





## Progress Report: Genetic Considerations for Wildland Forb and Shrub Restoration Plantings



E. Durant McArthur

Native Plant Selection & Increase

USDA-FS-RMRS-Shrub Sciences Laboratory

## Are native or restored populations more variable?

| Taxon             | Populations Polymorphic loci |   | Alleles/locus |     |      |      |
|-------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------|-----|------|------|
|                   | Ν                            | R | Ν             | R   | Ν    | R    |
| Artemisia         | 3                            | 2 | 43%           | 28% | 1.53 | 1.43 |
| Atriplex          | 9                            | 3 | 55%           | 63% | 1.59 | 1.57 |
| Ericameria        | 6                            | 2 | 56%           | 62% | 1.63 | 1.63 |
| Krascheninnikovia | 2                            | 1 | 44%           | 53% | 1.53 | 1.67 |
| Purshia           | 4                            | 3 | 33%           | 40% | 1.57 | 1.60 |

N = Native

### No consistent pattern.

R = Restored

## Genetics of Restored Populations of Intermountain Shrubs

**Results for complete sets:** 

Usually seed source was "genetically appropriate" = similar to natives near restoration site

and the restored population was similar, too.





Principal Components Analysis of Genetic Distances Based on Isozymes of *Atriplex canescens* and *A. tridentata* 







## Genetics of Restored Populations of Intermountain Shrubs

Sometimes results were unexpected.



#### Did seeded population fail?

Was the area reseeded naturally from nearby populations? Was the area reseeded from a soil seed bank? Most likely explanation.



Why the seeded population is so different, when the parents are similar, is unknown but it appears that that a mistake was made in the identity of the seeded population.



Both Carr Fork 2 and Maple Canyon are seriously lacking in genetic variation. Inappropriate transfer? More analysis is needed.

## **Do Ecoregions Explain Genetic Results?**

| Taxon                    | Set    | Location        | Ecoregion |     | MLRA |      |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|-----|------|------|
| "Genetically appropriate | •"     |                 | from      | to  | from | to   |
| Artemisia tridentata     | В      | Carr Fork       | 19f       | 13c | GSL  | GSL  |
| Atriplex canescens       | А      | Twist Hollow    | 14a       | 14a | GSL  | SNBR |
| Atriplex canescens       | С      | Ephraim         | 19d       | 19g | GSL  | GSL  |
| Ericameria nauseosa      | В      | Black Hills     | 19f       | 19g | GSL  | GSL  |
| Krascheninnikovia lanata | А      | Ephraim         | 19d       | 19d | GSL  | GSL  |
| "Genetically appropriate | e," bu | t outcome quest | ionable   | !   |      |      |
| Purshia tridentata       | А      | Wallsburg Burn  | 19g       | 19g | GSL  | GSL  |

#### **Genetically inappropriate**

Ericameria nauseosa A Box Springs 19f 19f GSL CP

| Species                    | Populations | Isozymes | AFLPs | cpDNA | Form | Family           |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------|-------|-------|------|------------------|
| Astragalus utahensis       | 5           | 5        | 4     | 5     | forb | Fabaceae         |
| Balsamorhiza sagittata     | 4           | 4        | *     | 0     | forb | Asteraceae       |
| Crepis acuminata           | 5           | 5        | 2     | 5     | forb | Asteraceae       |
| Crepis occidentalis        | 1           | 1        | 1     | 1     | forb | Asteraceae       |
| Erigeron pumilus           | 8           | 7        | 5     | 7**   | forb | Asteraceae       |
| Eriogonum umbellatum       | 7           | 4        | 4     | 7     | forb | Polygonaceae     |
| Eriogonum heracleoides     | 1           | 1        | 1     | 1     | forb | Polygonaceae     |
| Eriogonum ovalifolium      | 2           | 2        | 1     | 2     | forb | Polygonaceae     |
| Heliomeris multiflora      | 6           | 6        | 2     | 0     | forb | Asteraceae       |
| Lathyrus brachycalyx       | 2           | 2        | 2     | 2     | forb | Fabaceae         |
| Lomatium dissectum         | 3           | 3        | *     | *     | forb | Apiaceae         |
| Lomatium grayii            | 2           | 2        | *     | *     | forb | Apiaceae         |
| Lupinus argenteus/sericeus | 8           | 8        | 4     | 8     | forb | Fabaceae         |
| Penstemon acuminatus       | 1           | 1        | *     | *     | forb | Scrophulariaceae |
| Penstemon deustus          | 2           | 0        | *     | *     | forb | Scrophulariaceae |
| Penstemon speciosus        | 2           | 2        | *     | *     | forb | Scrophulariaceae |
| Phlox longifolia           | 3           | 3        | *     | *     | forb | Polemoniaceae    |
| Tragopogon dubius          | 3           | 3        | *     | *     | forb | Asteraceae       |
| Vicia americana            | 6           | 6        | 6     | 6     | forb | Fabaceae         |

Dystyly

### Long-styled 'Appar" *Linum perenne*



Short-styled 'Appar" *Linum perenne* 



Long-styled Native Linum lewisii

> Perennial Blue Flax Flowers

#### Phenogram of Perennial Blue Flax Populations Based on Bulked RAPD Samples







### **Flax Seed**

| Character               | n | North American accessions | n | European<br>accessions | n | 'Appar'<br>accessions | Attained significance |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Seed length (mm)        | 9 | 4.0 a                     | 9 | 3.5 b                  | 3 | 3.3 b                 | 0.010                 |
| Seed width (mm)         | 9 | 2.1 a                     | 9 | 2.0 a                  | 3 | 1.9 a                 | 0.128                 |
| Seed width/length ratio | 9 | 0.52 a                    | 9 | 0.58 b                 | 3 | 0.57 ab               | 0.011                 |
| Weight of 25 seeds (mg) | 9 | 49 a                      | 9 | 42 ab                  | 3 | 34 b                  | 0.047                 |

Means and attained significance values for 4 seed characters from 21 accessions of *Linum*. Significant model effects are in bold. Letters following means denote significant differences among source groups at p = 0.05.

#### Means and attained significance values for 16 morphological characters from *Linum*.

| Character                          | n  | North American accessions | n  | European accessions | n  | 'Appar'<br>accessions | Attained significance |
|------------------------------------|----|---------------------------|----|---------------------|----|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Plant height (cm)                  | 81 | 47.7 a                    | 87 | 43.5 a              | 30 | 39.2 b                | < 0.0001              |
| Leaf angle (rank 1-5)              | 83 | 3.6 a                     | 87 | 2.0 b               | 30 | 1.6 b                 | < 0.0001              |
| Leaf length (mm)                   | 81 | 16.2 a                    | 87 | 14.4 b              | 30 | 12.3 c                | < 0.0001              |
| Leaf width (mm)                    | 81 | 1.6 a                     | 87 | 1.6 a               | 30 | 1.3 b                 | 0.0002                |
| Longest internode length (mm)      | 81 | 6.7 a                     | 87 | 4.7 b               | 30 | 5.2 b                 | < 0.0001              |
| Number nodes per 3 cm              | 79 | 8.6 a                     | 87 | 10.8 b              | 30 | 10.2 ab               | 0.0005                |
| Basal stem color(y,g,r)            | 82 | Green a                   | 87 | Green/Red b         | 30 | Red c                 | 0.0052                |
| Stem diameter (mm)                 | 79 | 1.5 a                     | 87 | 1.3 b               | 30 | 1.1 c                 | < 0.0001              |
| Number flowering stems             | 79 | 11.2 a                    | 87 | 17.6 b              | 30 | 16.7 b                | < 0.0001              |
| Number of days to first flowering  | 77 | 23.6 a                    | 87 | 24.1 a              | 30 | 23.6 a                | 0.6041                |
| Flower diameter (mm)               | 77 | 29.6 ab                   | 87 | 31.1 a              | 30 | 29.4 b                | 0.0007                |
| Petal color(b,l,w)                 | 76 | Lavender a                | 87 | Blue/Lavender b     | 30 | Blue c                | < 0.0001              |
| Pistil length of long-styled (mm)  | 76 | 10.9 a                    | 40 | 8.3 b               | 16 | 7.5 b                 | 0.0029                |
| Stamen length of long-styled (mm)  | 76 | 7.1 a                     | 40 | 4.7 b               | 16 | 4.5 b                 | < 0.0001              |
| Pistil length of short-styled (mm) |    |                           | 47 | 4.9 a               | 14 | 4.8 a                 | 0.2531                |
| Stamen length of short-styled (mm) |    |                           | 47 | 7.4 a               | 14 | 7.1 a                 | 0.5054                |



Sphaeralcea appears to have high levels of genetic diversity in both seeded and native stands.

> Sphaeralcea parvifolia along Colorado River In Utah



#### Penstemon specious

Penstemons have been used widely In revegetation plantings; penstemons are know to hybridize. Our studies with Palmer's penstemon, however, showed No introgression with sympatic Indigenous penstemons.

Penstemon palmeri

Genetic distance as a function of geographic distance, for Astragalus utahensis.



Principal Components Analysis of Genetic Distances Among Individuals of *Astragalus utahensis*.



Principal Components Analysis Based on Genetic Distances Among 87 Individuals of 7 *Eriogonum umbellatum* Populations, Generated Using Eight Loci





Significant allele frequency variation was observed among populations ( $\theta = 0.184, 95\%$  C.I. 0.125 to 0.251), but no evidence of isolation by distance was observed among all populations (R2 = 0.003, P = 0.56), indicating that differentiation is likely due to restricted gene flow even at small scales. Population assignment tests indicate a single population, EDM 3111, to be distinct from the other collections.



Populations were significantly differentiated ( $\theta$  = 0.205, 95% C.I. 0.128 to 0.301), indicating that 20% of the observed variation is partitioned among populations. Pairwise measures of differentiation indicated most pairs of populations to be significantly differentiated, but no evidence was found for isolation by distance, indicating neighboring populations are no more likely to be genetically similar than geographically separated pairs. Bayesian clustering analyses indicate 7 genetic groups to be present in the combined data set, and individual assignment tests indicate these groups do not follow a geographic pattern.





# Acknowledgements

Thanks to the Great Basin Native Plant Increase and Selection Project—principals BLM and RMRS and partners and the U. S. Forest Service National Fire Plan Native Plant Program for funding.

•RMRS

Stewart Sanderson
Rosemary Pendleton
Susan Meyer
Stan Kitchen
Joann Mudge
Gary Jörgensen
W
Rich Cronn

•Valerie Hipkins

Bugham Young University

•Mikel Stevens •Wayne State University •Carl Freeman •Utah Division of Wildlife Resources

> •Jason Vernon. •Bracken Davis

Contractors •Barb Wilson •Carla Wise

