LAW OFFICES

BLISS McGLYNN & NOLAN, P.C.

2075 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD, SUITE 600 TROY, MICHIGAN 48084

blissmcglynn@ipdirection.com
TELEPHONE (248) 649-6090
FACSIMILE (248) 649-6299

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS & COPYRIGHTS

* ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA ONLY ** ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW YORK

GERALD E. MCGLYNN, III

ROBERT S. NOLAN ** SUZANNE D. NOLAN, PH.D.

BRIAN S. PICKELL

DANIEL H. BLISS
JEFFREY A. HAWN *

September 6, 2001

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent & Trademark Office Attn: Ronald Hack - Acting Chief Information Officer Washington, DC 20231

Re: Docket Number 010126025-1025-01

RIN 0651-AB34

Title: Notice of request for Comments on Development of a plan to remove the Patent and Trademark Classification Paper Files From the Public Search Facilities

Dear Sir:

As requested, I am responding to your request published in the August 27, 2001 Official Gazette.

Replacing the paper files with electronic files is fine as long as a paper backup in class and subclass order is maintained. Too many times the electronic database system is off line for either a number of hours or a number of days. This would present a problem when an individual attempts to perform a search in the USPTO's public search library. In this day and age of hackers and viruses, I feel the electronic system will become more vulnerable to such events and a paper backup is the only option.

Why is it that the government always feels compelled to fix that which is not broken? If you want to save the government money, eliminate personnel and spending, but not a resource that the public is willing to pay to come to Washington, D.C. area and use.

ne<u>i na kada, nak madang palaunan inga</u> spekalanggi na<u>ngi 400</u>000

To recap, while I do support the action of creating and maintaining a state-of-the-art electronic system, I think it would be in everyone's best interest to maintain a paper backup just as you have for so many years.

Respectfully you

COMPANIE

Daniel H. Bliss