# DRAFT

# INTERACTION PROFILE FOR: CARBON MONOXIDE, FORMALDEHYDE, METHYLENE CHLORIDE, NITROGEN DIOXIDE, AND TETRACHLOROETHYLENE

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Service Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

August, 2007

#### PREFACE

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) mandates that the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) shall assess whether adequate information on health effects is available for the priority hazardous substances. Where such information is not available or under development, ATSDR shall, in cooperation with the National Toxicology Program (NTP), initiate a program of research to determine these health effects. The Act further directs that where feasible, ATSDR shall develop methods to determine the health effects of substances in combination with other substances with which they are commonly found.

To carry out these legislative mandates, ATSDR's Division of Toxicology and Environmental Medicine (DTEM) has developed and coordinated a mixtures program that includes trend analysis to identify the mixtures most often found in environmental media, *in vivo* and *in vitro* toxicological testing of mixtures, quantitative modeling of joint action, and methodological development for assessment of joint toxicity. These efforts are interrelated. For example, the trend analysis suggests mixtures of concern for which assessments need to be conducted. If data are not available, further research is recommended. The data thus generated often contribute to the design, calibration or validation of the methodology. This pragmatic approach allows identification of pertinent issues and their resolution as well as enhancement of our understanding of the mechanisms of joint toxic action. All the information obtained is thus used to enhance existing or developing methods to assess the joint toxic action of environmental chemicals. Over a number of years, ATSDR scientists in collaboration with mixtures risk assessors and laboratory scientists have developed approaches for the assessment of the joint toxic action of chemical mixtures. As part of the mixtures program a series of documents, Interaction Profiles, are being developed for certain priority mixtures that are of special concern to ATSDR.

The purpose of an Interaction Profile is to evaluate data on the toxicology of the "whole" priority mixture (if available) and on the joint toxic action of the chemicals in the mixture in order to recommend approaches for the exposure-based assessment of the potential hazard to public health. Joint toxic action includes additivity and interactions. A weight-of-evidence approach is commonly used in these documents to evaluate the influence of interactions in the overall toxicity of the mixture. The weight-of-evidence evaluations are qualitative in nature, although ATSDR recognizes that observations of toxicological interactions depend greatly on exposure doses and that some interactions appear to have thresholds. Thus, the interactions are evaluated in a qualitative manner to provide a sense of what influence the interactions may have when they do occur.

# CONTRIBUTORS

# CHEMICAL MANAGER(S)/AUTHORS:

Hana Pohl, M.D., Ph.D. ATSDR, Division of Toxicology, Atlanta, GA

Mark Osier, Ph.D., D.A.B.T. Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

## PEER REVIEW

A peer review panel was assembled for this profile. The panel consisted of the following members:

Arthur Gregory, Ph.D., DABT, Techno Enterprises, Luray, VA

Rolf Hartung, Ph.D., DABT, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Kannan Krishnan, Ph.D., University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada

All reviewers were selected in conformity with the conditions for peer review specified in CERCLA Section 104(I)(13).

Scientists from ATSDR have reviewed the peer reviewers' comments and determined which comments will be included in the profile. A listing of the peer reviewers' comments not incorporated in the profile, with a brief explanation of the rationale for their exclusion, exists as part of the administrative record for this compound. A list of databases reviewed and a list of unpublished documents cited are also included in the administrative record.

The citation of the peer review panel should not be understood to imply its approval of the profile's final content. The responsibility for the content of this profile lies with the ATSDR.

#### SUMMARY

Carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, methylene chloride, nitrogen dioxide, and tetrachloroethylene were chosen as the subject for this interaction profile based on the likelihood of co-exposure to these chemicals in the home. Concentrations of these chemicals commonly are higher in indoor air than in outdoor air. Carbon monoxide is generated as a product of incomplete combustion from sources which include home furnaces and fireplaces. Formaldehyde is found in many products used around the house, such as antiseptics, medicines, cosmetics, dish-washing liquids, fabric softeners, shoe-care agents, carpet cleaners, glues and adhesives, lacquers, paper, plastics, and some types of wood products. Methylene chloride, also known as dichloromethane, is widely used as an industrial solvent and as a paint stripper and can also be found in certain aerosol and pesticide products, some spray paints, automotive cleaners, and other household products. High levels of nitrogen dioxide may be found in the home when unvented combustion appliances are used for cooking or heating (e.g., poorly-vented fireplaces or furnaces). Tetrachloroethylene may be found in the home environment as a result of dry cleaning operations, or when one or more of the members of the household works in processes involving tetrachloroethylene.

No pertinent health effects data or physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models were located for the complete mixture. Therefore, as recommended by ATSDR (2001a) guidance, the exposure-based screening assessment of potential health hazards for this mixture depends on an evaluation of the health effects data and mechanistic data for the individual components, and on the joint toxic action and mechanistic data for various combinations of the components. This profile discusses and evaluates the evidence for joint toxic action among binary mixtures of these chemicals, and recommends how to incorporate concerns regarding possible interactions or additivity into public health assessments of people who may be exposed to mixtures of these chemicals.

There is no single endpoint that is a sensitive target of all components of the mixture. However, several endpoints are common across multiple chemicals within the mixture, including hematological effects, cardiovascular effects, respiratory effects, neurological alterations, hepatic injury, and cancer. With data on the individual components suggesting possible sites of joint toxic action, but no data available on the toxicity or behavior of the complete mixture or the relevant submixtures, a default component-based approach assuming additivity was therefore recommended.

Component-based approaches that assume additive joint toxic action are recommended for exposurebased assessments of possible noncancer or cancer health hazards from inhalation exposure to carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, methylene chloride, nitrogen dioxide, and tetrachloroethylene, because there are no direct data available to characterize health hazards (and dose-response relationships) from the fivecomponent mixture. The weight-of-evidence analysis indicated that data are inadequate to characterize the modes of joint action of many of the components, but the additivity assumption appears to be suitable in the interest of protecting public health since the components have several shared targets of toxicity (organs or organ systems that are individually affected by the components).

A target-organ toxicity dose (TTD) modification of the hazard index approach is recommended for conducting exposure-based assessments of noncancer health hazards. TTDs for several toxicity targets have been derived for each of the components, including TTDs for hematological, cardiovascular, respiratory, neurological, and hepatic effects. If only one or if none of the components has a hazard quotient that is at least 0.1, no further assessment of the *joint toxic action* is needed because additivity and/or interactions are unlikely to result in significant health hazard. If the hazard index for any endpoint of concern is equal or greater than 1, then further evaluation is needed (ATSDR 2001a), using biomedical judgment and community-specific health outcome data, and taking into account community health concerns (ATSDR 1992).

For assessment of cancer risks from joint toxic action of the mixture, a similar component-based approach is recommended that involves multiplication of intakes of the components by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) cancer slope factors and summation of the resultant risk estimates.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	iii
CONTRIBUTORS	v
PEER REVIEW	vii
SUMMARY	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS	xiv
1. Introduction.	
2. Joint Toxic Action Data for the Mixture of Concern and Component Mixtures	6
2.1 Mixture of Concern	6
2.2 Component Mixtures	6
2.2.1 Carbon Monoxide and Formaldehyde	
2.2.2 Carbon Monoxide and Methylene Chloride	
2.2.3 Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Dioxide	9
2.2.4 Carbon Monoxide and Tetrachloroethylene	
2.2.5 Formaldehyde and Methylene Chloride	
2.2.6 Formaldehyde and Nitrogen Dioxide	
2.2.7 Formaldehyde and Tetrachloroethylene	
2.2.8 Methylene Chloride and Nitrogen Dioxide	
2.2.9 Methylene Chloride and Tetrachloroethylene	
2.2.10 Nitrogen Dioxide and Tetrachloroethylene	
2.3 Relevance of the Joint Toxic Action Data and Approaches to Public Health	
2.4 Recommendations for Data Needs.	
3. Recommendation for Exposure-Based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of the Mixture	
4. Conclusions	
5. References	
Appendix A: Background Information for Carbon Monoxide	
A.1 Toxicokinetics	
A.2 Health Effects	
A.3 Mechanisms of Action	
A.4 Health Guidelines	
A.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	
A.6 References	
Appendix B: Background Information for Formaldehyde	
B.1 Toxicokinetics	
B.2 Health Effects	54
B.3 Mechanisms of Action	
B.4 Health Guidelines	
B.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	
B.6 References	
Appendix C: Background Information for Methylene Chloride	
C.1 Toxicokinetics	
C.2 Health Effects	
C.3 Mechanisms of Action	
C.4 Health Guidelines	
C.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	
C.6 References	

Appe	ndix D: Background Information for Nitrogen Dioxide	87
D.1	Toxicokinetics	87
D.2	Health Effects	87
D.3	Mechanisms of Action	89
D.4	Health Guidelines	89
D.5	Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	89
D.6	References	90
Appe	ndix E: Background Information for Tetrachloroethylene	95
E.1	Toxicokinetics	95
E.2	Health Effects	97
E.3	Mechanisms of Action	99
E.4	Health Guidelines	100
E.5	Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	102
E.6	References	103

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.	Metabolism of Methylene	Chloride	9
-----------	-------------------------	----------	---

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Indoor Air Quality –Levels of Pollutants in Households	2
Table 2. Potential Health Effects of Concern for Intermediate and Chronic Inhalation Exposure to the	
Mixture Carbon Monoxide, Formaldehyde, Methylene Chloride, Nitrogen Dioxide, and	
Tetrachloroethylene (See Appendices A, B, C, D, and E)	5
Table 3. Summary of Available Data on the Joint Effects of Simultaneous Exposure to Methylene	
Chloride and Carbon Monoxide	
Table 4. Binary Weight-of-Evidence Scheme for the Assessment of Chemical Interactions	. 15
Table 5. Effect of Carbon Monoxide on Methylene Chloride	
Table 6. Effect of Methylene Chloride on Carbon Monoxide	. 17
Table 7. Effect of Carbon Monoxide on Tetrachloroethylene	. 18
Table 8. Effect of Tetrachloroethylene on Carbon Monoxide	. 19
Table 9. Effect of Formaldehyde on Methylene Chloride	. 20
Table 10. Effect of Methylene Chloride on Formaldehyde	.21
Table 11. Effect of Formaldehyde on Nitrogen Dioxide	. 22
Table 12. Effect of Nitrogen Dioxide on Formaldehyde	.23
Table 13. Effect of Methylene Chloride on Nitrogen Dioxide	. 24
Table 14. Effect of Nitrogen Dioxide on Methylene Chloride	. 25
Table 15. Effect of Methylene Chloride on Tetrachloroethylene	. 26
Table 16. Effect of Tetrachloroethylene on Methylene Chloride	. 27
Table 17. MRLs and TTDs for Inhalation Exposure to Chemicals of Concerna	. 30
Table 18. Matrix of BINWOE Determinations for Intermediate or Chronic Simultaneous Exposure to	
Chemicals of Concern	. 32

# LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

ACGIH	American Conference of	RfC	reference concentration
	Governmental Industrial Hygienists	RfD	reference dose
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and	sc	subcutaneous
	Disease Registry	TTD	target-organ toxicity dose
BINWOE	binary weight-of-evidence	μg	microgram
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service	μmole	micromole
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and	U.S.	United States
	Prevention	VOC	volatile organic compound
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental	WHO	World Health Organization
	Response, Compensation, and	WOE	weight-of-evidence
	Recovery Act		
CO	Carbon Monoxide	>	greater than
DT	Division of Toxicology	$\geq$	greater than or equal to
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	=	equal to
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization	<	less than
FQPA	Food Quality Protection Act	$\leq$	less than or equal to
HI	hazard index		
IARC	International Agency for Research		
	on Cancer		
ip	intraperitoneal		
IPCS	International Programme on		
	Chemical Safety		
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System		
1V	intravenous		
kg	kilogram		
L	liter		
$LC_{50}$	median lethal concentration		
	(produces desired effect in 50% of		
LD	the population)		
$LD_{50}$	median lethal dose (produces		
	desired effect in 50% of the		
LOAEL	population) lowest-observed-adverse-effect		
LUAEL	level		
LSE	Levels of Significant Exposure		
	milligram		
mg MRL	Minimal Risk Level		
MTD	maximum threshold dose		
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition		
	Examination Survey		
nM	nanomole		
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen Dioxide		
NOĂEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level		
NOEL	no-observed-effect level		
NTP	National Toxicology Program		
OPP	Office of Pesticide Programs		
PBPK	physiologically based		
	pharmacokinetic		
PBPK/PD	physiologically-based		
	pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic		
ppb	parts per billion		
ppm	parts per million		

#### 1. Introduction

The primary purpose of this Interaction Profile for carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, methylene chloride, nitrogen dioxide, and tetrachloroethylene is to evaluate data on the toxicology of the "whole" mixture and the joint toxic action of the chemicals in the mixture in order to recommend approaches for assessing the potential hazard of this mixture to public health. To this end, the profile evaluates the whole mixture data (if available), focusing on the identification of health effects of concern, adequacy of the data as the basis for a mixture Minimal Risk Level (MRL), and adequacy and relevance of physiologically-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PBPK/PD) models for the mixture. The profile also evaluates the evidence for joint toxic action—additivity and interactions—among the mixture components. A weight-of-evidence (WOE) approach is commonly used in these profiles to evaluate the influence of interactions in the overall toxicity of the mixture. The weight-of-evidence evaluations are qualitative in nature, although the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) recognizes that observations of toxicological interactions depend greatly on exposure doses and that some interactions appear to have thresholds. Thus, the interactions are evaluated in a qualitative manner to provide a sense of what influence the interactions may have when they do occur. The profile provides environmental health scientists with ATSDR Division of Toxicology and Environmental Medicine's (DTEM) recommended approaches for the incorporation of the whole mixture data or the concerns for additivity and interactions into an assessment of the potential hazard of this mixture to public health. These approaches can then be used with specific exposure data from hazardous waste sites or other exposure scenarios.

The carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, methylene chloride, nitrogen dioxide, and tetrachloroethylene mixture was chosen as the subject for this interaction profile based primarily on concerns regarding co-exposure to these chemicals in the residential indoor air. All of the components of the mixture are commonly found in the indoor air environment of the home, as described briefly below. Concentrations of these chemicals commonly are higher in indoor air than in outdoor air (Table 1). Because they are all highly volatile, the focus of the interaction profile will be on inhalation exposure, with an emphasis on intermediate- and chronic-duration effects.

CHEMICAL	EXPOSURE LEVEL	EXPOSURE SCENARIO
Carbon monoxide	0.5-5 ppm	Homes without gas stoves
	5-15 ppm	Near properly adjusted gas stoves
	>30 ppm	Near poorly adjusted gas stoves
Formaldehyde	<0.1 ppm	Older homes without UFFI
	>0.3 ppm	Homes with significant amount of new pressed wood products
Nitrogen dioxide	< outdoor levels (by $\frac{1}{2}$ )	Homes without combustion appliances
	> outdoor levels	Homes with gas stoves, kerosene heaters, un-vented gas space heaters, etc.
VOCs	2-5 times	Levels inside homes higher compared to outside air regardless of whether the homes are located in rural or highly industrialized area
	1,000 times	During and after certain activities, such as paint stripping, levels higher than background outdoor levels

## Table 1. Indoor Air Quality – Levels of Pollutants in Households.

UFFI = urea-formaldehyde foam insulation; VOCs = volatile organic compounds (including methylene chloride, tetrachloroethylene)

Source: US Environmental Protection Agency (2007) at www.epa.gov/iaq/

Carbon monoxide is a colorless, odorless gas that is formed as a product of incomplete combustion. Numerous incidents of elevated carbon monoxide levels in the home have been reported, with the primary sources being faulty ventilation of furnaces or fireplaces. Carbon monoxide's toxic effects stem from its binding with the ferrous iron in hemoglobin, resulting in the formation of carboxyhemoglobin (COHb). Carboxyhemoglobin is unable to bind molecular oxygen, resulting in diminished oxygen-carrying capacity of the blood. Effects of carbon monoxide exposure include headache, nausea, chest pain during exercise, and, at high exposure levels, convulsions, coma, and death. More information on carbon monoxide is found in Appendix A.

Formaldehyde is a colorless, gas at room temperature. Sources of formaldehyde exposure within the home include cigarettes and other tobacco products, gas cookers, and open fireplaces. Formaldehyde is found in many products used every day around the house, such as antiseptics, medicines, cosmetics, dishwashing liquids, fabric softeners, shoe-care agents, carpet cleaners, glues and adhesives, lacquers, paper, and plastics, and some types of wood products. It is also used as a preservative in some foods, such as some types of Italian cheeses, dried foods, and fish. It has a pungent, distinct odor and may cause a

burning sensation to the eyes, nose, and lungs at high concentrations and damage to the respiratory tissues. IRIS (U.S. EPA 2005) presently classifies formaldehyde in carcinogenicity group B1 (probable human carcinogen). The inhalation unit risk for formaldehyde is  $1.3E-5 (ug/m^3)^{-1}$ . More information on formaldehyde is found in Appendix B and ATSDR (1999).

Methylene chloride, also known as dichloromethane, is a colorless liquid that has a mild sweet odor, evaporates easily, and does not burn easily. It is widely used as an industrial solvent and as a paint stripper. It can also be found in certain aerosol and pesticide products, some spray paints, automotive cleaners, and other household products. Methylene chloride is used in the manufacture of photographic film. Methylene chloride is metabolized in the body to both carbon monoxide and formaldehyde, and may result in carboxyhemoglobin formation, damage to respiratory tissues, and neurological effects, including headache, dizziness, intoxication, and incoordination. EPA (IRIS 2004) presently classifies dichloromethane as group B2 (probable human carcinogen), based on inadequate human data and sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals; the inhalation unit risk is 4.7E-7 per  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. More information on methylene chloride can be found in Appendix C and ATDSR (2000).

Nitrogen dioxide is a colorless gas that may be found at high levels in both the indoor and outdoor environment. Within the home, concentrations of nitrogen oxides, including  $NO_2$ , may be elevated when unvented combustion appliances are used for cooking or heating (e.g., poorly-vented fireplaces or furnaces). The primary effects of inhaled  $NO_2$  involve irritation of the respiratory tract, with high-level exposures also resulting in small deficits to the immune system, particularly in the lungs. More information on  $NO_2$  can be found in Appendix D.

Tetrachloroethylene is a synthetic chemical that is widely used for dry cleaning of fabrics and for metaldegreasing operations. It is a nonflammable liquid at room temperature, but evaporates easily into the air. It may be found in the home environment as a result of dry cleaning operations, or when one or more of the members of the household works in processes involving tetrachloroethylene. Tetrachloroethylene has a sharp, sweet odor; most people can smell tetrachloroethylene at levels of 1 ppm or more. The primary effects of tetrachloroethylene are neurological, including decreased performance, headache, dizziness, and drowsiness. Other effects of tetrachloroethylene include renal and hepatic effects and, at very high doses, cardiovascular effects. Tetrachloroethylene is currently under review by the EPA IRIS program and will be a component of the upcoming NRC review regarding its carcinogenicity. More information on tetrachloroethylene can be found in Appendix E and ATSDR (1997). Before evaluating the relevance of joint toxic action data for these chemicals, some understanding of endpoints of concern for inhalation exposure to this mixture is needed. The endpoints of concern include the critical effects that are the bases for MRLs or other health guidance values, and any other endpoints that may become significant because they are shared targets of toxicity or due to interactions (ATSDR 2004).

Carbon monoxide's critical effect is the formation of carboxyhemoglobin, which is a hematological effect. ATSDR has not derived MRLs and EPA has not derived an RfC for carbon monoxide. Increased blood carboxyhemoglobin caused by carbon monoxide exposure may also lead to cardiovascular, neurological, or developmental effects.

The critical effect for formaldehyde inhalation, and the basis for ATSDR's inhalation MRLs and EPA's RfC, is effects on the respiratory system, specifically irritant effects in humans. IRIS (U.S. EPA 2005) presently classifies formaldehyde in carcinogenicity group B1 (probable human carcinogen), with an inhalation unit risk of  $1.3E-5 (ug/m^3)^{-1}$ .

Several different endpoints are sensitive effects of methylene chloride inhalation. ATSDR's acute inhalation MRL is based on neurological effects, the intermediate inhalation MRL is based on hepatic effects, and the chronic inhalation MRL is based on hematologic effects. EPA's RfC for methylene chloride is based on hepatic effects. Methylene chloride exposure may also result in respiratory effects. EPA (IRIS 2004) presently classifies dichloromethane as group B2 (probable human carcinogen), with an inhalation unit risk of 4.7E-7 per  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The primary effect of nitrogen dioxide inhalation is injury to the respiratory tract, which is believed to be the result of the reactive nature of  $NO_2$ . ATSDR has not derived MRLs and EPA has not derived an RfC for  $NO_2$ . Nitrogen dioxide may also cause immunological deficits at high doses.

The most sensitive effects of tetrachlorethylene inhalation are neurological, including decreased reaction times, headache, dizziness, and drowsiness. ATSDR's chronic-duration inhalation MRL for tetrachloroethylene is based on neurological effects in exposed humans. EPA has not derived an RfC for tetrachloroethylene. Other sensitive endpoints of tetrachloroethylene include cardiovascular, hepatic, and renal effects.

The bases for the MRLs or other guidance values, as well as other sensitive effects, are summarized in Table 2. As can be seen, while there is no single endpoint that is a sensitive effect of all components of the mixture, there are several endpoints that are of concern for two or more chemicals in the mixture. No

pertinent studies of the toxicity or interactions of, or of PBPK models for the complete mixture, or any of the quaternary or tertiary submixtures were located. Only limited toxicological data are available for the individual component binary mixtures. Relatively recent ATSDR toxicological profiles are available for formaldehyde (ATSDR 1999), methylene chloride (ATSDR 2000), and tetrachloroethylene (ATSDR 1997); these documents are the primary source of information presented in the Appendices concerning the toxicokinetics, health effects, mechanisms of action, and health guidelines for these chemicals.

## Table 2. Potential Health Effects of Concern for Intermediate and Chronic Inhalation Exposure to the Mixture Carbon Monoxide, Formaldehyde, Methylene Chloride, Nitrogen Dioxide, and Tetrachloroethylene (See Appendices A, B, C, D, and E)

Endpoint	Carbon Monoxide	Formaldehyde	Methylene Chloride	Nitrogen Dioxide	Tetrachloro- ethylene
Hematological	X	,	X		<b>,</b>
Cardiovascular	Х				Х
Neurological	Х		х		х
Respiratory		Х	Х	х	
Hepatic			х		Х
Renal					Х
Developmental	Х				
Immunological				х	
Cancer		х	Х		

The basis for the MRL or health assessment approach is bolded; other sensitive effects are listed in regular typeface.

# 2. Joint Toxic Action Data for the Mixture of Concern and Component Mixtures

This chapter provides a review and evaluation of the literature pertinent to joint toxic action of the mixture and its components.

# 2.1 Mixture of Concern

Toxicological data or PBPK models were not available for the complete mixture of concern.

## 2.2 Component Mixtures

Toxicological data or PBPK models were not available for any of the three- or four-component submixtures. Toxicological data were available only for the binary mixture of carbon monoxide and methylene chloride; toxicological data on the other binary submixtures were not located. PBPK models for methylene chloride generally contain components describing the metabolism of methylene chloride to formaldehyde and carbon monoxide, but to date have not included estimations of co-exposure to either of these compounds.

In the following sections on the binary mixtures, the studies that focus on more relevant toxic endpoints are discussed first, with priority given to those conducted by simultaneous longer-term inhalation exposure in mammals, followed by studies of less relevant endpoints (e.g., acute lethal effects), and then studies of chemical interactions and of effects on tissue distribution or metabolism. At the end of each binary mixture section, the experimental results that may be used to support conclusions regarding joint toxic action are summarized in tables. For each listed endpoint and study, the tables present a conclusion regarding the direction of interaction for the influence of each chemical on the toxicity of the other. These conclusions include: additive (dose addition, response addition, or no effect), greater than additive (synergism or potentiation), less than additive (antagonism, inhibition, or masking), or indeterminate (ambiguous, conflicting, or no data).

### 2.2.1 Carbon Monoxide and Formaldehyde

No *in vivo* or *in vitro* studies were located regarding possible joint toxic actions of carbon monoxide and formaldehyde. No PBPK models for co-exposure to carbon monoxide and formaldehyde were located. From the available data, carbon monoxide and formaldehyde do not appear to have any sensitive shared

targets of toxicity. Similarly, the present understanding of the mechanisms of action of these compounds does not suggest any potential joint actions of carbon monoxide and formaldehyde.

Because no in vivo studies of interactions were located for this pair, there are no summary tables.

#### 2.2.2 Carbon Monoxide and Methylene Chloride

It is well-established that methylene chloride is metabolized by cytochrome P450 isozyme 2E1 to carbon monoxide, primarily in the liver (see Appendix C and ATSDR 1999). The metabolism of methylene chloride is diagrammed in Figure 1. At low exposure levels, metabolism is primarily *via* the P450 pathway, resulting in carbon monoxide formation. Numerous studies have demonstrated the formation of carboxyhemoglobin following exposure to methylene chloride in humans (Amsel et al. 2001; DiVincenzo and Kaplan 1981; Duenas et al. 2000; Fagin et al. 1980; Stevenson et al. 1978) and animals (DiVincenzo and Hamilton 1975; Kurppa et al. 1981; Rodkey 1977; Rodkey and Collison 1977; Stevens et al. 1980). Available PBPK models for methylene chloride incorporate metabolism to carbon monoxide into the model structure; however, models to date have not incorporated the ability to evaluate co-exposures with carbon monoxide.

No studies examining the joint effects of co-exposure to carbon monoxide and methylene chloride in humans were located. Similarly, no studies of exposure of carbon monoxide prior to methylene chloride, or of methylene chloride exposure prior to carbon monoxide exposure, were located. A study comparing the neurological effects of methylene chloride with those of equivalent concentrations of carbon monoxide, in terms of blood COHb levels, found a more pronounced performance deficit for methylene chloride (Winneke 1981). Thus, the neurological effects of methylene chloride are only partially mediated by carbon monoxide formation, suggesting an additive response for co-exposures of the two compounds.

Kurppa et al. (1981) exposed groups of male Wistar rats to 100 ppm CO, 1000 ppm methylene chloride, or both for 3 hours. Exposure to CO alone resulted in a mean 8.8% blood COHb and exposure to methylene chloride alone resulted in a mean 6.2% blood COHb. Combined exposure to 100 ppm CO and 1000 ppm methylene chloride resulted in 14.6% blood COHb; thus, the simultaneous exposure resulted in additive effects on blood COHb levels. No combined effects were noted on induction of cytochrome P450 or ethoxycoumarin O-deethylase activities; effects on other endpoints were not reported. It is noteworthy that the concentrations used in this study are much higher than those that are likely to be found in the environment or in homes. Other animal studies evaluating the effects of co-exposure to methylene chloride and carbon monoxide were not located.

The metabolism of methylene chloride to carbon monoxide is complex, particularly when considering the possibility of co-exposure to carbon monoxide itself. Carbon monoxide absorption is driven by a concentration gradient, such that increased levels of blood carboxyhemoglobin result in a saturation of absorption (See Appendix A); this would suggest that absorption of CO following long-term exposure would be decreased during co-exposure to methylene chloride. However, methylene chloride interacts with the subunits of hemoglobin in a manner not completely understood, shifting both the oxygen and carbon monoxide association curves to the right, representing changes in affinity of these molecules for the heme iron (Harkey et al. 1979). Beyond the Kurppa et al. (1981) study, no studies have evaluated the effects of co-exposure to carbon monoxide and methylene chloride, so the potential impact of methylene chloride-derived carbon monoxide on the effects of inhaled carbon monoxide has not been definitively evaluated.

Table 3. Summary of Available Data on the Joint Effects of Simultane	ous
Exposure to Methylene Chloride and Carbon Monoxide	

		Results				
Duration	Endpoint	Greater than Additive	Additive/no effect	Less than Additive	Conclusions	References
Acute	Hematological		100 ppm CO + 1000 ppm CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (rats)		Additive effects on blood COHb levels	Kurppa et al. (1981)




Figure 1. Metabolism of Methylene Chloride

GSH = glutatione; NADPH = reduced nicotinamide-adenine dinucleotide phosphate; P4502E1 = cytochrome P-450 enzyme involved in xenobiotic metabolism

Source: adapted from Ahmed et al. 1980

#### 2.2.3 Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Dioxide

No *in vivo* or *in vitro* studies were located regarding possible joint toxic actions of carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide. No PBPK models for co-exposure to carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide were located. Several studies have reported simultaneous elevations of carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide in the home or in public environments (Lee et al. 1994; Cornforth et al. 1998); however, these studies have not evaluated the effects of the gases, or the combination of the gases, on human health. From the available data, carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide do not appear to have any sensitive shared targets of toxicity. Similarly, the present understanding of the mechanisms of action of these compounds does not suggest any potential joint actions of carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide.

Because no in vivo studies of interactions were located for this pair, there are no summary tables.

#### 2.2.4 Carbon Monoxide and Tetrachloroethylene

No *in vivo* studies were located regarding possible joint toxic actions of carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide. One *in vitro* study has suggested that oxidation of tetrachloroethylene by hydroxyl radicals may result in the formation of carbon monoxide (Itoh et al. 1994), but the extent to which this occurs *in vivo*, and the possible effects it might have on the toxicities of carbon monoxide and/or tetrachloroethylene, has not been evaluated. Shared targets of toxicity for carbon monoxide and tetrachloroethylene include neurological and cardiovascular effects, but studies evaluating the joint effects of the chemicals on either of these endpoints are not available. The present understanding of the mechanisms of action of these compounds does not suggest any potential joint actions of carbon monoxide and tetrachloroethylene.

Because no in vivo studies of interactions were located for this pair, there are no summary tables.

#### 2.2.5 Formaldehyde and Methylene Chloride

As depicted in Figure 1 above and briefly described in Appendix C, a major metabolic pathway for methylene chloride involves conjugation to glutathione, catalyzed by glutathione S-transferase  $\theta$ . The resulting compound, chloromethyl-S-glutathione, can spontaneously react with water to form hydroxymethyl-S-glutathione, which can spontaneously degrade to formaldehyde and glutathione or be further metabolized to formate and glutathione (see Figure 1). Numerous studies have suggested that the carcinogenic effects of methylene chloride noted in mice are the result of GST- $\theta$ -mediated metabolism to formaldehyde and subsequent interaction with cellular macromolecules, including DNA and RNA.

Support for this hypothesis includes studies demonstrating the formation of DNA-protein crosslinks in mice, but not in hamsters, following acute *in vivo* exposure to methylene chloride (Casanova et al. 1992), and demonstration that mammalian cells with higher levels of GST-θ exposed to methylene chloride generated larger numbers of DNA-protein crosslinks and RNA-formaldehyde adducts (Casanova et al. 1997). In human cells, only those cells which express the GSTT1-1 gene (the product of which is the GST-θ enzyme) generate DNA-protein crosslinks and RNA-formaldehyde adducts in response to methylene chloride exposure; levels in cells without the gene are not different from background (Casanova et al. 1997). Similarly, formaldehyde production was not detected in human erythrocytes (Hallier et al. 1994). El-Masri et al. (1999) have developed physiologically-based pharmacokinetic models in humans and mice to evaluate the influence of the GSTT1-1 polymorphism on the risk of carcinogenesis from methylene chloride exposure.

While the metabolism of methylene chloride to formaldehyde is well-established, studies of the effect of co-exposure to formaldehyde and methylene chloride, either *in vivo* or *in vitro*, were not located. Numerous PBPK models exist which describe the metabolism of methylene chloride to formaldehyde; however, to date none of these models has also incorporated simulations of the effects of co-exposure. Given that both formaldehyde and methylene chloride are believed to cause tumors by the reaction of formaldehyde with DNA and/or RNA, an additive association between the carcinogenicity of the two is likely. Available mechanistic data are not sufficient to determine whether joint actions of methylene chloride and formaldehyde on respiratory effects will occur.

Because no in vivo studies of interactions were located for this pair, there are no summary tables.

#### 2.2.6 Formaldehyde and Nitrogen Dioxide

Maroziene and Grazuleviciene (2002) conducted an epidemiological study of residential air pollution. The only two pollutants measured were formaldehyde and NO<sub>2</sub>. Multivariate logistic regression was used to estimate the effect each separate pollutant would have on low birth weight and premature birth. An increased risk of low birth weight was associated with formaldehyde exposure in the first trimester (OR=2.20) and prematurity was related to first trimester exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> (OR=1.67). When both chemicals were entered to the model together, the estimated effects did not change considerably except that the effect of exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> in the second trimester presented a risk of prematurity. No *in vivo* or *in vitro* laboratory studies were located regarding possible joint toxic actions of formaldehyde and nitrogen dioxide. No PBPK models for co-exposure to formaldehyde and nitrogen dioxide were located. Formaldehyde and nitrogen dioxide share the respiratory system as a common site of toxicity, but studies evaluating the effects of exposure to both chemicals on respiratory endpoints are not available.

The present understanding of the mechanisms of action of these compounds does not suggest any potential joint actions of formaldehyde and nitrogen dioxide.

Because no in vivo studies of interactions were located for this pair, there are no summary tables.

#### 2.2.7 Formaldehyde and Tetrachloroethylene

No *in vivo* or *in vitro* studies were located regarding possible joint toxic actions of formaldehyde and tetrachloroethylene. No PBPK models for co-exposure to formaldehyde and tetrachloroethylene were located. From the available data, formaldehyde and tetrachloroethylene do not appear to have any sensitive shared targets of toxicity. Similarly, the present understanding of the mechanisms of action of these compounds does not suggest any potential joint actions of formaldehyde and tetrachloroethylene.

Because no in vivo studies of interactions were located for this pair, there are no summary tables.

#### 2.2.8 Methylene Chloride and Nitrogen Dioxide

No *in vivo* or *in vitro* studies were located regarding possible joint toxic actions of methylene chloride and nitrogen dioxide. No PBPK models for co-exposure to methylene chloride and nitrogen dioxide were located. The available data indicate that methylene chloride and nitrogen dioxide are both capable of eliciting effects on the respiratory system, but no studies have evaluated the effect of co-exposure on respiratory endpoints. Similarly, the present understanding of the mechanisms of action of these compounds does not suggest any potential joint actions of methylene chloride and nitrogen dioxide.

Because no in vivo studies of interactions were located for this pair, there are no summary tables.

#### 2.2.9 Methylene Chloride and Tetrachloroethylene

No *in vivo* or *in vitro* studies were located regarding possible joint toxic actions of methylene chloride and tetrachloroethylene. No PBPK models for co-exposure to methylene chloride and tetrachloroethylene were located. The available data indicate that methylene chloride and tetrachloroethylene are both capable of eliciting neurological effects, but no studies have evaluated the effect of co-exposure on these

endpoints. Similarly, the present understanding of the mechanisms of action of these compounds does not suggest any potential joint actions of methylene chloride and tetrachloroethylene. As both compounds are metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes, it is possible that metabolism will be a possible point of interaction for the two compounds. However, each compound is metabolized primarily by a different P450 isozyme (2E1 for methylene chloride, 2B1/2 for tetrachloroethylene), metabolic interactions are unlikely at exposure levels normally found in the environment.

Because no in vivo studies of interactions were located for this pair, there are no summary tables.

#### 2.2.10 Nitrogen Dioxide and Tetrachloroethylene

No *in vivo* or *in vitro* studies were located regarding possible joint toxic actions of nitrogen dioxide and tetrachloroethylene. No PBPK models for co-exposure to nitrogen dioxide and tetrachloroethylene were located. From the available data, nitrogen dioxide and tetrachloroethylene do not appear to have any sensitive shared targets of toxicity. Similarly, the present understanding of the mechanisms of action of these compounds does not suggest any potential joint actions of nitrogen dioxide and tetrachloroethylene.

Because no in vivo studies of interactions were located for this pair, there are no summary tables.

#### 2.3 Relevance of the Joint Toxic Action Data and Approaches to Public Health

The carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, methylene chloride, nitrogen dioxide, and tetrachloroethylene mixture is of concern because these chemicals, either alone or in combination, may be found in the home. The exposure route is primarily inhalation, and exposure durations are primarily intermediate to chronic. No epidemiological or toxicological studies of the complete mixture or for any of the 3-component or 4-component submixtures are available. No PBPK models are available for the complete mixture or for any of the submixtures. Some information and studies are available for binary mixtures of the components, but they are not adequate to support a quantitative assessment of interactions. Therefore, the WOE approach is appropriate (ATSDR 2001, 2004) to predict the potential impact of interactions. This approach involves determining, for each binary mixture, the weight of evidence for the influence of one component on the toxicity of the other, and vice versa.

The binary weight-of-evidence (BINWOE) classification scheme is summarized in Figure 2. This figure gives a general idea of the approach, which rates confidence in the predicted direction of interaction according to the quality of the data. The direction of interaction is predicted from the available mechanistic and toxicological data. The quality of the data, as it pertains to prediction of direction of

interaction, is classified by the main data quality factors for *mechanistic understanding* and *toxicological significance*. If concerns regarding the applicability of the data are not completed addressed under the main data quality factors, they can be addressed by the use of the *modifiers*. More detailed guidance is given in ATSDR guidance documents (ATSDR 2001, 2004). Rationales for the BINWOE determinations are presented in the tables at the end of this section. The BINWOE determinations are presented for the binary mixtures in the same order as these mixtures were considered in Section 2.2.

There are no pertinent interaction data, and understanding of mechanisms of action is too incomplete to make projections of joint toxic actions between the following pairs of chemicals:

- Carbon Monoxide and Formaldehyde
- Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Dioxide
- Carbon Monoxide and Tetrachloroethylene
- Formaldehyde and Tetrachloroethylene
- Methylene Chloride and Nitrogen Dioxide
- Methylene Chloride and Tetrachloroethylene
- Nitrogen Dioxide and Tetrachloroethylene

Evidence of varying quality and quantity is available supporting projections of joint toxic action for the following pairs of chemicals:

- Carbon Monoxide and Methylene Chloride
- Formaldehyde and Methylene Chloride
- Formaldehyde and Nitrogen Dioxide

In summary, there are no data that suggest that non-additive interactions occur for any of the component pairs of the mixture, though it should be emphasized that studies designed to identify and characterize mode of joint toxic action of the components are, for the most part, unavailable. For the three component mixtures for which sufficient data exist to make a projection concerning joint toxic action, none are suggestive of non-additive interactions.

# Table 4. Binary Weight-of-Evidence Scheme for the Assessment of ChemicalInteractions

#### **Direction of Interaction**

- = Additive
- > Greater than additive
- < Less than additive
- ? Indeterminate

#### **Quality of the Data**

- I. Direct and Unambiguous Mechanistic Data: The mechanism(s) by which the interactions could occur has been well characterized and leads to an unambiguous interpretation of the direction of the interaction.
- II. Mechanistic Data on Related Compounds: The mechanism(s) by which the interactions could occur has not been well characterized for the chemicals of concern but structure-activity relationships, either quantitative or informal, can be used to infer the likely mechanisms(s) and the direction of the interaction.
- III. Inadequate or Ambiguous Mechanistic Data: The mechanism(s) by which the interactions could occur has not been well characterized or information on the mechanism(s) does not clearly indicate the direction that the interaction will have.
- A. The toxicological significance of the interaction has been directly demonstrated.
- B. The toxicological significance of the interaction can be inferred or has been demonstrated for related chemicals.
- C. The toxicological significance of the interaction is unclear.
- 1. Anticipated exposure duration and sequence.
- 2. Different exposure duration or sequence.
- a. In vivo data
- b. In vitro data
- i. Anticipated route of exposure
- ii. Different route of exposure

Source: ATSDR 2001, 2004

#### Table 5. Effect of Carbon Monoxide on Methylene Chloride

BINWOE: = IA2 for hematological effects BINWOE: = IA2 for neurological effects BINWOE: ? for respiratory effects and cancer

*Direction of Interaction* – The metabolism of methylene chloride to carbon monoxide is welldocumented. Acute-duration data from Kurppa et al. (1981) indicate an additive effect of coexposure on hematological endpoints. Similarly, Winneke (1981) suggested an additive effect of neurological effects for the two compounds. Data suggesting possible interactions on respiratory effects or cancer are not available.

Mechanistic Understanding - The mechanism by which methylene chloride elicits effects on the hematological and nervous systems is believed to at least partially involve the metabolism of methylene chloride to carbon monoxide by cytochrome P4502E1. A study comparing the neurological effects of methylene chloride with those of equivalent concentrations of carbon monoxide, in terms of blood COHb levels, found a more pronounced performance deficit for methylene chloride (Winneke 1981). Thus, the neurological effects of methylene chloride are only partially mediated by carbon monoxide formation, suggesting an additive response for coexposures of the two compounds. The hematological effects of methylene chloride generally involve the formation of COHb; numerous studies in humans and animals have demonstrated the formation of COHb following methylene chloride exposure (see ATSDR 1999 and Appendix C). Carboxyhemoglobin-related effects are therefore expected to be additive, based on total blood COHb levels formed by the two compounds. This has been verified for acute exposures by Kurppa et al. (1981), who found additive COHb levels following joint exposures to methylene chloride and carbon monoxide in rats. The mechanisms by which methylene chloride causes respiratory and carcinogenic effects have not been conclusively established, but as these effects have not generally been established as sensitive effects of carbon monoxide exposure, they are unlikely to be the result of metabolism of methylene chloride to carbon monoxide. A rating of I reflects the strong mechanistic understanding of the nature of the interaction.

*Toxicological Significance* – Only one study has directly evaluated the effects of co-exposure to carbon monoxide and methylene chloride. Kurppa et al. (1981) found that co-exposure to 100 ppm carbon monoxide and 1000 ppm methylene chloride for a single 3 hour period resulted in additive effects on blood COHb formation, based on measurements from single exposure to the chemicals (8.8% for CO, 6.2% for methylene chloride, and 14.6% for combined, respectively). Thus, for acute exposures to mixtures of the two, carboxyhemoglobin formation appears to be additive. Interaction data are not available for longer combined exposures to carbon monoxide and methylene chloride. A rating of A reflects that the significance of the interaction has been directly demonstrated.

*Modifying Factors* – The rating of "2" was used to reflect that available data on combined exposure were from an acute exposure, rather than one of longer-duration.

# Table 6. Effect of Methylene Chloride on Carbon Monoxide

#### BINWOE: = IA2 for hematological effects BINWOE: = IIB2 for neurological, cardiovascular, and developmental effects

*Direction of Interaction* – The metabolism of methylene chloride to carbon monoxide is welldocumented. Acute-duration data from Kurppa et al. (1981) indicate an additive effect of coexposure on carboxyhemoglobin formation, which is the primary mechanism of the toxic effects of carbon monoxide. It is therefore anticipated that for other sensitive effects of carbon monoxide, methylene chloride exposure will result in additive effects, based on the formation of endogenous carbon monoxide.

*Mechanistic Understanding* – Carbon monoxide's toxicity is primarily the result of the formation of carboxyhemoglobin and a resulting decrease in the oxygen-carrying capacity of the blood. Metabolism of methylene chloride to carbon monoxide by cytochrome P450, and the resulting formation of carboxyhemoglobin, is well-documented (see Appendix C and ATSDR 1999). A study by Kurppa et al. (1981) has demonstrated an additive effect of acute co-exposure to methylene chloride and carbon monoxide on blood COHb formation. While it therefore seems reasonable to assume an additive effect of co-exposure based on COHb formation, the effects of combined exposure have received only limited study and available PBPK models for methylene chloride have not been adapted to model co-exposure to the compounds. A rating of I reflects the strong mechanistic understading of the nature of the interaction for hematological effects, while a rating of II reflects that the mechanism of interaction can be inferred for other effects.

*Toxicological Significance* – Only one study has directly evaluated the effects of co-exposure to methylene chloride and carbon monoxide. Kurppa et al. (1981) found that co-exposure to 100 ppm carbon monoxide and 1000 ppm methylene chloride for a single 3 hour period resulted in additive effects on blood COHb formation, based on measurements from single exposure to the chemicals (8.8% for CO, 6.2% for methylene chloride, and 14.6% for combined, respectively). Thus, for acute exposures to mixtures of the two, carboxyhemoglobin formation appears to be additive. Interaction data are not available for longer combined exposures to methylene chloride and carbon monoxide. A rating of A reflects that the significance of the interaction has been directly demonstrated for hematological effects, while a rating of B indicates that such interactions can be inferred, but have not been demonstrated.

*Modifying Factors* – The rating of "2" was used to reflect that available data on combined exposure were from an acute exposure, rather than one of longer-duration.

# Table 7. Effect of Carbon Monoxide on Tetrachloroethylene

#### BINWOE: ? for cardiovascular effects

*Direction of Interaction* – The direction of the interaction cannot be predicted in the absence of (1) pertinent interaction data; (2) information clearly indicating that pharmacokinetic interactions with carbon monoxide will influence tetrachloroethylene toxicity; or (3) mechanistic understanding leading to an unambiguous projection of interactions between carbon monoxide and tetrachloroethylene.

*Mechanistic Understanding* – The primary shared target of toxicity for carbon monoxide and tetrachloroethylene is effects on the cardiovascular system. Both compounds have been shown to cause cardiac arrhythmias following high-dose exposures. However, the mechanisms by which tetrachloroethylene causes arrhythmias has not been established. Therefore, available information is not sufficient to predict whether increased tissue hypoxia, the putative mechanism by which carbon monoxide-induced arrhythmias are produced, would have an impact on tetrachloroethylene-induced cardiac arrhythmias. Similarly, it is not known whether carbon monoxide could influence other endpoints affected by tetrachloroethylene.

*Toxicological Significance* – Relevant interaction data on pertinent health effects with simultaneous inhalation exposure were not located. No studies were located in which pretreatment with carbon monoxide prior to tetrachloroethylene exposure was examined.

# Table 8. Effect of Tetrachloroethylene on Carbon Monoxide

#### BINWOE: ? for cardiovascular effects

*Direction of Interaction* – The direction of the interaction cannot be predicted in the absence of (1) pertinent interaction data; (2) information clearly indicating that pharmacokinetic interactions with tetrachloroethylene will influence carbon monoxide toxicity; or (3) mechanistic understanding leading to an unambiguous projection of interactions between tetrachloroethylene and carbon monoxide.

*Mechanistic Understanding* – The primary shared target of toxicity for tetrachloroethylene and carbon monoxide is effects on the cardiovascular system. Both compounds have been shown to cause cardiac arrhythmias following high-dose exposures. However, the mechanisms by which tetrachloroethylene causes arrhythmias has not been established. Therefore, available information is not sufficient to predict whether increased tissue hypoxia, the putative mechanism by which carbon monoxide-induced arrhythmias are produced, would be influenced by tetrachloroethylene-induced cardiac arrhythmias. Similarly, it is not known whether tetrachloroethylene could influence other sensitive targets of toxicity affected by carbon monoxide.

*Toxicological Significance* – Relevant interaction data on pertinent health effects with simultaneous inhalation exposure were not located. No studies were located in which pretreatment with tetrachloroethylene prior to carbon monoxide exposure was examined.

## Table 9. Effect of Formaldehyde on Methylene Chloride

#### BINWOE: =IB for cancer BINWOE: =IIC for respiratory effects BINWOE: ? for hematological and neurological effects

*Direction of Interaction* – Studies have strongly implicated the formation of formaldehyde in the carcinogenic effects of methylene chloride (Graves et al. 1994a,b, 1995, 1996; Graves and Green 1996); the direction of interaction is therefore expected to be additive. Similarly, metabolism to formaldehyde could partially explain the respiratory effects seen following high levels of methylene chloride exposure, implicating an additive effect of combined exposure. However, other effects of methylene chloride (e.g., hematological and neurological effects) are not believed to be the result of metabolism to formaldehyde. The direction of interaction for these effects cannot be determined from available data.

*Mechanistic Understanding* – The metabolism of methylene chloride to formaldehyde has been well-established (see Appendix C and ATSDR 1999). The carcinogenic effects of methylene chloride are believed to be the result of metabolism to formaldehyde and subsequent nucleophilic attack of DNA (Graves et al. 1994a,b, 1995, 1996; Graves and Green 1996). As such, additional exposure to formaldehyde, at the cellular level, is likely to result in an additive effect. High-dose exposures to methylene chloride produce irritant effects (see Appendix C), likely due in part to metabolism to formaldehyde. This is reflected by a rating of "I" for cancer. However, the association between respiratory effects and formaldehyde formation is not as strong as the association between carcinogenesis and formaldehyde formation following methylene chloride exposure. Metabolism to formaldehyde is only believed to play a major role in some of the toxicity of methylene chloride, and is reflected in the rating of "II" for respiratory effects. The hematological and neurological effects of methylene chloride are believed to be at least partially the result of P450-mediated metabolism to carbon monoxide, and as such are not likely to be appreciably affected by co-exposure to formaldehyde.

*Toxicological Significance* – Studies evaluating combined exposure to formaldehyde and methylene chloride, or describing pretreatment with formaldehyde prior to methylene chloride exposure, were not located. The inference is stronger for cancer effects, and warranted a "B" rating, while for respiratory effects the significance is less clear, and received a "C".

## Table 10. Effect of Methylene Chloride on Formaldehyde

#### BINWOE: IB for respiratory effects BINWOE: IB for cancer

*Direction of Interaction* – The metabolism of methylene chloride to formaldehyde is welldescribed in the literature (see Appendix C and ATSDR 1999). The formation of intracellular formaldehyde is expected to result in additive effects when combined with exogenous formaldehyde exposure.

*Mechanistic Understanding* – The majority of the effects of formaldehyde are due to the reactive nature of the molecule. Respiratory irritation is the primary effect noted following inhalation exposure to formaldehyde; formaldehyde may be interacting with cellular membranes or entering the cells and reacting with intracellular molecules. Metabolism of methylene chloride to formaldehyde would result in a higher concentration of intracellular formaldehyde, implying an additive interaction. Similarly, formaldehyde's carcinogenic effects are believed to be the result of the reaction of formaldehyde with DNA and/or RNA (see Appendix B). Methylene chloride-derived formaldehyde has been shown to form similar products with DNA and RNA. Both of these possible interactions received a rating of I.

*Toxicological Significance* – Studies evaluating combined exposure to methylene chloride and formaldehyde, or describing pretreatment with methylene chloride prior to formaldehyde exposure, were not located. For both endpoints, the association can be strongly inferred, but has not been directly demonstrated; ratings of "B" were assigned.

# Table 11. Effect of Formaldehyde on Nitrogen Dioxide

#### BINWOE: =IIIC2 for respiratory effects BINWOE: ? for immunologic effects

*Direction of Interaction* – Based on a mutually shared mechanism of respiratory irritation, additive effects of co-exposure are predicted. Available data are inadequate to determine what effect, if any, co-exposure to formaldehyde will have on the immunologic effects of NO<sub>2</sub>.

*Mechanistic Understanding* – Nitrogen dioxide's effects on the respiratory system are believed to be primarily due to irritation along the portal of entry, owing to the reactive nature of the compound. The respiratory effects of formaldehyde are similarly believed to be due to irritation along the portal of entry. Additivity resulting from mutual respiratory irritation therefore seems reasonable. However, data supporting this hypothesis are not available. A confidence rating of "III" was therefore assigned. The mechanisms of NO<sub>2</sub>-induced changes in immunological endpoints are not clear, and it is not known what effect co-exposure to formaldehyde might have on these endpoints.

*Toxicological Significance* – Studies evaluating combined exposure to formaldehyde and nitrogen dioxide, or describing pretreatment with formaldehyde prior to nitrogen dioxide exposure, were not located. A rating of "C" reflecting an unclear significance was assigned.

*Modifying Factor* – Because the available studies have not evaluated longer-term exposures, a rating of "2" was used for different exposure duration.

# Table 12. Effect of Nitrogen Dioxide on Formaldehyde

#### BINWOE: =IIIC2 for respiratory effects BINWOE: ? for cancer

*Direction of Interaction* – Based on a mutually shared mechanism of respiratory irritation, additive effects of co-exposure to formaldehyde and  $NO_2$  are predicted. Available data are inadequate to determine what effect, if any, co-exposure to formaldehyde will have on the immunologic effects of  $NO_2$ .

*Mechanistic Understanding* – Formaldehyde's effects on the respiratory system are believed to be primarily due to irritation along the portal of entry, owing to the reactive nature of the compound. The respiratory effects of nitrogen dioxide are similarly believed to be due to irritation along the portal of entry. Additivity resulting from mutual respiratory irritation therefore seems reasonable. However, data supporting this hypothesis are not available. A confidence rating of "III" was therefore assigned. Current understanding of the mechanisms of nitrogen dioxide and the carcinogenic effects of formaldehyde is not sufficient to predict the direction or extent of possible interactions on carcinogenic endpoints.

*Toxicological Significance* – Studies evaluating combined exposure to nitrogen dioxide and formaldehyde, or describing pretreatment with nitrogen dioxide prior to formaldehyde exposure, were not located. A rating of "C" reflecting an unclear significance was assigned.

*Modifying Factor* – Because the available studies have not evaluated longer-term exposures, a rating of "2" was used for different exposure duration.

# Table 13. Effect of Methylene Chloride on Nitrogen Dioxide

#### **BINWOE:** ?

*Direction of Interaction* – The direction of the interaction cannot be predicted in the absence of (1) pertinent interaction data; (2) information clearly indicating that pharmacokinetic interactions with methylene chloride will influence nitrogen dioxide toxicity; or (3) mechanistic understanding leading to an unambiguous projection of interactions between methylene chloride and nitrogen dioxide.

*Mechanistic Understanding* – The primary shared target of toxicity for methylene chloride and nitrogen dioxide is effects on the respiratory system. While the mechanism of nitrogen dioxide's respiratory effects is thought to involve a direct reaction with cells along the respiratory tract, the mechanism of respiratory effects of methylene chloride is more complex. Available data are not sufficient to indicate possible effects of methylene chloride on nitrogen dioxide-induced respiratory effects. The mechanism(s) behind the immunological effects of nitrogen dioxide has not been elucidated, and it is not known whether co-exposure to methylene chloride would impact these effects.

*Toxicological Significance* – Studies evaluating combined exposure to methylene chloride and nitrogen dioxide, or describing pretreatment with methylene chloride prior to nitrogen dioxide exposure, were not located.

# Table 14. Effect of Nitrogen Dioxide on Methylene Chloride

#### **BINWOE:** ?

*Direction of Interaction* – The direction of the interaction cannot be predicted in the absence of (1) pertinent interaction data; (2) information clearly indicating that pharmacokinetic interactions with nitrogen dioxide will influence methylene chloride toxicity; or (3) mechanistic understanding leading to an unambiguous projection of interactions between nitrogen dioxide and methylene chloride.

*Mechanistic Understanding* – The primary shared target of toxicity for methylene chloride and nitrogen dioxide is effects on the respiratory system. While the mechanism of nitrogen dioxide's respiratory effects is thought to involve a direct reaction with cells along the respiratory tract, the mechanism of respiratory effects of methylene chloride is more complex. Available data are not sufficient to indicate possible effects of nitrogen dioxide on methylene chloride-induced respiratory effects. Similarly, mechanistic data are not sufficient to allow for predictions of the possible effects of co-exposure to nitrogen dioxide on the hematological, neurological, or carcinogenic effects of methylene chloride.

*Toxicological Significance* – Studies evaluating combined exposure to nitrogen dioxide and methylene chloride, or describing pretreatment with nitrogen dioxide prior to methylene chloride exposure, were not located.

# Table 15. Effect of Methylene Chloride on Tetrachloroethylene

#### **BINWOE:** ?

*Direction of Interaction* – The direction of the interaction cannot be predicted in the absence of (1) pertinent interaction data; (2) information clearly indicating that pharmacokinetic interactions with methylene chloride will influence tetrachloroethylene toxicity; or (3) mechanistic understanding leading to an unambiguous projection of interactions between methylene chloride and tetrachloroethylene.

*Mechanistic Understanding* –Sensitive shared targets of toxicity for methylene chloride and tetrachloroethylene include neurological and hepatic effects. However, the mechanisms by which each causes effects on neurological endpoints are not clearly understood, and no prediction as to the direction or extent of possible interactions can be made. Similarly, mechanisms by which the two compounds result in hepatic changes are not completely understood, but are thought to involve metabolism to reactive intermediates. As both compounds are metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes, it is possible that metabolism will be a possible point of interaction for the two compounds. However, each compound is metabolized primarily by a different P450 isozyme (2E1 for methylene chloride, 2B1/2 for tetrachloroethylene), metabolic interactions are unlikely at exposure levels normally found in the environment. Understanding the mechanisms of tetrachloroethylene toxicity is not sufficient to allow for the prediction of possible effects of methylene chloride on other targets of tetrachloroethylene toxicity.

*Toxicological Significance* – Studies evaluating combined exposure to methylene chloride and tetrachloroethylene, or describing pretreatment with methylene chloride prior to tetrachloroethylene exposure, were not located.

# Table 16. Effect of Tetrachloroethylene on Methylene Chloride

#### **BINWOE:** ?

*Direction of Interaction* – The direction of the interaction cannot be predicted in the absence of (1) pertinent interaction data; (2) information clearly indicating that pharmacokinetic interactions with tetrachloroethylene will influence methylene chloride toxicity; or (3) mechanistic understanding leading to an unambiguous projection of interactions between tetrachloroethylene and methylene chloride.

*Mechanistic Understanding* – Sensitive shared targets of toxicity for methylene chloride and tetrachloroethylene include neurological and hepatic effects. However, the mechanisms by which each causes effects on neurological endpoints are not clearly understood, and no prediction as to the direction or extent of possible interactions can be made. Similarly, mechanisms by which the two compounds result in hepatic changes are not completely understood, but are thought to involve metabolism to reactive intermediates. As both compounds are metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes, it is possible that metabolism will be a possible point of interaction for the two compounds. However, each compound is metabolized primarily by a different P450 isozyme (2E1 for methylene chloride, 2B1/2 for tetrachloroethylene), metabolic interactions are unlikely at exposure levels normally found in the environment. Understanding of the mechanisms of methylene chloride toxicity is not sufficient to allow for the prediction of possible effects of tetrachloroethylene on other targets of methylene chloride toxicity.

*Toxicological Significance* – Studies evaluating combined exposure to tetrachloroethylene and methylene chloride, or describing pretreatment with tetrachloroethylene prior to methylene chloride exposure, were not located.

#### 2.4 Recommendations for Data Needs

Neither in vivo data from human or animal studies nor in vitro data examining the toxicity of the 5-component mixture, or for 4- or 3-component submixtures, are available. Similarly, PBPK models describing the behavior of the 5-component mixture, or for 4- or 3-component submixtures, are not available. In the absence of direct interaction data, a component-based approach was utilized. However, data on the joint toxic action of the component pairs of the mixture are generally lacking, with no adequate joint action data available for any of the 9 of the 10 component pairs of the mixture, and only limited data available for the methylene chloride-carbon monoxide component pair. Data on the potential mechanistic interactions between the component pairs are limited, but were located for two of the component pairs.

For the individual components, intermediate-duration inhalation MRLs are available for formaldehyde and methylene chloride, while chronic-duration MRLs are available for formaldehyde, methylene chloride, and tetrachloroethylene. MRLs for exposures to carbon monoxide or nitrogen dioxide have not been derived.

# 3. Recommendation for Exposure-Based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of the Mixture

As discussed above, the mixture of carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, methylene chloride, nitrogen dioxide, and tetrachloroethylene was chosen as the subject for this interaction profile because they are airborne compounds that are commonly found in the home environment. The exposure scenarios of greatest concern are likely to be inhalation exposures of intermediate and chronic durations.

Because suitable data, joint action models, and PBPK models are lacking for the complete mixture, the recommended approach for the exposure-based assessment of joint toxic action of this mixture is to use the hazard index method with the TTD modification and qualitative WOE method to assess the potential consequences of additive and interactive joint action of the components of the mixture. These methods are to be applied only under circumstances involving significant exposure to the mixture, i.e., only if hazard quotients for two or more of the compounds equal or exceed 0.1 (Figure 2 of ATSDR 2004). Hazard quotients are the ratios of exposure estimates to noncancer health guideline values, such as MRLs. If only one or if none of the compounds has a hazard quotient that equals or exceeds 0.1, then no further assessment of the joint toxic action is needed because additivity and/or interactions are unlikely to result in significant health hazard. As discussed by ATSDR (1992, 2004), the exposure-based assessment of potential health hazard is used in conjunction with biomedical judgment, community-specific health outcome data, and community health concerns to assess the degree of public health hazard.

The TTD modification of the hazard index requires the estimation of endpoint-specific (target-organspecific) hazard indexes for the endpoints of concern for a particular mixture. The endpoints of concern for this mixture are hematological, cardiovascular, neurological, respiratory, and hepatic effects. Therefore, these endpoints are candidates for TTD development for the components of this mixture. TTDs were not derived for endpoints that are sensitive endpoints for only one component of the mixture. The TTDs were derived as described in the Appendices to this document, using the methods recommended by ATSDR (2001, 2004). The derived values are listed in Table 17, which also lists the chronic inhalation MRLs or other guidance values. BINWOEs have been developed for these endpoints also, as presented in Section 2.3, and summarized later in Section 3.

	Chemical							
Endpoint	Carbon Monoxide	Formaldehyde	Methylene Chloride	Nitrogen Dioxide	Tetrachloro- ethylene			
Hematological	1 ppm (acute TTD)	NA	0.3 ppm (chronic MRL)	NA	NA			
Cardiovascular	1 ppm (acute TTD)	NA	NA	NA	NA			
Neurological	3 ppm (acute TTD)	NA	0.6 ppm (acute MRL)	NA	0.04 ppm (chronic MRL)			
Respiratory	NA	0.008 ppm (chronic MRL)	50 ppm	0.08 ppm (acute TTD)	NA			
Hepatic	NA	NA	0.3 ppm (intermediate MRL)	NA	0.1 ppm			

Table 17. MRLs and TTDs for Inhalation Exposure to Chemicals of Concerna

<sup>a</sup>See Appendices A, B, C, D, and E NA = Not Applicable

The hazard index is calculated using the guidance values for the effect of concern, shown in Table 17, or newer values as they become available. This process is shown, using neurological effects as an example, in the following equation:

$$HI_{NEURO} = \frac{E_{CO}}{TTD_{CONEURO}} + \frac{E_{MeCl}}{MRL_{MeCl}} + \frac{E_{TCEt}}{MRL_{TCEt}}$$

where  $HI_{NEURO}$  is the hazard index for neurologic toxicity,  $E_{CO}$  is the exposure to carbon monoxide,  $TTD_{CO NEURO}$  is the TTD<sub>NEURO</sub> for carbon monoxide (in ppm),  $E_{MeCl}$  is the exposure to methylene chloride,  $MRL_{MeCl}$  is the acute inhalation MRL for methylene chloride (based on neurologic effects, in ppm),  $E_{TCEt}$ is the exposure to tetrachloroethylene (in ppm), and  $MRL_{TCEt}$  is the chronic inhalation MRL for tetrachloroethylene. The process can be then repeated for each endpoint of concern, using the appropriate exposure concentrations and TTDs/MRLs, resulting in endpoint-specific hazard indices for each effect of concern for the mixture. Components for which data are not available, and therefore no TTD can be derived, are not included in the endpoint-specific hazard index calculation.

If the hazard index for effects on an endpoint of concern exceeds 1, it provides preliminary evidence that the mixture may constitute a health hazard due to the joint toxic action of components on that endpoint (ATSDR 2004). The impact of interactions from the WOE analysis also is considered; however, since the

available data do not indicate non-additive actions for any of the component pairs, the impact of the WOE analysis will be less than the case of some other mixtures.

If this screening procedure indicates preliminary evidence of a mixture health hazard, additional evaluation is needed to assess whether a public health hazard exists (ATSDR 2004). The additional evaluation includes biomedical judgment, assessment of community-specific health outcome data, and consideration of community health concerns (ATSDR 1992).

The default approach for a multi-component mixture for which no data on the carcinogenicity of the mixture are available and no PBPK models have been validated would involve calculating the carcinogenic risk for each component by multiplying lifetime inhalation exposure estimates for each component by the appropriate EPA cancer inhalation unit risk (an estimate of cancer risk per unit of exposure). If only one or if none of the component risks equals or exceeds  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ , then no further assessment of joint toxic action would be needed due to the low likelihood that additivity and/or interactions would result in a significant health hazard. For this particular mixture, only formaldehyde and methylene chloride have unit risk values, so the focus on carcinogenic risks will lie primarily on those compounds. As noted in section 2.2.2 (Tables 9 and 10), the available weight of evidence indicates an additive interaction with regard to carcinogenic effects for methylene chloride and formaldehyde.

ON THE TOXICITY OF										
E F	Carbon Monoxide	Carbon Monoxide	Formaldehyde	Methylene Chloride =IA2 h,n	Nitrogen Dioxide	Tetrachloro -ethylene				
F			?	? r, c	?	?				
E C T	Formaldehyde	?		=IB1 c =IIC1 r ? h,n	=IIIC2 r ? i	?				
0	Methylene Chloride	=IA2 h =IIB2 n,v,d	=IB1 r,c		?	?				
F	Nitrogen Dioxide	?	=IIIC2 r ? c	?		?				
	Tetrachloroethylene	?	?	?	?					

# Table 18. Matrix of BINWOE Determinations for Intermediate or Chronic Simultaneous Exposure to Chemicals of Concern

r = respiratory, n = neurological, h = hematological, c = carcinogenic, v=cardiovascular, d=developmental, i=immunological

The BINWOE determinations were explained in Section 2.3.; for classification see Table 4.

BINWOE scheme (with numerical weights in parentheses) condensed from ATSDR (2001, 2004):

## 4. Conclusions

This interaction profile recommends the use of component-based approaches that assume additive joint toxic action in exposure-based assessments of possible noncancer or cancer health hazards from inhalation exposure to mixtures of carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, methylene chloride, nitrogen dioxide, and tetrachloroethylene. This recommendation is based on the following factors. There are no direct data available to characterize health hazards (and dose-response relationships) from mixtures containing all five components. Similarly, PBPK/PD models have not yet been developed that would predict pertinent target doses of the components under scenarios involving exposure to mixtures of all five components. Finally, available information on toxic actions of the individual components indicates that joint actions of carbon monoxide, formaldehyde, methylene chloride, nitrogen dioxide, and tetrachloroethylene on several toxicity targets are plausible, including hematological effects, cardiovascular effects, respiratory effects, neurological alterations, hepatic injury, and cancer. With data on the individual components suggesting possible sites of joint toxic action, but no data available on the toxicity or behavior of the complete mixture or the relevant submixtures, a default component-based approach assuming additivity was therefore recommended.

Weight-of-evidence analyses of available data on the joint toxic action of mixtures of these components indicate that scientific evidence for greater-than-additive or less-than-additive interactions among these components is limited, with the majority of limited available interaction data suggesting additive interactions. Data are inadequate to characterize the possible modes of joint action on most of the pertinent toxicity targets. Therefore, it is recommended that additivity be generally assumed as a public health protective measure in exposure-based assessments of health hazards from exposure to mixtures of these components.

# 5. References

Ahmed, AE, Kubic VL, Stevens JL, Anders MW. 1980. Halogenated methanes: metabolism and toxicity. Fed. Proc. 39(13): 3150-3155.

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry), Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (1997) Toxicological profile for Tetrachloroethylene. Available from ATSDR, Atlanta, GA on-line at <u>http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxpro2.html</u>

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry), Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (1999) Toxicological profile for Formaldehyde. Available from ATSDR, Atlanta, GA on-line at http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxpro2.html

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry), Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2000) Toxicological profile for Methylene Chloride. Available from ATSDR, Atlanta, GA on-line at http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxpro2.html

ATSDR. 2001. Guidance manual for the preparation of an interaction profile. Atlanta, GA: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

ATSDR. 2004. Guidance manual for the assessment of joint toxic action of chemical mixtures. Atlanta, GA: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Casanova M, Bell DA, Heck Hd'A. 1997. Dichloromethane metabolism to formaldehyde and reaction of formaldehyde with nucleic acids in hepatocytes of rodents and humans with and without glutathione S-transferase T1 and M1 genes. Fundam Appl Toxicol 37(2):168–180.

Casanova M, Deyo DF, Heck Hd'A. 1992. Dichloromethane (methylene chloride): Metabolism to formaldehyde and formation of DNA-protein cross-links in B6C3F1 mice and Syrian golden hamsters. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 114(1):162–165.

Cornforth DP, Rabovitser JK, Ahuja S, et al. 1998. Carbon monoxide, nitric oxide, and nitrogen dioxide levels in gas ovens related to surface pinking of cooked beef and turkey. J Agric Food Chem 46(1):255–261.

DiVincenzo GD, Kaplan CJ. 1981. Uptake, metabolism, and elimination of methylene chloride vapor by humans. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 59:130–140.

El-Mastri HA, Bell DA, Portier CJ. 1999. Effects of glutathione transferase theta polymorphism on the risk estimates of dichloromethane to humans. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 158(3):221–230.

EPA. 2005. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). Online. www.epa.gov/iris

Graves RJ, Green T. 1996. Mouse liver glutathione *S*-transferase mediated metabolism of methylene chloride to a mutagen in the CHO/HPRT assay. Mutat Res 367:143–150.

Graves RJ, Callander RD, Green T. 1994a. The role of formaldehyde and S-chloromethylglutathione in the bacterial mutagenicity of methylene chloride. Mutat Res 320:235–243.

Graves RJ, Coutts C, Eyton-Jones H, et al. 1994b. Relationship between hepatic DNA damage and methylene chloride-induced hepaticarcinogenicity in B6C3F1 mice. Carcinogenesis 15(5):991–996.

Graves RJ, Coutts C, Green T. 1995. Methylene chloride-induced DNA damage: An interspecies comparison. Carcinogenesis 16(8):1919–1926.

Graves RJ, Trueman P, Jones S, et al. 1996. DNA sequence analysis of methylene chloride-induced HPRT mutations in Chinese hamster ovary cells: Comparison with the mutation spectrum obtained for 1,2-dibromoethane and formaldehyde. Mutagenesis 11(3):229–233.

Itoh N, Kutsuna S, Ibusuki T. 1994. A project study of the OH radical initiated oxidation of perchloroethylene and trichloroethylene. Chemosphere 28(11):2029–2040.

Kurppa K, Kivisto H, Vainio H. 1981. Dichloromethane and carbon monoxide inhalation: carboxyhemoglobin addition, and drug metabolizing enzymes in rat. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 49 :83-87.

Lee K, Yanagisawa Y, Spengler JD, et al. 1994. Carbon monoxide and nitrogen dioxide exposures in indoor ice skating rinks. J Sports Sci 12(3):279–283.

Winneke, G. 1981. The neurotoxicity of dichloromethane. Neurobehav. Toxicol. Teratol. 3: 391-395.

# Appendix A: Background Information for Carbon Monoxide

#### A.1 Toxicokinetics

#### Absorption

The absorption of inhaled carbon monoxide is mainly controlled by physical processes, and occurs in two primary steps. The first step is the absorption through the alveolar wall into the alveolar interstitium, which can be affected by the mechanical action of the respiratory system as well as changes to the respiratory tract. From there, the compound moves with a concentration gradient into the red blood cell, similar to molecular oxygen. In both cases, diffusion is very rapid, and is driven primarily by the partial pressure differential of carbon monoxide. However, other factors, including oxyhaemoglobin and carboxyhaemoglobin levels, ventilatory pattern, oxygen consumption, blood flow, and functional residual capacity may affect the rate at which inhaled carbon monoxide enters the blood (Forster, 1987). In chronic bronchitics, asthmatics and other subpopulations at risk (pregnant women, the elderly, etc.), the kinetics of carboxyhaemoglobin formation will be even more complex, because any abnormalities of ventilation and perfusion and gas diffusion will aggravate carbon monoxide exchange between blood and air.

Within the erythrocyte, carbon monoxide binds with hemoglobin to form carboxyhemoglobin (COHb). The rate of carbon monoxide binding to hemoglobin is approximately 20% that of molecular oxygen, and the dissociation constant is approximately an order of magnitude lower than molecular oxygen (Roughton 1970). However, carbon monoxide has very high affinity for hemoglobin, on the order of 240–250-fold that of molecular oxygen (Roughton 1970). One part of carbon monoxide and 245 parts of oxygen would form equal parts of oxyhaemoglobin and carboxyhaemoglobin (50% of each), which would be achieved by breathing air containing 21% oxygen and 650 mg carbon monoxide/m<sup>3</sup> (570 ppm).

#### Distribution

Due to its high affinity for hemoglobin, most absorbed carbon monoxide will be found in the blood as carboxyhemoglobin, and therefore present in all tissues of the body. However, carbon monoxide can dissociate from carboxyhemoglobin and enter other tissues with heme-containing enzymes, including the heart and liver. About 15% of the body's CO is found outside of the blood (Coburn and Forman 1987; Longo 1977). A study by Hill et al. (1977) predicted similar levels of blood carboxyhaemoglobin (%) in a mother and fetus during prolonged exposures of the mother to carbon monoxide (34–340 mg/m<sup>3</sup> [30–

300 ppm]), suggesting that carbon monoxide can freely dissociate from maternal blood and enter the fetal circulation along a concentration gradient.

#### Metabolism

The majority of carbon monoxide is removed from the body by exhalation of carbon monoxide. While small amounts are likely converted to carbon dioxide prior to exhalation, this is believed to be a minor pathway.

#### Elimination

Carbon monoxide is removed from the body by exhalation following dissociation from heme. Both the initial formation and the decline of COHb formation and the decline of COHb levels are best modeled by second-order functions, with an initial rapid decay followed by a more gradual second phase (Stewart et al. 1970; Landaw 1973; Wagner et al. 1975). The half-life of disappearance of carbon monoxide from the blood in humans ranges from 2–6.5 hours, although at very high concentrations this range may be exceeded (Landaw 1973; Peterson and Stewart 1970). The process is diffusion-limited, and breathing of increased levels of oxygen reduces the elimination half-time considerably (Peterson and Stewart 1970).

#### A.2 Health Effects

The primary toxicological effects of carbon monoxide result from the formation of carboxyhemoglobin (COHb), and subsequent hypoxia of oxygen-sensitive tissues. Background carboxyhemoglobin levels generally range from 1-2% in urban non-smokers, while smokers average 2-8% and may be as high as 18% COHb (Stewart et al. 1976).

The Coburn-Forster-Kane equation was developed by Coburn et al. (1965) to describe the dynamics of carbon monoxide uptake and elimination and the formation of COHb as a function of concentration of carbon monoxide in air, duration of exposure, and alveolar ventilation. This equation has been used by several investigators to predict blood COHb formation resulting from CO exposure, with generally acceptable results (Peterson and Stewart 1970, 1975; Tikuisis et al. 1987; Benignus 1994). The equation is as follows:

#### Where:

 $A = P_{c O2}/M[HbO_2]$  $B = 1/DL_{CO} + PL/VA$  $DL_{CO}$  = diffusivity of the lung for CO can also be written as  $35(VO_2)e^{0.33}$  where VO<sub>2</sub> is the respiratory minute volume in liters/minute  $[HbCO]_t = ml of CO per ml blood at time t - this is generally the term to be solved for$  $[HbCO]_0 = ml of CO per ml blood at time 0 (approximately 0.8% COHb, or 0.0176 mg CO/ml$ blood for a non-smoker)  $HbO_2 = ml of O_2 per ml blood, or 0.22-[HbCO]_t$ M = the ratio of the affinity of blood for CO relative to that of O<sub>2</sub>, approximately 218  $P_{c,\Omega^2}$  = average partial pressure of  $O_2$  in the capillaries, in mm Hg. Approximately 110.  $PI_{CO}$  = partial pressure of CO in the inhaled air (in mm Hg) PL = barometric pressure minus the vapor of water (i.e., 49) at body temperature, in mm Hg t = exposure duration, in minutes $V_{CO}$  = rate of endogenous CO formation (approximately 0.007 ml/min) Vb = blood volume, generally assumed to be 74 mg/kg body weight VA = alveolar ventilation rate (ml/min), which can be estimated as 0.933 V<sub>E</sub>-132f where V<sub>E</sub> is the minute volume (in ml/min) and *f* is the ventilation frequency

#### Cardiovascular Effects

Exposure to carbon monoxide, and the resulting increase in carboxyhemoglobin levels, has been shown to have cardiovascular effects in humans. Significant decreases in short-term maximal exercise duration have been noted in healthy men with blood COHb levels ranging from 2–7% (Drinkwater et al. 1974; Ekblom and Huot 1972; Horvath et al. 1975), while decreases in maximal oxygen consumption have been observed in groups of healthy men with COHb levels ranging from 5–20% (Ekblom and Huot 1972; Pirnay et al. 1971; Vogel and Gleser 1972; Weiser et al. 1978). The lowest observed COHb blood levels associated with significant decreases in exercise time producing chest pain (angina) were 2–5.9% (Aronow et al. 1984; Anderson et al. 1973; Adams et al. 1988; Kleinman et al. 1989; Allred et al. 1989); the chest pain in these cases is thought to be a response to mydocardial ischemia.

Available studies have suggested that humans with coronary artery disease are susceptible to carbon monoxide-induced ventricular arrhythmias. An early study by Hinderliter et al. (1989) did not report an increase in ventricular arrhythmia following exposure of patients with coronary artery disease to carbon monoxide sufficient to result in 4% or 6% COHb, while a later study by the same group (Sheps et al. 1990) examining a group of 46 coronary artery disease patients found an increase in arrhythmia frequency at 6% COHb, but not at 4%. Dahms et al. (1993) did not find a significant association between CO exposures resulting in 3% or 5% COHb and the frequency of arrhythmias during rest or exercise. It therefore appears that at 5% COHb or below, humans are not at increased risk for ventricular arrhythmias, but that at higher COHb levels there may be a risk in sensitive populations.

Epidemiology studies of workers exposed to atmospheres containing carbon monoxide provide support for the development of carbon monoxide-induced ischemic cardiovascular disease. Hernberg et al. (1976) found a significant correlation between CO exposure and angina pectoris, but not between CO exposure and electrocardiographic findings, in a group of Finnish foundry workers. In a follow-up study of the same population, no significant differences between CO exposure and mortality rates from cardiovascular disease or ischemic heart disease were reported (Koskela 1994). A series of studies by Stern et al. (1981, 1988) did not find a significant increase in mortality from cardiovascular disease in New Jersey motor vehicle examiners during a period between 1944 and 1973 or New York City bridge officers between 1951 and 1985, but did report an increased mortality rate among New York City tunnel officers, who were generally exposed to higher CO levels than the other two exposure populations.

A large number of reviews have evaluated the association between chronic CO exposure and the development of ischemic cardiovascular disease (Utell et al. 1994; Smith and Steichen 1993; Mennear 1993; Folinsbee 1992; Kristensen 1989; Weir and Fabiano 1982; U.S. EPA 1991, 1992). The reviews generally agree that acute carbon monoxide exposures can aggravate symptoms of cardiovascular disease, primarily by generating tissue hypoxia, but that available evidence is not sufficient to establish a causative link between low-level (<100 ppm) CO exposure and the development of cardiovascular disease.

#### Neurological Effects

Effects on the central nervous system are well-documented at high blood COHb levels, while at lower levels many COHb-related effects have been noted, but have been difficult to consistently demonstrate and quantify. The first neurological effects from carbon monoxide exposure begin to appear at 5–9% COHb in the blood, manifesting mainly as a transient alteration of visual thresholds (Crystal and Ginsberg 2000). At higher levels (16–20% COHb), headache is common. As COHb levels continue to increase, other symptoms include loss of manual dexterity, nausea and vomiting, convulsions, coma, and death (Crystal and Ginsberg 2000). However, there is considerable variability between studies, and within individual studies, concerning the COHb levels at which neurological symptoms begin to appear, making it difficult to draw conclusions.

At moderate (10–50%) COHb levels, however, studies have shown a consistent trend of neurological effects, including severe headache, dizziness, nausea, fatigue, and dimness of vision (Dolan 1985; Olson 1984; Benignus et al. 1987; Fawcett et al. 1992). Extremely high blood COHb levels (50–80%) result in severe neurological effects, including disorientation, seizures, coma, respiratory failure, and death (Dolan 1985; Olson 1984).

#### **Developmental Effects**

The developing fetus is believed to be particularly sensitive to the effects of hypoxia, and therefore to the effects of carbon monoxide. The developmental effects of low levels of carbon monoxide in humans are not known, but higher exposures have been demonstrated to result in malformations, functional changes, or fetal death (Norman and Halton 1990); these effects have only been noted in cases where noted maternal toxicity was present.

Animal studies provide strong evidence that exposure to carbon monoxide can result in effects on the developing fetus. The available data indicate that carbon monoxide exposures producing from 15–25% COHb in the mother produce reductions in birth weight, cardiomegaly, delays in behavioral development, and deficits in cognitive function (for review, see U.S. EPA 1991). Higher exposure levels, resulting in COHb levels of 48% or greater, resulted in maternal and fetal death.

#### A.3 Mechanisms of Action

The primary mechanism of action of carbon monoxide toxicity is the formation of carboxyhemoglobin. This reduces the amount of hemoglobin available to carry oxygen to the tissues, as well as interfering with oxygen release at the tissue level. These two factors combine to diminish cellular respiration, resulting in tissue hypoxia. Tissues sensitive to hypoxia, such as the lung, heart, and central nervous system, are particularly sensitive to the effects of carbon monoxide poisoning. Other mechanisms of carbon monoxide-induced toxicity have been hypothesized and assessed, such as hydroxyl radical production (Piantadosi et al. 1997) and lipid peroxidation (Thom, 1990, 1992, 1993) in the brain of carbon monoxide-poisoned rats; however, these are likely high-dose phenomena, and have not been demonstrated at low carbon monoxide exposure levels.

#### A.4 Health Guidelines

ATSDR (2005) has not derived MRLs for carbon monoxide for any exposure duration or route.

EPA (2005) does not list an RfD, RfC, or cancer classification for carbon monoxide on IRIS.

National Air Quality Standards for CO are 9 ppm with an 8 hour averaging time and 35 ppm with a 1 hour averaging time, neither standard is to be exceeded more than once per year (US EPA 2000).

The World Health Organization (1999) recommends that CO exposures be kept to levels below which a COHb level of 2.5% would be generated. These correspond to 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (87 ppm) for 15 min, 60 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (52 ppm) for 30 min, 30 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (26 ppm) for 1 hour, or 10 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (9 ppm) for 8 hours.

IARC (2005) has not issued a carcinogenicity classification for carbon monoxide.

#### A.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values

#### Hematological Effects

The primary effects of exposure of healthy individuals to carbon monoxide involve the formation of carboxyhemoglobin (COHb), the result of binding of carbon monoxide to the ferrous iron in hemoglobin. The formation of COHb, and resulting affinity of blood for oxygen, is responsible for the effects of carbon monoxide on other organs and tissues. As the earliest effects of carbon monoxide occur at 2% COHb (see cardiovascular effects, below), this effect was selected as the point of departure for deriving a TTD for hematological effects. According to Raub et al. (2000), and based on the CFK equation, the equilibrium CO concentration required to achieve 2% blood COHb is 10 ppm; this value was therefore used as the point of departure for the TTD. To the value of 10 ppm an uncertainty factor of 10, representing 3 for intrahuman variability and 3 for use of a minimal LOAEL, was applied to give the TTD of 1 ppm. A full factor of 10 was not used for intrahuman variability because variability in carboxyhemoglobin formation is expected to be small. **Normal values for carboxyhemoglobin are** <**2.3% of the total hemoglobin; 4-5% in heavy smokers.** 

#### Cardiovascular Effects

Significant decreases in short-term maximal exercise duration have been noted in healthy men with blood COHb levels ranging from 2–7% (Drinkwater et al. 1974; Ekblom and Huot 1972; Horvath et al. 1975), while decreases in maximal oxygen consumption have been observed in groups of healthy men with COHb levels ranging from 5–20% (Ekblom and Huot 1972; Pirnay et al. 1971; Vogel and Gleser 1972; Weiser et al. 1978). The lowest observed COHb blood levels associated with significant decreases in exercise time producing chest pain (angina) were 2–5.9% (Aronow et al. 1984; Anderson et al. 1973; Adams et al. 1988; Kleinman et al. 1989; Allred et al. 1989). Therefore, the 2% COHb level, which has been shown to cause decreases in maximal exercise duration as well as decreased time to chest pain during exercise, was selected as the critical effect level. According to Raub et al. (2000), and based on the CFK equation, the equilibrium CO concentration required to achieve 2% blood COHb is 10 ppm; this value was therefore used as the point of departure for the TTD. To the value of 10 ppm an uncertainty

factor of 10, representing 3 for intrahuman variability and 3 for use of a minimal LOAEL, was applied to give the TTD of 1 ppm. A full factor of 10 was not used for intrahuman variability because variability in carboxyhemoglobin formation is expected to be small, and the 2% COHb level represents the bottom of the normal range of variability.

#### Neurological Effects

Effects on the central nervous system are well-documented at high blood COHb levels, while at lower levels many COHb-related effects have been noted, but have been difficult to consistently demonstrate and quantify. The first neurological effects from carbon monoxide exposure begin to appear at 5–9% COHb in the blood, manifesting mainly as a transient alteration of visual thresholds (Crystal and Ginsberg 2000). At higher levels (16–20% COHb), headache is common. As COHb levels continue to increase, other symptoms include loss of manual dexterity, nausea and vomiting, convulsions, coma, and death (Crystal and Ginsberg 2000). However, there is considerable variability between studies, and within individual studies, concerning the COHb levels at which neurological symptoms being to appear, making it difficult to draw conclusions.

Using the estimates presented in Raub et al. (2000) for equilibrium COHb levels in humans as a guide, 5% blood COHb will be reached at 33 ppm; 33ppm was therefore selected as the point of departure. To the LOAEL of 33 ppm, an uncertainty factor of 10 (3 for intrahuman variability, 3 for use of a minimal LOAEL) was applied to give the TTD of 3 ppm.

Summary (TTD for Carbon Monoxide) TTD<sub>HEMATO</sub> = 1 ppm TTD<sub>CARDIO</sub> = 1 ppm TTD<sub>NEURO</sub> = 3 ppm

# A.6 References

Adams KF, Koch G, Chatterjee B, et al. 1988. Acute elevation of blood carboxyhemoglobin to 6% impairs exercise performance and aggravates symptoms in patients with ischemic heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 12:900–909.

Allred EN, Bleecker ER, Chaitman BR, et al. 1989. Short-term effects of carbon monoxide exposure on the exercise performance of subjects with coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 321:1426–1432.

Anderson EW, Andelman RJ, Strauch JM, et al. 1973. Effect of low-level carbon monoxide exposure on onset and duration of angina pectoris: A study in ten patients with ischemic heart disease. Ann Intern Med 79:46–50.

Aronow WS, Schlueter WJ, Williams MA, et al. 1984. Aggravation of exercise performance in patients with anemia by 3% carboxyhemoglobin. Environ Res 35:394–398.

Benignus VA, Muller KE, Barton CN, et al. 1987. Effect of low level carbon monoxide on compensatory tracking and event monitoring. Neurotoxicol Teratol 9:227–234.

Benignus VA, Haszucha MJ, Smith MV, et al. 1994. Prediction of carboxyhemoglobin formation due to transient exposure to carbon monoxide. J Appl Physiol 76(4):1739–1745.

Coburn RF, Forman HJ. 1987. Carbon monoxide toxicity. In: Fishman AP, Farhi LE, Tenney SM, et al. eds. Handbook of physiology: A critical, comprehensive presentation of physiological knowledge and concepts. Volume IV: Gas exchange, Section 3: The respiratory system. Bethesda, MD: American Physiological Society, 439–456.

Coburn RF, Forster RE, Kane PB. 1965. Considerations of the physiological variables that determine the blood corboxyhemoglobin concentration in man. J Clin Invest 44:1899–1910.

Dahms TE, Younis LT, Wiens RD, et al. 1993. Effects of carbon monoxide exposure in patients with documented cardiac arrhythmias. J Am Coll Cardiol 21(2):442–450.

Dolan MC. 1985. Carbon monoxide poisoning. Can J Med Assoc 133:392-399.

Drinkwater BL, Raven PB, Horvath SM, et al. 1974. Air pollution, exercise, and heat stress. Arch Environ Health 28:177–181.

Ekblom B, Huot R. 1972. Response to submaximal and maximal exercise at different levels of carboxyhemoglobin. Acta Physiol Scand 86:472–482.

EPA. 1991. Air quality criteria for carbon monoxide. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development.

EPA. 1992. Review of the national ambient air quality standards for carbon monoxide. Assessment of scientific and technical information. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

Fawcett TA, Moon RE, Fracica PJ, et al. 1992. Warehouse workers' headache: Carbon monoxide poisoning from propane-fueled forklifts. J Occup Med 34(1):12–15.

Folinsbee LJ. 1992. Human health effects of air pollution. Environ Health Persp 100:45-56.

Forster RE. 1987. Diffusion of gases across the alveolar membrane. In: Fishman AP, Farhi LE, Tenney SM et al. eds. Handbook of physiology: A critical, comprehensive presentation of physiological knowledge and concept, Volume IV: Gas exchange, Section 3: The respiratory system. Bethesda, MD: American Physiological Society, 71–88.

Hernberg S, Karava R, Koskela R-S, et al. 1976. Angina pectoris, ECG findings and blood pressure of foundry workers in relation to carbon monoxide exposure. Scand J Work Environ Health 2(Suppl 1):54–63.

Hill EP, Hill JR, Power GG, et al. 1977. Carbon monoxide exchanges between the human fetus and mother: A mathematical model. Am J Physiol 232:H311–H323.

Hinderliter AL, Adams KF Jr, Price CJ, et al. 1989. Effects of low-level carbon monoxide exposure on resting and exercise-induced ventricular arrhythmias in patients with coronary artery disease and no baseline ectopy. Arch Environ Health 44:89–93.

Horvath SM, Raven PB, Dahma TE, et al. 1975. Maximal aerobic capacity at different levels of carboxyhemoglobin. J Appl Physiol 38:300–303.

Kleinman MT, Davidson DM, Vandagriff RB, et al. 1989. Effects of short-term exposure to carbon monoxide in subjects with coronary artery disease. Arch Environ Health 44:361–369.

Koskela R-S. 1994. Cardiovascular diseases among foundry workers exposed to carbon monoxide. Scand J Work Environ Health 20(4):286–293.

Kristensen TS. 19989. Cardiovascular diseases and the work environment. A critical review of the epidemiologic literature on chemical factors. Scand J Work Environ Health 15(4):245–264.

Landaw SA. 1973. The effects of cigarette smoking on total body burden and excretion rates of carbon monoxide. J Occup Med 15:211–235.

Longo LD. 1977. The biological effects of carbon monoxide on the pregnant woman, fetus and newborn infant. Am J Obstet Gynecol 129:69–103.

Maroziene L., Grazuleviciene R. 2002. Maternal exposure to low-level air pollution and pregnancy outcomes: a population-based study. Environmental Health: A Global Access Science Source 1:6-13.

Mennear JH. 1993. Carbon monoxide and cardiovascular disease: An analysis of the weight of evidence. Reg Toxicol Phrarmacol 17(1):77–84.

Norman CA & Halton DM (1990) Is carbon monoxide a workplace teratogen? A review and evaluation of the literature. Ann Occup Hyg 34(4):335–347.

Olson KR. 1984. Carbon monoxide poisoning: Mechanisms, presentation, and controversies in management. J Emerg Med 1:233–243.

Peterson JE, Stewart RD. 1970. Absorption and elimination of carbon monoxide by inactive young men. Arch Environ Health 21:165–171.

Piantadosi CA, Zhang J, Demchenko IT. 1997. Production of hydroxyl radical in the hippocampus after CO hypoxia or hypoxia in the rat. Free Radic Biol Med 22(4):725–732.

Pirnay F, Dujardin J, Deroanne R, et al. 1971. Muscular exercise during intoxication by carbon monoxide. J Appl Physiol 62:1277–1284.

Roughton FJW. 1970. The equilibrium of carbon monoxide with human hemoglobin in whole blood. In: Coburn RF, ed. Biological effects of carbon monoxide. Ann NY Acad Sci 174:177–188.

Sheps DS, Herbst MC, Hinderliter AL, et al. 1990. Production of arrhythmias by elevated carboxyhemoglobin in patients with coronary artery disease. Ann Intern Med, 113:343–351.

Smith CJ, Steichen TJ. 1993. The atherogenic potential of carbon monoxide. Atheroschlerosis 99(2):137–149.

Stern FB, Lemen RA, Curtis RA. 1981. Exposure of motor vehicle examiners to carbon monoxide: A historical prospective mortality study. Arch Environ Health 36:59–66.

Stern FB, Halperin WE, Hornung RW, et al. 1988. Heart disease mortality among bridge and tunnel officers exposed to carbon monoxide. Am J Epidemiol 128:1276–1288.

Stewart RD, Peterson JE, Baretta ED, et al. 1970. Experimental human exposure to carbon monoxide. Arch Environ Health 21:154–164.

Stewart RD, Hake CL, Wu A, et al. 1976. Carboxyhemoglobin trend in Chicago blood donors, 1970–1974. Arch Environ Health 31:280–286.

Thom S. 1990. Carbon monoxide-mediated brain lipid peroxidation in the rat. J Appl Physiol 68:997–1003.

Thom S. 1992. Dehydrogenase conversion to oxidase and lipid peroxidation in brain after carbon monoxide poisoning. J Appl Physiol 73(4):1584–1589.

Thom SR 1993. Functional inhibition of leukocyte B2 integrins by hyperbaric oxygen in carbon monoxide-mediated brain injury in rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 123(2):248–256.

Tikuisis P, Kane DM, McLellan TM, et al. 1992. Rate of formation of carboxyhemoglobin in exercising humans exposed to carbon monoxide. J Appl Physiol 72:1311–1319.

Utell MJ, Warren J, Sawyer RF. 1994. Public health risks from motor vehicle emissions. Ann Rev Public Health 15:157–178.

Vogel JA, Gleser MA. 1972. Effect of carbon monoxide on oxygen transport during exercise. J Appl Physiol 32:234–239.

Wagner JA, Horvath SM, Dahms TE. 1975. Carbon monoxide elimination. Respir Physiol 23:41-47.

Weir FW, Fabiano VL. 1982. Re-evaluation of the role of carbon monoxide in production or aggravation of cardiovascular disease process. J Occup Med 24:519–525.

Weiser PC, Morrill CG, Dickey DW, et al. 1978. Effects of low-level carbon monoxide exposure on the adaptation of healthy young men to aerobic work at an altitude of 1,610 meters. In: Folinsbee LJ, Wagner JA, Borgia JF, et al. eds. Environmental stress: Individual human adaptations. New York: London, Academic Press, 101–110.

# Appendix B: Background Information for Formaldehyde

#### **B.1 Toxicokinetics**

#### Absorption

Formaldehyde is absorbed by the tissues of the respiratory tract during inhalation exposure in several species. Heck et al. (1985) determined the fate of inhaled formaldehyde in humans exposed to a 1.9 ppm air concentration of formaldehyde for 40 minutes. Shortly before and shortly after the exposure, venous blood samples were taken from each person (each person served as his/her own control) and the blood was analyzed for formaldehyde content. Mean venous blood formaldehyde concentrations in humans prior to exposure showed a blood concentration of  $2.61\pm0.41 \ \mu g/g$  of blood. Individual variability was markedly present. Immediately after a 40-minute exposure, mean blood concentration of formaldehyde was  $2.77\pm0.28 \ \mu g/g$  of blood. There was no significant difference between pre- and post-exposure blood concentrations of formaldehyde at the formaldehyde air concentrations tested in this study. This result suggests that formaldehyde was absorbed only into the tissues of the respiratory tract. The absence of increased formaldehyde concentrations in the blood is likely due to its rapid metabolism in these tissues and/or fast reaction with cellular macromolecules.

During a nose-only inhalation exposure of rats to  $14.4\pm2.4$  ppm of formaldehyde for 2 hours, no changes in the quantities of formaldehyde were detected in the blood, relative to unexposed animals (Heck et al. 1985). In a similar study by Heck et al. (1983), Fischer 344 rats were exposed by inhalation to <sup>14</sup>C-formaldehyde at 8 ppm for 6 hours. Concentrations of total <sup>14</sup>C radioactivity (most likely as <sup>14</sup>C-formate) in the whole blood and plasma were monitored for additional 8 days. Plasma concentrations of <sup>14</sup>C increased over the exposure period, reaching a maximum at the termination of exposure. Plasma <sup>14</sup>C concentrations then declined slowly over the next few days. In a later study, Heck et al. (1985) determined the fate of inhaled formaldehyde in the rat. Male Fischer 344 rats were placed in a nose-only inhalation chamber and exposed to a 14.4±2.4 ppm air concentration of formaldehyde for 2 hours, were sacrificed, and a venous blood sample was collected and analyzed for formaldehyde content. Unexposed control rats had a mean formaldehyde blood level of 2.24±0.07 µg/g of blood. Rats exposed to the 14.4 ppm air concentration of formaldehyde had blood concentrations of 2.25±0.07 µg/g.

Egle (1972) measured the retention of formaldehyde along the entire respiratory tract, both upper and lower portions, and measured the effects of ventilation rate, tidal volume, and concentration of inhaled formaldehyde on these parameters. Mongrel dogs of both sexes (at least 4 dogs per experiment) were anesthetized and exposed to  $0.15-0.35 \ \mu g (122-235 \ ppm)$  of formaldehyde vapor produced from

formalin. Retention of formaldehyde when the entire upper and lower respiratory tract was exposed was near 100% and seemed to be independent of the airborne concentration of formaldehyde or variations in the tidal volume. When the upper respiratory tract was isolated from the lungs, the 2-way exposures showed a 100% uptake of formaldehyde, while 1-way exposures of formaldehyde showed that retention was slightly lower than in the 2-way exposure, but still exceeded 95% at all respiratory rates. When the lower respiratory tract was isolated and examined, the uptake of formaldehyde still exceeded 95%; however, it appeared to decrease slightly as the ventilation rates increased. This study concluded that when formaldehyde is inhaled at the concentrations studied, very little formaldehyde vapor would actually reach the lower respiratory tract.

In another study by Casanova et al. (1988), blood levels of formaldehyde were determined in Rhesus monkeys after exposure to 6 ppm formaldehyde for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 4 weeks. Immediately after the last exposure, the monkeys were sedated and blood samples were collected within 7 minutes and at 45 hours after exposure. Blood concentrations of formaldehyde in the three nonexposed monkeys (2.42  $\mu$ g/g) were not significantly different from those of the exposed group. The authors concluded that exposure to moderately high levels of formaldehyde had no effect on blood concentrations due to rapid local metabolism.

#### Distribution

No studies were located that described the distribution of formaldehyde or its metabolites in humans after inhalation exposure.

Several studies are available that describe the distribution of formaldehyde in laboratory animals. Heck et al. (1983) examined the fate of <sup>14</sup>C-formaldehyde in Fischer 344 rats exposed by inhalation to <sup>14</sup>C-formaldehyde at 8 ppm for 6 hours. Concentrations of total radioactivity in the whole blood and plasma were monitored for 8 days. The terminal half-life of the <sup>14</sup>C was approximately 55 hours, which was considerably longer than the known half-life of formaldehyde (about 1.5 minutes in monkeys), indicating both the metabolism of <sup>14</sup>C-formaldehyde to other molecules (i.e., formate) and incorporation into other molecules. Radioactivity in the packed blood cell fraction was multiphasic; it initially increased during exposure, declined during the first hour postexposure, then began to increase again, reaching a maximum at approximately 35 hours postexposure. The terminal phase of the packed red blood cell fraction had a very slow decline in radioactivity, which would likely continue for several weeks after exposure ended (half-life >55 hours).

Heck et al. (1983) also examined distribution of <sup>14</sup>C-formaldehyde in formaldehyde-naive and formaldehyde-pretreated male Fischer 344 rats. Pretreated rats were exposed whole-body to 15 ppm formaldehyde 6 hours/day for 9 days. On the tenth day, these rats and the formaldehyde-naive rats (never exposed to formaldehyde vapors) were then exposed head-only to <sup>14</sup>C-formaldehyde at concentrations of 14.9 ppm for 6 hours. All rats were sacrificed immediately after completion of the <sup>14</sup>C-formaldehvde exposure. Immediately after completion of the inhalation exposure, <sup>14</sup>C concentrations were greatest in the mucosal tissues. At 15 ppm, <sup>14</sup>C concentrations were as follows: nasal mucosa, 2 µmole equivalents/g tissue; trachea, 0.3 µmole equivalents/g tissue; and plasma, 0.1 µmole equivalents/g tissue. Radioactive concentrations were relatively equivalent in all of the mucosal linings monitored. Tissue concentrations of <sup>14</sup>C in naive and pretreated rats did not differ from each other. Tissue concentrations of <sup>14</sup>C were low, resembling plasma concentrations; the ratio of <sup>14</sup>C in internal organs to that in plasma were: esophagus, 4.94±1.23; kidney, 3.12±0.47; liver, 2.77±0.25; intestine, 2.64±0.48; lung, 2.05±0.36; spleen,  $1.59\pm0.50$ ; heart,  $1.09\pm0.09$ ; brain,  $0.37\pm0.06$ ; testes,  $0.31\pm0.05$ ; and erythrocytes,  $0.30\pm0.08$ . A similar study by Chang et al. (1983) found that the amounts of radioactivity deposited in the nasal cavities of naive and pretreated rats were similar, but that pretreated rats had less visceral radioactivity compared to naive animals while more radioactivity was found in the nasal cavity of naive mice. The decreased visceral radioactivity seen in the pretreated mice was thought to be due to decreased grooming and mucociliary clearance.

#### Metabolism

Formaldehyde is rapidly metabolized and storage is not a factor in its toxicity. The metabolism of formaldehyde to formate (via formaldehyde dehydrogenase/class III alcohol dehydrogenase) takes place in all of the tissues of the body as a consequence of endogenous formation of formaldehyde, and the formate is quickly removed by the supporting blood supply (Heck et al. 1982). Formaldehyde dehydrogenase (FDH) is the major metabolic enzyme involved in the metabolism of formaldehyde in all of the tissues studied; it is widely distributed in animal tissues, particularly in the rat nasal mucosa, and is specific for the glutathione adduct of formaldehyde. If formaldehyde is not metabolized by FDH, then it can form cross linkages between proteins, between protein and single-stranded DNA or enter the one-carbon intermediary metabolic pool by initially binding to tetrahydrofolate (Bolt 1987). Several enzymes can catalyze the reaction that oxidizes formaldehyde to formic acid (i.e., nonspecific aldehyde dehydrogenase and catalase); however, FDH is the primary enzyme that performs this function and is specific for formaldehyde; other aldehydes are left intact in the presence of FDH. Endogenous or exogenous formaldehyde enters the FDH metabolic pathway and is eliminated from the body as metabolites, primarily as formate or CO2. Formaldehyde dehydrogenase activity does not increase (i.e.,

not inducible) in response to formaldehyde exposure (Casanova-Schmitz et al. 1984); thus no increase in metabolism occurs.

#### Elimination

Heck et al. (1983) examined the fate of <sup>14</sup>C-formaldehyde in male Fischer 344 rats. Rats were exposed to 0.63 or 13.1 ppm formaldehyde for 6 hours. Upon completion of the exposure, the rats were placed in metabolic cages which allowed the continuous collection of urine, feces, and expired air; they remained in the cages for 70 hours and were then sacrificed. The average <sup>14</sup>CO2 excretion was biphasic, with a rapid decline over the first 12 hours followed by a more gradual decline in excretion over the remainder of time. Changing the concentration of formaldehyde did not affect the proportion of dose recovered in each type of excreta. Radioactivity in urine accounted for 17.6 and 17.3% of the total radioactivity detected for low- and high-dose rats, respectively; radioactivity in feces accounted for 4.2 and 5.3% of the total respective amounts of recovered radioactivity. Exhalation was the major route of excretion, accounting for 39.4% of the low dose and 41.9% of the high dose. The amount of <sup>14</sup>C remaining in the carcass after 70 hours was roughly equivalent (38.9% of low dose; 35.2% of high dose) to that expired over the same period. At 15 ppm, <sup>14</sup>C concentrations were as follows: nasal mucosa, 2 µmole equivalents/g tissue; trachea, 0.3 µmole equivalents/g tissue; and plasma, 0.1 µmole equivalents/g tissue.

#### Physiologically-Based Pharmacokinetic Models

Pharmacokinetic models describing the rate of formation of formaldehyde-induced DNA-protein cross links in different regions of the nasal cavity as a function of formaldehyde air concentration have been developed for rats and monkeys (Casanova et al. 1991; Heck and Casanova 1994). Rates of formation of DNA-protein cross links have been used as a dose surrogate for formaldehyde tissue concentrations in extrapolating exposure-response relationships for nasal tumors in rats to estimate cancer risks for humans (EPA 1991). The models assume that rates of cross link formation are proportional to tissue concentration of formaldehyde and include saturable and nonsaturable elimination pathways, and that regional and species differences in cross link formation are primarily dependent on anatomical and physiological parameters (e.g., minute volume and quantity of nasal mucosa) rather than biochemical parameters. The models were developed with data from studies in which concentrations of DNA-protein cross links were measured in different regions of the nasal cavities of rats (Casanova et al. 1989) and Rhesus monkeys (Casanova et al. 1991; Heck et al. 1989) exposed by inhalation to radiolabeled formaldehyde. In agreement with the observed data, the models predict that overall rates of DNA-protein cross link formation in rat respiratory mucosa are higher than rates in Rhesus monkeys, and that there is a nonlinear, convex relationship between this dose surrogate in nasal tissues and increasing air concentrations of formaldehyde (Casanova et al. 1991). Similar nonlinear, convex exposure-response relationships have also been observed in formaldehyde-exposed rats for nasal tumor incidence (Kerns et al. 1983; Monticello et al. 1996) and cell proliferation indices in regions of the rat nasal epithelium where tumors develop (Monticello et al. 1996).

Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) models of airflow in the nasal passages of rats, monkeys, and humans have been developed to determine the degree to which interspecies and interregional differences in uptake patterns along airway passages may account for differing distributions of formaldehyde-induced upper respiratory tract lesions in rats and primates. These models enable extrapolation of exposures associated with upper respiratory tract tissue damage in rats or monkeys to human exposures (Cohen Hubal et al. 1997; Kepler et al. 1998; Kimbell et al. 1993, 1997a, b; Morgan 1997; Morgan et al. 1991; Subramaniam et al. 1998). Airflow pattern is expected to be one of three important determinants of upper respiratory tract tissue uptake, along with interactions at the airway/tissue interface such as offgassing and tissue properties influencing absorption rates (e.g., mucociliary clearance or rate of metabolism).

Driving forces behind the development of these airflow models include: (1) differences in nasal anatomy and breathing patterns between rats and primates; (2) observations that nonneoplastic respiratory tract lesions in rats exposed to 6 ppm formaldehyde are confined to epithelial tissue in specific anterior regions of the nose posterior to the vestibule (Chang et al. 1983; Morgan et al. 1986b), whereas monkeys exposed to 6 ppm formaldehyde show a wider distribution of similar epithelial lesions in the nose posterior to the vestibule (Chang et al. 1983; Morgan et al. 1986b), whereas monkeys exposed to 6 ppm formaldehyde show a wider distribution of similar epithelial lesions in the nose posterior to the vestibule and some extension of the lesions into the tracheal and bronchial regions (Monticello et al. 1989); (3) histochemical localization observations suggesting that regional differences in formaldehyde dehydrogenase, a key enzyme in formaldehyde detoxification, were insufficient to account for localized toxicity in the rat nose (Keller et al. 1990); and (4) observations of correlations between sites of formaldehyde-induced lesions in the nasal epithelium of rats and Rhesus monkeys and site-specific rates of DNA-protein cross link formation (a putative internal dosimeter for formaldehyde as discussed earlier; Casanova et al. 1989, 1991, 1994) or site-specific rates of cellular proliferation (Monticello et al. 1989, 1996).

The Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology and the U.S. EPA (CIIT 1998) are currently exploring options in using the CFD and pharmacokinetic models to extrapolate exposure-response relationships for formaldehyde-induced rat nasal tumors and related end points, such as rates of cellular proliferation in specific regions of the nasal epithelium, to derive estimates of cancer risk in humans exposed to inhaled formaldehyde. One approach being explored makes predictions for nasal and lung tumor risk in humans

exposed to inhaled formaldehyde using two-stage clonal-growth cancer models incorporating data on cell division rates, numbers of cell at risk, tumor incidence, and site-specific flux of formaldehyde (see also CIIT 1998; Conolly et al. 1992; Conolly and Andersen 1993; Morgan 1997). A second approach (a benchmark dose approach) makes predictions of nasal cancer risk in humans using curve fitting of relevant rat exposure-response data (e.g., nasal tumors or precursor lesions such as preneoplastic foci or squamous papillomas, rates of cellular proliferation, or rates of DNA-protein cross link formation) and CFD modeling and/or pharmacokinetic modeling for extrapolation purposes (CIIT 1998).

#### **B.2 Health Effects**

Although formaldehyde is a normal intermediary cellular metabolite involved in the biosynthesis of purines, thymidine, and several amino acids, it is a highly reactive molecule that can be directly irritating to tissues with which it comes into contact. Human and animal studies indicate that formaldehyde, at appropriate exposure levels, can be irritating to the upper respiratory tract and eyes with inhalation exposure, to the skin with dermal exposure, and to the gastrointestinal tract with oral exposure. Reports of allergic dermal sensitization to formaldehyde are widespread and supported by results from animal studies, but the evidence that formaldehyde sensitizes the respiratory tract is less convincing.

Studies of volunteers exposed to airborne formaldehyde for short periods of time (8 hours or less) indicate that eye, nose, and throat irritation occurs at concentrations in the range of 0.4–3 ppm (Andersen and Molhave 1983; Bender et al. 1983; Day et al. 1984; Gorski et al. 1992; Krakowiak et al. 1998; Kulle et al. 1987; Pazdrak et al. 1993; Weber-Tschopp et al. 1977; Witek et al. 1986). At the lower end of this range, the irritation is typically described as mild and noted by a lower percentage of exposed subjects than at the upper end of the range. Studies of monkeys, rats, and mice exposed to higher concentrations in the range of 3–9 ppm for acute to intermediate periods of time demonstrate that formaldehyde nonneoplastic toxic effects are restricted to lesions (squamous metaplasia and hyperplasia) in the epithelium of the upper respiratory tract (Chang et al. 1983; Monticello et al. 1989; Morgan et al. 1986a, 1986b; Rusch et al. 1983; Woutersen et al. 1987; Zwart et al. 1988).

Studies of animals exposed for life to formaldehyde in air or drinking water also show that formaldehyde primarily damages tissue at portals-of-entry (i.e., the upper respiratory tract and the gastrointestinal tract); evidence for toxic effects at distant sites is less consistent. Replicated inhalation studies have shown that formaldehyde induced malignant nasal tumors in rats at high exposure concentrations (10– 15 ppm) that also induced nasal epithelial necrosis and cellular proliferation, but not at lower concentrations (0.3–2 ppm) that did not markedly damage nasal epithelial tissue (Albert et al. 1982; Kamata et al. 1997; Kerns

et al. 1983b; Monticello et al. 1996; Woutersen et al. 1989). Exposure-related cancer or noncancer lesions at other sites were not found in these studies. Statistically significant increased incidences of nasal tumors, however, were not found in mice exposed by inhalation for 2 years (Kerns et al. 1983) or in hamsters exposed for 18 months (Dalbey 1982) at concentrations similar to those producing nasal tumors in rats. Nonneoplastic nasal epithelial damage was found in mice exposed to 14 ppm, but not in mice exposed to 2 ppm (Kerns et al. 1983b). Three lifetime drinking-water exposure studies in rats that found no consistent, exposure-related cancer or noncancer effects at sites distant from the gastrointestinal tract (Soffriti et al. 1989; Til et al. 1989; Tobe et al. 1989) provide support for the expectation that formaldehyde-induced health effects are restricted to portals-of-entry.

Occupational and residential exposure to formaldehyde has been associated with reports of symptoms of eye, nose, and throat irritation from exposure to airborne formaldehyde (Garry et al. 1980; Holness and Nethercott 1989; Horvath et al. 1988; Ritchie and Lehnen 1987), and there are numerous reports of skin irritation and contact dermatitis most likely resulting from dermal exposure to formaldehyde in liquids (Fischer et al. 1995; Kiec-Swierczynska 1996; Maibach 1983; Meding and Swanbeck 1990; Menné et al. 1991). Several cross-sectional studies of nasal epithelial tissue specimens from workers exposed to airborne formaldehyde in the approximate average concentration range of 0.2–1 ppm found evidence in some of the workers for mild lesions (stratified squamous epithelium and mild dysplasia) that are indicative of the irritant and reactive properties of formaldehyde (Ballarin et al. 1992; Boysen et al. 1990; Edling et al. 1988; Holmstrom et al. 1989).

More than 40 epidemiology studies (cohort studies of industrial workers, cohort studies of medical specialists and embalmers, and case-control studies) examining the potential for occupational formaldehyde exposure to induce cancer have provided only equivocal evidence of a relationship between formaldehyde and nasopharyngeal cancer in humans, and even less convincing evidence for extrarespiratory cancer.

The apparent restriction of formaldehyde-induced noncancer and cancer effects to portals-of-entry is consistent with the highly reactive nature of formaldehyde and the existence of physiological mechanisms of protection, such as the nasal mucosal barrier and the detoxifying metabolism of formaldehyde in most, if not all, cells. The available weight of evidence indicates that distant site effects from formaldehyde may occur only when the capacity for local disposition of formaldehyde is exceeded.

#### **B.3 Mechanisms of Action**

The toxicity of formaldehyde is route-dependent; irritation at the point of contact is seen by inhalation, oral, and dermal routes. High doses are cytotoxic and result in degeneration and necrosis of mucosal and epithelial cell layers. These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that toxic effects are mediated by formaldehyde itself and not by metabolites. No specific target molecule has been identified, although DNA-protein cross links have been identified (Casanova and Heck 1987). Aldehydes as a group are reactive chemicals with a highly electronegative oxygen atom and less electronegative atoms of carbon(s), and hence have a substantial dipole moment. The carbonyl atom is the electrophilic site of these types of molecules, making it react easily with nucleophilic sites on cell membranes and in body tissues and fluids such as the amino groups in protein and DNA (Feron et al. 1991). It is known that formaldehyde readily combines with free, unprotonated amino groups of amino acids to yield hydroxymethyl amino acid derivatives and a proton (H+), which is believed to be related to its germicidal properties. Higher concentrations will precipitate protein (Loomis 1979). Either one of these mechanistic properties or perhaps other unknown properties may be responsible for the irritation effects seen with formaldehyde exposure.

Oral and inhalation toxicity studies with animals generally have found that toxic effects from formaldehyde are restricted to portal-of-entry tissue, but there are scattered reports of toxic effects at sites distant from portals-of-entry. It is probable that formaldehyde toxicity occurs when intracellular levels saturate formaldehyde dehydrogenase activity, overwhelming the natural protection against formaldehyde, and allowing the unmetabolized intact molecule to exert its effects locally. The primary metabolite of formaldehyde, formate, is not expected to be as reactive as formaldehyde itself and is subject to excretion as a salt in the urine, entrance into the one-carbon metabolic pool for incorporation into other cellular components, or further metabolism to carbon dioxide. The mechanism whereby distant site toxicity may be expressed is unclear, but given the highly reactive nature of formaldehyde and the ubiquitous metabolic capability of cells to metabolize formaldehyde, it is plausible that distant site effects may occur only when the capacity for local disposition of formaldehyde is exceeded.

It has been demonstrated that formaldehyde can form cross links between protein and DNA *in vivo*. Casanova-Schmitz et al. (1984) reported that the predominant route of formaldehyde metabolism was metabolic incorporation into macromolecules (DNA, RNA, and proteins) in the respiratory and olfactory mucosa and bone marrow of male Fischer 344 rats. Later studies by Casanova et al. (1991) described the formation of DNA-protein cross links in the respiratory tract measured in male Fischer 344 rats as well as in Rhesus monkeys; concentrations of DNA-protein cross links were greatest in the middle turbinate

\*\*\*DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE-\*\*\*

tissues and lowest in the nasopharyngeal tissues, with some evidence of cross link formation observed in the larynx/trachea/carina and major intrapulmonary airway tissues.

The relationship between formaldehyde concentration and total dose has been studied in experiments where rats were exposed to a range of concentrations for various lengths of time so that the total inhaled dose was constant (Wilmer et al. 1987, 1989). Studies have shown that formaldehyde concentration in the inspired air may be more important than exposure duration in determining the extent of nasal damage (Wilmer et al. 1987, 1989), assuming a constant value for concentration times time.

Although there is evidence to suggest that exposure concentration is more important than exposure duration in determining the extent of formaldehyde-induced nasal epithelial damage, the development of formaldehyde-induced nasal squamous cell carcinomas is likely to require repeated and prolonged damage to the nasal epithelium. Several key points or events determine the mechanism by which formaldehyde induces cancer in rats. First, a single high dose ( $\leq 40$  ppm) for acute durations is not likely sufficient to induce squamous cell carcinoma cancer (Bhalla et al. 1990; Monteiro-Riviere and Popp 1986; Wilmer et al. 1987); repeated exposures for protracted durations are required to induce nasal cancer in rats. Second, the data indicate that a sequence of cellular events must occur in order to induce nasal carcinomas. The induction of nasal cancer in rats by formaldehyde requires repeated exposure for prolonged periods of time to high concentrations that are both irritating and that cause cell damage to a population of the nasal mucosa cells lining the nose. Exposure to high concentrations for prolonged periods during inhalation exposure overwhelms or otherwise exhausts the inherent defense mechanisms to formaldehyde (mucociliary clearance, FDH, DNA repair). This cellular and tissue damage inflicted by unmetabolized formaldehyde is then followed by a regenerative hyperplasia and metaplasia phase (Chang et al. 1983; Feron et al. 1988; Rusch et al. 1983; Wilmer et al. 1987; Woutersen et al. 1987, 1989), which results in increased cell-turnover rates within the mucosa.

Formaldehyde has been demonstrated to be genotoxic in some (but not all) cell lines and test systems (Basler et al. 1985; Donovan et al. 1983; Grafstrom et al. 1985, 1993; Rithidech et al. 1987; Snyder and Van Houten 1986; Valencia et al. 1989; Woodruff et al. 1985; Yager et al. 1986). DNA-protein cross links have been demonstrated in experimental animals after inhalation exposure to formaldehyde and can cause mutation or chromosomal aberrations if not repaired prior to cell replication. The DNA damage that occurs in these altered cells is carried into subsequent cell populations and thereby greatly enhances the progression of preneoplastic cells to cancer. In this manner, formaldehyde likely can act as a complete carcinogen (providing initiation, promotion, and progression) with repeated and prolonged duration of exposure at cytotoxic concentrations.

#### **B.4 Health Guidelines**

ATSDR (1999) has derived an acute-duration inhalation MRL of 0.04 ppm for formaldehyde. The MRL was calculated from a minimal LOAEL of 0.4 ppm for symptoms of increased itching, sneezing, mucosal congestion, and transient burning sensation of the eyes and of the nasal passages, and elevated eosinophil counts and a transient increase in albumin content of nasal lavage fluid in volunteers exposed to formaldehyde for 2 hours (Pazdrak et al. 1993). The LOAEL was divided by an uncertainty factor of nine (three for the use of a minimal LOAEL and three for human variability).

ATSDR (1999) has derived an intermediate-duration inhalation MRL of 0.03 ppm for formaldehyde. The MRL is based on a NOAEL of 0.98 ppm for clinical signs of nasopharyngeal irritation (hoarseness and nasal congestion and discharge) and lesions in the nasal epithelium (squamous metaplasia and hyperplasia) observed in Cynomolgus monkeys exposed to formaldehyde for 22 hours/day, 5 days/week for 26 weeks (Rusch et al. 1983). The LOAEL was 2.95 ppm. The NOAEL was divided by an uncertainty factor of 30 (3 for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability) to derive the MRL.

ATSDR (1999) derived a chronic-duration inhalation MRL of 0.008 ppm for formaldehyde. The MRL is based on a minimal LOAEL of 0.24 ppm for histological changes (loss of cilia, goblet cell hyperplasia, and cuboidal and squamous cell metaplasia replacing the columnar epithelium) in nasal tissue specimens from a group of 70 workers employed for an average 10.4 years (range 1–36 years) in a chemical plant that produced formaldehyde and formaldehyde resins for impregnating paper (Holmstrom et al. 1989). The MRL was derived by dividing the LOAEL by an uncertainty factor of 30 (3 for the use of a minimal LOAEL and 10 for human variability).

ATSDR (1999) did not derive an acute-duration oral MRL for formaldehyde.

ATSDR (1999) derived an intermediate-duration oral MRL of 0.3 mg/kg/day for formaldehyde, based on a NOAEL of 25 mg/kg/day for gastrointestinal effects in rats exposed to formaldehyde in drinking water (Til et al. 1988). The LOAEL was 125 mg/kg/day. An uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability) was applied to the NOAEL to derive the MRL.

ATSDR (1999) derived a chronic-duration oral MRL of 0.2 mg/kg/day for formaldehyde, based on a NOAEL of 15 mg/kg/day for gastrointestinal effects in male rats exposed to formaldehyde in drinking water (Til et al. 1989). The LOAEL was 82 mg/kg/day. An uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for

extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability) was applied to the NOAEL to derive the MRL.

IRIS (U.S. EPA 2005) does not report a chronic RfC for formaldehyde.

IRIS (U.S. EPA 2005) reports a chronic RfD of 0.2 mg/kg/day for formaldehyde, based on a NOAEL of 15 mg/kg/day for reduced body weight gain and altered gastrointestinal histopathology in male rats exposed to formaldehyde in drinking water (Til et al. 1989) and an uncertainty factor of 100.

IRIS (U.S. EPA 2005) presently classifies formaldehyde in carcinogenicity group B1 (probable human carcinogen). The inhalation unit risk for formaldehyde is 1.3E-5 (ug/m<sup>3</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>. IARC (2003) concluded that formaldehyde is carcinogenic to humans (Group 1), on the basis of sufficient evidence in humans and sufficient evidence in experimental animals. According to NTPs Eleventh Report on Carcinogens (2005), Formaldehyde (gas) is reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen based on limited evidence of carcinogenicity in humans and sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in humans and sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in experimental animals.

### **B.5** Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values

#### Respiratory Effects

ATSDR (1999) derived a chronic-duration inhalation MRL of 0.008 ppm for formaldehyde. The MRL is based on a minimal LOAEL of 0.24 ppm for histological changes (loss of cilia, goblet cell hyperplasia, and cuboidal and squamous cell metaplasia replacing the columnar epithelium) in nasal tissue specimens from a group of 70 workers employed for an average 10.4 years (range 1–36 years) in a chemical plant that produced formaldehyde and formaldehyde resins for impregnating paper (Holmstrom et al. 1989c). The MRL was derived by dividing the LOAEL by an uncertainty factor of 30 (3 for the use of a minimal LOAEL and 10 for human variability).

#### Cancer

IRIS (U.S. EPA 2005) presently classifies formaldehyde in carcinogenicity group B1 (probable human carcinogen). The inhalation unit risk for formaldehyde is  $1.3E-5 (ug/m^3)^{-1}$ .

Summary (TTD for Formaldehyde) MRL<sub>RESP</sub>=0.008 ppm

# **B.6 References**

Albert RE, Sellakumar AR, Laskin S, et al. 1982. Gaseous formaldehyde and hydrogen chloride induction of nasal cancer in the rat. J Natl Cancer Inst 68:597–603.

Andersen I, Molhave L. 1983. Controlled human studies with formaldehyde. In: Gibson JE, ed. Formaldehyde toxicity. Washington, DC: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 154–165.

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry), Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (1999) Toxicological profile for Formaldehyde. Available from ATSDR, Atlanta, GA on-line at http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxpro2.html

Ballarin C, Sarto F, Giacomelli L, et al. 1992. Micronucleated cells in nasal mucosa of formaldehyde exposed workers. Mutat Res 280:1–7.

Basler A, Hude VD, Hude W, et al. 1985. Formaldehyde-induced sister chromatid exchanges in vitro and the influence of the exogenous metabolizing systems S9 mix and primary rat hepatocytes. Arch Toxicol 58:10–13.

Bender JR, Mullin LS, Graepel GJ, et al. 1983. Eye irritation response of humans to formaldehyde. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 44:463–465.

Bolt HM. 1987. Experimental toxicology of formaldehyde. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 113:305-309.

Boysen M, Zadig E, Digernes V, et al. 1990. Nasal mucosa in workers exposed to formaldehyde: A pilot study. Br J Ind Med 47:116–121.

Casanova M, Heck Hd'A. 1987. Further studies of the metabolic incorporation and covalent binding of inhaled [3H]- and [14C] formaldehyde in Fischer-344 rats: Effects of glutathione depletion. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 89:105–121.

Casanova M, Morgan KT, Gross EA, et al. 1994. DNA-protein cross-links and cell replication at specific sites in the nose of F344 rats exposed subchronically to formaldehyde. Fundam Appl Toxicol 23:525–536.

Casanova M, Morgan KT, Steinhagen WH, et al. 1991. Covalent binding of inhaled formaldehyde to DNA in the respiratory tract of Rhesus monkeys: Pharmacokinetics, rat-to-monkey interspecies scaling, and extrapolation to man. Fundam Appl Toxicol 17:409–428.

Casanova M, Deyo DF, Heck Hd'A. 1989. Covalent binding of inhaled formaldehyde to DNA in the nasal mucosa of Fischer 344 rats: Analysis of formaldehyde and DNA by high-performance liquid chromatography and provisional pharmacokinetic interpretation. Fundam Appl Toxicol 12:319–417.

Casanova M, Deyo DF, Heck Hd'A. 1992. Dichloromethane (methylene chloride): Metabolism to formaldehyde and formation of DNA-protein cross links in B6C3F1 mice and Syrian golden hamsters. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 114:162-165.

Casanova, M; Bell, DA; Heck, Hd'A. (1997) Dichloromethane metabolism to formaldehyde and reaction of formaldehyde with nucleic acids in hepatocytes of rodents and humans with and without glutathione S-transferase T1 and M1 genes. Fund Appl Toxicol 37:168-180.

Casanova M, Heck Hd'A, Everitt JI, et al. 1988. Formaldehyde concentrations in the blood of Rhesus monkeys after inhalation exposure. Food Chem Toxicol 26:715–716.

Casanova-Schmitz M, Raymond MD, Heck H d'A. 1984. Oxidation of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde by NAD+-dependent dehydrogenases in rat nasal mucosal homogenates. Biochem Pharmacol 33:1137–1142.

Chang JCF, Gross EA, Swenberg JA, et al. 1983. Nasal cavity deposition, histopathology, and cell proliferation after single or repeated formaldehyde exposure in B6C3F1 mice and F-344 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 68:161–176.

CIIT. 1998. Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology. Formaldehyde risk assessment meeting. November 14, 1997. Research Triangle Park, NC.

Cohen Hubal EA, Schlosser PM, Conolly RB, et al. 1997. Comparison of inhaled formaldehyde dosimetry predictions with DNA-protein cross-link measurements in the rat nasal passages. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 143:47–55.

Conolly RB, Andersen ME. 1993. An approach to mechanism-based cancer risk assessment for formaldehyde. Environ Health Perspect Suppl 101:169–176.

Conolly RB, Morgan KT, Andersen ME, et al. 1992. A biologically-based risk assessment strategy for inhaled formaldehyde. Comments Toxicol 4:269–293.

Dalbey WE. 1982. Formaldehyde and tumors in hamster respiratory tract. Toxicology 27:9–14.

Day JH, Lees REM, Clark RH, et al. 1984. Respiratory response to formaldehyde and off-gas of urea formaldehyde foam insulation. Can Med Assoc J 131:1061–1065.

Donovan SM, Krahn DF, Stewart JA, et al. 1983. Mutagenic activities of formaldehyde (HCHO) and hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA) in reverse and forward *Salmonella typhimurium* mutation assays [Abstract]. Environ Mutagen 5:476.

Edling C, Hellquist H, Odkvist L. 1988. Occupational exposure to formaldehyde and histopathological changes in the nasal mucosa. Br J Ind Med 45:761–765.

Egle JL. 1972. Retention of inhaled formaldehyde, propionaldehyde, and acrolein in the dog. Arch Environ Health 25:119–124.

El-Masri, HA; Bell, DA; Portier, CJ. (1999) Effects of glutathione transferase theta polymorphism on the risk estimates of dichloromethane to humans. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 158:221-230.

EPA. 1991. Formaldehyde risk assessment update – final draft. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Toxic Substances.

EPA. 2005. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). Online. www.epa.gov/iris

Feron VJ, Til HP, de Vrijer F, et al. 1991. Aldehydes: Occurrence, carcinogenic potential, mechanism of action and risk assessment. Mutat Res 259:363–385.

Feron VJ, Bruyntjes JP, Woutersen RA, et al. 1988. Nasal tumours in rats after short-term exposure to a cytotoxic concentration of formaldehyde. Cancer Lett 39:101–111.

Fischer T, Andersen K, Bengesson U, et al. 1995. Clinical standardization of the TRUE Test formaldehyde patch. Curr Probl Dermatol 22:24–30.

Garry VF, Oatman L, Pleus R, et al. 1980. Formaldehyde in the home: Some environmental disease perspectives. Minn Med 63:107–111.

Gorski P, Tarkowski M, Krakowiak A, et al. 1992. Neutrophil chemiluminescence following exposure to formaldehyde in healthy subjects and in patients with contact dermatitis. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 20:20–23.

Grafstrom RC, Curren RD, Yang LL, et al. 1985. Genotoxicity of formaldehyde in cultured human bronchial fibroblasts. Science 228:89–91.

Graftstrom RC, Hsu I-C, Harris CC. 1993. Mutagenicity of formaldehyde in Chinese hamster lung fibroblasts: Synergy with ionizing radiation and n-nitroso-n-methylurea. Chem Biol Interact 86:41–49.

Heck Hd'A, Casanova M. 1994. Nasal dosimetry of formaldehyde: Modeling site specificity and the effects of preexposure. Inhal Toxicol 6:159–175.

Heck Hd'A, Casanova M, Steinhagen WH et al. 1989. DNA-protein cross-linking studies in rats and nonhuman primates. In: Feron VJ and Bosland MC, eds. Nasal carcinogenesis in rodents: Relevance to human health risk. The Netherlands: Pudoc Wageningen, 159–164.

Heck Hd'A, Casanova-Schmitz M, Dodd PB, et al. 1985. Formaldehyde ( $CH_2O$ ) concentrations in the blood of humans and Fischer-344 rats exposed to  $CH_2O$  under controlled conditions. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 46:1–3.

Heck Hd'A, Chin TY, Schmitz MC. 1983. Distribution of [14C] formaldehyde in rats after inhalation exposure. In: Gibson JE, ed. Formaldehyde toxicity. Washington, DC: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 26–37.

Heck Hd'A, White EL, Casanova-Schmitz M. 1982. Determination of formaldehyde in biological tissues by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Biomed Mass Spectrom 9:347–353.

Holmstrom M, Wilhelmsson B, Hellquist H, et al. 1989. Histological changes in the nasal mucosa in persons occupationally exposed to formaldehyde alone and in combination with wood dust. Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh) 107:120–129.

Holness DL, Nethercott JR. 1989. Health status of funeral service workers exposed to formaldehyde. Arch Environ Health 44:222–228.

Horvath EP, Anderson H, Pierce WE, et al. 1988. Effects of formaldehyde on the mucous membranes and lungs: A study of an industrial population. J Am Med Assoc 259:701–707.

Kamata E, Nakadate M, Uchida O, et al. 1997. Results of a 28-month chronic inhalation toxicity study of formaldehyde in male Fischer-344 rats. J Toxicol Sci 22:239–254.

Keller DA, Heck Hd'A, Randall HW, et al. 1990. Histochemical localization of formaldehyde dehydrogenase in the rat. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 106:311–326.

Kepler GM, Richardson RB, Morgan KT, et al. 1998. Computer simulation of inspiratory nasal airflow and inhaled gas uptake in a Rhesus monkey. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 150:1–11.

Kerns WD, Pavkov KL, Donofrio DJ, et al. 1983. Carcinogenicity of formaldehyde in rats and mice after long-term inhalation exposure. Cancer Res 43:4382–4391.

Kiec-Swierczynska M. 1996. Occupational allergic contact dermatitis in Lodz: 1990–1994. Occup Med 48:205–208.

Kimbell JS, Gross EA, Joyner DR, et al. 1993. Application of computational fluid dynamics to regional dosimetry of inhaled chemicals in the upper respiratory tract of the rat. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 121:253–263.

Kimbell JS, Gross EA, Richardson RB, et al. 1997a. Correlation of regional formaldehyde flux predictions with the distribution of formaldehyde-induced squamous metaplasia in F344 rat nasal passages. Mutat Res 380:143–154.

Kimbell JS, Subramaniam RP, Miller FJ. 1997b. Computer models of nasal airflow inhaled gas uptake in the rat, monkey, and human: Implications for interspecies dosimetry. CIIT Act 17:1–12.

Krakowiak A, Gorski P, Pazdrak K, et al. 1998. Airway response to formaldehyde inhalation in asthmatic subjects with suspected respiratory formaldehyde sensitization. Am J Ind Med 33:274–281.

Kulle TJ, Sauder LR, Hebel JR, et al. 1987. Formaldehyde dose-response in healthy nonsmokers. J Air Pollut Control Assoc 37:919–924.

Maibach H. 1983. Formaldehyde: Effects on animal and human skin. In: Gibson JE, ed. Formaldehyde toxicity. Washington, DC: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 166–174.

Meding B, Swanbeck G. 1990. Occupational hand eczema in an industrial city. Contact Dermatitis 22:13–23.

Menne T, Frosch PJ, Veien NK, et al. 1991. Contact sensitization to 5-chloro-2-methyl-4-isothiazolin-3-one and 2-methyl-4-isothiazolin-3-one (MCI/MI): A European multicentre study. Contact Dermatitis 24:334–341.

Monticello TM, Swenberg JA, Gross EA, et al. 1996. Correlation of regional and nonlinear formaldehyde-induced nasal cancer with proliferating populations of cells. Cancer Res 56:1012–1022.

Monticello TM, Morgan KT, Everitt JI, et al. 1989. Effects of formaldehyde gas on the respiratory tract of Rhesus monkeys. Am J Pathol 134:515–527.

Morgan KT. 1997. A brief review of formaldehyde carcinogenesis in relation to rat nasal pathology and human health risk assessment. Toxicol Pathol 25:291–307.

Morgan KT, Kimbell JS, Monticello TM, et al. 1991. Studies of inspiratory airflow patterns in the nasal passages of the F344 rat and Rhesus monkey using nasal molds: Relevance to formaldehyde toxicity. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 110:223–240.

Morgan KT, Jiang X-Z, Starr TB, et al. 1986. More precise localization of nasal tumors associated with chronic exposure of F-344 rats to formaldehyde gas. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 82:264–271.

Morgan KT, Gross EA, Patterson DL 1986a. Distribution, progression, and recovery of acute formaldehyde-induced inhibition of nasal mucociliary function of F-344 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 86:448–456.

Morgan KT, Jiang X-Z, Starr TB, et al. 1986b. More precise localization of nasal tumors associated with chronic exposure of F-344 rats to formaldehyde gas. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 82:264–271.

Pazdrak K, Gorski P, Krakowiak A, et al. 1993. Changes in nasal lavage fluid due to formaldehyde inhalation. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 64:515–519.

Ritchie IM, Lehnen RG. 1987. Formaldehyde-related health complaints of residents living in mobile and conventional homes. Am J Public Health 77:323–328.

Rithidech K, Au WW, Ramanujam VMS, et al. 1987. Induction of chromosome aberrations in lymphocytes of mice after subchronic exposure to benzene. Mutat Res 188:135–140.

Rusch GM, Clary JJ, Rinehart WE, et al. 1983. A 26-week inhalation toxicity study with formaldehyde in the monkey, rat, and hamster. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 68:329–343.

Snyder RD, Van Houten B. 1986. Genotoxicity of formaldehyde and an evaluation of its effects on the DNA repair process in human diploid fibroblasts. Mutat Res 165:21–30.

Soffritti M, Maltoni C, Maffei F, et al. 1989. Formaldehyde: An experimental multipotential carcinogen. Toxicol Ind Health 5:699–730.

Subramaniam RP, Richardson RB, Morgan KT, et al. 1998 Computational fluid dynamics simulations of inspiratory airflow in the human nose and nasopharynx. Inhal Toxicol 10:473–502.

Til HP, Woutersen VJ, Feron V, et al. 1989. Two-year drinking-water study of formaldehyde in rats. Food Chem Toxicol 27:77–87.

Tobe M, Natio K, Kurokawa Y. 1989. Chronic toxicity study on formaldehyde administered orally to rats. Toxicology 56:79–86.

Valencia R, Mason JM, Zimmering S. 1989. Chemical mutagenesis testing in *Drosophila*. VI. Interlaboratory comparison of mutagenicity tests after treatment of larvae. Environ Mol Mutagen 14:238–244.

Weber-Tschopp A, Fischer T, Grandjean E. 1977. [Irritating effects of formaldehyde on men]. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 39:207–218. (German)

Witek TJ, Schachter EN, Tosun T, et al. 1986. Controlled human studies on the pulmonary effects of indoor air pollution: Experiences with sulfur dioxide and formaldehyde. Environ Int 12:129–135.

Woodruff RC, Mason JM, Valencia R, et al. 1985. Chemical mutagenesis testing in *Drosophila*. V. Results of 53 coded compounds tested for the National Toxicology Program. Environ Mutagen 7:677–702.

Woutersen RA, Appleman LM, Wilmer JW, et al. 1987. Subchronic (13-week) inhalation toxicity study of formaldehyde in rats. J Appl Toxicol 7:43–49.

Wouterson RA, van Garderen-Hoetmer A, Bruijntjes JP, et al. 1989. Nasal tumors in rats after severe injury to the nasal mucosa and prolonged exposure to 10 ppm formaldehyde. J Appl Toxicol 9:39–46.

Wilmer JWG, Woutersen RA, Appelman LM, et al. 1987. Subacute (4-week) inhalation toxicity study of formaldehyde in male rats: 8-hour intermittent *versus* 8-hour continuous exposures. J Appl Toxicol 7:15–16.

Wilmer JW, Woutersen RA, Appelman LM, et al. 1989. Subchronic (13-week) inhalation toxicity study of formaldehyde in male rats: 8-hour intermittent versus 8-hour continuous exposures. Toxicol Lett 47:287–293.

Yager JW, Cohn KL, Spear RC, et al. 1986. Sister-chromatid exchanges in lymphocytes of anatomy students exposed to formaldehyde-embalming solution. Mutat Res 174:135–139.

Zwart A, Woutersen RA, Wilmer JWGM, et al. 1988. Cytotoxic and adaptive effects in rat nasal epithelium after 3-day and 13-week exposure to low concentrations of formaldehyde vapour. Toxicology 51:87–99.

# Appendix C: Background Information for Methylene Chloride

### **C.1 Toxicokinetics**

Inhalation is the main route of exposure to methylene chloride for humans. Within the first few minutes of exposure, approximately 70–75% of inhaled vapor is absorbed (DiVincenzo and Kaplan 1981). However, as the concentration of methylene chloride in the blood increases, the net uptake is greatly reduced until at steady-state, it is equal to metabolic clearance, which has a maximum (determined by the fraction of blood flowing to the liver) of 25% (EPA 1994). Under conditions of continuous exposure to air concentrations of up to approximately 300 ppm, blood steady state concentrations of methylene chloride are reached in about 4 hours (DiVincenzo and Kaplan 1981; McKenna et al. 1980). Pulmonary absorption is influenced by exercise and body fat (Astrand et al. 1975; DiVincenzo et al. 1972; Engstrom and Bjurstrom 1977). In animals, pulmonary absorption is proportional to magnitude and duration of exposure over a concentration range of 100–8,000 ppm (DiVincenzo et al. 1972; MacEwen et al. 1972; McKenna et al. 1982). An increase of the steady state blood/air concentration ratio at high exposure levels reflects saturation of metabolic pathways rather than an increased absorption coefficient. There is only qualitative evidence of oral absorption in humans. In animals, methylene chloride is easily absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract, particularly from aqueous media. Seventy-five to 98% of an administered dose may be absorbed in 10–20 minutes (Angelo et al. 1986a). There are no quantitative data on dermal absorption of methylene chloride, although it is known to occur.

Distribution data in humans are lacking, but methylene chloride has been found in human breast milk and blood. Methylene chloride is widely distributed in animal tissues after inhalation exposure. The highest concentrations are found in adipose tissue and liver (Carlsson and Hultengren 1975; McKenna et al. 1982). Methylene chloride has been found in blood from rats' fetuses. After acute exposure, methylene chloride disappears rapidly from fat. Distribution of methylene chloride does not seem to be route-dependent and it does not bioaccumulate in tissues.

There are two main competing metabolic pathways for methylene chloride; one initially catalyzed by cytochrome P-450 enzymes (CYP2E1) and the other by a theta glutathione-S-transferase (GSSTI-1). The P-450 pathway (MFO) produces carbon monoxide (leading to carboxyhemoglobin formation) and carbon dioxide via formyl chloride (Gargas et al. 1986; Stewart et al. 1972) and the glutathione pathway (GST) produces carbon dioxide via a postulated glutathione conjugate (S-chloromethyl glutathione) and formaldehyde. The MFO pathway is a high affinity-low capacity pathway with a metabolic rate of 47 µmol/kg/hour, while the GST pathway has a lower affinity than the MFO pathway but a higher capacity

(Gargas et al. 1986). The oxidative pathway is preferred at lower exposure concentrations and becomes saturated as exposure levels increase. Oxidative biotransformation of methylene chloride is similar in rats and humans. The GST pathway is more active in mice than in rats and less active in hamsters and humans than in rats. In humans, a polymorphism exists in the GSTT1-1 gene, with a percentage of the population unable to metabolize methylene chloride to formaldehyde; the distribution of this polymorphism appears to vary somewhat with ethnic background (for review, see Haber et al. 2002).

After inhalation exposure, humans rapidly eliminate methylene chloride primarily in expired air, although small amounts are eliminated more slowly in the urine (DiVincenzo et al. 1972). In rats, following a single exposure to radioactive methylene chloride, exhaled air had the most radioactivity, but radioactivity was also found in urine and feces (McKenna et al. 1982). In exhaled air, the radiolabel was mostly as carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide.

Physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models have been developed to describe disposition of methylene chloride in humans and animals. These models were designed to distinguish contributions of the two metabolic pathways in lung and liver tissue, to look for correlations between tumor incidence and various measures of target tissue dose predicted by the models, and to extrapolate cancer risks from mice to humans. For a more detailed discussion of available PBPK models for methylene chloride, see ATSDR (2000).

## C.2 Health Effects

The lung, the blood system, and the nervous system are the major target organs of toxicity associated with exposure to methylene chloride.

#### Respiratory Effects

Asphyxia was determined to be the cause of death in the case of a male worker who was subjected to acute inhalation exposure (concentration unknown) for 1 hour (Winek et al. 1981); the autopsy revealed bilateral pulmonary congestion with focal hemorrhage. Respiratory symptoms (cough, breathlessness, chest tightness) were reported in only 4 of 33 cases of acute inhalation exposure to methylene chloride that were reported to occupational health authorities in the United Kingdom between 1961 and 1980 (Bakinson and Jones 1985); no exposure levels were provided in this study. No pulmonary function abnormalities were found in humans exposed to methylene chloride vapors (50–500 ppm) for 6 weeks (NIOSH 1974). Irritative symptoms of the respiratory tract were more prevalent among 12 Swedish male graffiti removers, employed to clean underground stations by using methylene chloride-based solvent,

than those of the general population (Anundi et al. 1993). The 8-hour time-weighted average (TWA) to which these workers were exposed ranged from  $18-1,200 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .

Two clinical case studies (Snyder et al. 1992a, 1992b) were reported in which two men who had been working in confined spaces with a nationally advertised brand of paint remover (consisting of >80% w/w methylene chloride) presented to the hospital emergency department complaining of dyspnea, cough, and discomfort in the midchest. In chest x-rays, each of the patients showed alveolar and interstitial infiltrates. One patient was treated with oxygen and albuterol and his symptoms improved over 48 hours; a repeat chest x-ray showed complete clearing of the infiltrates. During the next year, the patient continued to have episodic cough with wheeze and breathlessness which improved with albuterol therapy. The patient had no prior history of asthma or cough. A methacholine challenge test verified that he had hyperactive airways. The second patient was treated with oxygen and his symptoms improved during the next 48 to 72 hours; a repeat chest x-ray taken 3 days later revealed marked, but not complete, resolution of previously-noted lung infiltrates. Ten days later he was asymptomatic and his chest x-ray was normal.

Pulmonary effects were observed in animals that died following exposure to high concentrations of methylene chloride (Heppel et al. 1944). Extreme pneumonia was found in 3/14 guinea pigs exposed to 5,000 ppm for up to 6 months, and pulmonary congestion and edema with focal necrosis was found in 3/5 rabbits and 2/16 rats exposed to 10,000 ppm for up to 8 weeks (Heppel et al. 1944). A high incidence of foreign body pneumonia, involving focal accumulation of mononuclear and multinucleate inflammatory cells, was observed in 10/20 rats exposed to methylene chloride at 8,400 ppm for 13 weeks (NTP 1986). The significance of this finding is uncertain since the effect was observed only at the highest concentration tested. Male B6C3F1 mice exposed to 4,000 ppm methylene chloride for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 13 weeks showed acute Clara cell damage in the lung after a 1-day exposure to methylene chloride, which appeared to resolve after 5 consecutive daily exposures (Foster et al. 1992). The appearance and disappearance of the lesion in Clara cells correlated well with the activity of cytochrome P-450 monooxygenase in Clara cells, as assessed immunocytochemically in the whole lung, and biochemically in freshly isolated Clara cells. Nasal cavity squamous metaplasia was observed in rats exposed intermittently to 1,000 ppm methylene chloride in the NTP (1986) bioassay.

## Hematological Effects

In humans, average blood COHb levels measure less than 1% in an atmosphere free of carbon monoxide, and less than 4% in a normal atmosphere. Blood COHb concentrations were about 30% higher than normal in two cases of lethal poisoning following acute inhalation of extremely high concentrations of

methylene chloride in air (estimated ~168,000 ppm) in workers who were burying barrels containing mixed solvents and solid chemical waste in a well about 2 meters below ground level (Manno et al. 1992). Employees monitored at the end of 1 work day following exposure to methylene chloride at 7–90 ppm (8-hour TWA) had average COHb concentrations between 1.7 and 4.0% for nonsmokers, and between 4.95 and 6.35% for smokers (Soden et al. 1996). Additional daily cumulative exposure to methylene chloride did not produce increased levels of COHb. In volunteers who were exposed to methylene chloride at 200 ppm for 4 hours, blood COHb levels rose to approximately 5% (Putz et al. 1979); this was equivalent to the levels seen in volunteers after inhaling 70 ppm of carbon monoxide for 4 hours. In nonsmoking volunteers exposed to 50, 100, 150, or 200 ppm of methylene chloride for 7.5 hours, blood COHb levels rose to 1.9, 3.4, 5.3, and 6.8%, respectively, and blood COHb levels declined immediately following exposure (DiVincenzo and Kaplan 1981).

Other studies in humans reported increases in the red cell count, hemoglobin, and hematocrit in women occupationally exposed to concentrations up to 475 ppm during an 8-hour workday, but no effects were found in men. These effects were judged by the authors to be suggestive of compensatory hematopoiesis (Ott et al. 1983b). It may be anticipated that stress polycythemia will occur in the majority of individuals, especially cigarette smokers, who are chronically exposed to methylene chloride vapor concentrations in the 500 ppm range.

In animals, no significant hematologic or clinical chemistry alterations were reported in dogs and monkeys exposed continuously to up to 100 ppm methylene chloride for 100 days (Haun et al. 1972). In the dogs, COHb increased from 0.5 to about 2% during exposure to 100 ppm methylene chloride, but no significant increase was seen at 25 ppm. In the monkeys, COHb levels were approximately 0.5, 1.7, and 4.5% in controls, 25 ppm, and 100 ppm exposed groups, respectively. No treatment-related effects on common hematologic parameters (cell counts, hemoglobin concentration differentials, white cell counts, etc.) were observed among rats chronically exposed to methylene chloride at concentrations up to 3,500 ppm (Burek et al. 1984; Nitschke et al. 1988).

#### Neurological Effects

A number of human studies reveal that the nervous system is perhaps the most important target of acute methylene chloride toxicity. All 33 cases of acute inhalation exposure to methylene chloride that were reported to occupational health authorities in the United Kingdom between 1961 and 1980 involved depression of the central nervous system (Bakinson and Jones 1985). Unconsciousness occurred in 13 of these cases and other common effects included headache and dizziness; a few instances of confusion,

intoxication, incoordination, and paresthesia were also reported. Acute inhalation exposure to methylenechloride-based paint strippers in rooms with inadequate ventilation led to unconsciousness in four cases and to generalized seizures in one of these (Hall and Rumack 1990); 10/21 respondents to an occupational health questionnaire reported experiencing dizziness and headache while working in these conditions, but the symptoms abated when they moved to fresh air. In volunteers, a single 4-hour exposure to 200 ppm methylene chloride significantly decreased visual and psychomotor performance and auditory function (Putz et al. 1979). Auditory monitoring, eye-hand coordination, and high-difficulty peripheral brightness test performances were not degraded until the final hour of exposure, by which time, the level of carbon monoxide in exhaled breath had risen to 50 ppm and the level of COHb in blood had risen to 5%. A single 3- to 4-hour exposure to methylene chloride at 300 ppm caused decreased visual and auditory functions in volunteers, but the adverse effects were reversible once exposure ceased (Fodor and Winneke 1971; Winneke 1974). Winneke (1974) attributed these effects to methylene chloride rather than its metabolite COHb, since exposure to carbon monoxide at concentrations up to 100 ppm did not cause similar effects. At the lowest exposure level (300 ppm of methylene chloride), critical flicker fusion frequency (visual) and auditory vigilance tasks were impaired (Fodor and Winneke 1971). Similarly, psychomotor performance (reaction time, hand precision, steadiness) was impaired, but this occurred at higher exposure levels (800 ppm for 4 hours) (Winneke 1974). Alterations in visual evoked response were observed in humans exposed to methylene chloride at 515–986 ppm for 1–2 hours (Stewart et al. 1972). In another study, there were no effects on electroencephalogram, visual evoked response, or a battery of cognitive effects in humans exposed to concentrations of methylene chloride up to 500 ppm (NIOSH 1974). While some changes in tests related to mood have been reported in humans after acute combined exposure to methylene chloride (28–173 ppm) and methanol (Cherry et al. 1983), no evidence of neurological or behavioral impairment was observed at exposure levels of 75–100 ppm methylene chloride (Cherry et al. 1981). Dementia and gait impairment were reported in one case of a person exposed to methylene chloride (500–1,000 ppm) for 3 years (Barrowcliff and Knell 1979)

No acute central nervous system effects were observed among 12 Swedish male graffiti removers employed to clean underground stations using methylene-chloride-based solvent compared to the general population (Anundi et al. 1993). The 8-hour TWA to which these workers were exposed ranged from 5 to 340 ppm. No neurologic effects, as measured by responses to questions relating to neurotoxicity (e.g., recurring severe headaches, numbness/tingling in hands of feet, loss of memory, dizziness) were reported in a group of 150 employees in a fiber plant occupationally exposed to methylene chloride (mean 8-hour TWA=475 ppm) for more than 10 years, when compared to a similar, nonexposed cohort (Soden 1993). In a retrospective epidemiology study, there were no significant associations between potential solvent

exposure and self-reported neurological symptoms (based on a standard battery of medical surveillance questions) among workers exposed to a variety of solvents, including methylene chloride, at a pharmaceutical company (Bukowski et al. 1992). However, Bukowski et al. (1992) concluded that questionnaires were not the most appropriate tool to investigate potential neurobehavioral changes caused by low-level exposure to solvents, and recommended the use of neurological test batteries. This caveat would also apply to the study of Soden (1993). The neurotoxicity of occupational exposure to methylene chloride was examined in a cohort study of retired airline mechanics who had been chronically exposed to methylene chloride at concentrations ranging from a mean 8-hour TWA of 105 to 336 ppm, with short-term high exposures ranging from 395 to 660 ppm (Lash et al. 1991). None of the measured variables (three tests of physiological characteristics, four tests of psychophysical variables, and six psychological variables) were statistically different between the exposed and control groups. Lack of precision, sampling biases, and random measurement errors might also have affected the results. However, the authors concluded that overall no effects on the central nervous system were attributable to chronic, low-level exposures to methylene chloride, a finding they reported as being consistent with that of Cherry et al. (1981).

Acute studies in animals are consistent with findings in humans that methylene chloride affects the central nervous system. Narcotic effects of methylene chloride (incoordination, reduced activity, somnolence) were observed in monkeys, rabbits, rats, and guinea pigs exposed to 10,000 ppm for up to 4 hours (Heppel et al. 1944); reduced activity was measured in rats exposed to 5,000 ppm (Heppel and Neal 1944). Dogs exposed to 10,000 ppm for 4 hours, first became uncoordinated, then excited and hyperactive to the extent of bruising themselves, but rapidly recovered afterwards (Heppel et al. 1944). Somatosensory-evoked potentials were altered in rats after 1 hour of exposure to methylene chloride at concentration levels of 5,000 ppm or greater (Rebert et al. 1989). Decreased levels of succinate dehydrogenase were measured in the cerebellum of rats exposed to 500 ppm of methylene chloride for 2 weeks (Savolainen et al. 1981).

Changes in neurotransmitter amino acids and brain enzymes were observed in gerbils after continuous exposure to 210 ppm for 3 months (Briving et al. 1986; Karlsson et al. 1987; Rosengren et al. 1986). The DNA concentration decreased in the hippocampus and cerebellum in gerbils exposed to  $\geq$ 210 ppm of methylene chloride, indicating decreased cell density in these brain regions, probably due to cell loss (Karlsson et al. 1987; Rosengren et al. 1986). Methylene chloride (4,500 ppm) did not affect wheel running activity and avoidance learning in rats born to dams exposed prior to and/or during gestation (Bornschein et al. 1980). No treatment-related alterations in sensory evoked potentials, reflexes, posture, or locomotion were observed in rats exposed at 2,000 ppm (Mattsson et al. 1990).

#### Cancer

No excess risk of death from malignant neoplasms has been detected in workers exposed to methylene chloride at levels up to 475 ppm (Friedlander et al. 1978; Hearne et al. 1987, 1990; Lanes et al. 1993; Ott et al. 1983a). Some occupational studies (Cantor et al. 1995; Cocco et al. 1999; Gibbs et al. 1996; Heineman et al. 1994) have suggested a correlation between methylene chloride exposure and cancer mortality, dose-response analysis has been minimal or absent and the studies have had considerable limitations, including lack of exposure characterization and co-exposure to other airborne chemicals.

In mice and rats, inhalation of very high levels of methylene chloride significantly increased the incidence of liver and lung cancer (Mennear et al. 1988; NTP 1986) and benign mammary gland tumors (fibroadenomas or adenomas) (Mennear et al. 1988; Nitschke et al. 1988a; NTP 1986). In rats exposed to low levels of methylene chloride (100 ppm) for 2 years, there was a nonsignificant increase in the total incidence of malignant tumors (Maltoni et al. 1988).

In the NTP (1986) study, groups of 50 animals of each sex were exposed to methylene chloride by inhalation 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 102 weeks. F344/N rats were exposed to 0, 1,000, 2,000, or 4,000 ppm of methylene chloride and B6C3F1 mice were exposed to 0, 2,000, or 4,000 ppm. At or above 2,000 ppm, the incidence of liver tumors (mostly hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas) in mice was significantly higher than in chamber and historical control groups (NTP 1986); at 4,000 ppm, the incidence of liver tumors was highly significant ( $p \le 0.001$ ). The incidence of combined benign and malignant liver tumors was high (67–83%) in the treated animals. There was also a statistically significant increase in the incidence of lung tumors in mice (p < 0.001) exposed at 2,000 ppm or above; these tumors were primarily alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas. The incidence of combined benign and malignant lung tumors was 54–85% in the treated animals. The NTP (1986) report concluded that there was "some evidence of carcinogenicity" in male rats and "clear evidence of carcinogenicity" in female rats, based on the increased incidence of benign mammary neoplasms following 2 years of inhalation exposure to methylene chloride. The report concluded that there was "clear evidence for carcinogenicity" for methylene chloride chronic inhalation exposure, based on the increased incidence of alveolar/bronchiolar neoplasms in mice.

In two related studies, Kari et al. (1993) and Maronpot et al. (1995b) examined the progressive development of lung and liver tumors in B6C3F1 mice exposed via chamber inhalation to 2,000 ppm methylene chloride for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week, for 104 weeks. In addition, a series of stop exposure experiments were performed to evaluate the effects of differing exposure durations on tumor

development. Kari et al. (1993) examined histology and histopathology of lung and liver tumors, whereas Maronpot et al. (1995b) evaluated DNA synthesis and oncogene expression during tumor development. Chronic high-concentration exposure to methylene chloride resulted in: (1) an 8-fold increase in the incidence of animals having lung adenomas or carcinomas as compared to controls; (2) a 13-fold increase in the total number of lung tumors in each animal at risk; (3) a 2.5-fold increase in the incidence of mice having liver adenomas or carcinomas compared to controls; and (4) a 3-fold increase in the number of liver tumors in each animal at risk. The development of the first lung tumors in methylene chloride exposed mice occurred 1 year earlier than in control animals. In contrast, there was no difference in the latency to first liver tumor period between exposed and control animals. The incidences of tumors in lungs, but not liver, continued to increase after cessation of exposure. Maronpot et al. (1995b) found that 26 weeks of exposure was sufficient to significantly and irreversibly increase the incidence of lung tumors at 2 years, whereas the incidence of hepatic tumors increased with 78 weeks of exposure, but not with 25 or 52 weeks of exposure. Furthermore, vulnerability to methylene chloride may have been agerelated, since no lung tumor increase was observed in mice that were kept under control conditions for 52 weeks prior to methylene chloride exposure for 52 weeks. Based on these results, Kari et al. (1993) and Maronpot et al. (1995b) concluded that methylene chloride is a more potent lung than liver carcinogen in female B6C3F1 mice; the differing incidence of lung and liver tumors under various exposure regimes suggests that the mechanisms of tumorigenesis in these target organs may be different.

## C.3 Mechanisms of Action

**Non-neoplastic Mechanisms.** In humans, Snyder et al. (1992a, 1992b) have reported headache, chest discomfort, cough, and the presence of alveolar and interstitial infiltrates in the lung as a result of short-term high-concentration vapor exposure to methylene chloride in confined, unventilated rooms or basements. In B6C3F1 mice exposed to 4,000 ppm of methylene chloride vapors for 6 hours (Foster et al. 1992), the major initial morphological effect observed in mouse lung was acute Clara cell damage. However, the damage appeared to resolve after five consecutive daily exposures to methylene chloride. The appearance and disappearance of the lesion in the Clara cell correlated well with the activity of cytochrome P-450 monooxygenase in the Clara cell, as assessed immunocytochemically in the whole lung and biochemically in the freshly isolated Clara cell (as determined by ethoxycoumarin O-dealkylation and aldrin epoxidation).

Over 13 weeks (5 days/week) of exposure, the acute Clara cell damage, which developed after a 1-day exposure but resolved after 5 consecutive exposures, reappeared on re-exposure after a 2-day weekly break. The severity of the lesion diminished as the study progressed. The authors suggest that the reason

74

for the decrease or disappearance of the lesion was due to an adaptation/tolerance in the Clara cell to methylene chloride that was linked to a marked decrease of methylene chloride metabolism by cytochrome P-450 pathways. Glutathione transferase (GST) activity in the Clara cell either remained unchanged or increased following methylene chloride exposure.

Inhalation and ingestion exposures to methylene chloride result in the production of carbon monoxide associated mainly with metabolism via the MFO pathway. CO binds to hemoglobin, and can cause carboxyhemoglobinemia. In two fatal human cases of methylene chloride poisoning, COHb was elevated to approximately 30% (Manno et al. 1992). Other reports on human and animals show that COHb increases from baselines of 0–2 to 4–15%, under varying regimes of methylene chloride inhalation exposure.

Neurotoxicity resulting from exposure to methylene chloride is believed to be associated with the lipophilic properties of methylene chloride; however, the precise mechanisms of neurotoxicity are not known. Presumably, the methylene chloride enters cell membranes, which in the case of neurons interferes with signal transmission in a manner similar to general anesthetics (De Jongh et al. 1998; Sikkema et al. 1995). Neurotoxicity is also assumed to be caused by the hypoxia that results from the formation of COHb.

*Neoplastic Mechanisms.* With regard to tumor induction in the rodent lung and liver, methylene chloride is postulated to be activated to an unknown reactive intermediate via metabolism. There are two major metabolic pathways: the MFO pathway, specifically cytochrome P-450 2E1 and glutathione S-transferase-mediated (GST) pathway. The MFO pathway is oxidative and appears to yield carbon monoxide as well as considerable amounts of carbon dioxide. The glutathione-dependent pathway produces formaldehyde and carbon dioxide, but no carbon monoxide. Potentially reactive intermediates are formed in each of the metabolic pathways for methylene chloride: formyl chloride in the oxidative pathway, and formaldehyde and chloromethyl glutathione in the conjugative pathway. Neither formyl chloride nor the glutathione conjugate of methylene chloride has been isolated or characterized, although Green (1997) reports that their formation is entirely consistent with available information on glutathionemediated metabolism. Distribution of methylene chloride metabolism between these pathways is dose dependent. The MFO pathway is a high affinity, limited-capacity pathway which saturates at relatively low atmospheric concentrations (approximately 200–500 ppm). The GST pathway, in contrast, has a lower affinity for methylene chloride, but does not appear to saturate at experimentally produced concentrations (<5,000 ppm). Thus, the MFO pathway accounts for most of the metabolized methylene chloride at concentrations less than 500 ppm, but as exposure concentrations increase above the MFO

saturation level, increases in the amount of methylene chloride metabolized by the secondary GSH pathway are seen (Reitz 1990).

There is no evidence to suggest that methylene chloride is a direct acting carcinogen; the marked species differences in carcinogenicity induced by methylene chloride are not typical behavior of direct-acting compounds. Methylene chloride also does not exhibit the chemical reactivity towards nucleophiles normally associated with direct action (Green 1997). Therefore, metabolic activation is required which interacts in some way with mouse tissues to cause tumors.

A series of bacterial mutagenicity tests has demonstrated that: methylene chloride induction of bacterial mutagenicity is expressed more strongly in *Salmonella typhimurium* TA 1535 modified to express a mammalian GST  $\Theta$  class enzyme (NM5004 strain) than in the original strain (Oda et al. 1996); methylene chloride induction of bacterial mutagenicity *S. typhimurium* strain TA 100 is unaffected by the presence of GST  $\alpha$  or  $\pi$  classes (Simula et al. 1993); methylene chloride is less mutagenic in a *S. typhimurium* GSH-deficient strain (TA100/NG11) as compared to TA 100 (Graves et al. 1994a); and bacterial testing with 3 K12 strains of *Escherichia coli* showed that methylene chloride (activated by S9 mouse liver fraction) and formaldehyde were mutagenic only in the wild-type *E. coli*, a characteristic shared with crosslinking agents; these data initially suggested a mutagenic role for metabolically-derived formaldehyde in *E. coli* (Graves et al. 1994a). These bacterial assays demonstrated that in *in vitro* tests, methylene chloride was activated by a  $\Theta$  class GST enzyme to a bacterial mutagen in *S. typhimurium* and behaved similarly to formaldehyde in *E. coli* tester strains.

However, in the Chinese Hamster ovary (CHO) assay involving the hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HPRT) gene assay, studies of DNA single strand breaks and DNA-protein crosslinks at mutagenic concentrations of methylene chloride and formaldehyde showed that both these compounds induced DNA single-strand breaks; only formaldehyde induced significant DNA-protein crosslinking (Graves et al. 1996). Similar findings were observed in cultured, freshly isolated mouse hepatocytes (Graves and Green 1996), but not in rat hepatocytes (Graves et al. 1994b, 1995). The authors concluded that, although formaldehyde might play a role in methylene chloride genotoxicity, its weak mutagenicity and the absence of methylene chloride-induced DNA-protein crosslinking in the CHO/HPRT assay suggested that methylene chloride-induced DNA damage and resulting mutations are likely produced by its glutathione conjugate, putatively chloromethylglutathione. Graves and Green (1996) also concluded that these results suggested that the mechanism for methylene chloride tumorogenicity in the mouse liver was likely to be genotoxic and mediated by the GSH pathway. Observed species differences in liver tumorogenicity between the mouse and the rat might result from species differences in the amount of GSH-mediated metabolism induced by methylene chloride exposure. However, the precise mode of action of methylene chloride-induced mouse tumorigenicity has not yet been confirmed (Maronpot et al. 1995b).

## C.4 Health Guidelines

ATSDR (2000) derived an acute-duration inhalation MRL of 0.6 ppm for methylene chloride, based on a LOAEL of 300 ppm for neurological effects (reduced flicker fusion frequency) in exposed human volunteers (Winneke 1974). A PBPK model for this experiment was used to adjust the dosage to a 24 hour exposure period, thus resulting in a LOAEL of 60 ppm for the same endpoint (Reitz et al. 1997). The MRL was derived by dividing the LOAEL of 60 ppm by an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for the use of a LOAEL and 10 for human variability).

ATSDR (2000) derived an intermediate-duration MRL of 0.3 ppm for methylene chloride, based on a LOAEL of 25 ppm for hepatic effects (changes in liver histopathology) in rats exposed to methylene chloride for 14 weeks (Haun et al. 1972). This resulted in an MRL of 0.3 ppm by dividing the LOAEL of 25 ppm by an uncertainty factor of 90 (3 for use of a minimal LOAEL, 3 for extrapolation from animals to humans, and 10 for human variability).

ATSDR derived a chronic-duration MRL of 0.3 ppm for methylene chloride, based on a NOAEL of 50 ppm for hematological effects (increased COHb levels) in rats exposed to methylene chloride for 2 years. The NOAEL of 50 ppm was adjusted for continuous exposure (6 hour/day, 5 day/week) resulting in a NOAEL[ADJ] of 8.92 ppm. Whereas the MRL was derived based on hepatic effects (extrarespiratory), a human equivalent concentration (HEC) was calculated. Since the ratio of the blood:air partition coefficient in the rat to the blood:air partition coefficient in the human was > 1, the value of 1.0 was used to calculate the NOAEL[HEC] (EPA 1994). This resulted in an MRL of 0.3 ppm by dividing the NOAEL of 8.92 ppm by an uncertainty factor of 30 (3 for extrapolation from animals to humans, and 10 for human variability).

ATSDR (2000) derived an acute-duration oral MRL of 0.2 mg/kg/day for methylene chloride, based on by route-to-route extrapolation of the inhalation data from Winneke (1974), using a PBPK model (Reitz et al. 1997). For acute neurological effects, the associated dose measure was defined as the peak concentration of methylene chloride in brain tissue (mg/L of brain tissue) of humans exposed to 300 ppm of methylene chloride for 4 hours by inhalation. The modified PBPK model calculated that the administered inhalation dose was equivalent to 3.95 mg of methylene chloride per L of brain tissue. The equivalent administered human concentration in drinking water that will produce the same neurological effects was 565 mg of methylene chloride/L. Using a daily drinking water consumption value of 2L and an average human body weight of 70 kg, the LOAEL was calculated to be 16 mg/kg/day. An acute oral MRL was calculated by dividing the LOAEL (16 mg/kg/day) by an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for the use of a LOAEL and 10 for human variability), to yield 0.2 mg/kg/day.

ATSDR (2000) did not derive an MRL for intermediate-duration oral exposure to methylene chloride.

ATSDR (2000) derived a chronic-duration MRL of 0.06 mg/kg/day for methylene chloride, based on a NOAEL of 6 mg/kg/day in rats exposed to methylene chloride in the drinking water for 104 weeks (Serota et al. 1986). The chronic oral MRL was calculated by dividing the NOAEL (6 mg/kg/day) by an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability).

EPA (IRIS 2004) does not currently list an RfC for methylene chloride.

EPA (IRIS 2004) derived a chronic RfD of 0.06 mg/kg/day for methylene chloride (dichloromethane), based on a NOAEL of 5.85 mg/kg/day for histological alterations of the liver from an unpublished study. An uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability) was applied to derive the RfD.

EPA (IRIS 2004) presently classifies dichloromethane as group B2 (probable human carcinogen), based on inadequate human data and sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals; results from available studies include increased incidence of hepatocellular neoplasms and alveolar/bronchiolar neoplasms in male and female mice, and increased incidence of benign mammary tumors in both sexes of rats, salivary gland sarcomas in male rats and leukemia in female rats. This classification is supported by some positive genotoxicity data, although results in mammalian systems are generally negative. The oral slope factor for methylene chloride is 7.5E-3 per mg/kg/day, and the inhalation unit risk is 4.7E-7 per  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

## C.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values

#### Neurological Effects

ATSDR (2000) derived an acute-duration inhalation MRL of 0.6 ppm for methylene chloride, based on a LOAEL of 300 ppm for neurological effects (reduced cranial flicker frequency) in exposed human volunteers (Winneke 1974). A PBPK model for this experiment was used to adjust the dosage to a 24 hour exposure period, thus resulting in a LOAEL of 60 ppm for the same endpoint (Reitz et al. 1997). The MRL was derived by dividing the LOAEL of 60 ppm by an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for the use of a LOAEL and 10 for human variability). Despite being of shorter duration, this value was used as the

TTD for neurological effects, because neurological effects of methylene chloride are generally acute effects that occur at slightly greater levels than other sensitive endpoints of methylene chloride toxicity. The value of 0.6 ppm is similar to the intermediate- and chronic-duration MRLs, both of which are 0.3 ppm.

### Hepatic Effects

ATSDR (2000) derived an intermediate-duration MRL of 0.3 ppm for methylene chloride, based on a LOAEL of 25 ppm for hepatic effects (changes in liver histopathology) in rats exposed to methylene chloride for 14 weeks (Haun et al. 1972). This resulted in an MRL of 0.3 ppm by dividing the LOAEL of 25 ppm by an uncertainty factor of 90 (3 for use of a minimal LOAEL, 3 for extrapolation from animals to humans, and 10 for human variability).

### Hematological Effects

ATSDR derived a chronic-duration MRL of 0.3 ppm for methylene chloride, based on a NOAEL of 50 ppm for hematological effects (increased COHb levels) in rats exposed to methylene chloride for 2 years. The NOAEL of 50 ppm was adjusted for continuous exposure (6 hour/day, 5 day/week) resulting in a NOAEL[ADJ] of 8.92 ppm. Whereas the MRL was derived based on hepatic effects (extrarespiratory), a human equivalent concentration (HEC) was calculated. Since the ratio of the blood:air partition coefficient in the rat to the blood:air partition coefficient in the human was > 1, the value of 1.0 was used to calculate the LOAEL[HEC] (EPA 1994). This resulted in an MRL of 0.3 ppm by dividing the LOAEL of 8.92 ppm by an uncertainty factor of 30 (3 for extrapolation from animals to humans, and 10 for human variability).

#### Respiratory Effects

No effects on pulmonary function have been noted in humans acutely exposed to up to 500 ppm for 6 weeks (NIOSH 1974). In animals, the lowest effects noted following inhalation exposure were nasal squamous cell metaplasia in rats chronically exposed to 1000 ppm methylene chloride; more severe effects were seen in rats and mice at higher exposure levels (up to 10,000 ppm). As rodents are obligate nose breathers, it is possible that they will be particularly sensitive to nasal effects, relative to humans. Therefore, the 500 ppm NOAEL value from humans was used to derive the TTD. The NOAEL was divided by an uncertainty factor of 10, representing intrahuman variability, to derive the TTD<sub>RESP</sub> of 50 ppm.

#### Cancer

EPA (IRIS 2004) presently classifies dichloromethane as group B2 (probable human carcinogen), based on inadequate human data and sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals; results from available studies include increased incidence of hepatocellular neoplasms and alveolar/bronchiolar neoplasms in male and female mice, and increased incidence of benign mammary tumors in both sexes of rats, salivary gland sarcomas in male rats and leukemia in female rats. This classification is supported by some positive genotoxicity data, although results in mammalian systems are generally negative. The oral slope factor for methylene chloride is 7.5E-3 per mg/kg/day, and the inhalation unit risk is 4.7E-7 per  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

Summary (TTD for Methylene Chloride) MRL<sub>NEURO</sub>=0.6 ppm MRL<sub>HEPATIC</sub>=0.3 ppm MRL<sub>HEMATO</sub>=0.3 ppm TTD<sub>RESP</sub> = 50 ppm

# C.6 References

Angelo MJ, Pritchard AB, Hawkins DR, et al. 1986a. The pharmacokinetics of dichloromethane. I. Disposition in B6C3F1 mice following intravenous and oral administration. Food Chem Toxicol 24:965–974.

Angelo MJ, Pritchard AB, Hawkins DR, et al. 1986b. The pharmacokinetics of dichloromethane. II. Disposition in Fischer 344 rats following intravenous and oral administration. Food Chem Toxicol 24(9):975–980.

Anundi H, Lind ML, Friis L, et al. 1993. High exposures to organic solvents among graffiti removers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 65:247–251.

Åstrand I, Övrum P, Carlsson A. 1975. Exposure to methylene chloride: I. Its concentration in alveolar air and blood during rest and exercise and its metabolism. Scand J Work Environ Health 1:78–94.

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry), Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2000) Toxicological profile for Methylene Chloride. Available from ATSDR, Atlanta, GA on-line at http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxpro2.html

Bakinson MA, Jones RD. 1985. Gassings due to methylene chloride, xylene, toluene, and styrene reported to Her Majesty's factory inspectorate 1961–80. Br J Ind Med 42:184–190.

Barrowcliff DF, Knell AJ. 1979. Cerebral damage due to endogenous chronic carbon monoxide poisoning caused by exposure to methylene chloride. J Soc Occup Med 29:12–14.

Bornschein RL, Hastings L, Mason JM. 1980. Behavioral toxicity in the offspring of rats following maternal exposure to dichloromethane. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 52:29–37.

Briving C, Hamberger A, Kjellstrand P, et al. 1986. Chronic effects of dichloromethane on amino acids, glutathione and phosphoethanolamine in gerbil brain. Scand J Work Environ Health 12:216–220.

Bukowski JA, Sargent EV, Pena BM. 1992. Evaluation of the utility of a standard history questionnaire in assessing the neurological effects of solvents. Am J Ind Med 22:337–345.

Burek JD, Nitschke KD, Bell TJ, et al. 1984. Methylene chloride: A two-year inhalation toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats and hamsters. Fund Appl Toxicol 4:30–47.

Cantor KP, Stewart PA, Brinton LA, et al. 1995. Occupational exposures and female breast cancer mortality in the United States. J Occ Env Med 37(3):336–348.

Carlsson A, Hultengren M. 1975. Exposure to methylene chloride: III. Metabolism of 14C-labeled methylene chloride in rat. Scand J Work Environ Health 1:104–108.

Cherry N, Venables H, Waldron HA, et al. 1981. Some observations on workers exposed to methylene chloride. Br J Ind Med 38:351–355.

Cocco P, Heineman EF, Dosemeci M. 1999. Occupational risk factors for cancer of the central nervous system (CNS) among US women. Am J Ind Med 36:70–74.

DeJohgn J, Verhaar HJM, Hermens JLM. 1998. Role of kinetics in acute lethality of nonreactive volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Toxicol Sci 45:26–32.

DiVincenzo GD, Yanno FJ, Astill BD. 1972. Human and canine exposure to methylene chloride vapor. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 33:125–135.

DiVincenzo GD, Kaplan CJ. 1981. Uptake, metabolism, and elimination of methylene chloride vapor by humans. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 59:130–140.

Engström J, Bjurström R. 1977. Exposure to methylene chloride: Content in subcutaneous adipose tissue. Scand J Work Environ Health 3:215–224.

EPA. 1994. Methods for derivation of inhalation reference concentrations and application of inhalation dosimetry. Washington, DC: Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA/600/8-90/066F.

EPA. 2005. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). Online. www.epa.gov/iris

Fodor GG, Winneke G. 1971. Nervous system disturbances in men and animals experimentally exposed to industrial solvent vapors in England. Proceedings of the 2nd international clean air congress. New York, NY: Academic Press.

Foster JR, Green T, Smith LL, et al. 1992. Methylene chloride -- An inhalation study to investigate pathological and biochemical events occurring in the lungs of mice over an exposure period of 90 days. Fundam Appl Toxicol 18:376–388.

Friedlander BR, Hearne FT, Hall S. 1978. Epidemiologic investigation of employees chronically exposed to methylene chloride: Mortality analysis. J Occup Med 20(10):657–666.

Gargas ML, Clewell HJ, Andersen ME. 1986. Metabolism of inhaled dihalomethanes *in vivo*: Differentiation of kinetic constants for two independent pathways. Toxicol Appl Pharm 82:211–223.

Gibbs GW, Amsel J, Soden K. 1996. A cohort mortality study of cellulose triacetate-fiber workers exposed to methylene chloride. J Occup Environ Med 38(7):693–697.

Graves RJ, Green T. 1996. Mouse liver glutathione *S*-transferase mediated metabolism of methylene chloride to a mutagen in the CHO/HPRT assay. Mutat Res 367:143–150.

Graves RJ, Callander RD, Green T. 1994a. The role of formaldehyde and S-chloromethylglutathione in the bacterial mutagenicity of methylene chloride. Mutat Res 320:235–243.

Graves RJ, Coutts C, Eyton-Jones H, et al. 1994b. Relationship between hepatic DNA damage and methylene chloride-induced hepaticarcinogenicity in B6C3F1 mice. Carcinogenesis 15(5):991–996.

Graves RJ, Coutts C, Green T. 1995. Methylene chloride-induced DNA damage: An interspecies comparison. Carcinogenesis 16(8):1919–1926.

Graves RJ, Trueman P, Jones S, et al. 1996. DNA sequence analysis of methylene chloride-induced HPRT mutations in Chinese hamster ovary cells: Comparison with the mutation spectrum obtained for 1,2-dibromoethane and formaldehyde. Mutagenesis 11(3):229–233.

Green T. 1997. Methylene chloride induced mouse liver and lung tumours: An overview of the role of mechanistic studies in human safety assessment. Hum Exp Toxicol 16:3–13.

Hall AH, Rumack BH. 1990. Methylene chloride exposure in furniture-stripping shops: Ventilation and respirator use practices. J Occup Med 32(1):33–41.

Haun CC, Vernot EH, Darmer KI, et al. 1972. Continuous animal exposure to low levels of dichloromethane. In: Proceedings of the 3rd annual conference on environmental toxicology. Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH: Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, 199–208. AMRL-TR-72-130. AD 773766.

Hearne FT, Grose F, Pifer JW, et al. 1987. Methylene chloride mortality study: Dose-response characterization and animal model comparison. J Occup Med 29(3):217–228.

Hearne FT, Pifer JW, Grose F. 1990. Absence of adverse mortality effects in workers exposed to methylene chloride: An update. J Occup Med 32(3):234–240.

Heineman EF, Cocco P, Gómez MR, et al. 1994. Occupational exposure to chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons and risk of astrocytic brain cancer. Am J Ind Med 26:155–169.

Heppel LA, Neal PA. 1944. Toxicology of dichloromethane (methylene chloride): II. Its effects upon running activity in the male rat. J Ind Hyg Toxicol 26(1):17–21.

Heppel LA, Neal PA, Perrin ML, et al. 1944. Toxicology of dichloromethane (methylene chloride): I. Studies on effects of daily inhalation. J Ind Hyg Toxicol 26(1):8–16.

Kari FW, Foley JF, Seilkop SK, et al. 1993. Effect of varying exposure regimens on methylene chlorideinduced lung and liver tumors in female B6C3F1 mice. Carcinogenesis 14(5):819–826.

Karlsson J-E, Rosengren LE, Kjellstrand P, et al. 1987. Effects of low-dose inhalation of three chlorinated aliphatic organic solvents on deoxyribonucleic acid in gerbil brain. Scand J Work Environ Health 13:453–458.

Lanes SF, Rothman KJ, Dreyer NA, et al. 1993. Mortality update of cellulose fiber production workers. Scand J Work Environ Health 19:426–428.

Lash AA, Becker CE, So Y, et al. 1991. Neurotoxic effects of methylene chloride: Are they long lasting in humans? Br J Ind Med 48:418–426.

MacEwen JD, Vernot EH, Haun CC. 1972. Continuous animal exposure to dichloromethane. Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH: Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory. AMRL-TR-72-28. AD 746295.

Maltoni C, Cotti G, Perino G, et al. 1988. Long-term carcinogenicity bioassays on methylene chloride administered by ingestion to Sprague-Dawley rats and Swiss mice and by inhalation to Sprague-Dawley rats. Ann NY Acad Sci 534:352–366.

Manno M, Rugge M, Cocheo V. 1992. Double fatal inhalation of dichloromethane. Hum Exp Toxicol 11:540–545.

Maronpot RR, Devereux TR, Hegi M, et al. 1995. Hepatic and pulmonary carcinogenicity of methylene chloride in mice: A search for mechanisms. Toxicol 102:73–81.

Mattsson JL, Albee RR, Eisenbrandt DL. 1990. Neurotoxicologic evaluation of rats after 13 weeks of inhalation exposure to dichloromethane or carbon monoxide. Pharmacol Biochem Beh 36:671–681.

McKenna MJ, Saunders JH, Boeckler WH, et al. 1980. The pharmacokinetics of inhaled methylene chloride in human volunteers [Abstract]. Toxicol Appl Pharm A59.

McKenna MJ, Zempel JA, Braun WH. 1982. The pharmacokinetics of inhaled methylene chloride in rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 65:1–10.

Mennear JH, McConnell EE, Huff JE, et al. 1988. Inhalation toxicity and carcinogenesis studies of methylene chloride (dichloromethane) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice. Ann NY Acad Sci 534:343–351.

NIOSH. 1974. Methylene chloride: Development of a biologic standard for the industrial worker by breath analysis. Cincinnati, OH: National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health. NTIS No. PB83-245860. NIOSH-MCOW-ENVM-MC-74-9.

Nitschke KD, Burek JD, Bell TJ, et al. 1988. Methylene chloride: A 2-year inhalation toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats. Fundam Appl Toxicol 11:48–59.

NTP. 1986. National Toxicology Program. NTP technical report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of dichloromethane (methylene chloride) (CAS No. 75-09-2) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (inhalation studies). Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. NTP-TR-306. NIH Pub No. 86-2562.

Oda Y, Yamazaki H, Thier R, et al. 1996. A new *Salmonella typhimurium* NM5004 strain expressing rat glutathione S-transferase 5-5: Use in detection of genotoxicity of dihaloalkanes using an SOS/umu test system. Carcinogenesis 17:297–302.

Ott, MG, Skory LK, Holder BB, et al. 1983a. Health evaluation of employees occupationally exposed to methylene chloride: Clinical laboratory evaluation. Scand J Work Environ Health 9 (Suppl 1):17–25.

Ott MG, Skory LK, Holder BB, et al. 1983d. Health evaluation of employees occupationally exposed to methylene chloride: Metabolism data and oxygen half-saturation pressure. Scand J Work Environ Health 9(suppl1):31–38.

Putz VR, Johnson BL, Setzer JV. 1979. A comparative study of the effects of carbon monoxide and methylene chloride on human performance. J Environ Pathol Toxicol 2:97–112.

Rebert CS, Matteucci MJ, Pryor GT. 1989. Acute effects of inhaled dichloromethane on the EEG and sensory-evoked potentials of Fischer-344 rats. Pharmacol Biochem Beh 34:619–629.

Reitz RH. 1990. Quantitating the production of biological reactive intermediates in target tissues: Example, dichloromethane. In: Witmer CM, et al, ed. Biological reactives intermediates IV. New York: Plenum Press, 649–655. Reitz RH, Hays SM, Gargas ML. 1997. Addressing priority data needs for methylene chloride with physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling. Atlanta, GA: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Rosengren LE, Kjellstrand P, Aurell A, et al. 1986. Irreversible effects of dichloromethane on the brain after long term exposure: A quantitative study of DNA and the glial cell marker proteins S-100 and GFA. Br J Ind Med 43:291–299.

Savolainen H, Kurppa K, Pfäffli P, et al. 1981. Dose-related effects of dichloromethane on rat brain in short-term inhalation exposure. Chem Biol Interact 34:315–322.

Sikkema J, de Bont JAM, Poolman B. 1995. Mechanisms of membrane toxicity of hydrocarbons. Microbiol Rev 59(2):201–222.

Simula TP, Glancey MJ, Wolf CR. 1993. Human glutathione S-transferase-expressing *Salmonella typhimurium* tester strains to study the activation/detoxification of mutagenic compounds: Studies with halogenated compounds, aromatic amines and aflatoxin  $B_1$ . Carcinogenesis 14:1371–1376.

Snyder RW, Mishel HS, Christensen GC. 1992a. Pulmonary toxicity following exposure to methylene chloride and its combustion product, phosgene. Chest 101:860–861.

Snyder RW, Mishel HS, Christensen GC. 1992b. Pulmonary toxicity following exposure to methylene chloride and its combustion product, phosgene. Chest 102:1921.

Soden KJ. 1993. An evaluation of chronic methylene chloride exposure. J Occup Med 35(3):282-286.

Soden KJ, Marras G, Amsel J. 1996. Carboxyhemoglobin levels in methylene chloride-exposed employees. J Occup Environ Med 38(4):367–371.

Stewart RD, Fischer TN, Hosko MJ, et al. 1972. Experimental human exposure to methylene chloride. Arch Environ Health 25:342–348.

Winek CL, Collom WD, Esposito F. 1981. Accidental methylene chloride fatality. Forensic Sci Int 18:165–168.

Winneke, G. 1974. Behavioral effects of methylene chloride and carbon monoxide as assessed by sensory and psychomotor performance. In: Xintaras C, Johnson BL, de Groot I, eds. Behavioral toxicology: Early detection of occupational hazards. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, 130–144.

## Appendix D: Background Information for Nitrogen Dioxide

### **D.1 Toxicokinetics**

The uptake of NO<sub>2</sub> has been assessed following inhalation exposure in humans. Absorption was between 81–90% of the total NO<sub>2</sub> exposure in healthy human volunteers briefly exposed to an NO/ NO<sub>2</sub> mixture (exposure duration not specified) with normal breathing (Wagner 1970). When the subjects were at maximal ventilation, the absorption increased to 91–92% (Wagner 1970). In asthmatic subjects exposed to 0.3 ppm for 30 minutes, the deposition was slightly less, with an average uptake of 72% at rest and 87% during exercise (Bauer et al. 1986). The uptake of NO<sub>2</sub> in animal studies is similarly extensive, with near-complete absorption in acute studies. For example, Kleinman and Mautz (1987) determined that total respiratory absorption in dogs exposed to up to 5 ppm of NO<sub>2</sub> was 85% at rest, and nearly 100% with high ventilation rates. In animal studies, the uptake of NO<sub>2</sub> in the upper respiratory tract was 42% for dogs and 28% for rats (Cavanagh and Morris 1987; Yokoyama 1968), indicating considerable absorption in both the upper and lower respiratory tract.

Once deposited, NO<sub>2</sub> tends to react quickly with respiratory tissues, and the products are rapidly taken up into the bloodstream. The primary products found in the blood are  $NO_2^-$  and  $NO_3^-$ , created by the reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with water in the tissues to form nitrous and nitric acids (Goldstein et al. 1977; Saul and Archer 1983). Following high-level exposure (5–40 ppm) of NO<sub>2</sub> for 1 hour in mice, a concentration-dependent increase was seen in both  $NO_2^-$  and  $NO_3^-$ , which declined rapidly after the termination of exposure.

## **D.2 Health Effects**

#### **Respiratory Effects**

Exposures of healthy subjects to 4 ppm or below for up to 2 hours have generally been without noticeable effects on lung function (Hackney et al. 1978; Goings et al. 1989), although some studies have noted changes in airway responsiveness in healthy volunteers exposed to 2 ppm NO<sub>2</sub> or greater, particularly when challenged with methacholine (Abe 1967; Beil and Umer 1976; Mohsenin 1988; Von Nieding et al. 1977, 1979). Exposure to similar levels of NO<sub>2</sub> (~2 ppm or greater for 2+ hours) has resulted in increases in the number and/or percentages of pulmonary immune cell populations (lymphocytes and polymorphonuclear cells) in healthy volunteers (Becker et al. 1993; Boushey et al. 1988; Devlin et al. 1992; Frampton et al. 1989; Sandstroem et al. 1989, 1990).

Asthmatics or patients with COPD are much more sensitive to effects of NO<sub>2</sub>, with changes in airway function generally reported at 0.3 ppm or greater (Bauer et al. 1986; Morrow & Utell 1989; Roger et al. 1990), but with isolated reports of effects at concentrations as low as 0.12–0.14 ppm (Bylin et al. 1988; Koenig et al. 1985).

There have been isolated reports that higher levels of NO<sub>2</sub> (>7520  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, 4.0 ppm) can decrease arterial oxygen partial pressure (PaO<sub>2</sub>) in exposed humans (Von Nieding & Wagner 1977; Von Nieding et al. 1979) and cause a small decrease in systemic blood pressure (Linn et al. 1985). However, the impact of such changes is not clear, especially considering the generally high concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> required.

NO<sub>2</sub> has been shown to elicit a variety of respiratory effects in animal studies. Exposures to 5 ppm of NO<sub>2</sub> or greater (Giordano and Morrow 1972; Kita and Omichi 1974), but not  $\leq$ 1 ppm (Schlesinger et al. 1987), result in changes in bronchial ciliated cells and a decrease in mucociliary clearance. Mice exposed to 0.5 ppm NO<sub>2</sub> continuously for 1 week, with a peak exposure of 2 ppm for one hour once/day, showed changes in macrophage morphology (Aranyi et al. 1976); exposure to lower levels did not result in altered macrophage morphology. Exposure to low levels of NO<sub>2</sub> (<1 ppm) may result in increased macrophage-mediated clearance (Schlessinger and Gearhart 1987; Schlesinger 1987a,b). Long-term exposure (6 months) of baboons to 2 ppm NO<sub>2</sub> resulted in decreased macrophage migration, suggestive of impaired clearance (Greene and Schneider 1978). Changes in lung morphology generally do not occur below 5 ppm NO<sub>2</sub> in animal studies.

#### Immunological Effects

Animal studies have identified changes in immunological endpoints as a sensitive endpoint for exposure to NO<sub>2</sub>; however, such effects have in most cases been localized to the lung. Richters & Damji (1988, 1990) noted decreases in the total proportion of T-cells in mice exposed to 0.25 ppm NO<sub>2</sub> for 7 or 36 weeks. Subchronic or chronic exposure to 1–2 ppm has resulted in other immunologic changes, including decreases in circulating IgG, IgM, and IgA levels, hemolytic activity of complement, and splenic natural killer cell activity (Ehrlich et al. 1975; Fenters et al. 1973; Kosmider et al. 1973; Lefkowitz et al. 1986). Mice exposed continuously to 0.5 ppm, with 1 hour peak exposures of 1 ppm twice daily, for 15 days showed an increased mortality to streptococcus infection (Gardner 1980; Gardner et al. 1982; Graham et al. 1987); this was not seen in mice exposed continuously to 0.05 ppm with peak exposures of 0.1 ppm. Similar effects on susceptibility to infection have been reported at higher NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations for shorter durations (Coffin et al. 1977; Erlich and Henry 1968; Gardner et al. 1977a,b; Ito 1971; McGrath and Oyervides 1985).

## **D.3 Mechanisms of Action**

Nitrogen dioxide is a free radical gas, with a single unpaired electron on the nitrogen atom. As such, it is a highly reactive compound and capable of easily oxidizing cellular molecules. As described in section D.1,  $NO_2$  in the body quickly reacts to nitrous and nitric acids or reactive nitrogen species (including peroxynitrite). These reactions may result in a variety of changes, including cellular damage, lipid peroxidation, interaction with cellular proteins and thiols, depending on the susceptibility of cellular molecules to nitrogen radical interaction. Persinger et al. (2002) has recently published a review of basic molecular mechanisms of nitrogen dioxide-induced lung injury.

#### **D.4 Health Guidelines**

ATSDR (2005) has not derived MRLs for nitrogen dioxide for any exposure duration or route.

EPA (2005) does not list an RfD, RfC, or cancer classification for nitrogen dioxide on IRIS.

IARC (2005) has not issued a carcinogenicity classification for nitrogen dioxide.

## D.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values

#### Respiratory Effects

Short-term exposures of healthy adults to NO<sub>2</sub> have noted small changes in pulmonary function at concentrations as low as 2 ppm (Abe 1967; Beil and Umer 1976; Mohsenin 1988; Von Nieding et al. 1977, 1979). Asthmatics and people with COPD, who represent a sensitive population for the respiratory effects of NO<sub>2</sub>, have generally shown no effects at 0.25 ppm and below (Joerres and Magnussen 1990, 1991). Changes in pulmonary function in asthmatics begin at 0.3 ppm (Bauer et al. 1986) and progress with increasing concentration. The NOAEL for NO<sub>2</sub>-induced pulmonary changes in asthmatics is therefore 0.25 ppm. Since it occurs in a sensitive population, no uncertainty factor was applied for intrahuman variability. However, since the exposure duration was short (<1 hour), an uncertainty factor of 3 was applied to adjust for longer-duration exposures. The TTD was therefore 0.25 ppm  $\div$  3 = 0.08 ppm.

Summary (TTD for Nitrogen Dioxide) TTD<sub>RESP</sub> = 0.08 ppm

# **D.6 References**

Abe M. 1967. Effects of mixed NO<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>2</sub> gas on human pulmonary functions: Effects of air pollution on the human body. Bull Tokyo Med Dent Univ 14:415–433.

Bauer MA, Utell MJ, Morrow PE, et al. 1986. Inhalation of 0.30 ppm nitrogen dioxide potentiates exercise-induced bronchospasm in asthmatics. Rev Respir Dis 134:1203–1208.

Becker S, Deolen R, Horstman D, et al. 1993. Evidence for mild inflammation and change in alveolar macrophage function in humans exposed to 2PPM NO<sub>2</sub> In: Jaakkola JJK, Ilmarinen R, Seppänen O, eds. Indoor air '93 -Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Indoor Air Quality and Climate, Helsinki, July 1993. Volume 1: Health Effects, 471–476.

Beil M ,Ulmer WT. 1976. [Effect of  $NO_2$  in workroom concentrations on respiratory mechanics and bronchial susceptibility to acetylcholine in normal persons] Int Arch Occup Environ Health, 38:31–44 (German).

Boushey HA Jr, Rubinstein I, Bigby BG, et al. 1988. Studies on air pollution: Effects of nitrogen dioxide on airway caliber and reactivity in asthmatic subjects; effects of nitrogen dioxide on lung lymphocytes and macrophage products in healthy subjects; nasal and bronchial effects of sulfur dioxide in asthmatic subjects. Sacramento, California, California Air Resources Board, (Report No. ARB/R-89/384).

Bylin G, Hedenstierna G, Lindvall T, et al. 1988. Ambient nitrogen dioxide concentrations increase bronchial responsiveness in subjects with mild asthma. Eur Respir J 1:606–612.

Cavanagh DG, Morris JB. 1987. Mucus protection and airway peroxidation following nitrogen dioxide exposure in the rat. J Toxicol Environ Health 22:313–328

Coffin DL, Gardner DE, Sidorenko GI, et al. 1977. Role of time as a factor in the toxicity of chemical compounds in intermittent and continuous exposures. Part II: Effects of intermittent exposure. J Toxicol Environ Health 3:821–828.

Devlin R, Horstman D, Becker S, et al. 1992. Inflammatory response in humans exposed to 2.0 ppm NO<sub>2</sub>. Am Rev Respir Dis 145:A456.

Ehrlich R, Henry MC. 1968. Chronic toxicity of nitrogen dioxide: I. Effect on resistance to bacterial pneumonia. Arch Environ Health 17:860–865.

Ehrlich R, Silverstein E, Maigetter R, et al. 1975. Immunologic response in vaccinated mice during long-term exposure to nitrogen dioxide. Environ Res 10:217–223.

EPA. 2005. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). Online. www.epa.gov/iris

Fenters JD, Findlay JC, Port CD, et al. 1973. Chronic exposure to nitrogen dioxide: Immunologic, physiologic, and pathologic effects in virus-challenged squirrel monkeys. Arch Environ Health 27:85–89.

Frampton MW, Finkelstein JN, Roberts NJ Jr, et al. 1989. Effects of nitrogen dioxide exposure on bronchoalveolar lavage proteins in humans. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 1:499–505.

Gardner DE. 1980. Influence of exposure patterns of nitrogen dioxide on susceptibility to infectious respiratory disease. In: Lee SD ed. Nitrogen oxides and their effects on health. Ann Arbor, Michigan, Ann Arbor Science Publishers Inc.,267–288.

Gardner DE. 1982. Toxic response: The significance of local vs. systemic effects. Proceedings of the 1982 Summer Toxicology Forum, Washington, 82–87.

Gardner DE, Coffin DL, Pinigin MA, et al. 1977a. Role of time as a factor in the toxicity of chemical compounds in intermittent and continuous exposures. Part I. Effects of continuous exposure. J Toxicol Environ Health 3:811–820.

Gardner DE, Miller FJ, Blommer EJ, et al. 1977b. Relationships between nitrogen dioxide concentration, time, and level of effect using an animal infectivity model. In: Dimitriades B ed. International Conference on Photochemical Oxidant Pollution and its Control: Proceedings. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, US Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Sciences Research Laboratory, vol 2, 513–525.

Giordano AM Jr , Morrow PE. 1972. Chronic low-level nitrogen dioxide exposure and mucociliary clearance. Arch Environ Health 25: 443–449

Goings SAJ, Kulle TJ, Bascom R, et al. 1989. Effect of nitrogen dioxide exposure on susceptibility to influenza A virus infection in healthy adults. Am Rev Respir Dis 139:1075–1081.

Goldstein BD, Hamburger SJ, Falk GW, et al. 1077. Effect of ozone and nitrogen dioxide on the agglutination of rat alveolar macrophages by concanavalin A. Life Sci 21:1637–1644.

Graham JA, Gardner DE, Blommer EJ, et al. 1987. Influence of exposure patterns of nitrogen dioxide and modifications by ozone on susceptibility to bacterial infectious diseases in mice. J Toxicol Environ Health 21:113–125.

Greene ND, Schneider SL. 1978. Effects of  $NO_2$  on the response of baboon alveolar acrophages to migration inhibitory factor. J Toxicol Environ Health 4:869–880

Hackney JD, Thiede FC, Linn WS, et al. 1978. Experimental studies on human health effects of air pollutants: IV. Short-term physiological and clinical effects of nitrogen dioxide exposure. Arch Environ Health 33:176–181.

Ito K. 1971. [Effect of nitrogen dioxide inhalation on influenza virus infection in mice]. Nippon Eiseigaku Zasshi 26:304–314.

Kita H, Omichi S. 1974. [Effects of air pollutants on cilial movement in airway.] Nippon Eiseigaku Zasshi, 29:100.

Kleinman MT, Mautz WJ. 1987. The effects of exercise on respiratory tract dosimetry for inhaled gaseous pollutants. Presented at the 80<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association. Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Air Pollution Control Association (Paper No. 87-33.5).

Koenig JQ, Covert DS, Morgan MS, et al. 1985. Acute effects of 0.12 ppm ozone or 0.12 ppm nitrogen dioxide on pulmonary functions in healthy and asthmatic adolescents. Am Rev Respir Dis 132:648–651.

Kosmider ST, Misiewicz A, Felus E, et al. 1973. [Experimental and clinical studies on the effects of nitrogen oxides on immunity.] Int Arch Arbeitsmed 31:9–23.

Lefkowitz SS, McGrath JJ, Lefkowitz DL. 1986. Effects of NO<sub>2</sub> on immune responses. J Toxicol Environ Health 17:241–248.

Linn WS, Solomon JC, Trim SC. 1985. Effects of exposure to 4 ppm nitrogen dioxide in healthy and asthmatic volunteers. Arch Environ Health 40:234–239.

Mohsenin V. 1988. Airway responses to 2.0 ppm nitrogen dioxide in normal subjects. Arch Environ Health 43:242–246.

Morrow PE, Utell MJ. 1989. Responses of susceptible subpopulations to nitrogen dioxide. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Institute of Health Effects (Research Report No. 23).

Persinger RL, Poynter ME, Ckless K, Janssen-Heininger YM. 2002. Molecular mechanisms of nitrogen dioxide induced epithelial injury in the lung. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 234-235(1-2): 71-80.

Richters A, Damji KS. 1988. Changes in T-lymphocyte subpopulations and natural killer cells following exposure to ambient levels of nitrogen dioxide. J Toxicol Environ Health 25:247–256.

Richters A, Damji KS. 1990. The relationship between inhalation of nitrogen dioxide, the immune system, and progression of a spontaneously occurring lymphoma in AKR mice. J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol 10:225–230.

Roger LJ, Horstman DH, McDonnell W, et al. 1990. Pulmonary function, airway responsiveness, and respiratory symptoms in asthmatics following exercise in NO<sub>2</sub>. Toxicol Ind Health 6:155–171.

Saul RL, Archer MC. 1983. Nitrate formation in rats exposed to nitrogen dioxide. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 67:284–291.

Sandstroem T, Kolmodin-Hedman B, Stjernberg N, et al. 1989. Inflammatory cell response in bronchoalveolar fluid after nitrogen dioxide exposure of healthy subjects. Am Rev Respir Dis 139(suppl):A124.

Sandstroem T, Bjermer L, Kolmodin-Hedman B, et al. 1990. Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) induced inflammation in the lung; attenuated response after repeated exposures. Am Rev Respir Dis 41(suppl):A73.

Von Nieding G, Wagner HM. 1977. Experimental studies on the short-term effect of air pollutants on pulmonary function in man: Two-hour exposure to NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> alone and in combination. In: Kasuga S, Suzuki N, Yamada T, et al., eds. Proceedings of the Fourth International Clean Air Congress. Tokyo, Japan, Japanese Union of Air Pollution Prevention Associations, 5–8.

Von Nieding G, Wagner HM. 1979. Effects of  $NO_2$  on chronic bronchitis. Environ Health Perspect 29:137–142.

Wagner H-M (1970) [Absorption of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> in mik- and mak-concentrations during inhalation.] Staub Reinhalt Luft, 30:380–381 (German).

Yokoyama E (1968) Uptake of  $SO_2$  and  $NO_2$  by the isolated upper airways. Bull Inst Public Health (Tokyo) 17:302–306.

## Appendix E: Background Information for Tetrachloroethylene

Tetrachloroethylene ( $C_2Cl_4$ , CASRN 127-18-4) is a commercially important chlorinated hydrocarbon solvent and chemical intermediate used as a dry cleaning and textile-processing solvent and for vapor degreasing in metal-cleaning operations. Tetrachloroethylene has been found in at least 771 of the 1,430 current or former EPA National Priorities List (NPL) hazardous waste sites (HazDat 1996). An estimate of the current end-use pattern for tetrachloroethylene is as follows: 55% for chemical intermediates, 25% for metal cleaning and vapor degreasing, 15% for dry cleaning and textile processing, and 5% for other unspecified uses (Chemical Profile 1995). A survey conducted by the International Fabricare Institute in 1989 indicated that 88.4% of the dry cleaners in the United States use tetrachloroethylene (Andrasik and Cloutet 1990) as the primary solvent.

Although the use of tetrachloroethylene in the dry cleaning and degreasing industries makes this chemical a potential hazard for exposed workers, casual contact by the general population with dry-cleaned clothing may pose a risk as well. One study showed that the storage of newly dry-cleaned garments in a residential closet resulted in tetrachloroethylene levels of  $0.5-2.9 \text{ mg/m}^3$  (74–428 ppb) in the closet after 1 day, followed by a rapid decline to  $0.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$  (74 ppb) which persisted for several days (Tichenor et al. 1990). Initial "airing out" of the clothes for 4–8 hours had little effect on the resulting emissions, presumably because diffusion through the fabric, rather than surface evaporation, was rate-limiting. A study of nine homes into which 10 or fewer freshly dry-cleaned garments were introduced showed an increase in tetrachloroethylene levels in the air of seven homes (Thomas et al. 1991). The increases ranged from 2 to 30 times the levels before the introduction of the garments, and the magnitude of the increase was highly correlated with the number of garments divided by the house volume. Tetrachloroethylene levels in personal breathing space and expired air of residents were also monitored and found to be generally correlated with indoor air concentrations. An investigation of different methods for reducing tetrachloroethylene retention in dry-cleaned fabrics found that, while airing at 20°C for several hours had little effect, airing at 45°C greatly reduced retention time, and thus was recommended as a way to reduce consumer exposure from garments (Guo et al. 1990).

## **E.1 Toxicokinetics**

Results from human and animal studies indicate that inhaled tetrachloroethylene is rapidly and efficiently absorbed by the lungs (ATSDR 1997). For example, in rats given nose-only inhalation exposures to 50 or 500 ppm for 3 hours, near steady-state exhaled breath concentrations were attained within about 20 minutes and were proportional to concentration (Dallas et al. 1994b). Total uptake of tetrachloro-

ethylene increased with exposure concentration, but was not linearly proportional to concentration, consistent with an influence of saturable metabolism on pulmonary uptake. Studies with rats, mice, and dogs indicate that ingested tetrachloroethylene is rapidly and completely absorbed (Dallas et al. 1994a, 1995; Frantz and Watanabe 1983; Pegg et al. 1979). When applied to the skin as a liquid, tetrachloro-ethylene also is rapidly absorbed. Tetrachloroethylene was detected in exhaled breath of humans shortly after immersion of one thumb in liquid tetrachloroethylene; a peak concentration was attained after about 40 minutes of exposure (Stewart and Dodd 1964). Other human studies indicate, however, that skin absorption of tetrachloroethylene vapor contributes only a small portion of absorbed body burden compared with pulmonary absorption.

Once absorbed, tetrachloroethylene is distributed widely throughout the body with preferential distribution to fatty tissue including maternal breast milk. Tetrachloroethylene is capable of crossing the placenta and reaching the developing fetus (ATSDR 1997). Estimated partition coefficients for tetrachloroethylene in human tissues and liquids are 10–20 for blood/air, 1,450–1,650 for fat/air, and 125– 159 for fat/blood; these values are consistent with ready partition into blood from air and preferential distribution to fatty tissue. In humans exposed to airborne concentrations up to 144 ppm for 4 hours, exhalation of unmetabolized tetrachloroethylene was the predominant route of elimination (Monster et al. 1979). Urinary excretion of metabolites represented a small percentage (1-2%) of absorbed doses. Halflives of tetrachloroethylene in highly perfused tissue, muscle tissue, and fatty tissue of humans have been estimated at 12–16 hours, 30–40 hours, and 55 hours, respectively. In rats exposed to 10 ppm radiolabeled tetrachloroethylene, 68 and 3.6% of the absorbed radioactivity was exhaled as the parent material and carbon dioxide, respectively, over a 72-hour period; 24% of absorbed radioactivity was accounted for as nonvolatile urinary and fecal metabolites and 3-4% remained in the carcasses (Pegg et al. 1979). Metabolic saturation ensued with exposure to higher concentrations (600 ppm), as 88, 9, and 2% of the absorbed dose was accounted for by exhalation of parent chemical, urinary and fecal metabolites, and radioactivity remaining in the rat carcasses. The limited extent to which tetrachloroethylene is metabolized in rats is not dramatically influenced by induction of CYP isozymes. For example, in rats pretreated with phenobarbital before intraperitoneal injection with 1,474 mg/kg trichloroethylene/kg or 1,632 mg/kg tetrachloroethylene, rates of appearance of trichloroethylene metabolites in urine during 2-hour periods for up to 10 hours after injection were approximately 200- to 1,000-fold higher than rates for tetrachloroethylene metabolites (Ikeda and Imamura 1973). In contrast to humans and rats, mice appear to metabolize tetrachloroethylene more rapidly and completely. Following inhalation exposure of mice to 10 ppm radiolabeled tetrachloroethylene, urinary metabolites accounted for more than 80% of the absorbed dose (Schumann et al. 1980).

Metabolism of tetrachloroethylene to trichloroacetic acid, the principal metabolite, involves initial saturable catalysis by CYP isozymes to produce a reactive epoxide intermediate (tetrachloroethylene oxide), that can potentially bind to cellular macromolecules or rearrange to trichloroacetyl chloride (ATSDR 1997). Trichloroacetyl chloride is further oxidized to trichloroacetic acid. The liver is the predominant site of metabolism and CYP2B1/2 is an important isozyme in tetrachloroethylene metabolism. Pretreatment of rats with phenobarbital (an inducer of CYP2B1/2) or Aroclor 1254 (an inducer of CYP2B1/2and 1A1/2 isozymes) before oral administration of 1,244 mg tetrachloroethylene/kg body weight increased the rates of urinary excretion of tetrachloroethylene metabolites by about 5- to 7-fold (Moslen et al. 1977).

Other metabolic pathways for tetrachloroethylene include one that leads from tetrachloroethylene oxide to oxalic acid and formic acid formation via catalysis by epoxide hydrase, and another involving initial conjugation of tetrachloroethylene with glutathione via glutathione transferase (ATSDR 1997). The glutathione conjugate can be transported to the kidney where it can be hydrolyzed by  $\beta$ -lyase, producing a reactive thiol compound that is thought to bind to cellular macromolecules and lead to renal cytotoxicity. Small amounts of trichloroethanol have also been detected in the urine of workers exposed to tetrachloroethylene contamination of tetrachloroethylene rather than metabolism of tetrachloroethylene (ATSDR 1997). Evidence is available that mice have a greater hepatic capacity for total tetrachloroethylene metabolism than rats, which in turn have a higher capacity than do humans.

PBPK models have been developed to describe the disposition of tetrachloroethylene in mice, rats, and humans, and to predict doses of proposed carcinogenic metabolites in target organs for the purpose of assessing human cancer risks based on rodent exposure-response data (ATSDR 1997). Further development to link models for different chlorinated hydrocarbons that share metabolic pathways may be useful to predict dispositional and toxicological outcomes of possible interactions.

## E.2 Health Effects

#### Neurological Effects

Studies of occupationally exposed humans as well as of humans under acute controlled conditions indicate that neurological effects are the most predominant and sensitive effects of tetrachloroethylene (ATSDR 1997). Observed effects include neurological symptoms such as headache, dizziness, and drowsiness in subjects exposed to 100 ppm for 7 hours, increased latency of pattern reversal visual-evoked brain potentials and performance deficits in tests of vigilance and eye-hand coordination in

subjects exposed to 50 ppm, 4 hours/day for 4 days, and increased incidence of subjectively reported symptoms, such as dizziness and forgetfulness, in workers repeatedly exposed to average concentrations of about 20 ppm (ATSDR 1997). Studies of animals exposed *in utero* (via oral exposure of mothers) indicate that tetrachloroethylene can adversely influence the developing nervous system, but studies to examine possible associations between occupational exposure of humans to tetrachloroethylene and increased risks for birth defects in offspring or reproductive effects such as menstrual disorders and spontaneous abortions provide only suggestive evidence that these types of effects may occur in humans (ATSDR 1997). Limitations of the human reproductive and developmental toxicity studies include confounding exposures to other chemicals, inability to adjust for confounding factors, and lack of exposure data for individuals in the studies.

### Cardiovascular Effects

Based on analogy to other low molecular weight halogenated hydrocarbons, cardiac arrhythmias (associated with sensitization of the heart to epinephrine) from acute high level exposures to tetrachloroethylene may be expected to occur in humans. However, ATSDR (1997b) reviewed only one case of cardiac arrhythmia in a dry cleaning worker exposed to tetrachloroethylene, and a study of beagle dogs exposed to 5,000 or 10,000 ppm tetrachloroethylene found no evidence of heart sensitization to epinephrine.

#### Renal Effects

Associations have also been made between human exposure to tetrachloroethylene and subtle renal effects in tetrachloroethylene-exposed workers (e.g., increased levels of enzymes or other proteins in urine) or liver effects in cases of people acutely exposed to high levels (e.g., enlarged liver or elevated serum ALT activity) (ATSDR 1997). Renal effects have been observed in rats and mice chronically exposed to inhaled or ingested tetrachloroethylene. Rats and mice of both sexes exposed for 2 years to tetrachloroethylene air concentrations  $\geq$ 200 and 100 ppm, respectively, showed dose-related renal tubular cell karyomegaly (nuclear enlargement) (NTP 1986). Nephropathy was observed in rats and mice exposed to gavage doses  $\geq$ 471 and 386 mg/kg/day, respectively (NCI 1977).

## Hepatic Effects

Liver effects also have been observed in rats and mice repeatedly exposed to inhaled or ingested tetrachloroethylene, but mice appear more sensitive than rats (ATSDR 1997). For example, hepatocellular degeneration and necrosis was found in male mice exposed for 2 years to air concentrations  $\geq$ 100 ppm, and increased liver tumors developed in both sexes of mice under these conditions (NTP 1986). In contrast, rats exposed for 2 years to concentrations up to 400 ppm showed no increased incidence of non-neoplastic or neoplastic hepatic lesions (NTP 1986). In shorter-term experiments, mice exposed for 14–28 days to 200 or 400 ppm in air showed hepatocellular vacuolization and proliferation of peroxisomes, whereas rats under these conditions showed no proliferation of hepatic peroxisomes and less severe hepatocellular changes (i.e., hypertrophy) (Odum et al. 1988).

## E.3 Mechanisms of Action

Nervous system depression appears to be the most sensitive effect in humans from exposure to tetrachloroethylene, regardless of exposure route, and is thought to be caused predominately by the parent material (ATSDR 1997). Likely mechanisms of action include tetrachloroethylene-induced changes in the fatty acid pattern of neuronal membranes or the direct effect of incorporation of tetrachloroethylene in the membranes leading to an alteration in membrane structure and function. Possible contributions from metabolites cannot be conclusively ruled out, but appear unlikely given the slow rates at which tetrachloroethylene is expected to be metabolized in humans. Trichloroethanol, a metabolite of trichloroethylene that is a potent neurotoxic agent, does not appear to be a metabolite of tetrachloroethylene (ATSDR 1997).

Liver and kidney effects observed in animals exposed to tetrachloroethylene have been proposed to be caused by reactive metabolic intermediates: a proposed reactive epoxide product of CYP catalysis in the liver; reactive oxygen species from proliferation of peroxisomes by trichloroacetic acid, the principal metabolite of tetrachloroethylene; and a reactive thiol product produced by hydrolysis of glutathione conjugates via  $\beta$ -lyase catalysis in the kidney (ATSDR 1997). The latter reaction has been proposed to gain importance at high exposure concentrations when rates of elimination of the parent chemical in exhaled breath are maximized and CYP catalysis is saturated. The initial liver reaction leading to the thiol product, glutathione conjugation, competes for tetrachloroethylene as a substrate. The relevance of the observed rat kidney effects to humans has been questioned because glutathione conjugation activity was not detected in human liver preparations,  $\beta$ -lyase activities were low in human kidney preparations, and some of the kidney effects appear to be due to accumulation of  $\alpha$ -2µ-globulin, a protein that is produced in male rats but not in female rats or humans of either sex (ATSDR 1997). Evidence that metabolites may be involved in tetrachloroethylene hepatotoxicity includes the observation that pretreatment of rats with Aroclor 1254 before oral administration of 7.5 mmol tetrachloroethylene/kg (1,244 mg/kg) increased rates of urinary excretion of tetrachloroethylene metabolites and increased levels of serum AST compared with levels in nonpretreated rats (Moslen et al. 1977). The relevance of tetrachloroethylene-induced rodent liver effects to humans has been questioned based on evidence that humans produce little trichloroacetic acid from tetrachloroethylene (i.e., rates of total tetrachloroethylene metabolism in humans are low compared to rates in mice), mice and rats respond to trichloroacetic acid by induction of hepatocellular peroxisomes (that produce tissue damaging substances), and humans are relatively insensitive to the induction of hepatocellular peroxisomes (ATSDR 1997; Lake 1995).

## E.4 Health Guidelines

ATSDR (1997b) derived an acute inhalation MRL of 0.2 ppm for tetrachloroethylene based on a NOAEL of 10 ppm and a LOAEL of 50 ppm for neurological effects (e.g., performance deficits in tests of vigilance and eye-hand coordination) in volunteers exposed 4 hours/day for 4 days (Altmann et al. 1992), and an uncertainty factor of 10 for human variability.

ATSDR (1997b) derived a chronic-duration inhalation MRL of 0.04 ppm for tetrachloroethylene based on a LOAEL of 15 ppm for significantly prolonged reaction times in women who worked in dry cleaning shops for an average period of 10 years (Ferroni et al. 1992) and an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for the use of a LOAEL and 10 for human variability). Signs of mild kidney damage (increased urinary levels of lysozyme and  $\beta$ -glucuronidase) were found in another study of workers exposed to an average concentration of 10 ppm for an average of 14 years (Franchini et al. 1983). ATSDR (1997b) considered nervous system effects to be a more appropriate basis for the MRL and noted that the significance and adversity of the mild kidney effects were not clear.

ATSDR (1997b) did not derive an intermediate-duration inhalation MRL for tetrachloroethylene due to the lack of studies of neurological endpoints in humans exposed for intermediate durations. It was noted that liver enlargement was observed in mice exposed to 9 ppm, 24 hours/day for 30 days, but data in humans were considered more appropriate for MRL derivation because mice metabolize more tetra-chloroethylene to trichloroacetic acid than humans and the peroxisomal proliferation response is greater in mice than humans.

ATSDR (1997b) derived an acute oral MRL of 0.05 mg/kg/day for tetrachloroethylene based on a LOAEL of 5 mg/kg/day for hyperactivity at 60 days of age in mice exposed to gavage doses for 7 days beginning at 10 days of age (Fredriksson et al. 1993) and an uncertainty factor of 1,000 (10 for the use of a LOAEL, 10 for extrapolating from animals to humans, and 10 for human variability).

ATSDR (1997b) did not derive intermediate- or chronic-duration oral MRLs for tetrachloroethylene due to the lack of suitable data. It was noted that intermediate-duration oral studies have observed liver

effects in rats and mice and kidney effects in male rats, but these effects were not considered appropriate for MRL derivation due to apparent differences between humans and rodents in metabolism of tetrachloroethylene and in peroxisomal proliferation response, and indications that the kidney effects in male rats may be associated with accumulation of  $\alpha$ -2 $\mu$ -globulin, a male rat-specific protein (ATSDR 1997).

EPA's IRIS database (EPA 2005) lists an RfD of 0.01 mg/kg/day for tetrachloroethylene based on a NOAEL of 20 mg/kg/day for hepatotoxic effects in mice exposed by gavage for 6 weeks (Buben and O'Flaherty 1985) and an uncertainty factor of 1,000 (10 for extrapolating from animals to humans, 10 for human variability, and 10 for extrapolating from subchronic exposure duration to chronic duration).

EPA's IRIS database (EPA 2005) does not list an RfC or a carcinogenicity assessment for tetrachloroethylene. As reviewed by ATSDR (1997b), the EPA Science Advisory Board in 1987 offered the opinion that the weight of evidence for tetrachloroethylene carcinogenicity was on a Group B2/C continuum (i.e., on the border between Group B2 and Group C). In 1991, another statement of this opinion was issued by the Science Advisory Board Executive Committee noting that tetrachloroethylene "should be considered to be an animal carcinogen, based on three endpoints in two species: liver tumors in male and female mice, kidney tumors in male rats, and, possibly, mononuclear cell leukemia in male and female rats" and that they did "not consider the evidence strong enough to classify this chemical as a probable human carcinogen." EPA has yet to present a more recent position on the weight-of-evidence classification for trichloroethylene carcinogenicity, but is expected to present, in 2001, an updated carcinogenicity assessment for tetrachloroethylene based on its 1996 Proposed Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment. NTP (2001) lists tetrachloroethylene as reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen based on sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in experimental animals. IARC (1995) concluded that tetrachloroethylene is probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A) based on limited evidence in humans and sufficient evidence in experimental animals. IARC (1995) made the following notes to accompany its conclusions:

"Although tetrachloroethylene is known to induce peroxisome proliferation in mouse liver, a poor quantitative correlation was seen between peroxisome proliferations and tumor formation in the liver after administration of tetrachloroethylene by inhalation. The spectrum of mutations in proto-oncogenes in liver tumors from mice treated with tetrachloroethylene is different from that in liver tumors from mice treated with trichloroethylene. Several epidemiological studies showed elevated risks for oesophageal cancer, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and cervical cancer."

# E.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values

### Neurological Effects

ATSDR (1997b) derived a chronic-duration inhalation MRL of 0.04 ppm for tetrachloroethylene based on a LOAEL of 15 ppm for significantly prolonged reaction times in women who worked in dry cleaning shops for an average period of 10 years (Ferroni et al. 1992). The LOAEL was duration-adjusted for continuous exposure to 3.6 ppm and an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for the use of a LOAEL and 10 for human variability) was applied. Signs of mild kidney damage (increased urinary levels of lysozyme and  $\beta$ -glucuronidase) were found in another study of workers exposed to an average concentration of 10 ppm for an average of 14 years (Franchini et al. 1983). ATSDR (1997b) considered nervous system effects to be a more appropriate basis for the MRL and noted that the significance and adversity of the mild kidney effects were not clear.

### Cardiovascular Effects

Data on the possible cardiovascular effects of tetrachloroethylene are not sufficient for derivation of a TTD<sub>CARDIO</sub>.

### Renal Effects

Signs of mild kidney damage (increased urinary levels of lysozyme and  $\beta$ -glucuronidase) were found in a study of workers exposed to an average concentration of 10 ppm for an average of 14 years (Franchini et al. 1983). This change was designated a minimal LOAEL, because the signs were mild; the duration-adjusted value is 2.4 ppm. The TTDRENAL was derived by applying an uncertainty factor of 30 (3 for a minimal LOAEL and 10 for intrahuman variability) to the LOAEL to give a TTDRENAL of 0.08 ppm.

### Hepatic Effects

Long-term studies in rats have shown no hepatic effects at tetrachloroethylene concentrations up to 400 ppm (NTP 1986). However, mice appear to be more sensitive, with hepatic degeneration and necrosis found in male mice exposed to  $\geq$ 100 ppm tetrachloroethylene for 2 years (NTP 1986); exposure levels below 100 ppm were not evaluated. The 100 ppm LOAEL in mice was used to derive the hepatic TTD by applying an uncertainty factor of 1000 (10 for animal to human extrapolations, 10 for intrahuman variability, and 10 for use of a LOAEL) to give a TTD<sub>HEPATIC</sub> of 0.1 ppm.

Summary (TTD for Tetrachloroethylene) MRL<sub>NEURO</sub>=0.04 ppm TTD<sub>RENAL</sub>=0.08ppm TTD<sub>HEPATIC</sub>=0.1ppm

# E.6 References

Altmann L, Wiegand H, Bottger A, et al. 1992. Neurobehavioral and neurophysiological outcomes of acute repeated perchloroethylene exposure. Applied Psychology: An International Review 41(3):269–279.

Andrasik I, Cloutet D. 1990. Monitoring solvent vapors in dry cleaning plants. International Fabricare Institute Focus on Drycleaning 14(3):1–8.

Buben JA, O'Flaherty EJ. 1985. Delineation of the role of metabolism in the hepatotoxicity of trichloroethylene and perchloroethylene: A dose-effect study. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 78:105–122.

Chemical Profile. 1995. Perchloroethylene. Chemical Marketing Reporter, March 13, 1995.

Dallas CE, Chen XM, Muralidhara S, et al. 1994a. Use of tissue disposition data from rats and dogs to determine species differences in input parameters for physiological model for perchloroethylene. Environ Res 67:54–67.

Dallas CE, Chen XM, O'Barr K, et al. 1994b. Development of a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for perchloroethylene using tissue concentration – time data. Tox Appl Pharm 128:50–59.

Dallas CE, Chen XM, Muralidhara S, et al. 1995. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic model useful in prediction of the influence of species, dose, and exposure route on perchloroethylene pharmacokinetics. J Toxicol Environ Health 44:301–317.

EPA. 2005. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). Online. www.epa.gov/iris

Ferroni C, Selis L, Mutti A, et al. 1992. Neurobehavioral and neuroendocrine effects of occupational exposure to perchloroethylene. NeuroToxicology 13:243–247.

Franchini I, Cavotorta A, Falzoi M, et al. 1983. Early indicators of renal damage in workers exposed to organic solvents. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 52:1–9.

Frantz SW, Watanabe PG. 1983. Tetrachloroethylene: Balance and tissue distribution in male Sprague-Dawley rats by drinking water administration. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 69:66–72.

Fredriksson A, Danielsson BRG, Eriksson P. 1993. Altered behavior in adult mice orally exposed to triand tetrachloroethylene as neonates. Toxicol Lett 66:13–19.

Guo Z, Tichenor BA, Mason MA, et al. 1990. The temperature dependence of the emission of perchloroethylene from dry cleaned fabrics. Environ Res 52:107–115.

HAZDAT. 1996. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Atlanta, GA.

IARC. 1995. Tetrachloroethylene. IARC monographs of the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, Vol 63, Drycleaning, some chlorinated solvents and other industrial chemicals. 159–221.

Ikeda M, Imamura T. 1973. Biological half-life of trichloroethylene and tetrachloroethylene in human subjects. Int Arch Arbeitsmed 31:209–224.

Monster AC, Boersma G, Steenweg H. 1979. Kinetics of tetrachloroethylene in volunteers: Influence of exposure concentration and work load. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 42:303–309.

Moslen MT, Reynolds ES, Szabo S. 1977. Enhancement of the metabolism and hepatotoxicity of trichloroethylene and perchloroethylene. Biochem Pharmacol 26:369–375.

NCI. 1977. Bioassay of tetrachloroethylene for possible carcinogenicity. National Cancer Institute. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, DHEW Publ (NIH) 77-813.

NTP. 1986. National Toxicology Program--technical report series no. 311. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of tetrachloroethylene (perchloroethylene) (CAS No. 127- 18-4) in F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub>mice (inhalation studies). Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, NIH publication no. 86-2567.

Odum J, Green T, Foster JR, et al. 1988. The role of trichloroacetic acid and peroxisome proliferation in the differences in carcinogenicity of perchloroethylene in the mouse and rat. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 92:103–112.

Pegg DG, Zempel JA, Braun WH, et al. 1979. Disposition of (<sup>14</sup>C) tetrachloroethylene following oral and inhalation exposure in rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 5 1:465–474.

Schumann AM, Quast JF, Watanabe PG. 1980. The pharmacokinetics and macromolecular interactions of perchloroethylene in mice and rats as related to oncogenicity. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 55:207–219.

Stewart RD, Dodd HC. 1964. Absorption of carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, methylene chloride, and 1,1,1-trichloroethane through the human skin. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 25:439–446.

Thomas KW, Pellizzari ED, Perritt RL. 1991. Effect of dry-cleaned clothes on tetrachloroethylene levels in indoor air, personal air, and breath for residents of several New Jersey homes. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol 1(4):475–490.

Tichenor BA, Sparks LE, Jackson MD, et al. 1990. Emissions of perchloroethylene from dry cleaned fabrics. Atmos Environ 24A(5):1219–1229.