816-997-2747 | ageorge@kcp.com |
| Nevada Site Office | Stan McCloskey | NSO | 702-794-1788 | mccloskeys@nv.doe.gov |
| Pantex Site Office | John O'Brien | PXSO | 806-477-3197 | jobrien@pantex.doe.gov |
| Pacific Northwest National Laboratory | Bryan Avery | PNL | 509-372-6848 | bryan.avery@pnl.gov |
| BWXT Pantex | Larry Mendez | BWXT Pantex | 806-477-6541 | lmendez@pantex.com |
| BWXT Pantex | John Chavarria | BWXT Pantex | 806-477-3289 | jschavar@pantex.com |
| Sandia Site Office | Randy Kubasek | SNL | 505-845-4803 | rkubasek@doeal.gov |
| Savannah River Site Office | Diane Powell | SRSO | 803-208-1517 | diane.powell@nnsa.srs.gov |
| Washington Savannah River Company | Lee Prim | WSRC-DP | 803-208-3584 | lee.prim@srs.gov |
| Y-12 National Security | Debbie Hunter | BWXT Y-12 | 865-574-8022 | hunterdl@y12.doe.gov |

ATTACHMENT A LESSON LEARNED

UNCLASSIFIED ONLY Obtain DC Review Prior to Dissemination

LESSONS LEARNED CENTER

THE SECURITY LESSONS LEARNED CENTER (SEC-LLC) Lesson Learned Submittal Form

| Topical/ | Date: ID #: (to be completed by LLC) |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Sub Topical Area | Originator: |
| ROGRAM MANAGEMENT & SUPPORT | Site: |
| SAS PLANNING & PROCEDURES MANAGEMENT CONTROL | Publish Anonymously: Yes |
| PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT | Document Title: |
| ROTECTIVE FORCE MANAGEMENT | Facility/ Site Point of Contact: |
| ☐ TRAINING ☐ DUTIES | Derivative Classifier: |
| FACILITIES & EQUIPMENT | Reviewing Official: |
| YSICAL SECURITY ACCESS CONTROLS | |
| ☐ INTRUSION DETECTION & ASSESSMENT SYSTEMS ☐ BARRIERS & DELAY MECHANISMS | Discussion of Activities: |
| TESTING & MAINTENANCE | |
| FORMATION PROTECTION | |
| ☐ BASIC REQUIREMENTS ☐ TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE | |
| COUNTERMEASURES OPERATIONS SECURITY | Lesson Learned Summary: |
| CLASSIFICATION GUIDANCE CLASSIFIED MATTER PROTECTION & | |
| CONTROL | |
| BER SECURITY CLASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY | |
| ☐ TELECOMMUNICATIONS SECURITY ☐ UNCLASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY | Analysis: |
| ERSONNEL SECURITY PROGRAM | |
| ☐ ACCESS AUTHORIZATION ☐ HUMAN RELIABILITY PROGRAM ☐ CONTROL OF CLASSIFIED VISITS | |
| SAFEGUARDS & SECURITY AWARENESS | |
| ICLASSIFIED VISITS & ASSIGNMENTS BY FOREIGN NATIONALS | Recommended Actions: |
| SPONSOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & | |
| COUNTERINTELLIGENCE REQUIREMENTS | <u> </u> |
| EXPORT CONTROLS/ TECH TRANSFER REQUIREMENTS | Estimated Savings / Cost Avoidance: |
| SECURITY REQUIREMENTS APPROVALS & REPORTING | |
| JCLEAR MATERIALS CONTROL & | |
| ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION | 950 90 |
| MATERIALS ACCOUNTABILITY | Keywords: |
| MATERIALS CONTROL | |
| | |

UNCLASSIFIED ONLY Obtain DC Review Prior to Dissemination



THE SECURITY LESSONS LEARNED CENTER (SEC-LLC) Lesson Learned Submittal Form

| Topical/ | Date: 7/30/2007 | ID #. (to be completed by LLC) XXXX | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Sub Topical Area | Originator: Jan Penny, Wachen | hut REOP Coordinator | |
| PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & SUPPORT PROTECTION PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | Site: NVO / Nevada | | |
| ■ S&S PLANNING & PROCEDURES | Publish Anonymously: | Yes | |
| MANAGEMENT CONTROL PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT | Title: Carbon Monoxide Exposure i | n Armored Badger Security Vehicle | |
| PROTECTIVE FORCE | Facility/ Site Point of Contact: | NVO/ Stan McCloskey | |
| MANAGEMENT TRAINING | Derivative Classifier: | Jan Penny | |
| ☐ DUTIES ☐ FACILITIES & EQUIPMENT | Reviewing Official: | Mark Hojnacke | |
| PHYSICAL SECURITY ACCESS CONTROLS INTRUSION DETECTION & ASSESSMENT | Discussion of Activities: | | |
| SYSTEMS BARRIERS & DELAY MECHANISMS TESTING & MAINTENANCE COMMUNICATIONS IFORMATION PROTECTION BASIC REQUIREMENTS TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE COUNTERMEASURES OPERATIONS SECURITY CLASSIFICATION GUIDANCE CLASSIFICATION GUIDANCE CONTROL | the members of the unit had become ill will The vehicle engine had been turned off ea fumes. When supervision arrived the SPO rescue medical personnel were called and their vital signs were monitored. The SPO Fire and Rescue medical personnel, and t urther treatment and evaluation. When ex January 20, the SPOs were treated for cal 48 hours. All were re-examined by medical | Police Officer (SPO) contacted supervision to report inlie sitting in their armored Badger security vehicle. arlier, when the SPOs thought they detected exhaust so were outside the vehicle and were ill. Fire and d responded. The SPOs were placed on oxygen and so were examined and treated by the Nevada Test Site then transported by ambulance to a local hospital for flamined by medical persl onnel at the hospital on thon monoxide exposure and were taken off work for all personnel on January 22, 2007 and returned to full mentation received from the hospital confirmed that all xide. | |
| YBER SECURITY CLASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY TELECOMMUNICATIONS SECURITY UNCLASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY | | ets and danger of carbon monoxide while operating for medical personnel and supervision and seek fresh | |
| ERSONNEL SECURITY PROGRAM | air. | to medical personnel and supervision and seek nest | |
| □ ACCESS AUTHORIZATION | | | |
| HUMAN RELIABILITY PROGRAM CONTROL OF CLASSIFIED VISITS | Analysis: | | |
| SAFEGUARDS & SECURITY AWARENESS UNCLASSIFIED VISITS & ASSIGNMENTS BY FOREIGN NATIONALS SPONSOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & ADMIN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE | the exhaust smell became over-powering the vehicle with the engine off for approxing monoxide detector, when field checked im | is operated continuously from the start of the shift until and the engine was shut off. The SPOs remained in mately 1 hour until they became ill. The carbon imediately after the incident did not perform within conducted a diagnostic check and the monitor did not c. | |
| REQUIREMENTS EXPORT CONTROLS/ TECH TRANSFER REQUIREMENTS | Recommended Actions: | | |
| SECURITY REQUIREMENTS APPROVALS & REPORTING SUCLEAR MATERIALS CONTROL & ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION MATERIALS ACCOUNTABILITY MATERIALS CONTROL | After the initial occurrence on January 20, 2007, the armored Badger security vehicle was remot ved from service and tagged out-of-service. All remaining Badgers were inspected to ensure similar conditions did not exist with those vehicles. No similar defects were discovered. Due to the age of these type vehicles, safety personnel had previously placed hand-held monitors inside the crew compartments of these vehicles to detect elevated levels of carbon monoxide. The Wackenhut Services General Manager directed that the remaining gasoline powered Badgers be taken out-of-service and processed as excess equipment. Additionally, the General Manager directed that the monitor be returned to the manufacturer for a determination of functionality. | | |
| - 1 | Estimated Savings / Cost Avoida | ance: | |
| - 1 | Keywords: | | |
| | CARRON MONOYIDE ARMORED RADO | SED SECURITY VEHICLE | |

ATTACHMENT B BEST PRACTICE

UNCLASSIFIED ONLY Obtain DC Review Prior to Dissemination

LESSONS LEARNED CENTER

THE SECURITY LESSONS LEARNED CENTER (SEC-LLC) **Best Practice Submittal Form**

| Topical/ | Date: ID #: (to be completed by LLC) |
|--|---|
| Sub Topical Area | Originator: |
| AM MANAGEMENT & SUPPORT ROTECTION PROGRAM MANAGEMENT &S PLANNING & PROCEDURES MANAGEMENT CONTROL | Site: Publish Anonymously: Yes |
| PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT | Facility/ Site Point of Contact: |
| CTIVE FORCE MANAGEMENT | Document Title: |
| RAINING UTIES ACILITIES & EQUIPMENT | Derivative Classifier: |
| L SECURITY | Reviewing Official: |
| CESS CONTROLS RUSION DETECTION & ASSESSMENT | |
| STEMS STEMS & DELAY MECHANISMS STING & MAINTENANCE | Brief Description of Best Practice: |
| TION PROTECTION SIC REQUIREMENTS | |
| ECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE COUNTERMEASURES PERATIONS SECURITY | Why the Best Practice was used: |
| ASSIFICATION GUIDANCE ASSIFIED MATTER PROTECTION & DITROL | |
| ECURITY ASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY LECOMMUNICATIONS SECURITY ICLASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY | What are the benefits of the Best Practice: |
| NEL SECURITY PROGRAM CESS AUTHORIZATION MAN RELIABILITY PROGRAM | |
| ONTROL OF CLASSIFIED VISITS AFEGUARDS & SECURITY AWARENESS | What problems/ issues were associated with the Best Practice: |
| BIFIED VISITS & ASSIGNMENTS BY OREIGN NATIONALS ONSOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & DMIN | |
| DUINTERINTELLIGENCE REQUIREMENTS RPORT CONTROLS/ TECH TRANSFER | Description of the process/ activity using the Best Practice: |
| COURTY REQUIREMENTS PROVALS & REPORTING | bescription of the process, activity using the best ractice. |
| R MATERIALS CONTROL 8 CCOUNTABILITY ROGRAM ADMINISTRATION | Estimated Savings/ Cost Avoidance: |
| ATERIALS ACCOUNTABILITY ATERIALS CONTROL | |
| | Keywords: |

UNCLASSIFIED ONLY Obtain DC Review Prior to Dissemination



THE SECURITY LESSONS LEARNED CENTER (SEC-LLC) Best Practice Submittal Form

| Topical/ | Date: | 8/9/2007 | ID #: (to be completed by LLC) XXXX | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Sub Topical Area | Originator: | Bethany J. Rendel | l, SEC-SIS2, Los Alamos National Laboratory | |
| RAM MANAGEMENT & SUPPORT | Site: | Los Alamos Nat | tional Laboratory | |
| PROTECTION PROGRAM MANAGEMENT S&S PLANNING & PROCEDURES MANAGEMENT CONTROL | Publish A | nonymously: | ☐ Yes | |
| ROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT | Facility/ Site | Point of Contact | :: LANL/ Diane Menapace | |
| E FORCE | Title: Tips fo | or Preventing Pote | ntial Unauthorized Disclosures | |
| MENT G | Derivative CI | | Jason Lujan | |
| QUIPMENT | Reviewing O | fficial: | Jason Lujan | |
| ROLS | | | | |
| ETECTION & ASSESSMENT DELAY MECHANISMS AINTENANCE | Brief Descrip | otion of Best Pra | ctice: | |
| NS CTION MENTS EVEILLANCE | | | serious security concern, but here are som g the likelihhod of a potential unauthorized | |
| EASURES S SECURITY | Why the Bes | t Practice was u | sed: | |
| ION GUIDANCE MATTER PROTECTION & | To prevent the occurrence of an unauthorized disclosure. | | | |
| YBER SECURITY IICATIONS SECURITY | What are the benefits of the Best Practice: | | | |
| CYBER SECURITY TY PROGRAM | Improved security awareness among workers regarding the proper handling of documents and a diminished likelihood of an authorized disclosure. | | | |
| ORIZATION ILITY PROGRAM LASSIFIED VISITS | | | | |
| ARDS & SECURITY AWARENESS | What proble | ns/ issues were | associated with the Best Practice: | |
| SITS & ASSIGNMENTS BY NATIONALS PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & | A potential una | authorized disclosu | re from the mishandling of waste paper. | |
| IGENCE | | 800000 000 | 529 to 81 8220 1.03 14444 5F4 | |
| ENTS NTROLS/TECH TRANSFER | Description | of the process/ a | ctivity using the Best Practice: | |
| S JIREMENTS EPORTING LS CONTROL & | Protect the information you are processing by having an ADC review your documents. Know what kind of information is contained in documents you intend to discard: shred them or seal them in a burn box. Ask a coworker to visually verify each piece of paper in your deskside recycling bin before the | | | |
| TABILITY MADMINISTRATION | contents are re | emoved and transf | erred to the recycling center. | |
| S ACCOUNTABILITY S CONTROL | Estimated Sa | Estimated Savings/ Cost Avoidance: | | |
| 7777 | n/a | | | |
| | Keywords: | | | |
| | n/a | | | |

ATTACHMENT C SUCCESS STORY

UNCLASSIFIED ONLY Obtain DC Review Prior to Dissemination



THE SECURITY LESSONS LEARNED CENTER (SEC-LLC) Success Story Submittal Form

| Topical/ | Date: ID #: (to be completed by LLC) |
|--|---|
| Sub Topical Area | Originator: |
| PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & SUPPORT | Site: |
| Sas Planning a Procedures | Publish Anonymously: Yes |
| MANAGEMENT CONTROL ☐ PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT | Document Title: |
| PROTECTIVE FORCE | |
| ☐ MANAGEMENT ☐ TRAINING | Facility/ Site Point of Contact: |
| ☐ DUTIES ☐ FACILITIES & EQUIPMENT | Derivative Classifier: |
| PHYSICAL SECURITY | Reviewing Official: |
| ACCESS CONTROLS NTRUSION DETECTION & ASSESSMENT | |
| SYSTEMS | Overview of Success Story: |
| ☐ BARRIERS & DELAY MECHANISMS ☐ TESTING & MAINTENANCE | S to the state of |
| COMMUNICATIONS | |
| NFORMATION PROTECTION BASIC REQUIREMENTS | |
| TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE COUNTERMEASURES | |
| □ OPERATIONS SECURITY | Challenge: |
| ☐ CLASSIFICATION GUIDANCE ☐ CLASSIFIED MATTER PROTECTION & | |
| CONTROL | |
| CYBER SECURITY CLASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY | |
| ☐ TELECOMMUNICATIONS SECURITY | Solution: |
| ☐ UNCLASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY | |
| PERSONNEL SECURITY PROGRAM CONTROL CO | |
| ☐ HUMAN RELIABILITY PROGRAM ☐ CONTROL OF CLASSIFIED VISITS | |
| SAFEGUARDS & SECURITY AWARENESS | |
| UNCLASSIFIED VISITS & ASSIGNMENTS BY | Results: |
| FOREIGN NATIONALS SPONSOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & | |
| ADMIN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE | |
| REQUIREMENTS | |
| EXPORT CONTROLS/ TECH TRANSFER REQUIREMENTS | Estimated Savings / Cost Avoidance: |
| SECURITY REQUIREMENTS APPROVALS & REPORTING | |
| NUCLEAR MATERIALS CONTROL & | |
| ACCOUNTABILITY | |
| ☐ PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION ☐ MATERIALS ACCOUNTABILITY | Keywords: |
| MATERIALS CONTROL | |
| | |

UNCLASSIFIED ONLY Obtain DC Review Prior to Dissemination



THE SECURITY LESSONS LEARNED CENTER (SEC-LLC) Success Story Submittal Form

| Topical/ | Date: 8/13/2007 ID #: (to be completed by LLC) XXX | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Sub Topical Area | Originator: Bethany J. Rendell, SEC-SIS2, Los Alamos National Laboratory | | |
| PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & SUPPORT PROTECTION PROGRAM MANAGEMENT \$\@ \text{PROCEDURES} \\ MANAGEMENT \\ DITURNING & PROCEDURES \\ MANAGEMENT \\ DITURNING \\ DUTIES \\ FACILITIES & EQUIPMENT \\ PHYSICAL SECURITY \\ ACCESS CONTROLS \\ INTRUSION DETECTION & ASSESSMENT \\ SYSTEMS \\ BARRIERS & DELAY MECHANISMS | Site: Los Alamos National Laboratory Publish Anonymously: Yes Document Title: Foreign National Badging Facility/ Site Point of Contact: LANL/ Diane Menapace Derivative Classifier: Dave Smith Reviewing Official: Dave Smith | | |
| TESTING & MAINTENANCE COMMUNICATIONS | Overview of Success Story: | | |
| INFORMATION PROTECTION BASIC REQUIREMENTS TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE COUNTERMEASURES OPERATIONS SECURITY | Citizenship verification for uncleared employees plays an important role in ensuring that access authorization is properly assigned to the appropriate personnel. | | |
| CLASSIFICATION GUIDANCE CLASSIFIED MATTER PROTECTION & CONTROL | Challenge: | | |
| CYBER SECURITY CLASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY TELECOMMUNICATIONS SECURITY UNCLASSIFIED CYBER SECURITY | In the past when employees received badges at the badge office citizenship verification was conducted only through a verbal interview and proper documentation proving citizenship was not reviewed by badging personnel. | | |
| PERSONNEL SECURITY PROGRAM ☐ ACCESS AUTHORIZATION ☐ HUMAN RELIABILITY PROGRAM | Solution: | | |
| CONTROL OF CLASSIFIED VISITS SAFEGUARDS & SECURITY AWARENESS UNCLASSIFIED VISITS & ASSIGNMENTS BY FOREIGN NATIONALS SPONSOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & ADMIN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE REQUIREMENTS EXPORT CONTROLS/TECH TRANSFER | A special procedure to check the citizenship of all uncleared badge holders was developed and implemented to prevent improper badging and determine which employees had been improperly badged in the past. Results: | | |
| REQUIREMENTS SCURITY REQUIREMENTS APPROVALS & REPORTING | Two foreign national employees who had been badged as uncleared US citizens were discovered and their badges were revoked. | | |
| NUCLEAR MATERIALS CONTROL & ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION MATERIALS ACCOUNTABILITY MATERIALS CONTROL | Estimated Savings / Cost Avoidance: | | |
| | Keywords: | | |
| | n/a | | |

ATTACHMENT D

LESSONS LEARNED TEMPLATE—FIELD DESCRIPTIONS

| UNIVERSAL TO ALL | |
|---|--|
| Date | Date the document was prepared. |
| Originator | Name of the individual preparing the document. |
| Site | Name of the site where the document originated. (Drop-Down Menu) |
| Site POC | Name of the site POC. (Drop-Down Menu) |
| Title | Title of the document – Something that best describes the content of the document. |
| ID# | Unique identification number – Assigned by the SEC-LLC. |
| Anonymous | Indicate "YES," if you want the published document NOT to identify you/your site. |
| Topical Area | S&S Program Topical Areas (8) (Check Box). |
| Subtopical Area | S&S Program Subtopical Areas (33) (Check Box). |
| Keyword/Detail Area | Word(s) used to convey related concepts or topics to assist in sorting and locating |
| | specific information (includes detail of subtopical areas). |
| Derivative Classifier | Name of individual who determined that the document did not contain classified information. |
| Reviewing Official | Name of individual who determined that the document did not contain UCNI. |
| Estimated Savings/Cost | An estimate of the savings or costs avoidance if the "practice" was implemented. |
| Avoidance | Art estimate of the savings of costs avoidance if the practice was implemented. |
| LESSON LEARNED | |
| Discussion of Activities | Brief discussion focused on the facts that resulted in the initiation of the lesson learned. |
| Lesson Learned Summary | Executive summary focusing on knowledge gained from the lesson learned. Sufficient detail to allow a reader to understand what the problem is/was, how it was identified, and what steps have/will be taken to correct the problem and prevent recurrence. |
| Analysis | Results of any analysis that was performed, if available. |
| Recommended Actions | Description of management-approved actions that were taken or will be taken to promote implementation of work enhancements or to prevent recurrence. Focus on actionable recommendations (i.e., the change resulting from the lesson) rather than reminders. |
| BEST PRACTICE | |
| Brief Description of Best Practice | Short "abstract-like" description of the best practice. |
| Why the Best Practice was used | Describe the issue/improvement opportunity the best practice was developed to address. |
| What are the benefits of the Best Practice | Describe the benefits from implementing the best practice. |
| What problems/issues were associated with the best practice | Describe the problems/issues experienced when the best practice was first used that, if avoided, would make the deployment easier the next time. |
| Description of the process/activity using the Best Practice | Describe the process/activity of the best practices focusing on the evolution of its development, end-user experience, and the role the practice plays in the ISSM. |
| SUCCESS STORY | |
| Overview of Success Story | Provide a short overview of the situation "before" the success. |
| Challenge | Describe the challenges associated with the situation. |
| Solution | Describe what was done to resolve or improve the situation. |
| Results | Describe the end result/benefits of the success. |

Customer Satisfaction Feedback

The SEC-LLC Web page offers a link for users to provide feedback to help improve the quality, usability, or timeliness of the SEC-LLC program. Provide feedback to the SEC-LLC at

- Telephone (505) 665-0196
- E-mail sec-IIc@lanl.gov
- Web Address www.dns-lessons.lanl.gov