



April 3, 2006

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency  
250 E Street, SW  
Mail Stop 1-5  
Washington, DC 20219

Docket No. 06-01

Ms. Jennifer J. Johnson  
Secretary  
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System  
20<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20551

Docket No. OP-1248

Mr. Robert E. Feldman  
Executive Secretary  
Attention: Comments  
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation  
550 17<sup>th</sup> Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20429

Regulation Comments  
Chief Counsel's Office  
Office of Thrift Supervision  
Attn: No. 2006-01  
1700 G Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20552

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Cherokee Bank, N.A. appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Agencies' Proposed Guidance entitled "Concentrations on Commercial Real Estate Lending, Sound Risk Management Practices" (the "Guidance"), published in the January 13, 2006 issue of the Federal Register.

Our bank is supportive of the March 6, 2006 letter on this subject from the Georgia Bankers Association.

North Canton Office:  
1275 Riverstone Parkway P.O. Box 4250 Canton, GA 30114  
Ph. 770 479 3400 Fx. 770 720 6923

Foothills Lending Office:  
42 McCain Pass P.O. Box 158 Marble Hill, GA 30148  
Ph. 770 893 3400 Fx. 770 893 3401

In addition, we would like to emphasize the following from our perspective:

1. An advisory on the subject would be more appropriate than rule making. Our bank recognizes concentrations and manages the risk accordingly with additional safeguards. The current examination process successfully provides appropriate oversight of the risk management process. That examinations process is “bank specific” and recognizes that different banks have different abilities, resources and markets.
2. Our bank is not a “collateral lender.” Still, if commercial real estate lending is constrained, we can see some banks moving to types of loans that provide weaker collateral protection than commercial real estate loans. Banks will not make fewer loans, but may seek asset based lending and consumer lending opportunities that would increase credit risk.
3. The proposed risk management practices appear to be blind as to geography. A commercial real estate risk in one market is not the same as another market.

Again, we appreciate the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

  
Dennis W. Burnette  
President & CEO

cgp