

"It is difficult to create a robust development sample, and you are also asking me to create a validation sample as well !"

The Constraints:

- Defaults are rare, creation of multiple samples is difficult
- Data used for building models should include a long enough time horizon to capture the impact of economic cycle
- A model that works well on one sample may not work well on another



JACK-KNIFING AS AN APPROACH





ADVANTAGES OF JACK-KNIFING

- Ensures stability through various cross-sections
- Coefficients are not unduly influenced by outliers.
- Much more robust than a *"development and validation sample"* approach
- Validation and development can be done simultaneously



The irrational exuberance of very good back-testing results can quickly evaporate with regime shifts.

Some Examples:

- Sudden change in relationship of a variable on defaults
- Use of size measures in a model
- Structural changes



RELATIONSHIP OF A VARIABLE ON DEFAULTS





SIZE MEASURES MAY CHANGE



- In many sectors EBITDA has very good predictive power.
- However, if a size measure is used periodic re-calibration is required



- Sometimes variables not used in a model can suddenly become important on account of structural changes in an industry or in the whole economy
- Example:
 - Under-funding of pension benefits (Should a portion of such under-funding be included when calculating leverage?)
- Mitigation:
 - -Keep our eyes and ears open

