Version 1.0 December 2004

Part I: Background Information

Please limit responses to 100 words or less in this section. If necessary, include reference or additional materials in the form of an attachment. However, responses as provided in the Comments field should be no more than 100 words and should directly address the topic area.

Project/Service Name	IDT)		
Unique Project Identifier (U (Government Only))P1)		
Agency/Vendor			
	Re	quired Information / Instructions	Comments
Software Package	Provid	e Vendor, Product, Version	
Production Initiation Date		e the date the system becomes (or ne) operational	
Modules/Services Offered	List th	e modules and services you offer	
External Customers	demor size, s numbe	e information on existing customers to nstrate capabilities. Include indicators of uch as budget/revenue, approximate er of employees, number of named and/or rrent users.	
Unique Customer Needs		be your ability and approach for handling nization and change requests	
Transaction Volume		e historical data of transaction processing ilities including transaction type and volume	
Quality Assurance		be your Quality Assurance processes (e.g., illity Maturity Model certification/date)	
Service Quality Metrics	Provid	e currently available service quality metrics	
Change Management	proces	e details regarding change management ses (i.e., how will new requirements be orated into the solution)	

Version 1.0 December 2004

Additional Background Information for Government-Run SSCs

Hadicolar background Information for Government Ran 6565								
Project/Service Name								
Unique Project Identifier (U	IPI)							
(Government Only)								
Agency/Vendor								
	Re	quired Information / Instructions	Comments					
# Of Customers (Internal)	custor	ibe the services you provide to internal mers with appropriate metrics (e.g., us, employees, budgets, users)						
FY06 Development, Modernization & Enhancement (DME) Cost	Provid	le the FY06 DME costs for this initiative						
FY06 Steady State (SS) Cost		le the FY06 SS costs for this initiative, orized if appropriate						
FY07 & Beyond DME Cost		le forecast FY07 & Beyond DME costs for itiative, by year						
FY07 & Beyond SS Cost		le forecast FY07 & Beyond SS costs for this ive, by year						
Business Operating Model (Customer perspective)	custor	describe your business model from the mers' perspective, (franchise vs. WCF, er vs. seller/buyer governance, etc.)						
Transaction Costs		le currently available cost metrics (OMB is g an effort to develop standard metrics)						
Service Provision Model (Supplier perspective)	the provide contra	ibe your means of providing and managing ovision of services, including services led by government staff vs. those acted out, contracting method (fixed-price me/materials), contract incentives,						

Additional Background Information for Private-Sector SSCs

Project/Service Name			
Unique Project Identifier (UPI) (Government Only) Agency/Vendor			
	Re	quired Information / Instructions	Comments
Corporate Stability	and st	le information regarding the financial health tability of the SSC (e.g., assets, outstanding cash balance, financial backing)	
Pricing Model		ibe pricing models offered (e.g., pricing per per transaction, on a subscription basis). is the minimum term-of-service required for ustomers?	
Corporate Structure	all par provid	le details on corporate structure to include tners involved in the solution (e.g., hosting lers, managed service providers, software ation vendors, system integrators)	

government vs. commercial hosting, use and scope of Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V), program management structure, etc.

Version 1.0 December 2004

Part II: Screening Questions

A response of "no" to any of the following screening questions will automatically disqualify the candidate from being approved as a SSC candidate. Please limit comments to 100 words or less in this section. If necessary, include reference or additional materials in the form of an attachment. However, responses as provided in the Comments field should be no more than 100 words and should directly address the topic area.

Project	/Service Name		
-	Project Identifier (UPI)		
	ment Only)		
Agency	/Vendor		
#	Evaluation Area	Rating	Comments
1	Does the SSC provide the following Human Resources Management Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Sub-functions: Administer Benefits Manage Payroll* Personnel Action Processing Time and Attendance Labor Distribution (*Note: if the SSC does not provide payroll services, the SSC must demonstrate the ability to integrate to an existing Payroll provider.)	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2	Have previous agency/bureau migrations to the SSC occurred?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3	Have previous migration activities included the migration of data?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4	Does the SSC align with the FEA?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5	Does the SSC demonstrate alignment with the President's Management Agenda?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
6	Does the SSC demonstrate alignment with the Lines of Business (LoB) Goals and Objectives?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
7	Does the SSC support integration to HR-related E-Gov initiatives including Enterprise HR Integration (EHRI), E-Clearance, E-Training, Recruitment One-Stop, and Retirement Systems Modernization?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
8	Has the SSC undergone a Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) review?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
9	Has the SSC been Certified and Accredited (C&A) within the last 3 years?	☐ Yes ☐ No	

December 2004

Project	/Service Name		
•	Project Identifier (UPI)		
	nment Only) /Vendor		
Agency	/ Vendor		
#	Evaluation Area	Rating	Comments
10	Does the SSC have a performance measurement methodology in place with performance metrics?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
11	Has a Risk Management plan been completed within the last six months?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
12	Does the system have a Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) and has successful Disaster Recovery Testing been performed?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
13	Does the SSC have a cost accounting methodology that fairly allocates all costs (fixed and marginal) to internal and external customers?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
14	Does the SSC utilize onshore facilities and resources only?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
15	Does the SSC provide a formal incident response capability?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
16	Does the SSC perform periodic testing and evaluation of information security controls?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
17	Does the SSC have an appointed information systems security officer?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
18	Is the SSC's contingency planning coordinated with the agency or agencies using its services?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
19	Does the SSC have in place an interconnection security agreement and a Memoranda of Understanding in accordance with NIST SP800-47?	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Version 1.0 December 2004

Part III: Due Diligence Checklist

Please limit comments to 100 words or less in this section. If necessary, include reference or additional materials in the form of an attachment. However, responses as provided in the Comments field should be no more than 100 words and should directly address the topic area.

Project	/Service Name					
•	Project Identifier (UPI)					
	nment Only) /Vendor					
No.	Criteria	Rating	Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
1	Level of COTS customization	(where multiple products used – address for each product) ☐ High (5): Customizations were embedded into base product ☐ Med (3): Customizations did not effect base product ☐ Low (1): Customizations are minimal - effect on base product and future support of non-agency end users are not addressed ☐ No (0): No customization strategy		Α		
2	Employee Self-Service Support (HR only)	☐ Yes (5): Provides Employee Self-Service capabilities ☐ No (0): Does not provide Employee Self-Service capabilities		Α		
3	Value-Added Services Capability	 ☐ High (5): Currently offers two or more existing value-added services (functions aligned with the LoB beyond core functions identified in the screening section i.e. position classification) ☐ Med (3): Currently offers a single existing value-added service ☐ Low (1): Planning to offer additional value-added services ☐ No (0): Does not mention value-added service capabilities 		В		
4	Provides Integrated HR and Payroll System Services	☐ Yes (5): Provides integrated HR/Payroll services ☐ No (0): Does not provide integrated HR/Payroll services (Interface to payroll system required)		В		

Project	:/Service Name					
(Govern	Project Identifier (UPI) nment Only) //Vendor					
No.	Criteria	Rating	Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
5	Business Process Support	 ☐ High (5): SSC offers business process support in addition to information technology (IT) support ☐ Med (3): Presents detailed plan to provide business process support in addition to IT support ☐ Low (1): Presents high-level strategy for business process support ☐ No (0): No business process support strategy provided 	Score	В	Score	
6	Supports Standard Official Personnel Files (OPFs)	☐ High (5): Currently supports standard OPFs ☐ Med (3): Plans to support standard OPFs ☐ No (0): Does not support standard OPFs		В		
7	Cross Agency Program Management (PM) Experience	☐ High (5): Demonstrates history of providing cross-agency PM services ☐ Med (3): Demonstrates history of providing intra-agency PM services ☐ Low (1): Provides detailed plans to implement cross-agency PM services ☐ No (0): No cross-agency/intra-agency PM experience referenced		А		
8	Migration Experience	 ☐ High (5): Demonstrates experience migrating external systems/organizations outside of the agency to the SSC ☐ Med (3): Demonstrates experience migrating external systems/organizations (i.e., bureaus) inside of the agency to the SSC ☐ Low (1): Migration efforts currently underway; no migrations completed to date 		А		
9	Migration Schedule (if applicable)	☐ High (5): Demonstrated an on average migration schedule of 0-9 months ☐ Med (3): Demonstrated an on average migration schedule of 9-18 months ☐ Low (1): Demonstrated an on average migration schedule of 18-24 months		В		

Project	:/Service Name					
•	Project Identifier (UPI)					
Agency	/Vendor					
No.	Criteria	Rating	Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
10	Data Migration Experience	☐ High (5): Performed multiple data migrations and has repeatable processes ☐ Med (3): Performed multiple data migrations with no repeatable processes ☐ Low (1): Performed a single data migration		В		
11	Data Cleansing History	☐ Yes (5): Demonstrated experience conducting data cleansing ☐ No (0): Did not demonstrate experience conducting data cleansing		В		
12	Shared-Services Experience	 ☐ High (5): Demonstrates experience providing cross-servicing to other agencies ☐ Med (3): Demonstrates experience providing cross-servicing to bureaus within parent agency with greater than 10,000 users per bureau ☐ Low (1): Planned but not demonstrated approach and no history of supporting outside users greater than 5,000 		А		
13	Transition Management	 ☐ High (5): Demonstrates past success in providing transition management services (e.g., training, migration planning, change management, sequencing) ☐ Med (3): Has detailed plan to provide transition management services ☐ Low (1): References need for transition management services ☐ No (0): No transition management services referenced 		А		
14	Share-in-Savings/SmartBUY Approach	☐ High (5): Demonstrates a history of share-in- savings usage with existing share-in-savings/SmartBUY programs in place ☐ Med (3): Demonstrates a detailed share-in-savings execution strategy ☐ Low (1): References share-in-savings and SmartBUY in business case ☐ No (0): Share-in-savings/SmartBUY not mentioned		А		

Project/Service Name Unique Project Identifier (UPI) (Government Only) Agency/Vendor						
No.	Criteria	Rating	Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
15	Service Level Agreements (SLA) Past Performance	☐ High (5): Demonstrates past success in establishing and maintaining SLA ☐ Med (3): Outlines detailed SLA strategy ☐ Low (1): Indicates a plan to implement SLA strategy ☐ No (0): No mention of utilizing SLAs in business case		Α		
16	FISMA Review	☐ High (5): Review completed, no weaknesses found ☐ Med (3): Plan of Action & Milestones (POA&M) report prepared to address identified security weaknesses ☐ Low (1): Weaknesses found, POA&M report incomplete		Α		
17	Certification & Accreditation	☐ High (5): C&A completed and date provided ☐ Med (3): C&A planned and future date provided ☐ Low (1): C&A planned but no date provided		A		
18	Security and Privacy Standards	 ☐ High (5): Demonstrates a history of compliance, up-to-date security plan in place that meets requirements of FISMA, OMB policy, NIST Guidance, and privacy impact assessments completed ☐ Med (3): Outlines plan to develop security plan and conduct PIAs as well as provides dates for completion 		Α		
19	Performance Measures	 ☐ High (5): Performance metrics in place with actual measures against the baseline ☐ Med (3): Performance metrics in place but no actual measures against the baseline ☐ Low (1): Performance metrics in place but no baseline or targets identified 		Α		

Project	:/Service Name					
_	Project Identifier (UPI) nment Only)					
	/Vendor					
No.	Criteria	Rating	Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
20	Scalability	 ☐ High (5): Demonstrates ability to support increasing transaction volumes ☐ Med (3): Presents a detailed and structured approach to address increased transaction volumes ☐ Low (1): Provides a high level strategy for supporting increased transaction volumes ☐ No (0): Increase in transaction volumes not addressed 		Α		
21	Customer Service Satisfaction	☐ High (5): Demonstrates customer service satisfaction levels with performance history ☐ Med (3): Identifies customer satisfaction levels in business case ☐ Low (1): Identifies planned customer satisfaction targets but no performance data (actuals) provided		А		
22	Core Solution Strategy	☐ High (5): Proposes the reuse of a single existing HR system ☐ Med (3): Proposes the reuse of multiple existing systems to create an integrated HR SSC solution ☐ Low (1): Proposes the use of a new implementation		В		
23	IV&V	☐ High (5): An IV&V was conducted on this system ☐ Med (3): IV&V scheduled and date provided ☐ Low (1): Plans to conduct IV&V included in the management plan ☐ No (0) No, an IV&V was not conducted on this system		В		
24	Cross-LoB Support	☐ High (5): Demonstrates existing integration across one or more LoBs ☐ Med (3): Demonstrates detailed strategy for integrating LoBs ☐ Low (1): Mentions planned integration of LoBs ☐ No (0): No cross LoB support indicated		В		

Unique (Govern	/Service Name Project Identifier (UPI) ment Only) /Vendor					
No.	Criteria	Rating	Raw Score	Tier	Weighted Score	Comments
25	Project Management Capability (EVMS)	☐ High (5): Past performance within 10% of cost/schedule/performance ☐ Med (3): Past performance within 20% of cost/schedule/performance ☐ Low (1): Past performance within 30% of cost/schedule/performance ☐ No (0): No variance information (re: cost/schedule/performance) submitted or past performance variance greater than 30%		В		
26	Private/Public Strategy	 ☐ High (5): Demonstrates experience of private/public strategy (detailed plans for the involvement of private sector in implementation or operations of a SSC beyond contract support e.g., ACME Inc., is responsible for end-to-end processing of a given transaction) ☐ Med (3): Provides a detailed plan for private/public strategy ☐ Low (1): Provides plan to develop a private/public strategy ☐ No (0): Public/private strategy not addressed 		В		
27	User Base	 ☐ High (5): Demonstrates ability to manage systems with 600 named users with 100,000 employee records ☐ Med (3): Demonstrates ability to manage systems with 300 named users with 50,000 employee records ☐ Low (1): Demonstrates ability to manage systems with 100 named users with 10,000 self-service users ☐ No (0): Business case does not address user base 		В		
28	System Availability/Uptime	☐ High (5): Demonstrates system uptime greater than or equal to 99.9% ☐ Med (3): Demonstrates system uptime greater than or equal to 99.5% ☐ No (0): Demonstrates system uptime less than 99.5%		В		
29	HR Servicing Ratio (Service Ratio of HR Support Staff to Workforce)	☐ High (5): HR Servicing Ratio greater than 1:100 ☐ Med (3): HR Servicing Ratio between 1:75 and 1:100 ☐ Low (1): HR Servicing Ratio between 1:50 and 1:75 ☐ No (1): HR Servicing Ratio less than 1:50		В		

Version 1.0 December 2004

Project	/Service Name						
_	Project Identifier (UPI)						
(Govern	nment Only)						
Agency	/Vendor						
No.	Criteria		Rating			Weighted Score	Comments
30	Additional SLA Performance Metrics Provided Including Time to Respond/Engage and Time to Resolve	☐ Yes (5) ☐ No (0)			В		
Totals:			Raw Score (150 Potential Points)			eighted Score	
Additio	nal comments:						

Notes

- 1. Questions are separated into two tiers ("A" and "B") based on their importance in assessing a SSC candidate's viability. Responses are weighted so that Tier A questions, in the aggregate, comprise two-thirds of the total weighted score. Tier B questions comprise one-third of the total weighted score.
- 2. Although this checklist is worded for evaluating existing centers, it may be applied to new center investment proposals by assuming modification to the tense of the requirements. For example, "Has the SSC been Certified and Accredited within the last 3 years?" can be read as, "Does the proposal provide a credible plan for Certification and Accreditation?"