


# Final Environmental Impact Statement

## for the Revision of the Resource Management Plans of the Western Oregon Bureau of Land Management

Salem, Eugene, Roseburg, Coos Bay, and Medford Districts, and the Klamath Falls Resource Area of the Lakeview District

Volume II



As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes fostering the wisest use of our land and water resources, protecting our fish and wildlife, preserving the environmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical places, and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The Department assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to assure that their development is in the best interest of all our people. The Department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and for people who live in Island Territories under U.S. administration.



# Table of Contents - Volume II

**Chapter 4 - Environmental Consequences** ..... 473

**Summary of Major Changes from Chapter 4 of the Draft EIS/Draft RMP** ..... 475

**Introduction** ..... 479

        Analytical Assumptions ..... 479

        Analytical Methodologies and Models ..... 481

        Geographic Information System Data ..... 483

        Reference Analysis ..... 484

        Scope of the Analysis ..... 484

        Direct and Indirect Effects ..... 485

        Cumulative Effects ..... 485

        Spatial and Temporal Scales of Analysis ..... 488

        Potential Changes in Conditions Not Incorporated into the Analysis ..... 488

        Incomplete or Unavailable Information ..... 493

        Irreversible or Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources ..... 495

        Adverse Effects That Cannot be Avoided ..... 495

        Mitigation ..... 495

        Estimated Management Activity for the First 10 Years ..... 497

**Forest Structure and Spatial Pattern** ..... 501

        Forest Structure and Spatial Pattern on the BLM-Administered Lands across the Planning Area ..... 502

        Forest Structure and Spatial Pattern on the BLM- Administered Lands by Land Use Allocation ..... 517

        Forest Structure and Spatial Pattern on the BLM- Administered Lands at the Province Scale ..... 519

        Reference Analyses ..... 531

        Forest Structure and Spatial Pattern across All Ownerships ..... 532

**Carbon Storage** ..... 537

**Socioeconomics** ..... 545

        Introduction ..... 545

        Payments to the Counties ..... 547

        Employment and Income ..... 550

        Community Well-Being ..... 563

        The BLM Budget ..... 563

        Present Net Value of the Timber Program ..... 564

**Environmental Justice** ..... 567

**Timber** ..... 571

        Timber Harvest Levels ..... 571

        Harvest Land Base ..... 583

        Value of the Harvest ..... 583

        Type of Harvest ..... 588

        Forest Inventory and Forest Stand Conditions ..... 599

**Special Forest Products** ..... 605

**Botany** ..... 609

        BLM Sensitive Species ..... 611

        Effects of Land Management Activities ..... 612

        National Landscape Conservation System and Areas of Critical Environmental Concerns ..... 621

        Biological Factors and Risk to Species from Management ..... 622

        Projected Occurrences and Occupied Habitat ..... 624


**Invasive Plants** ..... 627

        Inadvertent Introduction of Invasive Plant Species ..... 627

        Long-Term Introduction and Spread of Invasive Plant Species and Summary ..... 640

**Wildlife** ..... 643

        Northern Spotted Owl ..... 644



	Marbled Murrelet . . . . .	684
	Deer and Elk . . . . .	697
	Elk . . . . .	705
	Bald Eagle . . . . .	710
	Fisher . . . . .	713
	Land Birds . . . . .	721
	Nonforest Habitat . . . . .	735
	Land Bird Habitat on Eastside Management Lands . . . . .	735
	Legacy Components . . . . .	736
	Snags . . . . .	736
	Down Wood . . . . .	741
	Green Tree Retention . . . . .	742
	Western Snowy Plover . . . . .	743
	Sage Grouse . . . . .	744
	Special Status Species . . . . .	746
<b>Water</b>	. . . . .	753
	Peak Water Flow . . . . .	753
	Water Quality . . . . .	759
<b>Fish</b>	. . . . .	779
	Large Wood . . . . .	779
	Small Wood . . . . .	790
	Large Wood Contribution Across All Ownerships, By Province . . . . .	794
	Nutrient Input . . . . .	797
	Fine Sediment Delivery . . . . .	799
	Peak Flows . . . . .	800
	Stream Temperature . . . . .	801
	Fish Productivity . . . . .	801
	Aquatic Restoration . . . . .	802
<b>Fire and Fuels</b>	. . . . .	805
	Fire Regime Condition Class . . . . .	807
	Fire Severity and Hazard in the North . . . . .	808
	Fire Severity, Hazard, and Resiliency in the South . . . . .	808
<b>Air</b>	. . . . .	813
<b>Recreation</b>	. . . . .	817
<b>Wilderness Characteristics</b>	. . . . .	827
<b>Visual Resources</b>	. . . . .	831
<b>National Landscape Conservation System</b>	. . . . .	835
<b>Soils</b>	. . . . .	837
<b>Grazing</b>	. . . . .	843
<b>Wild Horses</b>	. . . . .	849
<b>Areas of Critical Environmental Concern – Relevant and Important Values</b>	. . . . .	853
<b>Cultural Resources</b>	. . . . .	855
<b>Energy and Minerals</b>	. . . . .	860
	Oil and Gas . . . . .	860
	Quarries . . . . .	860
	Mining Claims . . . . .	860
	Coal Bed Methane . . . . .	861
	Biomass . . . . .	861



<b>Chapter 5 Coordination, Monitoring, and Use of the Plan</b> .....	863
<b>Introduction</b> .....	864
<b>Public Contact, Scoping, and Review of the DEIS</b> .....	864
<b>Protest Process</b> .....	865
<b>Relationship of the Proposed Resource Management Plan to Other Agency Plans and Programs</b> .....	865
Water Quality Management Planning .....	867
Regional Interagency Executive Committee .....	869
<b>Section 7 Consultation Under the Endangered Species Act</b> .....	869
<b>Preparers</b> .....	871
Steering Committee .....	871
Key Project Staff .....	871
Interdisciplinary Team and EIS Team .....	871
The Science Program Supporting the RMP Revision .....	877
Vegetation Modeling Team Members .....	878
<b>Monitoring</b> .....	880
Monitoring Plan for the Proposed Resource Management Plan .....	880
Other Monitoring .....	881
Plan Evaluations .....	881
<b>Adaptive Management</b> .....	881
<b>Monitoring Questions</b> .....	883
Late-Successional Management Area .....	883
Riparian Management Area .....	884
Eastside Forest Management Area .....	886
Uneven-Age Management Area .....	886
Deferred Timber Management Area .....	886
Timber Management Area and Uneven-Age Management Area .....	886
Timber Management Area .....	887
Air .....	887
Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and Research Natural Areas .....	887
Botany .....	887
Cultural and Paleontological Resources Including American Indian Traditional Uses .....	888
Energy and Minerals .....	888
Fire and Fuels Management .....	889
Grazing .....	889
Hazardous Materials .....	889
Lands, Realty, Access, and Transportation .....	890
Recreation .....	890
Visual Resource Management .....	890
Wild Horses .....	890
Wilderness Characteristics .....	891
Wild and Scenic Rivers .....	891
Wildlife .....	891
<b>Program Reporting Items</b> .....	892
Late-Successional Management Area .....	892
Riparian Management Area .....	892
Eastside Forest Management Area .....	893
Uneven-Age Management Area .....	893
Timber Management Area .....	893
Botany .....	893
Invasive Plants .....	893
Energy and Minerals .....	893
Fire and Fuels Management .....	893
Grazing .....	893



Socioeconomic .....	894
Recreation .....	894
Research .....	894
Special Forest Products .....	894
Soils .....	894
Wildlife .....	895
<b>Guidance for Use of the Completed Resource Management Plans .....</b>	<b>895</b>
Requirement for Further Environmental Analysis .....	895
Valid Existing Rights .....	897
Management of Newly Acquired Lands .....	897
<b>Acronyms .....</b>	<b>899</b>
<b>Glossary .....</b>	<b>901</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>923</b>
<b>Index .....</b>	<b>987</b>
Symbols .....	987

## Tables

4-1	Estimated first decade levels of timber management activity by alternative .....	498
4-2	Estimated first decade levels of timber management activity by district under the PRMP .....	499
4-3	Estimated first decade levels of non-timber management activity by alternative .....	500
4-4	Structural stage abundances by percentage of the BLM-administered forested lands by alternative .....	504
4-5	Outcome of existing old forest by 2106 by alternative .....	516
4-6	Total carbon storage by alternative .....	538
4-7	Distribution of harvest by harvesting type and percentage of large peeler-grade logs for the first decade .....	547
4-8	Estimated annual payments to the counties for the first 10 years .....	548
4-9	Comparison of 2005 Secure Rural Schools payments to annual payments to individual counties under the alternatives .....	549
4-10	Sources of economic effects by alternative .....	550
4-11	Changes in employment by county and sector, No Action Alternative .....	552
4-12	Changes in employment by county and sector, Alternative 1 .....	553
4-13	Changes in employment by county and sector, Alternative 2 .....	554
4-14	Changes in employment by county and sector, Alternative 3 .....	555
4-15	Changes in employment by county and sector, PRMP .....	556
4-16	Total economic impacts associated with BLM timber harvests by alternative .....	557
4-17	Counties in which the alternatives would compensate for other job losses .....	558
4-18	Counties in which the alternatives would not compensate for other job losses .....	559
4-19	Wood products counties with gains concentrated in sawmills .....	560
4-20	Counties losing more than \$10 million per year in Secure Rural Schools payments .....	561
4-21	County plywood output contraction by alternative .....	561
4-22	BLM budget .....	563
4-23	Annual expenditures for silviculture for the first 10 years by district and alternative .....	564
4-24	Revenues and costs for the first 10 years and the present net value over 50 years by alternative .....	565
4-25	Current composition of minority and low-income populations of the counties within the planning area compared to the state of Oregon .....	569
4-26	Allowable sale quantity by district and alternative .....	573
4-27	Allowable sale quantity for Reference Analysis: Manage most commercial forest lands for timber production .....	574
4-28	Annual nonharvest land base volume for the first decade .....	576
4-29	Annual Eastside Management Lands volume for the first decade .....	577



4-30 Total annual volume by district for the first decade .....578

4-31 Total harvest volume by decade and alternative (first eight decades) .....579

4-32 Total volume for all alternatives and reference analysis .....582

4-33 Estimated annual acres by harvest type over the first decade .....589

4-34 First decade acres harvested by age group in the harvest and nonharvest land base under the No Action Alternative .591

4-35 First decade acres harvested by age group in the harvest and nonharvest land base under Alternative 1 .....591

4-36 First decade acres harvested by age group in the harvest and nonharvest land base under Alternative 2 .....593

4-37 First decade acres harvested by age group in the harvest and nonharvest land base under Alternative 3 .....593

4-38 First decade acres harvested by age group in the harvest and nonharvest land base under the PRMP.....594

4-39 Response of special forest products and acres of forest management activity and mature & structurally  
complex forest by alternative in the year 2016 .....607

4-40 Federally listed and candidate plant species in the planning area .....610

4-41 Forest management activities over the next 10 years that affect special status species plant occurrences. ....612

4-42 Projected occurrences that would be affected by forest management over the next 10 years .....625

4-43 Projected BLM sensitive plant and fungi species occurrences and occupied habitat by district. ....625

4-44 Susceptibility comparison for the introduction of invasive plant species that are associated with timber  
harvesting in the fifth-field watershed across the alternatives over the next 10 years .....628

4-45 Matrix to determine the relative risk for the introduction of invasive plant species that are associated with timber  
harvesting activities over the next 10 years .....630

4-46 Risk comparison for introduction of invasive plant species associated with timber harvesting in the fifth-field  
watersheds across the alternatives over the next 10 years .....633

4-47 Susceptibility comparison for the introduction of invasive plant species into riparian habitats that are  
associated with timber harvesting in the fifth-field watersheds over the next 10 years .....634

4-48 Risk comparison for the introduction of invasive plant species into riparian habitats associated with timber  
harvesting the fifth-field watersheds across the alternatives over the next 10 years .....635

4-49 Risk comparison for the introduction of invasive plant species associated with new road construction by  
fifth-field watershed over the next 10 years .....638

4-50 Relative risk of long and short-term introduction and spread of invasive plant species by analysis factor. ....641

4-51 Percent Change By Alternative Between 2006 And 2056, And Between 2006 And 2106, In The Acres  
Of Northern Spotted Owl Suitable Habitat With Low Or Mixed Fire Severity In The Medford District  
And The Klamath Falls Resource Area .....669

4-52 Percent change, by alternative, between 2006 and 2056, and between 2006 and 2106, in the acres of  
northern spotted owl suitable habitat with low or mixed fire severity in the Coos Bay, Eugene,  
Roseburg and Salem districts .....671

4-53 Percent change, by alternative, between 2006 and 2056, and between 2006 and 2106, in the acres of  
northern spotted owl dispersal habitat with low or mixed fire severity in the Medford District and the  
Klamath Falls Resource Area. ....673

4-54 Percent change, by alternative, between 2006 and 2056, and between 2006 and 2106, in the acres of  
northern spotted owl dispersal habitat with low or mixed fire severity in the Coos Bay, Eugene and  
Salem districts .....674

4-55 Percent change, by alternative, between 2006 and 2056, and between 2006 and 2106, in the acres of  
northern spotted owl suitable habitat with fire resiliency in the Medford District .....676

4-56 Percent change, by alternative, between 2006 and 2056, and between 2006 and 2106, in the acres of  
northern spotted owl suitable habitat with fire resiliency in the Klamath Falls Resource Area .....676

4-57 Percent change, by alternative, between 2006 and 2056, and between 2006 and 2106, in the acres of  
northern spotted owl dispersal habitat with fire resiliency in the Medford District. ....678

4-58 Percent change, by alternative, between 2006 and 2056, and between 2006 and 2106, in the acres of  
northern spotted owl dispersal habitat with fire resiliency in the Klamath Falls Resource Area .....678

4-59 The numbers of known and predicted northern spotted owl sites on BLM-administered lands in the  
planning area that currently occur in the nonharvest land base under each alternative .....681

4-60 Year at which the threshold age would be reached after which marbled murrelet sites would not be protected  
under Alternative 3. ....685



4-61	Available marbled murrelet nesting habitat on BLM-administered lands within the planning area . . . . .	686
4-62	Comparison of the amounts of marbled murrelet nesting habitat and mature and structurally complex forests with marbled murrelet Zones 1 and 2 in 2006 . . . . .	695
4-63	Open road density on BLM-administered lands within deer habitat management units . . . . .	704
4-64	Off-highway vehicle emphasis areas proposal for deer habitat management areas in the Medford District. . . . .	705
4-65	Open road density on BLM-administered lands in elk habitat management units . . . . .	709
4-66	Bald eagle nesting and roosting habitat development within the planning area. . . . .	710
4-67	Bald eagle nesting and roosting habitat in the west side of the Klamath Falls Resource Area . . . . .	712
4-68	Available fisher natal habitat on BLM-administered lands within the planning area. . . . .	714
4-69	Available fisher foraging habitat on BLM-administered lands within the planning area. . . . .	714
4-70	Quantitative assessment of patch size and connectance on fisher habitat condition in 2106 . . . . .	720
4-71	Western conifer land bird habitat on BLM-administered land within the planning area under the alternatives. . . . .	723
4-72	Western hardwood land bird habitat on BLM-administered land within the planning area under the alternatives . . . . .	726
4-73	Eastside conifer forest land bird habitat on BLM-administered land within the planning area under the alternatives . . . . .	727
4-74	Eastside ponderosa pine land bird habitat on BLM-administered land within the planning area under the alternatives . . . . .	731
4-75	Eastside hardwood land bird habitat on BLM-administered land within the planning area under the alternatives . . . . .	733
4-76	Forests with legacy structure on BLM-administered land within the planning area under the alternatives by habitat association. . . . .	737
4-77	Comparison of management actions for snag retention or creation under the alternatives . . . . .	738
4-78	Snag density found in unharvested forests . . . . .	739
4-79	Comparison of management actions for downed wood retention or creation under the alternatives . . . . .	741
4-80	Coarse woody debris cover found in unharvested forests . . . . .	742
4-81	Comparison of management actions for green tree retention in regeneration harvests under the alternatives . . . . .	743
4-82	Federally listed candidate, threatened, and endangered species not associated with forested ecosystems. . . . .	747
4-83	Riparian management areas across all land use allocations under the alternatives . . . . .	749
4-84	Forest floor habitat quality ratings . . . . .	751
4-85	Projected acres of stand establishment forests on BLM-administered lands. . . . .	754
4-86	Rain-dominated sixth-field subwatersheds susceptible to peak flows under the alternatives. . . . .	755
4-87	Potential delivery of fine sediment by new roads constructed by 2016 under the alternative. . . . .	767
4-88	Estimate of future fish passage barriers removed per decade by district in the planning area . . . . .	802
4-89	Estimate of future road improvement and decommissioning by district in the planning area . . . . .	803
4-90	Estimate of future instream restoration projects per decade by district. . . . .	803
4-91	Structure stage relationship to fire resiliency . . . . .	806
4-92	Fire severity hazard and resiliency by forest structural stage classifications . . . . .	807
4-93	Probability of mortality by tree diameter in an extreme fire event . . . . .	811
4-94	Annual emissions from prescribed burning from all activities on BLM-administered lands. . . . .	814
4-95	Annual emissions from prescribed burning from all activities on all ownerships . . . . .	815
4-96	Acres of remoteness levels by alternative . . . . .	822
4-97	Acres of naturalness levels projected for the year 2016 by alternative . . . . .	823
4-98	Special management to maintain wilderness characteristics under all action alternatives . . . . .	827
4-99	BLM-administered lands with wilderness characteristics in the harvest land base by alternative . . . . .	828
4-100	BLM-administered lands with wilderness characteristics in late-successional management areas and riparian management areas by alternative . . . . .	829
4-101	BLM-administered lands with wilderness characteristics maintained by alternative. . . . .	829
4-102	Visual resource inventory classes and management classes by alternative. . . . .	831
4-103	Harvest land base within each visual resource inventory class by alternative . . . . .	832
4-104	Percentage of existing visual resource quality maintained by alternative within areas inventoried as Class II and III. . . . .	833
4-105	Residual detrimental soil disturbance compared to total acres harvested during the first 10 years. . . . .	838





4-106	Livestock grazing authorizations by district and by alternative . . . . .	843
4-107	Range improvement construction by district and by alternative . . . . .	845
4-108	Changes in livestock forage production within lands allocated for grazing by alternative . . . . .	848
4-109	Changes in wild horse forage production by alternative . . . . .	852
4-110	Percent of total cultural resource sites damaged under the alternatives over the next 10 years . . . . .	859
4-111	Current claims, notices, and plans of operations within the planning area . . . . .	861
5-1	Plan and program coordination opportunities . . . . .	866
5-2	BLM plans and components of a total maximum daily load implementation plan . . . . .	868
5-3	Key project staff for the proposed resource management plan and final environmental impact statement . . . . .	875

## Figures

4-1	Structural stage abundances on the BLM-administered lands by alternative . . . . .	502
4-2	Comparison of the BLM-administered forested lands by 2106 with the average historic conditions and current conditions by alternative . . . . .	503
4-3	The influence of legacy retention on future stand development . . . . .	506
4-4	Stand establishment forests with and without structural legacies (e.g. retained green trees) by alternative . . . . .	510
4-5	Young forests with and without structural legacies (e.g. retained green trees) by alternative . . . . .	511
4-6	Mature forest with multi-layered canopies or single canopies by alternative . . . . .	512
4-7	Structural stage abundances on the forested lands in the harvest land base by alternative . . . . .	518
4-8	Structural stage abundances in the harvest land base by land use allocation in the PRMP . . . . .	519
4-9	Structural stage abundances on the forested lands in the nonharvest land base by alternative . . . . .	520
4-10	Comparison of the structural stage abundances on the BLM-administered forested lands by 2106 with the current conditions and the average historic conditions by alternative by province . . . . .	521
4-11	Change in the mean patch size from the current conditions by 2106 by forest structural stage on the BLM-administered lands . . . . .	522
4-12	Change in the connectivity from the current conditions by 2106 by forest structural stage on the BLM-administered lands . . . . .	523
4-13	Structural stage abundances on BLM-administered forested lands in the Coast Range Province by alternative . . . . .	525
4-14	Structural stage abundances on BLM-administered forested lands in the West Cascades Province by alternative . . . . .	526
4-15	Structural stage abundances on BLM-administered forested lands in the Klamath Province by alternative . . . . .	528
4-16	Structural stage abundances on BLM-administered forested lands in the Eastern Cascades Province by alternative . . . . .	529
4-17	Comparison of all ownerships by 2106 with average historic conditions and current conditions by alternative . . . . .	534
4-18	Comparison of all ownerships by 2106 with average historic conditions and current conditions by province by alternative . . . . .	535
4-19	Change in the mean patch sizes from the current condition by 2106 by the forest structural stages on all ownerships . . . . .	536
4-20	Total carbon storage by alternative . . . . .	538
4-21	Carbon storage in live trees . . . . .	539
4-22	Carbon storage in forests other than live trees . . . . .	541
4-23	Carbon storage in harvested wood from past and future harvests . . . . .	542
4-24	Historic and projected BLM payments to the counties for the first decade . . . . .	549
4-25	Percent of change in employment by county and sector, No Action Alternative . . . . .	552
4-26	Percent of change in employment by county and sector, Alternative 1 . . . . .	553
4-27	Percent of change in employment by county and sector, Alternative 2 . . . . .	554
4-28	Percent of change in employment by county and sector, Alternative 3 . . . . .	555
4-29	Percent of change in employment by county and sector, PRMP . . . . .	556
4-30	Average annual stumpage revenues . . . . .	564
4-31	Revenues, costs, and net revenues fro the first 10 years . . . . .	566



4-32	Total allowable sale quantity by alternative for the Planning area . . . . .	572
4-33	Allowable sale quantity by district and alternative . . . . .	573
4-34	Reference analysis: Manage most commercial forest lands for maximizing timber production . . . . .	574
4-35	Nonharvest land base volume over time . . . . .	576
4-36	Total annual volume level by alternative for the first decade . . . . .	578
4-37	Total annual harvest volume by decade and alternative . . . . .	579
4-38	Average annual timber volume harvest by age class under the No Action Alternative over the next decade . . . . .	580
4-39	Average annual timber volume harvest by age class under Alternative 1 over the next decade . . . . .	580
4-40	Average annual timber volume harvest by age class under Alternative 2 over the next decade . . . . .	581
4-41	Average annual timber volume harvest by age class under Alternative 3 over the next decade . . . . .	581
4-42	Average annual timber volume harvest by age class under PRMP over the next decade . . . . .	581
4-43	Total volume harvested for all alternatives and the reference analysis . . . . .	582
4-44	Acres in the harvest land base by alternative . . . . .	583
4-45	Percent volume by structural stage . . . . .	584
4-46	Volume by structural stage and alternative . . . . .	584
4-47	Percentage of number 3, peeler-grade and better Douglas fir logs by alternative . . . . .	585
4-48	Douglas fir log volumes by peeler grade and sawlog grade by alternatives . . . . .	586
4-49	Annual stumpage value by alternative over the first decade . . . . .	587
4-50	Harvest acres by harvest type over the first decade . . . . .	588
4-51	First decade harvest acres by age class under the No Action Alternative . . . . .	590
4-52	First decade harvest acres by age class under Alternative 1 . . . . .	591
4-53	First decade harvest acres by age class under Alternative 2 . . . . .	592
4-54	First decade harvest acres by age class under Alternative 3 . . . . .	593
4-55	First decade harvest acres harvested by age class under the PRMP . . . . .	594
4-56	First decade harvest types as a percentage of entire forest age class distribution . . . . .	595
4-57	No Action Alternative, average annual harvested acres by harvest type over the next 100 years . . . . .	595
4-58	Alternative 1, average annual harvested acres by harvest type over the next 100 years . . . . .	596
4-59	Alternative 2, average annual harvested acres by harvest type over the next 100 years . . . . .	596
4-60	Alternative 3, average annual harvested acres by harvest type over the next 100 years . . . . .	597
4-61	PRMP, average annual harvested acres by harvest type over the next 100 years . . . . .	597
4-62	Miles of new permanent road construction under each alternative . . . . .	598
4-63	Acres of new permanent road construction under each alternative . . . . .	598
4-64	Inventory on the harvest land base by alternative over the next 100 years . . . . .	599
4-65	Age class distribution in the harvest land base under the No Action Alternative over the next 100 years . . . . .	601
4-66	Age class distribution in the harvest land base under Alternative 1 over the next 100 years . . . . .	601
4-67	Age class distribution in the harvest land base under Alternative 2 over the next 100 years . . . . .	602
4-68	Age class distribution in the harvest land base under Alternative 3 over the next 100 years . . . . .	602
4-69	Age class distribution in the harvest land base under the PRMP over the next 100 years . . . . .	603
4-70	Distribution of known occurrences of BLM plant and fungi species subject to timber harvest . . . . .	613
4-71	Distribution of known populations of Bureau special status species by land ownership and habitat group . . . . .	623
4-72	Relative susceptibility of fifth-field watersheds to invasive plant species introduction as a result of timber harvesting activities over the next 10 years . . . . .	629
4-73	Susceptibility comparison for introduction of invasive plant species associated with timber harvesting activities over the next 10 years . . . . .	630
4-74	Comparison of the risk by mapped watershed for the introduction of invasive plant species associated with timber harvesting activities over the next 10 years . . . . .	631
4-75	Comparison of the risk by watersheds for the introduction of invasive plant species associated with timber harvesting activities over the next 10 years . . . . .	632
4-76	Susceptibility comparison for the introduction of invasive plant species into riparian habitats associated with timber harvesting activities over the next 10 years . . . . .	634
4-77	Relative risk of introducing invasive plant species in riparian habitats over the next 10 years . . . . .	636
4-78	Riparian risk category comparison for introduction of invasive plant species over the next 10 years . . . . .	637



4-79 Risk comparison for the introduction of invasive plant species associated with new road construction over the next 10 years . . . . . 637

4-80 Relative risk for introduction of invasive plant species associated with off-highway vehicle designations . . . . . 639

4-81 Risk comparison for introduction of invasive plant species associated with off-highway vehicle use . . . . . 640

4-82 The Distribution Of Large And Small Habitat Blocks At Year 2016 Under All Alternatives And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis . . . . . 646

4-83 The Distribution Of Large And Small Habitat Blocks At Year 2026 Under All Alternatives And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis. . . . . 648

4-84 The Distribution Of Large And Small Habitat Blocks At Year 2036 Under Alternative 2, The PRMP, And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis. . . . . 650

4-85 The Distribution Of Large And Small Habitat Blocks At Year 2046 Under Alternative 2, The PRMP, And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis. . . . . 651

4-86 The Distribution Of Large And Small Habitat Blocks At Year 2056 Under All Alternatives And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis. . . . . 652

4-87 The Distribution Of Large And Small Habitat Blocks At Year 2106 Under All Alternatives And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis . . . . . 654

4-88 Changes In The Number Of Acres Contained Within All Large Habitat Blocks, On All Land Ownerships, Under The Alternatives And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis . . . . . 656

4-89 Changes In The Acres Of Suitable Habitat On Federally-Administered Lands Under The Alternatives And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis. . . . . 659

4-90 Changes In The Acres Of Suitable Habitat Within Small And Large Habitat Blocks On Federally-Administered Lands Under The Alternatives And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis . . . . . 660

4-91 Changes In The Acres Of Suitable Habitat Within Large Habitat Blocks On Federally-Administered Lands Under The Alternatives And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis . . . . . 661

4-92 A Comparison Of The Alternatives And The No Harvest Reference Analysis In 2056: The Proportion Of Northern Spotted Owl Dispersal Habitat On All Land Ownerships In Each Fifth-Field Watershed . . . . . 662

4-93 A Comparison Of The Alternatives And The No Harvest Reference Analysis In 2056: The Proportion Of Northern Spotted Owl Suitable Habitat On All Land Ownerships In Each Fifth-Field Watershed . . . . . 666

4-94 Changes By Alternative In Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Suitable Habitat With Low Fire Severity In The Medford District And The Klamath Falls Resource Area . . . . . 668

4-95 Changes By Alternative In Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Suitable Habitat With Mixed Fire Severity In The Medford District And The Klamath Falls Resource Area . . . . . 669

4-96 Changes By Alternative In Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Suitable Habitat With Low Fire Severity In The Coos Bay, Eugene, Roseburg And Salem Districts . . . . . 670

4-97 Changes By Alternative In Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Suitable Habitat With Mixed Fire Severity In The Coos Bay, Eugene, Roseburg And Salem Districts . . . . . 670

4-98 Changes By Alternative In The Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Dispersal Habitat With High Fire Severity In The Medford And Roseburg Districts And The Klamath Falls Resource Area . . . . . 672

4-99 Changes By Alternative In The Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Dispersal Habitat With Low Fire Severity In The Medford And Roseburg Districts And The Klamath Falls Resource Area . . . . . 672

4-100 Changes By Alternative In The Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Dispersal Habitat With Mixed Fire Severity In The Medford And Roseburg Districts And The Klamath Falls Resource Area . . . . . 673

4-101 Changes By Alternative In Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Dispersal Habitat With High Fire Severity In The Coos Bay, Eugene, Roseburg And Salem Districts . . . . . 674

4-102 Changes By Alternative In Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Dispersal Habitat With Low Fire Severity In The Coos Bay, Eugene, Roseburg And Salem districts. . . . . 675

4-103 Changes By Alternative In Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Dispersal Habitat With Mixed Fire Severity In The Coos Bay, Eugene, Roseburg And Salem Districts . . . . . 675

4-104 Changes By Alternative In Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Suitable Habitat With Fire Resiliency In The Medford District . . . . . 677

4-105 Changes By Alternative In Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Suitable Habitat With Fire Resiliency In The Klamath Falls Resource Area . . . . . 677



4-106 Changes By Alternative In The Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Dispersal Habitat With Fire Resiliency In The Medford District .....679

4-107 Changes By Alternative In The Development Of Northern Spotted Owl Dispersal Habitat With Fire Resiliency In The Klamath Falls Resource Area .....679

4-108 Changes In The Estimated Number Of Functional Northern Spotted Owl Nest Territories That Would Occur On All Land Ownerships Under Each Alternative And According To The No Harvest Reference Analysis .....681

4-109 Total Marbled Murrelet Nesting Habitat By Year 2106 .....686

4-110 Old Forest Marbled Murrelet Nesting Habitat .....687

4-111 District Marbled Murrelet Nesting Habitat Fluctuations In Zone 1, Expressed As Percent Change From 2006 ....689

4-112 Changes In The Availability Of Marbled Murrelet Old Forest Nesting Habitat Within The Planning Area In Zone 1 .....690

4-113 District Marbled Murrelet Nesting Habitat Fluctuations In Zone 2, Expressed As Percent Change From 2006 ....693

4-114 Changes in the availability of marbled murrelet old forest nesting habitat within the western Oregon plan revision, Zone 2 .....694

4-115 Average hiding cover availability on the deer habitat management units in the Coos Bay District .....698

4-116 Percentage of deer habitat management area in the Coos Bay District greater than 492 feet from roads open to vehicle use .....699

4-117 Foraging habitat availability on the deer habitat management units in the Medford District and Klamath Falls Resource Area .....700

4-118 Percentage of deer habitat management area in the Medford District and western Klamath Falls Resource Area greater than 492 feet (150 meters) from roads open to vehicle use .....701

4-119 Percentage of habitat in Deer Habitat Management Areas on Eastside Management Lands in the Klamath Falls Resource Area .....702

4-120 Elk Hiding Cover Availability On The Elk Habitat Management Units In the Coos Bay And Salem Districts ....705

4-121 Percentage Of Elk Habitat Management Area In The Coos Bay And Salem Districts, Greater Than 492 Feet From Roads Open To Vehicle Use .....706

4-122 Average Foraging Habitat On Elk Habitat Management Units In The Medford District .....707

4-123 Percentage Of Elk Habitat Management Area In The Medford District Greater than 492 Feet From Roads Open To Vehicle Use .....708

4-124 Bald eagle nesting and roosting habitat development within the planning area .....711

4-125 Summary of bald eagle nesting and roosting habitat development in the west side of the Klamath Falls Resource Area .....712

4-126 The abundance and development of bald eagle nesting and roosting habitat in bald eagle management areas .....713

4-127 Fisher foraging habitat summarized for BLM-administered lands within the planning area .....714

4-128 District summary of fisher foraging habitat changes compared to 2006 .....715

4-129 Abundance of total and old fisher natal habitat within the planning area .....717

4-130 Total fisher natal habitat abundance on BLM districts .....718

4-131 Old forest natal habitat abundance on BLM districts .....719

4-132 Western conifer forest land bird habitat trends on BLM-administered land within the planning area .....722

4-133 Western hardwood forest land bird habitat trends on BLM-administered land within the planning area .....725

4-134 Eastern conifer forest land bird habitat trends on BLM-administered land within the planning area .....728

4-135 Eastern Ponderosa Pine Landbird Habitat Trends On BLM-Administered Land Within The Planning Area .....730

4-136 Eastern Hardwood Landbird Habitat Trends For Hardwood Forests On BLM-Administered Land Within The Planning Area .....734

4-137 Total Number Of Western Snowy Plover Young Fledged Along the Oregon Coast From 1990 To 2006 .....744

4-138 Forest floor habitat quality summary for each alternative .....751

4-139 Susceptible rain-dominated subwatersheds .....755

4-140 Susceptible rain-on-snow-dominated sixth-field subwatersheds .....757

4-141 Potential For Sediment Transport, Based On Channel Gradient And Return Interval Streamflow .....758

4-142 Riparian management areas for permanently flowing streams .....750

4-143 Structural stage classes of the riparian reserves under the No Action Alternative .....762

4-144 Structural stage classes of the riparian management areas under Alternative 1 .....762



4-145	Structural stage classes of the riparian management areas under the PRMP .....	763
4-146	Structural stage classes of the riparian management areas under Alternatives 2 and 3 .....	763
4-147	Projected Newly Constructed Permanent Roads Within A Sediment Delivery Distance To Streams, Compared To Total Newly Constructed Permanent Roads By 2016.....	766
4-148	Relative Landslide Density by Alternative Across All Land-Use Allocations That Would Deliver To Stream Channels (Coast Range Province) .....	770
4-149	Relative Landslide Density By Alternative Across All Land-Use Allocations That Would Deliver To Stream Channels (Cascades Province) .....	771
4-150	Relative Landslide Density By Alternative Across All Land-Use Allocations That Would Deliver To Stream Channels (Klamath Province) .....	771
4-151	Relative Landslide Density By Alternative In The Harvest Land Base That Would Deliver To Stream Channels (Coast Range Province) .....	772
4-152	Relative Lndslide Density By Alternative In The Harvest Land Base That Would Deliver To Stream Channels (Cascades Province) .....	773
4-153	Relative Landslide Density By Alternative In The Harvest Land Base That Would Deliver To Stream Channels (Klamath Province).....	773
4-154	Timber productivity capability classification withdrawals within the Upper Smith River representative watershed .....	775
4-155	Potential large wood contribution from all sources for the planning area in 2106 by alternative and the No Harvest reference analysis .....	781
4-156	Potential small functional wood contribution from all sources for the planning area in 2106 by alternative and the No Harvest reference analysis .....	781
4-157	Potential large wood contribution from all sources for the planning area in 2106 by alternative and the No Harvest Reference Analysis for each province .....	782
4-158	Perennial and fish-bearing stream riparian management areas .....	783
4-159	Forest structural stage in the riparian management areas by alternative .....	783
4-160	Percent of riparian large wood contribution to fish-bearing streams by land use allocation at 2106 in the Coast Range .....	784
4-161	Boundaries riparian management areas for each alternative on non-fish-bearing intermittent channels .....	785
4-162	Percent of riparian large wood contribution to non-fish-bearing streams by land use allocation at 2106 in the Coast Range Province .....	785
4-163	Structural stage abundances in the harvest land base by alternative.....	786
4-164	Percent of debris flow large wood contribution by land use allocation at 2106 in the Cascades Province .....	788
4-165	Potential and relative debris flow large wood contribution to streams from BLM-administered lands in the Coast Range Province .....	789
4-166	Potential small functional wood contribution to stream channels for the planning area in 2106 by alternative and the No Harvest reference analysis for each province .....	791
4-167	Potential small functional riparian wood contribution to streams from BLM-administered lands for each province.....	792
4-168	Potential debris flow small wood contribution from BLM-administered lands for each province .....	793
4-169	Potential large wood contribution comparison of all ownerships by 2106 with current and maximum potential large wood contribution .....	794
4-170	Potential large wood contribution in the Rogue-Horseshoe Bend watershed.....	795
4-171	Potential large wood contribution in the Evans Creek watershed .....	796
4-172	Potential large wood contribution in the Eagle Creek watershed .....	796
4-173	Potential large wood contribution in the Chetco watershed .....	797
4-174	Comparison of the structural stage abundance within riparian management areas on BLM-administered forested lands by 2106 with the current and average historical conditions .....	978
4-175	Distribution of high intrinsic potential streams for chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead trout within key watersheds of the planning area.....	804
4-176	High fire severity and hazard trends for northern districts by alternative .....	809
4-177	High fire severity and hazard trends for southern districts by alternative .....	809
4-178	Fire-resilient acres in the Medford District by land use allocation under the PRMP.....	812



4-179	Comparison of fire-resilient acres by district and alternative . . . . .	812
4-180	Acres of naturalness levels for the year 2016 by alternative . . . . .	823
4-181	Percent change in naturalness settings by the year 2016 under each alternative. . . . .	824
4-182	Acres of BLM-administered lands with wilderness characteristics maintained by alternative . . . . .	830
4-183	Visual resource inventory and management classes in acres by alternative. . . . .	832
4-184	Harvest land base acres within visual resource inventory classes by alternative. . . . .	833
4-185	Visual resource inventory class II areas maintained by alternative . . . . .	834
4-186	Visual resource inventory class III areas maintained by alternative . . . . .	834
4-187	Status of rangeland health standards assessment . . . . .	842
4-188	Change in animal unit months by alternative . . . . .	844
4-189	Change in the number of allotments by alternative. . . . .	844
4-190	Changes in structural stage abundance within lands allocated for grazing. . . . .	847
4-191	Changes in livestock forage production by alternative . . . . .	848
4-192	Changes in structural stage abundance within the Pokegama Herd Management Area . . . . .	851
4-193	Changes in wild horse forage production by alternative. . . . .	852
5-1	Land use planning, monitoring, and adaptive management . . . . .	882