RAYMOND C. CABALLERO



June 18, 2002



CITY COUNCIL

JAN SUMRALL DISTRICT NO. 1

ROSE RODRIGUEZ
DISTRICT NO. 2

LARRY M. MEDINA DISTRICT NO. 3

JOHN COOK
DISTRICT NO. 4

DANIEL S. POWER DISTRICT NO. 5

PAUL J. ESCOBAR DISTRICT NO. 6

LUIS G. SARIÑANA DISTRICT NO. 7

ANTHONY COBOS
DISTRICT NO. 6

Regulation Comments Chief Counsel<sup>1</sup>s Office Office of Thrift Supervision FAX: (202) 906-6518

Attention: Docket No. 2002-17

To Whom it May Concern:

I strongly support the proposed changes to the Office of Thrift Supervision's regulations implementing the Alternative Mortgage Transaction Parity Act (AMTPA). As mayor of El Paso, I have been increasingly concerned about the impact of predatory lending in my community. Our community has repeatedly seen instances in which unscrupulous lending institutions have used prepayment penalties to trap borrowers in abusive loans. Borrowers have also faced stiff late fees associated with abusive loans. The current AMTPA regulations have facilitated the proliferation of prepayment penalties and late fees in predatory loans.

AMTPA has outlived its usefulness. Congress passed AMTPA in 1982 during a high interest rate environment in order to provide state-chartered institutions the ability to offer adjustable rate mortgages (ARMs) and other alternative mortgages. At that time, many states had outlawed ARMs. From 1983 to 1996, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board and the OTS granted state-chartered thrifts and non-depository institutions preemption under AMTPA from state law on alternative mortgages so that they could offer ARMs. During this time period, however, the Bank Board and the OTS did not allow institutions to preempt state law on alternative mortgages that limited prepayment penalties and late fees. In 1996, the OTS inexplicably reversed course and allowed institutions to preempt

state limits regarding prepayment penalties and late fees on alternative mortgages.

This single change in the OTS regulations during 1996 significantly contributed to the dramatic increase in predatory lending of the last few years. Non-depository institutions and mortgage companies that were state-chartered applied prepayment penalties at such a high rate that the great majority of subprime borrowers (about 80 percent) now have prepayment penalties. In contrast, only 2 percent of prime borrowers have prepayment penalties on their loans according to Standard and Poor's. This huge difference in the application of prepayment penalties suggests that prepayment penalties trap subprime borrowers into abusive loans, and that subprime borrowers do not freely accept prepayment penalties as a means of lowering their interest rates.

The OTS correctly notes in its proposal that prepayment penalties and late fees are not integral elements of alternative mortgages. The OTS also reports that all states but one now allow ARMs, meaning that AMTPA is no longer needed. Instead, predatory lenders are using AMTPA and the existing OTS regulations to evade state law on alternative mortgages and prey upon unsuspecting and vulnerable borrowers. I cannot emphasize enough how urgent it is to remove AMTPA's preemption of state limits regarding prepayment penalties and late fees on alternative mortgages.

I applaud the OTS for proposing this change to their AMTPA regulations and ask the OTS to implement this change as quickly as possible after the close of the public comment period.

Sincerely,

Raymond C. Caballero

Mayor

CC.

National Community Reinvestment Coalition