

Evans, Sandra E

From: Peter Fitzsimmons [pfitzsimmons@cragrouppllc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2004 1:48 PM
To: regs.comments@occ.treas.gov; regs.comments@federalreserve.gov; comments@fdic.gov;
regs.comments@ots.treas.gov
Cc: jsilver@ncrc.org
Subject: Proposed Changes to the Community Reinvestment Act

641

To:

Docket No. 04-06
Communications Division
Public Information Room, Mailstop 1-5
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
250 E St. SW,
Washington 20219

Docket No. R-1181
Jennifer J. Johnson
Secretary
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20551

Robert E. Feldman
Executive Secretary
Attention: Comments
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th St NW
Washington DC 20429

Regulation Comments, Attention: No. 2004-04
Chief Counsel's Office
Office of Thrift Supervision
1700 G Street NW
Washington DC 20552

Dear Officials of Federal Bank and Thrift Agencies:

As a community based organization, Community Reinvestment Acceptance Group urges you to withdraw the proposed changes to the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) regulations. The CRA has been instrumental in increasing access to homeownership, boosting economic development, and expanding small businesses in the nation's minority, immigrant, and low- and moderate-income communities. Your proposed changes are contrary to the CRA statute because they will significantly impede the progress made in community development and reinvestment.

The proposed CRA changes will thwart the Federal Administration's goals of improving the economic status of immigrants and creating 5.5 million new minority homeowners by the end of the decade. The proposed changes include three major elements: 1) provide streamlined and cursory exams for banks with assets between \$250 million and \$500 million; 2) establish a weak predatory lending compliance standard under CRA; and 3) expand data collection and reporting for small business and home lending. The beneficial impacts of the third proposal are overwhelmed by the damage imposed by the first two proposals. In addition, the federal banking agencies did not update procedures regarding affiliates and assessment areas in their proposal, and thus missed a vital opportunity to continue CRA's effectiveness.

Regarding streamlined and cursory exams - under the current CRA regulations, large banks with assets of at least \$250 million are rated by performance

evaluations that scrutinize their level of lending, investing, and services to low- and moderate-income communities. The proposed changes will eliminate the investment and service parts of the CRA exam for banks and thrifts with assets between \$250 and \$500 million. The proposed changes would reduce the rigor of CRA exams for 1,111 banks that account for more than \$387 billion in assets and have the greatest connectivity with low and moderate income communities that have the greatest need for the benefits that the CRA is intended to drive.

The elimination of the investment and service tests for more than 1,100 banks translates into considerably less access to banking services and capital for underserved communities. For example, these banks would no longer be held accountable under CRA exams for investing in Low Income Housing Tax Credits, which have been a major source of affordable rental housing needed by large numbers of immigrants and lower income segments of the minority population. Likewise, the banks would no longer be held accountable for the provision of bank branches, checking accounts, Individual Development Accounts (IDAs), or debit card services. Thus, the effectiveness of the Administration's housing and community development programs would be diminished. Moreover, the federal bank agencies will fail to enforce CRA's statutory requirement that banks have a continuing and affirmative obligation to serve credit and deposit needs if they eliminate the investment and service test for a large subset of depository institutions.

The proposed changes to CRA will directly undercut the Administration's emphasis on minority homeownership and immigrant access to jobs and banking services. The proposals regarding streamlined exams and the anti-predatory lending standard threaten CRA's statutory purpose of the safe and sound provision of credit and deposit services. The proposed data enhancements would become much more meaningful if the agencies update procedures regarding assessment areas, affiliates, and the treatment of high cost loans and purchases on CRA exams. CRA enables the benefits and services of our powerful banking system work for all Americans. CRA is too vital to have its scope and impact reduced at a time of continued consolidation in the banking industry and increases in the number of unbanked Americans. Thank you for your attention to this critical matter.

Sincerely,

Peter J. Fitzsimmons
Principal
CRA Group, LLC
18310 Montgomery Village Avenue
Suite 300
Gaithersburg, MD 20879
301.869.5841
www.cragroupllc.com

The information in this e-mail is confidential, maybe legally privileged and is intended solely for the addressee. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received a communication in error and that any use, review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete this e-mail and any copy thereof.
