

Appendix G
Post Final EIS Correspondence from the USFWS* and NMFS

E-mail correspondence from USFWS to FAA, June 19, 2006

E-mail correspondence from FAA to USFWS, June 19, 2006

*** See Appendix D of the Final EIS for additional correspondence on this issue.**

E-mail correspondence from NMFS to FAA, March 13, 2006

Subject
Re: Panama City EIS - Potential
Redevelopment of the Existing
Airport Site

Thanks for the information. I think you could delete "formal" from that sentence. In any case, I don't anticipate any listed species issues at the existing airport site. I'm very familiar with the property.

Jackie.Sweatt-Ess
ick@faa.gov

06/19/2006 11:41
AM

<Hildreth_cooper@fws.gov>

To

cc

Subject

Panama City EIS - Potential
Redevelopment of the Existing
Airport Site

Hildreth:

I just wanted to follow-up with you regarding the above referenced issue concerning the potential redevelopment of the Existing Airport Site. The FAA has determined that **formal** consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is not appropriate or required at this time due to the fact that final redevelopment plans for the existing Panama City airport have not been selected or approved by local officials. Any analysis of potential adverse impacts to federally-listed species resulting from redevelopment of the existing airport site at this time would be premature and a waste of your staff resources and the FAA's, particularly considering that more reliable information will be available before FAA takes any further Federal action(s) necessary for reuse of the existing airport site.

Jackie

Jackie Sweatt-Essick
Environmental Program Manager
Southern Region Airports Division
(404) 305-6726
(404) 305-6730 (fax)

Young, Calah

From: Virginia.Lane@faa.gov
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2006 8:45 AM
To: Young, Calah; Wilson, Debbie (Calevich)
Subject: Fw: Panama City EIS - Potential Redevelopment of the Existing Airport Site

Please see that this goes in AF. Thanks.

Virginia Lane, A.I.C.P.
Federal Aviation Administration
Orlando Airports District Office
5950 Hazeltine National Drive
Orlando, FL 32822
Tel: 407/812/6331 Ext. 129
Fax: 407/812/6978

----- Forwarded by Virginia Lane/ASO/FAA on 06/26/2006 08:44 AM -----

Jackie
Sweatt-Essick/ASO
/FAA
ASO-610, Planning
& Development

06/20/2006 08:17
AM

Virginia Lane/ASO/FAA@FAA,
debbie.wilson@kimley-horn.com,
Derek Stotts/ASO/FAA@FAA, Gail
Orendorff/AWA/FAA@FAA, Frank
Smigelski/AWA/FAA@FAA

To

cc

Subject

Fw: Panama City EIS - Potential
Redevelopment of the Existing
Airport Site

Here is the response that I received from Hildreth to my email.

Jackie

Jackie Sweatt-Essick
Environmental Program Manager
Southern Region Airports Division
(404) 305-6726
(404) 305-6730 (fax)

----- Forwarded by Jackie Sweatt-Essick/ASO/FAA on 06/20/2006 08:16 AM

Hildreth_Cooper@f
ws.gov

06/19/2006 03:39
PM

Jackie Sweatt-Essick/ASO/FAA@FAA

TO

cc

Young, Calah

From: Wilson, Debbie (Calevich)
Sent: Sunday, September 10, 2006 9:53 AM
To: Young, Calah
Subject: FW: Proposed Relocation of Panama City Bay County International Airport and Disposal of Airport Property

Attachments: ric.ruebsamen.vcf



ric.ruebsamen.vcf
(242 B)

-----Original Message-----

From: Ric Ruebsamen [mailto:ric.ruebsamen@noaa.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 13, 2006 8:55 AM
To: Virginia.Lane@faa.gov
Cc: Jackie.Sweatt-Essick@faa.gov; Wilson, Debbie (Calevich); Mark.Thompson@noaa.gov; miles.croom
Subject: Re: Proposed Relocation of Panama City Bay County International Airport and Disposal of Airport Property

Virginia, future release of the airport property would not require EFH consultation unless there are development conditions attached to the release which would require "on the ground" activities that may adversely affect EFH. Simple release (a title transfer type of activity) by itself should not impact EFH and, thus, would not trigger the Magnuson-Stevens Act consultation requirement. On the other hand, after release, if the new owner of the property pursues activities which may adversely affect EFH and require federal permits or other federal approvals or funding, the agency(s) responsible for those actions would be required to consult.

Of course my comments on the matter are advisory, since it is FAA's responsibility to make the initial determination of whether disposal of the airport property requires EFH consultation. If this is confusing in any way, let me know and we can discuss or correspond further.

Virginia.Lane@faa.gov wrote:

>
>
>Ric,

>As discussed with you yesterday, we are currently preparing a Final EIS
>for the Proposed Relocation of the Panama City Bay County
>International Airport. Following issuance of the Draft EIS, the
>Airport Sponsor issued a request for proposals (RFP) for the sale of
>the existing airport property, and as part of this RFP prepared
>a report which included conceptual development plans for
>redevelopment. The FAA is analyzing these conceptual development
>plans and will be disclosing any potential effects of the redevelopment in the Final
>EIS.
>
>If the Airport Sponsor's Proposed Project is determined to be
>FAA's preferred alternative, we would at the appropriate time in the
>future take a federal action which will allow the airport to release
>or dispose of the existing airport to a third party for redevelopment
>

>Could you please provide us with your input or guidance regarding
>future responsibilities for EFH consultation and FAA's role. Thank
>you and we appreciate you assistance.

>

>Virginia Lane, A.I.C.P.

>Federal Aviation Administration

>Orlando Airports District Office

>5950 Hazeltine National Drive

>Orlando, FL 32822

>Tel: 407/812/6331 Ext. 129

>Fax: 407/812/6978

>

>