

May 30, 2002

To Whom It May Concern:

As a member of the National Community Reinvestment Coalition, the Chicago Community Loan Fund (CCLF) strongly supports the proposed changes to the Office of Thrift Supervision's regulation implementing the Alternative Mortgage Transaction Parity Act (AMTPA). CCLF has been involved in combating predatory lending for several years. We have repeatedly seen instances in which unscrupulous lending institutions have used prepayment penalties to trap borrowers in abusive loans. Borrowers have also faced stiff late fees associated with abusive loans. The current AMTPA regulations have facilitated the proliferation of prepayment penalties and late fees in predatory loans.

AMTPA has outlived its usefulness. Congress passed AMTPA in 1982 during a high interest rate environment in order to provide state-chartered institutions the ability to offer adjustable rate mortgages (ARMs) and other alternative mortgages. At that time, many states had outlawed ARMs. From 1983 to 1996, the Federal Home Loan Bank Boards (the OTS' predecessor agency) and the OTS granted state-chartered thrifts and non-depository institutions preemption under AMTPA from state law on alternative mortgages so that they could offer ARMs. During this time period, however, the Bank Board and the OTS did not allow institutions to preempt state law on alternative mortgages that limited prepayment penalties and late fees. In 1996, the OTS inexplicably reversed course and allowed institutions to preempt state limits regarding prepayment penalties and late fees on alternative mortgages.

This single change in the OTS regulations during 1996 significantly contributed to the dramatic increase in predatory lending of the last few years. Non-depository institutions and mortgage companies that were state-chartered applied prepayment penalties at such a high rate that the great majority of sub prime borrowers (about 80 percent) now have prepayment penalties. In contrast, only 2 percent of prime borrowers have prepayment penalties on their loans according to Standard and Poor's. This huge difference in the application of prepayment penalties suggests that prepayment penalties trap sub prime borrowers into abusive loans, and that sub prime borrowers do not feely accept prepayment penalties as a means of lowering their interest rates.

The OTS correctly notes in its proposal that prepayment penalties and late fees are not integral elements of alternative mortgages. The OTS also reports that all states but one now allow ARMs, meaning that AMTPA is

29 East Madison Street
Suite 1700
Chicago, Illinois 60602
Tel 312.252.0440
Fax 312.252.0419
Email info@cclfchicago.or
www.cclfchicago.org



Board of Directors

Rev. Donald L. Sharp

Patricia Y. McCreary Vice Chair

Chair

Edward J. Hoynes, CPA Treasurer

Rafael M. Léon Secretary

Charles F. Daas
Stephen J. Gladden
Toya Horn Howard
Ed Jacob
Susan Kaplan, Esq.
Raymond S. McGangh, Esq.
Richard Peabody
Nancy Radner
Maria Saldaña
Kathryn Tholin
John L. Tuohy, Esq.

Calvin L. Holmes
Executive Director

not longer needed. Instead, predatory lenders are using AMTPA and the existing OTS regulations to evade state law on alternative mortgages and prey upon unsuspecting and vulnerable borrowers. CCLF cannot emphasize enough how urgent it is to remove AMTPA's preemption of state limits regarding prepayment penalties and late fees on alternative mortgages.

CCLF notes that the OTS could have made its proposal stronger. The AMTPA statute provides OTS with the discretion to prescribe general. limits on loan terms and conditions. The OTS could have adopted a twoyear limitation on prepayment penalties for the alternative mortgages issued by all the institutions it regulates including federally charted thrifts. state-chartered thrifts and non-depository institutions. The limitation would also stipulate the maximum amount of the prepayment penalty at one percent of the loan amount. Currently, victims of predatory lending are confronted with paying about five percent of higher of the loan amount. as a prepayment penalty.

CCLF believes that limiting prepayment penalties across the board would have achieved a greater degree of uniformity in the regulatory framework for different institutions. If the OTS does not adopt a more prescriptive. approach, CCLF strongly urges the OTS to stick with its proposal and to resist industry calls to weaken its proposed regulatory changes.

We applaud the OTS for proposing this change to the AMTPA regulation and ask the OTS to implement this change as quickly as possible after the close of the public comment period.

Holmes

Sincerely,

Executive Director