Project Report

Assessing the Efficacy of the Coonamessett Farm (Cfarm) Turtle Excluder Sea Scallop Dredge in Reducing Injury to Loggerhead Sea Turtle Carcasses

Prepared by

Ronald Smolowitz
Matt Weeks
Maryann Morin
Coonamessett Farm

Although released by NOAA, the information in this paper does not reflect, represent, or form any part of the support of the policies of NOAA or the Department of Commerce. Further, release by NOAA does not imply that NOAA or the Department of Commerce agrees with the information contained herein.

COONAMESSETT FARM
277 Hatchville Road
East Falmouth, Massachusetts, USA 02536
508-563-2560 FAX 508-564-5073
cfarm@capecod.net

October, 2008

Assessing the Efficacy of the Coonamessett Farm (Cfarm) Turtle Excluder Sea Scallop Dredge in Reducing Injury to Loggerhead Sea Turtle Carcasses

Abstract

The purpose of this project was to quantitatively evaluate the effectiveness of the Cfarm Turtle Excluder Sea Scallop Dredge allowing turtle carcasses to pass over the dredge frame while the dredge was fishing on the seafloor. This was accomplished by having divers place a series of weighted loggerhead sea turtle carcasses on the sea floor in the path of a towed scallop dredge, and then conducting 6 tows (11 passes) with the Cfarm turtle excluder dredge (equipped with video cameras to record the turtle interactions and fate of the carcasses) through the test area. Nine encounters with the turtle carcasses were documented by the video cameras. All nine carcasses hit the dredge cutting bar and/or frame and then passed over the dredge frame. Little damage was observed on the recovered carcasses.

Introduction

Sea scallop dredge gear fished in the Mid-Atlantic Region incidentally capture sea turtles (Murray 2004a, 2004b, 2005, 2007). Coonamessett Farm (Cfarm) has been working collaboratively with the scallop industry, Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC), Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS), and the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) to mitigate the bycatch of sea turtles in the sea scallop dredge fishery. A study with twenty-two experimental cruises and 3,248 paired hauls assessed the effectiveness of "turtle chains", designed by Coonamessett Farm, affixed to sea scallop dredge gear in reducing sea turtle bycatch (DuPaul et. al., 2004). On August 25, 2006, NMFS issued a final rule to require sea scallop dredge vessels fishing south of 41° 9.0′ N. latitude between May 1 through November 30 each year to have dredges equipped with turtle chains (71 FR 50361, "chain mat rule").

Despite the implementation of the chain mat rule, there is a concern that some turtles may sustain injuries if they encounter the dredge on the seafloor and go under the dredge. Questions have also been raised about injuries turtles might sustain if, after they interacted with the dredge, they go over the dredge rather than under the cutting bar.

In response to these concerns, the sea scallop industry, working with the NEFSC, funded the development of a modified dredge designed to act as a wedge and guide turtles over the top of the dredge. The dredge design concept and prototype, developed by Coonamessett Farm, was evaluated in 2005 and 2006 in Panama City, Florida using fiberglass turtles and turtle carcasses. This work achieved success at increasing the probability of turtle carcasses going over the dredge as opposed to under the cutting bar, and resulted in several ideas for additional modifications. These dredge modifications were tested in the commercial fishery using scallop Research Set Aside (RSA) funds and have resulted in a dredge design that seems to catch more scallops and less fish bycatch. The dredge still required further testing to determine its effectiveness at causing turtle carcasses to go over the dredge while fishing on the seafloor.

The hypothesis tested in this project was that fishing with the turtle excluder scallop dredge lowered the probability of turtle injuries compared to fishing with a traditional dredge. The CFarm turtle excluder dredge is a design that was built and explored in a collaborative effort between CFarm, NERO, NEFSC, SEFSC and VIMS. The modification reduced the number of

support bars on the bale and changed the geometry of the dredge frame by moving the cutting bar forward (Fig. 1). These changes were hypothesized to decrease harmful benthic interactions with sea turtles by allowing the turtles to move up and over the frame rather than being forced under the cutting bar. The NMFS Pascagoula dive team videoed previous versions of the CFarm turtle excluder dredge encountering turtle carcasses and turtle models (Milliken et al., 2007). This CFarm turtle excluder dredge was experimentally fished in 2005 thru 2007 to assess its ability to catch scallops, and results indicate either equal or higher scallop catches (Smolowitz and Weeks, 2008). The purpose of this project was to determine if the Cfarm dredge was effective at passing sea turtle carcasses over the dredge during active fishing operations of the dredge on the seafloor.

Methods

The experiment was designed to simulate "the worst case scenario" of a dredge overtaking and hitting a motionless turtle lying on the sea floor. This scenario was achieved by having divers place turtle carcass in a marked location on the sea floor. The turtle carcasses were placed several feet apart, in a line perpendicular to the tow path. Each end of the line was marked by a surface buoy. The commercial scallop vessel, fishing the Cfarm dredge, then attempted to tow through the carcasses, with the interactions recorded on the dredge-mounted video cameras.

The vessel used in the project was the *F/V Challenge*, a 60-foot long by 20-foot beam commercial scalloper owned by Quinn Fisheries of New Bedford. The experimental dredge was an 11-foot wide version of the CFarm turtle excluder dredge, outfitted with two bale wheels and a standard set of turtle chains. The dredge was towed at 3 knots using 3:1 scope (90 feet of wire in 30 feet of water depth).

A three member dive team operated from a separate vessel. The dive team vessel anchored off one end of a line delineated at both ends by surface floats, which were anchored to the sea floor by a cement and steel filled bucket. The surface buoys, set with minimal scope, were positioned about 40 feet apart. The divers placed the turtle carcasses (initially five, but seven carcasses were used in most of the dredge evaluations) in a line between the two buoy anchors. The carcasses were spaced about 5 feet apart, starting at the windward buoy. After each dredge tow, the divers checked and realigned the carcasses. Visibility on the bottom was about ten feet at the beginning of the experiment, but decreased to only a few feet by the end, making the diver held cameras unusable.

The four dredge-mounted video cameras documented the encounters with the carcasses on the sea floor. Two camcorders were placed into underwater housings and mounted on the bale, one on each side of the center bale bar. These cameras were aimed aft to view the cutting bar and frame. A third camera, an underwater DeepSea Power & Light, Inc (DSPL) model 2060 Multi-SeaCam mounted on the port end of the dredge depressor plate, was aimed across the dredge to gain a full view of the entire bale. This camera was connected by cable to an underwater housing containing a video recorder and power pack. The fourth camera, a similar DSPL model mounted just below the top of the dredge frame at the center, was aimed ahead to view most of the dredge bale. This camera, connected by cable to a monitor and recorder on the towing vessel, provided real-time images.

The 6 tows, in which 11 passes were made, were conducted using a standard protocol. Before any of the tows were performed, the seven turtle carcasses were inspected and any pre-existing

damage recorded. The divers then placed the turtles on the seafloor (as previously described) and, after returning to the surface, would signal all was ready. The *F/V Challenge* would then set the dredge and tow between the two surface buoys favoring the windward side. The real-time camera was monitored during each tow to determine if any carcass interactions occurred. During the first three tows, only one pass was made and the dredge was hauled and cameras checked while the divers checked on the turtles. During tows 4 and 5, several dredge passes were made with the divers checking on the carcasses between each pass. During the last tow two passes were made without a diver check between the passes. After this last tow, the seven turtle carcasses were retrieved and checked for any new damage.

Results

The experimental tows reviewed in this paper were conducted in Cape Cod Bay, Massachusetts on September 9, 2008. Nine encounters with the turtle carcasses were documented on the video recordings. All nine carcasses interacted with the dredge cutting bar and/or frame and were then passed over the dredge frame. Two carcasses went over the dredge bale, while seven went underneath the bale.

Two of the carcasses appeared to have hit the hard rubber bale wheels, and were hung up for a few seconds before passing the bale (one over and one under) and over the dredge frame. This was the only evidence of potential dragging of the turtle carcasses in the experiment.

Two lobsters were observed to have come into contact with the cutting bar. Both lobsters went up and over the cutting bar; one between the cutting bar and depressor plate, and the other completely over the frame.

Very little damage was observed on the five recovered carcasses (see "Turtle Condition Sheets" Pages 13-29); two carcasses were lost during the experiment and were not evaluated.

Discussion

The experimental field results suggest that the Cfarm turtle excluder dredge was 100% effective in preventing turtle carcasses from being passed under the cutting bar. Damage to the carcasses from the dredge encounters appeared to be minimal. Live turtles may be even less likely to have an adverse encounter with this dredge as they probably exhibit a greater level of avoidance behavior than dead turtles (Palika, 1998).

Literature Cited:

Dupaul, William D., David B. Rudders, and Ronald J. Smolowitz. 2004. Industry Trials of a Modified Sea Scallop Dredge to Minimize the Catch of Sea Turtles. VIMS Marine Resource Report No. 2004-12. 31pp.

Milliken, Henry, Lisa Belskis, William DuPaul, Jeff Gearhart, Heather Haas, John Mitchell, Ron Smolowitz, Wendy Teas. 2007. Evaluation of a Modified Scallop Dredge's Ability to Reduce the Likelihood of Damage to Loggerhead Sea Turtle Carcasses. Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document 07-07

Murray KT. 2004a. Bycatch of sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the mid-Atlantic sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*) dredge fishery during 2003. 2nd ed. US Dep Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 04-11; 25 p.

Murray KT. 2004b. Magnitude and distribution of sea turtle bycatch in the sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*) dredge fishery in two areas of the northwestern Atlantic Ocean, 2001-2002. Fish Bull (DC) 102:671-681.

Murray KT. 2005. Total bycatch estimate of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the 2004 Atlantic sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*) dredge fishery. US Dep Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 05-12; 22 p.

Murray KT. 2007. Estimated bycatch of loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in U.S. Mid-Atlantic scallop trawl gear, 2004-2005, and in sea scallop dredge gear, 2005. US Dep Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc 07-04; 30 p.

Palika, L. 1998. The Complete Idiot's Guide to Turtles & Tortoises. Alpha Books. 270 pp.

Smolowitz, R.J., Mathew Weeks, and Karen Bolles. 2008. The Design of a Turtle Excluder Dredge for the Sea Scallop Fishery. RSA Project Final Report, NMFS, NERO,195 pp.

F/V Challenge Trip # 2008-1

Date: 9/5/08 Tow: #**1**

Tow Speed: 3.0 knots Wire Out (ft): 90 Heading: 102 Depth (ft): 32

Start Time (Dredge on bottom): 1059

Turtle Location: Lat: 41-44.623 Long: 70-21.023

End Time (Dredge on deck): 1103

Dredge Mounted Camera Operations:

#1: Starboard view aft Model: Sony Result: Failed #2: Port view aft Model: Panasonic Result: Good #3: Frame cross dredge Model: 1050 DSPL Result: Good #4: Live view forward Model: 2050 DSPL Result: Good

Turtle Tag #'s: 0042, 0041, 0043, 0032, 0034

Narrative (All times are video record times; min & sec):

- #2: 17:21- Dredge on bottom; good view/visibility
 - 20:50- Dredge snags marker buoy anchor bucket
 - 21:51- Haulback
- #3: 08:25- Dredge on bottom; good view/visibility
 - 11:48- Dredge snags marker buoy anchor bucket
 - 13:03- Haulback
- #4 02:15- Dredge on bottom
 - 05:27- Dredge bale hits anchor bucket on portside

F/V Challenge Trip # 2008-1

Date: 9/5/08 Tow: #2

Tow Speed: 3.0 knots Wire Out (ft): 90 Heading: 098 Depth (ft): 32

Start Time (Dredge on bottom): 1128

Turtle Location: Lat: 41-44.623 Long: 70-21.023

End Time (Dredge on deck): 1134

Dredge Mounted Camera Operations:

#1: Starboard view aft Model: Sony Result: Shut off on bottom #2: Port view aft Model: Panasonic Result: Out of focus #3: Frame cross dredge Model: 1050 DSPL Result: Failed at start

#4: Live view forward Model: 2050 DSPL Result: Good

Turtle Tag #'s: 0042, 0041, 0043, 0032, 0034

Narrative (All times are video record times; min & sec):

#1: 05:16- Dredge on bottom; camera trips off on impact

#4: 07:26- Dredge on bottom

11:19- Haulback

F/V Challenge Trip # 2008-1

Date: 9/5/08 Tow: #3

Tow Speed: 3.0 knots Wire Out (ft): 90 Heading: 104 Depth (ft): 32

Start Time (Dredge on bottom): 1157

Turtle Location: Lat: 41-44.623 Long: 70-21.023

End Time (Dredge on deck): 1203

Dredge Mounted Camera Operations:

#1: Starboard view aft Model: Sony Result: Shut off on deck

#2: Port view aft Model: Panasonic Result: Good #3: Frame cross dredge Model: 1050 DSPL Result: Good #4: Live view forward Model: 2050 DSPL Result: Good

Turtle Tag #'s: 0042, 0041, 0043, 0032, 0034

Narrative (All times are video record times; min & sec):

#2: 12:23- Dredge on bottom; good view/visibility

16:12 Haulback

#3: 15:01- Dredge on bottom; good view/visibility

17:46- Turtle (**Encounter 1**) goes under starboard bale, flips over, and goes over the dredge frame barely hitting the frame.

18:04- Turtle (**Encounter 2**) goes under the end of the starboard bale, hits the cutting bar, and goes up and over the dredge frame.

18:50- Haulback

#4: 16:31- Dredge on bottom; good view/visibility

19:39- Upside down turtle (**Encounter 1**) passes under starboard bale.

20:11- Haulback

F/V Challenge

Trip # 2008-1 Date: 9/5/08 Tow: #**4**

Tow Speed: 3.0 knots Wire Out (ft): 90 Heading: 090 Depth (ft): 32

Start Time (Dredge on bottom): 1305

Turtle Location: Lat: 41-44.623 Long: 70-21.023

End Time (Dredge on deck): 1328

Dredge Mounted Camera Operations: Three passes

#1: Starboard view aft Model: Panasonic Result: Poor; zoomed in #2: Port view aft Model: Panasonic Result: Poor, zoomed in

#3: Frame cross dredge Model: 1050 DSPL Result: Good #4: Live view forward Model: 2050 DSPL Result: Good

Turtle Tag #'s: 0042, 0041, 0043, 0032, 0034

Narrative (All times are video record times; min & sec):

#1: 15:32- Dredge on bottom; poor view/visibility 27:00- Haulback

32:54- Dredge back on bottom 36:02- Haulback

#2: 12:19- Dredge on bottom; poor image, zoomed in

15:14- Turtle (**Encounter 3**) hits frame and goes over 23:12- Haulback

30:00- Dredge back on bottom

31:59- Turtle (**Encounter 4**) going over frame 32:56- Haulback

#3 17:17- Dredge on bottom; good view/visibility

20:06- Turtle (**Encounter 3**) goes under the port bale, hits the cutting bar, and flips right over the dredge frame. The encounter lasts less than 2 seconds.

21:06- A lobster goes under the bale and over cutting bar into the dredge frame.

28:04- Haulback 34:51- Dredge back on bottom

36:49- Turtle (**Encounter 4**) caught in front of port bale wheel. 36:51- Turtle free from bale wheel, passes over bale and over frame.

36:52- Turtle (**Encounter 5**) going over starboard bale, hits frame and goes right over dredge in less than 1 second.

#4: 26:39- Dredge on bottom

29:30- Turtle (**Encounter 3**) passes under port bale. 36:55- Haulback

02:57- Dredge back on bottom

05:03- Turtle (**Encounter 4**) caught in front of port bale wheel.

05:05- Turtle goes over dredge frame 05:53- Haulback

F/V Challenge

Trip # 2008-1 Date: 9/5/08 Tow # **5**

Tow Speed: 3.0 knots Wire Out (ft): 90 Heading: 090 Depth (ft): 32

Start Time (Dredge on bottom): 1433

Turtle Location: Lat: 41-44.623 Long: 70-21.023

End Time (Dredge on deck): 1503

Dredge Mounted Camera Operations: Three passes

#1: Starboard view aft Model: Sony Result: Camera stopped

#2: Port view aft Model: Panasonic Result: Good #3: Frame cross dredge Model: 1050 DSPL Result: Failed #4: Live view forward Model: 2050 DSPL Result: Good

Turtle Tag #'s: 0042, 0041, 0043, 0032, 0034, 0037, 0038

Narrative (All times are video record times; min & sec):

#2: 24:42- Dredge on bottom; variable visibility

28:12- Turtle (**Encounter 6**) hits cutting bar carapace first (turtle upside down) and goes right over dredge frame- good visibility.

48:19- Turtle (**Encounter 7**) hits frame and goes right over- poor visibility

51:40 Haulback

#4: 16:29- Dredge on bottom; poor visibility/glare on video

20:00- Turtle (**Encounter 6**) barely visible

30:21- Turtle (**Encounter 8**) on starboard bale wheel

30:28- Turtle (**Encounter 8**) hung up on live cam. 30:44 Clear view of the turtle

30:59 Turtle (**Encounter 8**) out of view; went over dredge frame

43:40- Haulback

Coonamessett Farm

F/V Challenge

Trip # 2008-1 Date: 9/5/08 Tow: #**6**

Tow Speed: 3.0 knots Wire Out (ft): 90 Heading: 090 Depth (ft): 32

Start Time (Dredge on bottom): 1541

Turtle Location: Lat: 41-44.623 Long: 70-21.023

End Time (Dredge on deck): 1547

Dredge Mounted Camera Operations: Two passes

#1: Starboard view aft Model: Handycam Result: Poor; zoomed in

#2: Port view aft Model: Panasonic Result: Good #3: Frame cross dredge Model: 1050 DSPL Result: Good #4: Live view forward Model: 2050 DSPL Result: Good

Turtle Tag #'s: 0042, 0041, 0043, 0032, 0034, 0037, 0038

Narrative (All times are video record times; min & sec):

#1: 12:30 Dredge on bottom; poor view/visibility 31:22- haulback

#2: 07:24- Dredge on bottom; poor visibility

22:34- Turtle (**Encounter 9**) hits and goes right over. 26:00- Haulback

#3: 30:49- Dredge on bottom; poor visibility

37:58- Lobster hits cutting bar and goes over dredge frame

46:10- Turtle (Encounter 9) goes under port bale; carapace hits cutting bar and

flips over frame in under one second. 49:30- haulback

#4: 02:30- Dredge on bottom; poor visibility/glare on video

15:46- Turtle (**Encounter 9**) goes under port bale

21:01- Haulback



Figure 1: Cfarm turtle excluder dredge



Figure 2: Turtle carcass encountering cutting bar (Encounter #3).



Figure 3: Same turtle carcass going up over dredge frame after cutting bar encounter.

TURTLE RUN-OVER PROJECT TURTLE CONDITION SHEET

Nine turtles were available in the hold of the F/V Challenge. Seven turtles were used. (put on the seafloor) Five turtles were recovered. (the two missing turtle tag ID #'s are 0034 and 0043)

Tag ID # 0032No apparent damage caused by dredge.





0032Pre







Tag ID # 0037
Posttest exam shows small chip in surface layer of carapace.







0037Pre





Tag ID # 0038
Wire sutures failed on left plastron

0038Pre











Tag ID # 0041

Wire sutures failed on left plastron. Tag was lost, but ID is clear from characteristics in the photos.

0041Pre













 $Tag\ ID\ \#\ 0042$ No apparent damage caused by the dredge. No Pre-test dorsal photos were taken. 0042Pre



Coonamessett Farm 28





