

24



Central California
**SMALL BUSINESS
 DEVELOPMENT CENTER**



June 7, 2002

Regulation Comments
 Chief Counsel's Office
 Office of Thrift Supervision
 1700 G Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20552

Attention: Docket No. 2002-17

To Whom It May Concern:

As a member of the National Community Reinvestment Coalition, Central California Small Business Development Center strongly supports the proposed changes to the Office of Thrift Supervision's regulations implementing the Alternative Mortgage Transaction Parity Act (AMTPA). CCSBDC has been involved in combating predatory lending for several years. We have repeatedly seen instances in which unscrupulous lending institutions have used prepayment penalties to trap borrowers in abusive loan. Borrowers have also faced stiff late fees associated with abusive loans. The current AMTPA regulations have facilitated the proliferation of prepayment penalties and late fees in predatory loans.

AMTPA has outlived its usefulness. Congress passed AMTPA in 1982 during a high interest rate environment in order to provide state-chartered institutions the ability to offer adjustable rate mortgages (ARMs) and other alternative mortgages. At that time, many states had outlawed ARM's. From 1983 to 1996, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board (the OTS' predecessor agency) and the OTS granted state-chartered thrifts and non-depository institutions preemption under AMTPA from state law on alternative mortgages so that they could offer ARMs. During this time period, however, the Bank Board and the OTS did not allow institutions preempt state limits regarding prepayment penalties and late fees on alternative mortgages.

This single change in the OTS regulations during 1996 significantly contributed to the dramatic increase in predatory lending of the last few years. Non-depository institutions and mortgage companies that were state-chartered applied prepayment penalties at such a high rate that the great majority of sub-prime borrowers (about 80 percent) now have prepayment penalties. In contrast, only 2 percent of prime borrowers have prepayment penalties on their loans according to Standard and Poor's. This huge difference in the application of prepayment penalties suggests that prepayment penalties trap sub-prime borrowers into abusive loans, and that sub-prime borrowers do not freely accept prepayment penalties as a means of lowering their interest rates.

As part of its Consumer Rescue Fund initiative, the National Community Reinvestment Coalition recently represented an elderly minority couple that owned their home in the District of Columbia for nearly 40 years. In order to pay medical expenses, an independent mortgage company convinced the couple to take out an adjustable rate mortgage with a prepayment penalty of over \$13,000 and a loan payment that exceeded the couple's monthly income. Faced with imminent foreclosure, the couple attempted a "short sale" of their home, but was almost unable to complete the sales due to the prepayment provision. After

Fresno Office: 3419 W. Shaw, Suite 102 • Fresno, CA 93711 • (559) 275-1223 / FAX (559) 275-1499
 Visalia Satellite: 430 W. Caldwell, Suite D • Visalia, CA 93277 • (559) 625-3051 / FAX (559) 625-3053

NCRC's intervention, the sale took place. This is the type of loan that has been allowed by OTS' AMTPA regulations.

The OTS correctly notes in its proposal that prepayment penalties and late fees are not integral elements of alternative mortgages. The OTS also reports that all states but one now allow ARMs meaning that AMTPA is not longer needed. Instead, predatory lenders are using AMTPA and the existing OTS regulations to evade state law on alternative mortgages and prey upon unsuspecting and vulnerable borrowers. Central California Small Business Development Center cannot emphasize enough how urgent it is to remove AMTPA's preemption of state limits regarding prepayment penalties and late fees on alternative mortgages.

Central California Small Business Development Center notes that the OTS could have made its proposal stronger. The AMTPA statute provides OTS with the discretion to prescribe general limits on loan terms and conditions. The OTS could have adopted a two-year limitation on prepayment penalties for the alternative mortgages issued by all the institutions it regulates including federally chartered thrifts, state-chartered thrifts and non-depository institutions. The limitation would also stipulate the maximum amount of the prepayment penalty at one percent of the loan amount. Currently, victims of predatory lending are confronted with paying about 5 percent or higher of the loan amount as a prepayment penalty.

Central California Small Business Development Center believes that limiting prepayment penalties across the board would have achieved a greater degree of uniformity in the regulatory framework for different institutions. If the OTS does not adopt a more prescriptive approach, Central California SBDC strongly urges the OTS to stick with its proposal and to resist industry calls to weaken its proposed regulatory changes.

We applaud the OTS for proposing this change to their AMTPA regulations and ask the OTS to implement this change as quickly as possible after the close of the public comment period.

Sincerely,



Dennis Winans
Director

cc:
National Community Reinvestment Coalition