

Administrative Offices 1830 6th Avenue Moline, Illinois 61265 309.797.7700 309.797.2386 FAX

December 22, 2004

Regulation Comments Chief Counsel's Office Office of Theft Supervision 1700 G Street, NW Washington DC 20552

Attention: No. 2004-53 & 2004-54

To Whom it May Concern:

Your proposal for change to the Community Reinvestment Act Regulations, in our view, will result in considerably less community development financing and basic banking services in low-and moderate-income communities. Thrift institutions, the nation's savings and loans, would be allowed to design their own watered-down Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) exams. Under your proposals, the thrifts could eliminate the investment and service parts of the CRA exam and would not be required to make investments in or provide branches to low-and moderate-income communities. Other aspects of your proposals we do not support are:

 Reduction in lending, investments, and banking services in low and moderate income communities. Some thrift institutions have billions of dollars – they have the capacity to fulfill their CRA obligations.

 The proposed regulations allow large thrifts more than \$1 billion in assets to pick and choose which community needs they will meet. CRA requires banks and thrifts to meet all needs, not pick and choose among them.

Large thrifts would design their own watered-down CRA exam under the proposed rules. Currently, large
thrifts have lending, investment, and service tests as parts of their CRA exam. Under the OTS proposal,
intensity of the CRA exam process will lead to fewer investments, basic banking services, and branches in
low-and moderate income communities.

Under the OTS proposal, all thrifts can earn CRA points by providing community development financing
and services to affluent neighborhoods, while neglecting low and moderate income ones in rural areas
needs of low and moderate income communities.

 Under current regulation, the OTS must hold two meetings for community groups and thrifts to discuss fair lending matters when two thrifts are merging. Now, the OTS is proposing that it may or may not grant a meeting at the discretion of the agency.

These proposals are contrary to the purpose of CRA to combat redlining of low-and moderate-income communities.

Please withdraw your proposal. We understand that you are the only agency out of four banking agencies advancing these proposals.

Dr. William P. Steinhauser, LMSW

Sincerely,

President/CEO, Bethany for Children & Families

Cc: Alliance for Children and Families

National Community Reinvestment Coalition