



**NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF REALTORS®**

*The Voice for Real Estate®*

430 North Michigan Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois 60611-4087  
312.329.8411 Fax 312.329.5962  
Visit us at [www.REALTOR.org](http://www.REALTOR.org).

Coldwell Banker AJS Schmidt  
4488 Cascade Road, SE  
Grand Rapids, MI 49546  
616.559.7241 Fax 616.559.7242  
E-mail: [pvredevogd@realtors.org](mailto:pvredevogd@realtors.org)

**Pat V. Combs, ABR, CRS, GRI, PMN**  
*President*

November 5, 2007

Mr. John M. Reich  
Director  
c/o Regulation Comments, Chief Counsel's Office  
Office of Thrift Supervision  
1700 G Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20552

Attn: OTS-2007-0015, Unfair or Deceptive Acts or Practices  
[transmitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal,  
[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov)]

Dear Director Reich:

On behalf of more than 1.3 million members of the National Association of REALTORS® (NAR), I am pleased to recommend that the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) promulgate a rule to prevent unfair or deceptive acts or practices (UDAP) with respect to mortgage lending activities engaged in by savings associations and their related entities.

The National Association of REALTORS®, "The Voice for Real Estate," is America's largest trade association, including NAR's five commercial real estate institutes and its societies and councils. REALTORS® are involved in all aspects of the residential and commercial real estate industries and belong to one or more of some 1,400 local associations or boards, and 54 state and territory associations of REALTORS®.

REALTORS® have a strong stake in preventing abusive lending because:

- Abusive lending erodes confidence in the Nation's housing system.
- In a credit-driven economy, the legislative and regulatory response to lending abuses can go too far and inadvertently limit the availability of reasonable credit for prime as well as subprime borrowers.

- Abusive lending strips equity from homeowners and harms citizens of communities, including REALTORS<sup>®</sup>, especially when the irresponsible lenders concentrate their activities on certain neighborhoods and create a downward cycle of economic deterioration that affects the entire community.

## **I. NAR Supports OTS Rulemaking**

NAR believes that borrowers who have demonstrated the financial capacity to meet their mortgage obligations, whether prime or subprime, should continue to have access to mortgage loans made by responsible lenders. Consistent with this principle, NAR has strongly supported the efforts of the federal banking agencies and their state counterparts to address abusive lending practices by issuing the Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgage Product Risks<sup>1</sup> and the Statement on Subprime Mortgage Lending<sup>2</sup> (Guidelines). Codifying the principles of the Guidelines will remove uncertainty about their enforceability.

NAR also believes it is important to apply UDAP regulations to entities affiliated with thrifts. One thing we have all learned as abuses in the subprime mortgage market have drawn national attention is that the bad actors seek out the least regulated methods to strip equity from innocent home buyers and home owners. We think that OTS leadership in setting high standards would become a model for other regulators at both the federal and state levels.

It will be important to coordinate with the Federal Reserve Board as it engages in rulemaking under the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act of 1994 (HOEPA) to prohibit unfair, deceptive, and abusive acts and practices in the mortgage market. No one benefits from overlapping regulatory requirements, and the additional costs imposed are ultimately borne by consumers. But to the extent the Board's rules do not include all of our recommendations, we urge you to adopt additional safeguards for the entities you supervise.

Too many homeowners and home buyers today are the victims of failure of the free market, the scope and impact of which remain unclear, even today. Some subprime mortgage originators premised their business plan on a housing market with ever-increasing home prices and repeated refinancings by borrowers seeking to escape unaffordable mortgage payments. Aside from the faulty premise of assuming that home prices only go up, making loans designed to be refinanced multiple times over a short time constitutes equity stripping by generating high fees that are often masked in a new, higher principal amount. Families who could have realized and retained significant home equity and sustainable homeownership if they had been offered a traditional 30-year, fixed rate subprime mortgage now struggle to retain their home and even face foreclosure due to the hybrid adjustable rate mortgage (ARM) product they were sold.

## **II. NAR Recommendations**

NAR believes that an OTS UDAP rule, as it applies to mortgage lending, should include general principles, with specific examples to guide those subject to the rule of the practices to apply and those to avoid. We believe that all mortgage originators should act in "good faith and

---

<sup>1</sup> 71 Fed. Reg. 58609 (Oct. 4, 2006)

<sup>2</sup> 72 Fed. Reg. 37569 (July 10, 2007)

with fair dealings” in a mortgage transaction and treat all parties honestly. NAR encourages OTS to use such a standard of care as a guiding principle when drafting regulations. Such a standard should not be used to create a new, freestanding federal duty that would be too general and, therefore, too difficult to enforce. High standards are achievable without imposing undue burdens. For example, real estate professionals owe a fiduciary duty to their client or, in the case of dual agency, clients. NAR’s Code of Ethics requires REALTORS<sup>®</sup> to treat everyone in the transaction honestly.

We offer the following specific recommendations for inclusion in the rule.

#### **A. Prepayment Penalties.**

In 2005, NAR adopted its policy opposing prepayment penalties for all mortgages. Prepayment penalties often trap borrowers in loans they cannot afford by making them too expensive to refinance. While some lenders may, in fact, offer lower rates in exchange for a borrower agreeing to a prepayment penalty, in the experience of many REALTORS<sup>®</sup>, that option is not at all typical. A 2005 study by the Center for Responsible Lending concluded that borrowers with subprime loans and prepayment penalties do not receive lower interest rates, and may actually pay higher rates.<sup>3</sup> The use of prepayment penalties with terms that extend beyond the initial fixed interest rate period that is a feature of many adjustable rate mortgages is particularly egregious. Some originators encourage consumers to accept these loans by reassuring them that they can always avoid a jump in payments by refinancing before the reset period. But then, when they do refinance, assuming they can in the current credit environment, the lender charges a prepayment penalty. This is one of the most unfair practices engaged in by irresponsible lenders.

If complete prohibition of prepayment penalties is not feasible for all categories of mortgages, NAR urges OTS to their use for subprime mortgages and other categories of mortgages where it identifies the worst abuses. Failing that, you should permit prepayment penalties only for the shortest time and the lowest amount possible. For example, a borrower with a 2/28 mortgage should be able to refinance within a reasonable time before the end of the initial two-year “teaser” rate period without having to pay a prepayment penalty.

Because there are serious questions about whether borrowers benefit from agreeing to prepayment penalties, if the lender is permitted to and does offer a mortgage with a prepayment penalty, it should offer an appropriate choice of mortgages, with and without prepayment penalties, so the borrower can make a real choice based on how long they plan to keep the mortgage.

#### **B. Escrow for Taxes and Insurance on Subprime Loans**

Unlike lenders making prime mortgage loans, subprime lenders typically do not require borrowers to include an additional amount in their monthly mortgage payment, to be placed in an escrow/reserve/impound account by the lender or the mortgage servicer for payment to the

---

<sup>3</sup> “Borrowers Gain No Interest Rate Benefits from Prepayment Penalties on Subprime Mortgages,” Center for Responsible Lending (January 2005).

insurance company and taxing authority. NAR knows of no reasonable explanation for this counter-intuitive practice. One inappropriate reason is to make refinancing, together with another round of fees, necessary for many borrowers as they face unplanned for tax and insurance bills they cannot afford to pay. Another possible explanation is that some irresponsible lenders have intentionally chosen to underwrite subprime loans without considering the costs of taxes and insurance in order to approve more loans and, in turn, receive more fee income.

NAR urges OTS to require subprime lenders to require an escrow for taxes and insurance, with limited exceptions. For example, similar to the exception for prime loans in some jurisdictions, borrowers that make at least a 20 percent downpayment should have the option to budget for these payments on their own. The regulations should specify other reasonable criteria, or permit lenders to do so in writing, for exceptions to this policy. Of course, any exception to the general rule must be limited and not become the general rule.

NAR opposes limiting the mandatory monthly payment of amounts for taxes and insurance to only the first year or two of the mortgage. This would not adequately protect subprime borrowers, since most of the borrowers with subprime loans will continue to benefit from the discipline that is typical for those with prime mortgages.

### **C. “Stated Income” or “Low Doc” Loans**

Because mortgages based on “stated income” or “low doc” underwriting typically have higher rates, lenders making subprime loans should, as a general rule, underwrite loans based on verified income and verified assets. In too many cases, borrowers are not aware that the faster processing that can come with a “stated income” or “low doc” loan comes at a high cost—higher rates and higher mortgage payments for the life of the loan. Practically all borrowers are in a position to supply documents lenders may use to verify their income and assets so they can qualify for the lowest possible rate.

NAR believes that OTS should allow exceptions when there are mitigating factors, consistent with the federal banking agencies’ Statement on Subprime Mortgage Lending. The rule should make clear that any exception to this policy should be limited to a small percentage of loans and the circumstances should be documented.

In all cases, lenders should offer borrowers the option of documenting income and assets, even if they fit within an exception.

### **D. Unaffordable Loans.**

NAR supports requiring strong underwriting standards that require all mortgage originators, not just subprime lenders, to verify the borrower’s ability to repay the loan based on all its terms, including taxes and insurance, without having to refinance or sell the home.<sup>4</sup> Lenders should consider all relevant facts, including the borrower’s income, credit history, future

---

<sup>4</sup> The limited exceptions to this general principle would include prime borrowers with sufficient verifiable assets to handle a balloon mortgage or a significant jump in mortgage payment.

income potential, and other life circumstances. Lenders should not make loans to borrowers that make loss of the home through deed in lieu of foreclosure or foreclosure likely if the borrower is unable to refinance the mortgage or sell the home.

- **Underwriting Subprime Loans with “Teaser Rates.”** Some subprime loans are structured with a significant jump in monthly payments often resulting in “payment shock” for the borrower. While these mortgages may be a reasonable choice for the few subprime borrowers who can afford a significant increase in their monthly payment, a majority of subprime borrowers do not understand the unique terms and conditions of these risky mortgage products that can result in a significant “payment shock.” NAR recommends that lenders should exercise more caution when underwriting these loans for subprime borrowers to make sure the borrower is able to afford the mortgage payments at the reset rate. Examples of these risky mortgage products include loans with a short-term “teaser” interest rate for the first two or three years (such as 2/28s and 3/27s).
- **Reasonable Debt-to-Income Ratio.** NAR supports requiring lenders to adopt a written policy to assure that their subprime loans result in a reasonable debt-to-income ratio for the borrowers. Borrowers should have enough residual income after making their monthly mortgage payment, including taxes and insurance, to meet their needs for food, utilities, clothing, transportation, work-related expenses, and other essentials. Requiring underwriting at a fully amortizing, fully indexed rate is meaningless if the lender uses such high debt-to-income ratios that the family doesn’t have enough income remaining to pay for other necessities.

NAR does not recommend a rigid percentage cap on debt-to-income ratios, because each circumstance is different and acceptable debt-to-income ratios have increased dramatically over the last 30 years. However, we ask that OTS consider providing guidelines that take into account income, family size, and regional cost of living to help lenders in developing their policies.

- **Flexibility for Life Circumstances.** NAR believes that a standard for determining a borrower’s ability to repay must be flexible to accommodate borrowers with unique circumstances. The Guidelines and the Statement do not provide this flexibility, but since they are not regulatory requirements, we think that lenders could adopt the “fully indexed, fully amortizing” underwriting standard as a general rule but permit limited exceptions that should be documented in writing. We have asked for confirmation of this understanding, and repeat this request in connection with your UDAP rulemaking. If OTS does not accept NAR’s recommendation and issues the “fully indexed, fully amortizing” underwriting standard without permitting exceptions, we think some borrowers will unnecessarily and inappropriately be denied homeownership. NAR believes a UDAP rule should provide flexibility in circumstances such as:
  - Borrowers who have demonstrated the ability to make monthly payments, over a long term, that are higher than underwriting standards would otherwise

allow. Lenders should consider, for example, the borrower's history of making rent, utilities and student loan payments.

- Borrowers with high assets but low income who, for cash management or other financial planning reasons, elect a mortgage with a monthly payment that their current income is not sufficient to cover.
- Borrowers who anticipate a jump in income or assets due to life events such as graduation, completion of professional training, completion of payment obligations for student or car loans, or another member of the household entering the work force when young children start school.

### **E. Anti-Mortgage Flipping.**

Frequent refinancings are a sign of abusive lending practices. NAR recommends requiring mortgage originators making or arranging for a loan that refinances an existing residential mortgage to verify that the new loan provides a significant benefit to the borrower. One test often proposed is the loan must provide a "reasonable net tangible benefit" to the borrower. The lender should consider the circumstances of the borrower, as discussed above, all terms of the new loan including taxes and insurance, the fees and other costs of refinance, prepayment penalties, and the new interest rate compared to that of the refinanced loan.

### **F. Improvements for Assessing Creditworthiness.**

- **Alternative Credit History.** Borrowers with little or no credit history, as traditionally measured, usually have lower credit scores and must pay more every month for their mortgage than those with higher scores. NAR supports ongoing efforts to take into account consumer payment history not typically considered, such as rent, utility, telephone, and other regular payments and has urged HUD, the all the federal bank regulators, the Government-Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs), and lenders to work to strengthen these efforts. Use of alternative credit approaches will be especially beneficial for low- and moderate-income first-time homebuyers and borrowers with problematic loans that need to refinance their mortgage to avoid foreclosure. NAR again recommends that OTS encourage the lending industry to expand use of alternative credit histories in connection with its rulemaking.
- **Periodic Reporting.** Some borrowers are trapped in mortgages they can't afford because the lender fails to report their good mortgage payment histories to the consumer reporting agencies. NAR has heard reports that many problematic subprime lenders purposefully withhold information on timely mortgage payments from the credit agencies to prevent their customer from refinancing with another lender. The result is obvious—the borrowers have earned lower cost mortgages but cannot document that they qualify for them. NAR recommends that you require thrifts and their related institutions, as appropriate, to report payment history of all borrowers to at least the three national credit bureaus on a monthly basis, and that thrifts require their third party servicers to do so as well.

## **G. Mortgage Choice for Borrowers.**

NAR supports requiring mortgage originators to offer borrowers one or more mortgages with interest rates and other fees that appropriately reflect the borrower's credit risk. It should remain the responsibility of borrowers to decide which is the best mortgage for their needs and circumstances, but this is only possible if they understand all the facts and have reasonable options, so they can make informed decisions. The following are suggested principles for your consideration:

- For originators that offer nontraditional mortgage products, the originator should:
  - offer all borrowers a choice of several significantly different mortgage options;
  - include at least one traditional mortgage loan<sup>5</sup> as one of the options for the borrower to consider, if the borrower qualifies for a traditional mortgage loan offered by the originator; and
  - before application acceptance, disclose information about the maximum potential payment over the life of the loan and the date the initial payment will increase to a fully amortizing, fully indexed payment amount.
- For subprime borrowers, originators that offer FHA-insured mortgages or VA home loan guaranty mortgages should consider whether these types of mortgages should be offered as an appropriate option.
- If the originator does not offer mortgages with rates and fees appropriate for the borrower's credit risk, the originator should inform the borrower that a lower interest rate may be available from another originator or that the borrower may wish to seek housing counseling, to give the borrower an opportunity to shop elsewhere or receive counseling before proceeding. For example, a prime borrower that applies for a loan to a lender that only makes subprime loans should be advised that other options may be available.
- For loans originated by a mortgage broker, the broker should offer mortgage options that are among the lowest-cost products appropriate for the borrower.

---

<sup>5</sup> Such as a 30-year or 15-year fixed rate mortgage or a traditional ARM with reasonable annual and lifetime interest rate caps.

Thank you for the opportunity to make recommendations for how to address unfair, deceptive, and abusive lending practices in the mortgage markets. Please contact Jeff Lischer, Manager, Financial Services (202.383.1117; [jlischer@realtors.org](mailto:jlischer@realtors.org)) if you have any questions regarding our comments.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Pat V. Combs". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "P" and "C".

Pat V. Combs, ABR, CRS, GRI, PMN  
2007 President, National Associations of REALTORS®