



National NeighborWorks

Housing

Network

Board of Directors

Marina Guilfoil, President
Inglewood NHS

Amy Lockwood, 2nd Vice
President

Concord Area Trust For
Community Housing

Bruce Gottschall,
Treasurer

NHS of Chicago

Isay Gulley, Secretary
Clearwater NHS

Pam Canada
Sacramento NHS

Josie Cuda
Homeownership Center
Elkins, WV

Janice Forte
UNHS NeighborWorks
HomeOwnership Center

Maria Garcias
Salt Lake City NHS

Janis Geist
NHS of the LeHigh Valley

Al Gold
Colorado Rural Housing
Development Corp.

Sarah Grecke
NHS of New York City

E.D. Latimer
Affordable Housing
Resources

Nashville

Peg Malloy
Portland Housing Center

Jim Paley
NHS of New Haven

Gerald Shechter
Westside Housing
Organization

Pat Stephenson
Lafayette NHS

March 31, 2004

292

Regulation Comments
Chief Counsel's Office
Office of Thrift Supervision
1700 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20552

Dear Officials of Federal Bank and Thrift Agencies:

I am writing on behalf of the National NeighborWorks® Association (NNA), a national association of over 160 NeighborWorks® community development organizations, to voice our opposition over several elements of the proposed changes to the regulations governing the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA). NNA commented in October 2001 in response to the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPR) and appreciates the opportunity to provide additional feedback regarding CRA.

While we agree with the agencies' stated goal of updating CRA regulations to keep pace with changes in the financial services industry, we believe that such an update should be aimed at strengthening and broadening the reach of CRA as opposed to retracting it as is suggested by the proposed rule. We appreciate this opportunity to comment on the proposed rule and to share with you how NNA members have effectively used CRA to cultivate relationships with financial institutions and ensure that a full range of financial services and products are available to residents of the low and moderate income communities we work in.

NNA is a non-profit organization that advocates for better neighborhoods and housing for low- and moderate-income Americans. NNA is made up of more than 160 NeighborWorks® organizations (NWOs) that use Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation's funds to leverage private dollars to create new homeowners, revitalize distressed communities, and build single family and multi-family housing for low- to moderate-income families. All NWOs are committed to assisting low- and moderate-income individuals and families recognize the dream of becoming homeowners and living in safe and stable neighborhoods.

CRA has been a vital to the work of NWOs in our network. CRA not only provides an incentive for financial institutions to develop relationship with NWOs and other community based organizations, but it is also a critical force in maintaining these relationships and in keeping banks committed to providing services and products to residents in low and moderate income communities.

THE IMPORTANCE OF CRA TO NNA MEMBERS

CRA opens the door for productive and responsive relationships between community-based organizations, like NWOs, and the banking industry. These relationships have helped to yield outstanding results in communities served by NWOs. Since 1996 the NeighborWorks® Campaign for Home Ownership has assisted 70,000 households to become homeowners, 89 percent of whom were low- or moderate-income and provided homeownership counseling to over 420,000 individuals. These impacts could not have been achieved without the participation of bank partners.

Spurred by CRA requirements, lenders frequently work with NWOs to capitalize existing loan pools or create new products designed specifically to target an underserved market niche. For example, in Reading, Pennsylvania, a lender looking to improve its CRA rating approached the Neighborhood Housing Services (NHS) of Reading to develop a new product designed to assist low-income families purchase and rehabilitate their homes. This product met a clear community need and implied a greater risk for the bank and if not for CRA it is unlikely that the bank would not have collaborated to create such a product.

Countless NWOs in NNA have had similar experiences using CRA as a leverage to expand the availability of bank products and services in the communities we serve. We

can point to the Syracuse NHS, Boise NHS, NHS of Silicon Valley, Chattanooga Neighborhood Enterprises and NHS of Los Angeles.

Not only has CRA spurred lenders to provide more appropriate products, it has also encouraged lenders to become more involved in community education efforts. In Chicago, lenders provide support to the NHS of Chicago's homebuyer education courses; in St. Louis, lenders provided financial support for a financial literacy courses.

PREDATORY LENDING

While we applaud the agencies' desire to develop CRA standards designed to curtail abusive lending practices, we are concerned that the proposed rule as drafted will not have the effect of actually preventing the practices we see perpetuated by predatory lenders in our neighborhoods.

If abusive lending practices are allowed to continue, community-based organizations, like NWOs, will continue to act as the safety-net for victims of predatory lending and, with increasing frequency, many NWOs will find themselves detracted from their core mission in order to address the emergencies created by abusive lenders.

NNA members see first hand the destruction that predatory lenders can have on a neighborhood. Local NWOs are often contacted by an individual or family after they have been victimized by a predatory lender, have lost their home or are on the verge of losing their home. The abusive and misleading lending practices of predatory lenders have worked to reverse the progress made by NWO across the country. As our organizations are working to encourage homeownership, and help low income people build assets – predatory lenders are working to strip these homeowners of their equity and promoting financial products that erode individual's assets.

Differentiating predatory lending from responsible subprime lending must be done carefully. NNA is made up of organizations that are committed to working with low- and moderate-income individuals who for a variety of reasons, including poor or non-existing credit histories or unstable employment background, are unable to secure conventional mortgage financing. As responsible lenders NWOs work to provide these consumers with a range of financial services and products to enable them to become homeowners or rehabilitate their homes. These homeowners would otherwise be forced to a subprime product. We do this both as direct lenders as well as by working with conventional lenders.

Responsible subprime lending entails working with a consumer to come up with a loan product at a price and with terms that appropriately compensate the lender for risk, inclusion of reasonable return for the lender, and understandable by and appropriate for the borrower.

For example, the NHS of Chicago created the first-of-its-kind, \$2.2 million dollar loan fund to refinance predatory loans and replace them with a fixed rate, responsible loan product that is affordable and ultimately returns to the homeowner the equity they had worked for years to build. The NORMAL Program (Neighborhood Ownership Recovery Mortgage Assistance Loan) has refinanced 32 loans and has saved homeowners nearly \$1 million in reduced principal, waived fees, and penalties.

We believe that the proposed rules must go further to ensure that this type of responsible lending is encouraged while at the same time putting an end to the practices that are clearly abusive and not developed with the well being of the consumer in mind – such as charging of excessive fees, loan flipping, pre-payment penalties and other abusive lending practices.

We encourage the agencies to change the proposed predatory lending standard. The proposed rule specifies that predatory lending will be indicated by way of foreclosed value of the collateral, instead of the ability of the borrower to repay. While this does address the most destructive form of predatory lending – those ending in foreclosure – it does not adequately cover all cases of predatory lending.

We believe that all lender affiliates should be subject to CRA evaluation. Affiliates can erode investments made by its parent company. While the parent company meets its CRA obligation, an affiliate can erase those gains with abusive lending practices, resulting in a zero-sum game. This alternative financing system cancels out CRA's goal – that of meeting lower income communities' credit needs. We encourage that the agencies to include all affiliates loans when evaluated the CRA performance.

SMALL INSTITUTIONS

We oppose changing CRA regulations to allow banks with between \$250 and \$500 million to opt out of the investments and services test that is currently required of all banks with \$250 million or more in assets.

We believe that changing the definition of small institutions will decrease bank investments and services currently available in low- and moderate-income communities and will have a particularly negative impact on rural communities

that are particularly reliant on the activity of smaller financial institutions. As reported in Harvard's Joint Center for Housing Studies report *The 25th Anniversary of the Community Reinvestment Act: Access to Capital in an Evolving Financial Services System*, "rural communities are served by smaller banks that are not subject to the same degree to CRA scrutiny as larger banks and from the absence of well-developed networks of community-based advocacy organizations in many rural areas."

Rural Opportunities, Inc. has experience that confirms this observation. Forty-six lenders in New York (21 percent of all the lenders in the state), have assets between \$250 and \$500 million. They hold approximately \$15.9 billion in assets and they have 244 branches statewide. These banks also hold about \$11.4 billion in deposits. In the rural areas of New York, nine lenders, or more than 20 percent of the lenders in these areas, would be affected by the changes. They hold over \$3.16 billion in assets, have 184 branches, and hold almost \$9.4 billion in deposits.¹

Allowing these lenders to opt out of the investment and services tests will have a negative impact on investment in low income rural communities. Access to mortgage products and other retail banking services will be undermined in the rural areas of the Rural Opportunities service area.

With the elimination of the investments test, fewer lenders would have the CRA incentive to invest in Low Income Housing Tax Credits which has been a critical source of affordable rental housing and an important tool used by NWOs in our network. In addition, elimination of the services test would likely result in decreased bank activity in housing counseling or Individual Development Account (IDA) programs.

ENHANCED DATA DISCLOSURE

The NNA applauds the move toward enhanced data disclosure on small business lending, but urges that the proposed rule needs to go further to ensure this data is actually put to good use in the CRA examination process.

The federal agencies propose that they will publicly report the specific census tract location of small businesses receiving loans in addition to the current items in the CRA small business data for each depository institution. This will improve the ability of the general public to determine if banks are serving traditionally neglected neighborhoods with small business loans. Also the regulators propose separately reporting purchases from loan originations on CRA exams and separately reporting high cost lending (per the new HMDA data requirement starting with the 2004 data).

The positive aspects of the proposed data enhancements do not begin to make up for the significant harm caused by the other proposals. Furthermore, the federal agencies are not utilizing the data enhancements in order to make CRA exams more rigorous. The agencies must not merely report the new data on CRA exams, but must use the data to provide less weight on CRA exams to high cost loans than prime loans and assign less weight for purchases than loan originations.

Rural Opportunities, Inc. is the only SBA micro loan intermediary serving rural portions of upstate New York. Access to capital for small business start up and expansion is a serious issue in both rural and urban areas and, if fully implemented, the enhanced data disclosure provisions of the proposed rule can be a powerful tool to increase access to capital in underserved markets.

Low income communities need access to a full range of financial services and products, and small institutions play a key role in providing these services to rural communities. We encourage the agencies to retain the original definition of small institution.

We appreciate your attention to our concerns.

Sincerely,



Martina Guilfoil
President, National NeighborWorks® Association

¹ Data provided by the National Community Reinvestment Coalition (NCRC).