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## About the Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (HBRFSS)

The HBRFSS is an ongoing land-based random telephone survey of randomly selected adult residents 18 years and older on behaviors that affects health directly and indirectly. The HBRFSS is funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as part of the national Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). The HBRFSS has been in operation since 1986. For more information about
 the information you are looking is not on the website, you may contact the state BRFSS coordinator via email a brfsshi@mail.health.state.hi.us pr via phone at 808-586-4509.
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## ALOHA FROM THE DIRECTOR

The State Department of Health is pleased to present this report Tracking Hawaii's Progress Toward Healthy People 2010 Measured from HBRFSS.

The Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (HBRFSS) provided measurements that covered ten health areas and thirty Healthy People 2010 objectives for the calendar years 1998 to 2002. I hope that this report will be used for the planning, evaluation and implementation of health programs that will promote quality of life and prevent premature death or disability, particularly among certain segments of Hawaii residents.
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## Executive Summary

This report serves as a reference data book for health managers and policy makers to assist them in their planning, decision making and program implementation. There are 30 objectives presented covering the topic areas as found in the HP2010 publications namely: access to quality health services, cancer, diabetes, heart disease and stroke, immunization, nutrition and overweight, oral health, physical activity, substance abuse and tobacco use. The measurements of these objectives were from the Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (HBRFSS). In measuring HP2010 objectives and goals, adherence to HP2010 definition prescribed in Tracking Healthy People 2010 was followed as much as possible. However, the reader is being cautioned that not all the HBRFSS variables or questions used in measuring HP2010 objectives and goals are 100\% comparable with the prescribed HP2010 definition but are close alternatives. The HBRFSS questions and variables used can be found in Appendix A. The age distribution used for age-adjusted rates can be found in Appendix B.

In many of the HP2010 objectives tracked in this report for the adult residents of the state, what resonates are the following:

1. Hawaiians/Part Hawaiians followed closely by Filipinos are far from meeting the HP2010 objectives.
2. Adults who are in low income households or adults who did not finish high school education are also failing to meet many of the objectives.
3. All the adults in the state need to be strongly motivated to consume the recommended daily servings of fruits and vegetables in order for the state to meet the HP2010 objectives on nutrition.

Readers who want to see the numerical results without going through the entire report are invited to read the highlights section.

## INTRODUCTION

## 1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to serve as a reference data book in order to assist program managers and policy makers in decision making, planning and evaluation of activities and services by providing them with five year results of Healthy People 2010 (HP2010) objective goals as measured from Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (HBRFSS).

HP2010 goals and objectives are designed to serve as a road map for improving the health of all people in the United States during the first decade of the $21^{\text {st }}$ century. HP2010 aims to eliminate health disparities among Americans. To accomplish this aim, it has established a set of corresponding national targets that apply to diverse populations. These populations can be categorized into sub-groups such as county, gender, race or ethnicity, education, and income. This report will present crude rates and age-adjusted rates for the entire state as well as for the population sub-groups. The age-adjusted rates are presented to be comparable with the HP2010 goal rates, which were age-adjusted based on US 2000 standard population ${ }^{2} \square$ nd also to compensate for age structure differences among subgroup populations.

Although we are at the beginning of a new decade, it is important to start tracking our course if we are to reach the HP2010 goals and objectives. The following HBRFSS results are the first steps to the long road ahead. This data will allow us to assess our progress towards achieving the 30 objectives within 10 areas or topics. The 10 areas or topics are:

- Access to Quality Health Services
- Cancer
- Diabetes
- Heart Disease and Stroke
- Immunization
- Nutrition and Overweight
- Oral Health
- Physical Activity
- Substance Abuse
- Tobacco Use
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## Tracking Hawaii's Progress Toward Healthy People 2010 Measured from HBRFSS

## 2. DEFINITIONS

In grouping subpopulations within the state, the terms ethnicity, less education and low income are defined as follows:

Ethnicity: self-reported ethnicity/race of respondents.
Less education: confined to adults aged 25 years and older who have not completed high school.
Low income: No asset test and confined to: Household income less than $\$ 10,000$, or
Household income from \$10,000 to less than \$15,000 and (number of adults + number of children)>2, or
Household income from \$15,000 to less than \$20,000 and (number of adults + number of children) $>3$, or
Household income from \$20,000 to less than \$25,000 and (number of adults + number of children)>4, or
Household income from $\$ 25,000$ to less than $\$ 30,000$ and (number of adults + number of children)>6, or

Household income from \$30,000 to less than $\$ 35,000$ and (number of adults + number of children) $>8$.

In measuring HP2010 objectives and goals, adherence to HP2010 definition prescribed in Tracking Healthy People 2010 was followed as much as possible. However, the reader is being cautioned that not all the HBRFSS variables or questions used in measuring HP2010 objectives and goals are $100 \%$ comparable with the prescribed HP2010 definition but are close alternatives. The HBRFSS questions and variables used can be found in Appendix A. The age distribution used for age-adjusted rates can be found in Appendix B.

## 3. Format of presentation

The main body of this report is presented in the following way: Topic titles are the same as those found in Healthy People 2010 publication for easy reference. Highlights for each of the ten topic areas follow this section. The rates presented in the highlights are age-adjusted rates. Highlights section is followed by the detailed topic areas showing the number of respondents, plain weighted and age-adjusted prevalence rates at the state level, followed by the supplements that show subpopulation numerical results by gender, by ethnicity, in low income household, with less education and by county. Not every objective at state level and supplements has five data points representing the years 1998 to 2002. This is due to the following:

1) Questions related to the objective were not asked during the year in question.
2) The denominator sample size was less than 50 .

There are also instances when the sub-population page is not shown because the denominator sample size is small, that is, less than 50 for all the years considered.

In most of the figures, the green horizontal line represents the numerical goal of the objective.

## HIGHLIGHTS

## ACCESS TO QUALITY HEALTH SERVICE

There are two objectives that correspond to this target area:
Objective 1.1: Increase the proportion of persons under age 65 years with health insurance to $100 \%$.
The proportion of adults aged 18-64 with health insurance fluctuated slightly from 1998 to 2002 and far from the required goal of $100 \%$. It is alarming that the rate of health insurance coverage among those with less education significantly and progressively declined over the five-year period as illustrated in Figure 1.1a. The results also showed that in general, the proportions of Hawaiians with health care coverage is lower than the other three ethnic groups and that the rate dropped continuously in the last three years from $91.4 \%$ in year 2000 to about $84 \%$ in year 2002 as shown in Fig. 1.1b.


Fig. 1.1a
Have health insurance, adults aged 18 to 64, by ethnicity State of Hawaii


Fig. 1.1b

Objective 1.4c: Increase the proportion of adults aged 18 years and older who have a specific source of ongoing care to $96 \%$.

In 2002, the state almost achieved the targeted goal for this objective. Figure 1.4 demonstrates a moderate gender disparity in that men are not successfully achieving this goal when compared to women. By ethnicity, the age-adjusted percentage of Filipinos and Japanese who have source of ongoing care is $97.8 \%$ and $97.1 \%$ respectively, exceeding the HP2010 goal of $96 \%$. Hawaiians, Whites and others have average age adjusted percentages that nearly achieve the goal.


Fig 1.4

## CANCER

We collected data for 5 objectives within this target area.

Objective 3-11a: Increase the proportion of women aged 18 years and older who have ever received a Pap test to 97\%.
In general, the state is about $3 \%$ points away from achieving this objective. The percentage of women who ever received Pap test is highest among White at $97.8 \%$ exceeding the goal and lowest among Filipino women at $92.2 \%$., way below the goal.

Objective 3-11b: Increase the proportion of women aged 18 years and older who have ever received a Pap test within the preceding 3 years to $90 \%$.
There were gains made toward this objective from 1998 to 2001. However, by 2002 the proportion dropped towards the 1998-99 level for some population groups. As figure 3.11 demonstrates, in 2002 the percent of women who reported having a Pap test in the preceding 3 years declined relative to that of 2001. In addition, in 2002, the number of Filipino women receiving Pap tests in the preceding 3 years dropped significantly with respect to 2001 figures, and was the lowest among all ethnic groups surveyed. From 1998 to 2001, the percentage of Hawaiian women who had a Pap test in the preceding 3 years was the lowest when compared to other ethnic groups.


Fig 3.11
Objective 3-12a: Increase the proportion of adults aged 50 years and older who have received a fecal occult blood test (FOBT) within the preceding 2 years to $50 \%$.
In 2002, the proportion who received FOBT within the preceding 2 years decreased significantly relative to the 2001 rate in almost every ethnic group with the exception of those who belong to the catch all ethnic category "others".


Fig 3.12a
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Objective 3-12b: Increase the proportion of adults aged 50 years and older who have ever received a sigmoidoscopy to $50 \%$.

There is a significant decline in the proportion of adults aged 50 years and older who had received sigmoidoscopy in 2002 relative to 2001 in almost every ethnic group.


Fig 3.12b

Objective 3-13: Increase the proportion of women aged 40 years and older who have received a mammogram within the preceding 2 years to $70 \%$.

The percentage of women forty years old or older receiving a mammogram within the preceding two years declined significantly from 80.1 in 2001 to 70.2 in 2002. In both years, Hawaiian women, followed by Filipino women, were the least likely to obtain a mammogram (Fig 3.13).


Fig 3.13

## Highlights

## DIABETES

We covered four objectives for this topic area.

Objective 5-3: Reduce the overall rate of diabetes that is clinically diagnosed to 2.5\%.
As illustrated in Figure 5.3, from the year 2000 to 2002, the diabetes prevalence rate in the state was more than twice the HP2010 goal. The diabetes prevalence rate of Whites is the lowest compared to the Japanese, Filipinos and Hawaiians but it is trending upward. The Hawaiians continue to exhibit the highest burden of diabetes (Fig. 5.3).


Fig 5.3

## Objective 5-1:

Increase the proportion of persons with diabetes who receive formal diabetes education to 60\%.
Objective 5-12:
Increase the proportion of adults with diabetes who have a glycosylated hemoglobin measurement at least once a year to $50 \%$.

## Objective 5-13:

Increase the proportion of adults (aged 18+) with diabetes who have an annual dilated eye examination to 75\%.
Objective 5-14:
Increase the proportion of adults with diabetes who have at least an annual foot examination to $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$.
Individuals who have diabetes need to take steps or measures to properly manage and control their diabetes. The state of Hawaii surpasses the HP2010 goals with respect to the percentage of adults with diabetes who received a glycosylated hemoglobin measurement; obtained an annual dilated eye exam; and who received an annual foot exam. However, it is below HP2010 in the proportion of adults with diabetes and received formal diabetes education ( $53.4 \%$ vs. $60 \%$ ).

## Highlights

## HEART DISEASE AND STROKE

In the BRFSS questionnaire, the questions used to obtain data for the following three objectives were asked every other year.

Objective 12-9: Reduce the proportion of adults (aged 20+) with high blood pressure to $16 \%$.
Hawaiians exhibit the highest percentage of adults suffering from high blood pressure when compared with other ethnic groups in Hawaii. In contrast, Whites represent the ethnic group with the lowest percentage of adults with high blood pressure.


Fig 12.9
Objective 12-14: Reduce the proportion of adults (aged 20+) with high total blood cholesterol levels to $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$.
Objective 12-15: Increase the proportion of adults who have had their blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years to $80 \%$.

As illustrated by Figure 12.14-15, significantly more residents had their blood cholesterol checked in 2001 relative to 1999 ( $73.9 \%$ vs. $64.6 \%$ ). However, the state is still below the HP2010 goal of $80 \%$. There was a slight decline in the percentage of adults diagnosed with high cholesterol from 26.3 in 1999 to 23.3 in 2001, but these percentages are higher than the $17 \%$ HP2010 goal.


Fig.12.14-15
Highlights

## IMMUNIZATION

We compiled data for the following objectives:

## Objective 14-29a \& 14-29b

Increase the proportion of adults aged 65 years and older who are vaccinated annually against influenza and ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease to $90 \%$.

Flu and pneumonia are two of the most common causes of death among older Americans. However, in 2002, the vaccination rate against flu among residents aged 65 and over was only $73.5 \%$, which is significantly lower than the HP210 goal of $90 \%$. The vaccination rate against pneumonia among this subpopulation is even lower.


Fig. 14.29a\&b

## Objective 14-29c \& 14-29d

Increase the proportion of adults aged 18 to 64 who are vaccinated annually against influenza and ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease to $60 \%$.

Vaccination rates for both flu and pneumonia are very low among younger Hawaii residents as shown in the figure below.


Fig. 14.29c\&d
Highlights

## NUTRITION AND OVERWEIGHT

In this area, the HBRFSS data allows us to examine the following four objectives:
Objective 19-1: Increase the proportion of adults (aged 20+) who are at healthy weight to $60 \%$. Objective 19-2: Reduce the proportion of adults (aged 20+) who are obese to $15 \%$.

The percentage of men with healthy-weight is much lower than that of women (Fig. 19.1).


Fig. 19.1
Concomitantly, the obesity rate for men is much higher than that of women (fig. 19.2). Note also that the obesity rates among men are trending upward in recent years, in addition to being higher than the HP2010 target of $15 \%$. By ethnicity, between 1998 and 2002, more than $30 \%$ of Hawaiians are obese which is about twice as much when compared to Whites and more than twice as much when compared to Filipinos and Japanese.


Fig. 19.2
Objective 19-5: Increase the proportion of persons (aged 2 years and older) who consume at least two daily servings of fruit to $75 \%$.
Objective 19-6: Increase the proportion of persons (aged 2 years and older) who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables, with at least one-third being dark green or orange vegetables to 50\%.

Less than $10 \%$ of the adults consumed two or more servings of fruits daily and just about $20 \%$ consumed 3 or more servings of vegetables daily, way below the HP2010 goals.

Highlights

## ORAL HEALTH

For this topic area, HP2010 used the crude rates for the dental health targeted objectives. Hence, what were presented in this section are the crude rates using the HBRFSS dataset.

Objective 21-3: Increase the proportion of adults (aged 35-44) who have never had a permanent tooth extracted because of dental caries or periodontal disease to $42 \%$.
Objective 21-4: Reduce the proportion of older adults (aged 65 to 74 ) who have had all their natural teeth extracted to $20 \%$.

According to the existing data, the state has achieved the three objectives in this topic area. The results were much better than the HP2010 targeted goals.

Even when ethnicity was accounted for, the proportion of adults aged 35 to 44 who had no natural teeth extracted had exceeded the outlined HP2010 goal, as demonstrated in the figure below. However, the proportions of Filipinos and Hawaiians with all natural teeth are much lower compared to Japanese, White and others.


Fig. 21.3

Objective 21-10: Increase the proportion of children and adults who use the oral health care system each year to 56\%.

Although, overall the state have met the HP2010 goals for dental health, adults in the state who have limited education or less education appear to be falling behind in meeting the HP2010 dental health objectives. The sample size is small, (less than 50) for this group of adults when examining HP 21.3 and 21.4 objectives, so we cannot draw solid conclusion. However, using the HP21.10 objective operationally defined as visiting dentist or dental clinic, the sample size is sufficient. It showed that less than $50 \%$ of those with limited or less education visited the dentist, much lower than the 56\% goal of HP2010 objective 21.10.
For HP objective 21.10, although the state has met this objective, the results showed a significant dropped in 'last year's dentist visit' reported during 1999 and 2002 (74.7\% vs. 65.2\%) survey years. Closer look in the supplement charts showed that the decline in the dental visit proportion is significant among the Japanese, Hawaiians and Others. In addition, the proportion of adults who 'visited the dentist last year' is lowest among Hawaiians compared to the rest of the ethnic groups and slightly below the goal during the survey year 2002 ( $54.1 \%$ vs. $56 \%$ ). By county, the four counties registered drop in dental visits and the drop is significant in Honolulu county and Maui county.


Fig 21.10

## PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

HBRFSS data allow us to cover 3 physical activity related objectives:
Objective 22-1: Reduce the proportion of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity to 20\%.
Statewide, we have made strides in reducing the proportion of adults who engaged in no leisure time physical activity for two consecutive years. However, we should pay more attention to the subpopulation of adults with less education. The prevalence of people who engage in no leisure time physical activity in this subset is rather high: more than $30 \%$ since 1999.
By ethnic groups, only the Filipinos have not met the HP2010 objective 22-1. The percentage of Filipinos with no leisure time physical activity in any given year (1998 to 2002) is higher than $20 \%$.


Fig. 22.1

Highlights

Objective 22-2: Increase the proportion of adults who engage regularly, preferably daily, in moderate physical activity for at least 30 minutes per day to $30 \%$.
Objective 22-3: Increase the proportion of adults who engage in vigorous physical activity that promotes the development and maintenance of cardiorespiratory fitness 3 or more days per week for 20 or more minutes per occasion to $30 \%$.

For objective 22-2, the State of Hawaii has met the goal and exceeded the goal. More than $30 \%$ of the adults in the state do moderate physical activity. However, with respect to engaging in vigorous physical activity (Fig 22.3), only the men met the goal. In figure 22.3, we can see that men are more likely to engage in vigorous physical activities compared to women. There is also a slight drop in the percentage of men and women who engaged in vigorous physical activity between 2001 and 2002. By ethnicity, more than $30 \%$ of the Whites were engaged in vigorous physical activities in 2001 and 2002, thereby meeting the HP2010 goal of $30 \%$.


Fig 22.3

## SUBSTANCE ABUSE

In this area, we only have data for one objective:

## Objective 26-11c: Reduce the proportion of persons engaging in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages to $6 \%$.

In general, men engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages more than women as illustrated in the figure 26.11c 1. The women has achieved and surpassed the goal in the last two years. In contrast, the men are very far from reaching the goal. Relative to 1999 level, the percentage of adults who engaged in binge drinking declined moderately in recent years. The percentage of binge drinking is highest among Hawaiians.


Fig. 26.11c1
For the three year period, the Honolulu County has the lowest binge drinking proportions compared to the neighboring counties but still far from reaching the goal.


Fig. 26.11c2

Highlights

## TOBACCO USE

Tobacco use is an important issue when examining the health of individuals as well as the community. Some objectives of HP2010 were set in an effort to strive to reduce illness, disability, and death related to tobacco use as well as exposure to secondhand smoke.

Among these goals, reducing the proportion of people who use tobacco is of primary concern in this area.

Objective 27-1a: Reduce proportion of adults age 18 years or older who smoke to $12 \%$.
The county of Honolulu has the lowest adult smoking rate compared to the neighboring counties. From 1998 to 2000, the Hawaii County has the highest adult smoking rate. However, in 2001 and 2002, the counties of Maui and Kauai have the highest rate (fig. 27.1). In addition, the increased in adult smoking percentages in 2002 relative to 2000 in Kauai and Maui counties are significant.


Fig. 27.1a
Among the ethnicity groups, Hawaiian had the highest prevalence of current smokers in consecutive years since 1998. Filipino seemed to have the lowest prevalence. However, the prevalence of current smokers in this group is trending upwards (Fig. 27.1B).


Fig. 27.1b

Objective 27-5: Increase smoking cessation attempts by adult smokers to 75\%.
While the percentage of current smokers in recent years rose slightly, the percentage of adults who have participated in smoking cessation efforts has declined in every county (fig. 27.5) after reaching a peak in 2000 or 2001 in the case of Maui County. The state is still far from achieving the smoking attempt cessation HP2010 goal of $75 \%$ (fig 27.5).


Fig. 27.5
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## DETAILS TOPIC AREAS

## ACCESS TO QUALITY HEALTH SERVICE

Objective 1.1:
Increase the proportion of persons under age 65 years with health insurance to 100\%.

Objective 1.4c:
Increase the proportion of adults aged 18 years and older who have a specific source of ongoing care to $96 \%$

## OBJECTIVE 1-1

## Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 1627 | 1561 | 4448 | 3322 | 4240 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 156 | 196 | 429 | 355 | 511 |
| TOTAL | 1783 | 1757 | 4877 | 3677 | 4751 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 93.1 | 89.7 | 91.7 | 91.3 | 89.6 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(91.4-94.4)$ | $(87.7-91.4)$ | $(90.6-92.7)$ | $(89.9-92.5)$ | $(88.4-90.7)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 93.0 | 89.7 | 91.7 | 91.2 |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance

 Hawaii, 1998-2002

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 729 | 728 | 2015 | 1439 | 1853 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 74 | 104 | 236 | 185 | 267 |
| TOTAL | 803 | 832 | 2251 | 1624 | 2120 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 93.5 | 89.2 | 90.5 | 89.6 | 88.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (91.2-95.3) | (86.1-91.6) | (88.8-92.1) | (87.3-91.5) | (86.6-90.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 93.5 | 89.2 | 90.7 | 89.6 | 88.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (91.3-95.3) | (86.1-91.6) | (88.9-92.2) | (87.3-91.5) | (86.8-90.2) |

[^1]
## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 898 | 833 | 2433 | 1883 | 2387 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 82 | 92 | 193 | 170 | 244 |
| TOTAL | 980 | 925 | 2626 | 2053 | 2631 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 92.6 | 90.3 | 93.0 | 93.1 | 90.8 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(90.1-94.5)$ | $(87.5-92.6)$ | $(91.6-94.1)$ | $(91.6-94.4)$ | $(89.2-92.1)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 92.4 | 90.2 | 92.8 | 92.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(89.8-94.4)$ | $(87.3-92.5)$ | $(91.4-94.0)$ | $(91.3-94.3)$ | $(89.0-92.0)$ |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

 State of Hawaii, Department of Health
## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 3



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 632 | 361 | 1660 | 1374 | 1783 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 85 | 48 | 219 | 193 | 255 |
| TOTAL | 717 | 409 | 1879 | 1567 | 2038 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 92.1 | 89.7 | 89.7 | 90.3 | 88.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (89.5-94.1) | (89.4-92.9) | (87.8-91.4) | (88.3-92.0) | (86.7-90.2) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 92.5 | 89.7 | 89.8 | 90.2 | 88.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (90.1-94.4) | (85.2-92.9) | (87.8-91.4) | (88.0-92.0) | (86.5-90.1) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 237 | 176 | 744 | 460 | 565 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 26 | 24 | 69 | 48 | 95 |
| TOTAL | 263 | 200 | 813 | 508 | 660 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 89.8 | 86.8 | 91.1 | 88.8 | 82.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (83.8-93.7) | (78.4-92.3) | (88.1-93.5) | (84.1-92.2) | (77.9-86.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 90.1 | 86.5 | 91.4 | 88.8 | 83.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (84.7-93.7 | (78.4-91.8) | (88.3-93.7) | (84.0-92.3) | (79.8-87.2) |

[^2]
## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 18 to $\mathbf{6 4}$ who have health insurance <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 195 | 136 | 565 | 436 | 588 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 15 | 19 | 49 | 29 | 52 |
| TOTAL | 210 | 155 | 614 | 465 | 640 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 93.8 | 87.5 | 90.3 | 93.2 | 92.6 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(88.8-96.7)$ | $(79.4-92.7)$ | $(86.1-93.3)$ | $(88.9-96.0)$ | $(89.7-94.7)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 94.4 | 85.0 | 90.1 | 93.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(89.9-97.0)$ | $(76.1-90.0)$ | $(86.2-93.1)$ | $(89.9-96.2)$ | $(89.5-94.7)$ |

[^3]
## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 323 | 210 | 845 | 567 | 700 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 10 | 24 | 21 | 22 | 38 |
| TOTAL | 333 | 234 | 866 | 589 | 738 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 98.0 | 90.8 | 97.8 | 96.6 | 94.1 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(95.9-99.0)$ | $(85.2-94.4)$ | $(96.1-98.7)$ | $(93.8-98.1)$ | $(91.3-96.0)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 97.9 | 90.3 | 97.7 | 95.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(95.7-99)$ | $(84.0-94.3)$ | $(95.9-98.7)$ | $(92.1-97.8)$ | $(91.0-95.8)$ |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of adults of other races aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 240 | 678 | 634 | 485 | 604 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 20 | 81 | 71 | 63 | 71 |
| TOTAL | 260 | 759 | 705 | 548 | 675 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 91.3 | 90.7 | 90.1 | 88.5 | 90.2 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(85.1-95.0)$ | $(87.6-93.0)$ | $(87.0-92.6)$ | $(84.1-91.7)$ | $(86.9-92.7)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 91.8 | 90.7 | 90.2 | 88.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(86.1-95.2)$ | $(87.7-93.0)$ | $(87.1-92.7)$ | $(84.5-91.6)$ | $(87.5-93.0)$ |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 8

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 in low income household who have health insurance Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 123 | 80 | 248 | 153 | 160 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 15 | 17 | 43 | 21 | 34 |
| TOTAL | 138 | 97 | 291 | 174 | 194 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 92.1 | 84.7 | 86.1 | 88.1 | 83.3 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(84.7-96.0)$ | $(72.7-92.0)$ | $(80.0-90.6)$ | $(79.3-93.4)$ | $(75.4-89.0)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent | 93.0 | 84.1 | 86.6 | 87.6 | 81.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(87.3-96.3)$ | $(72.1-91.6)$ | $(80.4-91.1)$ | $(77.5-93.5)$ | $(72.3-88.6)$ |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 9

## Percent of adults aged 25 to 64 with less education who have health insurance <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 67 | 58 | 154 | 103 | 122 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 5 | 5 | 31 | 21 | 34 |
| TOTAL | 72 | 63 | 185 | 124 | 156 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 96.5 | 93.7 | 86.8 | 79.6 | 76.5 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(90.9-98.7)$ | $(83.3-97.8)$ | $(79.2-91.8)$ | $(67.9-87.8)$ | $(66.7-84.1)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 97.3 | 94.2 | 86.5 | 76.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(93.0-99.0)$ | $(84.7-98.0)$ | $(79.2-91.6)$ | $(65.2-85.0)$ | $(63.0-82.0)$ |

[^4]
## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 10

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance
Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 801 | 756 | 2114 | 1457 | 2055 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 45 | 87 | 148 | 100 | 165 |
| TOTAL | 846 | 843 | 2262 | 1557 | 2220 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 94.9 | 90.2 | 92.7 | 92.6 | 91.8 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(92.8-96.4)$ | $(87.6-92.3)$ | $(91.3-93.9)$ | $(90.8-94.1)$ | $(90.3-93.1)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 94.9 | 90.2 | 92.8 | 92.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(92.9-96.4)$ | $(87.6-92.3)$ | $(91.4-94.0)$ | $(90.8-94.1)$ | $(90.4-93.2)$ |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 360 | 349 | 1029 | 704 | 917 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 55 | 56 | 126 | 112 | 137 |
| TOTAL | 415 | 407 | 1155 | 816 | 1054 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 86.4 | 85.8 | 89.0 | 86.8 | 85.0 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(81.5-90.2)$ | $(81.1-89.5)$ | $(86.6-91.0)$ | $(84.0-89.2)$ | $(82.0-87.6)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 85.1 | 85.2 | 88.9 | 86.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(79.6-89.3)$ | $(80.4-88.9)$ | $(86.5-90.9)$ | $(83.5-89.0)$ | $(81.1-87.0)$ |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 12

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 153 | 141 | 430 | 407 | 406 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 18 | 17 | 56 | 48 | 70 |
| TOTAL | 171 | 158 | 486 | 455 | 476 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 89.0 | 88.9 | 87.4 | 88.5 | 80.5 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(80.5-94.1)$ | $(81.8-93.5)$ | $(82.8-90.9)$ | $(84.4-91.6)$ | $(75.3-84.9)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 88.9 | 88.7 | 86.6 | 88.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(80.0-94.1)$ | $(81.2-93.4)$ | $(82.0-90.1)$ | $(83.9-91.5)$ | $(72.9-82.6)$ |

[^5]
## OBJECTIVE 1-1/ SUPPLEMENT 13

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who have health insurance
Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 313 | 315 | 875 | 754 | 862 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 38 | 36 | 99 | 95 | 139 |
| TOTAL | 351 | 351 | 974 | 849 | 1001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 88.5 | 90.8 | 89.5 | 88.4 | 83.9 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(83.5-92.1)$ | $(86.4-93.8)$ | $(86.8-91.7)$ | $(85.2-90.9)$ | $(80.8-86.6)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 88.0 | 90.7 | 89.2 | 88.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(82.9-91.8)$ | $9 * 6.3-93.7)$ | $(86.4-91.5)$ | $(84.6-90.8)$ | $(79.9-86.0)$ |

[^6]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c

Percent of adults who have a specific source of ongoing care
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 5729 |  |
| HAVE |  |  |  | 246 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  | 5975 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.8 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (95.1-96.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.8 |
| c.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (95.1-96.4) |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioralal Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men who have a specific source of ongoing care Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2446 |  |
| HAVE |  |  |  | 146 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  | 2592 |  |


| Percent | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 94.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(92.9-95.3)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |
| C.I (95\%) | 94.3 |
|  | $(93.0-95.3)$ |

[^7]
## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women who have a specific source of ongoing care <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 3283 |  |
| HAVE |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  | 3383 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  |  | 97.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (96.6-98.0) |
| Age-adjusted Percent |  |  |  |  | 97.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (96.6-98.0) |

[^8]
## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of White adults who have a specific source of ongoing care
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE |  |  |  |  | 2344 |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  |  | 128 |
| total |  |  |  |  | 2472 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  |  | 94.5 |
| c.l (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (93.1-95.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.1 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (93.1-95.7) |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioralal Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Hawaiians who have a specific source of ongoing care

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  |  | 736 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  | 33 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 769 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 2001 | 2002 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 94.6 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(91.9-96.5)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 95.1 |  |

[^9] State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 5

## Percent of Filipinos who have a specific source of ongoing care <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 747 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  | 23 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 770 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  |  | 97.7 |
| c.l (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (96.3-98.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 97.8 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (96.3-98.6) |

[^10] State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 6

## Percent of Japanese who have a specific source of ongoing care Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE |  |  |  |  | 1137 |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  | 1162 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  |  | 97.7 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (96.2-98.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 97.1 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (95.1-98.3) |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioralal Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of adults of other races who have a specific source of ongoing care <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 765 |  |
| HAVE |  |  |  | 37 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  | 802 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.5 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (93.5-97.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.7 |
| c.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (93.7-97.0) |

[^11]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 8

## Percent of adults in low income household who have a specific source of ongoing care Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 203 |  |
| HAVE |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  | 209 |  |  |


| Percent | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  | 96.5 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(91.3-98.7)$ |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | 97.4 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(93.9-98.9)$ |  |  |

[^12]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 9

Percent of adults with less education who have a specific source of ongoing care

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 362 |  |
| HAVE |  |  |  | 12 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  | 374 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  | 97.5 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(95.1-98.7)$ |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | 96.8 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(92.9-98.6)$ |  |  |

[^13]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 10

## Percent of adults who have a specific source of ongoing care Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2686 |  |
| HAVE |  |  |  | 111 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  | 2797 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.9 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (94.9-96.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.9 |
| c.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (94.9-96.7) |

[^14]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 11

## Percent of adults who have a specific source of ongoing care

Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1317 |  |
| HAVE |  |  |  | 59 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  | 1376 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  | 95.6 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(94.1-96.7)$ |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | 95.5 |  |  |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioralal Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 12



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 579 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  | 20 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 599 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  |  | 96.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (93.9-97.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.9 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (93.1-97.6) |

[^15]
## OBJECTIVE 1-4c/ SUPPLEMENT 13

Percent of adults who have a specific source of ongoing care
Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1147 |  |
| HAVE |  |  | 56 |  |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  |  | 1203 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (93.7-96.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 95.3 |
| c.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (93.6-96.5) |

[^16]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## CANCER

## Objective 3-11a

Increase the proportion of women aged 18 years and older who have ever received a Pap test to 97\%

## Objective 3-11b

Increase the proportion of women aged 18 years and older who have ever received a Pap test within the preceding 3 years to 90\%

## Objective 3-12a

Increase the proportion of adults aged 50 years and older who have received a fecal occult blood test (FOBT) within the preceding 2 years to 50\%

## Objective 3-12b

Increase the proportion of adults aged 50 years and older who have ever received a sigmoidoscopy to 50\%

## Objective 3-13

Increase the proportion of women aged 40 years and older who have received a mammogram within the preceding 2 years to $70 \%$

## OBJECTIVE 3-11a

## Percent of women aged $18+$ who had a Pap Test Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 1166 | 1089 | 3170 | 2433 | 3220 |
| NOT HAD | 49 | 70 | 159 | 127 | 162 |
| TOTAL | 1215 | 1159 | 3329 | 2560 | 3382 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 95.2 | 93.5 | 93.9 | 94.1 | 94.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (93.1-96.6) | (91.3-95.1) | (92.6-95.0) | (92.5-95.3) | (93.7-95.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 94.7 | 93.1 | 93.5 | 93.8 | 94.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (92.6-96.2) | (90.9-94.8) | (92.2-94.6) | (92.2-95.1) | (93.6-95.6) |

[^17]
## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /Supplement 1



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 476 | 268 | 1192 | 983 | 1307 |
| NOT HAD | 5 | 9 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
| TOTAL | 481 | 277 | 1227 | 1018 | 1341 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 99.3 | 93.9 | 96.7 | 96.5 | 97.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (98.1-99.8) | (87.9-97.0) | (94.6-97.9) | (94.0-97.9) | (96.8-98.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 99.4 | 93.0 | 96.4 | 96.3 | 97.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (98.3-99.8) | (87.1-96.4) | (94.2-97.7) | (93.8-97.8) | (96.6-98.5) |

[^18]
## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 2

Percent of Hawaiian women aged $18+$ who had a Pap Test Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\rightarrow-$ Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age-adjusted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 174 | 116 | 515 | 345 | 425 |
| NOT HAD | 14 | 7 | 28 | 16 | 22 |
| TOTAL | 188 | 123 | 543 | 361 | 447 |


| Percent | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 92.0 | 93.0 | 91.1 | 95.6 | 95.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(84.7-96.0)$ | $(83.9-97.1)$ | $(86.3-94.3)$ | $(91.8-97.7)$ | $(91.7-97.0)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 91.6 | 93.3 | 91.7 | 95.4 | 94.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(83.9-95.8)$ | $(85.8-96.9)$ | $(87.5-94.6)$ | $(91.6-97.5)$ | $(91.2-96.8)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of Filipino women aged 18 + who had a Pap Test Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 120 | 95 | 370 | 294 | 408 |
| NOT HAD | 8 | 7 | 21 | 21 | 29 |
| TOTAL | 128 | 102 | 391 | 315 | 437 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 92.5 | 95.9 | 93.5 | 91.6 | 92.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(83.3-96.8)$ | $(89.7-98.5)$ | $(89.1-96.2)$ | $(85.4-95.3)$ | $(88.7-95.2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 94.0 | 93.6 | 92.2 | 91.5 | 92.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(88.5-97.0)$ | $(84.2-97.6)$ | $(87.6-95.2)$ | $(86.1-94.9)$ | $(88.1-94.9)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 4

Percent of Japanese women aged 18 + who had a Pap Test Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 266 | 167 | 674 | 479 | 666 |
| NOT HAD | 9 | 10 | 45 | 33 | 37 |
| TOTAL | 275 | 177 | 719 | 512 | 703 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 96.6 | 92.8 | 93.7 | 93.1 | 95.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (92.2-98.5) | (85.2-96.6) | (91.1-95.6) | (89.5-95.5) | (93.5-97.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 96.7 | 91.5 | 90.5 | 91.0 | 95.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (92.7-98.6) | (83.1-95.9) | (86.3-93.6) | (85.8-94.5) | (92.8-97.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 5



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 130 | 443 | 419 | 332 | 414 |
| NOT HAD | 13 | 37 | 30 | 22 | 40 |
| TOTAL | 143 | 480 | 449 | 354 | 454 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 88.7 | 93.1 | 91.8 | 91.7 | 89.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (79.7-94.0) | (89.7-95.4) | (87.9-94.5) | (87.0-94.8) | (85.1-92.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 89.5 | 93.1 | 92.5 | 91.6 | 90.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (82.6-93.8) | (89.9-95.3) | (89.3-94.8) | (87.1-94.7) | (85.9-93.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 6

## Percent of women aged 18 + in low income household who had a Pap Test <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



$$
\rightarrow \text {-Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 87 | 64 | 186 | 109 | 128 |
| NOT HAD | 2 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 89 | 68 | 195 | 119 | 139 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 99.0 | 96.2 | 97.6 | 90.0 | 94.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (95.9-99.8) | (89.4-98.7) | (94.7-98.9) | (77.1-96.0) | (88.1-97.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 99.0 | 96.7 | 97.3 | 90.3 | 93.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (94.6-99.8) | (90.7-98.9) | (94.0-98.8) | (80.5-95.5) | (88.0-96.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of women aged 25+ with less education who had a Pap Test <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 89 | 82 | 226 | 169 | 227 |
| NOT HAD | 6 | 14 | 28 | 14 | 26 |
| TOTAL | 95 | 96 | 254 | 183 | 253 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 97.5 | 79.9 | 90.4 | 95.5 | 89.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (93.5-99.0) | (65.1-89.5) | (85.1-94.0) | (91.3-97.8) | (83.1-93.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 98.1 | 87.3 | 92.5 | 95.3 | 86.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (95.0-99.3) | (74.0-94.3) | (84.4-96.6) | (85.7-98.6) | (77.2-92.3) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 8



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 542 | 500 | 1495 | 1003 | 1537 |
| NOT HAD | 22 | 29 | 91 | 64 | 73 |
| TOTAL | 564 | 529 | 1586 | 1067 | 1610 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 95.2 | 93.9 | 93.6 | 93.6 | 95.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (92.4-96.9) | (91.0-95.9) | (91.9-95.0) | (91.6-95.2) | (93.6-96.2) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 94.8 | 93.5 | 93.2 | 93.3 | 95.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (92.0-96.6) | (90.6-95.5) | (91.5-94.6) | (91.2-95.0) | (93.6-96.1) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 9



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 288 | 265 | 787 | 559 | 746 |
| NOT HAD | 12 | 22 | 27 | 26 | 44 |
| TOTAL | 300 | 287 | 814 | 585 | 790 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 96.9 | 90.1 | 95.7 | 94.4 | 94.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (93.4-98.6) | (84.4-93.8) | (93.2-97.2) | (91.4-96.5) | (91.5-95.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 96.7 | 89.2 | 95.4 | 94.3 | 93.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (92.7-98.6) | (83.5-93.2) | (92.7-97.1) | (91.0-96.4) | (91.0-95.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 10



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 129 | 101 | 301 | 301 | 316 |
| NOT HAD | 5 | 8 | 17 | 23 | 17 |
| TOTAL | 134 | 109 | 318 | 324 | 333 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 95.3 | 92.3 | 92.2 | 92.5 | 93.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (88.6-98.2) | (83.5-96.6) | (85.8-95.8) | (88.4-95.2) | (89.7-96.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 95.0 | 90.1 | 91.8 | 92.6 | 93.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (87.9-98.0) | (81.7-94.9) | (85.3-95.6) | (88.5-95.3) | (88.6-96.3) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11a /SUPPLEMENT 11

## Percent of women aged 18 + who had a Pap Test <br> Maui County, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 207 | 223 | 587 | 570 | 621 |
| NOT HAD | 13 | 11 | 24 | 14 | 28 |
| TOTAL | 220 | 234 | 611 | 584 | 649 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 93.0 | 95.3 | 95.0 | 97.5 | 94.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(86.7-96.4)$ | $(91.1-97.5)$ | $(92.0-96.9)$ | $(94.9-98.8)$ | $(91.2-96.4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 91.9 | 95.3 | 94.6 | 97.6 | 94.2 |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(85.5-95.6)$ | $(91.2-97.6)$ | $(91.4-96.6)$ | $(95.1-98.9)$ | $(91.0-96.3)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b

> Percent of women aged $18+$ who had a Pap Test in past 3 years Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 1026 | 987 | 2885 | 2237 | 2831 |
| NOT HAD | 175 | 166 | 425 | 311 | 523 |
| TOTAL | 1201 | 1153 | 3310 | 2548 | 3354 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 84.9 | 85.3 | 87.1 | 88.1 | 85.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (81.8-87.5) | (82.3-87.9) | (85.5-88.6) | (86.2-89.7) | (83.5-86.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 84.8 | 85.2 | 86.9 | 88.0 | 85.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (81.7-87.4) | (82.2-87.8) | (85.3-88.4) | (86.1-89.7) | (84.0-87.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /Supplement 1

Percent of White women aged 18 + who had a Pap Test in past 3 years

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\checkmark$ Weighted $\rightarrow$ - Age-adjusted |  |  | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| HAD | 423 | 237 | 1079 | 906 | 1159 |
| NOT HAD | 55 | 39 | 139 | 106 | 178 |
| TOTAL | 478 | 276 | 1218 | 1012 | 1337 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 89.9 | 85.0 | 89.3 | 90.5 | 88.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (85.5-93.0) | (78.6-89.7) | (86.7-91.5) | (87.6-92.8) | (85.7-90.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 90.7 | 84.2 | 89.2 | 90.3 | 88.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | 86.6-93.6) | (78.0-88.8) | (86.6-91.3) | (87.2-92.7) | (85.5-90.3) |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of Hawaiian women aged 18 + who had a Pap Test in past 3 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002


$\checkmark$ Weighted $\triangle-$ Age-adjusted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 150 | 105 | 462 | 299 | 361 |
| NOT HAD | 36 | 18 | 78 | 60 | 80 |
| TOTAL | 186 | 123 | 540 | 359 | 441 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 78.6 | 78.2 | 83.2 | 84.8 | 81.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(68.4-86.1)$ | $(64.4-87.7)$ | $(78.1-87.3)$ | $(79.1-89.1)$ | $(76.4-86.2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| C.I (95\%) | 77.4 | 77.2 | 83.1 | 83.7 | 82.3 |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 3

## Percent of Filipino women aged 18 + who had a Pap Test in past 3 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | $\checkmark$ Weighted $\rightarrow$ - Age-adjusted |  |  | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| HAD | 107 | 87 | 326 | 273 | 355 |
| NOT HAD | 17 | 14 | 62 | 40 | 79 |
| TOTAL | 124 | 101 | 388 | 313 | 434 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 84.2 | 90.6 | 85.6 | 87.1 | 81.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (73.9-91.0) | (82.3-95.3) | (80.2-89.8) | (80.5-91.7) | (76.5-85.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 83.0 | 85.3 | 83.8 | 87.2 | 81.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (72.8-89.9) | (73.2-92.4) | (78.1-88.3) | (81.2-91.5) | (76.2-85.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Japanese women aged 18 + who had a Pap Test in past 3 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 229 | 153 | 626 | 448 | 577 |
| NOT HAD | 42 | 22 | 90 | 64 | 116 |
| TOTAL | 271 | 175 | 716 | 512 | 693 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 83.8 | 84.7 | 88.0 | 87.9 | 84.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(77.3-88.8)$ | $(75.7-90.8)$ | $(84.8-90.6)$ | $(83.9-91.0)$ | $(81.0-87.3)$ |


| Age-adjusted percent | 86.7 | 85.7 | 85.4 | 86.6 | 87.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C.I (95\%) | $(81.4-90.7)$ | $(76.6-91.7)$ | $(81.0-89.0)$ | $(81.1-90.7)$ | $(84.5-90.4)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 5

## Percent of women of other races aged $18+$ who had a Pap Test in past 3 years Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 117 | 405 | 392 | 311 | 379 |
| NOT HAD | 25 | 73 | 56 | 41 | 70 |
| TOTAL | 142 | 478 | 448 | 352 | 449 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 82.8 | 86.4 | 86.6 | 87.1 | 85.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (73.5-89.4) | (82.1-89.8) | (82.1-90.1) | (82.0-90.9) | (80.7-88.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 82.2 | 86.5 | 86.8 | 86.9 | 85.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (73.8-88.3) | (82.2-89.8) | (82.7-90.0) | (81.9-90.7) | (81.0-88.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 6

## Percent of women aged $18+$ in low income household who had a Pap Test in past 3 years

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 75 | 58 | 166 | 92 | 121 |
| NOT HAD | 12 | 10 | 28 | 25 | 17 |
| TOTAL | 87 | 68 | 194 | 117 | 138 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 81.8 | 85.1 | 89.8 | 76.5 | 88.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (64.6-91.7) | (68.1-93.9) | (83.4-93.9) | (62.5-86.5) | (79.5-93.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 80.1 | 82.1 | 89.8 | 78.7 | 87.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (63.9-90.2) | (62.5-92.7) | (83.7-93.8) | (67.0-87.0) | (78.7-92.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of women aged 25+ with less education who had a Pap Test in past 3 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 69 | 65 | 181 | 137 | 162 |
| NOT HAD | 23 | 28 | 66 | 44 | 82 |
| TOTAL | 92 | 93 | 247 | 181 | 244 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 78.6 | 65.5 | 79.4 | 82.3 | 68.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (61.9-89.2) | (51.1-77.5) | (72.4-85.0) | (73.8-88.4) | (59.8-75.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 83.7 | 76.4 | 81.3 | 89.7 | 69.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (72.1-91.1) | (63.4-85.8) | (71.7-88.2) | (81.6-94.4) | (60.2-78.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 8

Percent of women aged $18+$ who had a Pap Test in past 3 years Honolulu County, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 486 | 456 | 1382 | 931 | 1372 |
| NOT HAD | 69 | 70 | 199 | 129 | 228 |
| TOTAL | 555 | 526 | 1581 | 1060 | 1600 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 85.0 | 85.6 | 87.3 | 88.2 | 85.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (81.0-88.3) | (81.6-88.8) | (85.2-89.2) | (85.7-90.3) | (83.3-87.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 84.9 | 85.5 | 87.1 | 88.0 | 85.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (80.9-88.2) | (81.5-88.7) | (85.0-88.9) | (85.5-90.2) | (83.8-87.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 9



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 254 | 234 | 708 | 509 | 643 |
| NOT HAD | 39 | 52 | 101 | 73 | 136 |
| TOTAL | 293 | 286 | 809 | 582 | 779 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 88.3 | 80.7 | 87.6 | 86.9 | 84.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (83.7-91.7) | (74.6-85.6) | (84.5-90.1) | (83.2-89.8) | (81.1-86.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 88.3 | 80.4 | 87.5 | 87.2 | 84.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (83.5-91.8) | (74.1-85.4) | (84.4-90.2) | (83.4-90.1) | (81.5-87.4) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 10



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 104 | 91 | 265 | 276 | 279 |
| NOT HAD | 29 | 17 | 51 | 46 | 52 |
| TOTAL | 133 | 108 | 316 | 322 | 331 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 78.4 | 85.8 | 81.1 | 84.6 | 84.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (69.7-85.1) | (76.5-91.8) | (74.7-86.2) | (79.6-88.6) | (79.8-88.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 78.8 | 83.9 | 81.1 | 85.2 | 84.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (70.1-85.5) | (74.7-90.2) | (74.4-86.4) | (80.4-90.0) | (79.3-88.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-11b /SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of women aged $18+$ who had a Pap Test in past 3 years

Maui County, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 182 | 206 | 530 | 521 | 537 |
| NOT HAD | 38 | 27 | 74 | 63 | 107 |
| TOTAL | 220 | 233 | 604 | 584 | 644 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 83.1 | 88.7 | 87.8 | 90.2 | 84.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (76.0-88.4) | (83.3-92.6) | (84.1-90.7) | (86.9-92.7) | (80.4-87.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 82.4 | 89.2 | 87.5 | 90.6 | 84.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (75.2-87.9) | (84.0-92.8) | (83.7-90.5) | (87.5-93.0) | (81.0-87.6) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-12a

> Percent of adults aged $50+$ who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 243 | 671 | 897 |  |
| HAD |  | 616 | 161 | 1797 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 859 | 1832 | 2694 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 28.3 | 38.9 | 32.4 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(24.4-32.4)$ | $(35.8-42.1)$ | $(30.1-34.8)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 28.3 | 39.1 | 32.4 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(24.5-32.4)$ | $(36.1-42.1)$ | $(30.1-34.8)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 95 | 262 | 348 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 298 | 490 | 781 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 393 |  | 752 | 1129 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 2002
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## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 148 | 409 | 549 |  |
| NOT HAD | 318 | 671 | 1016 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 466 |  | 1080 | 1565 |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 31.0 | 40.0 | 34.5 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(25.5-37.1)$ | $(35.8-44.3)$ | $(31.4-37.7)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 30.8 | 40.1 | 34.5 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | $(25.5-36.8)$ | $(36.0-44.3)$ | $(31.4-37.7)$ |  |  |

[^42]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 3

## Percent of White adults aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002




| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAD |  | 67 |  | 275 | 378 |
| NOT HAD |  | 151 | 514 | 749 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 218 | 789 | 1127 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 31.6 | 39.5 | 32.7 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(24.3-40.0)$ | $(34.9-44.2)$ | $(29.0-36.5)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 31.6 | 40.6 | 33.3 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | $(24.3-40.1)$ | $(35.9-45.4)$ | $(29.7-37.2)$ |  |  |

[^43]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 14 | 54 | 77 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 42 | 132 | 211 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 56 | 186 | 288 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 26.5 | 30.0 | 29.7 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(12.6-47.4)$ | $(21.7-39.9)$ | $(22.9-37.6)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 31.6 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | $(17.3-50.5)$ |  | 31.0 | 29.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $22.7-40.80$ | $(23.1-37.8)$ |  |  |  |

[^44]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 60 | 81 |  |
| HAD |  |  | 131 | 222 |  |
| NOT HAD |  |  | 191 | 303 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I $(95 \%)$ |  | 33.2 | 23.0 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(22.9-45.4)$ | $(17.5-29.7)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

[^45]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 62 | 214 | 252 |  |
| HAD |  | 138 | 243 | 427 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 200 | 457 | 679 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) | 31.7 | 47.1 | 34.6 |  |  |
|  | $(23.8-40.7)$ | $(41.3-53.0)$ | $(30.2-39.0)$ |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 29.7 | 45.9 | 33.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(22.3-38.4)$ | $(39.9-52.0)$ | $(29.2-39.0)$ |  |  |

[^46]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of adults of other races aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002


$\checkmark-$ Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age adjusted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 92 | 68 | 109 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 245 | 141 | 188 |  |
| TOTAL | 337 | 209 | 297 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 2002

[^47]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 8

Percent of adults aged 50 + with less education who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 2002

[^48]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 9

> Percent of adults aged $50+$ who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years
> Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 114 | 296 | 411 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 248 | 441 | 720 |  |
| TOTAL | 362 | 737 | 1131 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^49]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 10

## Percent of adults aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years

Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted }-\triangle \text {-Age-adjusted }
$$

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 59 | 142 | 227 |  |
| NOT HAD | 189 | 309 | 510 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 248 | 451 | 737 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) | 23.7 | 33.3 | 28.0 |  |  |
|  |  | $(18.3-30.0)$ | $(28.6-38.3)$ | $(24.6-31.7)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 23.5 |  | 33.2 | 28.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(18.2-29.7)$ | $(28.7-38.0)$ | $(24.6-31.7)$ |  |  |

[^50]
## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 11

## Percent of adults aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years <br> Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 32 | 92 | 111 |  |
| HAD |  | 63 | 145 | 188 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 95 | 237 | 299 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 34.7 | 41.2 | 35.4 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(24.5-46.4)$ | $(33.9-48.9)$ | $(29.4-41.9)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 34.9 | 40.9 | 35.7 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | $(24.6-46.8)$ | $(33.5-48.8)$ | $(29.6-42.3)$ |  |  |

[^51]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 12

## Percent of adults aged 50 + who had a fecal occult blood test in past 2 years <br> Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 38 | 141 | 148 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 116 | 266 | 379 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 154 | 407 | 527 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) | 24.3 | 37.0 | 28.3 |  |  |
|  |  | $(17.2-33.1)$ | $(31.5-42.9)$ | $(24.0-33.1)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 24.2 |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(17.3-32.6)$ | 37.3 | 28.6 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(31.9-42.9)$ | $(24.2-33.1)$ |  |

[^52]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b

## Percent of adults aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy

 Hawaii, 1998-2002

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 352 | 877 | 1079 |  |
| HAD |  | 500 | 959 | 1642 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 852 | 1836 | 2721 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) | 44.1 | 49.8 | 39.2 |  |  |
|  |  | $(39.7-48.7)$ | $(46.6-53.0)$ | $(36.8-41.7)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 44.2 | 50.1 | 39.4 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(39.8-48.7)$ | $(47.0-53.3)$ | $(37.0-41.8)$ |  |  |

[^53]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 170 | 382 | 441 |  |
| NOT HAD | 220 | 377 | 694 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 390 | 759 | 1135 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 2002

[^54]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women aged $50+$ who had a sigmoidoscopy

 Hawaii, 1998-2002

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 182 | 495 | 638 |
| HAD |  | 280 | 582 | 948 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 462 | 1077 | 1586 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 41.6 | 48.1 | 39.6 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(35.5-48.0)$ | $(43.9-52.4)$ | $(36.4-42.8)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 41.4 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(35.3-47.8)$ | 48.3 | 39.3 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I $(95 \%)$ |  | $(44.1-52.5)$ | $(36.2-42.5)$ |  |  |

[^55]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of White adults aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 93 | 377 | 479 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 124 | 415 | 659 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 217 | 792 | 1138 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^56]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 4

Percent of Hawaiians aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \triangle-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 22 | 77 | 80 |
| HAD |  | 31 | 111 | 210 |  |
| NOT HAD | 53 | 188 | 290 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) | 48.6 | 33.5 | 34.0 |  |  |
|  |  | $(29.5-68.1)$ | $(25.3-42.9)$ | $(26.4-42.5)$ |  |
| Age-ajusted percent |  | 51.1 |  | 34.9 | 34.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(33.4-68.5)$ | $(26.7-44.2)$ | $(27.5-42.9)$ |  |  |

[^57]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 5

Percent of Filipinos aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 70 | 77 |  |
| HAD |  |  | 118 | 227 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 188 | 304 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I $(95 \%)$ |  | 42.4 | 24.6 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(31.5-54.2)$ | $(18.8-31.4)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

[^58]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 6

Percent of Japanese aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 97 | 252 | 319 |  |
| NOT HAD | 102 | 206 | 366 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 199 | 458 | 685 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^59]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of adults of other races aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 120 | 101 | 124 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 215 | 109 | 180 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 335 | 210 | 304 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^60]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 8

## Percent of adults aged 50 + with less education who had a sigmoidoscopy

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 46 | 94 | 93 |  |  |
| NOT HAD | 72 | 113 | 192 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 118 | 207 | 285 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 42.9 | 49.0 | 31.0 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(31.4-55.2)$ | $(38.2-59.8)$ | $(24.2-38.7)$ |  |
|  |  | 37.8 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(26.5-50.6)$ | $(34.9-58.1)$ | $(17.7-31.0)$ |  |

[^61]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 9

## Percent of adults aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy

Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 161 |  | 378 |
| HAD |  | 200 | 360 | 627 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 361 | 738 | 1144 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted per cent | 46.5 | 50.6 | 41.8 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(40.6-52.5)$ | $(46.3-54.8)$ | $(38.5-45.1)$ |  |
|  |  | 46.7 | 50.9 | 41.7 |
| Age-adjusted percent | $(40.9-52.6)$ | $(46.7-55.1)$ | $(38.5-45.0)$ |  |

[^62]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 10

Percent of adults aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy
Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMbER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 94 | 217 | 277 |
| HAD |  | 151 | 239 | 465 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 245 | 456 | 742 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) | 37.9 | 50.4 | 33.3 |  |  |
|  |  | $(31.4-44.9)$ | $(45.3-55.5)$ | $(29.7-37.1)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 37.7 | 50.5 | 33.7 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(31.3-44.5)$ | $(45.4-55.5)$ | $(30.0-37.5)$ |  |  |

[^63]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12b /SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of adults aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy
Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 47 | 107 | 123 |  |
| NOT HAD | 47 | 131 | 183 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 94 | 238 | 306 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted per cent | 51.5 | 46.9 | 37.2 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(39.9-62.9)$ | $(38.7-55.3)$ | $(31.2-43.7)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 50.3 | 46.6 | 37.6 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(39.4-61.2)$ | $(38.8-54.7)$ | $(31.5-44.1)$ |  |

[^64]
## OBJECTIVE 3-12a /SUPPLEMENT 12

Percent of adults aged 50 + who had a sigmoidoscopy Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 50 | 175 | 162 |
| HAD |  | 102 | 229 | 367 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 152 | 404 | 529 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 2002

[^65]
## OBJECTIVE 3-13

## Percent of women aged 40 + who had a mammogram in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 568 | 556 | 1712 | 1328 | 1637 |
| NOT HAD | 203 | 189 | 504 | 390 | 683 |
| TOTAL | 771 | 745 | 2216 | 1718 | 2320 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 74.4 | 74.1 | 78.6 | 80.3 | 70.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (69.9-78.5) | (69.7-78.1) | (76.3-80.8) | (76.3-80.8) | (67.8-72.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 74.0 | 74.0 | 78.3 | 80.1 | 70.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (69.5-78.0) | (69.6-77.9) | (76.0-80.5) | (77.4-82.5) | (67.6-72.6) |

[^66]
## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 1

## Percent of White women aged 40 + who had a mammogram in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002




| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 235 | 144 | 645 | 540 | 677 |
| NOT HAD | 91 | 46 | 204 | 168 | 268 |
| TOTAL | 326 | 190 | 849 | 708 | 945 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 75.1 | 75.3 | 77.9 | 80.0 | 71.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (67.7-81.2) | (66.9-82.1) | (74.0-81.3) | (79.5-83.5) | (67.2-75.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 74.8 | 75.1 | 77.7 | 80.2 | 71.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (67.6-80.8) | (67.1-81.8) | (73.8-81.1) | (76.2-83.6) | (67.0-75.4) |

[^67]
## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 2

## Percent of Hawaiian women aged 40 + who had a mammogram in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 71 | 48 | 225 | 149 | 180 |
| NOT HAD | 37 | 20 | 84 | 52 | 102 |
| TOTAL | 108 | 68 | 309 | 201 | 282 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 59.5 | 63.9 | 73.6 | 78.0 | 63.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (49.6-72.0) | (45.9-78.7) | (66.6-79.6) | (69.7-84.6) | (55.2-70.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 59.5 | 67.2 | 73.9 | 75.6 | 62.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (45.5-72.1) | (50.5-80.5) | (66.9-79.9) | (66.9-82.7) | (55.1-70.0) |

[^68]
## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 3

> Percent of Filipino women aged $40+$ who had a mammogram in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 48 | 38 | 174 | 146 | 175 |
| NOT HAD | 9 | 17 | 61 | 54 | 97 |
| TOTAL | 57 | 55 | 235 | 200 | 272 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 88.0 | 74.9 | 79.6 | 74.9 | 64.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (74.9-94.7) | (58.7-86.3) | (71.9-85.7) | (64.6-83.0) | (56.5-71.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 88.1 | 71.9 | 78.5 | 74.6 | 65.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (75.9-94.6) | (54.4-84.5) | (71.2-84.3) | (64.5-82.6) | (57.4-72.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 4

> Percent of Japanese women aged $40+$ who had a mammogram in past 2 years
> Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 164 | 115 | 472 | 333 | 415 |
| NOT HAD | 46 | 23 | 95 | 64 | 132 |
| TOTAL | 210 | 138 | 567 | 397 | 547 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 76.6 | 80.5 | 82.0 | 87.6 | 75.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (68.4-83.2) | (70.0-87.9) | (77.7-85.6) | (83.5-90.8) | (70.9-79.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 74.7 | 77.7 | 81.8 | 87.9 | 75.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (66.1-81.7) | (63.6-86.0) | (77.3-85.6) | (83.7-91.2) | (70.4-80.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 5

Percent of women of other races aged $40+$ who had a mammogram in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\sim$ Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age-adjusted |  |  | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| HAD | 50 | 211 | 196 | 160 | 190 |
| NOT HAD | 20 | 83 | 60 | 52 | 84 |
| TOTAL | 70 | 294 | 256 | 212 | 274 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 74.9 | 72.1 | 77.4 | 74.5 | 70.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (61.0-85.1) | (64.9-78.2) | (70.2-83.2) | (66.4-81.2) | (63.8-76.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 76.0 | 72.1 | 77.4 | 75.9 | 70.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (62.7-85.6) | (65.0-78.2) | (70.7-82.9) | (68.2-82.1) | (64.0-76.6) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 6

## Percent of women aged 40 + in low income household who had a mammogram in past 2 years Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 58 | 32 | 36 |
| HAD |  | 27 | 20 | 23 |  |
| NOT HAD |  | 85 | 52 | 59 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 7

## Percent of women aged 40 +with less education who had a mammogram in past 2 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 60 | 58 | 163 | 116 | 139 |
| NOT HAD | 24 | 28 | 69 | 49 | 78 |
| TOTAL | 84 | 86 | 232 | 165 | 217 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 64.5 | 62.3 | 76.4 | 80.7 | 66.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (45.4-79.8) | (48.1-74.7) | (68.5-82.7) | (72.5-86.9) | (57.6-75.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 65.6 | 50.4 | 72.3 | 82.4 | 62.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (48.5-79.5) | (39.4-61.4) | (61.8-80.8) | (73.2-88.9) | (50.9-72.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 8

## Percent of women aged 40 + who had a mammogram in past 2 years Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 262 | 228 | 760 | 548 | 748 |
| NOT HAD | 73 | 83 | 219 | 134 | 287 |
| TOTAL | 335 | 311 | 979 | 682 | 1035 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 75.9 | 74.1 | 78.9 | 81.7 | 70.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (69.8-81.0) | (68.3-79.2) | (75.9-81.7) | (78.1-84.7) | (67.5-74.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 75.5 | 74.0 | 78.6 | 81.5 | 70.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (69.4-80.6) | (68.2-79.0) | (75.5-81.3) | (78.0-84.5) | (67.3-73.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 9

> Percent of women aged $40+$ who had a mammogram in past 2 years
> Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\checkmark$ Weighted $\checkmark-$ Age-adjusted

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 146 | 146 | 465 | 316 | 425 |
| NOT HAD | 56 | 58 | 135 | 93 | 170 |
| TOTAL | 202 | 204 | 600 | 409 | 595 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 71.1 | 71.3 | 78.8 | 77.5 | 71.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (63.6-77.6) | (63.6-77.9) | (74.8-82.2) | (72.7-81.7) | (67.0-72.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 70.5 | 71.4 | 78.3 | 77.1 | 71.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (63.1-77.0) | (63.9-77.9) | (74.3-81.8) | (72.3-81.2) | (67.0-75.1) |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 10



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 60 | 67 | 166 | 174 | 185 |
| NOT HAD | 30 | 10 | 62 | 52 | 74 |
| TOTAL | 90 | 77 | 228 | 226 | 259 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 68.0 | 84.9 | 71.5 | 80.3 | 69.1 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(56.8-77.4)$ | $(71.5-92.6)$ | $(62.4-77.8)$ | $(74.2-85.2)$ | $(62.1-75.3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | 68.1 | 84.2 | 71.9 | 79.6 | 69.1 |
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## OBJECTIVE 3-13/Supplement 11

> Percent of women aged $40+$ who had a mammogram in past 2 years
> Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAD | 100 | 115 | 321 | 290 | 279 |
| NOT HAD | 44 | 38 | 88 | 111 | 152 |
| TOTAL | 144 | 153 | 409 | 401 | 431 |


| Percent | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 71.1 | 73.1 | 80.0 | 73.7 | 65.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(61.4-79.1)$ | $(63.8-80.7)$ | $(75.1-84.2)$ | $(68.4-78.3)$ | $(59.8-70.7)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 70.9 | 73.9 | 80.2 | 73.7 | 65.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(62.1-79.0)$ | $(65.4-80.9$ | $(75.4-84.3)$ | $(68.5-78.3)$ | $(60.2-70.8)$ |
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## DIABETES

## Objective 5-1

Increase the proportion of persons with diabetes who receive formal diabetes education to 60\%

## Objective 5-3

Reduce the overall rate of diabetes that is clinically diagnosed to 2.5\%
Objective 5-12
Increase the proportion of adults with diabetes who have a glycosylated hemoglobin measurement at least once a year to 50\%

## Objective 5-13

Increase the proportion of adults (aged 18+) with diabetes who have an annual dilated eye examination to $75 \%$

## Objective 5-14

Increase the proportion of adults with diabetes who have at least an annual foot examination to $75 \%$

## OBJECTIVE 5-3

Percent of adults who have diabetes
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 113 | 103 | 339 | 262 | 376 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 2040 | 2047 | 5672 | 4238 | 5600 |
| TOTAL | 2153 | 2150 | 6011 | 4500 | 5976 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 5.6 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 5.8 |
| CI (95\%) | $(4.3-7.1)$ | $(4.1-6.5)$ | $(4.66 .0)$ | $(5.1-7.4)$ | $(5.1-6.6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 5.2 | 6.1 |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men who have diabetes

Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\rightarrow$ Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age-adjusted

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 52 | 54 | 173 | 119 | 154 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 881 | 937 | 2504 | 1818 | 2429 |
| TOTAL | 933 | 991 | 2677 | 1937 | 2583 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 5.5 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 4.8 |
| CI (95\%) | (3.8-7.8) | (4.6-8.7) | (4.8-7.0) | (5.2-8.9) | (3.9-5.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 5.8 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 4.9 |
| Cl (95\%) | (4.1-8.3) | (4.8-9.0) | (5.0-7.2) | (5.3-9.1) | (4.0-5.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women who have diabetes

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| have | 61 | 49 | 166 | 143 | 222 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 1159 | 1110 | 3168 | 2420 | 3171 |
| TOTAL | 1220 | 1159 | 3334 | 2563 | 3393 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 5.7 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 6.8 |
| CI (95\%) | (4.0-8.0) | (2.8-5.5) | (3.8-5.7) | (4.5-6.9) | (5.7-8.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 5.4 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 6.3 |
| Cl (95\%) | (3.9-7.5) | (2.6-5.1) | (3.6-5.3) | (5.2-6.4) | (5.4-7.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of White adults who have diabetes
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| have | 22 | 12 | 75 | 60 | 94 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 825 | 484 | 2184 | 1797 | 2378 |
| TOTAL | 847 | 496 | 2259 | 1857 | 2472 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| CI (95\%) | (1.1-3.6) | (1.1-4.2) | (2.2-3.8) | (2.5-4.8) | (2.6-4.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| CI (95\%) | (1.1-3.5) | (1.1-4.0) | (2.1-3.6) | (2.6-4.8) | (2.8-5.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Hawaiians who have diabetes Hawaii, 1998-2002




| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 23 | 15 | 63 | 44 | 70 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 282 | 205 | 870 | 541 | 695 |
| TOTAL | 305 | 220 | 933 | 585 | 765 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 7.9 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 7.2 |
| CI (95\%) | (4.4-13.7) | 3.9-13.1) | (4.1-8.0) | (5.6-12.1) | (5.4-9.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 9.9 | 12.7 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 8.2 |
| Cl (95\%) | (5.9-16.1) | (7.8-20.0) | (4.7-9.0) | (6.2-12.9) | (6.1-11.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 5

Percent of Filipinos who have diabetes
Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 13 | 7 | 53 | 52 | 51 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 219 | 161 | 686 | 496 | 722 |
| TOTAL | 232 | 168 | 739 | 548 | 773 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 7.1 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 9.2 | 6.1 |
| Cl (95\%) | (3.6-13.5) | (1.6-9.6) | (4.1-8.6) | (5.4-15.2) | (4.3-8.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 10.4 | 10.0 | 6.5 | 9.6 | 5.9 |
| Cl (95\%) | (5.9-17.9) | (5.2-18.3) | (4.7-9.0) | (6.0-15.1) | (4.2-8.2) |

[^83]
## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 6



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| have | 40 | 33 | 114 | 77 | 106 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 435 | 313 | 1151 | 794 | 1059 |
| TOTAL | 475 | 346 | 1265 | 871 | 1165 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 8.7 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 8.9 |
| CI (95\%) | (5.8-12.8) | (5.8-13.9) | (6.9-10.7) | (5.9-10.6) | (7.1-11.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 6.3 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| CI (95\%) | (3.3-11.7) | (4.8-15.5) | (5.3-8.3) | (4.7-8.7) | (5.3-8.4) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of adults of other races who have diabetes Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| have | 15 | 36 | 34 | 29 | 55 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 279 | 884 | 781 | 610 | 746 |
| TOTAL | 294 | 920 | 815 | 639 | 801 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 5.0 |
| CI (95\%) | (2.2-8.2) | (3.1-6.6) | (2.5-5.6) | (3.3-8.5) | (3.7-6.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 6.3 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| CI (95\%) | (3.3-11.7) | (3.4-7.2) | (2.9-6.4) | (3.8-9.2) | (4.4-8.1) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 8

## Percent of adults in low income household who have diabetes Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| have | 10 | 5 | 15 | 13 | 10 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 135 | 95 | 298 | 180 | 197 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 100 | 313 | 193 | 207 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 8.1 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 10.6 | 2.7 |
| CI (95\%) | (3.4-18.1) | (1.4-11.3) | (2.6-9.7) | (4.0-25.0) | (1.2-5.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 10.5 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 8.8 | 5.2 |
| Cl (95\%) | (4.3-23.2) | (1.9-18.7) | (2.9-11.4) | (4.3-17.3) | (2.3-11.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 9

## Percent of adults with less education who have diabetes

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 23 | 20 | 47 | 47 | 47 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 123 | 131 | 368 | 237 | 326 |
| TOTAL | 146 | 151 | 415 | 284 | 373 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 14.7 | 12.8 | 8.9 | 14.5 | 10.6 |
| CI (95\%) | (7.8-26.1) | (7.2-21.7) | (6.1-12.8) | (9.9-20.7) | (7.3-15.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 10.7 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 9.1 | 6.2 |
| CI (95\%) | (5.8-18.7) | (3.0-9.2) | (3.5-8.7) | (5.7-14.2) | (3.5-10.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 10

Percent of adults who have diabetes
Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 45 | 53 | 144 | 111 | 180 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 953 | 946 | 2658 | 1809 | 2624 |
| TOTAL | 998 | 999 | 2802 | 1920 | 2804 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| CI (95\%) | (4.0-7.6) | (4.0-7.3) | (4.1-6.0) | (5.0-8.1) | (5.0-7.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 5.9 |
| CI (95\%) | (4.2-7.7) | (4.1-7.3) | (4.2-6.0) | (5.0-8.0) | (5.0-5.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of adults who have diabetes
Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \checkmark \checkmark \text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAVE | 35 | 29 | 93 | 60 | 84 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 494 | 498 | 1360 | 960 | 1286 |
| TOTAL | 529 | 527 | 1453 | 1020 | 1370 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 6.0 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
| CI (95\%) | $(4.2-8.4)$ | $(3.5-7.6)$ | $(5.1-7.8)$ | $(4.4-7.6)$ | $(4.2-6.8)$ |


| Age-adjusted percent | 5.6 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 4.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cl (95\%) | $(4.0-7.8)$ | $(3.3-7.0)$ | $(4.8-7.3)$ | $(4.1-7.0)$ | $(3.8-6.3)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 12

Percent of adults who have diabetes
Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 11 | 8 | 34 | 32 | 40 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 199 | 198 | 552 | 517 | 560 |
| TOTAL | 210 | 206 | 586 | 549 | 600 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 4.0 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 5.9 |
| CI (95\%) | (2.1-7.7) | (1.3-5.6) | (3.5-7.4) | (4.1-9.2) | (4.1-8.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 3.8 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 |
| Cl (95\%) | (2.0-7.1) | (1.2-5.1) | (3.3-7.0) | (3.9-8.6) | (3.7-7.6) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-3/ SUPPLEMENT 13

Percent of adults who have diabetes
Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAVE | 22 | 13 | 68 | 59 | 72 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 394 | 405 | 1102 | 952 | 1130 |
| TOTAL | 416 | 418 | 1170 | 1011 | 1202 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 6.4 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| CI (95\%) | (3.6-11.0) | (2.3-7.4) | (4.3-7.8) | (3.8-6.9) | (3.7-6.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 6.3 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| CI (95\%) | (3.5-10.9) | (2.4-7.2) | (4.2-7.5) | (3.8-6.7) | (3.7-6.4) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-1

## Percent of adults with diabetes who received formal education Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NuMber of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RECEIVED |  | 149 | 135 | 192 |  |
| DID NOT RECEIVE |  |  | 184 | 127 | 183 |
| TOTAL |  | 333 | 262 | 375 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 45.9 | 60.1 | 52.3 |  |
| Cl (95\%) |  | $(39.1-52.9)$ | $(51.3-68.3)$ | $(45.5-58.9)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 45.9 | 63.0 | 53.4 |  |
| $\quad$ Cl (95\%) |  | $(36.2-55.8)$ | $(53.0-72.1)$ | $(43.6-62.9)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-1/ Supplement 1

## Percent of men with diabetes who received formal education Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | $\checkmark$ Weighted $\triangle-$ Age-adjusted |  |  | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| Received |  |  | 74 | 56 | 76 |
| did not receive |  |  | 96 | 63 | 78 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 170 | 119 | 154 |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> Cl (95\%) |  | 43.4 | 59.1 | 51.8 |  |
|  |  | $(34.2-53.2)$ | $(45.8-71.2)$ | $(42.0-61.5)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cl (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-1/ Supplement 2

Percent of women with diabetes who received formal education

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RECEIVED |  | 75 | 79 | 116 |  |
| DID NOT RECEIVE |  | 88 | 64 | 105 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 163 | 143 | 221 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$| Weighted percent |
| :--- |
| CI (95\%) |
|  |
| Age-adjusted percent |
| Cl (95\%) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-12

## Percent of adults with diabetes who had glycosylasted hemoglobin measurement at least once last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 262 | 239 | 337 |
| HAD |  | 24 | 8 | 21 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 286 | 247 | 358 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  | 91.4 | 97.7 | 95.3 |
| Cl (95\%) |  |  | (85.9-94.9) | (93.9-99.1) | (92.2-97.2) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  | 78.7 | 89.9 | 88.7 |
| Cl (95\%) |  |  | (70.9-84.9) | (81.5-94.7) | (80.8-93.6) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-12/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men with diabetes who had glycosylasted hemoglobin measurement at least once last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 133 | 109 | 133 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 12 | 2 | 13 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 145 | 111 | 146 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 93.2 | 98.5 | 91.5 |  |
| Cl (95\%) |  | $(86.4-96.8)$ | $(91.2-99.8)$ | $(84.3-95.6)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Cl (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-12/ SUPPLEMENT 2

Percent of women with diabetes who had glycosylasted hemoglobin measurement at least once last year Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 129 | 130 | 204 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 12 | 6 | 8 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 141 | 136 | 212 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> Cl (95\%) |  | 89.1 | 96.7 | 98.0 |  |
|  |  | $(79.0-94.7)$ | $(89.8-99.0)$ | $(95.7-99.0)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Cl $(95 \%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-13

## Percent of adults with diabetes who had a dilated eye examination last year

 Hawaii, 1998-2002
$\diamond$ - Weighted $\triangle-$ Age-adjusted

| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 90 | 77 | 277 | 216 | 300 |
| DID NOT HAVE | 20 | 24 | 52 | 46 | 74 |
| TOTAL | 110 | 101 | 329 | 262 | 374 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 88.2 | 77.3 | 82.9 | 82.3 | 80.2 |
| CI (95\%) | $(80.0-93.3)$ | $(65.0-86.1)$ | $(76.7-87.7)$ | $(75.0-87.8)$ | $(73.5-85.6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ CI (95\%) | 84.1 | 60.9 | 80.3 | 73.1 | 74.3 |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-13/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men with diabetes who had a dilated eye examination last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 40 | 36 | 138 | 92 | 121 |
| DID NOT HAVE | 10 | 17 | 30 | 27 | 32 |
| TOTAL | 50 | 53 | 168 | 119 | 153 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 87.0 | 69.0 | 80.9 | 76.3 | 81.2 |
| CI (95\%) | (72.5-94.4) | (51.6-82.3) | (71.6-87.7) | (64.1-85.3) | (72.5-87.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 73.9 | 46.3 | 77.9 | 65.4 | 75.8 |
| Cl (95\%) | (63.5-82.1) | (34.9-58.1) | (66.5-86.2) | (53.5-75.7) | (66.8-83.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-13/ SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women with diabetes who had a dilated eye examination last year Hawaii, 1998-2002


$\rightarrow$ Weighted $\triangle-$ Age-adjusted

| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 50 | 139 | 124 | 179 |
| DID NOT HAVE | 10 | 22 | 19 | 42 |
| TOTAL | 60 | 161 | 143 | 221 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 89.4 | 85.4 | 89.7 | 79.5 |  |
| Cl (95\%) | $(78.6-95.1)$ | $(76.8-91.1)$ | $(82.5-94.2)$ | $(69.4-87.0)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 89.8 | 83.6 | 86.5 | 74.4 |  |
| Cl (95\%) | $(77.6-95.7)$ | $(75.3-89.5)$ | $(75.0-93.2)$ | $(61.5-84.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-14

Percent of adults with diabetes who had a foot examination at least once last year Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\checkmark$ Weighted $\checkmark-$ Age-adjusted

| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 66 | 58 | 238 | 213 | 314 |
| DID NOT HAVE | 34 | 29 | 75 | 41 | 54 |
| TOTAL | 100 | 87 | 313 | 254 | 368 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 73.9 | 68.6 | 77.6 | 84.4 | 86.5 |
| Cl (95\%) | $(61.0-83.6)$ | $(55.5-79.3)$ | $(71.0-83.1)$ | $(77.3-89.6)$ | $(81.6-90.2)$ |


| Age-adjusted percent | 75.0 | 63.6 | 67.6 | 79.1 | 84.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cl (95\%) | $(61.9-84.7)$ | $(48.9-76.1)$ | $(57.1-76.6)$ | $(68.9-86.7)$ | $(77.5-90.1)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-14/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men with diabetes

who had a foot examination at least once last year Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 118 | 97 | 129 |
| HAD |  | 40 | 18 | 20 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 158 | 115 | 149 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  | 76.0 | 86.2 | 87.6 |
| Cl (95\%) |  |  | (65.9-83.8) | (75.6-92.6) | (80.2-92.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  | 66.3 | 80.2 | 85.4 |
| Cl (95\%) |  |  | (52.2-78.0) | (65.6-89.5) | (75.5-91.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 5-14/ SUPPLEMENT 2



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAD | 34 |  | 120 | 116 | 185 |
| DID NOT HAVE | 22 |  | 35 | 23 | 34 |
| TOTAL | 56 |  | 155 | 139 | 219 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 66.3 |  | 79.7 | 82.2 | 85.7 |
| CI (95\%) | (48.0-80.7) |  | (70.8-86.4) | (71.6-89.4) | (78.9-90.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 65.7 |  | 69.4 | 78.3 | 84.4 |
| CI (95\%) | (49.9-78.6) |  | (54.2-81.3) | (63.1-88.4) | (74.3-91.0) |
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## HEART DISEASE AND STROKE

Objective 12-9
Reduce the proportion of adults (aged 20+) with high blood pressure to $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$
Objective 12-14
Reduce the proportion of adults (aged 20+) with high total blood cholesterol levels to 17\%

Objective 12-15
Increase the proportion of adults who have had their blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years to $80 \%$

## OBJECTIVE 12-9

Percent of adults aged $20+$ who have high blood pressure Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 486 |  | 1082 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 1620 |  | 3327 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 2106 | 4409 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 23.4 | 24.7 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(21.0-26.0)$ | $(23.0-26.6)$ |  |
|  |  | 23.6 |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(21.3-25.9)$ | 24.3 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(22.8-25.9)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 1

## Percent of men aged 20+ who have high blood pressure

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 236 | 479 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 730 |  | 1412 |
| TOTAL |  | 966 |  | 1891 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 23.6 | 2001 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(20.2-27.3)$ | 24.7 |
|  |  | $(22.2-27.4)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 24.2 | 25.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(21.0-27.8)$ | $(23.0-27.8)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 2

Percent of women aged 20+
who have high blood pressure
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 250 |  | 603 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 890 |  | 1915 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 1140 | 2518 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 23.3 | 24.8 |  |
| $\quad$ c.I (95\%) |  | $(20.0-26.9)$ | $(22.4-27.3)$ |  |
|  |  | 22.7 | 23.4 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(19.8-25.8)$ | $(21.4-25.5)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 3

Percent of White adults aged 20+ who have high blood pressure Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 97 |  | 360 |  |
| HAVE |  | 392 |  | 1472 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 489 |  | 1832 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 20.4 | 19.9 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(15.8-26.0)$ | $(17.6-22.5)$ |  |
|  |  | 20.1 | 20.0 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(16.2-24.8)$ | $(17.9-22.3)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 20+ who have high blood pressure Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 52 |  | 145 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 160 | 419 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 212 | 564 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 27.0 | 26.4 |  |
| $\quad$ c.I (95\%) |  | $(19.5-36.2)$ | $(21.6-31.7)$ |  |
|  |  | 35.5 | 27.8 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(28.5-43.4)$ | $(23.4-32.8)$ |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 5

Percent of Filipinos aged 20+ who have high blood pressure Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE | 40 |  | 145 |  |  |
| DO NOT HAVE | 126 | 387 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 166 | 532 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 21.7 | 24.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(14.0-32.1)$ | $(19.0-30.4)$ |  |
|  |  | 27.4 | 25.1 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(20.0-36.1)$ | $(20.5-30.4)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 20+ who have high blood pressure Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 109 |  | 299 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 232 | 561 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 341 |  | 860 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 32.5 | 32.7 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(26.4-39.2)$ | $(28.8-36.9)$ |  |
|  |  | 24.6 | 26.3 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(19.5-30.7)$ | $(23.0-29.9)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 20+ who have high blood pressure Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \checkmark-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 188 |  | 133 |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 710 | 488 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 898 |  | 621 |


| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 20.2 |  | 23.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(17.0-23.9)$ | $(19.6-28.7)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 21.7 | 26.3 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(18.7-25.1)$ | $(22.5-30.5)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 8

## Percent of adults in low income household aged 20+ who have high blood pressure

 Hawaii, 1998-2002

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 15 | 35 |  |  |
| HAVE |  | 82 | 154 |  |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 97 |  | 189 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 21.2 | 2002 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(10.6-38.0)$ | $(12.2-31.7)$ |  |
|  |  | 29.9 | 25.5 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(22.5-38.4)$ | $(17.7-35.4)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 9

## Percent of adults with less education <br> who have high blood pressure <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 64 |  | 137 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 88 | 147 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 152 | 284 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 35.2 | 49.7 |  |  |
| $\quad$ c.I (95\%) |  | $(26.1-45.5)$ | $(41.1-58.4)$ |  |
|  |  | 21.9 | 32.2 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(14.4-31.9)$ | $(25.4-39.9)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |

[^113]
## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 10

Percent of adults aged 20+ who have high blood pressure Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 219 |  | 451 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 761 |  | 1424 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 980 | 1875 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 23.5 | 2001 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(20.5-26.9)$ | 24.7 |
|  |  | $(22.4-27.1)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 23.9 | 24.6 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(21.0-27.0)$ | $(22.6-26.7)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 11

Percent of adults aged 20+ who have high blood pressure Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 131 |  | 254 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 385 | 741 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 516 |  | 995 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 24.1 | 25.4 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(20.1-28.5)$ | $(22.5-28.6)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 23.5 | 24.1 |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(19.8-27.6)$ | $(21.5-26.9)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 12

Percent of adults aged 20+ who have high blood pressure Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\checkmark-$ Weighted $\checkmark-$ Age-adjusted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 58 |  | 150 |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 145 | 392 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 203 | 542 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 25.7 | 2001 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(19.6-33.0)$ | 29.2 |
|  |  | $(24.5-34.5)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 24.3 | 26.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(19.8-30.6)$ | $(23.3-30.7)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-9/ Supplement 13

Percent of adults aged 20+ who have high blood pressure Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 78 |  | 227 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 329 | 770 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 407 |  | 997 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 20.7 | 22.4 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(16.5-25.8)$ | $(19.4-25.8)$ |  |
|  |  | 21.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(17.0-25.6)$ | $(19.1-25.2)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 12-14

## Percent of adults aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 390 |  | 883 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 1064 |  | 2584 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 1454 | 3467 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 28.0 | 25.4 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(24.9-31.3)$ | $(23.5-27.5)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 26.3 | 23.4 |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(23.4-29.5)$ | $(21.6-25.4)$ |  |  |

[^118]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 1

## Percent of men aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 204 | 378 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 461 |  | 1088 |
| TOTAL |  | 665 |  | 1466 |


| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 30.6 | 26.0 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(26.2-35.4)$ | $(23.1-29.1)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 29.7 | 24.5 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(25.4-34.4)$ | $(21.8-27.5)$ |  |  |

[^119]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 2

## Percent of women aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 186 | 505 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE | 603 |  | 1496 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 789 | 2001 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 25.6 | 2001 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(21.4-30.2)$ | 24.9 |
|  |  | $(22.4-27.6)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 22.8 | 22.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(19.1-27.0)$ | $(19.9-24.6)$ |  |

[^120]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 3

Percent of White adults aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\neg-$ Weighted $-\triangle$ Age-adjusted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 75 | 346 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE | 282 |  | 1124 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 357 |  | 1470 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 20.4 | 2001 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(15.0-27.1)$ | 22.2 |
|  |  | $(19.5-25.1)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 19.2 | 20.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(14.5-24.9)$ | $(18.3-23.8)$ |  |

[^121]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 28 |  | 98 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 104 | 305 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 132 | 403 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 25.5 | 24.6 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(16.3-37.4)$ | $(19.2-30.9)$ |  |
|  |  | 28.2 | 22.3 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(19.9-38.3)$ | $(17.7-27.6)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |

[^122]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii, 1998-2002



|  | $\bigcirc$ Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age-adjusted |  |  | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| have |  | 30 |  | 94 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 74 |  | 299 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 104 |  | 393 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 30.0 | 25.2 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(18.2-45.2)$ | $(19.3-32.3)$ |  |
|  |  | 30.5 | 23.5 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(20.2-43.2)$ | $(18.1-29.9)$ |  |

[^123]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 90 |  | 228 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 184 | 482 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 274 | 710 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 31.7 | 3002 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(25.2-38.9)$ | $(27.8-36.7)$ |  |
|  |  | 24.5 | 26.3 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(19.6-30.1)$ | $(22.5-30.5)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |

[^124]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 167 |  | 117 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 420 | 374 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 587 | 491 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 29.7 | 24.5 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(25.1-34.8)$ | $(19.8-29.8)$ |  |
|  |  | 28.7 | 23.5 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(24.3-33.5)$ | $(19.4-28.5)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |

[^125]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 8

Percent of adults aged 20+ in low income household who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \neg-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  |  | 20 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  |  | 91 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 111 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 2000 | 2001 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 15.8 |
|  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(8.9-26.4)$ |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |

[^126]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 9

## Percent of adults with less education who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 36 |  | 71 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 74 | 147 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 110 | 218 |  |


| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 29.9 | 30.3 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(20.1-42.1)$ | $(22.6-39.3)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 21.5 | 21.7 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(11.8-36.0)$ | $(14.3-31.6)$ |  |  |

[^127]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 10

## Percent of adults aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 187 |  | 380 |
| DO NOT HAVE | 507 | 1125 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 694 |  | 1505 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 28.7 | 2001 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(24.8-32.9)$ | 25.6 |
|  |  | $(23.1-28.2)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 27.1 | 23.8 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(23.4-31.1)$ | $(21.5-26.3)$ |  |

[^128]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 11

## Percent of adults aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 101 |  | 205 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 245 | 562 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 346 |  | 767 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 29.6 | 26.7 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(24.4-35.3)$ | $(23.3-30.3)$ |  |
|  |  | 26.6 | 24.2 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(21.8-32.1)$ | $(20.9-27.8)$ |  |

[^129]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 12

## Percent of adults aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



|  | Weigh | - Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| HAVE |  | 41 |  | 114 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 102 |  | 299 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 143 |  | 413 |  |


| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 26.9 | 27.8 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(19.2-36.1)$ | $(23.1-33.2)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 22.7 | 25.7 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(16.3-30.6)$ | $(20.5-31.8)$ |  |  |

[^130]
## OBJECTIVE 12-14/ Supplement 13

## Percent of adults aged 20+ who have high total blood cholesterol levels Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002




| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 21.5 | 22.1 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(16.3-27.9)$ | $(19.0-25.6)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 21.8 | 19.7 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(16.1-28.8)$ | $(16.9-22.9)$ |  |  |

[^131]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 1402 | 3365 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE | 673 |  | 1044 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 2075 | 4409 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 67.3 | 75.7 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(64.5-69.9)$ | $(73.9-77.4)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 64.6 | 73.9 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(62.0-67.1)$ | $(72.2-75.6)$ |  |  |

[^132]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 1

## Percent of men aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 646 |  | 1412 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 312 | 491 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 958 |  | 1903 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 66.0 |  | 74.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (61.7-70.0) |  | (71.5-76.8) |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 64.1 |  | 73.4 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (60.3-67.8) |  | (70.9-75.8) |  |

[^133]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 2

## Percent of women aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 756 | 1953 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE | 361 |  | 553 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 1117 | 2506 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 68.6 | 77.2 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(64.9-72.0)$ | $(74.9-79.4)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 65.0 | 74.5 |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(61.6-68.2)$ | $(72.1-76.7)$ |  |  |

[^134]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 3

## Percent of White adults aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 340 | 1398 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 140 | 416 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 480 | 1814 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 69.0 |  | 77.8 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(63.1-74.4)$ | $(75.0-80.3)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 65.3 | 75.4 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(60.4-70.0)$ | $(72.7-78.0)$ |  |  |

[^135]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 128 |  | 403 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE | 77 | 169 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 205 | 572 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 56.2 | 68.9 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(46.7-65.3)$ | $(63.5-73.8)$ |  |
|  |  | 58.8 |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(50.8-66.4)$ | 69.7 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(65.0-74.1)$ |  |

[^136]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 102 |  | 388 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 61 | 150 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 163 | 538 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 70.3 |  | 70.3 |  |
| c.l (95\%) |  | (61.4-77.9) |  | (64.6-75.5) |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 70.4 |  | 70.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (63.0-76.8) |  | (64.7-75.3) |  |

[^137]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 266 |  | 694 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE | 66 | 161 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 332 |  | 855 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 79.5 | 80.8 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(73.6-84.4)$ | $(77.0-84.1)$ |  |
|  |  | 66.8 | 74.4 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(59.5-73.4)$ | $(70.3-78.1)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |

[^138]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 566 | 482 |  |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 329 | 148 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 895 | 630 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 63.4 | 75.3 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(58.9-67.6)$ | $(70.5-79.6)$ |  |
|  |  | 62.9 | 75.9 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(58.8-66.7)$ | $(71.9-79.6)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |

[^139]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 8

Percent of adults aged 18+ in low income household who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 47 |  | 108 |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 43 | 81 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 90 |  | 189 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 56.2 | 2001 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(41.1-70.2)$ | 59.0 |
|  |  | $(48.0-69.1)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 60.2 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(46.0-72.9)$ | $(57.0-72.4)$ |  |

[^140]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 9

Percent of adults with less education who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 109 | 210 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE | 39 | 65 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 148 | 275 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 73.6 | 75.9 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(62.4-82.4)$ | $(68.1-82.3)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 58.8 | 63.9 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(48.8-68.2)$ | $(55.1-71.8)$ |  |  |

[^141]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 10

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 674 | 1465 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 291 | 424 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 965 |  | 1889 |


| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 68.6 |  | 76.6 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(65.0-72.0)$ | $(74.2-78.8)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 66.3 | 75.2 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(63.0-69.4)$ | $(73.0-77.3)$ |  |  |

[^142]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 11

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years <br> Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


$\checkmark$ Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age-adjusted

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 333 |  | 733 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 175 | 257 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 508 | 990 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 61.4 | 70.8 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(56.0-66.6)$ | $(67.2-74.2)$ |  |
|  |  | 57.3 | 6602 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(52.5-61.9)$ | $(63.5-70.0)$ |  |

[^143]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 12

Percent of adults aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years

Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAVE |  | 139 | 400 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 62 |  | 140 |
| TOTAL |  | 201 | 540 |  |


| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 68.0 | 2001 | 2002 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(60.1-75.0)$ | 72.7 |  |
|  |  | $(67.9-77.0)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 61.9 | 70.4 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(55.4-68.1)$ | $(65.8-74.6)$ |  |

[^144]
## OBJECTIVE 12-15/ Supplement 13

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who had blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years <br> Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | $\checkmark-$ Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age-adjusted |  |  | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| HAVE |  | 256 |  | 767 |  |
| DO NOT HAVE |  | 145 |  | 223 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 401 |  | 990 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 63.4 | 76.4 |  |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(57.8-68.7)$ | $(72.9-79.7)$ |  |
|  |  | 60.3 | 74.3 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(54.9-65.4)$ | $(70.8-77.5)$ |  |

[^145]
## IMMUNIZATION

## Objective 14-29a \& 14-29b

Increase the proportion of adults aged 65 years and older who are vaccinated annually against influenza and ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease to 90\%

Objective 14-29c \& 14-29d
Increase the proportion of adults aged 18 to 64 who are vaccinated annually against influenza and ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease to $60 \%$

## OBJECTIVE 14-29a

## Percent of adults aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 287 | 614 | 896 |
| HAD |  | 108 | 203 | 350 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 395 | 817 | 1246 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 74.1 | 79.0 | 73.9 |  |
| C.1 (95\%) |  | $(67.5-79.7)$ | $(75.3-82.3)$ | $(70.6-76.9)$ |  |
|  |  | 74.7 |  | 79.2 | 73.5 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(68.0-80.4)$ | $(75.5-82.6)$ | $(70.3-76.5)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 1

## Percent of men aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 112 | 230 | 345 |  |
| HAD |  | 48 | 81 | 139 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 160 | 311 | 484 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 69.0 | 77.7 | 74.1 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(57.9-78.3)$ | $(71.7-82.6)$ | $(68.9-78.7)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 69.4 |  | 77.6 | 73.5 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(58.3-78.6)$ | $(71.6-82.6)$ | $(68.4-78.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 2

Percent of women aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 175 | 384 | 551 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE | 60 | 122 | 211 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 235 | 506 | 762 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 78.3 |  | 80.1 | 73.7 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(70.8-84.4)$ | $(75.2-84.2)$ | $(69.4-78.7)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 80.7 | 73.5 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $70.9-84.9)$ | $(75.7-84.9)$ | $(69.4-77.3)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 3

Percent of White adults aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 54 | 197 | 270 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 33 | 92 | 172 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 87 | 289 | 442 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 59.7 | 74.5 | 62.7 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(43.5-74.0)$ | $(67.9-80.1)$ | $(56.7-68.4)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 60.0 | 74.5 | 64.1 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(45.2-73.1)$ | $(67.9-80.2)$ | $(58.6-69.2)$ |  |

## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 46 | 78 |  |
| HAD |  |  | 30 | 33 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  |  | 76 | 111 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  | 59.8 | 72.8 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (45.2-72.9) | (60.4-82.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 60.6 | 73.1 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (45.9-73.6) | (61.7-82.1) |

[^149]
## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 5

Percent of Filipinos aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months

Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\rightarrow-\text { Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  |  | 64 | 100 |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 17 | 34 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 81 | 134 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  | 76.1 | 75.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (59.5-87.3) | (64.1-84.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 74.5 | 75.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (56.4-86.8) | (63.8-84.0) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 6

Percent of Japaneseaged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 92 | 234 | 358 |
| HAD |  | 20 | 47 | 71 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 112 | 281 | 429 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 82.1 | 87.3 | 82.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(69.9-90.0)$ | $(82.6-90.9)$ | $(77.0-86.7)$ |  |
|  |  | 83.0 |  | 87.2 | 81.7 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(71.8-90.3)$ | $(82.6-90.8)$ | $(76.2-86.3)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 121 | 73 | 90 |
| HAD |  | 44 | 17 | 40 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 165 | 90 | 130 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 73.0 | 82.6 | 74.1 |  |
| C.1 $(95 \%)$ | $(63.4-80.9)$ | $(71.7-89.9)$ | $(64.7-81.7)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 75.3 |  | 83.0 | 72.5 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(66.8-82.2)$ | $(71.9-90.4)$ | $(63.5-80.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 8

## Percent of adults aged 65+ with less education who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 66 | 124 | 170 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 22 | 36 | 49 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 88 | 160 | 219 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 79.8 |  | 82.9 | 81.2 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(67.3-88.3)$ | $(74.7-88.9)$ | $(73.7-87.0)$ |  |
|  |  | 80.0 | 82.1 | 79.5 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(68.7-88.0)$ | $(72.6-88.9)$ | $(70.0-86.6)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 9



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 120 | 293 | 441 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE | 36 | 67 | 149 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 156 | 360 | 590 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 75.8 |  | 82.3 | 75.9 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(66.8-83.0)$ | $(77.4-86.4)$ | $(71.6-79.6)$ |  |
|  |  | 76.3 | 82.3 | 75.3 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(67.1-83.6)$ | $(77.3-86.4)$ | $(71.1-79.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 10

Percent of adults aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months
Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 84 | 138 | 222 |
| HAD |  | 37 | 65 | 103 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 121 | 203 | 325 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 69.7 | 67.3 | 69.2 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(60.1-77.8)$ | $(59.7-74.1)$ | $(63.5-74.4)$ |  |
|  |  | 70.7 |  | 69.2 | 69.5 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(61.1-78.7)$ | $(62.1-75.5)$ | $(63.9-74.6)$ |  |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 11

Percent of adults aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 35 | 75 | 90 |
| HAD |  | 16 | 19 | 34 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 51 | 94 | 124 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 69.4 | 83.6 | 70.7 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(53.8-81.6)$ | $(74.2-90.1)$ | $(59.2-80.0)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 82.8 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 71.0 | $(72.4-82.8)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29a/ Supplement 12

## Percent of adults aged 65+ who had a flu shot in past 12 months <br> Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 48 | 108 | 143 |
| HAD |  | 19 | 52 | 64 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 67 | 160 | 207 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) | 70.1 |  | 69.1 | 66.5 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29b



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 223 | 505 | 709 |
| HAD |  | 165 | 297 | 473 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 388 | 802 | 1182 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 1

Percent of men aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 74 | 179 | 240 |
| HAD |  | 81 | 125 | 219 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 155 | 304 | 459 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 46.9 | 62.4 | 53.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(36.8-57.2)$ | $(55.5-68.9)$ | $(47.6-59.0)$ |  |
|  |  | 47.3 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(37.2-57.7)$ | 52.0 | 53.3 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(55.2-68.5)$ | $(47.6-59.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 2

## Percent of women aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\checkmark-$ Weighted $\checkmark-$ Age-adjusted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 149 |  | 326 | 469 |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 84 |  | 172 | 254 |
| TOTAL |  | 233 | 498 | 723 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 63.1 |  | 64.8 | 64.5 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(54.1-71.3)$ | $(58.6-70.5)$ | $(59.7-69.0)$ |  |
|  |  | 65.2 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(56.4-73.0)$ | $(59.3-71.1)$ | $(59.8-69.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 3

Percent of White adults aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002


|  | $\bigcirc$ Weight | - Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| HAD |  | 49 |  | 171 | 262 |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 37 |  | 113 | 174 |
| TOTAL |  | 86 |  | 284 | 436 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 54.3 | 62.4 | 60.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(38.8-69.0)$ | $(54.5-69.7)$ | $(54.8-66.7)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 54.2 | 62.8 | 62.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(39.0-68.7)$ | $(54.8-70.1)$ | $(56.3-67.7)$ |  |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 4

Percent of Hawaiians aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 38 | 53 |  |
| HAD |  |  | 37 | 47 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  |  | 75 | 100 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 49.5 | 55.1 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(35.6-63.5)$ | $(41.6-67.8)$ |  |
|  |  |  | 49.6 | 55.6 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(35.6-63.6)$ | $(42.5-68.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 5

Percent of Filipinos aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 42 | 53 |  |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 39 | 64 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 81 | 117 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 50.1 | 4502 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(33.0-67.1)$ | $(33.3-58.2)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 47.4 | 44.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(31.7-63.5)$ | $(33.3-57.1)$ |  |  |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 6

Percent of Japanese aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 73 |  | 199 | 269 |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 36 | 76 | 139 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 109 | 275 | 408 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 59.5 |  | 73.6 | 64.1 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (46.4-71.3) |  | (66.8-79.5) | (58.1-69.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 60.8 |  | 73.6 | 64.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (48.3-72.1) |  | (66.8-79.5) | (58.2-69.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 88 | 55 | 72 |
| HAD |  | 74 |  | 32 | 49 |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 162 | 87 | 121 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 56.6 | 64.3 | 60.8 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(46.6-66.1)$ | $(51.6-75.2)$ | $(50.0-70.6)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 62.9 | 60.9 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 58.9 | $(49.8-74.3)$ | $(50.2-70.6)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 8

Percent of adults aged 65+ with less education who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\checkmark$ - Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age-adjusted

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 50 | 95 | 117 |
| HAD |  | 36 | 61 | 74 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 86 | 156 | 191 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 55.7 | 62.8 | 59.5 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(40.9-69.5)$ | $(50.7-73.5)$ | $(49.7-68.7)$ |  |
|  |  | 53.0 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(37.9-67.5)$ | $(48.6-74.7)$ | $(47.1-68.6)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 9

Percent of adults aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 91 | 235 | 356 |
| HAD |  | 63 | 118 | 215 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 154 | 353 | 571 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 56.4 | 65.4 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(47.2-65.2)$ | $(59.3-71.0)$ | $(56.4-65.8)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 57.3 | 65.2 | 61.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(48.0-66.1)$ | $(59.1-70.8)$ | $(56.4-65.7)$ |  |

[^162]
## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 10

## Percent of adults aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 63 | 125 | 180 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE | 54 | 73 | 125 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 117 | 198 | 305 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 53.1 |  | 63.4 | 56.0 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(43.1-62.8)$ | $(55.6-70.5)$ | $(\$ 9.6-62.2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 55.3 | 65.3 | 56.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(45.6-64.6)$ | $(57.7-72.1)$ | $(49.7-62.4)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 11

## Percent of adults aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot <br> Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 34 | 59 | 71 |  |
| HAD |  | 17 | 34 | 48 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 51 | 93 | 119 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 64.8 | 65.4 | 54.1 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(48.7-78.2)$ | $(53.1-76.0)$ | $(42.9-64.9)$ |  |
|  |  | 67.0 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(51.5-79.6)$ | 55.7 | 55.8 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(53.4-76.0)$ | $(43.9-67.1)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29b/Supplement 12

## Percent of adults aged 65+ who had a pneumonia shot Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 35 | 86 | 102 |
| HAD |  | 31 | 72 | 85 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 66 | 158 | 187 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 50.0 | 52.4 | 53.7 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(36.4-63.6)$ | $(43.2-61.4)$ | $(44.8-62.4)$ |  |
|  |  | 52.1 |  | 55.0 | 54.1 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(39.1-64.9)$ | $(46.1-63.5)$ | $(45.0-62.8)$ |  |

[^165]
## OBJECTIVE 14-29c

## Percent of adults aged 18 to 64

 who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HAD |  | 369 | 962 | 1216 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 1385 | 2712 | 3519 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 1754 | 3674 | 4735 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 24.8 | 29.9 | 27.8 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(22.2-27.7)$ | $(27.8-32.0)$ | $(26.0-29.6)$ |  |
|  |  | 24.9 |  | 29.7 | 27.6 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(22.3-27.8)$ | $(27.6-31.9)$ | $(25.9-29.4)$ |  |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 1

## who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 183 | 425 | 540 |  |
| HAD |  | 647 | 1198 | 1572 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 830 | 1623 | 2112 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) | 24.6 | 29.3 | 29.9 |  |  |
|  |  | $(20.9-28.6)$ | $(26.3-32.4)$ | $(27.2-32.7)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 24.7 |  | 29.2 | 29.9 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  | $(21.0-28.7)$ | $(26.2-32.3)$ | $(27.2-32.7)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 2

## Percent of women aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 186 | 537 | 676 |  |
| HAD |  | 738 | 1514 | 1947 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 924 | 2051 | 2623 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I $(95 \%)$ | 25.1 | 30.5 | 25.6 |  |  |
|  |  | $(21.3-29.4)$ | $(27.2-33.5)$ | $(23.5-27.7)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 25.0 |  | 29.9 | 25.0 |
| $\quad$ C. 1 (95\%) |  | $(21.3-29.1)$ | $(27.2-32.8)$ | $(23.0-27.1)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 3

Percent of White adults aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in past 12 months

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 81 | 344 | 446 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 328 | 1219 | 1584 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 409 | 1563 | 2030 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 24.1 | 25.8 | 2002 |  |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(19.1-30.0)$ | $(22.9-28.9)$ | $(23.1-28.8)$ |  |
|  |  | 23.9 | 25.5 | 25.7 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(18.9-29.8)$ | $(22.6-28.6)$ | $(22.9-28.7)$ |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 4

Percent of Hawaiians aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 35 | 124 | 164 |
| HAD |  | 165 | 382 | 492 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 200 | 506 | 656 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 23.8 | 28.2 | 23.5 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(15.8-34.2)$ | $(22.8-34.2)$ | $(19.3-28.2)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 24.6 |  | 28.6 | 24.4 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(16.7-34.8)$ | $(23.3-34.6)$ | $(20.3-29.1)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002



$$
\rightarrow-\text { Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 29 | 153 | 192 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 124 | 313 | 447 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 153 | 466 | 639 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 24.9 | 37.4 | 30.8 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(16.0-36.6)$ | $(30.8-44.6)$ | $(26.3-35.8)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 23.7 | 37.3 | 30.9 |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 6

Percent of Japanese aged 18 to 64
who had a flu shot in past 12 months
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 69 | 175 | 218 |
| HAD |  | 164 | 415 | 520 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 233 | 590 | 738 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 7

## Percent of adults of other races aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 155 | 166 | 196 |
| HAD |  | 604 | 383 | 476 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 759 | 549 | 672 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 22.9 | 30.1 | 29.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(19.1-27.2)$ | $(25.5-35.1)$ | $(25.7-34.5)$ |  |
|  |  | 23.1 |  | 30.0 | 31.1 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(19.3-27.3)$ | $(25.5-35.0)$ | $(26.9-35.6)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 8

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 in low income household who had a flu shot in past 12 months

Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\diamond-\text { Weighted } \checkmark \checkmark \text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 19 | 47 | 49 |
| HAD |  | 77 | 127 | 144 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 96 | 174 | 193 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 28.2 |  | 26.5 | 29.7 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (16.1-44.1) |  | (16.6-39.3) | (20.4-41.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 37.3 |  | 28.6 | 30.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (25.9-50.8) |  | (19.6-39.6) | (20.1-42.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 9

## Percent of adults aged 25 to 64 with less education who had a flu shot in past 12 months Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 14 | 32 | 66 |
| HAD |  | 49 | 92 | 90 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 63 | 124 | 156 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 22.1 | 22.5 | 37.6 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(11.7-37.7)$ | $(14.6-33.1)$ | $(28.0-48.3)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(10.2-38.4)$ | 21.7 | 35.3 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(13.7-32.5)$ | $(26.1-45.8)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 10

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in past 12 months Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD | 224 | 499 | 657 |  |  |
| DID NOT HAVE | 615 | 1057 | 1555 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 839 | 1556 | 2212 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 28.0 |  | 32.4 | 30.5 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(24.5-31.7)$ | $(29.7-35.2)$ | $(28.2-32.8)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 28.1 | 32.2 | 30.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(24.6-31.8)$ | $(29.5-35.0)$ | $(28.2-32.8)$ |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 11

## Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in past 12 months <br> Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 67 | 186 | 238 |
| HAD |  | 339 | 629 | 814 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 406 | 815 | 1052 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 14.9 | 24.6 | 21.3 |  |
| C.1 $(95 \%)$ | $(11.3-19.4)$ | $(21.0-28.5)$ | $(18.5-24.3)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 14.9 |  | 24.3 | 20.0 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(11.2-19.5)$ | $(20.7-28.2)$ | $(17.2-23.0)$ |  |

## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 12

## Percent of adults aged 18 to 64

 who had a flu shot in past 12 monthsKauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 27 | 107 | 108 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 131 | 346 | 365 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 158 | 453 | 473 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 18.4 | 22.8 | 22.8 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(12.5-26.3)$ |  | $(18.6-27.6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | $(18.7-27.5)$ |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 18.6 | 22.4 | 22.2 |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29c/Supplement 13

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a flu shot in past 12 months

Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 51 | 170 | 213 |
| HAD |  | 300 | 680 | 785 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 351 | 850 | 998 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 15.9 | 21.3 | 19.5 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(11.6-21.3)$ | $(17.8-25.2)$ | $(16.8-22.5)$ |  |
|  |  | 16.2 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(11.9-21.6)$ | 21.3 | 19.0 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(17.7-25.3)$ | $(16.3-22.1)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29d

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 105 | 372 | 424 |
| HAD |  | 1590 | 3204 | 4242 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 1695 | 3576 | 4666 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 6.6 | 12.0 | 8.9 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(5.3-8.3)$ | $(10.4-13.8)$ | $(7.8-10.2)$ |  |
|  |  | 6.7 |  | 8.8 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(5.3-8.4)$ | $(10.4-13.8)$ | $(7.7-10.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 1

Percent of men aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 67 | 188 | 190 |
| HAD |  | 726 | 1373 | 1876 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE | 793 | 1561 | 2066 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 8.1 | 13.9 | 9.8 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(6.1-10.7)$ | $(11.5-16.8)$ | $(8.0-11.8)$ |  |
|  |  | 8.2 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(6.1-10.8)$ | $(13.9)$ | 9.7 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I $(95 \%)$ |  |  |  | $(8.0-11.7)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 2

Percent of women aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 38 | 184 | 234 |  |
| HAD |  | 864 | 1831 | 2366 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 902 | 2015 | 2600 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 5.1 | 10.0 | 7.8 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(3.5-7.4)$ | $(8.1-12.3)$ | $(6.6-9.1)$ |  |
|  |  | 5.2 |  | 9.6 | 8.1 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(3.6-7.6)$ | $(7.7-11.8)$ | $(6.9-9.5)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 3

## Percent of White adults aged 18 to 64

## who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 33 | 174 | 178 |
| HAD |  | 360 | 1345 | 1823 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 393 | 1519 | 2001 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 9.7 | 13.0 | 9.0 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(6.6-14.0)$ | $(10.8-15.5)$ | $(7.4-11.0)$ |  |
|  |  | 9.5 |  | 8.9 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(6.5-13.7)$ | $(12.5-15.3)$ | $(7.2-10.9)$ |  |

## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 4

Percent of Hawaiians aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 12 | 54 | 55 |  |
| HAD |  | 179 | 444 | 595 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 191 | 498 | 650 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I $(95 \%)$ | 5.6 | 9.4 | 7.9 |  |  |
|  |  | $(2.7-11.3)$ | $(6.6-13.3)$ | $(5.4-11.4)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 5.6 |  | 9.5 | 8.1 |
| $\quad$ C.I $95 \%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 | 38 | 62 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 144 | 416 | 567 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 149 | 454 | 629 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 3.7 | 11.1 | 9.4 |  |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(1.3-9.7)$ | $(6.3-18.6)$ | $(6.7-12.9)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(1.7-10.9)$ | $(6.7-17.3)$ | $(6.7-12.8)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 6

Percent of Japanese aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\rightarrow$ Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age-adjusted

Number of respondents
HAD
DID NOT HAVE
total

| 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 | 50 | 65 |  |  |
|  | 217 | 524 | 664 |  |
|  | 229 | 574 | 729 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 5.0 | 12.7 | 9.5 |  |
| C.1 $(95 \%)$ | $(2.5-9.9)$ | $(8.7-18.1)$ | $(6.7-13.3)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(2.2-9.2)$ | $(8.1-17.6)$ | $(5.8-11.9)$ |  |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\neg-\text { Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 43 | 56 | 64 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 690 | 475 | 611 |  |
| TOTAL | 733 | 531 | 675 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 6.9 | 12.1 | 8 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(4.8-9.7)$ | $(8.8-16.4)$ | $(6.4-11.4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | 6.9 | 12.1 | 8.8 |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 8

## Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 with low income who had a pneumonia shot <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 2 | 17 | 16 |  |
| HAD |  | 90 | 151 | 174 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 92 | 168 | 190 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 2.1 |  | 16.4 | 10.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (0.5-8.9) |  | (7.4-32.7) | (5.4-19.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 2.1 |  | 14.7 | 9.7 |
| c.I (95\%) |  | (0.5-8.8) |  | (8.1-25.3) | (5.3-16.9) |

## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 9

Percent of adults aged 25 to 64 with less education who had a pneumonia shot

Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \neg-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 6 | 13 | 17 |  |
| HAD |  | 54 | 108 | 137 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE | 60 | 121 | 154 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 10.1 | 6.5 | 12.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(4.2-22.3)$ | $(2.8-14.2)$ | $(6.7-21.2)$ |  |
|  |  | 7.7 | 5.7 | 8.8 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(3.3-16.6)$ | $(2.6-12.0)$ | $(5.0-15.0)$ |  |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 10

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HAD |  | 62 | 178 | 191 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 742 | 1326 | 1982 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 804 | 1504 | 2173 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 7.2 | 12.9 | 9.1 |  |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(5.5-9,4)$ | $(10.9-15.3)$ | $(7.7-10.8)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 7.2 | 12.9 | 9.0 |  |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(5.5-9.5)$ | $(10.8-15.3)$ | $(7.6-10.7)$ |  |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 11

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\leadsto$-Weighted $-\triangle$-Age-adjusted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | HAD |  | 19 | 75 | 96 |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 377 | 721 | 940 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 396 | 796 | 1036 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 4.5 |  | 10.1 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(2.6-7.4)$ | $(7.6-13.3)$ | $(6.8-10.6)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 8.5 |
| Age-adjusted percent | 4.5 | 9.8 | 7.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(2.6-7.5)$ | $(7.3-12.9)$ | $(6.2-10.0)$ |  |

## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 12

Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\checkmark$ Weighted $\rightarrow-$ Age-adjusted

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 9 | 48 | 48 |  |
| HAD |  | 144 | 394 | 423 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 153 | 442 | 471 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 7.4 | 11.0 | 9.9 |  |
| $\quad$ C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(3.8-13.8)$ | $(7.9-15.0)$ | $(7.0-13.7)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(4.2-15.6)$ | $(7.7-14.7)$ | $(6.6-13.7)$ |  |

[^181]
## OBJECTIVE 14-29d/Supplement 13

## Percent of adults aged 18 to 64 who had a pneumonia shot Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 15 | 71 | 89 |
| HAD |  | 327 | 763 | 897 |  |
| DID NOT HAVE |  | 342 | 834 | 986 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 4.5 | 7.8 | 7.8 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(2.4-8.5)$ | $(5.7-10.5)$ | $(6.1-9.9)$ |  |
|  |  | 4.8 |  | 8.1 | 7.6 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(2.6-8.9)$ | $(5.8-11.1)$ | $(5.9-9.7)$ |  |

[^182]
## NUTRITION AND OVERWEIGHT

## Objective 19-1

Increase the proportion of adults (aged 20+) who are at healthy weight to 60\%

## Objective 19-2

Reduce the proportion of adults (aged 20+) who are obese to $15 \%$

## Objective 19-5

Increase the proportion of persons (aged 2 years and older) who consume at least two daily servings of fruit to 75\%

## Objective 19-6

Increase the proportion of persons (aged 2 years and older) who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables, with at least one-third being dark green or orange vegetables to 50\%

## OBJECTIVE 19-1

Percent of adults aged $20+$ who are at healthy weight Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 1033 | 979 | 2762 | 2052 | 2598 |
| ARE NOT | 1049 | 1093 | 3005 | 2271 | 3128 |
| TOTAL | 2082 | 2072 | 5767 | 4323 | 5726 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 47.7 | 46.0 | 46.7 | 45.6 | 43.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (44.9-50.5) | (43.1-48.8) | (45.0-48.5) | (43.5-47.6) | (42.2-45.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 48.0 | 45.8 | 47.0 | 45.7 | 44.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (45.1-50.8) | (43.0-48.6) | (45.3-48.7) | (43.7-47.8) | (42.4-45.7) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 1

Percent of men aged $20+$ who are at healthy weight Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ARE | 370 | 383 | 981 | 705 | 828 |
| ARE NOT | 538 | 579 | 1617 | 1175 | 1684 |
| TOTAL | 908 | 962 | 2598 | 1880 | 2512 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 39.3 | 38.7 | 37.7 | 37.3 | 32.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(35-2-43.6)$ | $(34.7-42.8)$ | $(35.2-40.2)$ | $(34.4-40.3)$ | $(30.1-35.1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 39.6 | 38.5 | 37.9 | 37.6 | 32.9 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(35.6-43.7)$ | $(34.6-42.6)$ | $(35.5-40.3)$ | $(34.8-40.6)$ | $(30.5-35.4)$ |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 2

Percent of women aged $20+$ who are at healthy weight Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ARE | 663 | 596 | 1781 | 1347 | 1770 |
| ARE NOT | 511 | 514 | 1388 | 1096 | 1444 |
| TOTAL | 1174 | 1110 | 3169 | 2443 | 3214 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 56.3 | 53.4 | 56.2 | 54.2 | 55.3 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(52.6-59.9)$ | $(49.4-57.0)$ | $(53.8-58.5)$ | $(51.4-56.9)$ | $(53.1-57.5)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Age-adjusted percent | 56.5 | 53.2 | 56.5 | 54.3 | 55.8 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(52.8-59.9)$ | $(49.4-57.0)$ | $(54.2-58.8)$ | $(51.6-57.1)$ | $(53.4-57.8)$ |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 3

Percent of White adults aged 20+ who are at healthy weight Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 421 | 248 | 1139 | 914 | 1113 |
| ARE NOT | 406 | 237 | 1044 | 887 | 1269 |
| TOTAL | 827 | 485 | 2183 | 1801 | 2382 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 47.2 | 49.7 | 49.6 | 49.4 | 45.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (42.6-51.8) | (43.8-55.6) | (46.8-52.4) | (46.3-52.5) | (42.4-47.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 47.7 | 50.2 | 49.6 | 49.8 | 45.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (42.3-52.3) | (44.4-56.0) | (46.8-52.0) | (46.7-52.8) | (42.9-48.1) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 4

Percent of Hawaiians aged 20+ who are at healthy weight Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 83 | 62 | 255 | 155 | 179 |
| ARE NOT | 207 | 144 | 619 | 400 | 557 |
| TOTAL | 290 | 206 | 874 | 555 | 736 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 24.5 | 26.1 | 28.8 | 28.6 | 22.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.8-31.3) | (19.0-34.7) | (24.9-33.1) | (23.5-34.2) | (18.4-26.2) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 23.8 | 27.8 | 29.2 | 29.5 | 23.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.4-30.3) | (21.7-34.8) | (25.4-33.3) | (24.5-35.1) | (19.6-27.4) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 20+ who are at healthy weight Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 120 | 83 | 362 | 256 | 377 |
| ARE NOT | 101 | 83 | 351 | 274 | 365 |
| TOTAL | 221 | 166 | 713 | 530 | 742 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 52.7 | 49.8 | 52.8 | 47.6 | 51.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (44.1-61.2) | (39.8-59.8) | (47.5-58.1) | (41.1-54.1) | (47.0-56.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 52.9 | 48.6 | 54.6 | 48.7 | 52.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (45.1-60.5) | (39.0-58.2) | (49.7-59.3) | (42.7-54.8) | (47.2-56.9) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 20+ who are at healthy weight Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 259 | 168 | 622 | 438 | 597 |
| ARE NOT | 201 | 169 | 603 | 400 | 532 |
| TOTAL | 460 | 337 | 1225 | 838 | 1129 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 56.0 | 49.0 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 50.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (50.2-61.7) | (42.4-55.7) | (45.6-55.7) | (46.4-55.3) | (46.9-54.2) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 55.3 | 47.6 | 48.6 | 50.7 | 49.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (49.3-61.3) | (40.5-54.8) | (44.8-52.5) | (45.8-55.6) | (45.2-53.0) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 7

## Percent of adults of other races aged $20+$ who are at healthy weight <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 150 | 418 | 384 | 289 | 332 |
| ARE NOT | 134 | 460 | 388 | 310 | 405 |
| TOTAL | 284 | 878 | 772 | 599 | 737 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 53.5 | 46.9 | 49.3 | 42.4 | 42.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (46.3-60.5) | (42.6-51.3) | (44.9-53.8) | (37.5-47.4) | (38.2-47.2) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 51.7 | 47.1 | 49.1 | 43.3 | 42.4 |
| c.I (95\%) | (44.5-59.0) | (43.0-51.4) | (44.7-53.4) | (38.6-48.3) | (38.1-46.8) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 8

Percent of adults aged 20+ in low income household who are at healthy weight

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 64 | 43 | 126 | 71 | 82 |
| ARE NOT | 79 | 54 | 174 | 115 | 118 |
| total | 143 | 97 | 300 | 186 | 200 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 39.8 | 49.1 | 42.9 | 31.3 | 38.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (29.8-50.7) | (34.8-63.5) | (35.3-50.9) | (22.0-42.4) | (29.5-49.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 38.7 | 47.2 | 42.8 | 27.1 | 41.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (29.0-49.4) | (36.2-58.4) | (35.7-50.3) | (20.2-35.2) | (32.1-50.8) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 9

## Percent of adults with less education who are at healthy weight <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 57 | 67 | 188 | 130 | 185 |
| ARE NOT | 88 | 81 | 216 | 145 | 181 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 148 | 404 | 275 | 366 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 33.6 | 50.4 | 41.9 | 50.1 | 50.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (23.8-45.0) | (39.6-61.2) | (34.8-49.3) | (41.3-58.9) | (43.1-57.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 29.9 | 56.9 | 38.1 | 46.3 | 50.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.6-42.9) | (44.8-68.2) | (29.2-47.8) | (36.7-56.2) | (40.6-60.2) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 10

Percent of adults aged 20+ who are at healthy weight Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 498 | 459 | 1327 | 881 | 1233 |
| ARE NOT | 473 | 507 | 1341 | 956 | 1448 |
| TOTAL | 971 | 966 | 2668 | 1837 | 2681 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 48.3 | 46.4 | 47.0 | 45.6 | 44.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (44.7-51.9) | (42.7-50.0) | (44.7-49.2) | (43.0-48.3) | (42.1-46.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 48.5 | 46.0 | 47.2 | 45.8 | 44.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (44.9-52.1) | (42.5-49.6) | (45.0-49.4) | (43.1-48.4) | (42.1-46.4) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 11

Percent of adults aged 20+ who are at healthy weight Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 220 | 238 | 641 | 430 | 589 |
| ARE NOT | 293 | 272 | 752 | 543 | 731 |
| TOTAL | 513 | 510 | 1393 | 973 | 1320 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 40.2 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 42.7 | 42.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (35.5-45.1) | (39.4-49.6) | (40.7-47.0) | (39.1-46.5) | (38.9-45.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 40.3 | 44.4 | 44.1 | 42.7 | 42.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (35.3-45.5) | (39.3-49.5) | (40.8-47.3) | (39.1-46.5) | (39.0-45.5) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 12

Percent of adults aged 20+ who are at healthy weight
Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 115 | 92 | 280 | 259 | 251 |
| ARE NOT | 90 | 107 | 290 | 275 | 317 |
| TOTAL | 205 | 199 | 570 | 534 | 568 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 55.9 | 48.4 | 49.3 | 45.6 | 42.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (47.7-63.8) | (40.5-46.4) | (44.6-53.9) | (40.5-50.8) | (37.4-46.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 57.5 | 50.2 | 50.1 | 46.3 | 42.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (49.6-65.00 | (42.4-58.0) | (45.4-54.8) | (41.3-51.4) | (37.7-47.4) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-1/ Supplement 13

## Percent of adults aged 20+ who are at healthy weight Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ARE | 200 | 190 | 514 | 482 | 525 |
| ARE NOT | 193 | 207 | 622 | 497 | 632 |
| TOTAL | 393 | 397 | 1136 | 979 | 1157 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 48.2 | 43.9 | 47.5 | 48.3 | 44.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(42.2-54.3)$ | $(38.2-49.8)$ | $(43.8-51.2)$ | $(44.4-52.1)$ | $(40.7-47.6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 49.1 | 43.6 | 47.8 | 48.5 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(43.2-54.9)$ | $(38.0-49.4)$ | $(44.1-51.5)$ | $(44.6-52.3)$ | $(41.1-47.9)$ |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2

## Percent of adults aged 20+ who are obese Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 303 | 333 | 896 | 723 | 961 |
| ARE NOT | 1779 | 1739 | 4871 | 3600 | 4765 |
| TOTAL | 2082 | 2072 | 5767 | 4323 | 5726 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 15.7 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 18.3 | 17.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (13.7-18.0) | (13.8-18.1) | (14.6-17.4) | (16.6-20.0) | (16.1-18.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 15.6 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 18.1 | 17.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (13.6-17.8) | (13.8-18.2) | (14.6-17.3) | (16.5-19.9) | (16.0-18.6) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 1



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 145 | 159 | 435 | 349 | 522 |
| ARE NOT | 763 | 803 | 2163 | 1531 | 1990 |
| TOTAL | 908 | 962 | 2598 | 1880 | 2512 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 18.1 | 16.5 | 17.6 | 19.9 | 20.4 |
| C. 1 (95\%) | (14.9-21.8) | (13.6-20.0) | (15.5-19.8) | (17.4-22.7) | (18.4-22.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 17.8 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 19.7 | 20.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (14.8-21.3) | (13.6-20.2) | (15.3-19.5) | (17.2-22.4) | (18.2-22.2) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 2

Percent of women aged 20+ who are obese
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 158 | 174 | 461 | 374 | 439 |
| ARE NOT | 1016 | 936 | 2708 | 2069 | 2775 |
| TOTAL | 1174 | 1110 | 3169 | 2443 | 3214 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 13.3 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 16.6 | 14.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (10.9-16.1) | (12.6-18.0) | (12.6-16.3) | (14.6-18.8) | (12.7-16.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 13.3 | 15.0 | 14.3 | 16.5 | 14.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (10.9-16.1) | (12.5-17.8) | (12.6-16.2) | (14.4-18.7) | (12.7-15.9) |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 3

## Percent of White adults aged 20+ who are obese Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 105 | 65 | 286 | 279 | 371 |
| ARE NOT | 722 | 420 | 1897 | 1522 | 2011 |
| TOTAL | 827 | 485 | 2183 | 1801 | 2382 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 13.9 | 15.4 | 14.2 | 15.9 | 17.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (10.8-17.7) | (11.2-20.8) | (12.2-16.4) | (13.8-18.2) | (15.1-19.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 13.1 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 15.7 | 16.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (10.3-16.5) | (11.1-19.8) | (11.9-16.0) | ((13.6-18.0) | (14.9-19.0) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 20+ who are obese Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 94 | 75 | 285 | 194 | 285 |
| ARE NOT | 196 | 131 | 589 | 361 | 451 |
| TOTAL | 290 | 206 | 874 | 555 | 736 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 38.7 | 39.9 | 33.4 | 36.1 | 38.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (31.2-46.7) | (31.2-49.4) | (28.9-38.3) | (30.8-41.8) | (33.9-43.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 39.1 | 41.6 | 33.5 | 34.8 | 38.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (31.8-46.9) | (33.3-50.40 | (29.0-38.4) | (29.8-40.1) | (33.8-42.9) |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 5

Percent of Filipinos aged 20+ who are obese Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 19 | 24 | 80 | 66 | 71 |
| ARE NOT | 202 | 142 | 633 | 464 | 671 |
| TOTAL | 221 | 166 | 713 | 530 | 742 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 7.5 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 15.6 | 8.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (3.9-13.9) | (5.8-14.7) | (6.4-14.4) | (10.7-22.2) | (6.7-11.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 7.3 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 14.7 | 8.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (4.2-12.4) | (5.9-16.3) | (6.4-12.8) | (12.4-20.4) | (6.8-11.7) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 20+ who are obese Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 41 | 32 | 115 | 82 | 100 |
| ARE NOT | 419 | 305 | 1110 | 756 | 1029 |
| TOTAL | 460 | 337 | 1225 | 838 | 1129 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 8.4 | 8.9 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 9.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (5.7-12.4) | (5.8-13.4) | (8.3-13.2) | (8.5-14.4) | (7.1-11.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 8.8 | 11.5 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 9.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (5.9-12.9) | (7.3-17.7) | (8.5-13.3) | (9.1-15.9) | (7.7-12.5) |

[^183]
## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 7

Percent of adults of other races aged $20+$ who are obese Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 44 | 137 | 130 | 102 | 134 |
| ARE NOT | 240 | 741 | 642 | 497 | 603 |
| TOTAL | 284 | 878 | 772 | 599 | 737 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 16.3 | 14.8 | 16.4 | 20.7 | 19.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (11.8-22.2) | (11.9-18.2) | (13.4-19.9) | (16.3-25.8) | (16.5-23.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 17.4 | 14.5 | 16.6 | 19.7 | 19.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.7-23.3) | (11.7-17.7) | (13.6-20.2) | (15.7-24.4) | (16.4-23.5) |

[^184]
## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 8

## Percent of adults aged 20+ in low income household who are obese <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 33 | 29 | 63 | 61 | 52 |
| ARE NOT | 110 | 68 | 237 | 125 | 148 |
| total | 143 | 97 | 300 | 186 | 200 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 27.6 | 23.8 | 19.9 | 40.7 | 23.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.4-39.2) | (15.0-35.7) | (13.3-28.5) | (29.8-52.6) | (16.7-31.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 33.6 | 21.1 | 20.2 | 35.7 | 20.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (24.3-45.0) | (13.2-32.0) | (13.9-28.4) | (27.5-44.9) | (14.2-28.0) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 9

## Percent of adults with less education who are obese Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 33 | 34 | 70 | 50 | 63 |
| ARE NOT | 112 | 114 | 334 | 225 | 303 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 148 | 404 | 275 | 366 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 26.0 | 19.0 | 21.7 | 17.3 | 16.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (16.7-38.0) | (12.5-27.7) | (14.3-31.6) | (11.8-24.7) | (12.5-22.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 28.9 | 19.1 | 24.1 | 16.2 | 19.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.2-41.0) | (11.3-30.3) | (16.3-34.0) | (10.7-23.7) | (12.3-29.5) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 10

## Percent of adults aged $20+$ who are obese Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 137 | 141 | 378 | 304 | 428 |
| ARE NOT | 834 | 825 | 2290 | 1533 | 2253 |
| TOTAL | 971 | 966 | 2668 | 1837 | 2681 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 15.3 | 14.9 | 15.8 | 18.5 | 16.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.7-18.2) | (12.4-17.8) | (14.0-17.7) | (16.3-20.8) | (15.2-18.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 15.2 | 15.0 | 15.7 | 18.3 | 16.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.7-18.0) | (12.4-18.1) | (14.0-17.6) | (16.2-20.7) | (15.2-18.5) |

[^185]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 11



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 76 | 93 | 221 | 191 | 234 |
| ARE NOT | 437 | 417 | 1172 | 782 | 1086 |
| TOTAL | 513 | 510 | 1393 | 973 | 1320 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 17.3 | 19.3 | 15.7 | 21.1 | 19.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (13.4-21.9) | (15.5-23.8) | (13.7-18.0) | (18.3-24.2) | (16.9-22.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 17.1 | 19.2 | 15.6 | 21.2 | 19.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.9-22.2) | (15.4-23.8) | (13.5-17.9) | (18.3-24.4) | (16.5-22.1) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 12

## Percent of adults aged 20+ who are obese

Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \neg-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 28 | 28 | 93 | 88 | 82 |
| ARE NOT | 177 | 171 | 477 | 446 | 486 |
| TOTAL | 205 | 199 | 570 | 534 | 568 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 11.5 | 13.8 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 14.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (7.7-16.8) | (9.2-20.4) | (13.1-20.1) | (13.3-20.5) | (11.5-18.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 11.5 | 13.8 | 16.1 | 16.5 | 14.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (7.7-16.9) | (9.1-20.5) | (13.0-19.9) | (13.2-20.4) | (11.1-18.5) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-2/ Supplement 13

Percent of adults aged 20+ who are obese
Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARE | 62 | 71 | 204 | 140 | 217 |
| ARE NOT | 331 | 326 | 932 | 839 | 940 |
| TOTAL | 393 | 397 | 1136 | 979 | 1157 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 19.7 | 19.6 | 17.6 | 14.2 | 19.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (14.8-25.6) | (15.4-24.7) | (15.0-20.7) | (11.8-17.0) | (17.1-22.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 18.6 | 19.5 | 17.5 | 14.1 | 19.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (14.3-24.0) | (15.4-24.5) | (14.9-20.5) | (11.6-16.9) | (16.7-22.6) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5

## Percent of adults aged 18+ <br> who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 321 |  | 604 | 449 | 545 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 1818 |  | 5385 | 4021 | 5420 |
| TOTAL | 2139 |  | 5989 | 4470 | 5965 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 14.0 |  | 9.3 | 8.9 | 8.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.2-15.9) |  | (8.4-10.2) | (8.0-10.1) | (7.8-9.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 14.0 |  | 9.3 | 8.9 | 8.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.2-15.9) |  | (8.4-10.3) | (8.0-10.0) | (7.8-9.7) |

[^186]
## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 1

## Percent of men aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 91 |  | 200 | 150 | 159 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 837 |  | 2467 | 1774 | 2424 |
| TOTAL | 928 |  | 2667 | 1924 | 2583 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 9.5 |  | 6.6 | 7.4 | 6.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (7.4-12.1) |  | (5.5-7.9) | (6.1-9.0) | (5.0-7.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 9.6 |  | 6.7 | 7.5 | 6.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (7.5-12.2) |  | (5.6-8.0) | (6.1-9.1) | (5.1-7.6) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 2

## Percent of women aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 230 |  | 404 | 299 | 386 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS <2 | 981 |  | 2918 | 2247 | 2996 |
| TOTAL | 1211 |  | 3322 | 2546 | 3382 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 18.5 |  | 12.0 | 10.6 | 11.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.9-21.5) |  | (10.7-13.5) | (9.2-12.2) | (9.9-12.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 18.3 |  | 11.8 | 10.3 | 11.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.7-21.3) |  | (10.5-13.4) | (8.9-11.9) | (9.8-12.7) |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 3

> Percent of White adults aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 143 |  | 265 | 209 | 251 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 700 |  | 1985 | 1640 | 2219 |
| TOTAL | 843 |  | 2250 | 1849 | 2470 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 16.0 |  | 10.5 | 10.2 | 8.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (13.2-19.4) |  | (8.6-12.1) | (9.1-12.1) | (7.4-10.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 16.2 |  | 10.5 | 10.0 | 8.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (13.2-19.7) |  | (10.5-13.4) | (8.4-11.8) | (7.4-9.9) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Hawaii, 1998-2002



$$
\neg-\text { Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 25 |  | 82 | 45 | 66 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 279 |  | 848 | 536 | 702 |
| TOTAL | 304 |  | 930 | 581 | 768 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 9.2 |  | 7.6 | 6.4 | 8.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (5.5-15.1) |  | (5.7-10.1) | (4.4-9.2) | (6.5-11.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 10.1 |  | 7.7 | 6.2 | 9.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (6.0-16.4) |  | (5.8-10.1) | (4.4-8.8) | (6.6-12.1) |

[^187]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Hawaii, 1998-2002



$$
\leadsto-\text { Weighted } \triangle-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 37 |  | 60 | 55 | 68 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 194 |  | 672 | 491 | 700 |
| TOTAL | 231 |  | 732 | 546 | 768 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 15.0 |  | 9.4 | 9.0 | 10.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (10.1-21.7) |  | (6.7-13.0) | (6.4-12.7) | (7.6-13.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 17.5 |  | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (11.7-25.2) |  | (6.9-13.2) | (6.7-13.2) | (7.4-13.2) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 65 |  | 108 | 69 | 82 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 404 |  | 1156 | 793 | 1081 |
| TOTAL | 469 |  | 1264 | 862 | 1163 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 11.9 |  | 7.8 | 7.4 | 6.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (8.9-15.7) |  | (6.3--9.7) | (5.5-9.9) | (4.8-8.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 10.7 |  | 7.2 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (7.7-14.7) |  | (5.5-9.3) | (4.3-7.9) | (4.1-7.4) |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 7

## Percent of adults of other races aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 51 |  | 89 | 71 | 78 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 241 |  | 724 | 561 | 718 |
| TOTAL | 292 |  | 813 | 632 | 796 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 16.6 |  | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.1-22.3) |  | (8.1-13.4) | (8.0-13.5) | (8.0-14.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 16.3 |  | 10.9 | 10.7 | 10.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.0-21.9) |  | (8.5-13.8) | (8.2-13.7) | (8.2-14.2) |

[^188]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 8

Percent of adults aged 18+ in low income household who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 18 |  | 38 | 13 | 24 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 126 |  | 274 | 179 | 185 |
| TOTAL | 144 |  | 312 | 192 | 209 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 11.7 |  | 11.7 | 4.7 | 11.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (6.3-20.8) |  | (7.7-17.5) | (2.4-8.8) | (7.0-18.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 11.4 |  | 11.7 | 5.3 | 13.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (5.9-21.0) |  | (7.4-17.8) | (2.7-10.1) | (7.2-23.5) |

[^189]State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 9

## Percent of adults with less education who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 23 |  | 40 | 33 | 41 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 120 |  | 371 | 250 | 332 |
| TOTAL | 143 |  | 411 | 283 | 373 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 17.7 |  | 10.2 | 7.8 | 9.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (10.0-29.6) |  | (6.9-14.7) | (7.8-9..7) | (8.0-10.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 15.0 |  | 8.5 | 8.2 | 9.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (8.2-25.9) |  | (5.4-13.1) | (4.5-14.4) | (6.1-15.1) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 10

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 157 |  | 277 | 206 | 271 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 834 |  | 2518 | 1699 | 2526 |
| TOTAL | 991 |  | 2795 | 1905 | 2797 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 14.2 |  | 8.9 | 9.0 | 9.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.0-16.7) |  | (7.8-10.1) | (7.7-10.4) | (8.0-10.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 14.3 |  | 8.9 | 9.0 | 9.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.1-16.8) |  | (7.8-10.1) | (7.7-10.4) | (8.0-10.5) |

## Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 11

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits <br> Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 77 |  | 153 | 107 | 141 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 449 |  | 1294 | 904 | 1227 |
| TOTAL | 526 |  | 1447 | 1011 | 1368 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 13.1 |  | 10.2 | 9.9 | 9.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (10.3-16.5) |  | (8.2-12.7) | (8.0-12.1) | 7.8-11.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 12.5 |  | 10.3 | 9.7 | 9.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (9.9-15.8) |  | (8.1-13.1) | (7.9-12.0) | (7.6-11.4) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 12

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 34 |  | 71 | 46 | 46 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 174 |  | 514 | 502 | 552 |
| TOTAL | 208 |  | 585 | 548 | 598 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 14.4 |  | 14.7 | 8.3 | 6.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (9.9-20.6) |  | (10.4-20.3) | (6.0-11.3) | (4.4-8.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 14.4 |  | 14.6 | 8.2 | 5.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (9.9-20.5) |  | (10.5-20.0) | (5.9-11.2) | (4.2-8.3) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-5/ Supplement 13

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who consume at least two daily servings of fruits <br> Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS >= 2 | 53 |  | 103 | 90 | 87 |
| DAILY FRUIT SERVINGS < 2 | 361 |  | 1059 | 916 | 1115 |
| TOTAL | 414 |  | 1162 | 1006 | 1202 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 13.1 |  | 8.6 | 8.7 | 6.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (9.6-17.8) |  | (6.9-10.8) | (6.8-11.1) | (5.1-8.2) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 13.3 |  | 8.5 | 8.6 | 6.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (9.6-18.0) |  | (6.8-10.6) | (6.7-10.9) | (5.1-8.2) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 19-6

## Percent of adults aged 18+

who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with 1/3 being dark green or orange vegetables


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 422 |  | 1169 | 872 | 1162 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 1427 |  | 4162 | 3056 | 4212 |
| TOTAL | 1849 |  | 5331 | 3928 | 5374 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 22.8 |  | 20.9 | 20.0 | 20.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (20.4-25.4) |  | (19.4-22.5) | (18.4-21.8) | (19.4-22.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 22.6 |  | 20.9 | 19.7 | 20.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (20.2-25.2) |  | (19.4-22.4) | (18.0-21.4) | (19.2-22.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 1

## Percent of men aged 18+ who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with 1/3 being dark green or orange vegetables Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 153 |  | 403 | 297 | 380 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 641 |  | 1940 | 1372 | 1903 |
| TOTAL | 794 |  | 2343 | 1669 | 2283 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 19.0 |  | 16.8 | 15.7 | 16.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.7-22.8) |  | (14.7-19.2) | (13.6-18.0) | (14.9-19.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 19.1 |  | 16.9 | 15.7 | 16.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.7-23.0) |  | (14.8-19.2) | (13.6-18.0) | (14.9-19.1) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 2

## Percent of women aged 18+ who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with $1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 289 |  | 766 | 575 | 782 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 766 |  | 2222 | 1684 | 2309 |
| total | 1055 |  | 2988 | 2259 | 3091 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 26.6 |  | 25.0 | 24.3 | 24.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (23.2-30.2) |  | (23.0-27.1) | (21.9-27.0) | (22.6-26.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 26.0 |  | 24.5 | 23.5 | 24.2 |
| c.I (95\%) | (22.8-29.4) |  | (22.6-26.6) | (21.1-26.0) | (22.2-26.3) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 3

## Percent White adults aged 18+ who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with $1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 164 |  | 470 | 375 | 510 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 580 |  | 1563 | 1281 | 1731 |
| TOTAL | 744 |  | 2033 | 1656 | 2241 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 21.2 |  | 23.5 | 20.5 | 21.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.5-25.6) |  | (21.2-26.1) | (18.1-23.2) | (19.5-24.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 20.9 |  | 23.8 | 19.7 | 22.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.3-25.1) |  | (21.3-26.4) | (17.4-22.2) | (19.6-24.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 18+ who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with 1/3 being dark green or orange vegetables Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 65 |  | 180 | 125 | 137 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 205 |  | 653 | 391 | 577 |
| TOTAL | 270 |  | 833 | 516 | 714 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 24.9 |  | 20.3 | 20.3 | 20.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.6-32.4) |  | (16.2-25.1) | (16.2-25.1) | (16.2-24.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 28.0 |  | 21.1 | 20.6 | 20.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (21.8-35.3) |  | (16.5-25.4) | (16.2-24.6) | (16.9-25.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 18+ who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with $1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NuMbER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= | 41 | 131 | 81 | 143 |  |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 138 | 495 | 380 | 521 |  |
| TOTAL | 179 | 626 | 461 | 664 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 19.4 | 19.7 | 16.0 | 21.6 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(13.2-27.6)$ | $(15.5-24.6)$ | $(11.0-22.9)$ | $(17.7-26.1)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent | 21.1 | 20.2 | 16.6 | 21.4 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(14.2-30.2)$ | $(16.0-25.2)$ | $(11.9-22.6)$ | $(17.5-26.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 18+ who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with $1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 115 |  | 264 | 181 | 206 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 299 |  | 872 | 586 | 855 |
| TOTAL | 414 |  | 1136 | 767 | 1061 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 26.4 |  | 21.1 | 23.0 | 16.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (21.5-32.0) |  | (19.4-24.1) | (19.2-27.3) | (14.2-19.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 25.1 |  | 19.8 | 20.7 | 14.5 |
| C.I( $95 \%$ ) | (20.0-31.1) |  | (16.8-23.1) | (16.9-24.9) | (12.1-17.3) |

[^196]
## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 18+
who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with $1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 57 |  | 124 | 110 | 166 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 185 |  | 579 | 418 | 528 |
| total | 242 |  | 703 | 528 | 694 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 20.5 |  | 17.1 | 18.4 | 24.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.1-27.3) |  | (13.9-21.0) | (14.7-22.8) | (20.1-28.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 20.2 |  | 17.5 | 19.0 | 24.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (14.5-27.4) |  | (14.3-21.2) | (15.3-23.4) | (20.5-28.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 8

Percent of adults aged 18+ in low income household who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with $1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 28 |  | 63 | 25 | 49 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 93 |  | 206 | 142 | 138 |
| total | 121 |  | 269 | 167 | 187 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 26.5 |  | 23.5 | 13.9 | 25.2 |
| c.l (95\%) | (17.2-38.4) |  | (17.1-31.3) | (6.5-27.2) | (17.7-34.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 29.5 |  | 23.9 | 17.5 | 29.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.7-43.3) |  | (17.3-32.1) | (9.1-31.0) | (20.3-40.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 9

## Percent of adults with less education who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with <br> 1/3 being dark green or orange vegetables <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 34 |  | 76 | 57 | 80 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 76 |  | 278 | 174 | 243 |
| TOTAL | 110 |  | 354 | 231 | 323 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 30.7 |  | 23.1 | 26.7 | 28.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.4-45.0) |  | (15.7-32.6) | (17.5-38.6) | (22.2-35.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 26.9 |  | 19.9 | 21.7 | 27.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.6-42.2) |  | (11.6-32.1) | (14.4-31.2) | (20.4-36.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 10

who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with
$1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables
Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 199 |  | 516 | 342 | 526 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 655 |  | 1931 | 1295 | 1996 |
| TOTAL | 854 |  | 2447 | 1637 | 2522 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 22.7 |  | 20.4 | 19.6 | 20.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.7-26.1) |  | (18.6-22.4) | (17.4-22.0) | (19.0-22.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 22.6 |  | 20.5 | 19.3 | 20.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.7-25.9) |  | (18.6-22.5) | (17.2-21.6) | (18.9-22.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 11

Percent of adults aged 18+ who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with $1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| daily vegetable serving >= 3 | 107 |  | 325 | 203 | 288 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 346 |  | 994 | 700 | 954 |
| total | 453 |  | 1319 | 903 | 1242 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 22.3 |  | 24.2 | 20.6 | 20.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.3-26.9) |  | (21.6-27.0) | (17.8-23.6) | (18.5-23.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 21.9 |  | 23.8 | 19.9 | 20.6 |
| c.l (95\%) | (17.9-26.5) |  | (21.2-26.6) | (17.2-22.9) | (18.0-23.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 12

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with $1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables <br> Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 46 |  | 97 | 123 | 130 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 130 |  | 438 | 364 | 400 |
| TOTAL | 176 |  | 535 | 487 | 530 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 21.1 |  | 19.7 | 22.4 | 22.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.1-28.6) |  | (15.0-25.3) | (18.6-26.9) | (18.5-26.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 21.0 |  | 19.9 | 22.3 | 21.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.2-28.4) |  | (15.2-25.6) | (18.3-26.9) | (18.1-26.3) |
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## OBJECTIVE 19-6/ Supplement 13

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables with $1 / 3$ being dark green or orange vegetables Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS >= 3 | 90 |  | 231 | 204 | 218 |
| DAILY VEGETABLE SERVINGS < 3 | 276 |  | 799 | 697 | 862 |
| TOTAL | 366 |  | 1030 | 901 | 1080 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 24.4 |  | 21.2 | 21.4 | 20.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.1-30.7) |  | (18.2-24.6) | (18.5-24.7) | (17.4-23.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 24.1 |  | 21.2 | 21.4 | 19.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.8-30.4) |  | (18.0-24.8) | (18.4-24.8) | (17.1-22.7) |
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## ORAL HEALTH

## Objective 21-3

Increase the proportion of adults (aged 35 to 44) who have never had a permanent tooth extracted because of dental caries or periodontal disease to 42\%.

## Objective 21-4

Reduce the proportion of older adults (aged 65 to 74) who have had all their natural teeth extracted to 20\%

## Objective 21-10

Increase the proportion of children and adults who use the oral health care system each year to $56 \%$

## OBJECTIVE 21-3

## Percent of adults aged 35 to 44 who had no permanent tooth extracted Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 272 |  | 674 |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 234 |  | 479 |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 506 |  | 1153 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED | 54.5 |  | 5002 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(49.0-59.8)$ | $(54.0-61.1)$ |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 45.5 |  | 42.4 |
| $\quad$ C.I (95\%) | $(40.2-51.0)$ | $(38.9-46.0)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 1

> Percent of men aged 35 to 44 who had no permanent tooth extracted Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 126 |  | 287 |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 125 |  | 211 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 251 |  | 498 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 2002
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women aged 35 to 44 who had no permanent tooth extracted <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 146 |  | 387 |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 109 |  | 268 |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 255 |  | 655 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED | 57.4 |  | 59.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(49.7-64.8)$ | $(54.7-63.8)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 42.6 |  |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED | $(33.2-50.3)$ | $(36.2-45.3)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of White adults aged 35 to 44 who had no permanent tooth extracted

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO TOOth Extracted |  | 85 |  |  | 317 |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 27 |  |  | 176 |
| total |  | 112 |  |  | 493 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| no tooth extracted |  | 77.7 |  |  | 64.7 |
| c.1 (95\%) |  | (67.3-85.5) |  |  | (59.4-69.7) |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 22.3 |  |  | 35.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (14.5-32.7) |  |  | (30.3-40.6) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 35 to 44

 who had no permanent tooth extractedHawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 34 |  | 68 |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 24 |  | 82 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 58 |  | 150 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED | 53.1 |  | 47.6 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(37.4-68.2)$ | $(37.6-63.8)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 46.9 | 52.4 |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED | $(31.8-62.6)$ | $(36.2-62.4)$ |  |  |

[^208]
## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 5

Percent of Filipinos aged 35 to 44 who had no permanent tooth extracted

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 26 |  | 77 |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 32 |  | 97 |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 58 |  | 174 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no tooth extracted |  | 50.6 |  |  | 43.7 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (33.4-67.7) |  |  | (34.8-52.9) |
| had tooth-Extracted |  | 49.4 |  |  | 56.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (32.3-66.6) |  |  | (47.1-65.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 6

Percent of Japanese aged 35 to 44
who had no permanent tooth extracte
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 41 |  |  |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 18 |  | 66 |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 59 |  |  | 180 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED | 71.9 |  | 62.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(57.2-83.0)$ | $(54.2-70.8)$ |  |
|  |  | 28.1 |  | 37.1 |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED | $(17.0-42.8)$ | $(29.2-45.8)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 35 to 44
who had no permanent tooth extracted
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 86 |  | 98 |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 133 |  | 58 |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 219 |  | 156 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED <br> C.I (95\%) | 41.7 |  | 58.1 |  |
|  | $(33.9-49.9)$ | $(47.9-67.7)$ |  |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 58.3 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(50.1-66.1)$ | $(32.3-52.1)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 8

Percent of adults aged 35 to 44 who had no permanent tooth extracted
Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO TOOTH EXtracted |  | 138 |  |  | 330 |
| had tooth-EXtracted |  | 99 |  |  | 232 |
| total |  | 237 |  |  | 562 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 56.3 |  |  | 57.8 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (49.1-63.2) |  |  | (53.0-62.3) |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 43.7 |  |  | 42.2 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (37.8-50.9) |  |  | (37.7-47.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 9

Percent of adults aged 35 to 44 who had no permanent tooth extracted

Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO TOOth extracted |  | 56 |  |  | 130 |
| had tooth-EXtracted |  | 66 |  |  | 100 |
| total |  | 122 |  |  | 230 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 47.8 |  |  | 54.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (37.9-57.8) |  |  | (47.0-61.6) |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 52.2 |  |  | 45.6 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (42.2-62.1) |  |  | (38.4-53.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 10

Percent of adults aged 35 to 44 who had no permanent tooth extracted

Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  |  | 66 |  |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  |  |  | 48 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 114 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  |  |  |  |
| C.1 $(95 \%)$ |  | 55.0 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(44.7-64.9)$ |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  |  | 45.0 |  |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-3/ SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of adults aged 35 to 44 who had no permanent tooth extracted

Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 54 |  | 148 |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED |  | 52 |  | 99 |  |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED |  | 106 |  | 247 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO TOOTH EXTRACTED | 47.9 |  | 61.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(36.9-59.0)$ | $(54.0-67.9)$ |  |
|  |  | 52.1 |  | 38.8 |
| HAD TOOTH-EXTRACTED | $(41.0-63.1)$ | $(32.1-46.0)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4

## Percent of adults aged 65 to 74

 who had all natural teeth extracted Hawaii, 1998-2002

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all teeth extracted |  | 26 |  |  | 63 |
| Still have natural teeth |  | 203 |  |  | 538 |
| total |  | 229 |  |  | 601 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| ALL teeth extracted |  | 9.6 |  |  | 11.7 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (5.9-15.3) |  |  | (8.2-16.3) |
| still have natural teeth |  | 90.4 |  |  | 88.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (84.7-94.1) |  |  | (83.7-91.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men aged 65 to 74 who had all natural teeth extracted Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ALL TEETH EXTRACTED |  | 5 |  | 22 |  |
| STILL HAVE NATURAL TEETH |  | 80 |  | 225 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 85 |  | 247 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL teeth extracted |  | 3.5 |  |  | 8.0 |
| C.l (95\%) |  | (1.3-9.0) |  |  | (4.9-12.7) |
| Still have natural teeth |  | 96.5 |  |  | 92.0 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (91.0-98.7) |  |  | (87.3-95.1) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 2

Percent of women aged 65 to 74 who had all natural teeth extracted Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ALL TEETH EXTRACTED |  | 21 |  | 41 |
| STILL HAVE NATURAL TEETH |  | 123 | 313 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 144 | 354 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ALL TEETH EXTRACTED <br> C. $(95 \%)$ | 13.9 |  | 14.5 |  |
|  | $(8.0-23.0)$ | $(9.2-22.0)$ |  |  |
| STILL have natural teeth |  | 86.1 |  | 85.5 |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(77.0-92.0)$ | $(78.0-91.8)$ |  |  |

[^218]
## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of White adults aged 65 to 74
who had all natural teeth extracted
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all teeth extracted |  | 8 |  |  | 18 |
| still have natural teeth |  | 46 |  |  | 232 |
| total |  | 54 |  |  | 250 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| ALL teeth extracted |  | 15.0 |  |  | 6.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (5.3-35.7) |  |  | (3.7-10.5) |
| Still have natural teeth |  | 85.0 |  |  | 93.7 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (64.3-94.7) |  |  | (89.5-96.3) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 4

Percent of Hawaiians aged 65 to 74 who had all natural teeth extracted Hawaii, 1998-2002


-     - All teeth extracted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL TEETH EXTRACTED |  |  | 2002 |  |
| STILL HAVE NATURAL TEETH |  |  | 14 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 46 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL teeth extracted |  |  |  |  | 23.5 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (11.7-41.5) |
| StiLl have natural teeth |  |  |  |  | 76.5 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (58.5-88.3) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 65 to 74 who had all natural teeth extracted Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| ALL TEETH EXTRACTED |  |  |  | 48 |  |
| STIL HAVE NATURAL TEETH |  |  |  | 61 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL TEETH Extracted |  |  |  |  | 30.3 |
| c.1. (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (15.2-51.3) |
| still have natural teeth |  |  |  |  | 69.7 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (48.7-84.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 6

Percent of Japanese aged 65 to 74 who had all natural teeth extracted Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all teeth extracted |  | 8 |  |  | 12 |
| Still have natural teeth |  | 53 |  |  | 156 |
| total |  | 61 |  |  | 168 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| ALL teeth extracted |  | 9.7 |  |  | 6.0 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (4.1-21.4) |  |  | (3.2-12.2) |
| Still have natural teeth |  | 90.3 |  |  | 94.0 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (78.6-95.9) |  |  | (87.8-96.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 65 to 74 who had all natural teeth extracted Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ALL TEETH EXTRACTED |  | 3 |  | 6 |
| STILL HAVE NATURAL TEETH |  | 91 | 56 |  |
| TOTAL | 94 | 62 |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL teeth extracted |  | 2.5 |  |  | 8.2 |
| C.l (95\%) |  | (0.7-8.0) |  |  | (3.1-20.2) |
| Still have natural teeth |  | 97.5 |  |  | 91.8 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (92.0-99.3) |  |  | (79.8-96.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 8

Percent of adults aged 65 to 74 who had all natural teeth extracted Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all teeth extracted |  | 9 |  |  | 23 |
| Still have natural teeth |  | 79 |  |  | 240 |
| total |  | 88 |  |  | 263 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| all teeth extracted |  | 8.8 |  |  | 11.7 |
| C.l (95\%) |  | (4.4-17.1) |  |  | (7.3-18.4) |
| still have natural teeth |  | 91.2 |  |  | 88.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (82.9-95.6) |  |  | (71.6-92.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 9

Percent of adults aged 65 to 74 who had all natural teeth extracted Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ALL TEETH EXTRACTED |  | 11 |  |  | 25 |
| STILL HAVE NATURAL TEETH |  | 60 |  | 143 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 71 |  | 168 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL TEeth extracted |  | 16.3 |  |  | 14.5 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (8.7-28.7) |  |  | (9.6-21.2) |
| still have natural teeth |  | 83.7 |  |  | 85.5 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (71.3-91.3) |  |  | (78.8-90.4) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 10

## Percent of adults aged 65 to 74

 who had all natural teeth extracted Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ALL TEETH EXTRACTED |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| STILL HAVE NATURAL TEETH |  |  |  | 64 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 69 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all teeth extracted |  |  |  |  | 9.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (3.4-23.5) |
| Still have natural teeth |  |  |  |  | 90.6 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (76.5-96.6) |

[^226]
## OBJECTIVE 21-4/ SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of adults aged 65 to 74
who had all natural teeth extracted
Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


|  | -O-A | extra |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| all teeth extracted |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| still have natural teeth |  |  |  |  | 91 |
| total |  |  |  |  | 101 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL TEETH EXTRACTED |  |  |  |  | 8.9 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (4.0-18.7) |
| Still have natural teeth |  |  |  |  | 91.1 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  | (81.3-96.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 1267 |  | 3900 |  |
| VISITED |  | 491 |  | 2074 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 1758 |  | 5974 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED | 74.7 |  | 6502 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(72.0-77.2)$ | $(63.5-66.8)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 25.3 |  | 34.8 |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | $(22.7-28.0)$ | $(33.2-36.5)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 567 |  | 1613 |  |
| VISITED |  | 224 |  | 979 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 791 |  | 2592 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED | 74.0 |  | 63.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(69.8-77.8)$ | $(60.7-65.6)$ |  |
|  |  | 26.0 |  | 36.8 |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | $(22.2-30.2)$ | $(34.4-39.3)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| VISITED |  | 700 |  | 2287 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 267 |  | 1095 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 967 |  | 3382 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISIted | 75.4 |  | 67.1 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(71.9-78.6)$ | $(65.0-69.2)$ |  |
|  |  | 24.6 |  | 32.9 |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | $(21.4-28.1)$ | $(31.8-35.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 3

## Percent of White adults aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VISITED |  | 362 |  |  | 1707 |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 132 |  |  | 770 |
| total |  | 494 |  |  | 2477 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| VISIted |  | 75.0 |  |  | 68.1 |
| C.l (95\%) |  | (69.6-79.7) |  |  | (65.5-70.5) |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 25.0 |  |  | 31.9 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (20.3-30.4) |  |  | (29.5-34.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED |  | 141 |  | 402 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 78 |  | 363 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 219 |  | 765 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISIted | 71.0 |  | 54.1 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(66.1-77.8)$ | $(49.2-59.0)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 29.0 |  | 45.9 |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | $(22.2-33.9)$ | $(41.0-50.8)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 103 |  | 470 |  |
| VISITED |  | 61 |  | 300 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 164 |  | 770 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED | 63.6 |  | 62.4 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(53.9-72.3)$ | $(57.7-66.8)$ |  |
|  |  | 36.4 |  | 37.6 |
| DID NOT VISIT | $(27.7-46.1)$ | $(33.2-42.3)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 6

Percent of Japanese aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 267 |  | 767 |
| VISITED |  | 74 |  | 396 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 341 |  | 1163 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED | 78.8 |  | 66.0 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(74.1-82.8)$ | $(62.5-69.3)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 21.2 |  | 34.0 |
| DID NOT VISIT | $(17.2-25.9)$ | $(30.7-37.5)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED |  | 394 |  | 554 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 146 |  | 245 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 540 |  | 799 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED | 78.8 |  | 69.0 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(74.1-82.8)$ | $(64.8-73.0)$ |  |
|  |  | 21.2 |  | 31.0 |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | $(17.2-25.9)$ | $(27.0-35.2)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 8

Percent of adults aged 18+ in low income household who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VISITED |  | 51 |  |  | 123 |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 30 |  |  | 87 |
| total |  | 81 |  |  | 210 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| VISITED |  | 67.1 |  |  | 61.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (50.9-80.0) |  |  | (51.8-70.0) |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 32.9 |  |  | 38.7 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (20.0-49.1) |  |  | (30.0-48.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 9

## Percent of adults with less education who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| visited |  | 65 |  |  | 174 |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 64 |  |  | 197 |
| total |  | 129 |  |  | 371 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| visited |  | 46.7 |  |  | 48.0 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (35.3-58.5) |  |  | (41.1-55.0) |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 53.3 |  |  | 52.0 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (41.5-64.7) |  |  | (45.0-58.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 10

Percent of adults aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 624 |  |  |
| VISITED |  | 189 |  | 892 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 813 |  | 8906 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VISITED |  | 77.0 |  |  | 66.6 |
| C.l (95\%) |  | (73.5-80.2) |  |  | (64.5-68.7) |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 23.0 |  |  | 33.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (19.8-26.5) |  |  | (31.3-35.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of adults aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 289 |  | 874 |  |
| VISITED |  | 152 |  | 499 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 441 |  | 1373 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED | 66.3 |  | 62.0 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(60.9-71.2)$ | $(58.8-65.0)$ |  |
|  |  | 33.7 |  | 38.0 |
| DID NOT VISIT | $(28.8-39.1)$ | $(35.0-41.2)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 12

Percent of adults aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMber OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED |  | 117 |  | 371 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 54 |  | 227 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 171 |  |  | 598 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED | 66.9 |  | 57.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(58.2-74.5)$ | $(52.5-62.0)$ |  |
|  |  | 33.1 |  | 42.7 |
| DID NOT VISIT | $(25.5-41.8)$ | $(38.0-47.5)$ |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 21-10/ SUPPLEMENT 13

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who visited dentist or dental clinic last year Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED |  | 237 |  | 741 |  |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | 96 |  | 456 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 333 |  | 1197 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VISITED | 71.0 |  | 62.5 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(65.0-76.4)$ | $(59.1-65.9)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 29.0 |  | 37.5 |
| DID NOT VISIT |  | $(23.6-35.0)$ | $(34.1-40.9)$ |  |
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## PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

## Objective 22-1

Reduce the proportion of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity to 20\%.

## Objective 22-2

Increase the proportion of adults who engage regularly, preferably daily, in moderate physical activity for at least 30 minutes per day to $30 \%$.

## Objective 22-3

Increase the proportion of adults who engage in vigorous physical activity that promotes the development and maintenance of cardiorespiratory fitness 3 or more days per week for 20 or more minutes per occasion to $30 \%$.

## OBJECTIVE 22-1

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 401 | 527 | 1377 | 858 | 1013 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 1752 | 1628 | 4639 | 3642 | 4985 |
| TOTAL | 2153 | 2155 | 6016 | 4500 | 5998 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 18.0 | 25.5 | 23.2 | 18.9 | 16.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(15.9-20.2)$ | $(23.0-28.1)$ | $(21.8-24.7)$ | $(17.4-20.5)$ | $(14.9-17.4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 18.8 |
| Age-adjusted percent | 18.0 | 25.4 | 23.2 | 16.1 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(16.0-20.2)$ | $(22.9-28.1)$ | $(21.7-24.7)$ | $(17.3-20.4)$ | $(14.9-17.3)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 138 | 201 | 497 | 317 | 371 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 795 | 792 | 2184 | 1620 | 2233 |
| TOTAL | 933 | 993 | 2681 | 1937 | 2604 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 13.4 | 21.6 | 18.3 | 15.8 | 13.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (10.8-16.7) | (18.2-25.5) | (16.4-20.3) | (13.7-18.0) | (12.3-15.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 13.8 | 21.9 | 18.4 | 15.8 | 14.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (11.1-17.1) | (18.5-25.8) | (16.6-20.5) | (13.8-18.1) | (12.4-15.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 263 | 326 | 880 | 541 | 642 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 957 | 836 | 2455 | 2022 | 2752 |
| TOTAL | 1220 | 1162 | 3335 | 2563 | 3394 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 22.5 | 29.4 | 28.2 | 22.2 | 18.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.6-25.7) | (25.9-33.1) | (26.1-30.4) | (20.0-24.5) | (16.7-20.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 22.7 | 29.3 | 28.0 | 22.0 | 18.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.7-25.9) | (25.8-33.0) | (26.0-30.2) | (19.8-24.2) | (16.6-20.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of White adults aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity

Hawaii, 1998-2002



Number of respondents
NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY
SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY
TOTAL

| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 131 | 92 | 381 | 282 | 302 |
| 716 | 403 | 1879 | 1575 | 2179 |
| 847 | 495 | 2260 | 1857 | 2481 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 15.4 | 18.4 | 16.6 | 14.9 | 10.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(12.2-19.3)$ | $(14.0-23.8)$ | $(14.6-18.8)$ | $(12.9-17.2)$ | $(9.3-12.6)$ |


| Age-adjusted percent | 15.4 | 18.3 | 16.5 | 14.5 | 11.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(12.1-19.4)$ | $(14.1-23.3)$ | $(14.5-18.7)$ | $(12.5-16.7)$ | $(9.4-12.8)$ |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 55 | 56 | 237 | 110 | 146 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 250 | 164 | 696 | 475 | 625 |
| TOTAL | 305 | 220 | 933 | 585 | 771 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 17.4 | 29.2 | 24.5 | 19.1 | 16.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.6-23.7) | (21.2-38.6) | (20.9-28.5) | (15.2-23.8) | (13.2-19.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 18.6 | 29.3 | 25.5 | 19.3 | 17.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (13.8-24.8) | (21.6-38.5) | (21.8-29.7) | (15.4-24.0) | (13.9-20.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 60 | 54 | 240 | 148 | 204 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 172 | 114 | 499 | 400 | 571 |
| TOTAL | 232 | 168 | 739 | 548 | 775 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 23.6 | 31.6 | 34.0 | 27.3 | 26.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.6-30.9) | (23.0-41.7) | (29.1-39.2) | (22.2-33.0) | (23.1-31.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 24.3 | 34.0 | 33.9 | 27.4 | 26.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.1-31.9) | (24.7-44.6) | (29.1-38.9) | (22.4-33.0) | (22.7-30.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 18+ who engage in no-leisure-time physical activity <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 104 | 88 | 327 | 195 | 193 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 371 | 258 | 940 | 676 | 974 |
| TOTAL | 475 | 346 | 1267 | 871 | 1167 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 20.0 | 26.4 | 24.8 | 21.4 | 15.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.9-24.7) | (20.9-32.8) | (24.0-27.9) | (18.1-25.1) | (12.9-17.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 20.6 | 26.8 | 24.1 | 20.5 | 14.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (16.3-25.7) | (20.9-33.7) | (21.1-27.3) | (17.1-24.5) | (11.8-16.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of adults of other races aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 51 | 237 | 192 | 123 | 168 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 243 | 689 | 625 | 516 | 636 |
| TOTAL | 294 | 926 | 817 | 639 | 804 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 15.5 | 25.9 | 22.0 | 16.9 | 18.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (11.2-21.0) | (22.1-30.0) | (18.7-25.6) | (13.7-20.7) | (15.6-22.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 17.2 | 26.2 | 22.4 | 16.9 | 19.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.3-23.5) | (22.5-30.2) | (19.1-26.1) | (13.7-20.6) | (16.0-22.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 8

Percent of adults aged 18+ in low income household who engage in no leisure-time physical activity Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 35 | 33 | 104 | 54 | 45 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 110 | 67 | 209 | 139 | 164 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 100 | 313 | 193 | 209 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 23.8 | 32.7 | 36.5 | 23.5 | 16.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.8-34.3) | (20.7-47.5) | (28.9-44.9) | (16.2-33.0) | (11.6-23.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 22.2 | 24.2 | 36.5 | 29.0 | 15.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (14.2-33.1) | (13.9-38.7) | (29.4-44.4) | (20.7-38.9) | (11.0-22.0) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 9

## Percent of adults with less education who engage in no leisure-time physical activity Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 44 | 64 | 155 | 80 | 121 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 101 | 88 | 261 | 204 | 255 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 152 | 416 | 284 | 376 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 26.1 | 38.4 | 35.0 | 28.1 | 31.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.6-36.8) | (28.8-48.9) | (27.7-43.1) | (21.2-36.1) | (25.5-38.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 29.7 | 39.9 | 35.2 | 32.2 | 33.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.2-42.9) | (29.7-51.1) | (26.7-44.7) | (23.8-42.1) | (26.2-42.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 10

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 178 | 238 | 652 | 359 | 448 |  |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 820 | 762 | 2153 | 1561 | 2363 |  |
| TOTAL | 998 | 1000 | 2805 | 1920 | 2811 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| Weighted percent | 17.6 | 26.0 | 23.2 | 18.4 | 15.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(15.1-20.5)$ | $(22.8-29.5)$ | $(21.3-25.1)$ | $(16.5-20.5)$ | $(13.8-16.9)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  | 18.3 | 15.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | 17.8 | 26.0 | 23.2 | $(13.4-20.3$ | $(13.9-17.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 11

## who engage in no leisure-time physical activity

 Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 117 | 137 | 356 | 215 | 247 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 412 | 391 | 1098 | 805 | 1132 |
| TOTAL | 529 | 528 | 1454 | 1020 | 1379 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 21.8 | 23.5 | 25.4 | 22.4 | 17.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.8-26.3) | (19.6-29.7) | (22.6-28.4) | (19.5-25.6) | (15.5-20.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 21.8 | 23.1 | 25.6 | 22.2 | 17.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.5-26.8) | (19.2-27.6) | (22.6-28.8) | (19.3-25.5) | (15.2-20.4) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 12

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity

 Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 33 | 49 | 115 | 93 | 90 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 177 | 160 | 472 | 456 | 511 |
| TOTAL | 210 | 209 | 587 | 549 | 601 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 15.9 | 22.8 | 18.8 | 16.9 | 17.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(10.9-22.8)$ | $(16.7-30.3)$ | $(15.6-22.6)$ | $(13.5-20.9)$ | $(13.8-21.3)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent | 15.8 | 22.0 | 18.5 | 16.8 | 17.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(10.9-22.4)$ | $(15.9-29.7)$ | $(15.3-22.1)$ | $(13.4-20.8)$ | $(13.6-21.4)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-1/ SUPPLEMENT 13

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in no leisure-time physical activity Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 73 | 103 | 254 | 191 | 228 |
| SOME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY | 343 | 315 | 916 | 820 | 979 |
| TOTAL | 416 | 418 | 1170 | 1011 | 1207 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 17.0 | 24.9 | 23.0 | 19.7 | 19.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (13.1-21.8) | (20.3-30.1) | (20.1-26.3) | (16.8-23.1) | (16.4-22.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 16.8 | 25.3 | 23.1 | 19.9 | 18.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (13.0-21.4) | (20.7-30.6) | (20.1-26.1) | (16.8-23.5) | (16.2-21.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\checkmark-$ Weighted $-\checkmark$ Age-adjusted

| Number of ReSpondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  | 2258 | 2849 |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 2173 | 3101 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 4431 | 5950 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 50.2 | 47.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(48.2-52.3)$ | $(45.7-49.1)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 1

Percent of men aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 1101 | 1285 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 807 | 1301 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1908 | 2586 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 56.2 | 49.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(53.3-59.2)$ | $(47.3-52.5)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 2

Percent of women aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \checkmark \checkmark \text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  | 1157 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 1366 | 1564 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 2523 | 3300 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) |  | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(41.4-46.8)$ | $(42.5-46.8)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of White adults aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| meet the standard |  |  |  | 1085 | 1337 |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  |  | 743 | 1120 |
| total |  |  |  | 1828 | 2457 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  | 60.2 | 54.0 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (57.2-63.2) | (51.4-56.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 60.4 | 54.2 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (57.3-63.4) | (51.5-56.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 4

Percent of Hawaiians aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 288 | 327 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 289 | 440 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 577 | 767 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 50.9 | 42.7 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(45.4-56.5)$ | $(37.9-47.6)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 50.4 | 41.4 |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 5

Percent of Filipinos aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 223 | 310 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 314 | 458 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 537 | 768 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 41.4 | 40.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(35.1-48.0)$ | $(35.8-44.9)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 41.4 | 40.3 |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 6

Percent of Japanese aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\leadsto-$ Weighted $-\triangle$ Age-adjusted

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 356 | 495 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 504 | 664 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 860 | 1159 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 42.0 | 42.4 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(37.7-46.4)$ | $(38.8-46.0)$ |  |
|  |  | 42.5 | 42.9 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(37.8-47.4)$ | $(39.1-46.9)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of adults of other races aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 306 | 380 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 323 | 419 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 629 | 799 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  | 47.6 | 48.6 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (42.7-52.6) | (44.2-53.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 47.2 | 48.1 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (43.2-52.2) | (43.9-52.4) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 8

## Percent of adults aged 18+ in low income household who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 86 | 120 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 105 | 90 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 191 | 210 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 48.0 | 57.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(36.9-59.3)$ | $(47.6-66.2)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 9

## Percent of adults with less education who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 99 | 153 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 179 | 217 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 278 | 370 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 35.2 | 3902 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(27.6-43.7)$ | $(33.3-46.9)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 38.4 | 43.1 |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 10

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day

Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 946 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 940 | 1459 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1886 | 2793 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 50.2 | 47.5 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(47.5-52.8)$ | $(45.3-49.7)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(47.6-52.3)$ | $(45.3-49.6)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  |  | 501 | 669 |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  |  | 497 | 692 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 998 | 1361 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  | 47.8 | 47.7 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (44.3-51.3) | (44.4-51.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 47.6 | 47.7 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (44.1-51.1) | (44.5-50.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 12

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 264 | 289 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 281 | 307 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 545 | 596 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 45.7 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(40.8-50.6)$ | $(40.2-49.4)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 46.1 | 44.5 |  |
| C.1 (95\%) |  | $(41.2-51.1)$ | $(39.7-49.3)$ |  |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 22-2/ SUPPLEMENT 13

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in moderate physical activity at least 5 days/week \& 30 minutes/day Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 547 | 557 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 455 | 643 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1002 | 1200 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 55.6 | 460 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(51.9-59.3)$ | $(43.1-50.0)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 55.9 | 46.5 |  |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 22-3

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  | 1183 | 1339 |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 3295 | 4635 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 4478 | 5974 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 26.5 | 24.1 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(24.7-28.2)$ | $(22.6-25.6)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 26.7 | 24.4 |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 1

Percent of men aged 18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 680 | 763 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 1245 | 1827 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1925 | 2590 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 35.2 | 31.6 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(32.4-38.1)$ | $(29.2-34.1)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 34.7 | 31.3 |  |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 2

Percent of women aged 18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 503 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 2050 | 2808 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 2553 | 3384 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 17.6 | 16.7 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(15.8-19.6)$ | $(15.1-18.3)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 18.1 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(16.2-20.2)$ | $(15.6-18.9)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of White adults aged18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  | 603 | 722 |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 1243 | 1744 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1846 | 2466 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 34.1 | 3002 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(31.2-37.1)$ | $(29.4-34.6)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 34.4 | 31.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 4

Percent of Hawaiians aged18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  |  | 143 | 144 |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  |  | 440 | 627 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 583 | 771 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  | 25.4 | 21.4 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (20.8-30.7) | (17.4-26.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 23.7 | 19.7 |
| C.I ( $95 \%$ ) |  |  |  | (19.5-28.3) | (16.2-23.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 5

## Percent of Filipinos aged 18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day

 Hawaii, 1998-2002

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  | 101 | 124 |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 445 | 647 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 546 | 771 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 18.8 | 17.4 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(14.6-23.9)$ | $(14.2-21.3)$ |  |
|  |  | 18.5 | 17.7 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(14.6-23.3)$ | $(14.4-21.5)$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 6

Percent of Japanese aged 18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


|  | $\bigcirc$ Weig | - Age | ted |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  |  | 151 | 161 |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  |  | 717 | 1004 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 868 | 1165 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 17.9 | 16.0 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(14.9-21.5)$ | $(13.3-19.2)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | 20.4 | 19.0 |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 7

 Percent of adults of other races aged 18+who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 185 | 188 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 450 | 613 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 635 | 801 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 28.7 | 25.5 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(24.4-33.5)$ | $(21.8-29.6)$ |  |
|  |  |  | 27.9 | 24.2 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(23.8-32.4)$ | $(20.7-28.1)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 8

Percent of adults aged 18+ in low income household who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 41 | 51 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 151 | 159 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 192 | 210 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 18.4 | 2002 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(12.3-26.4)$ | $(18.4-37.9)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 9

Percent of adults with less education who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 18 | 26 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 263 | 348 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 281 | 374 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 6.5 | 7.5 |  |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ |  | $(3.5-11.7)$ | $(4.6-12.0)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  | $(6.2-18.4)$ | $(7.6-20.9)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 10

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day

Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  | 519 | 648 |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 1389 | 2159 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1908 | 2807 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 26.7 | 24.8 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(24.5-29.1)$ | $(22.9-26.8)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | 26.8 | 24.8 |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  |  | 249 | 296 |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  |  | 767 | 1070 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 1016 | 1366 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent |  |  |  | 23.9 | 22.2 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (21.1-27.0) | (19.6-24.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  | 24.5 | 22.8 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  | (21.6-27.7) | (20.1-25.8) |
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## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 12

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NuMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  |  | 133 | 137 |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 413 | 461 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 546 | 598 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 24.4 | 2002 |  |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(20.3-29.0)$ | $(17.4-24.6)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(20.9-29.8)$ | $(17.4-25.0)$ |  |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 22-3/ SUPPLEMENT 13

Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in vigorous physical activity at least 3 days/week \& 20 minutes/day Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of ReSpondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MEET THE STANDARD |  | 282 | 258 |  |  |
| DO NOT MEET |  |  | 726 | 945 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1008 | 1203 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 28.3 | 2002 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(24.9-31.8)$ | $(20.4-26.3)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## SUBSTANCE ABUSE

Objective 26-11c
Reduce the proportion of persons engaging in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages to 6\%.

## OBJECTIVE 26-11c

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages Hawaii, 1998-2002



| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 301 | 446 | 653 |  |
| NOT |  | 1835 | 4006 | 5259 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 2136 | 4452 | 5912 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 14.0 | 10.4 | 11.9 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(12.2-16.0)$ | $(9.2-11.8)$ | $(10.8-13.1)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 14.1 |  | 10.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(12.2-16.1)$ | $(9.4-12.0)$ | $(10.9-13.2)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 1

> Percent of men aged 18+ who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\checkmark-$ Weighted $\triangle-$ Age-adjusted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 224 | 342 | 489 |  |
| NOT |  | 753 | 1566 | 2071 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 977 | 1908 | 2560 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 21.4 | 16.9 | 19.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(18.3-24.8)$ | $(14.8-19.3)$ | $(17.3-21.6)$ |  |
|  |  | 20.3 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(17.9-24.2)$ | 16.7 | 18.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(14.6-19.1)$ | $(17.0-21.0)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women aged 18+ who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages

 Hawaii, 1998-2002

$$
\neg-\text { Weighted } \triangle-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 77 | 104 | 164 |  |
| NOT |  | 1082 | 2440 | 3188 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 1159 | 2544 | 3352 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 6.6 | 3.8 | 4.5 |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(5.0-8.7)$ | $(2.9-5.0)$ | $(3.7-5.4)$ |  |
|  |  | 7.0 |  | 4.2 | 4.8 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(5.3-9.3)$ | $(3.2-4.5)$ | $(4.0-5.8)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 3

> Percent of White adults aged 18+ who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages
> Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 70 | 195 | 298 |  |
| NOT |  | 423 | 1643 | 2139 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 493 | 1838 | 2437 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 14.6 |  | 11.5 | 13. |
| C.I (95\%) |  | (11.0-19.2) |  | (10.6-13.7) | (11.6-15.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 15.4 |  | 11.9 | 13.5 |
| c.I( $95 \%$ ) |  | (11.6-20.1) |  | (10.0-14.1) | (11.5-15.7) |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 4

Percent of Hawaiians aged 18+ who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages Hawaii, 1998-2002

$\leadsto$ Weighted $\triangle-$ Age-adjusted

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 47 | 85 | 135 |  |
| NOT |  | 170 | 491 | 623 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 217 | 576 | 758 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 22.3 |  | 17.9 | 19.7 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(15.9-30.3)$ | $(13.7-23.6)$ | $(15.8-24.2)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 18.7 |  | 16.6 | 17.8 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(13.3-25.6)$ | $(12.7-21.5)$ | $(14.4-21.9)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 5

Percent of Filipinos aged 18+ who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 22 | 39 | 62 |  |
| BINGE DRINKING | 143 | 502 | 704 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 165 | 541 | 766 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 13.4 | 5.8 | 8.5 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(8.2-21.1)$ | $(3.7-9.1)$ | $(6.2-11.4)$ |  |
|  |  | 11.9 |  | 5.2 | 8.5 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(7.1-19.2)$ | $(3.3-8.0)$ | $(6.3-11.4)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 6

## Percent of Japanese aged 18+

 who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages Hawaii, 1998-2002

| Number of respondents | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 38 | 62 | 70 |  |
| NOT | 305 | 801 | 1089 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 343 | 863 | 1159 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 8.8 | 7.2 | 6.8 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(5.9-13.1)$ | $(5.2-10.0)$ | $(5.1-9.1)$ |  |
|  |  | 13.3 |  | 8.8 | 7.8 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(10.9-16.7)$ | $(6.2-12.4)$ | $(5.8-10.4)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 7

Percent of adults of other races aged 18+ who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 124 |  | 65 | 88 |
| NOT |  | 794 | 569 | 704 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 918 | 634 | 792 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 14.0 | 10.1 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | $(11.3-17.4)$ | $(7.4-13.8)$ | $(9.1-14.7)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 13.5 | 9.4 | 10.5 |
| C.I $95 \%)$ | $(10.9-16.7)$ | $(7.0-12.5)$ | $(8.3-13.3)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 8

Percent of adults aged 18+ in low income household who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 13 | 28 | 24 |  |
| NOT |  | 86 |  | 165 | 185 |
| TOTAL |  | 99 | 193 | 209 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 10.3 | 15.9 | 2002 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(5.0-20.2)$ | $(9.7-24.9)$ | $(13.2-33.7)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I $(95 \%)$ | 12.4 | 13.0 | 17.1 |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 9

## Percent of adults with less education who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 12 | 12 | 23 |  |
| NOT | 139 | 269 | 351 |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 151 | 281 | 374 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C.I (95\%) |  | 6.5 | 6.1 | 6.6 |  |
|  |  | $(2.9-14.1)$ | $(2.9-12.5)$ | $(4.0-10.7)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 9.0 |  | 10.6 | 10.9 |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(3.8-20.0)$ | $(5.2-20.4)$ | $(6.3-18.2)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 10

 Percent of adults aged 18+who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages
Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 124 | 162 | 238 |  |
| NOT |  | 866 | 1734 | 2542 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 990 | 1896 | 2780 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 12.9 | 9.6 | 10.2 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(10.7-15.6)$ | $(8.0-11.3)$ | $(8.8-11.8)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 12.9 |  | 9.7 | 10.1 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(10.7-15.5)$ | $(8.1-11.4)$ | $(8.7-11.7)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 11

## Percent of adults aged 18+

 who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002

Number of respondents
BINGE DRINKING
NOT
total

| 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 76 | 108 | 148 |  |  |
|  | 447 | 902 | 1206 |  |
|  | 523 |  | 1010 | 1354 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 17.3 | 12.2 | 13.9 |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(13.5-21.8)$ | $(9.8-15.0)$ | $(11.6-16.6)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 17.9 |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(14.0-22.5)$ | 12.7 | 15.3 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  | $(10.3-15.7)$ | $(12.8-18.2)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 12

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages

 Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002

| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 35 | 63 | 77 |  |
| NOT |  | 172 | 482 | 511 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 207 | 545 | 588 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 16.6 | 13.3 | 16.6 |  |
| C.1 (95\%) |  | $(11.6-23.3)$ | $(10.2-17.2)$ | $(13.0-21.0)$ |  |
|  |  | 17.2 |  | 14.0 | 18.1 |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | $(11.8-24.3)$ | $(10.8-18.0)$ | $(14.2-24.7)$ |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 26-11c/ SUPPLEMENT 13

> Percent of adults aged 18+ who engage in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages
> Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BINGE DRINKING |  | 66 | 113 | 190 |  |
| NOT |  | 350 |  | 888 | 1000 |
| TOTAL |  | 416 | 1001 | 1190 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 16.7 | 13.0 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  | $(12.8-21.5)$ | $(10.5-16.1)$ | $(16.3-22.0)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) | $(13.1-21.5)$ | 13.5 | 19.3 |  |
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## TOBACCO USE

## Objective 27-1

Reduce tobacco use by adults to $12 \%$.
Objective 27-5
Increase smoking cessation attempts by adult smokers to $75 \%$

## OBJECTIVE 27-1a

Percent of adults aged 18+ who smoke Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\rightarrow-\text { Weighted } \rightarrow-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 431 | 401 | 1141 | 934 | 1202 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 1718 | 1750 | 4861 | 3562 | 4393 |
| TOTAL | 2149 | 2151 | 6002 | 4496 | 5595 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 19.5 | 18.5 | 19.7 | 20.5 | 21.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.3-21.8) | (16.4-20.8) | (18.3-21.1) | (18.9-22.2) | (19.6-22.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 19.4 | 18.6 | 19.7 | 20.6 | 21.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.2-21.7) | (16.5-20.9) | (18.3-21.1) | (19.0-22.3) | (19.7-22.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 1

## Percent of men aged 18+ who smoke <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 217 | 207 | 567 | 463 | 648 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 716 | 783 | 2111 | 1472 | 1954 |
| TOTAL | 933 | 990 | 2678 | 1935 | 2602 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 22.2 | 20.1 | 22.9 | 24.7 | 26.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.8-26.0) | (16.9-23.6) | (20.7-25.2) | (22.1-27.4) | (23.8-28.5) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 21.9 | 19.7 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 25.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.5-25.6) | (16.7-23.1) | (20.4-24.8) | (21.9-27.2) | (23.4-27.9) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 2

## Percent of women aged 18+ who smoke <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 214 | 194 | 574 | 471 | 554 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 1002 | 967 | 2750 | 2090 | 2839 |
| TOTAL | 1216 | 1161 | 3324 | 2561 | 3393 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 16.7 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 16.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (14.2-19.5) | (14.3-20.0) | (14.7-18.1) | (14.5-18.2) | (14.5-17.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 16.8 | 17.5 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 16.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | 14.3-19.7) | (14.8-20.6) | (14.8-18.3) | (14.9-18.6) | (14.8-18.0) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 3

Percent of White adults aged 18+ who smoke Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 173 | 95 | 44.5 | 412 | 487 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 671 | 401 | 2209.5 | 1443 | 1992 |
| TOTAL | 844 | 496 | 2254 | 1855 | 2479 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 19.0 | 18.8 | 20.9 | 22.0 | 21.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.8-22.6) | (14.7-23.7) | (18.6-23.3) | (19.5-24.7) | (18.9-23.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 18.1 | 18.8 | 21.0 | 21.9 | 20.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.2-21.5) | (14.8-23.6) | (18.6-23.5) | (19.4-24.6) | (18.6-23.0) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Hawaiians aged 18+ who smoke Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 81 | 59 | 255 | 172 | 264 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 224 | 161 | 677 | 413 | 507 |
| TOTAL | 305 | 220 | 932 | 585 | 771 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 26.2 | 29.1 | 30.9 | 28.4 | 33.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.9-33.7) | (21.3-38.2) | (26.6-35.5) | (23.5-33.8) | (29.4-38.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 25.3 | 26.8 | 29.9 | 27.0 | 33.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (19.3-232.4) | (20.2-34.7) | (25.8-34.4) | (22.4-32.2) | (28.9-37.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 5

Percent of Filipinos aged 18+ who smoke
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SMOKERS | 41 | 31 | 130 | 106 | 152 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 191 | 136 | 607 | 442 | 623 |
| TOTAL | 232 | 167 | 737 | 548 | 775 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Weighted percent | 17.3 | 14.6 | 17.6 | 20.1 | 19.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(11.5-25.0)$ | $(9.6-21.7)$ | $(14.0-22.0)$ | $(15.8-25.3)$ | $(16.1-24.1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  | 14.8 | 13.7 | 17.3 | 19.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(10.1-21.2)$ | $(8.7-20.8)$ | $(13.8-21.6)$ | $(15.4-24.8)$ | $(16.2-24.2)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 6

Percent of Japanese aged 18+ who smoke
Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 82 | 53 | 157 | 134 | 162 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 393 | 293 | 1105 | 736 | 1004 |
| TOTAL | 475 | 346 | 1262 | 870 | 1166 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 18.4 | 14.0 | 13.2 | 16.9 | 15.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (14.2-23.6) | (10.1-19.0) | (10.9-16.7) | (13.7-20.6) | (13.0-18.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 19.9 | 17.5 | 15.1 | 18.7 | 17.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.2-25.6) | (22.2-24.4) | (12.3-18.5) | (15.1-23.0) | (14.7-21.3) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of adults of other races aged 18+ who smoke Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 54 | 163 | 150 | 110 | 137 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 239 | 759 | 667 | 528 | 667 |
| TOTAL | 293 | 922 | 817 | 638 | 804 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 17.5 | 18.6 | 17.1 | 16.6 | 19.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.7-23.6) | (15.4-22.3) | (14.1-20.6) | (13.1-20.7) | (15.7-22.7) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 16.0 | 18.2 | 16.5 | 16.1 | 17.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (11.6-21.8) | (15.1-21.8) | (13.7-19.8) | (12.8-20.1) | (14.8-21.1) |

[^296]
## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 8

## Percent of adults aged 18+ in low income household who smoke Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 44 | 25 | 91 | 60 | 50 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 101 | 75 | 221 | 133 | 160 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 100 | 312 | 193 | 210 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 26.0 | 28.9 | 27.4 | 29.1 | 25.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.6-36.6) | (17.6-43.8) | (21.1-34.8) | (21.1-38.7) | (17.8-34.3) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 23.9 | 26.2 | 25.9 | 29.3 | 25.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (15.4-35.1) | (15.1-41.6) | (19.9-32.8) | (21.2-38.9) | (16.5-37.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 9

Percent of adults with less education who smoke Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 32 | 24 | 80 | 63 | 74 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 113 | 125 | 336 | 221 | 302 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 149 | 416 | 284 | 376 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 20.0 | 15.5 | 21.3 | 23.2 | 19.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (12.9-29.6) | (9.5-24.3) | (15.9-28.1) | (17.0-31.0) | (14.3-25.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 27.2 | 24.5 | 30.9 | 33.0 | 31.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (17.7-39.7) | (15.0-37.2) | (23.2-39.9) | (24/4-43.0) | (23.7-40.3) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 10

Percent of adults aged 18+ who smoke
Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 189 | 182 | 476 | 353 | 496 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 807 | 814 | 2325 | 1565 | 2314 |
| TOTAL | 996 | 996 | 2801 | 1918 | 2810 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 19.2 | 18.4 | 19.2 | 19.4 | 19.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (16.4-22.3) | (15.7-21.4) | (17.4-21.1) | (17.4-21.6) | (17.9-21.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 19.0 | 18.3 | 19.0 | 19.4 | 19.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (16.3-22.0) | (15.7-21.3) | (17.3-20.9) | (17.4-21.6) | (17.9-21.5) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of adults aged 18+ who smoke
Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 118 | 114 | 323 | 221 | 278 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 409 | 414 | 1126 | 799 | 1099 |
| TOTAL | 527 | 528 | 1449 | 1020 | 1377 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 22.5 | 22.0 | 23.1 | 23.6 | 23.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.7-26.9) | (18.0-26.5) | (20.5-25.9) | (20.6-26.9) | (20.8-26.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 22.6 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 24.7 | 24.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (18.7-27.2) | (18.7-27.4) | (21.3-26.9) | (21.6-28.0) | (21.3-27.2) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 12

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who smoke <br> Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 33 | 35 | 110 | 125 | 127 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 177 | 174 | 476 | 422 | 474 |
| TOTAL | 210 | 209 | 586 | 547 | 601 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 14.6 | 16.4 | 18.9 | 25.3 | 24.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (9.9-21.0) | (11.4-23.1) | (15.4-22.8) | (21.0-30.1) | (20.3-28.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 14.9 | 15.7 | 19.1 | 26.2 | 25.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (10.1-21.4) | (11.0-21.8) | (15.6-23.2) | (21.9-31.0) | (21.2-30.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-1a/ SUPPLEMENT 13

## Percent of adults aged 18+ who smoke

Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKERS | 91 | 70 | 232 | 235 | 301 |
| NON-SMOKERS | 325 | 348 | 934 | 776 | 906 |
| TOTAL | 416 | 418 | 1166 | 1011 | 1207 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 20.5 | 16.2 | 19.7 | 22.5 | 25.9 |
| C.I (95\%) | (16.1-25.6) | (12.5-20.6) | (17.1-22.6) | (19.5-25.9) | (22.9-29.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 20.7 | 16.6 | 19.8 | 22.8 | 25.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (16.3-25.9) | (12.9-21.1) | (17.1-22.8) | (19.7-26.3) | (22.7-28.9) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 27-5

Percent of smokers aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT | 171 | 148 | 729 | 540 | 572 |
| NOT | 168 | 161 | 408 | 394 | 630 |
| TOTAL | 339 | 309 | 1137 | 934 | 1202 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 55.3 | 50.7 | 64.4 | 58.8 | 49.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (48.0-62.3) | (43.4-57.9) | (60.3-68.2) | (54.4-63.1) | (45.1-63.1) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 51.9 | 51.8 | 63.0 | 59.1 | 48.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (44.9-58.8) | (43.9-59.5) | (58.8-67.0) | (54.7-63.4) | (44.2-52.3) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 1

Percent of male-smokers aged 18+
who ever tried to quit smoking
Hawaii, 1998-2002


$$
\checkmark-\text { Weighted } \neg-\text { Age-adjusted }
$$

| NuMbER OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT | 87 | 81 | 362 | 263 | 262 |
| NOT | 89 | 76 | 202 | 200 | 386 |
| TOTAL | 176 | 157 | 564 | 463 | 648 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 56.0 | 54.0 | 63.8 | 57.0 | 44.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(45.8-65.7)$ | $(43.7-64.0)$ | $(58.1-69.1)$ | $(50.8-63.0)$ | $(39.0-49.6)$ |


| Age-adjusted percent | 48.5 | 56.1 | 62.4 | 58.1 | 42.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C.I (95\%) | $(41.0-56.1)$ | $(46.5-65.2)$ | $(56.4-68.0)$ | $(52.0-64.0)$ | $(37.2-47.6)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 2

Percent of female-smokers aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT | 84 | 67 | 367 | 277 | 310 |
| NOT | 79 | 85 | 206 | 194 | 244 |
| TOTAL | 163 | 152 | 573 | 471 | 554 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 54.3 | 46.7 | 65.2 | 61.5 | 56.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (44.3-63.9) | (36.8-56.9) | (56.1-68.9) | (55.5-67.2) | (51.3-61.9) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 54.0 | 43.1 | 64.5 | 61.2 | 57.0 |
| C.I (95\%) | (44.3-64.3) | (33.1-53.7) | (55.9-69.7) | (55.2-66.9) | (51.3-62.6) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 3

## Percent of White smokers aged 18+

 who ever tried to quit smokingHawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT | 64 | 39 | 284 | 228 | 231 |
| NOT | 72 | 31 | 163 | 184 | 256 |
| TOTAL | 136 | 70 | 447 | 412 | 487 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 55.2 | 61.3 | 62.7 | 55.0 | 48.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (44.4-65.5) | (46.5-74.3) | (56.1-68.9) | (48.3-61.4) | (42.1-54.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 48.4 | 52.8 | 62.6 | 54.6 | 46.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (37.5-59.6) | (41.5-63.9) | (55.8-69.0) | (47.7-61.3) | (40.8-53.0) |

Source: Hawaii Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
State of Hawaii, Department of Health

## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 4

## Percent of Hawaiian smokers aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT | 40 |  | 174 | 108 | 126 |
| NOT | 23 |  | 81 | 64 | 138 |
| TOTAL | 63 |  | 255 | 172 | 264 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 61.8 |  | 68.8 | 63.5 | 53.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | (43.5-77.3) |  | (60.2-76.3) | (52.6-73.3) | (45.0-61.8) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 59.2 |  | 67.4 | 65.1 | 50.2 |
| C.I (95\%) | (42.3-74.2) |  | (58.6-75.1) | (54.1-74.8) | (42.1-58.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 5

## Percent of Filipino smokers aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking <br> Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT |  | 83 | 67 | 72 |  |
| NOT |  | 47 | 39 | 80 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 130 | 106 | 152 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 66.8 | 62.0 | 48.9 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(54.4-77.2)$ | $(48.9-73.5)$ | $(37.7-60.3)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 6

Percent of Japanese smokers aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT | 26 |  | 88 | 67 | 80 |
| NOT | 43 |  | 69 | 67 | 82 |
| TOTAL | 69 |  | 157 | 134 | 162 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 36.9 |  | 53.2 | 50.4 | 51.7 |
| C.I (95\%) | (23.5-52.6) |  | (42.6-63.5) | (39.3-61.4) | (41.7-61.6) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 30.7 |  | 51.7 | 53.7 | 52.4 |
| C.I (95\%) | (20.2-43.7) |  | (42.0-61.4) | (43.1-63.9) | (42.9-61.6) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 7

## Percent of smokers of other races aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT |  | 58 | 100 | 70 | 63 |
| NOT |  | 61 | 48 | 40 | 74 |
| TOTAL |  | 119 | 148 | 110 | 137 |


| Percent | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 53.0 | 70.1 | 69.1 | 42.3 |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(41.3-64.3)$ | $(59.8-78.7)$ | $(56.9-79.1)$ | $(32.7-52.5)$ |
| Age-adjusted percent <br> C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 8

## Percent of smokers aged 18+ in low income household who ever tried to quit smoking

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT |  | 60 | 40 | 37 |  |
| NOT |  | 31 | 20 | 13 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 91 | 60 | 50 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 68.1 | 72.2 | 76.4 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(53.6-79.8)$ | $(56.0-84.2)$ | $(59.0-87.9)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent <br> C.I (95\%) |  | 73.5 | 79.1 | 69.9 |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 9

Percent of smokers with less education who tried to quit smoking

Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT |  | 42 | 33 | 36 |  |
| NOT |  | 38 | 30 | 38 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 80 | 63 | 74 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent |  | 56.7 | 52.4 | 48.8 |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(41.4-70.9)$ | $(35.8-68.4)$ | $(32.9-64.9)$ |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 10

## Percent of smokers aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking Honolulu county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT | 81 | 67 | 301 | 201 | 250 |
| NOT | 73 | 76 | 172 | 152 | 246 |
| TOTAL | 154 | 143 | 473 | 353 | 496 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 57.1 | 50.9 | 63.7 | 58.2 | 49.8 |
| C.I (95\%) | (47.7-66.0) | (41.6-60.1) | (58.4-68.8) | (52.1-64.0) | (44.5-55.0) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 52.1 | 52.6 | 62.5 | 59.2 | 48.6 |
| C.I (95\%) | (43.4-60.7) | (43.1-62.0) | (56.9-67.8) | (53.1-64.9) | (43.1-54.2) |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 11

Percent of smokers aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking Hawaii county, Hawaii, 1998-2002


| Number of respondents | $\checkmark-$ Weighted $\checkmark-$ Age-adjusted |  |  | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |  |  |
| TRIED TO QUIT | 44 | 42 | 220 | 126 | 14 |
| NOT | 50 | 45 | 102 | 95 | 13 |
| TOTAL | 94 | 87 | 322 | 221 | 27 |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent | 45.3 | 50.9 | 69.9 | 59.2 | 53.5 |
| C.I (95\%) | $(34.3-56.8)$ | $(38.5-63.1)$ | $(63.8-75.4)$ | $(51.6-66.4)$ | $(46.6-60.4)$ |


| Age-adjusted percent | 46.0 | 53.3 | 69.9 | 59.6 | 52.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C.I (95\%) | $(35.0-57.3)$ | $(40.1-66.1)$ | $(63.8-75.4)$ | $(51.9-66.9)$ | $(45.1-59.8)$ |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 12

## Percent of smokers aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking Kauai county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number OF RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT |  | 68 | 71 | 59 |  |
| NOT |  | 42 | 54 | 68 |  |
| TOTAL |  | 110 | 125 | 127 |  |


| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted percent <br> C. $(95 \%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 63.4 | 59.9 | 46.6 |  |
| Age-adjusted percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I (95\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
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## OBJECTIVE 27-5/ SUPPLEMENT 13

## Percent of smokers aged 18+ who ever tried to quit smoking Maui county, Hawaii, 1998-2002



| Number of respondents | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRIED TO QUIT | 34 | 27 | 140 | 142 | 119 |
| NOT | 30 | 23 | 92 | 93 | 182 |
| TOTAL | 64 | 50 | 232 | 235 | 301 |
| Percent | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Weighted percent | 58.9 | 53.8 | 61.8 | 61.3 | 41.3 |
| C.I (95\%) | (43.5-72.7) | (38.2-68.7) | (53.2-69.7) | (53.7-68.5) | (34.6-48.4) |
| Age-adjusted percent | 67.3 | 44.2 | 58.7 | 60.9 | 42.1 |
| C.I (95\%) | (54.3-78.2) | (32.4-56.7) | (51.4-65.7) | (53.5-67.9) | (35.2-49.3) |
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# APPENDIX A <br> APPENDIX B <br> REFERENCES 

## APPENDIX A

## - Access to Quality Health service

Objective 1.1:
Increase the proportion of persons under age 65 years with health insurance to 100\%.
Question used to obtain the data:
Do you have any kind of health care coverage, including health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, or government plan such as Medicare? (HLTHPLAN)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#22

## Objective 1.4c:

Increase the proportion of adults aged 18 years and older who have a specific source of ongoing care to $96 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
Is there a place that you usually go when you are sick or need advice about your health?
What kind of place is it: a clinic, doctor's office, emergency room, or some other place?
(FACILIT3 for 02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

- Cancer

Objective 3-11a
Increase the proportion of women aged 18 years and older who have ever received a Pap test to $97 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
Have you ever had a pap smear?
(HADPAP)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 3-11b

Increase the proportion of women aged 18 years and older who have ever received a Pap test within the preceding 3 years to $90 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
How long has it been since your last pap smear?
(LASTPAP)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 3-12a

Increase the proportion of adults aged 50 years and older who have received a fecal occult blood test (FOBT) within the preceding 2 years to $50 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
A blood stool test is a test that may use a special kit at home to determine whether the stool contains blood. Have you ever had this test using a home kit?
How long has it been since your last blood stool test using a home kit?
(BLDSTOOL, LSTBLDST for 99; BLDSTOOL, LSTBLDS2 for 01:02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#17

## Objective 3-12b

Increase the proportion of adults aged 50 years and older who have ever received a sigmoidoscopy to 50\%.
Question used to obtain the data:
Sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy are exams in which a tube is inserted in the rectum to view the bowel for signs of cancer or other health problems. Have you ever had either of these exams?
(HADSIGM for 99; HADSIGM2 for 01:02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#17

## Objective 3-13

Increase the proportion of women aged 40 years and older who have received a mammogram within the preceding 2 years to $70 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
Have you ever had a mammogram?
How long has it been since your last mammogram?
(HADMAM, HOWLONG)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#15

## - Diabetes

## Objective 5-1

Increase the proportion of persons with diabetes who receive formal diabetes education to $60 \%$.

## Question used to obtain the data:

Have you ever taken a course or class in how to manage your diabetes yourself?
(DIABEDU for 00:01:02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 5-3

Reduce the overall rate of diabetes that is clinically diagnosed to $2.5 \%$. Question used to obtain the data:

Have you ever been told by a doctor, a nurse or a health professional that you have diabetes?
(DIABETES)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 5-12

Increase the proportion of adults with diabetes who have a glycosylated hemoglobin measurement at least once a year to $50 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
About how many times in the last year has a doctor, nurse, or other health
professional checked you for glycosylated hemoglobin "A one C"?
(CHKHEMO for 98:99; CHKHEMO2 for 00:01:02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 5-13

Increase the proportion of adults (aged 18+) with diabetes who have an annual dilated eye examination to $75 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
When was the last time you had an eye exam in which the pupils were dilated?
(EYEEXAM)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 5-14

Increase the proportion of adults with diabetes who have at least an annual foot examination to $75 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
About how many times in the last year has a other health professional checked your feet for any sores or irritations?
(FEETCHK)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## - Heart Disease and Stroke <br> Objective 12-9

Reduce the proportion of adults (aged 20+) with high blood pressure to $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$.
Question used to obtain the data:
Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse or other health professional that you have high blood pressure?
(BPHIGH for 99; BPHIGH2 for 01)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#11

## Objective 12-14

Reduce the proportion of adults (aged 20+) with high total blood cholesterol levels to $17 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse or other professional health that your blood cholesterol is high?
(TOLDHI for 99. Use calculated variable _RFCHOL for 01)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#11

## Objective 12-15

Increase the proportion of adults who have had their blood cholesterol checked within the preceding 5 years to $80 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
About how long has it been since you last had your blood cholesterol checked?
(Use calculated variable _CHOLCHK for 99:01)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## - Immunization

## Objective 14-29a \& 14-29b

Increase the proportion of adults aged 65 years and older who are vaccinated annually against influenza and ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease to 90\%.
Age-adjusted by distribution \#18

## Objective 14-29c \& 14-29d

Increase the proportion of adults aged 18 to 64 who are vaccinated annually against influenza and ever vaccinated against pneumococcal disease to $60 \%$.
Age-adjusted by distribution \#22
Questions used to obtain the data for these objectives above:
During the past 12 months have you had a flu shot?
(FLUSHOT for 99, 01:02)
Have you ever had a pneumonia shot?
(PNEUMVAC for 99, PNEUVAC2 for 01:02)

## - Nutrition and Overweight <br> Objective 19-1

Increase the proportion of adults (aged 20+) who are at healthy weight to 60\%.

## Objective 19-2

Reduce the proportion of adults (aged 20+) who are obese to $15 \%$.
Questions used to obtain the data for the two above objectives:

> About how much do you weight without shoes?
> About how tall are you without shoes?
> (Use calculated variable _BMI for $98: 99, \quad$ BMI2 for 00:01:02)
> Age-adjusted by distribution \#11

## Objective 19-5

Increase the proportion of persons (aged 2 years and older) who consume at least two daily servings of fruit to $75 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
Not counting juice, how often do you eat fruit?
(FRUIT for 98:00:01:02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 19-6

Increase the proportion of persons (aged 2 years and older) who consume at least three daily servings of vegetables, with at least one-third being dark green or orange vegetables to $50 \%$.
Questions used to obtain the data:
How often do you eat green salad?

How often do you eat carrots?
Not counting carrots, potatoes or green salad, how many servings of vegetables do you usually eat?
(GREENSAL, CARROTTS, VEGETABL for 98:00:01:02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## - Oral health

## Objective 21-3

Increase the proportion of adults (aged 35-44) who have never had a permanent tooth extracted because of dental caries or periodontal disease to 42\%.
Objective 21-4
Reduce the proportion of older adults (aged 65 to 74) who have had all their natural teeth extracted to $20 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
How many of your permanent teeth have been removed because of tooth decay or gum disease? Do not include teeth lost for other reasons such as injury or orthodontics.
(RMVTEETH for 99:02)

## Objective 21-10

Increase the proportion of children and adults who use the oral health care system each year to $56 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
How long has it been since you last visited a dentist or a dental clinic for any reason?
(LASTDEN2 for 99:02)

## - Physical Activity

## Objective 22-1

Reduce the proportion of adults who engage in no leisure-time physical activity to 20\%.
Question used to obtain the data:
During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, or walking for exercise?
(Use the calculated variable _totinda for 00:01:02, use EXERANY for 98:99)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 22-2

Increase the proportion of adults who engage regularly, preferably daily, in moderate physical activity for at least 30 minutes per day to $30 \%$.
Questions used to obtain the data:
How many days per week do you do these moderate activities for at least 10 minutes at a time?
On days when you do moderate activities for at least 10 minutes at a time, how much total time per day do you spend doing these activities?
(Use the calculated variable _rfpamod for 01:02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 22-3

Increase the proportion of adults who engage in vigorous physical activity that promotes the development and maintenance of cardiorespiratory fitness 3 or more days per week for 20 or more minutes per occasion to $30 \%$.
Questions used to obtain the data:
How many days per week do you do these vigorous activities for at least 10 minutes at a time?
On days when you do vigorous activities for at least 10 minutes at a time, how much total time per day do you spend doing these activities?
(Use the calculated variable _rfpavig for 01:02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## - Substance abuse

Objective 26-11c
Reduce the proportion of persons engaging in binge drinking of alcoholic beverages to $6 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have 5 or more drinks on the same occasion? By "occasion," we mean at the same time or within a couple of hours of each other.
(DRINKGE5 for 98: 99, use the calculated variable _rfbing for 01, _rfbing2 for 02)

Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## - Tobacco Use

## Objective 27-1

Reduce tobacco use by adults to 12\%.
Question used to obtain the data:
Do you now smoke cigarettes everyday, some days, or not at all?
(_SMOKER2)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## Objective 27-5

Increase smoking cessation attempts by adult smokers to $75 \%$.
Question used to obtain the data:
During the past 12 months, have you stopped smoking for one day or longer because you were trying to quit smoking?
(STOPSMOK for 98:99:00, STOPSMK2 for 01:02)
Age-adjusted by distribution \#9

## APPENDIX B

Age distribution and age-adjustment weights based on the 2000 projected U.S population used in this report (from the HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 Statistical Notes).

## Distribution \#9 :

| Age | Population in thousands | Age Adjustment weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 years and over................ | 203,851 | 1.000000 |
| 18-24 years ........................ | 26,258 | 0.128810 |
| 25-34 years ...................... | 37,233 | 0.182648 |
| 35-44 years ....................... | 44,659 | 0.219077 |
| 45-54 years ........................ | 60,991 | 0.299194 |
| 65 years and over ................ | 34,710 | 0.170271 |

## Distribution \#11 :

| Age | Population in thousands | Age Adjustment weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 years and over................. | 195,850 | 1.000000 |
| 20-29 years ........................ | 35,979 | 0.183707 |
| 30-39 years ........................ | 41,691 | 0.212872 |
| 40-49 years ....................... | 42,285 | 0.215905 |
| 50-59 years ......................... | 30,531 | 0.155890 |
| 60-69 years .......................... | 20,064 | 0.102446 |
| 70-79 years ......................... | 16,141 | 0.082415 |
| 80 years and over ................ | 9,159 | 0.046765 |

## Distribution \#15 :

| Age | Population in thousands | Age Adjustment weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 years and over................ | 118,180 | 1.000000 |
| 40-49 years ........................ | 42,285 | 0.357802 |
| 50-64 years ........................ | 41,185 | 0.348494 |
| 65 years and over ................ | 34,710 | 0.293704 |

## Distribution \#17 :

| Age | Population in thousands | Age Adjustment weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 years and over................ | 75,895 | 1.000000 |
| 50-64 years ........................ | 41,185 | 0.542658 |
| 65 years and over ................. | 34,710 | 0.457342 |

Distribution \#18 :

| Age | Population in thousands | Age Adjustment weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 years and over................ | 34,710 | 1.000000 |
| 65-74 years ........................ | 18,136 | 0.522501 |
| 75 years and over ................ | 16,574 | 0.477499 |

Distribution \#22 :

| Age | Population in thousands | Age Adjustment weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18-64 years ...................... | 169,141 | 1.000000 |
| 18-24 years ........................ | 26,258 | 0.155243 |
| 25-34 years ........................ | 37,233 | 0.220130 |
| 35-44 years ........................ | 44,659 | 0.264034 |
| 45-64 years | 60,991 | 0.360593 |
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