

Board of Directors

Chair Charles Hill, Sr. Charles Hill & Associates, Inc.

Vice Chair Reginald Lewis State of New Jersey Department of Education

Secretary P. Leroy Pucheon Asses Chicago

Treasurer Pumelu Daniels-Halisi LaSalle Bank, N.A.

Members

Malcolm Bush, Ph.D, Woodsrack Institute

Thurus Fitzgibbon MB Financial

Gloria Guerrero National Rural Development and Finance Corporation

Charles Hill, Jr. New Jersey Unusing and Mortgage Finance Agency

Rdward Jacob North Side Community Federal Credit Union

Sokoni Kuranju, Ph.D. Genters For New Horizona

Michael Mitchell Mitchell Development Consultanta, Inc.

Mary Nelson, Ph.D. Bethel New Lafe, Inc.

Stephon Perkins, Ph.D. Center for Neighburhood Technology

Luwrence B. Rosser Opportunity Inc.

Guil Schoelner Interfaith Housing Center of the Northern Suburbs

Sandra P. Scheinfeld, Ph.D. Sylvia and Asyon Scheinfeld Foundation

Adu Skyles, Ph.D., J.D. Chapm Hall Corner for Children at the University of Chicago

Gregory Squires, Ph.D. George Washington University

Founder Sylvia R. Schoinfeld

Maleobn Rush, Ph.D. President

Marva Williams, Ph.D. Senia Vice President

Parricia Woods-Hessing Administrative Director

497 South Doarborn Ave. Suite 550 Chicago, Illianis 60605 Phone 312/427-4070 Par 312/427-4007 woodsteel@woodstockinst.org www.woodstockinst.org Woodstock Institute



September 3rd, 2004

Regulation Comments
Chief Counsel's Office
Office of Thrift Supervision
1700 G St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20552
Attn: No. 2004-28

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing from Woodstock Institute to comment on the proposal to use updated Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions for metropolitan statistical areas, which in some cases include a new geographic unit for "metropolitan divisions," to define CRA assessment areas. Woodstock Institute is a Chicagobased research and policy organization that has worked extensively on community reinvestment regulation. We feel this proposal threatens to facilitate redlining in CRA assessment areas.

According to the proposal, banking regulators would adopt new OMB definitions of metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) for CRA analysis and bank assessment area designation. The most concerning aspect of the OMB changes is the addition of a geographic unit for "metropolitan division." Twelve large MSAs that have some core region of at least 2.5 million people will now be subdivided into metropolitan divisions. These metropolitan divisions are defined as groups of one or more contiguous counties that contain an employment center or centers that are closely connected through commuting ties. Together the metropolitan divisions form the overall MSA. Bank regulators will use metropolitan divisions to calculate median family income levels for CRA analysis, and financial institutions will be allowed to designate one or more metropolitan division, up to an entire MSA, as their assessment area.

While OMB's goal in creating the metropolitan division may be "to recognize that in large MSAs, demographic and economic conditions vary wildly," we fear that allowing banks to define their assessment areas using metropolitan divisions may facilitate redlining and give financial institutions stronger rationale for excluding portions of an MSA that would previously have been included in an assessment area.

Regulation Comments Chief Counsel's Office Office of Thrift Supervision September 3rd, 2004 Page 2

In the Detroit-Warren-Livonia MSA, for example, there are two metropolitan divisions. One is Wayne County, where Detroit is located, and the other is the suburban collar counties surrounding Wayne. Wayne County has a median family income far lower than the surrounding counties. While separating these two metropolitan divisions may have the effect of more accurately targeting low- and moderate-income census tracts in both, it also isolates Wayne County, and sets up a condition where financial institutions can easily exclude it from their CRA assessment areas. If it is too difficult for a financial institution to lend or build branches in the lower-income Wayne County, it may choose to shift resources to the more affluent suburban Detroit metropolitan division and remove Wayne County from its assessment area altogether. Such a scenario is very possible under the proposed rule.

Although other MSAs do not offer examples as dramatic as Detroit, we feel this proposal sets up a condition where banks have increased rationale and regulatory backing for excluding less desirable parts of MSAs from their assessment areas and shifting business away from those communities. While we support more accurate targeting of low- and moderate-income communities, we do not support allowing financial institutions to use metropolitan divisions to designate assessment areas. We ask you to reconsider this proposal.

Sincerely,

Geoff Smith
Project Director

pw