Table 1.—Deaths from selected disease catégories, United States, 19t

Cause of death*	Total	Males	Fen
Degenerative and arteriosclerotic heart disease, including coronary disease (420, 422) Hypertensive heart disease (440-3) Cancer of lung (163-3) Cirrhosis of liver (581) Bronchitis and emphysema (502, 527.1) Stomach and duodenal ulcers (540-1) Cancer of bladder (181) Cancer of oral cavity (140-8) Cancer of laryns (161) Cancer of laryns (161)	577, 918 62, 176 41, 376 21, 824 15, 104 12, 228 8, 081 6, 481 5, 088 2, 417	348, 604 26, 654 35, 312 14, 329 12, 937 8, 836 5, 575 4, 920 3, 973 2, 172	
All above causes.	752, 693 1, 004, 027	463, 312 531, 477	2
All causes	1, 756, 720	994, 739	7

^{*}International Statistical Classification numbers in parentheses.

Nearly 70 million people in the United States consume tobacco regula Cigarette consumption in the United States has increased markedly since turn of the Century, when per capita consumption was less than 50 cigara a year. Since 1910, when cigarette consumption per person (15 years older) was 138, it rose to 1,365 in 1930, to 1,828 in 1940, to 3,322 in 1 and to a peak of 3,986 in 1961. The 1955 Current Population Su showed that 68 percent of the male population and 32.4 percent of the fer population 18 years of age and over were regular smokers of cigare

In contrast with this sharp increase in cigarette smoking, per capita of tobacco in other forms has gone down. Per capita consumption of ci declined from 117 in 1920 to 55 in 1962. Consumption of pipe toba which reached a peak of $2\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. per person in 1910, fell to a little r than half a pound per person in 1962. Use of chewing tobacco has decl from about four pounds per person in 1900 to half a pound in 1962.

The background for the Committee's study thus included much ger information and findings from previous investigations which associated increase in cigarette smoking with increased deaths in a number of m disease categories. It was in this setting that the Committee began its v to assess the nature and magnitude of the health hazard attributabl smoking.

KINDS OF EVIDENCE

In order to judge whether smoking and other tobacco uses are injuito health or related to specific diseases, the Committee evaluated three ikinds of scientific evidence:

1. Animal experiments.—In numerous studies, animals have been exp to tobacco smoke and tars, and to the various chemical compounds they tain. Seven of these compounds (polycyclic aromatic compounds) have established as cancer-producing (carginogenic). Other substances in tob and smoke, though not carcinogenic themselves, promote cancer produced or lower the threshold to a known carcinogen. Several toxic or irritant a contained in tobacco smoke produce experimentally the kinds of non cerous damage seen in the tissues and cells of heavy smokers. This incl

suppression of ciliary action that normally cleanses the trachea and bronchi, damage to the lung air sacs, and to mucous glands and goblet cells which produce mucus.

- 2. Clinical and autopsy studies.—Observations of thousands of patients and autopsy studies of smokers and non-smokers show that many kinds of damage to body functions and to organs, cells, and tissues occur more frequently and severely in smokers. Three kinds of cellular changes—loss of ciliated cells, thickening (more than two layers of basal cells), and presence of atypical cells—are much more common in the lining layer (epithelium) of the trachea and bronchi of cigarette smokers than of non-smokers. Some of the advanced lesions seen in the bronchi of cigarette smokers are probably premalignant. Cellular changes regularly found at autopsy in patients with chronic bronchitis are more often present in the bronchi of smokers than non-smokers. Pathological changes in the air sacs and other functional tissue of the lung (parenchyma) have a remarkably close association with past history of cigarette smoking.
- 3. Population studies.—Another kind of evidence regarding an association between smoking and disease comes from epidemiological studies.

In retrospective studies, the smoking histories of persons with a specified disease (for example, lung cancer) are compared with those of appropriate control groups without the disease. For lung cancer alone, 29 such retrospective studies have been made in recent years. Despite many variations in design and method, all but one (which dealt with females) showed that proportionately more cigarette smokers are found among the lung cancer patients than in the control populations without lung cancer.

Extensive retrospective studies of the prevalence of specific symptoms and signs—chronic cough, sputum production, breathlessness, chest illness, and decreased lung function—consistently show that these occur more often in cigarette smokers than in non-smokers. Some of these signs and symptoms are the clinical expressions of chronic bronchitis, and some are associated more with emphysema; in general, they increase with amount of smoking and decrease after cessation of smoking.

Another type of epidemiological evidence on the relation of smoking and mortality comes from seven prospective studies which have been conducted since 1951. In these studies, large numbers of men answered questions about their smoking or non-smoking habits. Death certificates have been obtained for those who died since entering the studies, permitting total death rates and death rates by cause to be computed for smokers of various types as well as for non-smokers. The prospective studies thus add several important dimensions to information on the smoking-health problem. Their data permit direct comparisons of the death rates of smokers and non-smokers, both overall and for individual causes of death, and indicate the strength of the association between smoking and specific diseases.

Each of these three lines of evidence was evaluated and then considered together in drawing conclusions. The Committee was aware that the mere establishment of a statistical association between the use of tobacco and a disease is not enough. The causal significance of the use of tobacco in relation to the disease is the crucial question. For such judgments all three

lines of evidence are essential, as discussed in more detail on pages 26of this Chapter, and in Chapter 3.

The experimental, clinical, and pathological evidence, as well as da from population studies, is highlighted in Section B of this Chapter, whi in turn refers the reader to specific places in Part II of the Report who this evidence is presented in detail.

In the paragraphs which follow, the Committee has chosen to summar the results of the seven prospective population studies which, as noted aborconstitute only one type of evidence. They illustrate the nature and potent magnitude of the smoking-health problem, and bring out a number of factor which are involved.

EVIDENCE FROM THE COMBINED RESULTS OF PROSPECTIVE STUDIES

The Committee examined the seven prospective studies separately as w as their combined results. Considerable weight was attached to the consistency of findings among the several studies. However, to simplify presentation, only the combined results are highlighted here.

Of the 1,123,000 men who entered the seven prospective studies and w provided usable histories of smoking habits (and other characteristics su as age), 37,391 men died during the subsequent months or years of t studies. No analyses of data for females from prospective studies a presently available.

To permit ready comparison of the mortality experience of smokers a non-smokers, two concepts are widely used in the studies—excess deaths smokers compared with non-smokers, and mortality ratio. After adjustment for differences in age and the number of cigarette smokers and non-smoke an expected number of deaths of smokers is derived on the basis of deat among non-smokers. Excess deaths are thus the number of actual (observe deaths among smokers in excess of the number expected. The mortal ratio, for which the method of computation is described in Chapter measures the relative death rates of smokers and non-smokers. If the apadjusted death rates are the same, the mortality ratio will be 1.0; if the dearates of smokers are double those of non-smokers, the mortality ratio we be 2.0. (Expressed as a percentage, this example would be equivalent to 100 percent increase.)

Table 2 presents the accumulated and combined data on 14 disease ca gories for which the mortality ratio of cigarette smokers to non-smokers w 1.5 or greater.

The mortality ratio for male cigarette smokers compared with non-smoke for all causes of death taken together, is 1.68, representing a total death ranearly 70 percent higher than for non-smokers. (This ratio includes dearates for diseases not listed in the table as well as for the 14 disease categor shown.)

In the combined results from the seven studies, the mortality ratio of c arette smokers over non-smokers was particularly high for a number diseases: cancer of the lung (10.8), bronchitis and emphysema (6.1), c

TABLE 2.1—Expected and observed deaths for smokers of cigarettes only and mortality ratios in seven prospective studies

Underlying cause of death	Expected deaths	Observed deaths	Mortality ratio
ancer of lung (162-3) 2	170. 3	1, 833	10.8
Bronchitis and emphysema (502, 521,1)	89. 5	546	6.1
'ancer of larynx (161)	14.0	75	5. 4
)ral cancer (140-8)	37.0	152	4.1
ancer of esophagus (150)	33.7	113	3.4
tomach and duodenal ulcers (540, 541)	105. 1	294	2.8
ther circulatory diseases (451-68)	254.0	649	2. 6
irrhosis of liver (581)	169. 2	379	2. :
ancer of bladder (181)	111.6	216	1.1
oronary artery disease (420)	6, 430. 7	11, 177	1.1
ther heart diseases (421-2, 430-4)	526.0	868	1.
sypertensive heart (440-3)	409, 2	631	1.
ieneral arteriosclerosis (450)	210, 7	310	1. 5
Sancer of kidney (180)	79. 0	120	1.
All causes 4	15, 653. 9	23, 223	1.

cer of the larynx (5.4), oral cancer (4.1), cancer of the esophagus (3.4), peptic ulcer (2.8), and the group of other circulatory diseases (2.6). For coronary artery disease the mortality ratio was 1.7.

Expressed in percentage-form, this is equivalent to a statement that for coronary artery disease, the leading cause of death in this country, the death rate is 70 percent higher for cigarette smokers. For chronic bronchitis and emphysema, which are among the leading causes of severe disability, the death rate for cigarette smokers is 500 percent higher than for non-smokers. For lung cancer, the most frequent site of cancer in men, the death rate is nearly 1,000 percent higher.

Other Findings of the Prospective Studies

In general, the greater the number of cigarettes smoked daily, the higher the death rate. For men who smoke fewer than 10 cigarettes a day, according to the seven prospective studies, the death rate from all causes is about 40 percent higher than for non-smokers. For those who smoke from 10 to 19 cigarettes a day, it is about 70 percent higher than for non-smokers; for those who smoke 20 to 39 a day, 90 percent higher; and for those who smoke 40 or more, it is 120 percent higher.

Cigarette smokers who stopped smoking before enrolling in the seven studies have a death rate about 40 percent higher than non-smokers, as against 70 percent higher for current cigarette smokers. Men who began smoking before age 20 have a substantially higher death rate than those who began after age 25. Compared with non-smokers, the mortality risk of cigarette smokers, after adjustments for differences in age, increases with duration of smoking (number of years), and is higher in those who stopped after age 55 than for those who stopped at an earlier age.

In two studies which recorded the degree of inhalation, the mortality ratio for a given amount of smoking was greater for inhalers than for non-inhalers. The ratio of the death rates of smokers to that of non-smokers is highest

Abridged from Table 25, Chapter 8, Mortality.

International Statistical Classification numbers in parentheses.
Includes all other causes of death as well as those listed above.

at the earlier ages (40-50) represented in these studies, and declines with increasing age.

Possible relationships of death rates and other forms of tobacco use we also investigated in the seven studies. The death rates for men smoki less than 5 cigars a day are about the same as for non-smokers. For m smoking more than 5 cigars daily, death rates are slightly higher. The is some indication that these higher death rates occur primarily in m who have been smoking more than 30 years and who inhale the smoke some degree. The death rates for pipe smokers are little if at all high than for non-smokers, even for men who smoke 10 or more pipefuls a d and for men who have smoked pipes more than 30 years.

Excess Mortality

Several of the reports previously published on the prospective studincluded a table showing the distribution of the excess number of deat of cigarette smokers among the principal causes of death. The hazard mube measured not only by the mortality ratio of deaths in smokers and no smokers, but also by the importance of a particular disease as a cause death.

In all seven studies, coronary artery disease is the chief contributor the excess number of deaths of cigarette smokers over non-smokers, wi lung cancer uniformly in second place. For all seven studies combine coronary artery disease (with a mortality ratio of 1.7) accounts for 45 percent of the excess deaths among cigarette smokers, whereas lung cance (with a ratio of 10.8) accounts for 16 percent.

Some of the other categories of diseases that contribute to the higher dearates for cigarette smokers over non-smokers are diseases of the heart a blood vessels, other than coronary artery disease, 14 percent; cancer sit other than lung, 8 percent; and chronic bronchitis and emphysema, 4 percent

Since these diseases as a group are responsible for more than 85 perce of the higher death rate among cigarette smokers, they are of particul interest to public health authorities and the medical profession.

Associations and Causality

The array of information from the prospective and retrospective studies smokers and non-smokers clearly establishes an association between cigare smoking and substantially higher death rates. The mortality ratios in Tal 2 provide an approximate index of the relative strength of this association for all causes of death and for 14 disease categories.

In this inquiry the epidemiologic method was used extensively in t assessment of causal factors in the relationship of smoking to health amo human beings upon whom direct experimentation could not be impose Clinical, pathological, and experimental evidence was thoroughly consider and often served to suggest an hypothesis or confirm or contradict oth findings. When coupled with the other data, results from the epidemiolog

studies can provide the basis upon which judgments of causality may be made.

It is recognized that no simple cause-and-effect relationship is likely to exist between a complex product like tobacco smoke and a specific disease in the variable human organism. It is also recognized that often the coexistence of several factors is required for the occurrence of a disease, and that one of the factors may play a determinant role; that is, without it, the other factors (such as genetic susceptibility) seldom lead to the occurrence of the disease.

THE EFFECTS OF SMOKING: PRINCIPAL FINDINGS

Cigarette smoking is associated with a 70 percent increase in the agespecific death rates of males, and to a lesser extent with increased death rates of females. The total number of excess deaths causally related to cigarette smoking in the U.S. population cannot be accurately estimated. In view of the continuing and mounting evidence from many sources, it is the judgment of the Committee that cigarette smoking contributes substantially to mortality from certain specific diseases and to the overall death rate.

Lung Cancer

Cigarette smoking is causally related to lung cancer in men; the magnitude of the effect of cigarette smoking far outweighs all other factors. The data for women, though less extensive, point in the same direction.

The risk of developing lung cancer increases with duration of smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked per day, and is diminished by discontinuing smoking. In comparison with non-smokers, average male smokers of cigarettes have approximately a 9- to 10-fold risk of developing lung cancer and heavy smokers at least a 20-fold risk.

The risk of developing cancer of the lung for the combined group of pipe smokers, cigar smokers, and pipe and cigar smokers is greater than for non-smokers, but much less than for cigarette smokers.

Cigarette smoking is much more important than occupational exposures in the causation of lung cancer in the general population.

Chronic Bronchitis and Emphysema

Cigarette smoking is the most important of the causes of chronic bronchitis in the United States, and increases the risk of dying from chronic bronchitis and emphysema. A relationship exists between cigarette smoking and emphysema but it has not been established that the relationship is causal. Studies demonstrate that fatalities from this disease are infrequent among non-smokers.

For the bulk of the population of the United States, the relative importance of cigarette smoking as a cause of chronic broncho-pulmonary disease is much greater than atmospheric pollution or occupational exposures.

714-422 O-64-4 31

Cardiovascular Diseases

It is established that male cigarette smokers have a higher death rafrom coronary artery disease than non-smoking males. Although t causative role of cigarette smoking in deaths from coronary disease is n proven, the Committee considers it more prudent from the public heal viewpoint to assume that the established association has causative meaning than to suspend judgment until no uncertainty remains.

Although a causal relationship has not been established, higher mortali of cigarette smokers is associated with many other cardiovascular disease including miscellaneous circulatory diseases, other heart diseases, hypetensive heart disease, and general arteriosclerosis.

Other Cancer Sites

Pipe smoking appears to be causally related to lip cancer. Cigaret smoking is a significant factor in the causation of cancer of the laryn. The evidence supports the belief that an association exists between tobaccuse and cancer of the esophagus, and between cigarette smoking and cance of the urinary bladder in men, but the data are not adequate to deciwhether these relationships are causal. Data on an association between smoking and cancer of the stomach are contradictory and incomplete.

THE TOBACCO HABIT AND NICOTINE

The habitual use of tobacco is related primarily to psychological ar social drives, reinforced and perpetuated by the pharmacological actio of nicotine.

Social stimulation appears to play a major role in a young person's ear and first experiments with smoking. No scientific evidence supports t popular hypothesis that smoking among adolescents is an expression rebellion against authority. Individual stress appears to be associated mo with fluctuations in the amount of smoking than with the prevalence of smc ing. The overwhelming evidence indicates that smoking—its beginnin habituation, and occasional discontinuation—is to a very large extent ps chologically and socially determined.

Nicotine is rapidly changed in the body to relatively inactive substance with low toxicity. The chronic toxicity of small doses of nicotine is left in experimental animals. These two facts, when taken in conjunction with the low mortality ratios of pipe and cigar smokers, indicate that the chronic toxicity of nicotine in quantities absorbed from smoking and other metho of tobacco use is very low and probably does not represent an importate health hazard.

The significant beneficial effects of smoking occur primarily in the ar of mental health, and the habit originates in a search for contentment. Sin no means of measuring the quantity of these benefits is apparent, the Committee finds no basis for a judgment which would weigh benefits again hazards of smoking as it may apply to the general population.

THE COMMITTEE'S JUDGMENT IN BRIEF

On the basis of prolonged study and evaluation of many lines of converging evidence, the Committee makes the following judgment:

Cigarette smoking is a health hazard of sufficient importance in the United States to warrant appropriate remedial action.

B. COMMENTS AND DETAILED CONCLUSIONS

(A Guide to Part II of the Report)

All conclusions formally adopted by the Committee are presented at the end of this section in bold-faced type for convenience of reference. In the interest of conciseness, the documentation and most of the discussion are omitted from this condensation. Together with the tables of contents which appear at the beginning of each chapter in Part II, it is intended as a guide to the Report.

CHEMISTRY AND CARCINOGENICITY OF TOBACCO AND TOBACCO SMOKE

Condensates of tobacco smoke are carcinogenic when tested by application to the skin of mice and rabbits and by subcutaneous injection in rats (Chapter 9, pp. 143–145). Bronchogenic carcinoma has not been produced by the application of tobacco extracts, smoke, or condensates to the lung or the tracheobronchial tree of experimental animals with the possible exception of dogs (Chapter 9, p. 165).

Bronchogenic carcinoma has been produced in laboratory animals by the administration of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, certain metals, radioactive substances, and viruses. The histopathologic characteristics of the tumors produced are similar to those observed in man and are predominantly of the squamous variety (Chapter 9, pp. 166–167).

Seven polycyclic hydrocarbon compounds isolated from cigarette smoke have been established to be carcinogenic in laboratory animals. The results of a number of assays for carcinogenicity of tobacco smoke tars present a puzzling anomaly: the total tar from cigarettes has many times the carcinogenic potency of benzo(a) pyrene present in the tar. The other carcinogens known to be present in tobacco smoke are, with the exception of dibenzo(a,i) pyrene, much less potent than benzo(a) pyrene and they are present in smaller amounts. Apparently, therefore, the whole is greater than the sum of the known parts. This discrepancy may possibly be due to the presence of cocarcinogens in tobacco smoke, and/or damage to mucus production and ciliary transport mechanism (Chapter 6, p. 61, Chapter 9, p. 144 and Chapter 10, pp. 267–269).

There is abundant evidence that cancer of the skin can be induced in man by industrial exposure to soots, coal tar, pitch, and mineral oils. All of these contain various polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons proven to be carcinoge in many species of animals. Some of these hydrocarbons are also pres in tobacco smoke. It is reasonable to assume that these can be carcinoge for man also (Chapter 9, pp. 146–148).

Genetic factors play a significant role in the development of pulmon adenomas in mice. It is possible that genetic factors can influence the sming habit and the response in man to carcinogens in smoke. However, this no evidence that they have played an appreciable role in the great increof lung cancer in man since the beginning of this century (Chapter 9, p. 19).

Components of the gas phase of cigarette smoke have been shown to pulse various undesirable effects on test animals or organs. One of the effects is suppression of ciliary transport activity, an important cleans function in the trachea and bronchi (Chapter 6, p. 61 and Chapter 10, 267–270).

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE TOBACCO HABIT

The habitual use of tobacco is related primarily to psychological a social drives, reinforced and perpetuated by the pharmacological acti of nicotine on the central nervous system. Nicotine-free tobacco or ot plant materials do not satisfy the needs of those who acquire the tobahabit (Chapter 13, p. 354).

The tobacco habit should be characterized as an habituation rather than addiction. Discontinuation of smoking, although possessing the diffication attendant upon extinction of any conditioned reflex, is accomplished by reinforcing factors which interrupt the psychogenic drives. Nicot substitutes or supplementary medications have not been proven to be major benefit in breaking the habit (Chapter 13, p. 354).

PATHOLOGY AND MORPHOLOGY

Several types of epithelial changes are much more common in the track and bronchi of cigarette smokers, with or without lung cancer, than of n smokers and of patients without lung cancer. These epithelial changes (a) loss of cilia, (b) basal cell hyperplasia, and (c) appearance of atypicells with irregular hyperchromatic nuclei. The degree of each of epithelial changes in general increases with the number of cigarettes smok Extensive atypical changes have been seen most frequently in men who smol two or more packs of cigarettes a day.

Women cigarette smokers, in general, have the same epithelial changes men smokers. However, at given levels of cigarette use, women appear show fewer atypical cells than do men. Older men smokers have more atypicells than younger men smokers. Men who smoke either pipes or cig have more epithelial changes than non-smokers, but have fewer changes the cigarette smokers consuming approximately the same amount of tobac Male ex-cigarette smokers have less hyperplasia and fewer atypical contains current cigarette smokers.

It may be concluded, on the basis of human and experimental eviden that some of the advanced epithelial hyperplastic lesions with many atypi cells, as seen in the bronchi of cigarette smokers, are probably premalignant (Chapter 9, pp. 167-173).

Typing of Tumors.—Squamous and oval-cell carcinomas (Group I of Kreyberg's classification) comprise the predominant types associated with the increase of lung cancer in the male population. In several studies, adenocarcinomas (Group II) have also shown a definite increase, although to a much lesser degree. The histological typing of lung cancer is reliable, but the use of the ratio of histological types as an index of the magnitude of increase in lung cancer is of limited value (Chapter 9, pp. 173–175).

Functional and Pathological Changes.—Cigarette smoke produces significant funtional alterations in the trachea, bronchus, and lung. Like several other agents, cigarette smoke can reduce or abolish ciliary motility in experimental animals. Postmortem examination of bronchi from smokers shows a decrease in the number of ciliated cells, shortening of the remaining cilia, and changes in goblet cells and mucous glands. The implication of these morphological observations is that functional impairment would result.

In animal experiments, cigarette smoke appears to affect the physical characteristics of the lung-lining layer and to impair alveolar (air sac) stability. Alveolar phagocytes ingest tobacco smoke components and assist in their removal from the lung. This phagocytic clearance mechanism breaks down under the stress of protracted high-level exposure to cigarette smoke, and smoke components accumulate in the lungs of experimental animals (Chapter 10, pp. 269–270).

The chronic effects of cigarette smoking upon pulmonary function are manifested mainly by a reduction in ventilatory function as measured by the forced expiratory volume (Chapter 10, pp. 289–292).

Histopathological alterations occur as a result of tobacco smoke exposure n the tracheobronchial tree and in the lung parenchyma of man. Changes egularly found in chronic bronchitis—increase in the number of goblet cells, and hypertrophy and hyperplasia of bronchial mucous glands—are nore often present in the bronchi of smokers than non-smokers. Cigarette smoke produces significant functional alterations in the upper and lower tirways to the lungs. Such alterations could be expected to interfere with he cleansing mechanisms of the lung.

Pathological changes in pulmonary parenchyma, such as rupture of liveolar septa (partitions of the air sacs) and fibrosis, have a remarkably lose association with past history of cigarette smoking. These latter changes annot be related with certainty to emphysema or other recognized diseases the present time (Chapter 10, pp. 270–275).

MORTALITY

The death rate for smokers of cigarettes only, who were smoking at the ime of entry into the particular prospective study, is about 70 percent higher han that for non-smokers. The death rates increase with the amount smoked. For groups of men smoking less than 10, 10–19, 20–39, and 40 cigarettes and over per day, respectively, the death rates are about 40 percent, 70 per-

cent, 90 percent, and 120 percent higher than for non-smokers. The rational the death rates of smokers to non-smokers is highest at the earlier ages (50) represented in these studies, and declines with increasing age. The suffect appears to hold for the ratio of the death rate of heavy smokers to of light smokers. In the studies that provided this information, the mortantio of cigarette smokers to non-smokers was substantially higher for a who started to smoke under age 20 than for men who started after age. The mortality ratio was increased as the number of years of smoking creased. In two studies which recorded the degree of inhalation, the number for a given amount of smoking was greater for inhalers than non-inhalers. Cigarette smokers who had stopped smoking prior to enter the study had mortality ratios about 1.4 as against 1.7 for cur cigarette smokers. The mortality ratio of ex-cigarette smokers increased with the number of years of smoking and was higher for those who stop after age 55 than for those who stopped at an earlier age (Chapter 8, p. 9).

The biases from non-response and from errors of measurement that difficult to avoid in mass studies may have resulted in some over-estima of the true mortality ratios for the complete populations. In our judgm however, such biases can account for only a part of the elevation in mortaratios found for cigarette smokers (Chapter 8, p. 96).

Death rates of cigar smokers are about the same as those of non-smol for men smoking less than five cigars daily. For men smoking five or n cigars daily, death rates were slightly higher (9 percent to 27 percent) t for non-smokers in the four studies that gave this information. There is s indication that this higher death rate occurs primarily in men who have I smoking for more than 30 years and in men who stated that they inhaled smoke to some degree. Death rates for current pipe smokers were little all higher than for non-smokers, even with men smoking 10 or more pipe per day and with men who had smoked pipes for more than 30 years. cigar and ex-pipe smokers, on the other hand, showed higher death rates to both non-smokers and current pipe or cigar smokers in four out of studies (Chapter 8, p. 94). The explanation is not clear but may be a substantial number of such smokers stopped because of illness.

Mortality by Cause of Death.—In the combined results from the seprespective studies, the mortality ratio of cigarette smokers was particul high for a number of diseases. There is a further group of diseases, includes one of the most important chronic diseases, for which the mortality r for cigarette smokers lay between 1.2 and 2.0. The explanation of moderate elevations in mortality ratios in this large group of causes is clear. Part may be due to the sources of bias previously mentioned o some constitutional and genetic difference between cigarette smokers non-smokers. There is also the possibility that cigarette smoking has a general debilitating effect, although no medical evidence that clearly supp this hypothesis can be cited (Chapter 8, p. 105).

In all seven studies, coronary artery disease is the chief contributor to excess number of deaths of cigarette smokers over non-smokers, with cancer uniformly in second place (Chapter 8, p. 108).

For cigar and pipe smokers combined, there was a suggestion of high mortality ratios for cancers of the mouth, esophagus, larynx and lung, and for stomach and duodenal ulcers. These ratios are, however, based on small numbers of deaths (Chapter 8, p. 107).

CANCER BY SITE

Lung Cancer

Cigarette smoking is causally related to lung cancer in men; the magnitude of the effect of cigarette smoking far outweighs all other factors. The data for women, though less extensive, point in the same direction.

The risk of developing lung cancer increases with duration of smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked per day, and is diminished by discontinuing smoking.

The risk of developing cancer of the lung for the combined group of pipe smokers, cigar smokers, and pipe and cigar smokers, is greater than for non-smokers, but much less than for cigarette smokers. The data are insufficient to warrant a conclusion for each group individually (Chapter 9, p. 196).

Oral Cancer

The causal relationship of the smoking of pipes to the development of cancer of the lip appears to be established.

Although there are suggestions of relationships between cancer of other specific sites of the oral cavity and the several forms of tobacco use, their causal implications cannot at present be stated (Chapter 9, pp. 204–205).

Cancer of the Larynx

Evaluation of the evidence leads to the judgment that cigarette smoking is a significant factor in the causation of laryngeal cancer in the male (Chapter 9, p. 212).

Cancer of the Esophagus

The evidence on the tobacco-esophageal cancer relationship supports the belief that an association exists. However, the data are not adequate to decide whether the relationship is causal (Chapter 9, p. 218).

Cancer of the Urinary Bladder

Available data suggest an association between cigarette smoking and urinary bladder cancer in the male but are not sufficient to support a judgment on the causal significance of this association (Chapter 9, p. 225).

Stomach Cancer

No relationship has been established between tobacco use stomach cancer (Chapter 9, p. 229).

Non-Neoplastic Respiratory Diseases, Particularly Chro Bronchitis and Pulmonary Emphysema

Cigarette smoking is the most important of the causes of chrobronchitis in the United States, and increases the risk of dying frohronic bronchitis.

A relationship exists between pulmonary emphysema and arette smoking but it has not been established that the relations is causal. The smoking of cigarettes is associated with an increarisk of dying from pulmonary emphysema.

For the bulk of the population of the United States, the impartment of cigarette smoking as a cause of chronic bronchopulmon disease is much greater than that of atmospheric pollution occupational exposures.

Cough, sputum production, or the two combined are consisted more frequent among cigarette smokers than among non-smok

Cigarette smoking is associated with a reduction in ventilat function. Among males, cigarette smokers have a greater prolence of breathlessness than non-smokers.

Cigarette smoking does not appear to cause asthma.

Although death certification shows that cigarette smokers he a moderately increased risk of death from influenza and pneumo an association of cigarette smoking and infectious diseases is otherwise substantiated (Chapter 10, p. 302).

CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE

Smoking and nicotine administration cause acute cardiovascular ef similar to those induced by stimulation of the autonomic nervous sys but these effects do not account well for the observed association betweigarette smoking and coronary disease. It is established that male ciga smokers have a higher death rate from coronary disease than non-smo males. The association of smoking with other cardiovascular disorde less well established. If cigarette smoking actually caused the higher drate from coronary disease, it would on this account be responsible many deaths of middle-aged and elderly males in the United States. Of factors such as high blood pressure, high serum cholesterol, and excessobesity are also known to be associated with an unusually high death from coronary disease. The causative role of these factors in coro disease, though not proven, is suspected strongly enough to be a m reason for taking countermeasures against them. It is also more prude assume that the established association between cigarette smoking and a

nary disease has causative meaning than to suspend judgment until no uncertainty remains (Chapter 11, p. 327).

Male cigarette smokers have a higher death rate from coronary artery disease than non-smoking males, but it is not clear that the association has causal significance.

OTHER CONDITIONS

Peptic Ulcer

Epidemiological studies indicate an association between cigarette smoking and peptic ulcer which is greater for gastric than for duodenal ulcer (Chapter 12, p. 340).

Tobacco Amblyopia

Tobacco amblyopia (dimness of vision unexplained by an organic lesion) has been related to pipe and cigar smoking by clinical impressions. The association has not been substantiated by epidemiological or experimental studies (Chapter 12, p. 342).

Cirrhosis of the Liver

Increased mortality of smokers from cirrhosis of the liver has been shown in the prospective studies. The data are not sufficient to support a direct or causal association (Chapter 12, p. 342).

Maternal Smoking and Infant Birth Weight

Women who smoke cigarettes during pregnancy tend to have babies of lower birth weight.

Information is lacking on the mechanism by which this decrease in birth weight is produced.

It is not known whether this decrease in birth weight has any influence on the biological fitness of the newborn (Chapter 12, p. 343).

Smoking and Accidents

Smoking is associated with accidental deaths from fires in the home.

No conclusive information is available on the effects of smoking on traffic accidents (Chapter 12, p. 345).

MORPHOLOGICAL CONSTITUTION OF SMOKERS

The available evidence suggests the existence of some morphological differences between smokers and non-smokers, but is too meager to permit a conclusion (Chapter 15, p. 387).

PSYCHO-SOCIAL ASPECTS OF SMOKING

A clear cut smoker's personality has not emerged from the results so far published. While smokers differ from non-smokers in a variety of characteristics, none of the studies has shown a single variable which is found solely in one group and is completely absent in another. Nor has any single variable been verified in a sufficiently large proportion of smokers and in sufficiently few non-smokers to consider it an "essential" aspect of smoking.

The overwhelming evidence points to the conclusion that smoking—its beginning, habituation, and occasional discontinuation—is to a large extent psychologically and socially determined. This does not rule out physiological factors, especially in respect to habituation, nor the existence of predisposing constitutional or hereditary factors (Chapter 14, p. 377).

PART II

Evidence of the Relation Between Smoking and Health

Chapter 5

Consumption of Tobacco Products in the United States

List of Tables

TABLE 1.	Tobacco products: Consumption per capita, 15 years and over, United States, 1900-1962	Page
Table 2.	Filter tip cigarettes: estimated output and percentage distribution	40

Chapter 5

CONSUMPTION OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS IN THE UNITED STATES

The U.S. Department of Agriculture estimates that the total number of persons in the United States, including overseas members of the Armed Forces, who consume tobacco on a regular basis is close to 70 million (1).

Consumption of tobacco products per capita, 15 years and over, has risen from 7.42 pounds in 1900 to 10.85 pounds in 1962. Cigarette consumption increased steadily from 1910, when the per capita consumption was 138 cigarettes, to the 1962 figure of 3,958. Per capita cigar consumption remained steady at slightly over 100 in the first two decades of the century, but started to decrease in 1921. The figure for 1920 is 117, and for 1962 it is 55. Per capita consumption of pipe tobacco remained steady until the mid-1940's. In 1945 the figure was 1.59 pounds, but in 1962 it was just over half a pound (0.56). Consumption of chewing tobacco showed a decline during about the same period, from 1.09 pounds per capita in 1945 to 0.50 in 1962. Consumption of snuff has shown very little change (2) (Table 1).

Table 1.—Consumption of tobacco products per person aged 15 years and over in the United States for selected years, 1900–1962

Year	All tobacco, pounds	Cigarettes, number	Cigars, number	Pipe tobacco, pounds	Chewing tobacco, pounds	Snuff, pounds
1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1961 1961	7. 42 8. 59 8. 66 8. 88 8. 91 11. 59 10. 97 11. 15 10. 85	49 138 611 1, 365 1, 828 3, 322 3, 888 3, 986 3, 958	111 113 117 72 56 50 57 56 55	1. 63 2. 58 1. 96 1. 87 2. 05 . 94 . 59 . 59	4. 10 3. 99 3. 06 1. 90 1. 00 . 78 . 51 . 51	0. 32 . 50 . 50 . 46 . 38 . 36 . 29 . 27 . 26

Source: Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service

Starting in 1950, production of filter tip cigarettes began to rise. Unofficial estimates for 1950 show that only about half of one percent of cigarettes produced were filter tip. In 1952, unofficial estimates show 1.3 percent of cigarettes produced were filter tips. In 1956 the figure had reached 27.6 percent. From 1958 on, official estimates, based on figures reported to the Department of Agriculture by the industry, show a continuous increase from 45.3 percent filter tip cigarettes produced in 1958 to 54.6 percent produced in 1962 (3) (Table 2).

Table 2.—Estimated output of filter-tip cigarettes and percentage of total cigarette production, United States, 1950–1962

Year	Filter-tip cigarettes (billions)	Percent of total	Year	Filter-tip cigarettes (billions)	Percent of total
1950. 1951. 1952. 1953. 1954. 1955. 1956.	2. 2 3. 0 5. 6 12. 4 36. 9 77. 0 116. 9	0. 6 0. 7 1. 3 2. 9 9. 2 18. 7 27. 6	1957 1958* 1959 1960 1961 1962	168. 3 213. 0 238. 8 258. 0 277. 1 292. 5	38. 0 45. 3 48. 7 50. 9 52. 5 54. 6

 $^{^{\}bullet}\mathrm{Data}$ from 1958 through 1962 are official estimates from Census of $\mathit{Manufacturers}.$

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.

REFERENCES

- 1. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Special report to the Surgeon General's Advisory Committee on Smoking and Health.
- 2. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Economic Research Service. Tobacco products. Consumption per capita. 15 years and over, United States, 1900-62.
- 3. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Economic Research Service. The tobacco situation. March 1962, March 1963, September 1963.

Chapter 6

Chemical and Physical Characteristics of Tobacco and Tobacco Smoke

Contents

Gontones
CHEMISTRY OF TOBACCO
COMPOSITION OF CIGARETTE SMOKE
COMPOUNDS OF THE PARTICULATE PHASE OTHER THAN HIGHER POLYCYCLICS
Inorganic Components
Noncarcinogenic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
CARCINOGENIC HYDROCARBONS AND HETEROCY- CLICS IN TOBACCO SMOKE
COCARCINOGENS
MECHANISM OF THE FORMATION OF CARCINOGENS.
THE GAS PHASE
EFFECTS ON CILIARY ACTIVITY
PESTICIDES AND ADDITIVES
SUMMARY
REFERENCES
List of Tables
Table 1. Major classes of compounds in the particulate phase of cigarette smoke
Table 2. Carcinogenic polycyclic compounds isolated from cigarette smoke
Table 3. Polycyclic hydrocarbons isolated from tobacco smoke
TABLE 4. Some gases found in cigarette smoke

Chapter 6

Tobacco is an herb which man has smoked for over 300 years. The plant was given the generic name Nicotiana after Jean Nicot, French ambassador to Portugal, who in 1560 publicly extolled the virtue of tobacco as a curative agent. The species Nicotiana tabacum is now the chief source of smoking tobacco and is the only species cultivated in the United States.

CHEMISTRY OF TOBACCO

The tobacco leaf contains a complex mixture of chemical components: cellulosic products, starches, proteins, sugars, alkaloids, pectic substances, hydrocarbons, phenols, fatty acids, isoprenoids, sterols, and inorganic minerals. Many of the several hundred components isolated have been found to occur also in other plants. Two groups of components are specific to tobacco and have not as yet been isolated from other natural sources. One includes the alkaloid nicotine and the related companion substances nornicotine, myosmine, and anabasine. These nitrogen-containing substances are all

Nicotine

Nornicotine

Myosmine

Anabasine

basic and hence extractable with acid. Seven members of a second group of compounds fairly distinctive to tobacco have been isolated and characterized (1962-63) by D. L. Roberts and R. L. Rowland (36). They are described as isoprenoids, since the structures are divisible into units of isoprene, the building principle of rubber, of the red pigment of the tomato, and of the yellow pigment of the carrot, as illustrated in the following formulas:

component

4 Isoprene units

Although none of the 7 isoprenoid components of tobacco has been isolated from another source, the hydrocarbon cembrene from a pine exudate has the same 14-membered ring with the same complement of an isopropyl group at C₁ and methyl groups at C₄, C₈, and C₁₂ (9).

COMPOSITION OF CIGARETTE SMOKE

Cigarette smoke is an heterogeneous mixture of gases, uncondensed vapors and liquid particulate matter (32). As it enters the mouth the smoke is a concentrated aerosol with millions or billions of particles per cubic centimeter (25, 30). The median size of the particles is about 0.5 micron (1). For purposes of investigating chemical composition and biological properties smoke is separated into a particulate phase and a gas phase, and the gas phase is frequently subdivided into materials which condense at liquid-air tempera. ture and those which do not. The large quantities of material required for investigation of the chemical components are prepared on smoking machines (25) in which large numbers of cigarettes are smoked simultaneously in a fashion designed to simulate average smoking habits, and a yellow-brown condensate known as tobacco tar is collected in traps cooled to the temperature of dry ice (-70° C.) or liquid nitrogen (-196° C.). The tar thus contains all of the particulate phase of smoke as well as condensable components of the gas phase. The amount of tar from the smoke of one cigarette is between 3 and 40 mg., the quantity varying according to the burning and condensing conditions, the length of the cigarette, the use of a filter, porosity of paper. content of tobacco, weight and kind of tobacco.

An important factor determining the composition of cigarette smoke is the temperature in the burning zone. While air is being drawn through the cigarette the temperature of the burning zone reaches approximately 884° C. and when the cigarette is burning without air being drawn through it the temperature is approximately 835° C. (42). The smoke generated during puffing, when air is being drawn through the cigarette, is called main-stream smoke; that generated when the cigarette is burning at rest is called sidestream smoke. At the temperatures cited extensive pyrolytic reactions occur. Some of the many constituents of tobacco are stable enough to distil unchanged, but many others suffer extensive reactions involving oxidation, dehydrogenation, cracking, rearrangement, and condensation. The large number and variety of compounds in tobacco smoke tar is reminiscent of the composition of the tar formed on carbonization of coal, which in many cases is conducted at temperatures lower than those of a burning cigarette. It is thus not surprising that some 500 different compounds have been identified in either the particulate phase of cigarette smoke or in the gas phase.

In one study (50) regular cigarettes (70 mm. long, about 1 g. each) without filter tips produced 17–40 mg. of tar per cigarette. In another investigation (43) 174,000 regular size American cigarettes afforded a total of 4 kg. of tar, an average of 23 mg. per cigarette. In still another study (31) 34,000 70-mm. cigarettes were smoked mechanically on a constant puff-volume type machine with which 35-ml. puffs, each of two seconds duration, were taken at one minute intervals from each cigarette. Eight puffs were required to smoke each cigarette to an average butt length of 30 mm. The smoke was condensed in a series of three glass traps cooled in liquid air. The condensate was rinsed out of the traps with ether, water, and hexane. The yield of condensate nonvolatile at 25° C. and 25 mm. of mercury was 20.9 mg. per cigarette.