

**THREATENED, ENDANGERED, AND FOREST SERVICE
SENSITIVE SPECIES
ON THE
SAN ISABEL NATIONAL FOREST**

UPDATED MAY 2007

COMPILED BY:

MIKE WRIGLEY
WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST

MONICA WHITE
WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST

BRIAN ELLIOTT
BOTANIST

MATTHEW COMER
WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST

RON TORRETTA
WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST

PHILLIP GAINES
FISH BIOLOGIST

STEVE OLSON
BOTANIST

How to cite this document:

Wrigley, M., M. White, B. Elliott, M. Comer, R.E. Torretta, P. Gaines, and S. Olson. 2007. Threatened, endangered, and Forest Service sensitive species on the San Isabel National Forest. Unpubl. Rpt. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Pike and San Isabel National Forests and Comanche and Cimarron National Grasslands. Salida, CO. 103 pp.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT	1
1.2 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	1
1.3 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	2
1.4 REGIONAL FORESTER'S SENSITIVE SPECIES	3
2.0 TEPS SPECIES REVIEW	4
2.1 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE SPECIES LIST	4
2.1.1 <i>FWS LISTED/CANDIDATE SPECIES NOT EXPECTED ON THE FOREST</i>	5
PLANTS	5
INVERTEBRATES	7
FISH	8
AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES	9
BIRDS	9
MAMMALS	11
2.1.2 <i>FWS LISTED/CANDIDATE SPECIES POTENTIALLY OCCURRING ON THE FOREST</i>	11
PLANTS	11
INVERTEBRATES	12
FISH	13
AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES	14
BIRDS	14
MAMMALS	16
2.2 FOREST SERVICE SENSITIVE LIST	19
2.2.1 <i>SENSITIVE SPECIES NOT EXPECTED ON THE FOREST</i>	24
PLANTS	24
INVERTEBRATES	31
FISH	32
AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES	36
BIRDS	37
MAMMALS	41
2.2.2 <i>SENSITIVE SPECIES EXPECTED ON THE FOREST</i>	43
PLANTS	43
INVERTEBRATES	54
FISH	55
AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES	55
BIRDS	57
MAMMALS	65
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE	71
3.1 PAST AND CURRENT ACTIVITIES OCCURRING ON THE FOREST	72
3.1.1 <i>MINING</i>	72
3.1.2 <i>RECREATION</i>	72
3.1.3 <i>ROADS</i>	73
3.1.4 <i>SPECIAL USE PERMITS</i>	74
3.1.5 <i>WILDFIRES AND PRESCRIBED FIRES</i>	75
3.1.6 <i>TIMBER HARVEST</i>	76
3.1.7 <i>LIVESTOCK GRAZING</i>	78
3.1.8 <i>HUMAN DEVELOPMENT</i>	80
3.1.9 <i>CLIMATE CHANGE</i>	81
4.0 LITERATURE CITED AND DATA SOURCES	82
5.0 APPENDICES	94

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Federally listed and candidate species for the counties (Alamosa, Chaffee, Custer, Fremont, Huerfano, Lake, Las Animas, Park, Pueblo, and Saguache)

Table 2. Forest Service Sensitive Species in Region 2 (Forest Service 2006) and whether they have a potential to occur or be affected on the San Isabel National Forest.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Timber harvest activity on the PSICC from 1941-2001.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

This document provides background information that supplements biological evaluations and assessments (BE/BAs) developed on the San Isabel National Forest (Forest). It is intended to be a “living document” and will be updated as necessary. Reviews of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) county list of federally listed and proposed species (FWS January 2007) and Region 2 Regional Forester’s sensitive species list (Appendix A) to determine whether a species may be present and have the potential to be affected by Forest management actions. The Forest is comprised of three Forest Service districts: Leadville, Salida, and San Carlos Ranger districts in Colorado, which is a portion of the Pike and San Isabel National Forests and the Cimarron, and Comanche National Grasslands (PSICC).

Literature reviews, species location data, district and state databases, and Forest files were checked to determine which species had a reasonable likelihood to occur on the Forest-managed lands due to elevation, geography, vegetation, or special habitat limitations. This document provides the rationale for the exclusion of some species included on the FWS and FS Region 2 lists from further analysis in future BE/BAs for project analysis. A brief biological account is included for each species excluded from further analysis. Because these species are not expected to potentially occur or be affected by future management actions, an effect/impact assessment of *no effect* determination for federally listed and candidate species, and *no impact* determination for the sensitive species identified in Tables 1 and 2. All threatened, endangered, proposed, and sensitive (TEPS) species that may occur or may be affected by management actions on the Forest are identified in Appendix B of this document. These species will be addressed in future BE/BA documents, with further analysis on a project-specific basis. A brief species account is included for each of those species listed in Appendix B for reference in subsequent BE/BAs. Lastly, this document includes a general discussion of past and current land management activities for all federal, state, private, and tribal lands within and adjacent to the Forest boundaries that may have affect TEPS species. This may also be referenced as part of the Environmental Baseline section in future BE/BAs.

1.2 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

The following documents contain management direction for TEPS species on the Forest:

- Forest Service Manual and Handbooks (FSM/FSH 2670)
- National Forest Management Act (NFMA)
- Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA or Act)
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA)
- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
- Pike and San Isabel National Forests and Comanche and Cimarron National Grasslands Land Resource Management Plan (LRMP or Forest Plan) (Forest Service 1984)
- Species-specific Recovery Plans which establish population goals for recovery
- Species management plans
- Species management guides or conservation strategies
- Regional Forester policy and management direction
- Lynx Conservation Assessment and Strategy (LCAS) (Ruediger et al. 2000)

The LRMP provides management guidelines, which incorporate regional direction for each species. In particular, current management direction pertaining to TEPS species is summarized below.

1.3 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

Section 7 of the ESA, requires federal agencies to use their authorities to carry out programs to conserve endangered and threatened species, and to insure that actions authorized, funded, or carried out by them are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed or proposed species, or result in the destruction or adverse modification of their critical habitats. Consistent with this direction this BE/BA addresses actions that are at the discretion of the federal agency, and would depend on the nature of the federal action (50 CFR 402.12(f)).

The Forest Service has established direction in Forest Service Manual 2670 to guide habitat management for threatened, endangered, proposed, and sensitive species. Preparation of this BE/BA as part of the NEPA process ensures that these species receive full consideration in the decision-making process. FSM 2600, Section 2671.44 (Supplement 2600-94-2), provides direction on the review of actions and programs authorized, funded or implemented by the FS relative to the requirements of the ESA. FSM 2670.31 further defines FS policy for threatened and endangered species as follows:

- Place top priority on conservation and recovery of endangered, threatened, and proposed species and their habitats through relevant National Forest System, state and private forestry, and research activities and programs.
- Establish through the Forest planning process objectives for habitat management and/or recovery of populations, in cooperation with states, the FWS and other federal agencies.
- Through the BE process, review actions and programs authorized, funded, or carried out by the FS to determine their potential for effect on threatened and endangered species and species proposed for listing.
- Avoid all adverse impacts on threatened and endangered species and their habitat except when it is possible to compensate for adverse impacts through reasonable and prudent measures identified in a biological opinion rendered by the FWS; when an exemption has been granted under the Act, or when the FWS biological opinion recognizes an incidental taking. Avoid adverse impacts on species proposed for listing during the conference period and while their federal status is being determined.
- Initiate consultation or conference with the FWS when the FS determines that proposed activities may have an effect on threatened, endangered, or proposed species or when FS projects are for the specific benefit of a threatened or endangered species.
- Identify and prescribe measures to prevent adverse modification or destruction of critical habitat and other habitats essential for the conservation of endangered, threatened, and proposed species.
- Protect individual organisms or populations from harm or harassment as appropriate.

1.4 REGIONAL FORESTER'S SENSITIVE SPECIES

The Forest Service (FS) is required to manage National Forest System lands so that all existing native and desired nonnative wildlife, fish, and plants can maintain viable populations (FSM 2670.32). Forest activities are required to be conducted to avoid actions that may cause a species to become threatened or endangered (FSM 2670.12). Current management direction is to manage National Forest System habitats for threatened and endangered species to achieve recovery objectives so that special protection measures provided under the ESA are no longer necessary (FSM 2670.21).

As required in FSM 2670 Supplement 2600-94-2, the Regional Forester is required to identify those plants and animals for which population viability is a concern as Regional Forester's sensitive species (RFSS). FSM states that concern is warranted by a downward trend in population numbers, density, or habitat conditions that would reduce a species' existing distribution (FSM 2670.5). Sensitive species are to be managed so that FS actions ensure that these species do not become threatened or endangered (FSM 2670.22). The Region 2 RFSS (2006) contains taxa meeting one or more of the following three criteria:

1. a species is declining in numbers or occurrences and evidence indicates it could be proposed for federal listing as threatened or endangered if action is not taken to reverse or stop the downward trend;
2. a species' habitat is declining and continued loss could result in population declines that lead to federal listing as threatened or endangered if action is not taken to reverse or stop the decline; or
3. a species' population or habitat is stable but limited.

Under FSM 2672.41, the objectives for completing BEs for proposed FS programs or activities must:

1. ensure that FS actions do not contribute to loss of viability of any native or desired non-native plant or animal species;
2. ensure that activities do not cause any species to move toward federal listing, and
3. incorporate concerns for sensitive species throughout the planning process, reducing negative impacts to species and enhancing opportunities for mitigation.

The LRMP provides management guidelines, which incorporate regional direction for each species. General FS direction for sensitive species is summarized below (FSM 2670.32):

- Assist states in achieving their goals for conservation of endemic species.
- As part of the NEPA process, review programs and activities, through a biological evaluation, to determine their potential effect on sensitive species.
- Avoid or minimize impacts to species whose viability has been identified as a concern.
- If impacts cannot be avoided, analyze the significance of potential adverse effects on the population or its habitat within the area of concern and on the species as a whole.
- Establish management objectives in cooperation with states when projects on National Forest System lands may have a significant effect on sensitive species population numbers or distributions. Establish objectives for federal candidate species, in cooperation with the FWS, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the states.

2.0 TEPS SPECIES REVIEW

2.1 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE SPECIES LIST

The FWS county list (2006) of threatened, endangered, and candidate species for Alamosa, Chaffee, Custer, Fremont, Huerfano, Lake, Las Animas, Park, Pueblo and Saguache counties in Colorado was reviewed. Not all species listed are expected to occur on the Forest or be affected by FS management within the relevant counties. Reviews of the best available information were made to determine if TEPS may occur on or be affected by Forest-managed lands. Determinations whether TEPS distributional range occur within Forest boundaries, and if so, is suitable habitat present. Rationale(s) are also made for exclusion from further BE/BAs.

Table 1. Federally listed and candidate species for the counties (Alamosa, Chaffee, Custer, Fremont, Huerfano, Lake, Las Animas, Park, Pueblo, and Saguache) containing the Leadville, Salida, and San Carlos Ranger Districts (FWS 2006) and whether they are known, expected to occur and/or be potentially affected by potential management activities.

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	FEDERAL STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON SAN ISABEL NF (✓)
Plants			
Clay-loving wild buckwheat	<i>Eriogonum pelinophilum</i>	Endangered	
Colorado butterfly plant	<i>Gaura neomexicana</i> ssp.	Threatened	
Dudley Bluffs bladderpod	<i>Lesquerella congesta</i>	Threatened	
Dudley Bluffs twinpod	<i>Physaria obcordata</i>	Threatened	
Knowlton's cactus	<i>Pediocactus knowltonii</i>	Endangered	
Mancos milkvetch	<i>Astragalus humillimus</i>	Endangered	
Mesa Verde cactus	<i>Sclerocactus mesae-verdae</i>	Threatened	
North Park phacelia	<i>Phacelia formosula</i>	Endangered	
Osterhout milkvetch	<i>Astragalus osterhoutii</i>	Endangered	
Penland alpine fen mustard	<i>Eutrema penlandii</i>	Threatened	✓
Penland beardtongue	<i>Penstemon penlandii</i>	Endangered	
Slender moonwort	<i>Botrychium lineare</i>	Candidate	✓
Uinta Basin hookless cactus	<i>Sclerocactus glaucus</i>	Threatened	
Ute ladies'-tresses	<i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>	Threatened	
Invertebrates			
Pawnee Montane Skipper	<i>Hesperia leonardus</i>	Threatened	
Uncompahgre fritillary butterfly	<i>Boloria acrocneuma</i>	Endangered	✓
Fish			
Arkansas darter	<i>Etheostoma cragini</i>	Candidate	
Bonytail	<i>Gila elegans</i>	Endangered	
Colorado pikeminnow	<i>Ptychocheilus lucius</i>	Endangered	
Greenback cutthroat trout	<i>Oncorhynchus clarki</i>	Threatened	✓
Humpback chub	<i>Gila cypha</i>	Endangered	
Pallid sturgeon	<i>Scaphirhynchus albus</i>	Endangered	
Razorback sucker	<i>Xyrauchen texanus</i>	Endangered	

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	FEDERAL STATUS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON SAN ISABEL NF (✓)
Amphibians and Reptiles			
None			
Birds			
Bald eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	Threatened	✓
Least tern	<i>Sterna antillarum</i>	Endangered	
Mexican spotted owl	<i>Strix occidentalis lucida</i>	Threatened	✓
Piping plover	<i>Charadrius melodus</i>	Threatened	
Southwestern willow flycatcher	<i>Empidonax traillii extimus</i>	Endangered	
Whooping crane	<i>Grus americana</i>	Endangered	
Yellow-billed cuckoo (western subspecies)	<i>Coccyzus americanus occidentalis</i>	Candidate	
Mammals			
Black-footed ferret	<i>Mustela nigripes</i>	Endangered	
Canada lynx	<i>Lynx canadensis</i>	Threatened	✓

2.1.1 FWS LISTED/CANDIDATE SPECIES NOT EXPECTED ON THE FOREST

Federally listed and candidate species in the following section will **not** be considered in further detail in future Forest BE/BAs, because potential habitat for these species is not present and/or it is outside the species' elevation or distributional range. Natural history information and specific rationale for exclusion is described for each species in the following section. A determination of *no effect* has been made for these species.

PLANTS

Clay-loving wild buckwheat (*Eriogonum pelinophilum*)

Clay-loving wild buckwheat is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. It is found in salt desert shrub community on Mancos Shale badlands at elevations ranging from 5,200 to 6,400 ft. It is endemic to western Colorado in Delta and Montrose counties (Spackman et al. 1997). This species is primarily a substrate specialist, growing only on a particular soil or geological formation not found on the Forest. Forest activities will not affect clay-loving wild buckwheat.

Colorado butterfly plant (*Gaura neomexicana* ssp. *coloradoensis*)

Colorado butterfly plant is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. It is found in sub-irrigated, alluvial soils of drainage bottoms surrounded by mixed grass prairie at elevations of 5,800 to 6,200 ft. It is endemic to SE Wyoming, W Nebraska, and NE Colorado, including Boulder, Broomfield, Douglas, Jefferson, Larimer and Weld counties (Spackman et al. 1997). The Forest lies outside the known range of the species. Forest activities will not affect Colorado butterfly plant.

Dudley Bluffs bladderpod (*Lesquerella congesta*)

Dudley Bluffs bladderpod is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. It is found in barren, white shale outcrops of the Green River and Uinta formations at elevations from 6,000 to 6,700 ft. It is endemic to the Piceance Basin of Rio Blanco County (Spackman et al. 1997). This species is

primarily a substrate specialist, growing only on a particular soil or geological formation not found on the Forest. Forest activities will not affect Dudley Bluffs bladderpod.

Dudley Bluffs twinpod (*Physaria obcordata*)

Dudley Bluffs twinpod is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. It is found in barren, white outcrops and steep slopes exposed by creek downcutting of the Parachute Creek Member of the Green River formation at elevations of 5,900 to 7,500 ft. It is endemic to the Piceance Basin of Rio Blanco County (Spackman et al. 1997). This species is primarily a substrate specialist, growing only on a particular soil or geological formation not found on the Forest. Forest activities will not affect Dudley Bluffs twinpod.

Knowlton's cactus (*Pediocactus knowltonii*)

Knowlton's cactus is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. It is found in alluvial deposits that form rolling gravelly hills covered with piñon-juniper and sagebrush at elevations around 6,400 ft. It occurs on the Southern Ute Reservation in southeast La Plata County (Spackman et al. 1997). The Forest lies far from the known distribution of the species. Forest activities will not affect Knowlton's cactus.

Mancos milkvetch (*Astragalus humillimus*)

Mancos milkvetch is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. It is found in exfoliating Point Lookout Sandstone on rock ledges amongst piñon juniper woodlands. Elevation ranges from 5,500 to 5,850 ft. This species occurs on the Southern Ute reservation in southern Montezuma County (Spackman et al. 1997). The Forest lies far from the known distribution of the species. This species is primarily a substrate specialist, growing only on a particular soil or geological formation not found on the Forest. Forest activities will not affect Mancos milkvetch.

Mesa Verde cactus (*Sclerocactus mesae-verdae*)

Mesa Verde cactus is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. It is found in sparsely vegetated shale or adobe clay badlands of Mancos and Fruitland formations at elevations from 4,000 to 5,000 ft. It occurs on the Southern Ute reservation in Southern Montezuma County (Spackman et al. 1997). The Forest lies far from the known distribution of the species. This species is primarily a substrate specialist, growing only on a particular soil or geological formation not found on the Forest. Forest activities will not affect Mesa Verde cactus.

North Park phacelia (*Phacelia formosula*)

North Park phacelia is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. It is found on sparsely vegetated exposures of the Coalmont Formation in steep sided ravines, low sandy hills and bluffs. It occurs at elevations from 8,000 to 8,500 ft. It occurs in the North Park area of Jackson County and Larimer County (Spackman et al. 1997). This species is primarily a substrate specialist, growing only on a particular soil or geological formation not found on the Forest. Forest activities will not affect North Park phacelia.

Osterhout milkvetch (*Astragalus osterhoutii*)

Osterhout milkvetch is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. It is found in highly seleniferous, grayish-brown clay soils derived from shales of the Niobrara, Pierre and Troublesome formations, on moderate slopes, sometimes growing up through sagebrush. It is found at elevations

from 7,400 to 7,900 ft. It is endemic to Colorado in Middle Park of Grand County (Spackman et al. 1997). This species is primarily a substrate specialist, growing only on a particular soil or geological formation not found on the Forest. Forest activities will not affect Osterhout milkvetch.

Penland beardtongue (*Penstemon penlandii*)

Penland beardtongue is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. It is found in strongly seleniferous clay-shales of the Troublesome Formation, on steep barrens with sparse plant cover, and in sagebrush badlands. It is found at elevations from 7,500 to 7,700 ft. It is endemic to Colorado in Middle Park in Grand County (Spackman et al. 1997). This species is primarily a substrate specialist, growing only on a particular soil or geological formation not found on the Forest. Forest activities will not affect Penland beardtongue.

Uinta Basin hookless cactus (*Sclerocactus glaucus*)

Uinta Basin hookless cactus is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. It is found in rocky hills, mesa slopes, and alluvial benches in desert shrub communities at elevations from 4,500 to 6,000 ft. It occurs in Delta, Garfield, Mesa, and Montrose counties (Spackman et al. 1997). The species is endemic to the west slope of the Continental Divide and the Forest lies far from the known distribution of the species. Forest activities will not affect Uinta Basin hookless cactus.

Ute lady's tresses (*Spiranthes diluvialis*)

Ute lady's tresses is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. It is found in sub-irrigated alluvial soils along streams and in open meadows in floodplains at elevations from 4,500 to 6,800 ft. It occurs in Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Broomfield, Denver, Douglas, El Paso, Jefferson, Larimer, Morgan, and Weld counties (Spackman et al. 1997). According the Fish and Wildlife Service, surveys are not required on the Forest (FWS 1992). Forest activities will not affect Ute lady's tresses.

INVERTEBRATES

Pawnee Montane Skipper (*Hesperia leonardus montana*)

Pawnee montane skipper is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. It occurs only on the Pike Peak Granite Formation in the South Platte drainage system in Colorado, involving portions of Jefferson, Douglas, Park and Teller counties. It occurs at an elevation range of 6,000 to 7,500 ft, though it has been recorded as high as 8,000 ft (Forest Service 1991). The total known habitat is estimated to be 24,256 ac. Based on field studies by ERT (1986) the general characteristics of Pawnee montane skipper habitat include: tree canopy cover of 30%, ponderosa pine crown cover of 25%, Douglas-fir crown cover of 5 %, tree density of less than 120 trees/acre in the smallest size class; overall tree density of less than 200 trees/acre, shrub and grass cover generally less than 10%, *Liatris* flower stem density ranging from 50 to 500/acre, and blue grama cover 5% or less, present nearly everywhere. Skippers are dependent upon prairie gayfeather (*Liatris punctata*) and blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*) for nectar and reproduction, respectively. Adult females deposit their eggs singly on leaves of blue grama. The eggs hatch and the larvae travel down into the basal crown of the plant to overwinter. In late July, the skippers emerge and forage for nectar on prairie gayfeather flowers. Additional natural history and distribution information can be found in the *Pawnee Montane Skipper Butterfly Recovery Plan* (FWS 1998). The Forest is outside of the known distributional range of this species. Forest activities will not affect Pawnee montane skipper.

FISH

Arkansas darter (*Etheostoma cragini*)

The Arkansas darter is a candidate species for federal listing under the ESA and is classified as a threatened species in the State of Colorado by the Colorado Wildlife Commission. Preferred habitat of the Arkansas darter is spring-fed creeks with cool, clear water, sandy bottoms, slow currents and abundant rooted aquatic vegetation (Pflieger 1975). The species is restricted to tributaries of Arkansas River drainage in southeastern Colorado, southern Kansas, northeastern Oklahoma, southwestern Missouri, and in northwestern Arkansas. In Colorado, only three historic records from two locations occur prior to 1979 (Loeffler and Krieger 1994), two records from Cañon City, CO. Cañon City is located in south-central Colorado approximately 30 miles west of Pueblo and adjacent to the San Carlos Ranger District of the San Isabel National Forest. Stream habitat in and near Cañon City is more similar to prairie stream habitats of the central United States (low gradient, sandy bottoms and aquatic vegetation) rather than the high gradient, high elevation, cold water, mountainous streams of the Forest nearby. Because of the contrast in habitat types between streams in the Cañon City area and adjacent streams on NF lands, and the lack of suitable habitat on NF lands, we do not expect *E. cragini* to occupy streams on the Forest.

Bonytail (*Gila elegans*)

The bonytail is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. Preferred habitat of *G. elegans* includes turbid eddies and pools in mid-sized to large rivers. Found historically through out the Colorado River basin; now only known from a few remnant populations in the Green River (Utah and Colorado), Gunnison River near Delta, and the Black Rock area of the Colorado River west of Grand Junction (Woodling 1985, NatureServe 2006). Because *G. elegans* is restricted to the Colorado River basin, it is geographically and topographically isolated from Arkansas River Basin and the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *G. elegans*.

Colorado pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus lucius*)

The Colorado pikeminnow is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. Preferred habitat is eddies, pools, and other areas adjacent to the main current flow in mid-sized to large rivers of the Colorado basin. Found historically through out the Colorado River basin in main stem channels extending into Colorado in the Green, Yampa, White, Colorado, Gunnison, Dolores, and Animas rivers. Current distribution in Colorado is restricted to the lower reaches of the Green, Yampa, White, Colorado, and Gunnison Rivers (Woodling 1985, NatureServe 2006). Because *P. lucius* is restricted to the Colorado River basin, it is geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *P. lucius*.

Humpback chub (*Gila cypha*)

The humpback chub is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. Preferred habitat of *G. cypha* is slow moving eddies and pools of big rivers. Adults use various habitats, including deep turbulent currents, shaded canyon pools, areas under shaded ledges in moderate current, riffles, and eddies. *G. cypha* was found historically in the mainstem of the Colorado River downstream to below the Hoover dam site. Currently, *G. cypha* is restricted to areas in and upstream of the Grand Canyon. In Colorado, the chub occurs in the Yampa, Gunnison, Green and Colorado rivers with highest concentrations located at the Black Rocks area of the Colorado river downstream of Grand Junction (Woodling 1985, NatureServe 2006). Because *G. cypha* is restricted to the Colorado River basin, it is geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *G. cypha*.

Pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*)

The pallid sturgeon is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. It requires large, turbid, free-flowing riverine habitat; it generally occurs in strong current over firm gravel or sandy substrate. It also occurs in reservoirs. The distribution of *S. albus* is restricted to larger channels of the Mississippi-Missouri river system, where the species is uncommon and rare (NatureServe 2006). The pallid sturgeon is not known from Colorado and, therefore, activities on the Forest will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *S. albus*.

Razorback sucker (*Xyrauchen texanus*)

The razorback sucker is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. A preferred habitat is slow areas, backwaters, and eddies of medium to large rivers. *X. texanus* was found historically throughout the Colorado River Drainage. Current distribution is limited to the lower mainstem Colorado, Gunnison, Lower Yampa and Green Rivers (Woodling 1985, NatureServe 2006). Because *X. texanus* is restricted to the Colorado River basin, it is geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *X. texanus*.

AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES

No species of amphibian or reptile are currently federally listed or candidates for listing on the Forest at this time (FWS 2006)

BIRDS**Least tern (*Sternula antillarum*)**

Least terns are federally listed as endangered under the ESA. This bird is an uncommon summer resident on the southeastern plains in the Arkansas River Valley. Breeding habitat consists of bare, sandy shorelines of islands in reservoirs (e.g., Horse Creek, Neeshone and Adobe reservoirs on the plains of eastern Colorado) and river sandbars (Kingery 1998). The Forest lacks water bodies with sandy beaches, flats, and shores required by this species. It is not known to occur nor does potential habitat occur on the Forest. As a result, Forest activities will not affect the least tern.

Piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*)

Piping plover is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. This species is rare spring and fall migrant in eastern Colorado, breeding in the southeast portion of the state. Plovers are only known to nest at four reservoirs located in the plains of eastern Colorado. The plovers commonly use mud flats and shorelines of reservoirs and lakes (Kingery 1998). The Forest lacks reservoirs and lakes with sandy shores or islands required by this species. The piping plover is not known to occur on the Forest. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest. As a result, Forest activities will not affect piping plover.

Southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*)

Southwestern willow flycatcher is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. This flycatcher inhabits thickets, scrubby and brushy areas, open second growth, swamps, and open woodland in the southwestern U.S. The flycatcher exists in small, fragmented populations. They are restricted to riparian habitats and nest primarily in swampy thickets, especially of willow, sometimes buttonbush tamarisk vines, or other plants. They occur in the southwestern portion of Colorado (NatureServe 2006). Additional natural history and distribution information can be found in the *Southwestern Willow Flycatcher Recovery Plan* (FWS 2002). The Forest is outside of the flycatcher's known distributional range. Use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest. As a result, Forest activities will not affect this species.

Whooping crane (*Grus americana*)

Whooping crane is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. They occur as a migrant during the spring and fall in eastern Colorado. They migrate annually, from the northern fresh-water breeding grounds to the southern winter grounds along the coastal prairies and salt marshes of the Texas Gulf. Whooping cranes are generally found in shallow wetlands, which have wide-range visibility and are free from human disturbance (NatureServe 2006). Additional natural history and distribution information can be found in the *Whooping Crane Recovery Plan* (FWS 2005). The crane migrates through eastern Colorado outside of Forest-managed lands. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest. As a result, Forest activities will not affect the whooping crane.

Yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*)

The western subspecies of yellow-billed cuckoo is a candidate for federal listing under the ESA. Cuckoos have undergone dramatic declines due to habitat loss and degradation since approximately 1980, though declines are noted as being more prevalent in the western portion of its range (Wiggins 2005). The Rocky Mountains is thought to separate two subspecies of yellow billed cuckoo; western (*C. a. occidentalis*) and eastern (*C. a. americanus*) (Kingery 1998), though, the systematic status of the two subspecies is controversial (Wiggins 2005). The western subspecies known primarily to occur on the Western Slope of Colorado is a candidate for listing under ESA in the United States. The FWS determined that the petition to list the yellow-billed cuckoo as endangered was warranted, but that listing was precluded by higher priority listing actions. The western subspecies depend primarily on old-growth riparian woodlands with dense understories. Only three records of potentially breeding birds were found on the Western Slope of Colorado during the Colorado breeding bird atlas effort. These occurrences were on the Yampa, Colorado, and Uncompahgre rivers (Kingery 1998). The cuckoo is tied strongly to cottonwood riparian and woodlands; however, the eastern subspecies, found primarily on the eastern plains of Colorado, also

occurs in more open woodlands with thick undergrowth (Kingery 1998). They prefer to nest and forage in open woodlands with an understory of thick vegetation, especially riparian. Historically cuckoos were noted as rare summer visitors, primarily on the Eastern Plains and also in Middle Park on the Western Slope near Grand Junction. It is likely that they used to nest more frequently in Colorado than they do today (Kingery 1998). For more information about this species see the *Yellow-billed cuckoo (Coccyzus americanus): A Technical Conservation Assessment* prepared for the USDA Region 2 Species Conservation Project (Wiggins 2005).

The Forest is centrally located in Colorado with the Continental Divide bordering the Forest to the west. The Forest is located far from the known occurrence areas for the western subspecies (Kingery 1998) and is therefore not expected to occur on the Forest. There is however, potential for the eastern subspecies (FS Sensitive status) to occur on the San Carlos Ranger District at the eastern boundary of the Forest.

MAMMALS

Black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*)

Black-footed ferret is federally listed as endangered under the ESA. The ferret is assumed to be extinct in Colorado; however, reintroduction programs have been started in the northwestern part of the state. Suitable habitat occurs in plains grasslands with large prairie dog complexes (NatureServe 2006). Additional natural history and distribution information can be found in the *Black-footed Ferret Recovery Plan* (FWS 1988). The Cimarron and Comanche National Grasslands may provide suitable habitat; however, on the Forest there is no suitable habitat, and use is not expected on or adjacent to Forest-managed lands. As a result, Forest activities will not affect black-footed ferret.

2.1.2 FWS LISTED/CANDIDATE SPECIES POTENTIALLY OCCURRING ON THE FOREST

The following narratives provide a brief overview of the natural history, habitat requirements, status, and background information on federally listed and candidate species and their designated critical habitats with the potential to occur on the Forest or be affected by potential activities. Additional species information is on file at the Leadville, Salida, and San Carlos District offices.

PLANTS

Penland alpine fen mustard (*Eutrema penlandii*)

Penland alpine fen mustard is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. This mustard is a perennial herb of the mustard family that grows in alpine wetlands. It is generally habitat-specific, typically being found in alpine fens on the lee side of mountain crests where deep wind-deposited snow accumulates (Roy et al. 1993). It is endemic to Colorado and only found in the Mosquito Range from Hoosier Pass to Mount Sherman in Park and Summit counties at elevations of 12,000-12,800 ft (Spackman et al. 1997). It is considered critically imperiled or imperiled both globally and in Colorado. There is potential for this species to occur in the alpine areas of the Mosquito-Gore Range on the Leadville Ranger District.

Slender (Narrow-leaved) moonwort (*Botrychium lineare*)

Slender moonwort is a candidate for federal listing that is warranted but precluded from further action pending higher listing priorities (65 FR 30048-30050). This moonwort is a perennial herb in the adder's-tongue fern family (Ophioglossaceae). Spores are produced in June. It is found in deep grass and forb meadows and under trees in woods, sagebrush, cirqueland, and potentially other habitats (Beatty *et al.* 2003). At the nearest known extant site on Pikes Peak, it occurs in coarse, decomposed granite. It has been found among the riparian transition vegetation associated with aspen. Another site on private land near Leadville in Lake County has not been seen since 1992 (Popovich 2004). This species is found at elevations ranging from 7,900 to 11,000 ft. Narrow-leaved moonwort ranges from WA and MT south to CA and CO, and there are historic records in QC and NB. It is rare range-wide with only nine known populations. This plant is small and easily over-looked, and may not be present every year. Because of the wide variety of habitats this species is known from, its occurrence could be anticipated throughout much of the Forest.

INVERTEBRATES**Uncompahgre fritillary butterfly (*Boloria acrocne*)**

Uncompahgre fritillary butterfly was listed on June 24, 1991 as an endangered species by the FWS (1991a). The FWS (1994) approved a recovery plan for this species on March 17, 1994. This species has the smallest total range of any North American butterfly species (FWS 1994). At present, this species is known to occur only at two verified areas (inhabited by three colonies) and possibly an additional two small colonies in the San Juan Mountains and Sawatch Range in Gunnison, Hinsdale, and Chaffee counties (Mt. Uncompahgre and Redcloud Peak) within Colorado. Documented populations were estimated at 1,400 individuals in 1992 and 3,284 in 1993 (FWS 1994). A population trend for this species is difficult to establish due to insufficient data. This butterfly is known to occur only above timberline in patches of its larval host plant, the snow willow (*Salix nivalis*) above approximately 12,000 ft. Females lay their eggs on the snow willow, which provides larval food and cover. This butterfly is most often found on north and east facing slopes, which provide a moist, cool, microclimate. The adults can be found flying in late July to mid-August. Uncompahgre fritillary butterfly requires two years to complete its entire life cycle.

Increased specimen collection is considered the most significant threat to its long-term survival. Climate change, disease, parasitism, predation, and trampling of larvae by humans and livestock are additional threats to this species (FWS 1994). Potential habitat for this species has been identified on the Pike and San Isabel NF. Areas of potential habitat in the Sawatch Range were surveyed in 2002 – 2003, with no population or individuals being documented (Ellingson 2003). The FWS species occurrence list (2006) shows this species as potentially occurring in portions of Chaffee, Lake, Park, and Saguache counties in Colorado.

FISH

Greenback cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki stomias*)

Greenback cutthroat trout were federally listed under the ESA as “endangered” in 1973 and later down listed to “threatened” in 1978. They are native to the South Platte and Arkansas River basins in central Colorado, and perhaps southeastern Wyoming (USFWS 1998). They are similar ecologically to other cutthroat trout species inhabiting streams of the western United States. Greenbacks favor relatively clear, cold waters preying primarily on aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates. Existing greenback populations are restricted to small, remote, high elevation streams and lakes where populations often have been protected by natural and man-made fish movement barriers. Many of these habitats are in colder, less productive habitats that undergo significant water flow fluctuations, leading to smaller and slow-growing greenback populations.

Greenback cutthroat trout populations declined rapidly following immigration and settlement of the Front Range of Colorado in the mid- to late 1800’s. Pollution from mining, stream dewatering for agriculture, commercial harvest and introduction of non-native salmonids significantly reduced their populations. Greenbacks also readily interbreed with rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and cannot coexist with the other introduced fishes brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) or brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). Introductions and subsequent habitat invasions by these non-native trout eliminated greenbacks from most of their known habitat range (Young and Harig 2001). Their decline occurred so rapidly, however, that their complete historic distribution is not well documented (USFWS 1998).

The objective of the Greenback Cutthroat Trout Recovery Plan (Recovery Plan) is to recover sufficient habitat and populations for their removal from the list of threatened and endangered species. Greenback cutthroat trout will be considered “recovered” when a minimum of 20 *stable* populations is documented to exist. At least five of these populations must exist in the Arkansas River basin (USFWS 1998). For delisting purposes, the Recovery Plan defines a stable self-sustaining population as maintaining 22 kilograms of greenbacks per hectare of habitat through natural reproduction (USFWS 1998). The population should contain a minimum of 500 adults (>120 mm) and represent a minimum of two year classes within a five-year period that are established through natural reproduction. A minimum of 120 breeding pairs was considered necessary to maintain genetic diversity within a population and the plan has set a minimum of 500 adults as necessary to insure maximum genetic diversity for each wild greenback population. Twenty stable reproducing populations, along with the above population criteria, are needed to qualify as an adequate population to meet the necessary recovery objectives.

Intensive efforts to locate existing populations of greenback cutthroat trout have been conducted by the Colorado Division of Wildlife (CDOW), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FS and others. However, many high elevation streams have, as yet, not been surveyed. Greenback cutthroat trout have been documented from 8 locations across the entire Pike and San Isabel National Forests (PSICC).

Intensive reintroduction efforts by the FS, CDOW, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and other stakeholders are currently underway, but have met with limited success. Reintroduction projects

typically involve identification of sites with suitable habitat and a high likelihood of success. Once a stream has been identified, in most cases, a barrier to upstream fish migration is constructed, followed by chemical removal of non-native salmonids and stocking of pure strain greenback cutthroat trout. Several streams have been identified as future reintroduction sites. It is important, however, to realize that more streams will be identified in the future. Furthermore, the efforts to find and document existing populations will continue on the PSICC.

AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES

No species of amphibian or reptile are currently listed or candidates for listing on the Forest at this time (FWS 2006)

BIRDS

Bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*)

Bald eagle is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. It is a large predatory raptor that occurs primarily in aquatic ecosystems, frequenting estuaries, large lakes, reservoirs, major rivers, and seacoasts (FWS 1999a). They are rarely associated with smaller streams or ponds (Leighton et al. 1979). Most eagles migrate in summer to northern breeding grounds but return to lower latitudes during the winter. They consume a wide variety of prey items depending on the season and availability including fish, water birds, and carrion (DeGraaf et al. 1980). Breeding bald eagles are rare in Colorado, although some nesting does occur near open water including rivers, streams and lakes, nesting and roosting in large ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), or cottonwood trees (*Populus* spp.) in proximity to open water. Mature and open forest structures are considered to be important components of bald eagle breeding habitat (Mosher and Andrew 1981, Anthony and Isaacs 1981, Anthony et al. 1982).

In Colorado, most eagles migrate in summer to northern breeding grounds but return to lower latitudes during the winter. Winter habitat in Colorado consists of roost trees along rivers and other large open bodies of ice-free waters allowing access to fish, although they also forage in uplands that are distant (up to 5 mi) from waterbodies (M. Wrigley, USFS, pers. obs. 2002-2007). Winter roost sites vary in their proximity to food resources (up to 21 miles) and may be determined to some extent by a preference for a warmer microclimate at these sites. Wintering areas are commonly associated with open water though in some areas eagles use habitats with little or no open water if other food resources (e.g., rabbit, deer carrion) are readily available (NatureServe 2006). Carrion and easily scavenged prey provides important sources of winter food in terrestrial habitats far from open water. Bald eagles are often observed in Lake, Chaffee, and Fremont counties during the winter months along the Arkansas River and other ice-free open water bodies and in adjacent uplands. No breeding has been recorded on the Forest.

Mexican spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis lucida*)

Mexican spotted owl (MSO) is federally listed as threatened under the ESA. The following is a summary of the status, life history, and other biological information from the Federal Register and (FWS 2001b [66 FR 8530]) the Final Recovery Plan (FWS 1995). The MSO is one of three subspecies of spotted owls. It is separated geographically from both the northern (*S. o. caurina*) and California (*S. o. occidentalis*) spotted owls on the West Coast and has been genetically isolated

from them for thousands of years (Barrowclough et al. 1999). Their distribution is fragmented throughout the Southwestern U.S., primarily occurring in Arizona, New Mexico, Utah, and Colorado, corresponding to the availability of forested mountains and canyons, and in some cases, rocky canyon lands. The MSO was listed as threatened by the FWS (1993), primarily because of the following two listing factors: (1) historical alteration of its habitat (primarily even-aged timber harvest) and the threat of its continued use, and (2) danger of catastrophic wildfire.

Most breeding MSO pairs occur in mature mixed-conifer forest. It is believed that historical forest conditions of many southwestern ponderosa pine forests were relatively open before the onset of large-scale logging, livestock grazing, and fire exclusion (Covington and Moore 1994, Covington 2003). MSO nested and roosted in relatively dense stands of mixed conifer, pine-oak, and riparian forests embedded within a matrix of more open-structured pine forest (Ganey and Dick 1995, Ganey et al. 1999, Beir and Maschinski 2003). Many of these areas, such as north-facing slopes and moist canyons, may not have had the frequent surface fires that maintained open stands of ponderosa pine, developing into closed-canopy forests subject to stand-replacement but highly infrequent fire regime. Other habitats once used by MSO, in particular lower-elevation riparian areas, have been lost to the species because of human development and associated habitat alterations (Ganey and Dick 1995).

MSOs nest, roost, forage, and disperse in a diverse array of biotic communities. Nesting habitat is typically in areas with complex forest structure or rocky canyons, and contains uneven-aged, multi-storied mature or old-growth stands that have high (>70%) canopy closure (Ganey and Balda 1989a, FWS 1991b). In the northern portion of the range (Utah and Colorado), most nests are in caves or on cliff ledges in steep-walled canyons. Elsewhere, the majority of nests appear to be in Douglas-fir trees (Fletcher and Hollis 1994, Seamans and Gutierrez 1995). Various tree species are used for roosting; however, Douglas-fir is the most commonly used species in mixed conifer forests (Ganey 1988, Fletcher and Hollis 1994, Young et al. 1998). MSOs generally use a wider variety of forest conditions for foraging than they use for nesting/roosting.

Seasonal movement patterns of MSO are variable. Some individuals are year-round residents within an area, some remain in the same general area but show shifts in habitat use patterns, and some migrate considerable distances (12 to 31 mi) during the winter, generally migrating to more open habitat at lower elevations (Ganey and Balda 1989b, Willey 1993, Ganey et al. 1998). As a year-round resident, they often use larger ranges during the non-breeding season than during the breeding season. Home-range sizes appear to vary considerably among habitats and/or geographic areas (FWS 1995), ranging from 647 to 3,688 ac for individuals birds, and 945 to 3,846 ac for pairs (Ganey and Balda 1989b, Ganey et al. 1999). Little information is known about habitat use by juveniles dispersing after fledging. Ganey et al. (1998) found dispersing juveniles in a variety of habitats ranging from high elevation forests to piñon/juniper woodlands and riparian areas surrounded by desert grasslands.

MSO ecology is not well understood. MSOs do not nest every year and their reproductive pattern varies somewhat across its range. Little is known about the reproductive output (production) for the spotted owl. Little research has been conducted on the causes of their mortality, but predation by great horned owls (*Bubo virginianus*), northern goshawks, red-tailed hawks (*Buteo jamaicensis*), and golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*), as well as starvation, and collisions (e.g., with cars,

powerlines), may all be mortality factors. They consume a variety of prey throughout their range, but commonly eat small and medium-sized rodents such as woodrats (*Neotoma* spp.), peromyscid mice (*Peromyscus* spp.), and microtine voles (*Microtus* spp.). They may opportunistically prey on bats, birds, reptiles, and arthropods (Ward and Block 1995).

Most of the habitat areas that support MSOs in the U.S. are on federal lands, primarily administered by the Forest Service, and to a lesser degree, the BLM, most of which are within the FS Region 3 (including 11 National Forests in Arizona and New Mexico). Fewer owls are documented to occur in Region 2 (including 2 National Forests in Colorado [including the PSICC]), and Region 4 (Utah). According to the Recovery Plan (FWS 1995), 91% of MSOs documented in the U.S. between 1990 and 1993 occurred on FS lands.

The range of the MSO has been divided into six Recovery Units (RUs) by the FWS in the Recovery Plan (FWS 1995). The Forest is within the Southern Rocky Mountains–Colorado RU. The Recovery Plan recommends three levels of habitat protection: (1) Protected Areas [Protected Activity Centers (PACs)], steep sloped areas (greater than 40%) with no timber harvest in the last 20 years, and reserved lands; (2) Restricted Areas; and (3) Other Forest and Woodland Types. The Forest currently contains three PACs (all on the San Carlos District). Restricted MSO habitat areas are generally defined as: uneven-aged (mature/old growth characteristics) mixed conifer stands with crown cover greater than 40 percent.

Many forested areas where fires have been suppressed are at increased risk of severe wildfire. This is due to increased tree densities and because of the greatly increased severity of fires that originate in the surrounding ponderosa pine matrix and burn into mixed conifer or pine-oak MSO habitat as crown fires (Jenness 2000). Reduction of the fire risk both within and outside MSO habitat is considered important to its viability.

The FWS (2004) revised its 2001 critical habitat designation (FWS 2001) to include approximately 8.6 million ac for the MSO in portions of its range in the Southwest. Critical habitat is defined as areas containing primary constituent elements, or landscape features that fulfill biological and physical attributes that are essential to the species' conservation (recovery) such as: space; food; water, and nutrition; cover or shelter; reproduction; and special habitats. Important habitat considerations for MSO are nesting, roosting, foraging, and/or dispersal. Both habitat structure and prey availability were defined for both forest and canyon areas.

MAMMALS

Canada lynx (*Lynx canadensis*)

The lynx was listed as a distinct population segment (DPS) threatened species in portions of the lower 48 states by the FWS on April 24, 2000 (FWS 2000). Lynx in the Southern Rockies occur at relatively low densities and are found almost exclusively in cool, moist, coniferous forest types where their winter diet is dominated by snowshoe hare (*Lepus americanus*) and to a lesser degree red squirrel (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*) and ground squirrels (*Spermophilus* spp.). Lynx in this region are found primarily within the subalpine and upper montane forests zones typically from 8,000 to 12,000 ft in elevation, depending on latitude or moisture gradients (McKelvey et al. 1999, Ruediger et al. 2000). Forage and denning habitats are most often spruce-fir, early seral lodgepole

pine, white fir (*Abies concolor*), aspen and moist Douglas-fir with developing understory of spruce-fir and aspen in the subalpine zone and timberline. Recently translocated lynx in Colorado have also frequently been observed foraging in well developed riparian and valley wetland shrub habitats (Ruediger et al. 2000). The lynx is a secretive, nocturnal cat of dense forests with large home ranges.

Fire exclusion may have altered the historic pattern and composition of vegetation in subalpine forests. In the southern portion of the Northern Rocky Mountains Geographic Area and in the Southern Rocky Mountains Geographic Area (including the Forest), fire exclusion is one of the primary factors contributing to the decline or loss of aspen. Aspen communities occupy a small percentage of the total forested area, but they provide important habitat diversity. Aspen/tall forb community types, especially those that include snowberry, serviceberry and chokecherry shrubs in the understory, are very productive and increase the quality of lynx foraging habitat.

Lynx Analysis Units (LAUs) have been developed for the Forest (Forest Service 2001). Potential lynx habitat has been modeled based on vegetation type, precipitation, winter precipitation, topography, and snowshoe hare habitat. In Colorado, vegetation types representative of suitable habitat include dense spruce-fir and mixed conifer with spruce, Douglas-fir, early seral lodgepole pine, mature lodgepole pine with a developing understory of spruce-fir and aspen (Ruediger et al. 2000). Potential habitat is defined as having the capability to provide necessary habitat components. Dry forest types (i.e., ponderosa pine) were mapped as nonhabitat.

The following summary is from Shenk (2006) outlining reintroduction efforts carried out by Colorado Department of Wildlife (CDOW) and preliminary results from their research:

Reintroduction Efforts: From 1999-2005, 204 lynx were released in Colorado. Fourteen additional animals (8 males: 6 females) were released in spring 2006 resulting in 218 lynx reintroduced to southwestern Colorado. All lynx were released in the core area of southwestern Colorado. The CDOW will not release any lynx in 2007.

Lynx Locations: Most of the lynx released remain in either the release area or the core area: New Mexico north to Gunnison, west as far as Taylor Mesa and east to Monarch Pass. Lynx have also established a core area in the Collegiate Peaks/Taylor Park area. We have had some movement of lynx in Colorado north of I70 and into Utah, Wyoming, New Mexico, Montana, Idaho, Arizona, Nebraska, Nevada, Kansas, and South Dakota.

Reproduction 2006: In spring 2006, 42 females were being monitored. We found 4 dens in May and June 2006 with 11 kittens total. Lynx CO04F07, a female lynx born in Colorado in 2004, was the mother of one of these litters, which documented the first recruitment of Colorado-born lynx into the Colorado breeding population. The percent of tracked females found with litters in 2006 was lower (10%) than in the 3 previous years (41%). However, all demographic and habitat characteristics measured at the 4 dens that were found in 2006 were comparable to all other dens found. Mean number of kittens per litter from 2003-2006 was 3 and sex ratio of females to males was equal. Thirty-seven dens have been found from 2003-2006.

Survival: As of June 30, 2006, CDOW was actively tracking 95 of the 138 lynx still possibly alive. There are 43 lynx that we have not heard signals on since at least June 30, 2005 and these animals are classified as "missing." Possible reasons for not locating these missing lynx include: 1) long distance dispersal, beyond the areas currently being searched, 2) radio failure, or 3) destruction of

the radio (e.g., run over by car). CDOW continues to search for all missing lynx during both aerial and ground searches.

Mortality Factors: Of the total 218 adult lynx released from 1999-2006 there are 80 known mortalities as of June 30, 2006. Starvation was a significant cause of mortality in the first year of releases only. Mortalities occurred throughout the areas through which lynx moved. Approximately 31.3% were human-induced which were attributed to collisions with vehicles or gunshot. Malnutrition and disease/illness accounted for 21.3% of the deaths while 32.5% of the deaths were from unknown causes.

Diet: From snow tracking, the primary winter prey species were snowshoe hare and red squirrel; other mammals and birds formed a minor part of the winter diet.

Habitat Use: Mature Engelmann spruce-subalpine fir forest stands with 42-65% canopy cover and 15-20% conifer understory cover were the most commonly used areas in southwestern Colorado. Little difference in aspect (slight preference for north-facing slopes), slope (mean = 15.7°) or elevation (mean = 3173 m) were detected for long beds, travel, and kill sites. Den sites, however, were located at higher elevations (mean = 3354 m) on steeper (mean = 30°) and more commonly north-facing slopes with a dense understory of coarse woody debris.

Snowshoe Hare Studies: A study comparing snowshoe hare densities among mature stands of Engelmann spruce/subalpine fir, lodgepole pine, and ponderosa pine was completed in 2004 with highest hare densities found in Engelmann spruce/subalpine fir stands and no hares found in Ponderosa pine stands. A study to evaluate snowshoe hare densities, demography, and seasonal movement patterns among small and medium tree sized lodgepole pine stands and mature spruce/fir stands was initiated in 2005 and will continue through 2009.

Reintroduction Status: Results to date have demonstrated that CDOW has developed release protocols that ensure high initial post-release survival followed by high long-term survival, site fidelity, reproduction, and recruitment of Colorado-born lynx into the Colorado breeding population. What is yet to be demonstrated is whether Colorado can support sufficient recruitment to offset annual mortality for a viable lynx population over time. Monitoring continues in an effort to document such viability.

2.2 FOREST SERVICE SENSITIVE LIST

Using the Region 2 Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List (Forest Service 2007, Appendix A), we identified all FS sensitive species with the potential to occur (within their known distributional range and/or if suitable habitat occurs) on the Forest.

Table 2. Forest Service Sensitive Species in Region 2 (Forest Service 2007) and whether they have a potential to occur or be affected on the San Isabel National Forest.

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON SAN ISABEL NF (✓)
Plants		
Absaroka Range beardtongue	<i>Penstemon absarokensis</i>	
Altai cotton-grass	<i>Eriophorum altaicum</i> var. <i>neogaeum</i>	✓
American cranberry bush	<i>Viburnum opulus</i> var. <i>americanum</i>	
Arizona willow	<i>Salix arizonica</i>	✓
Autumn willow	<i>Salix serissima</i>	✓
Aztec milkvetch	<i>Astragalus proximus</i>	
Barratt's willow	<i>Salix barrattiana</i>	
Barr's milkvetch	<i>Astragalus barrii</i>	
Bloodroot	<i>Sanguinaria canadensis</i>	
Blueberry willow	<i>Salix myrtillifolia</i>	✓
Brandegee's buckwheat	<i>Eriogonum brandegei</i>	✓
Cary's beardtongue	<i>Penstemon caryi</i>	
Chamisso's cottongrass	<i>Eriophorum chamissonis</i>	✓
Clawless draba	<i>Draba exunguiculata</i>	✓
Club spikemoss	<i>Selaginella selaginoides</i>	
Colorado Springs evening primrose	<i>Oenothera harringtonii</i>	✓
Colorado tansy-aster	<i>Machaeranthera coloradoensis</i>	✓
Common twinpod	<i>Physaria didymocarpa</i> var. <i>lanata</i>	
Cushion bladder-pod	<i>Physaria pulvinata</i>	
Cushion Townsend daisy	<i>Townsendia condensata</i> var. <i>anomala</i>	
Debeque phacelia	<i>Phacelia scopulina</i> var. <i>submutica</i>	
Degener's beardtongue	<i>Penstemon degeneri</i>	✓
Dropleaf buckwheat	<i>Eriogonum exilifolium</i>	
Dwarf milkweed	<i>Asclepias uncialis</i>	✓

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON SAN ISABEL NF (✓)
Elliptic spikerush	<i>Eleocharis elliptica</i>	
English sundew	<i>Drosera anglica</i>	
Forkleaved moonwort	<i>Botrychium furcatum</i>	✓
Foxtail sedge	<i>Carex alopecoidea</i>	
Fremont's bladderpod	<i>Lesquerella fremontii</i>	
Giant helleborine,	<i>Epipactis gigantea</i>	✓
Globe Gilia	<i>Ipomopsis globularis</i>	✓
Golden columbine	<i>Aquilegia chrysantha</i> var. <i>rydbergii</i>	✓
Gray's Peak whitlow-grass	<i>Draba grayana</i>	✓
Greenland primrose	<i>Primula egaliksensis</i>	✓
Groundcedar	<i>Lycopodium complanatum</i>	
Hall or plains rough fescue	<i>Festuca hallii</i>	✓
Hall' Bulrush	<i>Schoenoplectus hallii</i>	
Harrington beardtongue	<i>Penstemon harringtonii</i>	
Iowa or Prairie moonwort	<i>Botrychium campestre</i>	✓
Kotzebue's grass of Parnassus	<i>Parnassia kotzebuei</i>	✓
Laramie columbine	<i>Aquilegia laramiensis</i>	
Largeflower goldenweed	<i>Pyrrocoma carthamoides</i> var. <i>subsquarrosa</i>	
Largeflower triteleia	<i>Triteleia grandiflora</i>	
Lesser bladderwort	<i>Utricularia minor</i>	✓
Lesser paniced sedge	<i>Carex diandra</i>	✓
Lesser round-leaved orchid	<i>Platanthera orbiculata</i>	
Lesser yellow lady's slipper	<i>Cypripedium parviflorum</i>	✓
Livid sedge	<i>Carex livida</i>	✓
Many-stemmed goldenweed	<i>Pyrrocoma integrifolia</i>	
Missouri milkvetch	<i>Astragalus missouriensis</i> var. <i>humistratus</i>	
Mountain lady's slipper	<i>Cypripedium montanum</i>	
Narrow-leaved moonwort	<i>Botrychium lineare</i>	✓
Narrowleaf peatmoss	<i>Sphagnum angustifolium</i>	✓
Northern blackberry	<i>Rubus arcticus</i> ssp. <i>acaulis</i>	✓
Pagosa bladderpod	<i>Lesquerella pruinosa</i>	
Pagosa gilia	<i>Ipomopsis polyantha</i>	
Park milkvetch	<i>Astragalus leptaleus</i>	✓

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON SAN ISABEL NF (✓)
Peculiar moonwort	<i>Botrychium paradoxum</i>	
Porter feathergrass	<i>Ptilagrostis porteri</i>	✓
Rabbit ears gilia	<i>Ipomopsis aggregata</i> ssp. <i>weberi</i>	
Ripley's milkvetch	<i>Astragalus ripleyi</i>	
Rock-loving neoparrya	<i>Neoparrya lithophila</i>	✓
Rocky Mountain alpine parsley	<i>Oreoxis humilis</i>	
Rocky Mountain cinquefoil	<i>Potentilla rupicola</i>	✓
Rocky Mountain thistle	<i>Cirsium perplexans</i>	
Roundleaf orchid	<i>Amerorchis rotundifolia</i>	
Roundleaf sundew	<i>Drosera rotundifolia</i>	✓
Sageleaf willow	<i>Salix candida</i>	✓
Sandhill goosefoot	<i>Chenopodium cycloides</i>	
Siberian sea thrift (pink)	<i>Armeria maritima</i> ssp. <i>sibirica</i>	✓
Selkirk's violet	<i>Viola selkirkii</i>	✓
Shoshone carrot	<i>Shoshonea pulvinata</i>	
Simple bog sedge	<i>Kobresia simpliciuscula</i>	✓
Slender cottongrass	<i>Eriophorum gracile</i>	✓
Smith whitlow-grass	<i>Draba smithii</i>	✓
Smooth northern-rockcress	<i>Braya glabella</i>	✓
Stonecrop gilia	<i>Gilia sedifolia</i>	
Sun-loving meadow-rue	<i>Thalictrum heliophilum</i>	
Trianglelobe moonwort	<i>Botrychium ascendens</i>	
Tranquil goldenweed	<i>Pyrrocoma clementis</i> var. <i>villosa</i>	
Tundra buttercup	<i>Ranunculus karelinii</i>	✓
Weber's monkeyflower	<i>Mimulus gemmiparus</i>	✓
Wetherill's milkvetch	<i>Astragalus wetherillii</i>	
White adder's-mouth orchid	<i>Malaxis brachypoda</i>	✓
Wind River tansymustard	<i>Descurainia torulosa</i>	
Winding mariposa lily	<i>Calochortus flexuosus</i>	
Wisher's buckwheat	<i>Eriogonum visheri</i>	
Yellow widelip orchid	<i>Liparis loeselii</i>	

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON SAN ISABEL NF (✓)
Invertebrates		
Caddisfly	<i>Ochrotrichia susanae</i>	✓
Cooper's Rocky Mountain snail	<i>Oreohelix strigosa cooperi</i>	
Hudsonian emerald	<i>Somatochlora hudsonica</i>	✓
Nokomis fritillary	<i>Speyeria nokomis nokomis</i>	
Ottoo skipper	<i>Hesperia ottoe</i>	
Regal fritillary	<i>Speyeria idalia</i>	
Rocky Mountain capshell snail	<i>Acroloxus coloradensis</i>	✓
Fish		
Bluehead sucker	<i>Catostomus discobolus</i>	
Colorado River cutthroat trout	<i>Oncorhynchus clarki pleuriticus</i>	
Finescale dace	<i>Phoxinus neogaeus</i>	
Flannelmouth sucker	<i>Catostomus latipinnis</i>	
Flathead chub	<i>Platygobio gracilis</i>	
Hornyhead chub	<i>Nocomis biguttatus</i>	
Lake chub	<i>Couesius plumbeus</i>	
Mountain sucker	<i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i>	
Northern redbelly dace	<i>Phoxinus eos</i>	
Pearl dace	<i>Margariscus margarita</i>	
Plains minnow	<i>Hybognathus placitus</i>	
Rio Grande chub	<i>Gila pandora</i>	
Rio Grande cutthroat trout	<i>Oncorhynchus clarki virginalis</i>	
Rio Grande sucker	<i>Catostomus plebeius</i>	
Roundtail chub	<i>Gila robusta</i>	
Southern redbelly dace	<i>Phoxinus erythrogaster</i>	
Sturgeon chub	<i>Macrhybopsis gelida</i>	
Yellowstone cutthroat trout	<i>Oncorhynchus clarki bouvieri</i>	
Amphibians and Reptiles		
Black Hills redbelly snake	<i>Storeria occipitomaculata pahasapae</i>	
Boreal toad	<i>Bufo boreas boreas</i>	✓
Columbia spotted frog	<i>Rana luteiventris</i>	
Massasauga	<i>Sistrurus catenatus</i>	
Northern leopard frog	<i>Rana pipiens</i>	✓
Plains leopard frog	<i>Rana blairi</i>	✓
Wood frog	<i>Rana sylvatica</i>	

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON SAN ISABEL NF (✓)
Birds		
American bittern	<i>Botaurus lentiginosus</i>	
American peregrine falcon	<i>Falco peregrinus anatum</i>	✓
American three-toed woodpecker	<i>Picooides dorsalis</i>	✓
Black swift	<i>Cypseloides niger</i>	✓
Black tern	<i>Chlidonias niger</i>	
Black-backed woodpecker	<i>Picooides arcticus</i>	
Boreal owl	<i>Aegolius funereus</i>	✓
Brewer's sparrow	<i>Spizella breweri</i>	✓
Burrowing owl	<i>Athene cunicularia</i>	
Cassin's sparrow	<i>Aimophila cassinii</i>	
Chestnut-collared longspur	<i>Calcarius ornatus</i>	
Columbia sharp-tailed grouse	<i>Pediocetes phasianellus columbianus</i>	
Ferruginous hawk	<i>Buteo regalis</i>	
Flammulated owl	<i>Otus flammeolus</i>	✓
Grasshopper sparrow	<i>Ammodramus savannarum</i>	
Greater prairie chicken	<i>Tympanuchus cupido</i>	
Greater sage-grouse	<i>Centrocercus urophasianus</i>	
Gunnison sage-grouse	<i>Centrocercus minimus</i>	✓
Harlequin duck	<i>Histrionicus histrionicus</i>	
Lesser prairie chicken	<i>Tympanuchus pallidicinctus</i>	
Lewis's woodpecker	<i>Melanerpes lewis</i>	✓
Loggerhead shrike	<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>	✓
Long-billed curlew	<i>Numenius americanus</i>	
McCown's longspur	<i>Calcarius mccownii</i>	
Mountain plover	<i>Charadrius montanus</i>	
Northern goshawk	<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	✓
Northern harrier	<i>Circus cyaneus</i>	✓
Olive-sided flycatcher	<i>Contopus cooperi</i>	✓
Purple martin	<i>Progne subis</i>	✓
Sage sparrow	<i>Amphispiza belli</i>	
Short-eared owl	<i>Asio flammeus</i>	
Trumpeter swan	<i>Cygnus buccinator</i>	
White-tailed ptarmigan	<i>Lagopus leucurus</i>	✓
Yellow-billed cuckoo (eastern subspecies)	<i>Coccyzus americanus americanus</i>	✓

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR ON SAN ISABEL NF (✓)
Mammals		
American marten	<i>Martes americana</i>	✓
Black-tailed prairie dog	<i>Cynomys ludovicianus</i>	
Common hog-nosed skunk	<i>Conepatus leuconotus</i>	✓
Fringed myotis	<i>Myotis thysanodes</i>	✓
Gunnison's prairie dog	<i>Cynomys gunnisoni</i>	✓
Kit fox	<i>Vulpes macrotis</i>	
Pygmy shrew	<i>Sorex hoyi</i>	✓
River otter	<i>Lontra canadensis</i>	
Rocky Mt. Bighorn sheep	<i>Ovis canadensis canadensis</i>	✓
Spotted bat	<i>Euderma maculatum</i>	
Swift fox	<i>Vulpes velox</i>	
Townsend's big-eared bat	<i>Plecotus townsendii</i>	✓
Water vole	<i>Microtus richardsoni</i>	
White-tailed prairie dog	<i>Cynomys leucurus</i>	
Wolverine	<i>Gulo gulo</i>	✓
Wyoming pocket gopher	<i>Thomomys clusius</i>	

2.2.1 SENSITIVE SPECIES NOT EXPECTED ON THE FOREST

The following sensitive species will **not** be considered in further detail in biological evaluations or biological assessments for management action on the Forest or adjacent lands because potential habitat for the species is not present or it is outside the species' elevation or distributional range.

PLANTS

Absaroka Range beardtongue (*Penstemon absarokensis*)

Absaroka Range beardtongue is endemic to the Absaroka Range of northwestern Wyoming. It grows on volcanic scree derived from parent material of the Wapiti Formation and Quaternary landslide deposits (Beatty et al. 2003). No appropriate geological formations exist on the Forest and the species is not known from Colorado.

American cranberry bush (*Viburnum opulus* var. *americanum*)

American cranberry bush ranges across southern Canada and the northern United States, reaching its southernmost Rocky Mountain distribution in Wyoming where it is ranked S1 or critically imperiled (NatureServe 2006, USDA NRCS 2007). Both of these sources also show the plant in New Mexico but Nelleson (2006b) demonstrates that New Mexico records arise from horticultural plantings in the state and that the range of the plant does not naturally include New Mexico. The species is generally found in moist soils near a reliable water source (Nelleson 2006b). The species is not known from Colorado which is likely south of its natural distribution.

Aztec milkvetch (*Astragalus proximus*)

Aztec milkvetch is a local endemic known from the San Juan Basin of southwestern Colorado and northwestern New Mexico. Although *Astragalus proximus* is not a strict substrate specialist, it favors substrates of “late Cretaceous to early Tertiary origin, and it is primarily found on sites underlain by the San Jose Formation, Nacimiento Formation, Pictured Cliffs Sandstone-Lewis Shale, and Animas Formation” (Decker 2005). These substrates are not found on the Forest and the known sites are all west of the San Juan Mountains.

Barratt’s willow (*Salix barrattiana*)

Barratt’s willow occurs in Alaska and western Canada with three disjunct populations in Montana and Wyoming (Ladyman 2005b). The species has never been documented in Colorado, and given that only three populations are known from the lower 48 states, it is unlikely that any populations exist in Colorado.

Barr’s milkvetch (*Astragalus barrii*)

Barr’s milkvetch is known from South Dakota, southeastern Montana, eastern Wyoming, and northwestern Nebraska. The species typically inhabits grassland, sagebrush-grassland, or saltbush grassland on dry badlands and prairie breaks at elevations ranging from 900-5,700 ft (Ladyman 2006a). The species is unlikely to be found on the Forest due to the distance from known occurrences and the known elevation range which is below those found on the Forest.

Bloodroot (*Sanguinaria canadensis*)

Bloodroot is a species of deciduous forests in the eastern United States, reaching North Dakota to Texas in the west. Although some eastern relicts have been found on the San Carlos Ranger District, bloodroot has not been found on the Forest. In the Black Hills (the only known occurrences in Region 2), bloodroot is found in rich hardwood forests (Hornbeck et al. 2003). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Cary’s beardtongue (*Penstemon caryi*)

Cary’s beardtongue is a local endemic known only from the Bighorn and Pryor Mountains of northern Wyoming and adjacent southern Montana (Heidel and Handley 2004b). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Club spike-moss (*Selaginella selaginoides*)

Club spike-moss is a perennial, mat-forming herb of the little spike-moss family (Selaginellaceae) that grows in marshy areas and wet spruce forests and produces spores during July and August. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as obligate wetland (OBL) in the mountains (NRCS 2007). Club spikemoss is found in Alaska, Canada, several eastern states, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, and Wyoming, with a report from Colorado. This report comes from the east side of the Park Range in eastern Park County, but it has been determined that this is based on erroneous information (Heidel and Handley 2006). As a result, the nearest known locations are in northwestern Wyoming.

Common twinpod (*Physaria didymocarpa* var. *lanata*)

Common twinpod is a local endemic known from Bighorn Range and Powder River Basin of northern Wyoming and adjacent Montana (Heidel and Handley 2004c). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Cushion bladder-pod (*Physaria pulvinata*)

Cushion bladder-pod is known to occur only in San Miguel and Dolores counties in western Colorado. It is a habitat specialist on a specific shale formation in that area (NatureServe 2007). It is not expected to occur on the Forest.

Cushion Townsend daisy (*Townsendia condensata* var. *anomala*)

Cushion Townsend daisy is a local endemic known only from the northern part of the Absaroka Mountains in northwestern Wyoming (Marriott and Lyman 2006). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Debeque phacelia (*Phacelia scopulina* var. *submutica*)

Debeque phacelia is a local endemic known from Garfield and Mesa counties of Colorado. It is known only from an area of less than 300 square miles on clayey soils of the Atwell Gulch and Shire members of the Eocene and Paleocene Wasatch geological formation (Ladyman 2003b). The species is not expected on the Forest due to the lack of appropriate substrates.

Dropleaf buckwheat (*Eriogonum exilifolium*)

Dropleaf buckwheat is known only from Wyoming and Colorado. In Colorado, the plant has been found in North and Middle Parks of Jackson and Grand counties at elevations ranging from 7,500-9,000 ft. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Elliptic spikerush (*Eleocharis elliptica*)

The taxonomy and distribution of elliptic spikerush is not well understood. However, neither the USDA Plants database (USDA NRCS 2007) nor the most recent taxonomic treatment (Smith et al. 2002) includes Colorado in the distribution of *E. elliptica*. Reports of *E. elliptica* from Colorado (Nellessen 2006a) are for *E. elliptica* var. *compressa*, a taxon not recognized by the latest taxonomic treatment. This species is not expected on the Forest.

English sundew (*Drosera anglica*)

English sundew is known from Alaska, Canada, the Pacific Northwest, California, and Wyoming. It was discovered in 2006 in the San Juan Mountains of Colorado in an acidic fen (Wolf et al. 2006a); however, it is not expected on the Forest. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Foxtail sedge (*Carex alopecoidea*)

In Region 2, foxtail sedge is known from wetlands in western North Dakota and eastern Wyoming (Moore et al. 2006a). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Fremont's bladderpod (*Lesquerella fremontii*)

Fremont's bladderpod is a local endemic known only from Fremont County, Wyoming (Heidel and Handley 2004a). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Groundcedar (*Lycopodium complanatum*)

Groundcedar is found in Alaska, Canada, and the northern tier of American states. It reaches its southernmost Rocky Mountain distribution in Wyoming. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Hall's bulrush (*Schoenoplectus hallii*)

Hall's bulrush is a wetland species known from the eastern and Midwestern United States. It reaches its westernmost American distribution in Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Kansas. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Harrington's beardtongue (*Penstemon harringtonii*)

Harrington's beardtongue is a local endemic known from a roughly 80 by 50 mile swath in Eagle, Garfield, Grand, Pitkin, Routt, and Summit counties of northwestern Colorado (Spackman-Panjabi and Anderson 2006). The species has not been documented outside of this narrow distribution and thus is not expected on the Forest.

James' beardtongue (*Penstemon jamesii*)

James' beardtongue is known from New Mexico, Colorado, Texas, and Kansas. It inhabits shortgrass prairie occupied by grama grass (*Bouteloua* spp.), buffalo grass (*Buchloe dactyloides*), sand dropseed (*Sporobolus cryptandrus*), and Indian ricegrass (*Achnatherum hymenoides*) (Heil and Bleakley 2006). The single Colorado collection made in 1948 is from southeast Las Animas County (Heil and Bleakley 2006), and the species has not been seen in Colorado since. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Laramie columbine (*Aquilegia laramiensis*)

Laramie columbine is a narrow endemic known only from the Medicine Bow Mountains of southeastern Wyoming (Marriott and Pokorny 2006). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Larchleaf beardtongue (*Penstemon laricifolius* ssp. *exilifolius*)

Larchleaf beardtongue is known from Wyoming and northern Colorado. In Colorado, it is found on dry grasslands in Larimer County. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Largeflower goldenweed (*Pyrrocoma carthamoides* var. *subsquarrosa*)

Largeflower goldenweed is endemic to the foothills of the Absaroka Mountains of Wyoming, and the Beartooth and Pryor mountains of Montana (Beatty et al. 2004b). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Largeflower triteleia (*Triteleia grandiflora*)

Largeflower triteleia is known from British Columbia, the Pacific Northwest, California, Wyoming, Utah, and Colorado. In Colorado, it is known from a single collection in the far southwestern portion of the state (Montezuma County). The plant is unranked in Utah (suggesting it is more common there), while it is ranked S1 in Colorado (NatureServe 2006). It is unlikely that a disjunct population would be found over the Continental Divide. Thus, the species is not expected on the Forest. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Leathery grapefern (*Botrychium multifidum*)

Leathery grapefern in Colorado is found in mountain meadows at elevations ranging from 6,700 to 9,900 ft. The Colorado distribution is in the mountains of the north-central portion of the state, ranging from Larimer to Routt counties. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Lesser round-leaved orchid (*Platanthera orbiculata*)

Lesser round-leaved orchid is known from the eastern U.S., upper Midwest, Pacific Northwest, Canada, and Alaska. It reaches its southernmost Rocky Mountain distribution in Wyoming. It has never been documented from Colorado and is not expected to occur in the southern portion of the state. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Many-stemmed goldenweed (*Pyrrocoma integrifolia*)

Ladyman (2006c) states “*Pyrrocoma integrifolia* (many-stemmed goldenweed) is a regional endemic restricted to southwestern Montana, east-central Idaho, and northwestern Wyoming.” Thus, it is not expected in south-central Colorado. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Missouri milkvetch (*Astragalus missouriensis* var. *humistratus*)

Decker (2006a) states “*Astragalus missouriensis* var. *humistratus* (Missouri milkvetch) is a local endemic plant whose global distribution is limited to the upper basin of the San Juan River in southwestern Colorado and northwestern New Mexico.” It is unlikely that a local endemic would be disjunct over the crest of the Continental Divide. Thus, the species is not expected on the Forest. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Mountain lady’s slipper (*Cypripedium montanum*)

Mountain lady’s slipper is known from Alaska, Canada, the Pacific Northwest, California, and Wyoming. It reaches its southernmost Rocky Mountain distribution in Wyoming and has not been documented in Colorado. The Forest is outside the known range of the species, thus, it is not expected.

Pagosa bladderpod (*Lesquerella pruinos*)

Pagosa bladderpod is endemic to southwestern Colorado (Archuleta and Hinsdale counties) and adjacent New Mexico. It is a substrate specialist mostly found on outcrops of Mancos shale near Pagosa Springs, Colorado (Anderson 2006b). The species is not expected on the Forest due to the lack of appropriate substrates. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Pagosa gilia (*Ipomopsis polyantha*)

Anderson (2004b) states that “*Ipomopsis polyantha* (Rydberg) V. Grant (Pagosa ipomopsis) is an extremely narrow endemic whose global distribution is limited to a 13-mile range on outcrops of Upper Cretaceous Mancos Shale in Archuleta County, Colorado. The species is not expected on the Forest due to the lack of appropriate substrates.

Peculiar moonwort (*Botrychium paradoxum*)

Peculiar moonwort ranges from British Columbia to Saskatchewan south to Utah and Montana (Flora of North America Editorial Committee 1993). It has recently been found on the Bighorn

National Forest in Wyoming. It is not known to occur in Colorado, so it is not likely to be present on the Forest.

Rabbit ears gilia (*Ipomopsis aggregata* ssp. *weberi*)

Rabbit ears gilia is a narrow endemic known from the Park Range in Colorado (Grand and Routt counties) and the Sierra Madre Range in Wyoming (Ladyman 2004b). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Ripley's milkvetch (*Astragalus ripleyi*)

This milkvetch is a perennial herb of the bean family (Fabaceae). Flowering occurs from June into July, with fruit remaining on the plant through October (Ladyman 2003a). The known distribution includes Taos and Rio Arriba counties of New Mexico and Conejos County in Colorado. The species inhabits a variety of habitat types, including ponderosa pine/Arizona fescue, piñon-juniper, edges of mixed conifer forest, pine-Gambel oak, and rabbitbrush and occurs exclusively on volcanic-derived soils" (Ladyman 2003a). The currently known or expected range of this species does not include the Forest.

Rocky Mountain alpineparsley (*Oreoxis humilis*)

Rocky Mountain alpineparsley is endemic to the Pike's Peak region of Colorado on the Pike National Forest where it grows on granitic soils in alpine and subalpine zones. The species has been found on Pikes Peak and Windy Point granites (Beatty et al. 2004). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Rocky Mountain thistle (*Cirsium perplexans*)

Rocky Mountain thistle is a western Colorado endemic limited to Delta, Garfield, Gunnison, Mesa, Montrose, and Ouray counties. The species is found almost exclusively on clay soils derived from shales of the Mancos or Wasatch formations (Spackman-Panjabi and Anderson 2004). It is unlikely that the species would be disjunct by hundreds of miles over the Continental Divide and appropriate habitat is lacking on the Forest. Thus, it is not expected on the Forest. The appropriate geologic substrates are lacking on the Forest, making the occurrence of this species unlikely.

Roundleaf orchid (*Amerorchis rotundifolia*)

In Region 2, roundleaf orchid is known only from two occurrences in Wyoming (Handley and Heidel 2005). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Sandhill goosefoot (*Chenopodium cycloides*)

The primary range of sandhill goosefoot covers the area where the Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Texas state boundaries converge at elevations ranging from 4,000 to 5,500 ft. It is known to occur on Cimarron National Grasslands (CNPS 1997, Spackman et al. 1997) but has not been documented from the Comanche National Grasslands (Ladyman 2006b). It grows on sandy soils, most often on vegetated dunes surrounding blowouts. Such habitat is lacking on the Forest and it is outside the known range of the species.

Shoshone carrot (*Shoshonea pulvinata*)

Shoshone carrot is a calciphilous (calcium or limestone-loving) species known from southern Montana and northwestern Wyoming (Ladyman 2005c). It is unlikely to be disjunct by hundreds of miles in southern Colorado. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Stonecrop gilia (*Gilia sedifolia*)

Stonecrop gilia is known from only two locations on the San Juan National Forest. It has been found only on talus slopes composed of ash-flow tuff (Anderson 2004a). No such habitat exists on the Forest.

Sun-loving meadow-rue (*Thalictrum heliophilum*)

Sun-loving meadow-rue is a Colorado endemic of western Colorado known from Rio Blanco, Garfield, and Mesa counties. It inhabits steep talus slopes of the Green River Formation (Spackman et al. 1997). The species is unlikely to be disjunct by hundreds of miles over the Continental Divide, and appropriate habitat is lacking on the Forest. Thus, the species is not expected here. There are no appropriate geologic formations on the Forest where this species would be expected to occur.

Trianglelobe moonwort (*Botrychium ascendens*)

Trianglelobe moonwort is found from Alaska to Montana south to California and Nevada (Flora of North America Editorial Committee 1993+). It is not known to occur in Colorado, so is unlikely to occur on the Forest.

Tranquil goldenweed (*Pyrrocoma clementis* var. *villosa*)

Tranquil goldenweed is a Wyoming endemic never documented from Colorado. It is unlikely to be disjunct by hundreds of miles in southern Colorado. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Wetherill's milkvetch (*Astragalus wetherillii*)

Wetherill's milkvetch is an endemic species of far western Colorado known from Moffat, Garfield, Mesa, Delta, Montrose, Ouray, and San Miguel counties. It inhabits piñon-juniper woodland, and big-sage and saltbush shrublands. Although piñon-juniper woodland is a common habitat on the Forest and big-sage shrublands are known from the Forest, it is unlikely that the species would be disjunct from far western Colorado over the Continental Divide. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Wind River tansymustard (*Descurainia torulosa*)

Wind River tansymustard is a Wyoming endemic never documented from Colorado. It is unlikely to be disjunct by hundreds of miles in southern Colorado. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Winding mariposa lily (*Calochortus flexuosus*)

Winding mariposa lily inhabits desert flats, dry slopes, and plains. In Colorado, it is known only from Montezuma, Dolores, San Miguel, and Montrose counties where it reaches its easternmost distribution (Panjabi and Anderson 2006). It is unlikely to be disjunct over the Continental Divide. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Wisher's buckwheat (*Eriogonum visher*)

Wisher's buckwheat is known from Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota. It has never been documented from Colorado and it is unlikely to be disjunct by hundreds of miles in southern Colorado. The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

Yellow widelip orchid (*Liparis loeselii*)

The core range of yellow widelip orchid is the northeastern U.S. and upper Midwest with disjunct populations scattered in the southeastern U.S., Pacific Northwest, and western Canada. Although potential habitat exists on the Forest, the species is not known from the state of Colorado (USDA NRCS 2007, Magrath 2003). The nearest known location is central Nebraska and there are historic records in Kansas where it is presumed extinct (NatureServe 2003). The Forest is outside the known range of the species.

INVERTEBRATES**Cooper's Rocky Mountain snail (*Oreohelix strigosa cooperi*)**

Cooper's Rocky Mountain snail is thought to be endemic to the Black Hills in Wyoming and South Dakota (NatureServe 2006). There are no known or expected populations on the Forest and it is outside of the known distributional range for this species.

Nokomis fritillary (*Speyeria nokomis nokomis*)

Nokomis fritillary butterfly is known to occur in SW Colorado and adjacent states in the Four Corners Region. They are found in streamside meadows and open seepage areas with an abundance of violets in generally desert landscapes. Colonies are often isolated in riparian wetland areas. There are no known or expected populations on the Forest and it is outside of the known distributional range for this species.

Ottoe skipper (*Hesperia ottoe*)

Ottoe skipper is strictly a species of prairie habitat. They inhabit mid-grass to tall grass undisturbed prairies on the Great Plains (apparently restricted to the drier prairies), and dry fields and prairies, including sand prairies near the Great Lakes (NatureServe 2006). Along the Colorado Front Range, it is found in association with stands of *Andropogon gerardii* in isolated stands along the low foothills. The Forest is outside of the known distributional range for this species and there are no known or expected populations on the Forest.

Regal fritillary butterfly (*Speyeria idalia*)

Regal fritillary butterfly is associated with mesic prairie environments. The adults of this species emerge mid-June to mid-September in wet meadows and marshlands where they lay their eggs on dead vegetation. The larvae overwinter as hatchlings and are nocturnal feeders of violets in the spring. The regal fritillary butterfly may occur in the following Colorado counties: Douglas, El Paso, and Jefferson; however, there are no known or expected populations on the Forest.

FISH

Bluehead sucker (*Catostomus discobolus*)

Within Colorado, *C. discobolus* is found in most major tributaries of the Upper Colorado River Basin (Ptacek et al. 2005). Holden and Stalnaker (1975) found *C. discobolus* to be common to abundant at sample locations in the Yampa, Gunnison, middle to upper Green and Colorado rivers. Bluehead suckers occupy a wide range of fluvial habitats including cold, clear mountain streams to warm, turbid streams. Adults typically are found in moderate to fast flowing water above rubble-rock substrate; young prefer quiet shallow areas near shoreline (NatureServe 2006). Because *C. discobolus* is restricted to the Colorado River basin, it is geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and the San Isabel NF. Therefore, Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *C. discobolus*.

Colorado River cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki pleuriticus*)

Historically distributed in streams and rivers of the Colorado River Basin throughout the colder headwaters of the Green and Colorado rivers as far south as the San Juan River; perhaps occupied portions of the lower reaches of large rivers in winter (Young 1995). Currently limited to a few small headwater streams of the Green and upper Colorado rivers in Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming, including the Escalante River drainage in southern Utah. As a result of stocking, occurs also in several high elevation lakes in the Rocky Mountains; most of these populations are not self-sustaining due to lack of adequate spawning streams (Spahr et al. 1991). Requires cool, clear water and well-vegetated streambanks for cover and bank stability; instream cover in the form of deep pools and boulders and logs also is important; adapted to relatively cold water, thrives at high elevations (Spahr et al. 1991, Young 1995). Most remaining populations are fluvial or resident (Young 1995). Occurs also in lakes (Trappers Lake in Colorado formerly had the largest pure population; now hybridized with rainbow trout) (NatureServe 2006). Adfluvial populations largely have been eliminated, though reestablished lacustrine stocks have been reported in Wyoming and Rocky Mountain National Park in Colorado (Young 1995). Eggs are laid in clean gravel beds in cool flowing water. They may sometimes spawn in intermittent streams. Spawners may quickly return to mainstem streams after spawning or may remain in tributaries until at least mid-summer. Fry may migrate to a lake or mainstem river in late summer; some fry may winter in tributaries (Young 1995). Because *G. elegans* is restricted to the Colorado River basin, it is geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and the San Isabel NF. Therefore, Forest activities are not expected to impact or otherwise affect the status of *G. elegans*.

Finescale dace (*Phoxinus neogaeus*)

The finescale dace has a strong habitat preference for sluggish, spring-fed streams with abundant vegetation and woody debris. They can also be found in small, spring-fed lakes and bogs characterized by a series of beaver ponds filled with a constant supply of ground water (Stasiak and Cunningham 2006). A critical component of their habitat is the exclusion of large predatory fishes. In Region 2, *P. neogaeus* has only been found in Nebraska, South Dakota and Wyoming. The species is not present in Kansas and only the hybrid *P. neogaeus* X *P. eos* is found in Colorado (Stasiak and Cunningham 2006). Suitable habitat may exist on the Forest, however, and the FS, CDOW, FWS as well as other stakeholders conduct intensive annual fish surveys. Thus far *P. neogaeus* has never been documented in or adjacent to FS-managed lands. Therefore, *P. neogaeus*

is not expected on the Forest and activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *P. neogaeus*.

Flannelmouth sucker (*Catostomus latipinnis*)

The flannelmouth sucker is distributed widely in medium to large rivers of the upper Colorado River Basin, which includes the mainstem Colorado River and numerous tributaries that drain a large portion of Colorado (Rees et al. 2005a). The flannelmouth sucker is seldom in small creeks and is absent from water impoundments. Because *C. latipinnis* distribution is restricted to the Colorado River basin, it is geographically isolated from both the Arkansas River Basin and the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *C. latipinnis*.

Flathead chub (*Platygobio gracilis*)

The flathead chub is found in the western drainages of the Mississippi River bounded by the Rocky Mountains to the west (Rahel and Thel 2004a). Colorado populations of the flathead chub are restricted to the Arkansas and Rio Grande rivers in the southern part of the state. Flathead chubs historically occurred in the Arkansas River up to Salida, Colorado, but specimens are no longer found upstream of the large water diversion near Florence, Colorado (Woodling 1985). Salida and Florence are near the Forest's administrative boundaries. Because of the close proximity of the Forest to these historic collection sites, suitable habitat on Forest-managed lands may exist. However, extensive sampling of Forest streams occurs annually by the CDOW, FWS, FS and other stakeholders in and near the Arkansas River where previous collections occurred. No flathead chub specimens have been collected from these sampling efforts. Woodling (1985) speculates that *P. gracilis* has likely been extirpated from the Arkansas River due to pollution from mining combined with the large diversion structure at Florence preventing re-colonization, even though water quality has improved. Flathead chub are not expected on the Forest, and Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *P. gracilis*.

Hornyhead chub (*Nocomis biguttatus*)

The hornyhead chub is usually found in small to medium sized, warm water streams with abundant aquatic vegetation, but is rarely found in lakes or large rivers (Miller et al. 2005). The distribution of *N. biguttatus* was primarily plains streams and rivers of North America, prior to the Pleistocene glaciation period (Miller et al. 2005). The advance and retreat of glaciers is likely responsible for isolated populations in Region 2, specifically the Platte River in Colorado. However, Propst and Carlson (1986) conducted a survey of the Platte River basin Colorado from 1978-1980 and concluded that hornyhead chub had been extirpated from that riverine system. Also, no hydrologic connection exists between the Platte River basin and the Arkansas River basin near the Forest. Therefore, hornyhead chub are not expected to occur in streams or rivers on the Forest, and Forest activities will not affect or impact the status of *N. biguttatus*.

Lake chub (*Couesius plumbeus*)

In Canada and the Great Lakes, the lake chub prefer the clear waters and gravel bottoms of glacial scour lakes and tributary rivers that feed into them (Stasiak 2006a). In the Great Plains, habitat preference is markedly different (Bestgen 1991). There, populations are often confined to small first order streams and cool spring seeps. In the Region 2, the southern most limits of *C. plumbeus* are approximately 40° N Latitude and roughly the northern one-third portion of Colorado. Within Colorado, the species was historically limited to Platte River drainage. Woodling (1985) listed the

species as extirpated in Colorado. However, Bestgen (1991) rediscovered a single specimen in a tributary of the Platte River. The Platte River Basin is both geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and Forest, thus no hydrologic connection exists between the drainages. Therefore, lake chub are not expected to occur in streams or rivers on the Forest, and Forest activities will not affect or impact their status.

Mountain sucker (*Catostomus platyrhynchus*)

Mountain sucker primarily occur in lotic waters, from small montane streams to large rivers (Belica and Nibbelink (2006). Mountain sucker have also been found in lentic habitats including lakes and reservoirs. In Region 2, mountain sucker are most common in low gradient stream reaches in meadows (K. Foster personal communication 2006). Among the five states in Region 2, the distribution of mountain sucker is most widespread in Wyoming where it is considered common in all drainages west of the Continental Divide. In Colorado, mountain sucker populations are found in the northwestern part of the state. On the Forest, mountain sucker have not been documented and are not expected to occur based on intensive fish sampling efforts conducted by the CDOW, FS, FWS and other stakeholders. Therefore, Forest activities are not expected to impact or otherwise affect the status of *C. platyrhynchus*.

Northern redbelly dace (*Phoxinus eos*)

The northern redbelly dace has a strong habitat preference for sluggish, spring-fed streams with abundant aquatic vegetation and woody debris (Stasiak 2006b). Northern red-belly dace are native to the South Platte River Basin. In recent years, only five specimens of the northern red-belly dace have been collected in Colorado. The Platte River Basin is geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *P. eos*.

Pearl dace (*Margariscus margarita*)

Pearl dace have a strong habitat preference for slow moving, spring fed streams with a sinuous channel, well vegetated undercut banks and a diverse array of pool habitats (Cunningham 2006). In the five Region 2 states, pearl dace are only known from Wyoming, South Dakota and Nebraska including the Platte River system. Intensive fish sampling of streams on the Forest have not documented pearl dace and they also have never been documented in Colorado.

Plains minnow (*Hybognathus placitus*)

Plains minnows are found in low gradient silt-laden large rivers and streams, with slower water, and side pools of silty streams. They are moderately widespread in streams in the prairies of central North America from Texas into Canada. There have been substantial declines in some areas (Kansas, Nebraska, Missouri, and portions of Oklahoma) in abundance and distribution; declining in the southern half of range and apparently stable in the northern portions of range (NatureServe 2006). There is no habitat present on the Forest, and plains minnow is not expected to occur on or adjacent to the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of plains minnow.

Rio Grande chub (*Gila pandora*)

This species is most common in flowing shallow pools of headwaters, creeks, and small rivers, often near inflow of riffles and in association with cover such as undercut banks and plant debris. They are also found in impoundments, and frequently associated with aquatic vegetation. Their historic and current distribution is limited to the Rio Grande Basin of southern Colorado and New Mexico (NatureServe 2006). The Rio Grande Basin is geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not impact or otherwise affect the status of *G. pandora*.

Rio Grande cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki virginalis*)

Prior to the arrival of Europeans in the American West, Rio Grande cutthroat trout likely occupied a variety of fluvial habitats ranging from first-order streams to the Rio Grande mainstem (Pritchard and Cowley 2006). Today however, the presence of non-native trout has excluded *O. clarki virginalis* from most suitable habitat, restricting them to small, high elevation streams (Behnke 1992). Rio Grande cutthroat trout are known to be native to the Rio Grande and Pecos River drainages of northern New Mexico and southern Colorado. Because there are no hydrologic connections between the Rio Grande and Pecos River drainages and the Arkansas River Basin, Rio Grande cutthroat trout are not expected on the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not affect or impact their status.

Rio Grande sucker (*Catostomus plebeius*)

Little information is available regarding the habitat requirements of *C. plebeius*. While some habitat associations have been reported, there is a great need to study seasonal and life-history habitat requirements (Rees and Miller 2005). The Rio Grande sucker is endemic to the Rio Grande Basin in Colorado and New Mexico. Historically it was common throughout the Rio Grande and associated tributaries. Because there is no hydrologic connection between the Rio Grande Basin and the Arkansas River Basin, Rio Grande sucker is not expected on the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities will not affect or impact their status.

Roundtail chub (*Gila robusta robusta*)

Roundtail chub evolved in the Colorado River Basin (Rees et al. 2005b). Most reaches of this system transport heavy sediment loads from high annual peak flows, contrasted with low base flows. Little is known about the specific influence of these annual events, but healthy roundtail chub populations have persisted in habitats with a wide range annual flows, sediment transport, and even sediment deposition, providing that these events are associated with a natural flow regime (Rees et al. 2005b). The roundtail chub is endemic to the Colorado River Basin in Colorado and Wyoming. Historically, *G. robusta robusta* was known to commonly occur in medium to large tributaries of the Upper Colorado River Basin (Holden and Stalnaker 1975). The Colorado River Basin is geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and, therefore, roundtail chub are not expected on the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities are not expected to impact or otherwise affect the status of *G. robusta robusta*.

Southern red-belly dace (*Phoxinus erythrogaster*)

Southern redbelly dace have a strong preference for sluggish headwaters and spring fed upland creeks with vegetation (primarily watercress) and woody debris (Cross 1967). They also prefer clear water with a substrate of sand or gravel (Stasiak 2007). The southern redbelly dace is widely distributed throughout the Mississippi, Ohio and Missouri River drainages in the United States. In Region 2, *P. erythrogaster* is restricted to small, scattered populations in headwater tributaries of the Missouri River in South Dakota, Kansas and Colorado. In Colorado, populations occur in the headwaters of the Arkansas River near Pueblo and Cañon City. Cañon City is located in south-central Colorado approximately 30 miles west of Pueblo, adjacent to the San Carlos Ranger District. Stream habitat in and near Cañon City is more similar to prairie stream habitats of the central United States (low gradient, sandy bottoms and aquatic vegetation) rather than the high gradient, high elevation, cold-water mountainous streams of the Forest. Because of the contrast in habitat types between streams in the Cañon City area and adjacent streams on NF lands, and the lack of suitable habitat on NF lands, we do not expect *P. erythrogaster* to occupy streams on the Forest. Also, the FS conducts intensive annual fish surveys on the Forest, along with CDOW, FWS and other stakeholders; and *P. erythrogaster* has never been documented to occur.

Sturgeon chub (*Macrhybopsis gelida*)

Sturgeon chub prefer large, turbid rivers of the Great Plains region of the United States (Rahel and Thel 2004b). Sturgeon chub are most abundant in main channel or in association with sand or gravel bars; but are seldom found in backwater areas (Rahel and Thel 2004b). Sturgeon chubs occur in the mainstem of the Yellowstone, Missouri and Mississippi rivers in the central portion of the United States, primarily in Montana, Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas, Illinois, Kentucky and Missouri. Sturgeon chubs have never been documented in the Colorado and are, therefore, not expected to occur on the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities are not expected to impact or otherwise affect the status of sturgeon chub.

Yellowstone cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki bouvieri*)

Yellowstone cutthroat trout occupy a wide range of fluvial habitats including rivers, creeks, beaver ponds and large lakes. Optimum water temperature for *O. clarki bouvieri* is generally between 4.5-15.5 C, but tolerance of much warmer temperatures probably occurred historically in larger rivers (now mostly extirpated), and warm-water populations occur currently in some geothermally heated streams, though the fishes there may rely on thermal refugia (Gresswell 1995). Yellowstone cutthroat trout are primarily restricted to the upper Yellowstone River and upper Snake River drainages in Montana, Wyoming, and Idaho (NatureServe 2006). Because of this, they are geographically and topographically isolated from the Arkansas River Basin and the Forest. Therefore, Forest activities are not expected to impact or otherwise affect the status of *O. clarki bouvieri*.

AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES**Black hills redbelly (*Storeria occipitomaculata pahasapae*)**

The known distribution of this species is limited to the Black Hills region of South Dakota and Wyoming (NatureServe 2006). Redbelly snakes are animals of moist, forested habitats, although some have been taken in moist grassland habitats. There is no habitat present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to the Forest. The Forest is well outside the known range of the species.

Columbia spotted frog (*Rana luteiventris*)

Breeds usually in shallow water in ponds or other quiet waters in the Northern Rockies and Pacific Northwest (AK, ID, MT, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY) (NatureServe 2006). Suitable habitat includes riparian/riverine corridors, wetlands, and wetland/upland mosaics in which wetland patches are separated by less than 1 km of upland habitat; it also includes any upland habitat regularly used for feeding or wintering (e.g., mesic forest for wood frogs). This species is considered highly aquatic, thus rarely found far from permanent quiet water. These habitats usually occur at the margins of streams, lakes, ponds, springs, and marshes (NatureServe 2006). The Forest is well outside the known range of the species. There is no habitat present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to the Forest.

Massasauga (*Sistrurus catenatus*)

This species occurs in southeastern Colorado at elevations below 5,500 ft. and inhabits plains grassland and sandhill areas (Hammerson 1999). The Forest is well outside the known range of the species and it is outside of the elevation range of this species. Use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*)

This frog inhabits various forest and woodland habitats including edges of ponds and streams, willow thickets, and grassland, willow, and aspen communities. Suitable habitat includes riparian and riverine corridors, wetlands, and wetland/upland mosaics in which wetland patches are separated by less than 1 km of upland habitat; it also includes any upland habitat regularly used for feeding or wintering. This species ranges in the eastern U.S into Canada. The Forest is well outside the known range of the species.

BIRDS**American bittern (*Botaurus lentiginosus*)**

This species is a summer resident on the eastern plains of Colorado and in mountain parks. It inhabits larger ($\geq 7\frac{1}{2}$ acres) cattail marshes or wetlands with tall emergent vegetation and occasionally ventures into adjacent wet meadows, “rarely breeding on wetlands smaller than 3 hectares” (Wiggins 2006). This elusive bird is most active at dusk and night. The bittern is known to occur on the Great Plains, including the Lower Arkansas River drainage provides a portion of the primary range in Colorado. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Black tern (*Chlidonias niger*)

A spring migrant on the eastern plains and mountain parks in Colorado, the black tern is associated with aquatic habitats that have emergent vegetation, such as cattail marshes, with adjacent large open water in every county except Teller. It resides in Otero, Pueblo, and Park counties and the southeast portion of Fremont County in the summer where it usually nests in small colonies on floating vegetation. The R2 species assessment prepared for this bird (Naugle 2004) shows that the most recent Colorado breeding records are from the late 19th century, which was extirpated from the San Juan Mountains over 100 years ago. The tern is known to occur in the San Luis Valley and incidentally along the Arkansas River, but not on Forest-managed lands. Suitable habitat is not

present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to the Forest. The Forest is outside the known range of the species

Black-backed woodpecker (*Picoides arcticus*)

This species is associated with boreal and montane coniferous forests, especially in areas with standing dead trees such as burns, bogs, and windfalls; less frequently in mixed forest and rarely in winter in deciduous woodland (AOU 1983). Distribution is closely associated with closed boreal forests and montane coniferous forests. The southern limits are Wyoming, South Dakota, and Idaho in the Rocky Mountain region. They are extremely restricted in its use of habitat types and are strongly associated with recently burned forests (Raphael and White 1984). The Forest is outside of their distributional range; therefore, use is not expected on or adjacent to the Forest.

Burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*)

This owl nests primarily in rodent burrows in grasslands, shrublands, deserts and grassy urban areas (Kingery 1998). Most observations occur in the eastern third of Colorado, with only two incidental observations made in the mountains outside parks (Andrews and Righter 1992). More than 70% of Colorado atlas sightings occurred in shortgrass prairie (Kingery 1998).

Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Cassin's sparrow (*Aimophila cassinii*)

This sparrow inhabits various open, arid grasslands and shortgrass prairies. Breeds primarily in rabbitbrush and sandsage grasslands; avoids both pure grasslands that lack shrubs and shrublands that lack grass (Faanes et al. 1979 in Andrew and Righter 1992). A common summer resident on the eastern plains (Andrew and Righter 1992), these birds stay well east of the mountains at elevations under 5,200 ft (Kingery 1998). Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Chestnut-collared longspur (*Calcarius ornatus*)

In Colorado these birds were only located in plains grasslands well east of the mountains (Kingery 1998). Breeding evidence was only documented in 1% (14) of 1,745 priority blocks surveyed—all occurring in the northeastern part of Colorado (Kingery 1998). They breed in local patches of slightly tall shortgrass prairie and introduced grasses (Andrew and Righter 1992). This species is fairly common on eastern and northeastern plains, but is rare west to base of foothills and accidental in mountains (Andrew and Righter 1992). Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Columbia sharp-tailed grouse (*Tympanuchus phasianellus columbianus*)

This species is limited to western Colorado, northeastern Utah, western Wyoming, extreme western Montana, northern Nevada, northwestern California, eastern Oregon, eastern Washington, Idaho, and southeastern British Columbia (Spomer 1987). They are found in native bunchgrass and shrub-steppe communities. In western Idaho, they prefer big sagebrush habitats with moderate vegetative cover, high plant species diversity, and high structural diversity; in general selected vegetative communities that were least modified by livestock grazing (Saab and Marks 1992). Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to the Forest.

Ferruginous hawk (*Buteo regalis*)

Optimum habitat for this species is characterized as vast expanses of grasslands and shrublands with varied topography, including hills, ridges, and valleys (Ensign 1983). Trees or similar structures are typically selected for nest sites when available, however, they also nest on rock pinnacles or on the ground. Ferruginous hawks usually nest within about ½-mile of their primary hunting areas (Olendorff 1973). Habitat vegetation density appears to be critical in their choice of hunting sites. They primarily feed on small to medium sized mammals. Winter habitat use is often concentrated near prairie dog towns. They hunt from the air and elevated perches. They begin laying eggs from mid-March to early April (Kingery 1998). During the egg incubation period, ferruginous hawks appear sensitive to human activity. Human visits of their nest usually cause abandonment (Olendorff 1973, Fitzner et al. 1977). Major types of disturbance occurring at nest sites are from off-road vehicle (ORV) recreation, farming, military activities, and persons present at the nest site whose activities are directed at the birds (Allen et al. 1987). Successfully nesting ferruginous hawks are found on large expanses of undisturbed areas. This species is a fairly common winter resident on eastern plains; it is uncommon to rare in western valleys and mountain parks (Andrews and Righter 1992). Although this species is endemic to the grasslands and shrub-steppe areas of western North America, they have also been documented in piñon-juniper woodlands. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Grasshopper sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*)

In Colorado, grasshopper sparrows almost exclusively prefer the prairie grasses or grasslands with rabbitbrush or saltbrush, but avoid extensive shrublands (Kingery 1998, Andrews and Righter 1992). All breeding evidence is well east of the Salida district (Kingery 1998), mostly from Morgan and Otero counties eastward (Andrews and Righter 1992). Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Greater prairie-chicken (*Tympanuchus cupido*)

They are restricted to tall grasslands (prairies), occasionally they are found in cultivated lands and formerly in eastern (fire-maintained) grassland and blueberry barrens (AOU 1983). They nest in grasslands and prairies, pastures, and hayfields. Nests are a shallow scrape lined with grasses and other available vegetation (NatureServe 2006). Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Greater sage grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*)

Depend totally upon sagebrush-dominated habitats and prefer large contiguous areas of sagebrush on flat or gently rolling terrain. Most of the reports are located in the northwest corner of the state. Historically, they occurred in Lake and Chaffee counties, but were extirpated in the early 1900's (Andrews and Righter 1992). Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Harlequin duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*)

This duck was once a summer resident and possibly occurred in Park and El Paso counties. A small breeding population historically occurred in the mountains, but apparently became extinct in the 1880's. The harlequin duck breeds along swift, turbulent mountain streams with a high macroinvertebrate food source and dense riparian vegetation. Presently, the harlequin duck breeds

on inland mountain streams and winters along the Pacific Coast. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Lesser prairie-chicken (*Tympanuchus pallidicinctus*)

This bird is an uncommon resident in extreme southeastern Colorado. It occupies sandsage and sandsage-bluestem grassland plant communities. A population of the lesser prairie chicken was transplanted in Pueblo County but naturally occurs in southeastern Colorado, primarily in Baca County and southwestern Kansas, including the Comanche and Cimarron National Grasslands. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Long-billed curlew (*Numenius americanus*)

This species occurs as a summer resident on the southeastern plains including the Comanche National Grassland. It historically occurred in mountain parks and valleys, including South Park, where it still may occur as a migrant. The curlew is now found primarily in plains grasslands and sometimes in wheat fields or fallow fields and nests close to standing water. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

McCown's longspur (*Calcarius mccownii*)

In Colorado, McCown's longspurs were only located by surveyors in plains grasslands well east of the mountains (Kingery 1998). Northern Weld County in northeastern Colorado is the center of breeding in the state. McCown's longspurs select the shortgrass and grazed mixed grass prairies of the Great Plains. They are considered rare west to the base of the foothills and accidental in mountains (Andrews and Righter 1992). Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Mountain plover (*Charadrius montanus*)

This species is found in short-grasslands, occurring on primarily on flat areas with short grass and scattered cactus, generally avoiding taller grasses and hillsides (Graul 1975). Suitable areas may also occur near active prairie dog towns (Knowles et al. 1982). Migrants sometimes occur on dry mudflats and shorelines of dry reservoirs (Andrews and Righter 1992). They occur primarily on the eastern plains of the state, and occasionally elsewhere (e.g., San Luis Valley, Park County). The Forest is outside of the plover's known distribution. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Sage sparrow (*Amphispiza belli*)

High-country sagebrush and plains sandsage do not make suitable nesting habitat, nor do sagebrush parks of 30 acres or less (Kingery 1998). Sage sparrows do not nest as high as their obligate plant, sagebrush, grows. Most breeding takes place in the northwestern and western part of the state, but also in the lower San Luis Valley. Records in the San Luis Valley show they nest up to 8,400 ft. There are no breeding records on the Forest. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Short-eared owl (*Asio flammeus*)

It occurs in short-grass grasslands, agricultural areas, and marshes. This species is rarely observed in sagebrush shrubland or piñon-juniper woodland. They are a rare resident in the San Luis Valley and eastern plains and rare migrant and nonbreeding summer resident in other mountain parks. This

species may be locally uncommon or fairly common in winter because status in western valleys is poorly known. It is accidental in the mountains outside parks (three records). This species appears to be declining in Colorado, and its distribution is very spotty, especially in the western part of the state. It is on the National Audubon Society Blue List (Tate 1986 in CNDIS 2004). The Forest is outside of the known distributional range for this species. Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

Trumpeter swan (*Cygnus buccinator*)

They are found in freshwater ponds, lakes, and marshes, having reeds, sedges, or similar emergent vegetation. They occasionally use in brackish situations, wintering on open ponds, lakes and sheltered bays and estuaries (AOU 1983). In the intermountain western U.S., they winter in areas of geothermal activity, springs, and dam outflows (Spahr et al. 1991). Primarily breeds in freshwater, on edges of large inland waters; typically in emergent marsh vegetation, or on a muskrat house, beaver lodge, or island (NatureServe 2006). The Forest is outside of the known distributional range for this species. Use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

MAMMALS

Black-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys ludovicianus*)

This prairie dog forms larger colonies or “towns” in shortgrass or mixed prairie. They consume large quantities of annual forbs and native grasses. Grasses and sedges are preferred. During late fall, winter, and spring, they frequently dig and eat roots of forbs and grasses. Their habits of clipping vegetation, consuming roots, and moving dirt for crater mounds leads to substantial changes in the vegetation composition found in the colony areas versus areas surrounding the prairie dog town. Black-tailed prairie dogs are diurnal and are active above ground year-round. Above-ground activity periods are bimodal in the warmer months and diminish in wintertime by as much as 45 percent. Predators include, badgers, coyotes, foxes (*Vulpes* and *Urocyon* spp.), bobcats, black-footed ferrets (*Mustela nigripes*), rattlesnakes (*Crotalus* spp.), red-tailed and ferruginous hawks (*Buteo regalis*), and golden eagles (Fitzgerald et al. 1994). The nearest known colony is 6 miles from the Forest boundary. The Forest lies on the western edge of their distributional range and contains poor black-tailed prairie dog habitat due to the isolated and fragmented nature of its grasslands. For these reasons, it is unlikely that black tailed prairie dogs would occur on the Forest.

Kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis*)

This species is restricted to the western slope of Colorado, south-central Arizona, western New Mexico, and the Great Basin of the western U.S. They inhabit primarily semi-desert shrub and shrubsteppe habitats throughout its range in Colorado. They appear to prefer scattered short shrubs and sparse herbaceous vegetation (Boyle and Reeder 2005). The Forest is outside of the known distributional range for the kit fox (Boyle and Reeder 2005) and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

River otter (*Lontra canadensis*)

They occur in streams, lakes, reservoirs, wetlands and marine coasts. River otters were extirpated from Colorado due to unregulated fur harvest and habitat destruction during the 1800’s (Boyle 2006). Regulation of trapping, improved water quality and intensive management activities including reintroductions, have occurred in and otter populations appear to be established in parts of

their former range. In Colorado, otters formerly occupied both the upper and lower reaches of the Arkansas River (Armstrong 1972). Current populations in Colorado are located in the Colorado River (Rocky Mountain National Park), Gunnison River (Delta and Montrose counties), Piedra River (Archuleta County), Dolores River (Dolores County) and the Green River (northwestern Colorado) (Boyle 2006). An unsuccessful reintroduction was attempted at Cheesman Reservoir on the South Platte River (CDOW 2003). For more information about this species see the *North American River Otter (Lontra canadensis): A Technical Conservation Assessment* prepared for the USDA, Region 2 Species Conservation Project (Boyle 2006). Though suitable habitat may occur, known otter populations are far outside Forest-managed lands. It is not expected that otters would occur on the Forest unless reintroductions occurred in the Arkansas River.

Spotted bat (*Euderma maculatum*)

This bat occurs in a wide range of habitats most often in rough rocky semi-arid and arid terrain. Vegetation cover in these habitats ranges from ponderosa pine forest to scrub and open desert. Day roosts are often located on high cliffs (Harvey et al. 1999). The spotted bat is Colorado's rarest bat known only to occur in Dinosaur National Monument, though recent reports have come from lower elevations in the Four Corners area (Armstrong et al. 2006). The Forest is well outside the known distribution for this species. The spotted bat is not expected to occur on Forest-managed lands.

Swift fox (*Vulpes velox*)

This species occurs in eastern Colorado and is associated with plains grasslands habitat. It inhabits open prairies, plains, and shrubby desert areas away from extensively cultivated land. It is found in areas with gently rolling hills or undulating topography. The decline of the swift fox is related to loss of prairie habitat, prairie dog control, and excessive trapping pressure (Kahn et al. 1997). Suitable habitat is not present, and use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest. For more information about this species see the *Swift fox (Vulpes velox): A Technical Conservation Assessment* prepared for the USDA Region 2 Species Conservation Project (Stevens and Anderson 2005).

Water vole (*Microtus richardsoni*)

This species occupies the boreal and alpine zones of major mountain ranges in the northwestern United States and southwestern Canada, extending eastward into the mountains of northern and western Wyoming. This species is known to occur on only two National Forests in Region 2, the Shoshone and Bighorn (Klaus and Beauvais 2004). The Forest is far outside the known distributional range for the vole. For more information about this species see the *Water Vole (Microtus richardsoni): A Technical Conservation Assessment* prepared for the USDA Region 2 Species Conservation Project (Klaus and Beauvais 2004).

White-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys leucurus*)

This species are associated with intermountain valleys, benches, and plateaus that offer prairie-like topography and vegetation (Knowles 2000). White-tailed prairie dogs are associated with dryer sites compared to mesic plateaus, higher mountain valleys, and lowlands where Gunnison prairie dogs (*C. gunnisoni*) are found. This species' current range is extreme southern Montana, central Wyoming, northwestern Colorado (the West slope), and northeastern Utah and their distribution is centered in the "Four-corners Region". The Forest is outside of the current or expected range of this species.

Wyoming pocket gopher (*Thomomys fuscus*)

This species' known distribution is limited to western Wyoming and eastern Idaho. They are found in grasslands and herbaceous shrublands and chaparral (NatureServe 2006). They burrow in well-drained soils, often-gravelly soils of ridge tops and edges of deeply eroded stream-cut washes. The Forest is outside of the known distributional range for this species. Use is not expected on or adjacent to lands on the Forest.

2.2.2 SENSITIVE SPECIES EXPECTED ON THE FOREST**PLANTS****Altai cotton-grass (*Eriophorum altaicum* var. *neogaeum*) and Chamisso's cottongrass (*Eriophorum chamissonis*)**

Altai cottongrass and Chamisso's cottongrass are perennial rhizomatous herbs in the sedge family (Cyperaceae). Taxonomic distinctions among this group of sedges are not well defined. The Flora of North America Editorial Committee (1997) merges *E. altaicum* var. *neogaeum* into *E. chamissonis*. Technical characters show a full range of overlap between these species, as well as *E. scheuchzeri*. All forms in this complex are rare, and may be best treated together until taxonomic issues are better evaluated.

Altai and Chamisso's cottongrass are circumpolar species found in Alaska, Canada, the Pacific Northwest, and upper Midwest. The Colorado populations are disjunct from the main species range, and these disjunct occurrences are likely Pleistocene relicts from a time when arctic vegetation was found at more southerly latitudes in North America (Pielou 1991). Altai has been recorded twice on the South Park Ranger District (Ladyman 2004). Another site may be partly on the Leadville Ranger District. These are in Park County. Chamisso's is known from within four miles of the Leadville Ranger District on the White River National Forest. Altai and Chamisso's cottongrasses have been found on sites at elevations of 10,200 to 13,200 feet (Spackman *et al.* 1997). The known sites for Altai cottongrass are within the Mosquito-Gore Range (McNab *et al.* 2005). Nearby records are in the San Juan Mountains, and in the Sangre de Cristo. It occurs in an uncommon habitat which may be vulnerable to altered hydrology, peat mining, livestock grazing, and unregulated recreation (Ladyman 2004, Decker *et al.* 2006a).

Arizona willow (*Salix arizonica*)

Arizona willow is a shrub of the willow family (Salicaceae). Catkins appear from late May to early July. Habitat consists of subalpine wet meadows, springs, seeps and riparian areas. It is often found along edges of spruce stands or in drier meadow sites with subsurface flows in volcanic or limestone derived soils. Elevation of known sites range from 8,300 to 10,800 ft. Unlike many of our rare species, which are circumboreal and reach their southern distribution in Colorado, the center of distribution for Arizona willow is in Arizona and New Mexico with Colorado on the northern edge of the species' range. Occurrences have been documented in New Mexico, eastern Arizona, southern Utah, and southern Colorado (Conejos County). Threats to Arizona willow include altered hydrology, livestock grazing, timber harvest, and unregulated recreation (Decker 2006). Although no populations have been found on the Forest, it is important to survey for this species within suitable habitat.

Autumn willow (*Salix serissima*)

Autumn willow is a woody shrub of the willow family (Salicaceae) that flowers from May through July with catkins maturing in late July through early September. It is found in montane calcareous marshes and fens (Spackman *et al.* 1997) at elevations ranging from 7,800 to 10,200 ft. Autumn willow ranges from subarctic Canada south to Colorado, Illinois, and New Jersey. In Colorado, where the species reaches its southernmost distribution, autumn willow is known from Custer, Park, Larimer, and Routt counties. It is apparently secure globally, although it is rare in portions of its range and thus is ranked G4 (NatureServe 2006). In Colorado the species is disjunct from the greater part of the species range and it is considered critically imperiled (ranked S1, CNHP). It may be threatened by unregulated recreation, hydrologic alterations, and peat mining in its habitat.

Blueberry willow (*Salix myrtilifolia*)

Blueberry willow is a woody shrub of the willow family (Salicaceae) that inhabits calcareous fens at 6,700-10,000 ft from the foothills to alpine. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as facultative wetland (FACW+) (USDA, NRCS 2007). It flowers in May and June, and catkins mature from late June to mid July. The species is common in Alaska and Canada with widely disjunct populations in northwest Wyoming and Park County, Colorado. Although blueberry willow is considered secure globally (ranked G5 by NatureServe 2006), it is critically imperiled in Colorado and ranked S1 by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program. Potential threats to blueberry willow include peat mining and changes in hydrology (Neid *et al.* 2006). Blueberry willow is of high nutritional value for wildlife and livestock.

Forkleaved moonwort (*Botrychium furcatum*)

Forkleaved moonwort is an undescribed entity (unpublished plant taxon) known from only four sites, all on NFS land in north-central Colorado. There are a total of 25 to 35 known individuals. It has been found in stabilized subalpine areas, 20 to 60 years after disturbance. The two largest populations are threatened by management activities (Popovich 2007). It has been documented on the Salida District.

Brandegee's buckwheat (*Eriogonum brandegeei*)

Brandegee's buckwheat is a perennial herb in the knotweed family (Polygonaceae) that flowers in July and August and sets fruit in August and September. It grows in association with open sagebrush or piñon-juniper stands on white to grayish limestone-shale soils of the Dry Union and Morrison formations at elevations ranging from 5,700 to 7,600 ft. It favors harsh conditions and reduced competition. Brandegee's buckwheat is endemic to Chaffee, El Paso, Fremont, and Park counties of Colorado. Brandegee's buckwheat is globally rare (ranked G1G2 by NatureServe 2006) and rare in Colorado (ranked S1S2 by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program). Sites for Brandegee's buckwheat are within the Upper Arkansas Valley (McNab *et al.* 2005). Brandegee's buckwheat may be threatened by unregulated recreation, mining, urban development, and road construction.

Chamisso's cottongrass (*Eriophorum chamissonis*)

See discussion of Altai cotton-grass and Chamisso's cotton-grass above.

Clawless draba or Gary's Peak draba (*Draba exunguiculata*)

Clawless draba is a perennial herb of the mustard family (Brassicaceae) that flowers from late June into July and produces fruit in early August. It is found in talus and gravelly or sandy slopes at elevations of 11,500 to 14,000 ft (Spackman et al. 1997) on granitic substrates. It is a Colorado endemic known from eight counties in Colorado: Boulder, Clear Creek, El Paso, Gilpin, Grand, Lake, Park, and Summit. Clawless draba is ranked G2 by NatureServe (2007). It is also tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2. It is a small plant and easily overlooked in its abundant habitat. The documented records of Gary's Peak draba are within the Mosquito-Gore Range (McNab et al. 2005). It tends to occur under rock overhangs. This species may be threatened by unregulated recreation, over-collecting, and mining within its habitat (Ladyman 2004).

Colorado Springs evening primrose (*Oenothera harringtonii*)

Colorado Springs evening-primrose is an herb of the evening primrose family (Onagraceae) that inhabits silty clay soils to loose rock or sandy soils in open grasslands at elevations of 4,700 to 6,100 ft (Spackman et al. 1997). It flowers from mid May through June. Some confusion exists regarding its life history; the USDA Plants database (USDA, NRCS 2006) calls the species an annual, while W.A. Weber (Weber and Wittman 1996) refers to the species as a biennial or perennial. The plant is endemic to the lower Arkansas River Valley where it has been recorded in El Paso, Fremont, Huerfano, Las Animas, Otero, Pueblo, and Teller counties which includes the lower elevational limits of the Forest.

Colorado tansy-aster (*Machaeranthera coloradoensis*)

Colorado tansy-aster is a perennial herb of the sunflower family (Asteraceae) that flowers from July to mid August and fruits during August. The species is endemic to Wyoming and Colorado where it inhabits mountain parks, slopes, rock outcrops, and dry tundra at elevations ranging from 7,600-13,000 ft. In Colorado known occurrences exist in Chaffee, Gunnison, Hinsdale, La Plata, Lake, Mineral, Park, Pitkin, Saguache, and San Juan counties. A recent taxonomic revision changes the scientific name from *Machaeranthera coloradoensis* to *Xanthisma coloradoense* (Morgan and Hartman 2003). Colorado tansyaster is ranked G2 by NatureServe (2007). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2. Known sites of Colorado tansyaster are within Mosquito-Gore Range (McNab et al. 2005). Habitat of Colorado tansyaster can be affected by unregulated recreation, mining, road construction, ATV use, and invasive species (Beatty et al. 2004c).

Degener's beardtongue (*Penstemon degeneri*)

Degener's beardtongue is a perennial herb in the figwort family (Scrophulariaceae), flowering in June and July, and fruiting in late July. It is found in piñon-juniper woodlands, montane grasslands and mountain meadows on rocky soils with igneous bedrock (Spackman et al. 1997) at elevations ranging from 6,000 to 9,500 ft. Degener's beardtongue is often associated with Parry's oatgrass (*Danthonia parryi*), prairie sagewort (*Artemisia frigida*), mountain goldenbanner (*Thermopsis montana*), and mountain muhly (*Muhlenbergia montana*). Degener's beardtongue is endemic to central CO where it is found in Fremont, Chaffee, and Custer counties. Degener's beardtongue is ranked G2 by NatureServe (2007) and S2 (critically imperiled) by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program due to its limited distribution. Documented sites for Degener's beardtongue are within the Wet Mountains (McNab et al. 2005). Threats to Degener's beardtongue include unregulated

motorized recreation, invasive species, road maintenance, and succession. It may need fire to maintain open site conditions. This species' seeds may be long-lived in the seedbank (Beatty et al. 2004).

Dwarf milkweed or wheel milkweed (*Asclepias uncialis*)

Dwarf milkweed is a perennial herb in the milkweed family (Asclepiadaceae) that flowers in April and May and fruits in June and July. It is associated with shortgrass prairie and open piñon-juniper woodland, often growing on sandy soils or in gravelly areas. Dwarf milkweed ranges from Wyoming south to Arizona, New Mexico, and Texas. In Colorado, the species is found on the eastern plains up to the east slope foothills of the Rocky Mountains. The distribution also extends up the valleys that exit the mountains including the Arkansas and Huerfano River Valleys on the Forest. Known at elevations ranging from 4,000 to 6,500 ft, the plant's Colorado distribution includes Baca, Fremont, Huerfano, Las Animas and Pueblo counties. At present, some taxonomic dispute exists over the designation of subspecies, and uncertainty also exists about the global distribution and degree of rarity for the species, but it is considered vulnerable throughout its range. Dwarf milkweed is globally ranked G3G4 by NatureServe (2007). In Colorado, it is considered imperiled to critically imperiled (ranked S2). It is known in the Wet Mountain Valley (McNab et al. 2005). Threats may include altered disturbance regime including fire suppression and livestock grazing, habitat loss, and invasive species.

Giant helleborine (*Epipactis gigantea*)

Giant helleborine is a perennial herb of the orchid family (Orchidaceae) found on cliffs, hillsides, seeps, springs, and riparian areas, especially calcareous sites, at elevations ranging from 4,800 to 8,000 ft. It flowers during June and July and sets fruit in August and September. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as OBL (USDA, NRCS 2007). Giant helleborine ranges from British Columbia south to California, Texas, and Mexico. In Colorado, it is found mostly in the far western portion of the state, but a few populations are located on the east slope in Chaffee and Saguache counties. The species is ranked G3G4 by NatureServe (2007). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program, and is ranked S2. Stream orchid habitat may be impacted by activities which change local hydrology. It will disappear from areas where the water table has been lowered. Other threats include unregulated recreation, livestock grazing and urban development (Rocchio et al. 2006).

Globe gilia (*Ipomopsis globularis*)

Globe gilia is a biennial or short-lived perennial herb of the phlox family (Polemoniaceae) that grows in gravelly and exposed calcareous alpine ridges at elevations ranging from 11,500 to 14,000 ft. Flowering occurs in July and into August, and fruiting takes place throughout August. It is a Colorado endemic known only from the Mosquito Range in Lake, Park, and Summit counties. Globe gilia is ranked G2 by NatureServe (2007). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2. The known sites for Hoosier Pass *ipomopsis* are within the Mosquito-Gore Range (McNab et al. 2005). Unregulated recreation and mining may threaten some populations (Panjabi and Anderson 2005).

Golden or Rydberg's Golden columbine (*Aquilegia chrysantha* var. *rydbergii*)

Rydberg's golden columbine is a perennial herb in the buttercup family (Ranunculaceae). It flowers in June and fruits in July and grows along streams or in rocky ravines of the mountains at elevations

of 5,200 to 8,500 ft. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as facultative (FAC) (USDA, NRCS 2007). It is endemic to Colorado and is known only from El Paso and Fremont counties (CNDIS 2001). Golden columbine is ranked G4T1Q globally, indicating that it is considered secure globally but that questions exist about the taxonomic status of the subspecies (NatureServe 2006). The distinctiveness of this variety has been questioned in the Flora of North America (Whittemore 1997). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S1. There are potential threats to some populations from recreational uses along roads and trails, and from invasive species.

Gray's Peak whitlow-grass (*Draba grayana*)

Gray's Peak whitlow-grass is a perennial herb of the mustard family (Brassicaceae) that flowers during July and August and produces fruit from August to September. It is a Colorado endemic found in rocky alpine areas including talus slopes. It has been found in Clear Creek, Gilpin, Grand, Lake, Larimer, Park, and Summit counties at elevations of 11,500-14,000 ft. It is considered imperiled both globally and in Colorado and is ranked G2 by NatureServe (2007). It is also tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2. The known sites for Gray's draba within the Mosquito-Gore Range, and in the Sangre de Cristo Range (McNab et al. 2005). This plant may be threatened by increasing unregulated recreation in its habitat, over-collecting, mining, and stochastic events (Ladyman 2004).

Greenland primrose (*Primula egaliksensis*)

Greenland primrose is a perennial herb of the primrose family (Primulaceae) that inhabits wet meadows, streambanks, willow carrs, and fens. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as FACW in the mountains (USDA, NRCS 2006). Flowers are produced in June and July and fruit is set in July and August. The species has an unusual distribution; it is circumboreal and widespread in Alaska and Canada with disjunct populations in Wyoming and Colorado. The Colorado populations are located in Park County at elevations of 9,000-10,000 ft. Greenland primrose is considered secure globally although rare in portions of its range (ranked G4 by NatureServe 2006), but is imperiled in Colorado (ranked S2 by the CNHP). Colorado populations are disjunct from the greater part of the species range and the species occurs in an uncommon habitat. The recorded sites of Greenland primrose are within the Mosquito-Gore Range and South Park (McNab et al. 2005). Greenland primrose occurs in an uncommon habitat which may be vulnerable to hydrologic alteration, peat mining, livestock grazing, water development, and unregulated recreation. This species appears in the rock-garden trade.

Hall fescue (*Festuca hallii*)

Hall fescue is a perennial graminoid of the grass family (Poaceae) that inhabits alpine and subalpine grasslands and meadows. It is found in Canada, Washington, Montana, Wyoming, North Dakota and Colorado where it reaches its southernmost Rocky Mountain distribution. The Colorado distribution includes Larimer and Huerfano counties where it is found at 11,000-12,000 ft. Populations in Colorado are outlying from the greater part of the species range and differ morphologically from individuals growing in the core range. The Huerfano County site was previously identified as *Festuca campestris*, but field work performed in 2005-2006 has shown that the site is occupied by *Festuca hallii* rather than *Festuca campestris* (Anderson 2005 and 2006a). Hall's fescue may be in a range-wide decline with a low potential for recovery (Anderson 2006a). It may be threatened by over-grazing, exotic encroachment, and habitat disturbances. The species

has a global rank of G4 (NatureServe 2006), indicating that it is considered apparently secure globally but rare in portions of its range. Although the Colorado rank is SH (state historical, a rank given to species not seen in the state since 1920), the University of Colorado Museum has a specimens from 1956 and 1978, and several collections made in 2005 and 2006 by Brian Elliott were identified as *Festuca hallii* by specialists in the genus.

Iowa or prairie moonwort (*Botrychium campestre*)

This moonwort is a perennial herb in the adder's-tongue fern family (Ophioglossaceae). In Colorado, it grows on dry, gravelly hillsides at elevations ranging from 3,700-10,800 ft. The plant is found across the northern tier of states with Colorado representing the southernmost extension of its range. The Colorado distribution is unclear. It is known from Yuma County at 3,700 ft on the eastern plains, and there are also reports of the species in the central mountains. Until the distribution is better understood the species is retained on the list. Although the plant is widely distributed in North America, it is not common and considered vulnerable throughout its range, ranked G3G4 by NatureServe (2007). The species is considered critically imperiled in Colorado where it reaches its southernmost distribution, being ranked S1 by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program.

Kotzebue's grass-of-Parnassus (*Parnassia kotzebuei*)

Kotzebue's grass of Parnassus is a perennial herb that flowers in June and July and usually sets fruit in July and August. Most botanists consider it a member of the saxifrage family (Saxifragaceae), but Dr. Weber (Weber and Wittman 2001) places it in its own family (Parnassiaceae—the grass of Parnassus family). The species inhabits wet rocky areas, especially along small streams and amongst moss mats in the alpine and subalpine zones. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as FACW or OBL (USDA, NRCS 2006). The plant is circumboreal, ranging in North America from Alaska and Canada to Washington, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, Nevada, and Colorado. It reaches its southernmost Rocky Mountain distribution in Colorado where it is found at 10,000-12,000 ft. Known occurrences are found in the north-central and southwestern portions of the state, including Clear Creek, San Juan, Park, and Summit counties. Kotzebue's grass of Parnassus is ranked G5 by NatureServe (2006). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2. The recorded sites are at the southern edge of the species range, with small populations in vulnerable habitats.

Lesser bladderwort (*Utricularia minor*)

Lesser bladderwort are generally aquatic but they may become stranded as water levels fall in the summer and fall. The plants are insectivorous with bladders acting as tiny insect traps. The species is found in Alaska, Canada, across the northern U.S., and south to California along the Pacific Coast and to Colorado in the Rocky Mountains. In Colorado, the species is known from shallow water in subalpine ponds at 5,500-9,000 ft. The plant is often overlooked, partially due to the difficulty of collecting and identifying the species, and little is known about its Colorado distribution. W. A. Weber (Weber and Wittman 1996) lists it from the "Boulder watershed, but very likely elsewhere."

Lesser panicled sedge (*Carex diandra*)

Lesser panicled sedge is a graminoid of the sedge family (Cyperaceae) that inhabits montane to subalpine willow carrs and rich fens (Weber and Wittmann 2001) and produces flowers and fruit from June to August. Wetland indicator status for this species is OBL (USDA, NRCS 2007).

Lesser panicked sedge is circumboreal, ranging across the northern half of the United States and reaching its southernmost Rocky Mountain distribution in Colorado. It is known from Boulder, Grand, Jackson, and Larimer counties at elevations ranging from 7,000-9,000 ft. Populations in the state are at the southern extreme of the species range.

Lesser yellow lady's-slipper (*Cypripedium parviflorum*)

Lesser yellow lady's-slipper is a perennial herb of the orchid family (Orchidaceae) that inhabits subalpine wetlands (wetland indicator status for the species is rated as FACW by USDA, NRCS 2006) as well as a wide variety of habitats in the lower montane zone including aspen groves and moist ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir forests. It flowers from May to July and fruits from June to August. The species is widespread in North America, growing in Alaska and Canada as well as most of the northern and eastern states. It reaches its southern Rocky Mountain distribution in Colorado. Although widespread, it is uncommon in most of its range and populations are widely scattered in Colorado where the species is known from ten counties at a narrow elevation range of 7,400-8,500 ft. Lesser yellow lady's-slipper is ranked G5 by NatureServe (2007). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2. Sites for lesser yellow lady's-slipper are within the Sangre de Cristo Range and Wet Mountains (McNab et al. 2005). It is listed in the CITES Appendix II list, restricting international trade. Threats include over-collecting, livestock grazing, timber harvest operations, fire suppression, unregulated recreation, invasive species, and habitat conversion. Lesser yellow-lady's-slipper may also respond favorably to light disturbances.

Livid sedge (*Carex livida*)

Livid sedge is a perennial graminoid of the sedge family (Cyperaceae) that flowers from May to July and sets fruit in July and August. It is a calcareous wetland species occurring in rich fens and other mineral-rich wetlands. Its wetland indicator status is OBL (USDA, NRCS 2006). It is found at elevations ranging from 9,000 to 10,100 ft. The species ranges from Alaska and Canada, the Pacific Northwest, Wyoming and Colorado in the west to the upper Midwestern and northeastern states. Although it is widespread in North America, "the distribution of *Carex livida* is very scattered; it is uncommon to rare over much of its range..." (Ball and Reznicek 2002). Like many of our rare species, it reaches its southern Rocky Mountain distribution in Colorado. In Colorado, it has been found in Boulder, Grand, Jackson and Larimer counties. Similar to other species with this distribution pattern, it is ranked secure globally (ranked G5 by NatureServe 2006) but critically imperiled in Colorado where it is ranked S1 by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program. Livid sedge occurs in a vulnerable habitat (Gage and Cooper 2006). It could be threatened by hydrologic alteration, unregulated recreation, roads and trails, and indirectly by timber harvest (Gage and Cooper 2006).

Narrow-leaved moonwort (*Botrychium lineare*)

Narrow-leaved moonwort is also a candidate species for federal listing, the species narrative is located in the threatened, endangered, and candidate species section above.

Narrowleaf peatmoss (*Sphagnum angustifolium*)

Narrowleaf peatmoss is a circumboreal species found in North America south to British Columbia, Colorado, Missouri, and New Jersey (NatureServe 2007). This species is ranked G5 by NatureServe (2007). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2. Local records are in strongly acidic fens with high concentrations of iron and other ions (Weber

2000). There is a documented occurrence of this species on the South Platte Ranger District, and a historic, 1873, record near Twin Lakes. Altered hydrology and peat mining have reduced the numbers and quality of fens. These activities along with unregulated recreation continue to threaten iron fen habitat in Colorado.

Northern blackberry (*Rubus arcticus* var. *acaulis*)

Northern blackberry is an herbaceous perennial plant in the rose family (Rosaceae). It flowers from late June to early July and sets fruit in late July to August; however, the species seldom sets fruit in Colorado. It is a wetland species found in willow carrs and on mossy streamsides at elevations ranging from 8,600 to 9,700 ft. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as OBL (USDA, NRCS 2007). Northern blackberry is circumboreal, ranging south in North America to Oregon, Colorado, Michigan, and Maine. Northern blackberry is ranked G5T5 by NatureServe (2007) indicating that the species and subspecies are secure globally. Populations in this area are at the southern extreme of the species range. The species is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is considered critically imperiled in Colorado with a rank of S1. Populations of dwarf raspberry in this area are at the southern extreme of the species range. It may be threatened by unregulated recreation, livestock grazing, invasive species, and peat mining (Ladyman 2006d).

Park milkvetch (*Astragalus leptaleus*)

Park milkvetch is a perennial herb of the bean family (Fabaceae) that grows in moist swales and meadows. It has been found in Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, and Colorado, although the species is more common in Colorado than in the other states (Moseley, 1991). In Colorado, this milkvetch is found from South Park to the Wet Mountain Valley in Park, Fremont, and Custer counties at elevations ranging from 7,500-10,000 ft. The species is ranked G4 by NatureServe (2007), indicating that the species is secure globally but rare in parts of its range. It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2, indicating that the species is imperiled in the state of Colorado. Park milkvetch is found within South Park (McNab et al. 2005). Park milkvetch appears to be rare range-wide, and may be over-looked because of its small size among dense vegetation. Threats include competition from non-native invasives, unregulated recreation, and livestock grazing (Ladyman 2006e).

Porter feathergrass (*Ptilagrostis porteri*)

Porter feathergrass species is a perennial graminoid of the grass family (Poaceae) that grows on hummocks located in peat bogs, fens and willow carrs. The distinctive feathery fruit matures from mid-August through early September. The species is endemic to central Colorado where it is found from 9,200-12,000 ft in El Paso, Lake, Park, and Summit counties. Porter feathergrass is ranked G2 by NatureServe (2006). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2. It was petitioned for listing as a federally threatened or endangered species on 5 March 2002. On 4 February 2005, it was determined that there was not enough information to warrant listing (70FR5959-5962). The known locations of Porter's false needlegrass are within Mosquito-Gore Range, and Sawatch Range (McNab et al. 2005). Porter's false needlegrass may be threatened by hydrologic alterations, peat and placer mining, unregulated recreation, and livestock grazing.

Rock-loving neoparrya (*Neoparrya lithophila*)

Rock-loving neoparrya is a perennial herb in the umbel family (Apiaceae). It flowers from May to early July, and fruits from late June to September. It is found in piñon-juniper woodlands on north-

facing ledges, cliffs, and canyons associated with volcanic dikes composed of igneous outcrops or sedimentary rock, and in montane meadows and grasslands. It is found at elevations ranging from 7,000 to 10,000 ft. Rock-loving neoparrya is endemic to south-central Colorado and is ranked G3 by NatureServe (2006). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S3. The G3S3 ranking indicates that the species is considered vulnerable throughout its range due to its limited distribution. Documented sites for Bill's neoparrya are within the Sangre de Cristo (McNab et al. 2005). Potential threats to Bill's neoparrya are unregulated recreation, grazing, road maintenance, invasive species, and development (Anderson 2004d).

Rocky Mountain cinquefoil (*Potentilla rupincola*)

This cinquefoil is a perennial herb in the rose family (Rosaceae) that flowers from mid June through August and is found on granitic outcrops or on thin, gravelly granitic soils at elevations of 6,900 to 10,500 ft. Rocky Mountain cinquefoil is endemic to Colorado where it is found in Boulder, Clear Creek, Larimer, and Park counties which is adjacent to the Forest and suitable habitat is present on the Forest. This species may be threatened by hybridization with the closely related *Potentilla effusa* (Anderson 2004c).

Roundleaf sundew (*Drosera rotundifolia*)

Roundleaf sundews are perennial insectivorous herbs of the sundew family (Droseraceae). Roundleaf sundew is widely distributed in North America, ranging from Alaska and Canada to the Pacific Northwest and California. It is also known from the upper Midwest and most of the eastern states. The Colorado populations in Gunnison and Jackson counties are disjunct. The plant is found in floating peat mats, and on the margins of acidic wetlands. The species is globally secure and is ranked G5 by NatureServe (2007), reflecting its wide distribution but is considered imperiled in Colorado (ranked S2) as a result of the few known occurrences. Threats to roundleaf sundew include timber harvest, fire, roads and trails, peat mining, livestock grazing, and unregulated recreation (Wolf et al. 2006b).

Sageleaf willow (*Salix candida*)

Sageleaf willow is a woody shrub of the willow family (Salicaceae) found on pond and stream edges as well as in fens of foothill and montane wetlands. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as OBL (USDA, NRCS 2007). It flowers from May to June and fruits in June and July. The species is found in Alaska, Canada, and across the northern tier of American states, reaching its southernmost distribution in Colorado where it is found from 8,800-10,600 ft in Gunnison, Hinsdale, Lake, La Plata, Larimer, and Park counties. Although sageleaf willow is considered secure globally (ranked G5 by NatureServe 2006), it is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program which considers the species critically imperiled in Colorado and ranks it S1. Colorado sites are near the southern edge of the species range. Known sites for sageleaf willow are within the Mosquito-Gore Range (McNab et al. 2005). Sageleaf willow may be threatened by altered hydrology, livestock grazing, peat mining, unregulated recreation, and invasive species (Decker 2006b).

Sea pink or Siberian sea thrift (*Armeria maritima* ssp. *sibirica*)

Sea pink is a bunch-forming perennial herb of the thrift family (Limoniaceae), although it has been placed in the leadwort family (Plumbaginaceae) by some taxonomists. It flowers from late June to August. The sub-species inhabits alpine meadows and alpine wetlands, often at the base of talus

slopes. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as FACU, although not enough information is available for determination in CO (USDA, NRCS 2007). Sea pink grows at high elevation with known sites at 11,900-13,000 ft. The plant is found in the Pacific Coast states from Alaska to California. It is disjunct in Colorado where it is found in Park and Summit counties. Ranked secure globally (G5T5) by NatureServe (2007), it is on the edge of its range and considered critically imperiled in Colorado (S1) by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program. The known sites for Siberian sea thrift are within the Mosquito-Gore Range (McNab et al. 2005). There are potential threats to Siberian sea thrift from unregulated motorized recreation and mineral development (Johnston 2006).

Selkirk's violet (*Viola selkirkii*)

Selkirk's violet is a perennial herb in the violet family (Violaceae) that grows in aspen forests, moist woods, and thickets. Selkirk's violet ranges from British Columbia to Greenland and south to Washington and New Mexico. In Colorado, it is found at elevations ranging from 8,500-9,100 ft and flowers during May-June. In Colorado, it is known from only three areas; Rocky Mountain National Park, where it was last seen in 1965, the Rampart Range, where it was rediscovered in 2005, and on the Forest where it was discovered in the Wet Mountains in 2006. Selkirk's violet is ranked as G5 by NatureServe (2006). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S1 due to the limited number of occurrences. The Colorado populations are disjunct from the greater range of the species. The nearest other populations are in the Black Hills of South Dakota.

Simple bog sedge (*Kobresia simpliciuscula*)

Simple bog sedge is a perennial graminoid of the sedge family (Cyperaceae) that grows in alpine areas including tundra, fens, moist gravel and glacial outwash. It is a wetland species rated as OBL (USDA, NRCS 2006). The species is found in Alaska, Canada, the Pacific Northwest (except Washington), Wyoming, Utah, and Colorado. In Colorado, known occurrences are found in Boulder, Clear Creek, and Park counties at 9,000 to 13,000 ft. Although ranked G5 by NatureServe (2007) and considered secure globally, the species is imperiled in Colorado due to its rarity and is ranked S2 by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program. The recorded sites of simple bog sedge are within Mosquito-Gore Range (McNab et al. 2005). This species may be threatened by changes in hydrology, livestock grazing, peat mining, and unregulated recreational activities (Decker et al. 2006b).

Slender cottongrass (*Eriophorum gracile*)

Slender cottongrass is a perennial graminoid of the sedge family (Cyperaceae) that flowers beginning in June and July with fruit produced from July through September. The species grows in montane and subalpine wetlands as well as fens, wet meadows and pond edges. Wetland indicator status for this species has been rated as OBL (USDA, NRCS 2007). Slender cotton-grass is circumboreal, ranging in North America from Alaska, Canada and the northern states to California and Colorado in the south. It reaches its southernmost Rocky Mountain distribution in Colorado where it is known from elevations of 8,100-12,000 ft at widely scattered sites in Jackson, Las Animas, and Park counties. The species is secure globally, being ranked G5 by NatureServe (2006). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is considered imperiled in Colorado with a rank of S2. It occurs in an uncommon habitat which may be vulnerable to mining, grazing, water development, and recreation. Documented sites of slender cotton-grass are within

Mosquito-Gore Range (McNab et al. 2005). It occurs in an uncommon habitat which may be vulnerable to altered hydrology, peat mining, livestock grazing, and unregulated recreation (Decker et al. 2006c).

Smith's whitlow-grass (*Draba smithii*)

Smith's whitlow-grass is a perennial herb in the mustard family (Brassicaceae). It flowers from May to August, and fruits from June through August. It is found in cliffs and canyons, talus slopes, crevices, and between rocks in shaded, protected sites in upper montane and lower subalpine areas. Elevations range from 7,700 to 13,100 ft. Smith's whitlow-grass is endemic to south-central CO where it is known from small and scattered populations in Custer, Las Animas, Mineral, and Saguache counties. It is ranked G2 by NatureServe (2006). It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S2. The known site for Smith's draba is within the Sangre de Cristo Range (McNab et al. 2005). Smith's draba may be threatened by unregulated recreation and road improvements within its habitat (Ladyman 2004c).

Smooth northern-rockcress (*Braya glabella*)

Smooth northern-rockcress is a perennial herb of the mustard family (Brassicaceae) that flowers and fruits from late June through August. It is an alpine species found at elevations of 12,000-13,000 ft on sparsely vegetated slopes, and is often found on limestone or dolomite substrates, scree slopes, and poorly developed gravelly or disturbed soils. Smooth northern-rockcress is circumboreal, ranging in North America from Alaska to Quebec, with disjunct populations in Colorado. The Colorado distribution includes Chaffee, Gunnison, Park, and Pitkin counties. Smooth northern-rockcress is ranked G5T? by NatureServe (2006). The species is also tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S1 due to the limited number of occurrences. Populations in Colorado are disjunct from the major part of the species range. Small and disjunct populations make smooth rockcress vulnerable to chance disturbances. Smooth northern-rockcress locations are within the Mosquito-Gore range and the Sawatch Range (McNab et al. 2005). Small populations make smooth northern-rockcress vulnerable to unregulated recreation and mining (Moore et al. 2006b). However, it may be tolerant of occasional light disturbance.

Tundra buttercup (*Ranunculus karelinii*)

This buttercup is a perennial herb of the buttercup family (Ranunculaceae) that inhabits alpine slopes and summits, and is often found amongst rocks and scree at elevations ranging from 12,000-14,100 ft. It flowers during July and fruit is produced during August. Tundra buttercup ranges from Alaska and Canada south to Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, Utah, and Colorado. It reaches its southernmost Rocky Mountain distribution in Colorado. The Colorado county distribution includes Chaffee, Clear Creek, Gunnison, Lake, Park, and Summit counties. The species is globally ranked G4G5 by NatureServe (2006), indicating that the species is secure globally but sometimes rare at the edges of its distribution. In Colorado, the species is ranked S2 (imperiled) by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program due to the few known occurrences. The recorded sites of ice cold buttercup are within the Mosquito-Gore Range and the Sawatch Range (McNab et al. 2005). It may be threatened by unregulated recreation, livestock grazing, and mining (Panjabi and Anderson 2006).

Weber's monkeyflower (*Mimulus gemmiparus*)

This monkeyflower is an annual herb of the figwort family (Scrophulariaceae) found in granitic seeps, slopes, and alluvium in open sites within spruce-fir and aspen forests at 8,400-11,000 ft. This species is known to occur in seeps occurring in forested vegetative communities. *Mimulus gemmiparus* sometimes flowers in mid July, but flowering is rare and the flowers are sterile. The species has a unique reproductive strategy; the leaf petioles are modified to contain dormant embryos (the specific epithet *gemmiparus* refers to a gemma, an asexual reproductive mechanism often found in mosses). It is endemic to the mountains of central and northern Colorado where it is found in Grand, Jefferson, Larimer, and Park counties.

White adder's-mouth orchid (*Malaxis brachypoda*)

This is a perennial herb of the orchid family (Orchidaceae) that is found in riparian areas, often in association with mosses where it is kept wet by water spray. Its wetland indicator status is FACW (USDA, NRCS 2006). It flowers in July and fruits in August. Populations are typically quite small and the species is widely disjunct in the western United States, being found in Colorado and California. Elsewhere it is found in Alaska, Canada, the upper Midwest, and northeastern United States. In Colorado, it is known from Boulder, El Paso and Jefferson counties at elevations of 7,200-8,000 ft. NatureServe (2006) ranks adder's-mouth as G4 indicating that it is apparently secure but rare in parts of its range. It is tracked by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program and is ranked S1 (critically imperiled) due to the extreme rarity of the plant in the state.

INVERTEBRATES**Caddisfly (*Ochrotrichia susanae*)**

This caddisfly is a local endemic known from only two sites: one on the Forest and one near the Pike National Forest. It is ranked as G2/S2 by NatureServe (2006). The habitat of this species may be affected by livestock grazing improvements to inhabited wetlands, and by off-road vehicle use which has been observed at one of the known sites.

Hudsonian emerald (*Somatochlora hudsonica*)

This dragonfly appears to be an uncommon species, both from the standpoint of its encounters with human beings as well as the number of specimens found in collections (Packauskus 2005). Very little historical information or primary literature exists for this dragonfly, and it has never been studied in depth. Although the species is reported to be widely distributed across Canada (Dunkle 2000), the only records of its occurrence in the continental United States place it at seven locales in Colorado, possibly three in Wyoming, and one in Montana. Most records are over 30 years old, and little or no documented collecting has been done at these sites since the originals. All seven sites in Colorado are within a 40-mile radius of Boulder (Packauskus 2005).

As with other dragonflies, the main threat to the viability of this species would be the degradation of its aquatic habitat. Trees are an important component of areas surrounding the aquatic habitats of the Hudsonian emerald dragonfly since they provide areas for prey foraging by adults as well as shade that maintains lower water temperatures. Trees may also serve as mating areas. The loss of trees can occur through timber harvest, fuel reduction, or wildfires. Grazing by livestock may decrease perching or emergence vegetation for this species as well as degrade the aquatic habitat by increasing sedimentation. Sedimentation may also occur as a result of road construction or clear

cutting. Tree harvest, grazing, and road construction can also help to produce nutrient runoff, increasing nutrient loads to the aquatic habitat, thus producing eutrophication. Use of pesticides, like piscicides and herbicides, can also serve to decrease population densities of the Hudsonian emerald dragonfly as well as populations of prey species when these chemicals enter the aquatic environment. For more information on this species see *Hudsonian Emerald Dragonfly (Somatochlora hudsonica): A Technical Conservation Assessment* prepared for the Rocky Mountain Region Species Conservation Project (Packauskas 2005). Numerous water bodies occur on the Forest and no insect surveys have been conducted to date. Given the proximity to documented locations in Colorado and lack of species information, it is assumed the Forest may contain potential habitat.

Rocky Mountain capshell snail (*Acroloxus coloradensis*)

Recent studies indicate that this freshwater limpet (gastropod mollusk) is found in the littoral zone of oligotrophic and mesotrophic mountain lakes from 8,800 to 9,800 ft in elevation. It appears to prefer neutral to slightly alkaline water containing high dissolved oxygen content. The Rocky Mountain capshell snail is known from six locations in Colorado. The sites are located on the Routt and Arapahoe National Forests, Rocky Mountain National Park and on private land within Boulder County (Anderson, 2005). The Boulder County population is nearest to the Forest, approximately 115 miles north. Most populations exist in Canada and the Boulder population is the furthest south of those documented. It's possible that the Boulder population is at the southern extent of its distribution. It's doubtful that *A. coloradensis* disperses to other lakes. Freshwater gastropods may attach to the feathers of waterfowl and survive simulated flight conditions (Boag 1986). However, if passive dispersal of *A. coloradensis* were to occur in this manner, it would likely be very rare (Anderson 2005).

FISH

No FS sensitive fish species are expected to occur or be impacted from activities on the Forest.

AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES

Boreal toad (*Bufo boreas boreas*)

They were once considered common in the southern Rocky Mountains, but populations have declined drastically over the past 15-20 years (Boreal Toad Recovery Team 1998). By 1989, boreal toads were found to be absent from 83% of historic breeding locations in Colorado (Boreal Toad Recovery Team 1998). As a result, the FWS (2004) included the boreal toad on their Candidate Notice of Review (CNOR) list as a candidate species with a listing priority of 3 (high). In September of 2005, the FWS announced their finding that the listing of the boreal toad was not warranted because the Southern Rocky Mountain Population does not constitute a species, subspecies, or distinct population segment under ESA (FWS 2005).

In Colorado, boreal toads occupy habitats between approximately 7,500 and 12,200 ft in elevation. This toad inhabits marshes, wet meadows, and the margins of streams, beaver (*Castor canadensis*) ponds, shallow lakes, and glacial kettle ponds in subalpine areas of Colorado (Hammerson 1999). It is found in shallow water or among sedges and shrubby willows where soil is damp or wet. Specific habitats including breeding ponds, summer range, and overwinter refugia all occur within

lodgepole pine and spruce–fir forests, and alpine meadows (Boreal Toad Recovery Team 1998). The breeding season generally begins in late spring with eggs deposited late May and early June, but may extend into July at higher elevations where snow pack is more persistent. Adult toads, especially females, may move up to 2.5 mi to drier sites in forested habitats after the breeding season, while young toads are restricted to wetland habitat (Boreal Toad Recovery Team 1998) and researchers in Chaffee County Colorado have documented female adult toads as much as 5 miles from breeding sites (Lambert pers. comm. 2003). The adult boreal toad is an insectivore, feeding on a variety of insects. Historically, boreal toads were known to breed at several locations in Lake and Chaffee counties. Populations in Colorado have become scarce or extinct in both low and high elevation sites and have experienced significant downward population trends (Hammerson 1982, 1999, Corn and Fogleman 1984).

One of the most pervasive factors decreasing habitat quality at the range-wide scale is the presence of the chytrid fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) in suitable habitats. This disease causes mass mortality of a wide range of amphibians, including boreal toads, and it has been found in several populations of toads throughout the West. Populations of boreal toads infected with chytrid have declined to near extinction within one year, and there are no documented cases of an infected population recovering (Keinath and McGee 2005). Environmental stress, which includes global climate change, water chemistry and temperature changes caused by humans and management activities, and undoubtedly habitat change has been linked to increased vulnerability to this disease (Keinath and McGee 2005). Spread of this deadly disease is by transportation of water, mud, or other material from areas where these fungal spores are present to areas where they are not. Chytrid fungus was recently documented by the CDOW this year (T. Jackson, CDOW, pers. comm. 2006) in a new area in Lake County. There are also other nearby sites with chytrid, which increase the potential of spread to previously uninfected toad sites.

The boreal toad occurs throughout most of the mountainous portion of Colorado but appears to be absent from the Wet Mountains and Pikes Peak region. The CDOW and CNHP has conducted surveys within suitable habitat and identified populations of boreal toads in portions of the Forest over the past several years. Specifically, intensive monitoring and survey work in Chaffee County began in 1994 and continued through 2004 (CNHP 2004). With 15 known breeding sites, Chaffee County is one of the few remaining strongholds for boreal toads in Colorado. In this region, the Collegiate Peaks in the Sawatch Range currently has thirteen known breeding sites that have been active within the last five years (Loeffler 2000). Eleven breeding sites are associated with the Cottonwood Creek drainage west of Buena Vista, Colorado. This drainage presently has some of the largest populations of boreal toads left in the state (Hammerson 1999).

Northern leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*)

This frog's distribution is widespread in northern and western U.S., and they are widespread throughout Colorado. They are found in a wide variety of habitats within the state including banks and shallow portions of marshes, ponds, lakes, reservoirs, beaver ponds and streams, especially those with rooted aquatic vegetation up to 11,000 ft in elevation (Hammerson 1999). Leopard frogs emerge from winter retreats in March and remain active through November or October. Breeding pools contain mats of algae and clear water. Eggs are laid from April-July on emergent vegetation in shallow water often on the north side of ponds (Hammerson 1999). This frog appears to be

especially associated with rooted aquatic vegetation. They may disperse along aquatic and riparian corridors.

Although their distribution in Colorado is widespread, they are scarce in many areas. The current population status in the state is unknown. Nationally, their population trends are downward throughout most of their range, for reasons unknown at this time. Threats include dewatering of riparian habitat through irrigation, or habitat degradation through overgrazing, by improper forest management, or by development, which can cause downward habitat trends. Smith and Keinath (2007) list habitat destruction, diseases, chemical contamination, acidification of water, increased ultraviolet light due to loss of the ozone layer, introduced predators, over collecting, climatic changes, and general environmental degradation as factors that have been invoked to explain northern leopard frog population declines. Aquatic habitats are vulnerable to modification and degradation through numerous natural and anthropogenic factors. They are subject to a number of natural predators, including the recently described chytrid fungus and introduced predatory fishes and bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*).

Plains leopard frog (*Rana blairi*)

This frog inhabits the margins of streams, natural and artificial ponds, reservoirs, creek pools, irrigation ditches, and other water bodies in plains grassland, sand hills, stream valleys, or canyon bottoms. One researcher found general habitat differences between the plains and northern leopard frog in Nebraska. Plains and northern leopard frogs have some overlap between their ranges. The plains leopard frog occurs mainly along turbid streams in areas of loess soils, whereas the northern leopard frog was typically associated with clear streams in areas of sandy soils. Plains frogs may disperse and feed far from water during mild, wet conditions. Winter is spent underwater at the bottom of ponds and deep pools. Their primary activity period in Colorado extends between March and April through October, and probably warm periods in November as well. These frogs are capable of long dispersal movements. Some plains frogs were found to move up to five miles between ponds from one year to the next (Hammerson 1999). This species occurs in the Great Plains portion of the Arkansas River drainage in southeastern Colorado and in the Republican River drainage in northeastern Colorado at elevations below 6,000 ft. It inhabits the margins of streams, natural and artificial ponds, reservoirs, creek pools, irrigation ditches, and other bodies of water in plains grassland, sandhills, stream valleys, or canyon bottoms (Hammerson 1999). Some potential habitat is present at the eastern edge of the Wet Mountains where a plains leopard frog was found, according to Hammerson (1999).

BIRDS

American peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus anatum*)

This falcon was formerly listed as an endangered species (listed on June 2, 1970) under the precursor of the ESA. Restrictions on pesticide use as well as implementation of various management acts, including release of approximately 6,000 captive reared falcons resulted in the attainment of recovery goals and delisting of the peregrine falcon occurred on August 25, 1999 (FWS 1999b). Monitoring results from 2003 indicate that the peregrine population is secure and vital (FWS 2006c). When peregrine populations hit their lowest point, the last remaining eyries were those that were located on cliffs higher than 200 ft. Kingery (1998) and Andrews and Righter (1992) describe peregrine falcon habitat and behavior as follows. Breeding pairs usually nest on

ledges of high cliffs. Peregrines nest on foothills and mountain cliffs from 4,500 to over 9,000 ft; although most nest sites (eyries) are near the lower end of this range. Piñon-Juniper grows in the vicinity of about one-half of all the nest sites and ponderosa pine at about one-quarter of the sites. The typical eyrie has a wide view, plenty of prey is available in the vicinity, is near water, receives little disturbance, has a level site at least 2 ft in diameter, and has a sheltering overhang and some debris (e.g., sand, sticks) for constructing a scrape for the eggs (Kingery 1998, Andrews and Righter 1992). The Forest has several known historical and active nests (over the last several years) and other potential nesting areas that have not been surveyed.

American three-toed woodpecker (*Picoides dorsalis*)

This woodpecker is considered a rare, year-round resident that is widely distributed throughout the coniferous forests of the northern and western portions of North America (Andrews and Righter 1992, Udvardy 1977). It is considered a rare summer and winter resident at elevations from approximately 8,000 to 11,000 ft, and a very rare winter resident between 5,000 and 8,000 ft (Andrews and Righter 1992). In Colorado, the species is restricted to the western half of the state, being rare or locally uncommon in the higher mountains and rare in the lower mountains (Andrews and Righter 1992). The three-toed woodpecker is a primary cavity nester (Harrison 1979). Both sexes excavate the nest hole, generally 5 to 20 ft above the ground and rarely up to 40 ft. Cavities are excavated in a live or dead conifer or aspen, or in a utility pole (Harrison 1979). The species is loosely colonial where nesting habitat is particularly suitable and the food supply is abundant (Harrison 1979). This woodpecker inhabits primarily spruce-fir forest, but where insect populations are high as a result of fires or large die-offs due to insect infestation or disease, it may also occur in ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir, and lodgepole pine forests.

This woodpecker is associated with snag abundance and insect outbreaks from disease or fire. History of fire suppression has led to fewer large-scale burned over areas, but has also led to highly favorable conditions for infestations of the wood-boring insects that this species primarily feeds upon. Seventy-five percent of their diet consists of wood-boring beetles and in Colorado their abundance correlates with the abundance of the spruce bark beetle (Ehrlich et al. 1988). They forage on wood-boring insect larvae and pupae beetles, which they extract from beneath the bark and by scaling patches of bark from dead trees. This species may be one of the most important birds in combating forest insect pests in the U.S. In Colorado, they forage on spruce beetles for 65% of their annual diet and 99% of their winter diet. They also eat ants, wood-boring larvae, caterpillars, fruits, mast, and cambium. This species is most common in years and in areas where forest stands have high insect populations due to fire or disease (Andrews and Righter 1992). Bock and Bock (1974) state the woodpecker may be seen foraging on willows or other low brush near timberline. Threats include incompatible forestry practices, deforestation, and fire suppression activities. Wiggins (2004) lists the most likely threats to be salvage logging, suppression of bark beetle outbreaks, and logging of old-growth forests.

Black swift (*Cypseloides niger*)

Swifts invariably nest on vertical or precipitous cliffs or rock faces near or behind high waterfalls, or in dripping caves. Other than the above requirement, they inhabit a variety of landscapes, from seacoasts to the Rocky Mountains. Black swifts spend most of the daylight hours pursuing aerial insects, often ranging far from nesting areas in search of the abundant but patchy preferred food resources. Foraging birds range at high elevations widely (over most montane and adjacent lowland

habitats and the adults typically return to feed the young at in the evening. They sometimes cruise over the summits of 14,000 ft peaks and over croplands or deserts 25 miles from nesting colonies. The slow developing nestlings fledge much later (45-49 days) than most other swift species and are still on the nest well into September (Kingery 1998, Andrews and Righter 1992). Black swift nesting has been document on the Forest in both the Wet Mountains and the Sangre de Cristo ranges [R. Torretta and J. Grabowski (Valledares), USFS, pers. obs., 2006 and 2000, respectively].

Boreal owl (*Aegolius funereus*)

This owl inhabits primarily mature spruce-fir forests, but can also be found in lodgepole pine, mixed conifer, Douglas-fir, and aspen interspersed with meadows (Udvardy 1977, Andrews and Righter 1992). It inhabits coniferous woodlands occurring in the higher mountain areas statewide from 9,500 to 11,500 ft in elevation (Andrews and Righter 1992). Boreal owls prefer extensive growth of stunted spruce in close proximity to open grasslands-meadows which provide prey species (especially voles), preferring to nest in forests with a relatively high density of large trees (10 inches diameter at breast height [dbh] and larger), open understory, and multi-layered canopy (Hayward 1997). The boreal owl is a secondary cavity nester that utilizes deserted woodpecker holes or natural cavities in snags with at least 15-inch dbh (Harrison 1979). This owl is a year-round resident in Colorado. Nesting and breeding activity most likely occurs from mid-February-late April, and eggs are laid from April-June (Udvardy 1977). The boreal owl primarily consumes voles and other small mammals, and like most owls exhibits nocturnal to crepuscular activity (Udvardy 1977). Voles, primarily red-backed voles (*Clethrionomys gapperi*), comprised 79% of boreal owl prey items in Colorado (Hayward and Hayward 1993). Home range may cover as many as 2,200 ac, but only a small area around the nest is defended during the breeding season.

This non-migratory species has been recently observed as a year-round resident throughout most of the west-central portion of Colorado, inhabiting the proper habitat and elevations in most of the higher ranges of the state (Andrews and Righter 1992). Boreal owls disperse readily across non-forested areas. In most years boreal owls are highly sedentary, remaining in the same home range throughout the year for several years. The first confirmed breeding records were in 1981 and 1982 at Cameron Pass, located in the north-central portion of the state in Larimer County (Andrews and Righter 1992). Although breeding is suspected in other portions of the state, other breeding locations have not yet been confirmed (Andrews and Righter 1992). These owls are more abundant in numbers today than previously thought.

Boreal owls tend to avoid openings, such as clearcuts and open meadows, except for occasional use of edges for foraging. However, radio marked owls have been observed moving long distances, including movements across inhospitable habitat. It is unlikely that owls would use conventional or graded trails, roads, or lifts because these areas would be cleared of the downed woody debris that provides essential habitat for prey species. The overall effect of vegetation disturbance in spruce-fir, lodgepole pine, and mixed coniferous forest stands would be to decrease habitat suitability of the area for boreal owls by decreasing nesting, roosting and foraging habitat (Hayward 1997). Nest sites would be lost due to a loss of trees with cavities suitable for nesting. Elimination of forest within individuals' home ranges would reduce roosting opportunities (Hayward and Hayward 1993). Changes in forest structure or tree species composition will affect boreal owls by changing prey abundance or availability. Given that boreal owls hunt from perches, forest removal affecting areas greater than several hectares will always eliminate foraging habitat even if prey populations

increase (Hayward 1997). Also, changes in forest structure or composition that influence red-backed vole populations will likely influence boreal owl populations. No evidence exists that disturbance is an important factor in nest loss or owl movements. Boreal owls tolerate human and machine noise well. In Colorado, boreal owls have nested within 100 ft of a major highway. These owls also tolerate frequent direct nest inspection and will deliver prey to the nest, even when humans are within several meters (Hayward 1997). However, because the Southern Rocky Mountains are the southern periphery of this species' range in North America they have relatively low reproduction and potentially higher mortality than their northern counterparts. In addition, they are secondary cavity nesters relying on large trees that are generally lacking, may combine to make this species more vulnerable to outside factors than their northern counterparts. Boreal owls have been documented on the Forest. A boreal owl call was heard in the Spanish Peaks area by Forest Service personnel during owl surveys (R. Torretta and D. Bressan, USFS, pers. obs. 2006).

Brewer's sparrow (*Spizella breweri*)

This species is sagebrush obligate that is often the most abundant songbird in sagebrush shrubsteppe habitats. This assessment focuses on the *S. b. breweri* subspecies, which is found in USDA Forest Service (USFS) Region 2. Brewer's sparrow is considered globally "secure" by the Natural Heritage Program because of its wide distribution across North America. However, according to the Breeding Bird Survey, Brewer's sparrow populations have declined by over 50 percent during the past 25 years. Brewer's sparrow populations within the states of USFS Region 2 have exhibited similar long-term declines; in fact, declines in Colorado and Nebraska have outpaced national trends. In South Dakota and Kansas, the species is considered "imperiled" by the states' natural heritage programs. The Brewer's sparrow is listed as a priority species in the Colorado and Wyoming Partners in Flight bird conservation plans. It is also listed as a species of special concern by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Holmes and Johnson 2005). The Colorado Breeding Bird Atlas shows confirmed breeding evidence for the brewer's sparrow in Lake County (Kingery 1998).

Flammulated owl (*Otus flammeolus*)

This owl is an uncommon to common summer resident in the foothills and lower mountains of Colorado (Andrews and Righter 1992). This species appears to be more common than most observers previously realized (Andrews and Righter 1992); however, this may be a result of improved survey techniques and increased survey effort. The range of the flammulated owl is restricted to montane forests of the western U.S. and Canada. It extends throughout the west with the eastern limit formed by prairies adjacent to the Rocky Mountain forest (Peterson 1990, McCallum 1994, Verner 1994). In Colorado, this owl is known or suspected to breed throughout most of the western half of the state (Andrews and Righter 1992).

Flammulated owls are associated with mature (greater than 150 yrs) to old-growth (greater than 200 yrs) ponderosa pine and ponderosa-Douglas-fir forests, often mixed with mature aspen, and has been observed in pure aspen stands (Andrews and Righter 1992, Reynolds and Linkhart 1992). They also occur in old-growth piñon-juniper woodlands (Andrews and Righter 1992). Ponderosa pine forests typically occur from 5,500 to 9,500 ft (Andrews and Righter 1992). Douglas-fir forests are usually mixed with ponderosa pine, lodgepole pine, and aspen and occur from 5,500 to 10,500 ft (Andrews and Righter 1992). Occupied home ranges tend to occur on ridges and south-facing slopes. These owls will apparently forage in grassland-forage edges (Goggans 1986) as well as in tree crowns (Reynolds and Linkhart 1987).

This neo-tropical species is migratory, spending the winters in Mexico and Central America. In Colorado, migrating male flammulated owls generally return to breeding territories during the first week of May, while females may return as late as the first week of June and begin pair formation at that time (Reynolds and Linkhart 1987). They display a high degree of site tenacity as adults, retuning from their wintering grounds to the same or neighboring territories. The primary breeding season typically lasts from mid-May to mid-June.

Flammulated owls are secondary cavity nesters that use a variety of mature tree species as long as there are cavities, an open forest structure for foraging on insects, and brush/dense foliage for roosting (Kingery 1998). These owls consume mainly nocturnal arthropods and hunt exclusively at night. Reynolds and Linkhart (1987) reported that noctuid moths appeared to be the only food available to owls during cold spring nights in Colorado.

Because this species shows a close association with older ponderosa forests, declines in the extent of mature and older ponderosa pine due to timber harvest and fires (i.e., fires from settlement and mining periods) may have led to declines in the species. Fire suppression has changed the disturbance regime of these forests substantially, and because of this, mature and older forests are at greater risks to stand replacement fires. Various Forest Service personnel have documented flammulated owls visually and audibly on the Forest over the years, most recently in 2006 (J. Javernick and R. Torretta, USFS, pers. obs. 2006).

Gunnison sage-grouse (*Centrocercus minimus*)

The sage grouse was recognized as a distinct species in 2000. On June 13, 2002, the USFWS included the Gunnison sage grouse on their Candidate Notice of Review (CNOR) list as a candidate species (FWS 2002). On April 18, 2006, USFWS found that the listing was not warranted and removed the Gunnison sage grouse from the candidate list (FWS 2006b). The Gunnison sage grouse uses a variety of habitats throughout the year, but the primary component necessary is a species of sagebrush (*Artemisia* spp.). The most important species is the subspecies big sagebrush (*A. tridentata*), which is used for hiding, thermal cover, as well as a major source of food in the winter (Hupp and Braun 1989). From mid-March to early June males will display on leks (i.e., strutting grounds) that are open areas with good visibility (for predator detection) and acoustics (for male display sounds) (FWS 2002). Nest sites are typically in tall and dense stands of sagebrush and sagebrush near wet meadows with tall grasses for hiding. From mid-September into November sage grouse use upland areas with 20% or greater sagebrush cover with some forbs. During deep winter snows, they typically forage in taller sagebrush and roost in shorter sagebrush along ridge tops, and roosting on south or west facing slopes.

The historic range for this species is southwestern Colorado, southwestern Kansas, northwestern Oklahoma, northern New Mexico, northeastern Arizona, and southeastern Utah. Currently there are eight populations in Colorado, with the largest in the Gunnison Basin. In 1999, the CDOW began a population supplement release program in the Poncha Pass area, located in the northern portion of the San Luis Valley, in Saguache County, near the Salida Ranger District. Adults have been observed in the Marshall Pass and Starvation Creek vicinity on the Salida District (P. Canterbury, CDOW pers. com 2002). It is unknown if historic populations in northern Chaffee and Lake Counties were Greater or Gunnison's sage-grouse. There are no known or suspected leks on the

Forest; however, summer and fall habitats are likely present in the Poncha Pass vicinity on the Salida District. Building and town development has caused direct loss and fragmentation of habitat as well as indirect losses through degradation of surrounding habitat by human activities (FWS 2002). For more information about this species see the *Gunnison Sage-Grouse Rangewide Conservation Plan* (GSRSC 2005).

Lewis's Woodpecker (*Melanerpes lewis*)

This woodpecker prefers open pine forests, burnt-over areas with abundant snags and stumps, riparian and rural cottonwoods, and piñon-juniper woodlands (Kingery 1998). Andrews and Righter (1992) stated that Lewis's woodpeckers are year round residents in the foothills of southern Colorado and use lowland and foothill riparian forests, agricultural areas and urban areas with tall deciduous trees, but rarely in piñon-juniper woodlands. Their elevation preferences appear to be between 3,500 and 7,000 ft, with rare or accidental records as high as 12,000 ft in the Wet Mountains of Custer and Pueblo counties. On the southeastern plains, it occurs mostly in open farmland with scattered tall cottonwoods and avoids riparian forests (Bock et al. 1971 in CNDIS 2004). Locally, the woodpecker is a fairly common resident in valleys, plains, foothills, and mesas in southern Colorado from Mesa, Chaffee, southwestern El Paso, and Prowers counties southward. On the southeastern plains, about half of the birds were resident and half wintered to the west in the southern foothills (Hadow 1973 in CNDIS 2004). It seems to be especially common in the Durango area, La Plata County and in southwestern Baca County. Early in the 1900s, they were primarily mountain birds in Colorado, preferring ponderosa pine habitat for breeding. By the late 1950s, they had expanded their nesting onto the plains as cottonwoods in the stream bottoms and around farms matured. Data from the Colorado Breeding Bird Atlas work (Kingery 1998) shows this species typically breeding in riparian habitats, using old decadent cottonwoods to nest in (Kingery 1998). During the breeding season, they feed almost exclusively on emergent insects versus grubs, unlike other woodpeckers.

Loggerhead shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*)

Shrikes are migrant and summer residents and primarily inhabit open riparian areas, agricultural areas, grasslands, and shrublands, especially semi-desert shrublands, and sometimes piñon-juniper woodlands. Breeding birds are typically near isolated trees or shrubs (Andrews and Righter 1992). Shrikes eat mostly insects, but vertebrates such as birds, reptiles (lizards), frogs, and toads also make up a significant portion of their diet. Shrikes are found to have breeding sites at elevations ranging from below 4,000 ft to possibly as high as 8,900 ft (Kingery 1998). Loggerheads are listed as rare to uncommon in the grasslands and vicinity by Andrews and Righter (1992). Mainly an eastern plains species in Colorado, the loggerhead shrike is often in open habitats with trees less than 15 ft for nesting (Kingery 1998). Nearly all breeding occurs below 8,900 ft elevation (Andrews and Righter 1992). There are no confirmed breeding records in the mountain parks or mountains.

Northern goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis*)

Goshawks inhabit mixed hardwood and coniferous forests in temperate and boreal regions from 7,500 to 11,000 ft in elevation; however, they are occasionally found below 7,000 ft in winter and during migration. They may also utilize other coniferous forest types and structures as available. In Colorado, the goshawk is found throughout the forested mountains. They prefer woodlands with intermediate canopy coverage interspersed with fields or wetlands in remote areas.

In the West, goshawks commonly nest in the lower portions of mature Douglas-fir, ponderosa pine, lodgepole pine, or aspen canopies (Andrews and Righter 1992), and prefer old-growth structure, although a variety of habitats may be used throughout the region. Goshawks typically nest in large hardwood trees that are most often found within mature to old-growth forests. These stands most often have high (60-90%) canopy closure with little understory and are often associated with north facing slopes and drainages. The same nest may be used for several seasons. Most nests in Colorado are located on gentle slopes (less than 40%) with a north to east aspect on benches or basins surrounded by much steeper slopes. Sites usually have a sparse understory, which contrasts with dense, multi-leveled understory observed in Oregon. All nest sites in Colorado were located within 1,148 ft of openings. Mature trees serve as perch sites, while plucking posts are frequently located in denser portions of the secondary canopy. In Colorado, goshawks frequently select aspen and occasionally select pine, spruce, fir, and juniper for nest construction. Birds often return to the same nest site year after year and will use alternate nests within the same territory over several years (Harrison 1979).

In the southwestern U.S., the Forest Service (Reynolds et al. 1992) recommends 5,400 ac for a foraging area for the goshawk. Prey consists of birds, small mammals, and occasionally insects (Udvardy 1977). Goshawks hunt for prey in dense woodlands, clearings, and open fields. Goshawks hunt from a perch or while flying through the forest, or pursue prey on the ground, but usually fly low to attack animals by surprise.

Goshawks have been recorded at several locations on the Forest and appear to be fairly common on their breeding grounds in the northern forests and in the forested mountains of the west. However, there has been concern over the last decade regarding potential decline in species abundance in the FS Southwestern Region and elsewhere in the western U.S. Nesting habitat is the most important component in limiting distribution and number of birds. Breeding goshawks are sensitive to disturbance during the nesting season (Richardson and Miller 1997). Intrusion into the nest site may cause adult birds to flush from their nest for long periods of time, directly affecting the viability of embryos or nestlings. Breeding pairs will aggressively defend the nesting territory during incubation and fledging periods. Modification or destruction of goshawk nesting habitat and human disturbance during nesting represent the greatest endangerments to this species, and may directly affect nest site use. Logging activities have resulted in nest abandonment on National Forest-managed lands due to the habitat alteration that occurs with these operations. Timber harvesting, extensive fragmentation of habitat by roads and other development, and increasing human activity may threaten goshawk habitat suitability and quantity. Most lower elevation ponderosa pine forests are in early successional stages, are substantially roaded, and are being increasingly developed which threatens goshawks.

Northern harrier (*Circus cyaneus*)

Harriers are a resident in most of Colorado during migration and summer but they are rarely found in the analysis area. They inhabit grasslands, agricultural areas, and marshes, but in fall they also range up to the alpine tundra. Nesting habitat requires abundant cover such as tall wetland vegetation and grasses. Northern harriers' diet varies upon season of year and consists primarily of small- and medium-sized mammals, primarily rodents, birds (chiefly passerines and small waterbirds), reptiles, and frogs in summer; during the winter, in the northern part of their range, it is almost exclusively *Microtus* voles; in the southern part, mammals and birds (MacWhirter and

Bildstein 1996). They have been observed at elevations ranging from approximately 3,500-13,000 ft (Kingery 1998, Andrews and Righter 1992).

Olive-sided flycatcher (*Contopus cooperi*)

This flycatcher is a breeding mountain resident between 7,000 and 11,000 ft (Jones 1998). It is associated with the mature spruce/fir forest, particularly if there are large conifers, bogs, and meadows present (Kingery 1998), preferring areas with abundant snags (Ehrlich et al. 1988). This flycatcher is classified as a passive searcher who forages primarily by sallying, concentrating on prey available via aerial attack. They have a broad range of preferences in habitat structures. They prefer to nest high up in the conifers where their larger body size is well hidden, but they normally forage from dead perches where the visibility of flying insects is better and aerial maneuvers are easier (Eckhardt 1979). Olive-sided flycatchers have been documented in the Newlin Creek drainage of the Wet Mountains (R. Torretta, USFS, pers. obs. 2005).

Purple martin (*Progne subis*)

This species is a summer resident of the mountains of western Colorado, but occasionally is found on the east slope and plains. In Colorado, it is known to breed in loose colonies of old growth aspen forests but also inhabits deciduous riparian woodlands, aspen stands, open coniferous forests, burns with snags, woodland edges and urban areas. Nesting occurs in tree cavities or eaves of buildings.

White-tailed ptarmigan (*Lagopus leucurus*)

Ptarmigans primarily occur in alpine tundra. Areas that are mostly snow free early in the season are used for breeding, and females with broods generally occur on rocky, wet tundra. Males generally winter above timberline in areas of short willow thickets, while females often winter at or below timberline in taller, denser willow thickets and along willow-dominated watercourses (Hoffman and Braun 1975). Ptarmigan distribution is closely associated with availability of mesic vegetation in spring and summer, particularly willow. Common local winter residents are found above treeline and in higher mountains below treeline. During fall and winter, they are very concentrated, and large areas may be unoccupied. Females may winter below timberline in higher mountains, and in areas of western Colorado where snowfall is heavy, both sexes move below timberline. There are records into the lower mountains in late fall and winter. They have high energy and nutrient requirements due to their high metabolic rates. Consequently, they must maximize their use of plant productivity by closely tracking plant phenology. While vegetation cover and proximity of water are important, most occupied habitats also contained boulders which may provide cover and help them regulate, particular on hot or windy days (Johnson 1968, May 1975). White-tailed ptarmigan have been documented in the Mount Shavano area on the Forest (R. Torretta, USFS, pers. obs. 2003).

Yellow-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*)

The western subspecies is a candidate species for federal listing and is not expected to occur on Forest-managed lands. However, the eastern subspecies may occur on Forest-managed lands. See species narrative in above threatened, endangered, and candidate species section.

MAMMALS

American marten (*Martes americana*)

Martens are mink-sized nocturnal members of the mustelid family inhabiting late-successional forest communities throughout boreal forests in northern North America (Allen 1987). In Colorado, martens are found at elevations from 7,000 to 13,000 ft, but are most common above 9,000 ft. Optimum habitat elements appear to be mature and old-growth spruce-fir (*Picea-Abies*) communities with greater than 30% canopy cover, well established understory of fallen logs and stumps, and lush shrub and forb vegetation to support prey (Burnett 1981). Martens show consistent close association with mesic, dense coniferous forests with complex physical structure near the ground (Buskirk and Powell 1994). During the winter, they prefer mature and old-growth over younger-aged and deciduous cover; summer habitat use is somewhat broader. Stand structure is more important than species composition. Complex physical structure, especially near the ground appears to address three important life needs of martens. It provides protection from predators; access to the subnivean spaces where most prey is captured in winter; and provides protective thermal microenvironment, especially in winter (Buskirk and Powell 1994). Principal winter habitat consists of mature spruce-fir and lodgepole pine forests with moderate to high-density canopy cover (30-50%). Marten also inhabit tundra rock piles and talus slopes in the summer months (Koehler and Hornocker 1977, Allen 1987, Towry 1984, Buskirk and Powell 1994). Eighty percent of all marten observations in Colorado have occurred in spruce-fir or forest types in which spruce was a component (Allen 1987) and they are rare to absent in stands dominated by Ponderosa pine and piñon pine (Buskirk et al. 1989). They prefer a mosaic landscape and avoid large clear-cut or burned areas for about 15 years until dense overhead cover returns (Koehler and Hornocker 1977, Soutiere 1979). Martens make little use of open clearings without overhead cover (Spencer et al. 1983, Steventon 1979, Steventon and Major 1982, Buskirk and Powell 1994), but may use riparian areas and meadows (Spencer et al. 1983) and forest edges (Simon 1980). They avoid hunting in winter across openings, but they might utilize these in summer if food and cover are available (Koehler and Hornocker 1977, Soutiere 1979). They stay close to overhead cover and investigate openings to subnivean spaces where CWD penetrates the snow surface in winter (Buskirk and Powell 1994).

Large snags, CWD, large live trees, and squirrel middens are important characteristics of maternal dens. CWD, especially in the form of large-diameter tree boles is an important habitat component for martens (Buskirk and Powell 1994), providing thermal protection, access to subnivean spaces, and escape cover (Corn and Raphael 1992). Martens are generalists, eating a variety of vertebrates smaller and larger than themselves with much seasonal variation. They feed mainly on small mammals (e.g., red squirrels, snowshoe hares, voles [*Clethrionomys* spp., *Microtus* spp.], pine squirrels [*Tamiasciurus hudsonius*], and ground squirrels [*Spermophilus* spp.]), birds, insects, fruits and nuts, and carrion (Fitzgerald et al. 1994). Dens are located in hollow trees, used squirrel nests, rock piles, and hollow logs. Normal home range is one square mile for males and one-quarter square mile for females, although they may range as far as 15 miles. Martens breed in July and August and give birth the following April. Winter distribution of martens may be governed more by prey availability than by other habitat factors (Soutiere 1979), and are regulated by food throughout the year (Clark 1984, Simon 1980). Martens are active at all seasons (Halvorson 1961), but are more active in the summer than winter. Generally, but not always, they are solitary

(Herman and Fuller 1974). They are primarily crepuscular (Markley and Bassett 1942), although they can be active at any time of the day or at night.

Trapping has direct impacts to marten populations (Buskirk and Powell 1994). Marten densities also decline with increasing loss of forest cover from timber harvesting; at the landscape level, 25 to 30% loss of forest cover may represent a threshold of habitat suitability. Populations are sensitive to habitat alterations resulting from timber harvest, snag removal, and firewood gathering (Finch 1992). In general, martens are not considered highly sensitive to human disturbance although, increased access through the creation of trails and campsites may have an adverse affect on individuals (Hayward and Garton 1989). Martens have a smaller distribution now than in pre-settlement times (Gibilisco 1994). It still occurs throughout most of the northern portion of North America, but because of habitat loss, it has been extirpated from many southeastern areas of Canada and northeastern U.S. Habitat management practices that favor martens include maintaining forest diversity through natural processes and human activity, and retaining old-growth spruce-fir communities (Burnett 1981, Clark 1984). Continuous optimum habitat should be connected to smaller habitat patches by corridors of at least marginal habitat is important. Marten does not use clear cuts in the winter. Forest management that minimizes clear-cutting, maintaining shrub understory, and at least 30% canopy cover such as selective timber harvests are less detrimental than clear cuts, and may benefit martens. Marten may forage in burned areas with abundant CWD. Dead standing and leaning trees and snags provide denning and hunting sites for martens that if retained in addition to slash piles, downed timber, and other logging debris may benefit martens. In Sierra Nevada, riparian areas protected from livestock grazing appear to have more marten use than those areas with heavy grazing pressure.

Common hog-nosed skunk (*Conepatus leuconotus*)

Little information exists on the life history/ecology of hog-nosed skunk. Hog-nosed skunks are omnivorous and consume insects, small mammals and reptiles, fruits, berries, and nuts. In addition to the above, it is speculated that hog-nosed skunk are primarily nocturnal (Fitzgerald et al. 1994). Hog-nosed skunks are primarily a mammal of Mexico and the southwestern U.S. with records from southeastern Colorado marking the northern extreme of the species' range. Colorado records are from canyon lands, frequently about piñon stands (Armstrong 1972). Fitzgerald et al. (1994) identifies the hog-nosed skunk's habitat to be that of rocky canyon country in piñon-juniper woodlands and montane shrublands of the Southwest; it has also been reported from desert and grassland environments. Colorado records are associated with oakbrush and piñon-juniper woodland in the southeastern portion of the state. Hog-nosed skunks use rocky ledges, caves, abandoned mines, abandoned burrows, woodrat (*Neotoma* spp.) nests, and similar sites for denning. In Texas, they were found to feed mostly on terrestrial insects. However, they also consumed small reptiles and mammals, carrion, and vegetable matter such as prickly pear fruits, berries, and nuts. They seemed to spend a large portion of their time rooting for insects with the snout and long front claws (Fitzgerald et al. 1994). A confirmed hog-nosed skunk skull was located in the Babcock Hole Area on the San Carlos Ranger District in June 2000 (M. Mellaci [White], USFS 2000). It was located in ponderosa pine/oakbrush woodlands with rock outcroppings and rimrock.

Fringed myotis (*Myotis thysanodes*)

Fringed myotis bat status in Colorado is poorly known and they are apparently not common in the state. They are found in ponderosa pine woodlands, greasewood, oakbrush, and saltbrush

shrublands; preferring coniferous woodlands and desert scrub habitats (Fitzgerald et al. 1994). Fringed myotis are gleaners, where they pick prey off the vegetation while maneuvering close to the plant canopy. They have a relatively broad diet, feeding on moths, beetles, caddis flies, ants, wasps, bees, and other insects (Fitzgerald et al. 1994, Armstrong 1972). Snags are very important for this species for roost sites. Suitable snag densities for fringed myotis bats are likely over 8 large snags per acre and, in California, regular pockets containing over 80 large snags per hectare (32/ac) could be necessary to support fringed myotis populations (Keinath 2004). Individuals utilize crevices, mines, caves, or buildings for both day and night roosts. Hibernation sights include both caves and buildings. Fringed myotis winter range is not known in Colorado (Fitzgerald et al. 1994, Armstrong 1972), although they have been documented near the Forest. This species was documented in the Westcliffe area in 2001 (Navo et al. 2002) and again in 2006 in an abandoned mine (L. Bonewell, CDOW/USFS/BLM, pers. obs. 2006). These sightings are all near the San Carlos District.

Gunnison's prairie dog (*Cynomys gunnisoni*)

The species of prairie dog are found in mesic plateaus, higher mountain valleys, and lowlands. They are colonial rodents that inhabit grasslands and semi-desert and montane shrublands. Their diet consists mostly of grasses and sedges. Grasses and forbs are gathered for nesting materials, especially in late summer. They do not require free water. The animals are diurnal, with bimodal peaks of activity common during the warmer parts of the year. They hibernate during the winter months. In central Colorado around 10,000 ft, individuals entered burrows by October and emerged in mid-April. Hibernation periods are shorter at lower elevations and some individuals may even appear above ground in the winter months. Predators include golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*), badgers (*Taxidea taxus*), coyotes (*Canis latrans*), bobcats (*Lynx rufus*), and red-tailed hawks (*Buteo jamaicensis*). They range in elevation from 6,000-12,000 ft (Fitzgerald et al. 1994). The Forest contains marginal Gunnison's prairie dog habitat due to the isolated and fragmented nature of its grasslands, although a sizable population occurs off Forest in the Arkansas River Valley in Chaffee County near the Salida District (Knowles 2002).

Pygmy shrew (*Sorex hoyi*)

There are two distinct subspecies in the region, with *S. h. montanus* occupying high elevation, mesic coniferous mountain forests in Northern Colorado and South-Central Wyoming (Beauvais and McCumber 2006). This subspecies prefers moist coniferous forests, possibly preferring late-seral stands and edges between wet and dry forest types. They are found in "wet conifer forests" with all known locations found in montane or subalpine landscapes dominated by conifer forests and dense stream networks that interact with various bogs, marshes, and other wetlands (Beauvais and McCumber 2006). This species is completely insectivorous, eating mostly arthropods (Beauvais and McCumber 2006). See the USDA, Region 2 Species Conservation Assessment (Beauvais and McCumber 2006) for additional information. Beauvais and Smith (2005) summarized known locations and identified potential habitat for this subspecies within the region; which include areas on the Medicine Bow-Routt, Arapahoe-Roosevelt, White River, and Grand Mesa-Gunnison-Uncompahgre National Forests. Based on statistical models of other similar habitats, they concluded that potential habitat is not present on the Forest, however no surveys have been conducted for this species on our Forest and similar habitat features described for this species do occur on the Forest.

Rocky Mountain Bighorn Sheep (*Ovis canadensis canadensis*)

Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep are distributed throughout the mountainous regions of western North America from British Columbia and Alberta south to northern New Mexico and central Arizona. Their current distribution is confined to scattered populations in open or semi-open, often precipitous, terrain characterized by a mix of steep or gentle slopes, broken cliffs, rock outcrops, and canyons and their adjacent river benches and mesa tops. Slope steepness appears to be a significant feature of bighorn sheep habitat. They use slopes of 36 to 80% in Montana and Colorado, while avoiding slopes less than 20% (Beecham et al. 2007). Bighorn sheep are primarily animals of open habitats, such as alpine meadows, open grasslands, shrub-steppe, talus slopes, rock outcrops, and cliffs; in some places, however, they may use areas of deciduous and conifer forests, especially where openings may have been created by clear-cuts or fire (Beecham et al. 2007). Densely forested areas provide little forage and poor visibility and are rarely used by bighorn sheep, except for shade in summer, escape from insects, and protection from high winds on very cold days (Beecham et al. 2007). Open forests, however, are used in some areas for foraging and thermal cover (Beecham et al. 2007).

Seasonal use of different slopes and aspects results in a mosaic of plant communities and phenological patterns which provide foraging and security opportunities for bighorn sheep (Valdez and Krausman 1999). Visibility is an important habitat variable for bighorn sheep, so much so that the structure and height of vegetation are probably more important than composition of plant species because high visibility facilitates the detection of predators (Beecham et al. 2007). While bighorns feed in open areas, they are rarely found more than 400 meters from escape cover, where they have an advantage over most predators (Beecham et al. 2007). Talus slopes, rock outcrops, and cliffs provide habitat for resting, lambing, and escape cover (Beecham et al. 2007).

Mountain sheep are very gregarious and spend much of their life in groups, therefore transmission of diseases and parasites are important factors. Disease is probably the most important limiting factor affecting bighorn sheep, often causing large (over 50%) and sudden (under 12 months) declines (Shackleton et al. 1999). Major bighorn sheep population declines have occurred in North America since the late 1800's, often resulting from contact with domestic sheep and environmental stress (Beecham et al. 2007). Pasteurellosis also appears to be responsible for many large-scale die-offs.

Factors other than disease that influence mortality rates in bighorns may include inclement weather, inbreeding depression, poor maternal condition, poor mothering skills, human disturbance, and predators. At the root of these proximal mortality factors are those population and habitat conditions that lead to extreme birthing dates, poor range conditions, high population density, and the quality of escape cover (Hass 1989).

Strongholds within Region 2 are found in northwestern Wyoming and south-central Colorado (including the southern portion of the Forest). Colorado has the largest number of bighorn sheep in the US (approximately 7,200 sheep). Although bighorn sheep numbers declined dramatically with the settling of the West and are currently at less than 10% of historic numbers, they are still considered somewhat secure throughout much of their range including in Colorado (NatureServe 2003). The southern portion of the Forest (Sangre de Cristo and Spanish Peaks on the San Carlos

District) has been identified as a “low risk area of extirpation” in Colorado (Beecham et al. 2007) due to relatively large herd sizes and numbers, fairly good connective corridors and vegetation conditions, and others. Conversely, the northern portion of the Forest including northeastern Salida District and the eastern portion of the Leadville District have been identified as having a “high risk of extirpation” due to the presence of domestic grazing allotments (even though they are not currently stocked at this time), poor connectivity between several small herds among other factors (Beecham et al. 2007).

Many regional sheep herds are vulnerable because they consist of small numbers (often less than 100 animals while many biologists consider herds with less than 200 animals at risk due to extrinsic factors), are isolated from adjacent sheep populations (sometimes by large expanses of unsuitable habitat), and because many are threatened by disease transmitted from domestic livestock (Beecham et al. 2007). Threats to the long-term viability of bighorn sheep in Region 2 include diseases transmitted by domestic livestock, the lack of connectivity and/or loss of genetic variability (fitness) due to habitat fragmentation, habitat loss, increased human disturbance, competition with domestic livestock, and predation on small, isolated herds.

From the late 1800’s through the mid-1900’s, bighorn sheep populations experienced significant declines across their range as a result of diseases introduced from domestic livestock, unregulated and market hunting, habitat loss, and competition from domestic livestock. In the 1960’s, many western states, including those in Region 2, began active bighorn sheep transplant programs in an effort to augment small, remnant sheep populations and to reintroduce bighorns into historic, but vacant, habitat. Factors associated with bighorn sheep declines included overgrazing by and competition with domestic sheep and cattle in the 1800’s; introduction of domestic sheep diseases; unregulated hunting, including market hunting; habitat loss; competition from mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) and elk (*Cervus elaphus*); disturbance from mining, logging, oil and gas exploration, road construction, and other human related causes (Beecham et al. 2007).

See the USDA, Region 2 Species Conservation Assessment (Beecham et al. 2007) for additional information: *Rocky Mountain Bighorn Sheep (Ovis canadensis): A Technical Conservation Assessment*.

Townsend’s big-eared bat (*Plecotus townsendii*)

It is a cave dwelling bat within a wide variety of habitats. According to Schmidt (2003), most accounts of its habitat focus on the requirement for suitable roosts including caves, mines, and rocky ledges and overhangs. It has been reported to use basal hollows of old-growth trees and is common in mesic habitats with coniferous and deciduous forests. Townsend’s big-eared bats are extremely sensitive to roost disturbance, including loud noise but apparently are not negatively impacted by some commercial thinning (Schmidt 2003). In Colorado, this bat inhabits the rough, “broken country” vegetation typical of brush or open woodland (Armstrong 1987) at elevations up to 9,500 ft (Fitzgerald et al. 1994). Edge habitat seems to be a preferred habitat of some big-eared bats, primarily because it may be it is easier for them to feed where there are fewer branches to avoid while pursuing prey and it is able to discriminate insects at greater distances. Big-eared bats glean insects from leaves, with a majority of their foraging occurring over water (Fitzgerald et al. 1994). The edge habitat also provides nearby cover and an abundance of moths for bats (Clark et al. 1993). A Townsend’s big-eared bat was caught about eight miles east of Westcliffe, CO in an

abandoned mine (L. Bonewell, CDOW/USFS/BLM, pers. obs. 2006), about six miles from the San Carlos District in the Wet Mountains.

Wolverine (*Gulo gulo*)

The distribution of wolverines is circumpolar and they occupy tundra, taiga, and forest zones of North America and Eurasia (Kvam et al. 1988, Wilson 1982). The wolverine's historic range in the conterminous U.S. encompasses the northwest, west coast, interior Rocky Mountain region, the Dakotas, extreme western and northern Wyoming, and possibly New Mexico and Arizona. Despite the historical sightings in Colorado, the status and distribution of wolverine populations is not known, although they are considered rare (Nead et al. 1985). It is not known whether the Colorado Rocky Mountains historically supported a self-sustaining wolverine population (Banci 1994). This ecoprovince is isolated from the north by the Central Rocky Mountain and Wyoming Basins. Investigations by the state of Colorado in 1997 indicated the possible presence of wolverines in some parts of the state; however, this effort was not able to verify or confirm suspected observations. Recent credible, but unverified reports of wolverines have been received from the several National Forest-managed lands in Colorado. Information on wolverine abundance is not available; therefore estimating population trends cannot be done at this time. It is believed that populations in the Southern Rocky Mountains are extremely low and are not sustainable at current levels. In Colorado, National Forest System lands may contain the best potential habitat for wolverine because of the large core wilderness areas that are found there (CDOW 1998).

Little is known about the habitat requirements or preferences for the wolverine in Colorado; therefore, studies from other portions of their range must be used to provide information on their habitat requirements. Researchers have generally described their habitat as best defined in terms of adequate year-round food supplies in large, sparsely inhabited wilderness areas, rather than in terms of particular types of topography or plant associations (Kelsall 1981). The wolverine is a solitary, secretive species that inhabits remote habitats of tundra, open and barren rock laden alpine zones, and dense high mountain subalpine coniferous forests (Fitzgerald et al. 1994). Wolverines are found in a variety of habitats and do not appear to avoid open areas in some portions of their range; although use in open areas may be limited. In Montana, they occasionally cross clearcuts in a straight line and running gait, as compared to a more leisurely and meandering pattern as in forested areas (Banci 1994).

Wolverine dens consist of natural cavities, including uprooted trees or hollow logs, under accumulation of CWD, or any other protected place such as rocky areas (e.g., talus slopes, boulder fields) (Palmer 1954). Den sites are generally located in remote glacial cirques that maintain snow cover throughout late winter. Wolverines require large areas of undisturbed habitat because of their large home range and sensitivity to disturbance (CDOW 1998). Wolverine densities are naturally low even in the most pristine habitats. Home ranges are extremely large and densities are low, and may be anywhere from 25-250 square miles for a single animal, with the largest being 770 square miles (Krott 1959, Quick 1953, Banci and Harestad 1990, Hornocker and Hash 1981). Adult wolverines do not defend territories, and home ranges may overlap between individuals of the same and opposite sex (Banci and Harestad 1990, Hornocker and Hash 1981). They are solitary except during the breeding season when males and females remaining together for 2-3 days (Magoun 1985). The wolverine is both a scavenger and hunter that may travel many miles a day (up to 18 mi, or more) to feed on carcasses, small and large mammals, and roots and berries, depending on

the season (Haglund 1966, Krott 1960). Wolverine predation on big game has not been documented in North America (Hornocker and Hash 1981). Dispersing young have been recorded traveling 186 mi in Alaska (Magoun 1985). While deep and powdery snow hinders the movements of ungulates, the large paws of wolverines enable them to travel easily under the same conditions. They remain active throughout the year and are agile swimmers and tree climbers (Grinnell 1926, Reed 1956, Krott 1960, Murie 1963). They are generally nocturnal, although some activity can occur during the day (Haglund 1966, Pulliainen and Ovaskainen 1975, Wilson 1982).

Activities that increase availability of foods (e.g., large mammals) generally will affect wolverines positively; whereas those that reduce prey populations will do so negatively. However, land use activities may exclude wolverines from areas that ungulates still use if these habitats do not provide for wolverine's other life needs (Banci 1994). Human presence alone is not deterrence to the presence of wolverines; there are likely combinations of factors that underlie the presence or absence of self-sustaining populations of wolverines (Banci 1994). Habitat loss and predation by humans (e.g., trapping and road kill) are the most important factors affecting wolverine numbers (Hornocker and Hash 1981, Macdonald 1985). Low population densities combined with the requirement of vast undisturbed wilderness areas make this species highly sensitive to human intrusion into its habitat, particularly at natal den sites (Hayward and Garton 1989). Backcountry activity in the region is increasing dramatically in denning habitat during denning season, and may threaten reproductive success. Hornocker and Hash (1981) suggested that human access on snowmobiles and ORVs in winter and early spring could cause behavioral disturbance. They seem to have been most affected by activities that fragment and supplant habitat, such as human settlement, excessive logging, oil and gas development, mining, recreation development, and accompanying access (Banci 1994). Habitat blocks and interconnectivity may be degraded and even severed by highway expansions and development. Females have been known to move their young several miles from a den site due to disturbance caused by a single human intruder to the denning territory. These factors, in combination with very large home ranges, naturally low densities of individuals, low reproductive rates, and delayed sexual maturity, all play important roles in their numbers and distribution.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE

As defined under the ESA, the environmental baseline includes past and present impacts of all federal, state, and private actions in the action area; the anticipated impacts of all proposed federal actions in the action area that have undergone formal or early section 7 consultation; and the impact of state and private actions which are contemporaneous with the section 7 consultation process. This section in combination with the previous section defines the current status of the species and its habitat on the Forest and provides a platform to assess the effects of the proposed action under consultation with the FWS. The LRMP identifies past and planned FS activities on the PSICC, which includes the Forest. In addition to the activities identified below, please refer to the LRMP for additional information regarding federal actions on the Forest. Many of these are ongoing activities that can be also considered as cumulative effects.

3.1 PAST AND CURRENT ACTIVITIES OCCURRING ON THE FOREST

3.1.1 MINING

Throughout the Forest and adjacent state and private lands, there are many mining claims and districts, a few of which are still active today. Much of the Forest and surrounding region lies within the region commonly referred to as the “Mineral Belt” that has had active small- and large-scale mineral activities for a variety of locatable minerals including: placer gold, iron ore, manganese, molybdenum, tungsten, thorium, uranium, and gemstones, among others. Throughout the vicinity, there have been thousands of smaller mining operations on federal and private lands, producing millions of dollars of a variety of minerals. Many of these smaller operations utilized hand tools, sluice box, and small suction dredging operations for the extraction of free flowing gold in placer operations and other mineral extraction techniques for a variety of mining operations. Roads were built to access these operations that have fragmented the landscape, increased human disturbances to wildlife, increased erosion and sediment into streams, and impacted habitat throughout the Forest. Roads have facilitated the spread of invasive species and noxious weeds, which have changed species composition of the Forest, increased competition with native plant species, and altered fire regimes, which all have adversely affected many of the plant and wildlife species addressed here.

Mining alters soils, accelerate soil erosion, and contaminate adjacent soils with heavy metals or chemical pollution, which in turn has eliminated or displaced plants, fish, and wildlife species (Brock and Green 2003). Mining pollution has also seriously degraded some streams and stream segments. Water contamination by runoff from mining can be particularly lethal to amphibians and lethal to many other aquatic and even terrestrial organisms. Diana and Beasley (1998) showed that a diverse array of mining byproducts, such as mercury, cadmium, lead, acidification, aluminum, zinc, iron, and copper, are deleterious to various amphibians. These chemicals can cause increased mortality as well as malformations in a variety of fish and wildlife species, particularly aquatic life. In addition to indirect effects from runoff, large areas have been directly impacted by the large mining operations where vegetation and habitat has been removed, displacing many species for a number of years until habitat components redevelop, although this process may be extremely slow. Activities associated with mining typically include haul roads or railroads that can indirectly cause substantial impacts to animal behavior and migration routes, increased sedimentation, introduction of noxious weeds, etc (see Roads section below for additional discussion). Succession of abandoned mine spoils may take tens to hundreds of years to recover (Ogle and Redente 1988) and will impact these species in the long-term. Some abandoned mine sites potentially benefit some wildlife species such as bats that may use them as roost sites and hibernacula and other species that may use rock piles, ponds, and restored mine lands that can create habitat and provide forage areas to attract wildlife species (National Research Council 1999).

3.1.2 RECREATION

Approximately 84% of the recreation use on the Forest occurs within the Roaded Natural, Rural, and Urban classes (LRMP). The remaining 16% takes place in Primitive and Semi-primitive classes. Where resources attract intensive recreational use (i.e., developed recreation such as the Monarch and Cooper Ski Areas) major investments in recreational facilities and visitor assistance

has been made. Specific management direction for these areas is to provide for resource protection and for public health, safety, and enjoyment. Both primitive and semi-primitive recreation use occur in Roaded Natural settings which include scenic drives, highways, timber harvest areas, and adjacent lands. Because Roaded Natural areas are located on relatively gentle terrain with abundant access, most of the total acreage is usable by recreational activities.

Historically, recreation use on the Forest and adjacent BLM, state, and private lands has fluctuated dramatically, although it has increased substantially over the past two decades. Use has increased and is expected to continue this trend because of expanding populations in the Front Range cities (e.g., Denver, Colorado Springs, Pueblo). Motorized touring (e.g., automobiles, four-wheeled drive vehicles, off-road vehicles [ORVs], and snowmobiles) is the most prevalent recreational activity on the Forest, followed by camping, hiking, and mountain climbing, and other activities such as fishing, hunting, and horseback riding.

Recreation activities have greatly influenced the travel system throughout the Forest. Trails and user created new routes that have become established over time (and eventually viewed by the public as system roads or trails) have impacts to wildlife and plant populations by fragmenting and decreasing habitat effectiveness and capability within the Forest.

Lastly, hatchery stocking and recreational angling have contributed significantly to the presence of whirling disease on the Forest, lethal to most native and nonnative trout species.

Nonnative brook have been stocked and are present in most streams throughout the Forest. The presence and competitive advantage brook trout have over others fish species was a primary factor in the federal listing of greenback cutthroat trout as a threatened species under the ESA.

3.1.3 ROADS

Roads have facilitated the spread of invasive and noxious weeds which have changed species composition of the Forest, increased competition with native plant species, and altered fire regimes which has adversely affected many plant and wildlife species addressed here. For dispersed recreation, many people utilize the extensive roads network (both system and non-system) to walk their dogs, run, bike, hunt, target shoot, drive four-wheel vehicles, hunt, cross-country ski, and snowmobile. Many roads were primarily built for timber harvesting, mining, homesteading residential development, administering grazing operations, and recreational development; these activities have fragmented the landscape, increased human disturbances to wildlife, and removed or impacted habitat throughout the Forest. These activities have directly affected species addressed in this assessment. Many roads are located in low-lying areas adjacent to watercourses because of the gentler terrain. The location of these roads is problematic for several reasons. Roads impact aquatic systems in complex ways including blocking fish passage, introducing fine sediment and nonnative species, damaging riparian vegetation necessary for channel stability, altering the amount of shading and cover, direct channel infringement and increasing access and predation by anglers (Switalski et al. 2004). Roads have directly and indirectly degraded aquatic habitats throughout the Forest. Traffic volumes on most roads have risen steadily over the last 15 years and are projected to continue growing. High traffic volumes and speeds can impede the movements of numerous wildlife species due to mortality and perceived disturbance by animals.

Roadsides are preferred sites for colonization of invasive (noxious weeds) that can affect TEPS species in the short and long-term. In particular, Brock and Green (2003) state once invasive plants (noxious weeds) enter an ecosystem, there can be drastic changes. Most human-induced erosion on the Forest is related to ground disturbing activities, such as road and trail construction and maintenance, which have negatively impacted riparian and aquatic habitats. Vitousek et al. (1996) consider invasive plants to be one of the six factors indicating global environmental change, along with changes in atmospheric carbon dioxide, biogeochemical cycles, persistent organic compounds, land use and cover changes, and harvesting of natural populations. Invasive species are often more aggressive in sequestering nitrogen or water than native species. Often, colonization by invasive species results in fragmentation of habitats, which reduces ecological networks in the native communities and puts more stress on rare and endangered organisms. Invasive vegetation alters the composition or the structure of an area and changes ecosystem function. A change from diverse plant communities to lower diversity or a monoculture results in a change of energy flow and the food web. With the loss of biodiversity, there is often a change in physical aspects of watersheds such as changes in surface hydrology, regeneration of ground water, and changes in fire regimes. The extinction of native species and changes in ecosystem processes and functions, such as food webs, water yield in quantity and quality, and protection of soil resources, are results of these plant invasions.

As mentioned above, increased use of ORVs for recreational use has resulted in an extensive “user-created” network of travel routes (i.e., non-system routes). These new routes become established over time and eventually are viewed by the public as system roads or trails; thereby increasing their use. The creation of new routes has decreased habitat effectiveness and capability within the Forest in the short and long-term that has affected habitat use patterns and distribution of many wildlife species. Plants and animals are disturbed by human action, especially concentrated recreation sites and along roads and trails. Concentrated recreation areas can also be sites of invasion by undesirable plants (noxious weeds). Recreation may interfere with biotic communities by causing habitat fragmentation and animal disturbance, which may negatively influence animal behavior (Brock and Green 2003). Roads have facilitated the spread of invasive and noxious weeds, which have changed species composition of the Forest, increased competition with native plant species, and altered fire regimes, which has adversely affected many plant and wildlife species addressed here.

Each of the above activities have incrementally impacted many fish, wildlife, and plant species addressed in this assessment directly, indirectly, and cumulatively through fragmentation, habitat loss, and loss of effectiveness through human disturbance.

3.1.4 SPECIAL USE PERMITS

A variety of special use permits (SUPs) occur throughout the Forest including: right-of-ways (e.g., water and gas pipelines and overland electronic transmission lines). The Forest includes the headwaters of the Arkansas River and other sub-watersheds, providing municipal water supplies for Front Range cities and agricultural uses (e.g., Antero Reservoir). There are also hundreds of small-scale recreational and non-recreational special uses (e.g., single residence powerlines, waterlines, road access permits, etc.) within the Planning Unit. These facilities have caused habitat loss and

degradation, habitat fragmentation, mortality, human disturbance, snow compaction, and restriction of movement across the landscape; all of which have directly and indirectly affected species.

3.1.5 WILDFIRES AND PRESCRIBED FIRES

Except for changes in climate, wildfires historically had the largest single impact in shaping the ecology of Front Range forests prior to Euro-American settlement. Whether lightning-caused, started by native peoples, unintentionally or deliberately set by settlers, wildfires were a frequent event over much of this area. Major consequences of such frequent fires were the maintenance of a relatively open forest structure in many mid-elevation forests, the prevention of tree encroachment into mountain meadows and grasslands, and in some areas the replacement of forested land with grassland, savannah, and other fire-adapted shrub communities such as oak (*Quercus gambellii*) or mountain mahogany (*Cercocarpus montanus*) over the landscape.

Historically fire has been a frequent and major ecological factor on the Forest and adjacent lands. Fire regimes are based on fuel type and condition, ignition sources, topography, and the weather at the time of ignition. Fire exclusion efforts in the past 100 years or so, combined with other land-use practices have in many places dramatically altered natural fire regimes so that present day fires tend to be larger and more severe, having substantial effects to the ecosystem and species dependant on them for survival. Fuel build up is perhaps one of the biggest contributors to current trends of larger and more catastrophic wildland fires. It is widely believed that fuel loading over much of the west is currently outside the historical range of variability (HRV). HRV considers: (1) the range and variation in characteristics of the “natural” regime; (2) “natural” regimes are considered to be the period prior to Euro-American settlement with climate similar to current; and (3) generally described by the average, minimum, maximum, and median for a 300 to 400 year time period. The historic fire regimes have changed dramatically since settlement suggesting that fuel amounts may have contributed, increasing the fire intervals, which were once very short in some forests such as ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*). Livestock grazing may have played an important role in removing fine fuels that carried frequent, surface fires; roads and trails broke up the continuity of forest fuels and became barriers, further reducing fire frequency and size. Fire suppression beginning in the early half of the twentieth century by settlers and land management agencies also played a major role in the cessation of these frequent, natural fires. Forests with historically frequent, low intensity fires in ponderosa pine forests have been most affected with shifts in forest structure and historically unprecedented increases in tree density (Brown et al. 1999). The forests are generally denser and have a higher canopy closure, which have benefited some species and adversely affected others that require more open, less dense areas. Other forests, such as spruce (*Picea* spp.), lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*), and others have longer fire return intervals and they have not been as affected by fire suppression activities, thus wildlife species using those areas have been impacted to a lesser degree.


Over the past decade, the Forest averaged approximately 40 fires per year, burning 318 ac annually. Approximately 60% of these fires are natural ignitions with the balance of the fires (40%) being human caused. Most (92%) of the fires are Class B or smaller fires (10 ac or less) (D. Toelle, BLM, pers. comm. 2003). Prescribed fires change wildlife habitats within the Forest and adjacent areas. Prescribed fires are used alone and with other management activities to restore or maintain desirable plant community attributes, as well as important ecological processes. Prescribed fire is used as a

tool to enhance ecosystem resiliency and to maintain desired fuel levels. Projects within the Forest and nearby BLM, state, and private lands have included broadcast burning as well as slash and pile burning within designated public fuelwood areas and other areas to reduce fuel loading. Current fuels management activities on the Forest treat approximately 9,000-ac forest-wide, using a combination of both mechanical and prescribed fire treatments. These projects typically include an emphasis on fire-risk reduction in and around human communities and hazard fuel reduction to reduce the risk of crown fires, and larger projects with a goal to enhance resilience and sustainability of ecosystems. Prescribed fire may, however, burn too hot or outside of the normal (historic) fire season that may lead to changes in species composition and encourage growth of invasive and noxious weeds, which may adversely affect many species, addressed here.

3.1.6 TIMBER HARVEST

Historically, the amount of timber harvesting on the Forest and nearby lands has fluctuated dramatically since it was established. There were four general periods with relatively high volumes of timber harvested on the Forest (Figure 1). The first period was during the 1880's to 1910's, during the mining boom when the area was first being settled. In addition, with increased accessibility of the region by railroads, greater numbers of people were also lured into the region, resulting in increased demands for timber products. Vast areas of the Forest including those within the analysis area were harvested to support mining activities, including charcoal operations, and timbers needed for mining, railroad expansions, housing, furniture, fuelwood, and other needs. Much of the more accessible areas were initially high-graded, with the most valuable trees harvested first, and then as supplies dwindled, smaller lower valued trees were harvested. Harvest levels decreased, then increased again during World War II to support a number of local lumber mills in the area. Harvesting primarily occurred in spruce-fir forests that were typically harvested by clearcutting. During 1939 to 1952, there was an extensive spruce beetle (*Dendroctonus rufipennis*) outbreak, which affected old-growth spruce and fir. Some of these trees were salvaged during this time. The third and fourth periods of relatively high timber harvest activity came during the 1960's, and again in the 1980's, as a result of increased fuelwood and salvage sales. Harvest methods varied from selective harvests such as shelterwood, and selection cuts, to relatively small clearcut harvests. Roads constructed to access these areas have facilitated the spread of invasive and noxious weeds, which have changed species composition of the Forest, increased competition with native plant species, and altered fire regimes, which has adversely affected many plant and wildlife species addressed here.

Figure 1. Timber harvest activity on the PSICC from 1941-2001. (Source: PSICC) Note volumes include the Pike and San Isabel National Forests and do not show volumes for the San Isabel separately; however, this figure shows a general trend of the amount of harvest activity during this period.



Over the past decade timber harvest levels has averaged about 5,000 ccf (1 ccf=1,000 cubic ft) over approximately 1,100 ac per year on the Forest. Timber harvesting on adjacent BLM, state, and private lands has occurred at approximately similar levels as those on the Forest, in response to market fluctuations, fuel reduction efforts, and insect outbreaks. In addition, a number of timber stand improvement projects have occurred on the Forest. Elevated mountain pine beetle (MPB) (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) populations in Colorado in recent years have resulted in increased ponderosa pine mortality, which many wildlife species rely heavily on for food and shelter. As a result, several salvage sales, fuel reduction timber sales, and fuelwood sales have been completed on the Forest. MPB infestations have periodically occurred in ponderosa pine and other forest types historically on the Forest, and have been at high levels in portions of the Forest over the past several years. In addition to MPB, Ips beetle (*Ips confusus*) infestations in piñon pine are also increasing on the Forest, partially as a result of recent drought conditions and overcrowding making them more susceptible to infections.

Romme et al. 2006 states that perceived current “epidemics” of MPB observed today may in fact be well within the historical range in variability and Colorado, and the Rocky Mountains have coexisted with these native bark beetles and defoliators for thousands of years. They found that there is no evidence to support that current levels are unnaturally high, as similar outbreaks have occurred in the past. Romme et al. (2006) identified four complex and interactive ecological factors that control insect populations such as MPB in Colorado, thus affecting species that rely on these habitats.

1. Long-term drought, which stresses trees and makes them more vulnerable to insects;
2. Warm summers, which further stress the trees and may accelerate growth of insects;
3. Warm winters, which enhance survival of insect larvae; and
4. Abundant food (trees) for insects in Colorado’s extensive and often dense forests.

Fuelwood harvests have also occurred and are currently underway over many portions of the Forest. These activities cause direct and indirect effects on many wildlife species by removing CWD and snags, reduction in tree density and canopy cover, increased soil compaction and erosion, and increased human disturbance. A number of salvage timber sales and fuel reduction projects are currently underway or planned on the Forest. In general, these activities have drastically altered the landscape with the removal of much of the late-successional and old-growth trees, snags, and CWD that was present pre-Euro-American settlement. These activities have reduced the vertical and horizontal structural diversity throughout much of the harvested areas, depending on harvest methods and intensities. In addition, tree species composition has changed over time as particular species have been selected over others, and regeneration species were of different composition, or forests reverted to earlier successional stages. For instance, the occurrence of Douglas-fir was likely more prevalent on portions of the Forest prior to Euro-American settlement than currently occurs on the Forest. Past timber harvest activities, particularly those that occurred on the Forest in the late 1800's and early 1900's caused substantial effects to many of the species addressed in this assessment by changing the vegetation composition and the horizontal and vertical structure of the Forest. Activities compacted soil, increased erosion and sediment in streams, facilitated the spread of noxious weeds, etc. These changes undoubtedly had considerable direct and indirect adverse effects by removing and adversely affecting breeding, feeding, sheltering, and suitable habitat areas for many of these species.

Historical and current management activities particularly, historical grazing and timber management activities, have degraded riparian and aquatic resources to varying degrees on the Forest. Impacts from these activities have reduced the capacity of riparian areas to function properly. Vegetation next to water bodies plays a major role in sustaining the long-term integrity of aquatic systems. Values provided include shade, bank stability, fish cover, woody debris input, storage and release of sediment, flood attenuation, surface-ground water interactions, and plant-and-animal habitats. Riparian zones must be managed with care to protect these values. Increased sedimentation resulting from poorly functioning riparian buffers can cause stream channel disequilibria, elevated water temperatures, reduced pool habitat, increased turbidity, reduced large woody debris recruitment, reduced spawning habitat and reduced spawning success.

3.1.7 LIVESTOCK GRAZING

Livestock grazing (e.g., cattle and sheep) on the Forest and adjacent lands has fluctuated over years, reaching a peak in the early 1900's to the 1930's. The number of animals was reduced on the Forest from the 1930's through 60's because of degraded watershed conditions. Historical descriptions of the Forest described livestock utilization and impacts include: 1) livestock roamed freely over the early timber reserves; 2) the most severe damage due to overgrazing was in riparian habitats; 3) in at least some non-riparian habitats livestock damaged tree regeneration through browsing and trampling; and 4) local observations reported a decline in productivity and shifts in species composition of some plant communities which were directly attributed to livestock grazing (Verblen et al. 2000). Over the last 60 years canopy cover in forested areas, open parks, and meadows have increased in many areas of the Forest. This has resulted in reduced forage production for a variety of species directly and indirectly.

Livestock grazing impacts some plant and vertebrate populations, primarily through indirect effects on the habitat structure and prey availability, although there are also direct effects such as trampling (Bull et al. 2001). Direct effects of grazing can also include the removal of vegetative cover (biomass) and trampling of grass and shrubs. Indirect effects of grazing include altered forage composition, reduced vigor of plants, increased soil compaction, and accelerated soil erosion resulting in a reduction of land productivity (Page et al. 1978).

Monitoring data on the Forest are limited; however, the best available data indicates varying degrees of impacts on grazing allotments on the Forest. Some allotments show steep downward trends, while others are stable or improving. Most notably, several allotments on the Salida and Leadville Districts show dramatic shifts in the amount of bare ground and in species composition. For example: bare ground has fluctuated over the years, but it appears to have generally increased since the late 1970's and is at its highest recorded levels on several of the cover-frequency transects on these allotments. Without question, the clearest trend is a shift in species composition, away from desirable palatable species and toward intermediate and undesirable species. Some monitoring sites are composed of as much as 80% undesirable plant species compared to only 14% desirable species. While the amount of bare ground and species composition shifts slowly under climatic change, the trend observed here is more likely a result of livestock grazing, since the shift is consistently away from species palatable to livestock and toward species unpalatable to livestock. While drought may play a role in annual fluctuations in cover, it is unlikely that such large and consistent species composition shifts are a result of drought unless poor grazing management accompanies the drought cycle. A shift in composition may be exacerbated by drought, but management of livestock grazing must respond to drought in order to prevent such shifts. Some allotments, particularly on the San Carlos District show more moderate downward, stable, or improving trends.

Because livestock congregate in riparian ecosystems, which are among the biologically richest habitats, the ecological costs of grazing are magnified (Fleischner 1994). The most extensive human-caused influence on riparian zones in the western U.S. has been livestock grazing (Ehrhart and Hansen 1997). Overgrazing by livestock is considered the most widespread cause of deterioration of riparian systems on public lands (Knopf and Cannon 1982). Historical and current management activities have degraded riparian and aquatic resources to varying degrees on the Forest. Livestock negatively affects four general components of riparian systems: 1) streamside vegetation; 2) stream channel morphology; 3) shape and quality of water column; and 4) structure of streambank soil (Platts 1979, 1981a, 1981b, Kauffman and Krueger 1984, Platts and Nelson 1989). Livestock grazing negatively impacts the riparian zones along stream corridors by changing, reducing, or eliminating vegetation, and can eliminate riparian zones altogether through channel widening, channel aggrading, or lowering of the water table (Platts 1991). Livestock grazing in riparian areas can cause changes in plant species composition (Schulz and Leininger 1991), reduce structural complexity (Ohmart and Anderson 1986), reduce understory, and replace native species with nonnative species (Krueper et al, 2003). Arnold (1950) determined that livestock grazing substantially reduced vegetative cover, especially perennial bunchgrasses, leading to a shift in species composition and more perennial weeds. Livestock grazing has been shown to reduce or eliminate *Salix* (willow) in riparian ecosystems (Kovalchik and Elmore 1992).

Historical livestock grazing activities has heavily influenced the Forest. Impacts from these activities, especially grazing have reduced the capacity of riparian areas to function properly. Both domestic and wildlife species often aggregate in riparian areas causing a lowering of the water table, reduced bank stability and changes in vegetative conditions. Increased sedimentation resulting from poorly functioning riparian buffers can cause stream channel disequilibria, elevated water temperatures, reduced pool habitat, increased turbidity, reduced large woody debris recruitment, reduced spawning habitat and reduced spawning success. Improper grazing practices can adversely affect riparian areas and species that depend on them (Brock and Green 2003). Grazed riparian areas typically have less ground cover, a poorly developed understory and midstory, and decreased vegetative biomass when compared to similar ungrazed riparian areas (Krueper 1995). The compatibility of grazing in riparian areas depends on the extent to which grazing management has considered and adapted to certain basic ecological relationships. Past grazing practices have affected natural functions on riparian ecosystems; the growth and reproduction of woody and herbaceous plants in these areas; hydrologic and geomorphic conditions and processes (e.g., downcutting); soils (e.g., erosion); and water quality (Leonard et al. 1997), altering species' habitat directly and indirectly. The degradation of western riparian habitats began with severe overgrazing in the late Nineteenth Century. Many areas of the Forest have not yet recovered from that early grazing. A minimum of 95% of the riparian habitats in the west have been lost, altered, or degraded by human-induced change (Ohmart 1994). Livestock have been a contributing factor to the entrenching of stream channels in the Southwest, including many of the areas on the Forest.

3.1.8 HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

The Front Range Region of Colorado and areas surrounding the Forest have experienced exponential growth over the past few decades. Although residential development is not occurring on the National Forest itself, adjacent private lands are experiencing substantial growth. Population trends have increased dramatically over the past decade and are expected to continue to increase in the region into the future. For example, the estimated population of Chaffee County in April 1990 was 12,684 and it increased to 16,242 in April 2000, an average of 2.4% increase per year (CDLA 2003). While much of this growth occurred in towns and other urban areas, certainly a high portion of this growth was outside of urban areas. For example, several new subdivisions have been built adjacent to the Forest and within inholdings that are adversely affecting plant and wildlife species addressed in this assessment by increasing fragmentation, human disturbance, increased recreational use, and other associated activities. In addition, housing units and human developments within wildland/urban interface areas immediately adjacent to the Forest substantially increase the risk of catastrophic wildfires on the Forest. Efforts are currently underway to decrease these threats; however, vast areas have yet to be treated and new areas adjacent to the Forest are continuing to be developed.

One of most urgent threats to wildlife species throughout the U.S. is the loss and fragmentation of habitat. The removal of vegetation and natural features required for many large-scale and high-density developments directly and indirectly impacts many species. Contributing to habitat fragmentation is the construction of new roads that access high-density developments adjacent to the Forest and within private in-holdings. While few new permanent roads are being constructed on Forest-managed lands, their proximity to Forest boundaries results in the same net effect in fragmenting fish and wildlife habitat. For a thorough discussion of the many other negative impacts

of roads see Section 3.1.1 of this document. Habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation are widely accepted causes contributing to raptor population declines worldwide (Newton 1979, LeFranc and Millsap 1984). Habitat fragmentation is the process by which a large and continuous block of natural habitat is transformed into much smaller and isolated patches by human activity (Noss and Csuti 1994). Fragmentation has two components (1) reduction of the total amount of habitat type and (2) apportionment of remaining habitat into smaller, more isolated patches (Harris 1984, Wilcove et al. 1986, Saunders et al. 1991). Vegetation next to water bodies plays a major role in sustaining the long-term integrity of aquatic systems. Values provided include shade, bank stability, fish cover, woody debris input, storage and release of sediment, flood attenuation, surface-ground water interactions, and plant-and-animal habitats. Riparian Zones must be managed with care to protect these values. Stream flow modifications have also been detrimental to aquatic resources through dewatering of streams and increased flow in some cases due to trans-continental water diversions to urban areas on the Front Range and elsewhere to support increased human development there as well.

Secondary impacts to wildlife species from developments include: casualties caused by pest control, pollution, collisions with cars, radio towers, glass windows, power lines, and cat predation are often underestimated, although likely increasing in occurrence due to human population growth (Banks 1979, Klem 1979, Churcher and Lawton 1987). Even where human-related deaths are uncommon, they may still substantially affect populations of rare birds (Cartron et al. 2000) and other species.

3.1.9 CLIMATE CHANGE

It has been well documented by numerous studies – the world is warming. Over the last 100 years, the global average temperature has increased by approximately $.07^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Price and Root 2005). The United Nations projects a further increase in global mean temperatures of between 1.4° - 5.8°C by the year 2100. Significant increases in the amounts of carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), and nitrous oxide (N_2O) in the atmosphere, leading to an enhancement of the earth's natural greenhouse effect (Price and Root 2005). These increases in greenhouse gases can largely be attributed to human activities, including burning of fossil fuels and land use changes such as deforestation. There is evidence that earlier arrival dates, breeding dates, and changes in distribution of neotropical migrant birds, and the average latitude of occurrence of some species of North American birds has shifted northward by almost 100 km (60 mi) in the last 20 years (Price and Root 2005). Numerous studies have shown shifts in density, which can be created by a change in abundance within the range of species, and/or a shift in range boundaries. Ultimately, the greatest impact on plants and wildlife may not be from the climate change itself, but rather from the rate of change. Given enough time, many species would likely be able to adapt to shifts in the climate, as they have done in the past. However, the current projected rate of warming is thought to be greater now than has occurred at any time in the last 10,000 years (IPCC 2007).

4.0 LITERATURE CITED AND DATA SOURCES

- Allen, A.W. 1987. The relationship between habitat and furbearers. *In*: M. Novak, J.A. Baker, M.E. Obbard comps., (eds.). Wild furbearer management and conservation in North America. North Bay, Ontario: Ontario Trappers Assoc. 164-179.
- Allen, H., R. Friesz, and R.E. Fitzner. 1987. The status of ferruginous hawks (*Buteo regalis*) nesting sites in Washington. Raptor Research Found, proceedings from November 1985 symposium.
- American Ornithologists' Union (AOU), Committee on Classification and Nomenclature. 1983. Check-list of North American Birds. Sixth Ed. American Ornithologists' Union, Allen Press, Inc., Lawrence, KS.
- Anderson, D. 2004a. *Gilia sedifolia* Brandeg. (stonecrop gilia): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Anderson, D. 2004b. *Ipomopsis polyantha* (Rydberg) V. Grant (Pagosa ipomopsis): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Anderson, D. 2004c. *Potentilla rupicola* Osterhout (rock cinquefoil): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Anderson, D. 2004d. *Neoparrya lithophila* Mathias (Bill's neoparrya): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Anderson, D. 2006a. *Festuca hallii* (Vasey) Piper (Hall's fescue): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>.
- Anderson, D. 2006b. *Lesquerella pruinosus* Greene (Pagosa bladderpod): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Anderson, T. (2005). Rocky Mountain Capshell Snail (*Acroloxus coloradensis*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Andrews, R. and R. Righter. 1992. Colorado birds, a reference to their distribution and habitat. Denver Museum of Natural History. Denver, CO. 442 pgs.
- Anthony, R.G. and F.B. Isaacs. 1981. Characteristics of bald eagle nest sites in Oregon. Report to Crown Zellerbach Corp. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, contract No. 14-16-001-77028. 28 pgs.
- Anthony R.G., R.L. Knight, G.T. Allen, B.R. McClelland, and J.I. Hodges. 1982. Habitat use by nesting and roosting bald eagles in the Pacific Northwest. *Trans. N. Am. Wildl. Nat. Resour. Conf.* 47:332-342.
- Armstrong, D.M. 1972. Distribution of mammals in Colorado. Univ. of Kansas Printing Service. Lawrence, KS. 415 pgs.
- Armstrong, D.M., R.A. Adams, K.W. Navo, J. Freeman, and S.J. Bissell. 2006. Bats of Colorado: shadows in the night. [On-line] Colorado Division of Wildlife. Available: <http://wildlife.state.co.us/WildlifeSpecies/Profiles/Mammals/BatsofColorado/>
- Ball, P.W., and A.A. Reznicek. 2002. *Carex*. *In*: Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 1993. Flora of North America North of Mexico. 12 vols. New York and Oxford. Vol. 23.
- Banci, V. A. 1994. Wolverine. Pgs. 99-127. *In* The scientific basis for conserving forest carnivores in the western United States. (L. F. Ruggiero, K. B. Aubry, S. W. Buskirk, L. J. Lyon and W. J. Zielinski, eds.). USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-254, Fort Collins, CO.
- Banci, V. and A. Harestad. 1990. Home range and habitat use of wolverines (*Gulo gulo*) in Yukon, CN. *Holarctic Ecology* 13:195-200.
- Banks, R.C. 1979. Human-related mortality of birds in the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Spec. Sci. Rep. Wildl. No. 215. Barrowclough, G.F., R.J. Gutierrez, and J.G. Groth. 1999. Phylogeography of spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis*) populations based on mitochondrial DNA sequences: gene flow, genetic structure, and a novel biogeographic pattern. *Evolution* 53(3):919-931.
- Beatty, B., W. Jennings, and R. Rawlinson. 2003. *Penstemon absarokensis* Evert (Absaroka Range beardtongue): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Beatty, B., W. Jennings, and R. Rawlinson. 2004a. *Oreoxis humilis* Raf. (Rocky Mountain alpineparsley): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>

- Beatty, B., W. Jennings, and R. Rawlinson. 2004b. *Pyrocoma carthamoides* Hook. var. *subsquarrosa* (Greene) G. Brown & Keil (largeflower goldenweed): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Beatty, B., W. Jennings, and R. Rawlinson. 2004c. *Machaeranthera coloradoensis* (Colorado tanseyaster): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Beauvais, G.P. and J. McCumber. (2006, November 30). Pygmy shrew (*Sorex hoyi*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Beauvais, G.P. and R. Smith. 2005. Predictive distribution maps for 54 species of management concern in the Rocky Mountain Region of the USDA Forest Service. Wyoming Natural Diversity Database. Univ. of Wyoming. Laramie, WY.
- Beecham, J.J. Jr., C.P. Collins, and T.D. Reynolds. 2007. Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*): A technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Behnke, R.J. 1992. Native Trout of Western North America. American fisheries Society Monograph 6, Bethesda. MD.
- Beier, P., and J. Maschinski. 2003. Threatened, endangered, and sensitive species. Pgs. 306-327. In Ecological restoration of southwestern ponderosa pine forests, ed. Peter Friederici. Washington D.C. Island Press.
- Belica, L.T. and N.P. Nibbelink. 2006. Mountain sucker, (*Catostomus platyrhynchus*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>.
- Boag, D.A. 1986. Dispersal in pond snails: potential role of waterfowl. Canadian Journal of Zoology and Engineering Research Council Grant 2010.
- Bock, C.E. and J.H. Bock. 1974. On the geographical ecology and evolution of the three-toed woodpeckers (*Picoides tridactylus* and *Picoides arcticus*). Am Mid Nat 92(2): 397-405.
- Bonewell, L. 2006. CDOW Bats/Inactive Mines Project, Denver CO. Pers.obs. of fringed myotis and Townsend's big-eared bat.
- Boyle, S. 2006. North American River Otter (*Lontra canadensis*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Boyle, S.A. and D.R. Reeder. 2005. Colorado Sagebrush: a conservation assessment and strategy. Grand Junction: Colorado Division of Wildlife.
- Brock, J.H. and D.M. Green. 2003. Impacts of livestock grazing, mining, recreation, roads, and other land uses on watershed resources. Journ. of Arizona-Nevada Academy of Sciences 35(1):11-22.
- Brown, P.M., M.R. Kaufmann, and W.D. Shepperd. 1999. Long-term, landscape patterns of past fire events in a montane pine forest of central Colorado. Landscape Ecology, 14: 513-532.
- Bull, E.L., J.W. Deal, and J.E. Hohmann. 2001. Avian and amphibian use of fenced and unfenced stock ponds in northeastern Oregon forests. Res. Pap. PNW-RP 539. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service Pacific Northwest Research Station. 9 pgs.
- Burnett, G.W. 1981. Movements and habitat use of American martens in Glacier Natl. Park, Montana. Unpubl. MS Thesis, Univ. of Montana, Missoula, 130 pgs.
- Buskirk, S.W. S.C. Forrest, and M.G. Raphael. 1989. Winter resting site ecology of marten in the central Rocky Mountains. Journ. of Wildl. Manage. 53:191-196.
- Buskirk, S. W., and R. A. Powell. 1994. Habitat ecology of fishers and American martens. In: Buskirk, S.W., A.S. Harestad, and M.G. Raphael comps., (eds.) Martens, sables, and fishers: biology and conservation. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press: 283-296.
- Canterbery, P. Personal communication on September 5, 2002. Colorado Division of Wildlife.
- Cartron, J.E., W.S. Richardson, and G.A. Proudfoot. 2000. The cactus ferruginous pygmy-owl taxonomy, distribution, and Natural History. Pgs. 5-15. In J.E. Cartron and D.M. Finch (eds.), Ecology and conservation of the cactus ferruginous pygmy-owl in Arizona. Gen. Tech. Rpt. RMRS-GTR-43. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, UT.
- Churcher, P.B., and J.H. Lawton. 1987. Predation by domestic cats in an English village. Journ. of Zoology. London 212: 439-455.
- Clark, T.W. 1984. Analysis of pine marten population organization and regulatory mechanisms in Jackson Hole, WY. Nat. Geog. Soc. Res. Rept., 1975-131-143.

- Clark, B.S., D.M. Leslie Jr. and T.S. Carter. 1993. Foraging activity of adult female Ozark big-eared bats (*Plecotus townsendii ingens*) in summer. *Journ. Mamm.* 74(2):422-427.
- Colorado Department of Local Affairs (CDLA). 2003. Internet website. Colorado economic and demographic information system. <http://www.dola.colorado.gov/demog/Population/widepro1.cfm>
- Colorado Division of Wildlife (CDOW). 1998. Draft conservation strategy for the re-establishment of Canada lynx and wolverine in the Southern Rocky Mountains. Seidel et al. (eds.) Colorado Division of Wildlife. Denver, CO. Unpubl. Draft. January 12, 1998. 116 pgs.
- Colorado Division of Wildlife (CDOW). 2003. State of Colorado River Otter Recovery Plan. Colorado Division of Wildlife. Denver, CO. June 2003. 51 pgs.
- Colorado Division of Wildlife (CDOW). 2004. Internet website. http://wildlife.state.co.us/species_cons/lynx.asp
- Colorado Division of Wildlife (CDOW). 2005. Location information for all radio-collared lynx, February 1999 to February 2005. Information not for public release.
- Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP). 2004. Boreal toad survey and monitoring project 2004. A report prepared for the CDOW and U.S Forest Service. Fort Collins, CO.
- Corn, J.G. and J.C. Fogleman. 1984. Extinction of montane populations of the northern leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*) in Colorado. *Journ. of Herp.* 18:147-152.
- Corn, J.G. and M.G. Raphael. 1992. Habitat characteristics at marten subnivean access sites. *Journ. of Wildl. Manage.* 56:442-448.
- Covington, W.W. 2003. The evolutionary and historical context. Pgs. 26-47. *In Ecological restoration of southwestern ponderosa pine forests*, ed. Peter Friederici. Washington D.C. Island press.
- Covington, W.W., and M.M. Moore. 1994. Southwestern ponderosa forest structure: changes since Euro-American settlement. *Journ. of Forestry* 92:39-47.
- Cross, F.B. 1967. Handbook of fishes of Kansas. State Biological Survey and the University of Kansas Museum of Natural History. Miscellaneous Publication No. 45. Lawrence, KS.
- Cunningham, G.R. 2006. Pearl dace (*Margariscus margarita*): a technical conservation assessment [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Decker, K. 2005. *Astragalus proximus* (Rydberg) Wootton & Standley (Aztec milkvetch): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Decker, K. 2006a. *Astragalus missouriensis* Nutt. var. *humistratus* Isely (Missouri milkvetch): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Decker, K. 2006b. *Salix candida* Fluegge ex Wild (sageleaf willow): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Decker, K., D.R. Culver, and D. Anderson. 2006a. *Eriophorum chamissonis* C.A. Mey. (Chamisso's cottongrass): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Decker, K., D.R. Culver, and D.G. Anderson. 2006b. *Kobresia simpliciuscula* (Wahlenberg) Mackenzie (simple bog sedge): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Decker, K., D.R. Culver, and D.G. Anderson. 2006c. *Eriophorum gracile* W.D.J. Koch (slender cottongrass): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- DeGraaf, R.M., G.M. Witman, J.W. Lancier, B.J. Hill, and J.M. Keniston. 1980. Forest habitat for birds of the northeast. U.S. Forest Service, Northeast Forest Experimental Station. Broomall, PA. 598 pgs.
- Diana, S.G., and V.R. Beasley. 1998. Amphibian toxicology. pp. 266-277. *In: Lannoo, M.J., (ed). Status and conservation of Midwestern amphibians.* Univ. of Iowa Press. Iowa City, IA. 507 pgs.
- Dunkle, S.W. 2000. Dragonflies through binoculars. Oxford University Press. 266 pgs.
- Eckhardt, R. C. 1979. The adaptive syndromes of two guilds of insectivorous birds in the Colorado Rocky Mountains. *Ecol. Monogr.* 49(2): 129-150.
- Ehrhart, R.C., and P. Hansen. 1997. Effective cattle management in riparian zones: A field survey and literature review. Montana BLM Riparian Tech. Bull. No. 3. BLM, Montana State Office. Missoula, MT. 92 pp.
- Ehrlich, P.R., D.S. Dobkin, D. Wheye. 1988. The birder's handbook. Simon and Schuster Fireside Books, N.Y. 785 pgs.
- Ellingson, A.R. 2003. Uncompahgre fritillary butterfly inventory: Sawatch Mountains – 2002 and 2003. Unpubl. rpt. to USDA Forest Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Junction, CO. 8 pgs.

- Ensign, J.T. 1983. Nest site selection, productivity, and food habits of ferruginous hawk in southeastern Montana. MS Thesis. Montana State Univ. Bozeman, MT.
- ERT Company. 1986. Pawnee Montane Skipper 1986 Field Studies. Prepared for the Denver Water Department, Denver, Colorado. ERT, a Resource Engineering Company, Fort Collins, CO. 40 pp.
- Fitzgerald, J.P., C.A. Meaney, and D.M. Armstrong. 1994. Mammals of Colorado. Den. Mus. Nat. History. Univ. Press of CO. Niwot, CO. 467 pp.
- Fitzner, R.E, D. Berry, L.L. Boyd, and C.A. Rieck. 1977. Nesting of ferruginous hawks (*Buteo regalis*) in Washington 1974-1975. *Condor* 79:245-249.
- Fletcher, K.W. and H.E. Hollis. 1994. Habitats used, abundance, and distribution of the Mexican Spotted Owl. Unpubl. Report USDA Forest Service, Region 3. Albuquerque, NM. 86 pgs.
- Flora of North America Editorial Committee, 1993. Flora of North America North of Mexico. 12+ vols. New York and Oxford.
- Foster, K. 2006. Fisheries Biologist USDA Forest Service Region 2, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests, Hahns Peak-Bears Ears Ranger District. Personal communication.
- Gage, E. and D.J. Cooper. 2006. *Carex livida* (Wahlenberg) Willdenow (livid sedge): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ganey, J.L. 1988. Distribution and habitat ecology of Mexican spotted owls in Arizona. M.S. Thesis. Northern Arizona Univ. Flagstaff, AZ.
- Ganey, J.L. and R.P. Balda. 1989a. Distribution and habitat use of Mexican spotted owls in Arizona. *Condor* 91:355-361.
- Ganey, J.L. and R.P. Balda. 1989b. Home-range characteristics of spotted owls in northern Arizona. *Journ. Wildl. Manage.* 53:1159-1165.
- Ganey, J.L., W.M. Block, J.K. Dwyer, B.E. Strohmeier, and J.S. Jenness. 1998. Dispersal, movements, and survival rates of juvenile Mexican spotted owls in northern Arizona. *Wilson Bull.*, 110(2):206-217.
- Ganey, J.L., W.M. Block, J.S. Jenness, and R.A. Wilson. 1999. Mexican spotted owl home range and habitat use in pine-oak forest: implications for forest management. *Forest Science* 45:127-135.
- Ganey, J.L., and J.L. Dick, Jr. 1995. Habitat relationships of the Mexican spotted owl: current knowledge. Pgs. 1-42 In Recovery plan for the Mexican spotted owl, Vol. 2. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Albuquerque, NM.
- Gibilisco, C.J. 1994. Distributional dynamics of martins and fishers in North America. In Buskirk, S.W., A.S. Harestad, M.G. Raphael, comps., eds. *Martens, sables, and fishers: biology and conservation*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press 59-71.
- Graul, W.D. 1975. Breeding biology of the mountain plover. *Wilson Bull.* 87:6-31.
- Gresswell, R.E. 1995. Yellowstone cutthroat trout. Pgs. 36-54. In: M. K. Young, tech. ed.. Conservation assessment for inland cutthroat trout. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-GTR-256. iv + 61pp.
- Grinnell, G.B. 1926. Some habits of the wolverine. *Journ. of Mamm.*, 7:30-34.
- Gunnison Sage-Grouse Rangewide Steering Committee (GSRSC). 2005. Gunnison sage-grouse rangewide conservation plan. Colorado Division of wildlife, Denver, CO.
- Haglund, B. 1966. Winter habits of the lynx (*Lynx canadensis*) and wolverine (*Gulo gulo*) as revealed by tracking in the snow. *Viltrevy*, 4:81-310.
- Halvorson, C.H. 1961. Curiosity of a marten. *Journ. Mamm.*, 42:111-112.
- Handley, J., and B. Heidel. 2005. *Amerorchis rotundifolia* (Banks ex Pursh) Hultén (roundleaf orchid): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Hammerson, G.A. 1982. Bullfrog eliminating leopard frogs in Colorado? *Herpetological Review*. 13:115-116.
- Hammerson, G. A. 1999. Amphibians and Reptiles in Colorado. Second ed. Univ. Press of Colorado and Colorado Division of Wildlife. Niwot, CO. 484 pgs.
- Harris, M.P. 1984. The puffin. T & A D Poyser, Calton, Staffordshire, England. 81 pgs.
- Harrison, H. 1979. A field guide to western bird nests. Houghton Mifflin. Boston, MA.
- Hass, C.C. 1989. Bighorn lamb mortality: predation, inbreeding, and population effects. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 67:699-705.
- Hayward, G.D. 1997. Forest Management and conservation of boreal owls in North America. The Raptor Research Foundation. *Journ. Raptor Res.* 31(2):114-124.
- Hayward, P.T. and E.O. Garton. 1989. Wilderness sensitivity of Selway-Bitterroot Wilderness vertebrates. Nez Perce National Forest, Idaho. Univ. of Idaho, College of Forestry, Wildlife and Range Science. Moscow, ID.

- Hayward, G.D., and P. Hayward. 1993. Boreal Owl. *In*: A. Poole, P. Stettenheim, F. Gill (eds.). The birds of North America. Academy of Natural Science, Philadelphia; American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, DC.
- Heidel, B., and J. Handley. 2004a. *Lesquerella fremontii* Rollins & Shaw (Fremont's bladderpod): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Heidel, B., and J. Handley. 2004b. *Penstemon caryi* Pennell (Cary's beardtongue): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Heidel, B., and J. Handley. 2004c. *Physaria didymocarpa* (Hook.) Gray var. *lanata* A. Nels. (common twinpod): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Heidel, B., and J. Handley. 2006. *Selaginella selaginoides* (L.) Beauv. ex Mart. & Schrank (club spikemoss): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Heil, K.D., and D.L. Bleakley. 2006. *Penstemon jamesii* Benth. (James' beardtongue): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Herman, T., and K. Fuller. 1974. Observations of the marten, *Martes americana*, in the MacKenzie district, Northwest Territories. *Canadian Field-Nat.* 88:501-503.
- Herrmann, S. 2006. Region 2 Sensitive Species evaluation form for *Ochrotrichia susanae*, and supporting documentation.
- Hoffman, R.W. and C.E. Braun. 1975. Migration of a wintering population of white-tailed ptarmigan. *Can. Journ. of Wildl. Manage.* 39:485-490.
- Holden, P.B. and C.B. Stalnaker. 1975. Distribution and abundance of mainstream fishers of the middle and upper Colorado river Basins, 1967-1973. *Transaction of the American Fisheries Society* 104:217-231.
- Holmes, J.A. and M.J. Johnson (2005, January 13). Brewer's Sparrow (*Spizella breweri*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Hornbeck, J.H., C.H. Sieg, and D.J. Reyher. 2003. Conservation Assessment for Bloodroot in the Black Hills National Forest, South Dakota and Wyoming. USDA Forest Service.
- Hornocker, M.G. and H.S. Hash. 1981. Ecology of the wolverine in northeastern Montana. *Canadian Journ. of Zoo.* 59:1286-1301.
- Hupp, J.W. and C.E. Braun. 1989. Topographic distribution of sage grouse foraging in winter. *Journ. Wildl. Manage.* 53:823-829.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2007. Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Summary for Policymakers. Tenth Session of Working Group I of the IPCC, Paris. 21 pgs.
- Johnson, R.E.. 1968. Temperature regulation in the white-tailed ptarmigan, *Lagopus leucurus*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 24:1003-1014.
- Johnston, B.C. 2007. *Armeria maritima* (P. Miller) Willdenow ssp. *sibirica* (Turczaninov ex Boissier) Nyman (Siberian sea thrift): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Jones, S. L. 1998. Olive-sided flycatcher. Pgs. 268-269. *In*: H. E. Kingery, ed. Colorado breeding bird atlas. Colorado bird atlas partnership/Colorado Division of Wildlife. Colorado Springs, CO. 636 pgs.
- Kahn, R., L. Fox, P. Horner, B. Giddings, and C. Roy (editors). 1997. Conservation Assessment and Conservation Strategy for the Swift Fox in the United States. Swift Fox Conservation Team. 33 pgs.
- Kelsall, J. P. 1981. Status report on the wolverine, *Gulo gulo*, in Canada in 1981. Ottawa, On: Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC). 47 pp.
- Keinath, D.A. 2004. Fringed Myotis (*Myotis thysanodes*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Kingery, H.E. (ed.) 1998. Colorado breeding bird atlas. Colorado Bird Atlas Partnership and Colorado Division of Wildlife. Colorado Wildlife Heritage Foundation. Denver, CO. 636 pgs.
- Klem, D.A. 1979. Biology of collisions between birds and windows. PhD thesis. Southern Illinois Univ.
- Klaus, M. and G.P. Beauvais. (2004, January 20). Water vole (*Microtis richardsoni*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>

- Knowles, C.J., C.J. Stoner, and S.P. Gieb. 1982. Selective use of black-tailed prairie dogs by mountain plovers. *Condor* 84:71-74.
- Knowles, C. 2002. Status of white-tailed and Gunnison's prairie dogs. National Wildlife Federation, Missoula, MT and Environmental Defense, Washington DC. 30 pgs.
- Koehler, G. M., W. R. Moore, and A. R. Taylor. 1975. Preserving the pine marten: management guidelines for western forests. *Western Wildlands*. 2: 31-36.
- Krott, P. 1960. Ways of the wolverine. *Natural history*, 69:16-29.
- Krueper, D.L. 1995. Effects of livestock management on Southwestern riparian ecosystems. 21pp.
- Kvam, T., K. Overskaug, and O.J. Sorensen. 1988. The wolverine *Gulo gulo* in Norway. *Lutra*, 31:7-20.
- Ladyman, J. 2003a. *Astragalus ripleyi* Barneby (Ripley's milkvetch): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2003b. *Phacelia scopulina* (A. Nels) J.T. Howell var. *submutica* (J.T. Howell) Halse (Debeque phacelia): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2004a. *Eriophorum altaicum* Meinshausen var. *neogaeum* Raymond (whitebristle cottongrass): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2004b. *Ipomopsis aggregata* (Pursh) V. Grant ssp. *weberi* V. Grant and Wilken (scarlet gilia): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2004c. *Draba smithii* (Smith's draba): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2005a. *Oenothera harringtonii* Wagner, Stockhouse & Klein (Colorado Springs evening-primrose): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2005b. *Salix barrattiana* Hooker (Barratt's willow): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2005c. *Shoshonea pulvinata* Evert & Constance (Shoshone carrot): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2006a. *Astragalus barrii* Barneby (Barr's milkvetch): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2006b. *Chenopodium cycloides* A. Nelson (sandhill goosefoot): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2006c. *Pyrrocoma integrifolia* (many-stemmed goldenweed): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2006d. *Rubus arcticus* L. ssp. *acaulis* (Michaux) Focke (dwarf raspberry): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Ladyman, J. 2006e. *Astragalus leptaleus* Gray (park milkvetch): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Lambert, B. (2003, July 7). Conversation regarding boreal toad life history. Boreal toad specialist for the Colorado Natural Heritage Program. Ft. Collins, CO.
- LeFranc, M.M. Jr. and B.A. Millsap. 1984. A summary of state and federal agency raptor management programs. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* 12:274-282.
- Leighton, F.A, J.M. Gerrard, P. Gerrard, D. Whitfield, and W.J. Maher. 1979. An aerial census of bald eagles in Saskatchewan. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 43(1):61-69.
- Leonard, S., G. Kinch, V. Elsbernd, M. Borman, and S. Swanson. 1997. Riparian management, Grazing management for riparian-wetland areas. Gen. Tech. Rpt. 1737-14. BLM. Denver, CO. 80 pgs.
- Loeffler, C. and D. Krieger. 1994. Arkansas darter, *Etheostoma cragini*, recovery plan. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Denver, CO.
- Loeffler, C. 2000. Report on the status and conservation of the boreal toad (*Bufo boreas boreas*) in the southern Rocky Mountains. Loeffler (ed.). CDOW. Denver, CO. Unpubl. Rpt.
- Macdonald, D.W. 1985. The encyclopedia of mammals. Equinox Ltd. Oxford Press. 895 pgs.

- MacWhirter, R.B., and K.L. Bildstein. 1996. Northern Harrier (*Circus cyaneus*). In *The Birds of North America*, No. 210 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, PA, and The American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C. [accessed Jun. 22, 2006] [On-line] Available: http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/BNA/account/Northern_Harrier/.
- Magoun, A.J. 1985. Population characteristics, ecology, and management of wolverines in northeastern Alaska. PhD thesis. Univ. of Alaska. Fairbanks, AK. 197 pgs.
- Magrath, L.K. 2003. *Liparis*. In: *Flora of North America* Editorial Committee, eds. 1993. *Flora of North America North of Mexico*. 12vols. New York and Oxford. Vol. 26.
- Markley, M.H. and C.F. Bassett. 1942. Habits of captive marten. *Amer. Midland Nat.* 28:604-616.
- Marriott, H., and J.C. Lyman. 2006. *Townsendii condensata* Parry ex Gray var. *anomala* (Heiser) Dorn (cushion Townsend daisy): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Marriot, H., and M. Pokorny. 2006. *Aquilegia laramiense* A. Nelson (Laramie columbine): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- May, T.A. 1975. Physiological ecology of white-tailed ptarmigan in Colorado. PhD thesis Univ. of Colorado, Boulder, CO.
- McCallum, D.A. 1994. Flammulated Owl (*Otus flammeolus*). In *The Birds of North America*, No. 93, (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). Philadelphia: The Academy of Natural Sciences; Washington, DC: The American Ornithologists' Union. 24 pgs.
- McKelvey, K.S.; Buskirk, S.W.; Krebs, C.J. 1999. Theoretical insights into the population viability of lynx. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-30.
- McNab, W.H.; Cleland, D.T.; Freeouf, J.A.; Keys, Jr., J.E.; Nowacki, G.J.; Carpenter, C.A.; Comps. 2005. Descriptions of ecological subregions: sections of the conterminous United States. [CD-ROM] Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 80 p.
- Mellaci (White), M. 2000. Hog-nosed skunk sighting report. Unpubl. rpt. San Isabel National Forest, San Carlos Ranger District. USDA Forest Service. 1 pg.
- Miller, W.J., D.E. Rees, R.J. Carr and D.S. Berube. 2005. Hornyhead chub (*Nocomis biguttatus*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Moore, L., S. Friedley, and D.L. Hazlett. 2006a. *Carex alopecoidea* Tuckerman (foxtail sedge): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Moore, L., S. Friedley, and D.L. Hazlett. 2006b. *Braya glabella* ssp. *glabella* Richardson (smooth northern-rockcress): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Morgan, D.R. and R.L. Hartman. 2004. A synopsis of *Machaeranthera* (Asteraceae, Asterae), with recognition of segregate genera. *Sida* 20(4):1387-1416.
- Moseley, R. K. 1991. A Field Investigation of Park Milkvetch in Idaho. Idaho Dept. of Fish and Game. Boise, ID.
- Mosher, J.A. and J.M. Andrew. 1981. Nesting habitat and nest site selection by the bald eagle in Maryland. Maryland power Plant Siting Program Rep. P55-78-04. Appalachian Environmental Laboratory, Frostburg State College, Frostburg, MD. 41 pgs.
- Murie, A. 1963. A naturalist in Alaska. Doubleday and Co. Inc., New York, NY. 302 pgs.
- National Research Council. 1999. Hardrock mining on federal lands. Comm. on hardrock mining on federal lands. P.R. Hagenstein, Chair. National Research Council. http://books.nap.edu/html/hardrock_fed_land/ch1.html. 26 pgs.
- NatureServe. 2003. NatureServe Explorer: An on-line encyclopedia of life [web application]. NatureServe, Arlington, VA. Available: <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. [accessed Jun. 2003]
- NatureServe. 2006. NatureServe Explorer: An on-line encyclopedia of life [web application]. NatureServe, Arlington, VA. Available: <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. [accessed Nov. 7, 2006]
- NatureServe. 2007. NatureServe Explorer: An on-line encyclopedia of life [web application]. NatureServe, Arlington, VA. Available: <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. [accessed April 24, 2007]
- Navo, K.W., T.E. Ingersoll, L.R. Bonewell, N. LaMantia-Olson, K.O. Schletz, and A.L. Bullington. 2002. Bats/Inactive mines project, 2002 BLM mine evaluations report. An interagency report by Colorado Division of Wildlife, Bureau of Land Management, National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, US Forest Service, Colorado Division of Minerals and Geology Reclamation Mining-Safety, and Great Outdoors Colorado. 73 pgs.
- Naugle, D.E. 2004. Black Tern (*Chlidonias niger surinamensis*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Nead, D.M., J.C. Halfpenney, and S. Bissel. 1985. The status of wolverines in Colorado. *NW Science*, 8:286-289.

- Nellessen, J. 2006a. *Eleocharis elliptica* Kunth (elliptic spikerush): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line]. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Nellessen, J. 2006b. *Viburnum opulus* L. var. *americanum* (Mill.) Ait. (American cranberrybush): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- New Mexico Rare Plant Technical Council (NMRPTC). 1999. New Mexico Rare Plants. Albuquerque, NM: New Mexico Rare Plants Home Page. <http://nmrareplants.unm.edu> (Version March 15, 2002).
- Newton, I. 1979. Population ecology of raptors. Poyser Ltd., Hertfordshire, England. 399 pgs.
- Noss, R.F. and B. Csuti. 1994. Habitat fragmentation. Pgs. 237-264. In G.K. Meffe and C.R. Carroll (eds.), Principles of conservation biology. Sinauer Assoc., Sunderland, MA.
- Ogle, P.R. and E.F. Redente. 1988. Plant succession on surface mined lands in the west. Rangelands 10:37-48.
- Ohmart, R.D. 1994. The effects of human induced changes on the avifauna of Western riparian habitats. Studies in Avian Biology 15:273-285.
- Olendorff, R.R. 1973. Raptorial birds of the USAEC Hanford Reservation, southeastern Washington. Battelle, Pacific Northwest Laboratories. Richland, WA. 45 pp.
- Packauskas, R.J. 2005. Hudsonian Emerald Dragonfly (*Somatochlora hudsonica*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Page, J., N. Dodd, T.O. Osborne, and J.A. Carson. 1978. The influence of livestock grazing on non-game wildlife. In: a compilation of papers presented at the annual meeting for the Western Section of the Wildlife Society and California-Nevada Chapter of the American Fisheries Society. Koch, D.L. (ed). Pgs 159-173. Feb. 2-4, 1978. Incline Village, NV.
- Palmer, R.S. 1954. The mammal guide: mammals of North America, north of Mexico. Doubleday and Co., Inc. Garden City, NY. 384 pgs.
- Panjabi, S.S. and D.G. Anderson. 2005. *Ipomopsis globularis* (Brand) W.A. Weber (Hoosier Pass ipomopsis): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Panjabi, S.S. and D.G. Anderson. 2006. *Ranunculus karelinii* Czern. (ice cold buttercup): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Peterson, R. T. 1990. A field guide to western birds. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston. 432 pgs.
- Pflieger, W.L. 1975. The Fishes of Missouri. Missouri Dept. of Conservation. Western Publishing Company.
- Pielou, E.C. 1991. After the Ice Age: The Return of Life to Glaciated North America. The Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- Popovich, S.J. 2004. *Botrychium lineare* population status in Colorado: clarifications and suggested species assessment update and erratum, April 12, 2004. Arapaho-Roosevelt National Forests and Pawnee National Grassland, Ft. Collins, CO. 45pp.
- Popovich, S.J. 2007. Region 2 Sensitive Species evaluation form for *Botrychium furcatum*, and supporting documents.
- Price, J.T. and T.L. Root. 2005. Potential impacts of climate change on neotropical migrants: management implications. In: Bird Conservation Implementation and Integration in the Americas: Proceedings of the Third International Partners in Flight Conference. Vol. 2. USDA Forest Service Gen.Tech.Rep. PSW-GTR-191. 1123-1128.
- Pritchard, V.L. and D.E. Cowley. 2006. Rio Grande cutthroat trout *Oncorhynchus clarkii virginalis*: a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Propst, D.L. and C.A. Carlson. 1986. The distribution and status of warm water fishes of the Platte River drainage Colorado. The SW Naturalist 31(2):149-167.
- Ptacek, J.A., D.E. Rees and W.J. Miller. 2005. Bluehead sucker (*Catostomus discobolus*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Pulliainen, E. and P. Ovaskainen. 1975. Territory marking by a wolverine (*Gulo gulo*) in northeastern Lapland. Annales Zoologica Fennici, 12:268-270.
- Quick, H.F. 1953. Wolverine, fisher, and martin studies in a wilderness region. Transactions of the North American Wildlife Conference, 18:513-533.
- Rahel, F.J. and L.A. Theil. 2004a. Flathead chub (*Platygobio gracilis*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>

- Rahel, F.J. and L.A. Theil. 2004b. Sturgeon chub (*Macrhybopsis gelida*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Raphael, M. G. and M. White. 1984. Use of snags by cavity-nesting birds in the Sierra Nevada. *Wildl. Monographs* 86:1-66.
- Reed, E.B. 1956. Notes on some birds and mammals of the Colville River, Alaska. *Canadian Field Naturalist*, 70:130-136.
- Rees, D.E. and W.J. Miller. 2005. Rio Grande sucker (*Catostomus plebeius*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Rees, D.E., J.A. Ptacek, R.J. Carr and W.J. Miller. 2005a. Flannelmouth sucker (*Catostomus latipinnis*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Rees, D.E., J.A. Ptacek and W.J. Miller. 2005b. Roundtail chub (*Gila robusta robusta*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Reynolds, R. T., and B. D. Linkhart. 1987. The nesting biology of flammulated owls in Colorado. Pgs. 239-248 in R. W. Nero, R. J. Clark, R. J. Knapton, and R. H. Hamre, (eds.) *Biology and conservation of northern forest owls*. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rpt. RM-142.
- Reynolds, R. T., and B. D. Linkhart. 1992. Flammulated owl in ponderosa pine: evidence of preference for old growth. Pages 166-169 in M.R. Kaufman, W.H. Moir, and R.L. Bassett, technical coordinators. *Proceedings of the workshop on old-growth in the Southwest and Rocky Mountain Region*. Portal, Arizona, USA.
- Richardson, C.T. and C.K. Miller. 1997. Recommendations for protecting raptors from human disturbance: a review. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* 25(3):634-638.
- Rocchio, J., M. March, and D.G. Anderson. 2006. *Epipactis gigantea* Dougl. ex Hook. (stream orchid): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Roy, G., Kelso, S., and A. Tonnesen. 1993. Habitat characteristics of *Eutrema penlandii* (Brassicaceae) in the Colorado Rockies: a study in endemism. *Madroño*, Vol. 40 No. 4:236-245.
- Ruediger, B., J. Claar, S. Gniadek, B. Holt, L. Lewis, S. Mighton, B. Naney, G. Patton, T. Rinaldi, J. Trick, A. Vandehey, F. Wahl, N. Warren, D. Wenger, and A. Williamson. 2000. Canada lynx conservation assessment and strategy. Forest Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management, and National Park Service. Forest Service Publication #R1-00-53, Missoula, MT. 142 pgs.
- Saab, V.A., and J.S. Marks. 1992. Summer habitat use by Columbian sharp-tailed grouse in western Idaho. *Great Basin Naturalist* 52(2): 166-173.
- Saunders, D.A., R.J. Hobbs, and C.R. Margules. 1991. Biological consequences of ecosystem fragmentation: a review. *Conservation Biology* 5:18-32.
- Schmidt, C.A. 2003. Conservation assessment for the Townsend's big-eared bat in the Black Hills National Forest, South Dakota and Wyoming. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Custer, SD. 23 pp.
- Seamans, M.E., and R.J. Gutiérrez. 1995. Breeding habitat of Mexican spotted owl in the Tularosa Mountains, NM. *Condor* 97:944-952.
- Shackleton, D.M., C.C. Shank, and B.M. Wikeen. 1999. Natural history of Rocky Mountain and California bighorn sheep. Pgs. 78-138. In R.Valdez and P.R. Krausman, eds. *Mountain sheep of North America*. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ.
- Shenk, Tanya. 2006 (Nov. 8). Lynx Fact Sheet. Wildlife Commission Meeting. 1pg.
- Simon, T.L. 1980. An ecological study of the marten in the Tahoe National Forest, California. MS Thesis, California State Univ. Sacramento, CA. 187 pgs.
- Smith, B.E. and D.A. Keinath. 2007. Northern Leopard Frog (*Rana pipiens*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Smith, S.G., J.J. Bruhl, M.S. Gonzalez-Elizondo, and F.J. Menapace. 2002. *Eleocharis*. In: *Flora of North America* Editorial Committee, eds. 1993. *Flora of North America North of Mexico*. 12vols. New York and Oxford. Vol. 23.
- Soutiere, E.C. 1979. The effects of timber harvesting on the marten. *Journ. Wildl. Manage.* 43:850-860.
- Spackman, S.B., B. Jennings, J. Coles, C. Dawson, M. Minton, A. Kratz, and C. Spurrier. 1997. Colorado rare plant field guide. Prepared for the Bureau of Land Management, the U.S. Forest Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program.

- Spackman Panjabi, S., and D. Anderson. 2004. *Cirsium perplexans* (Rydb.) Petrak (Rocky Mountain thistle): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Spackman Panjabi, S., and D. Anderson. 2006. *Penstemon harringtonii* Penland (Harrington's beardtongue): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Spahr, R., L. Armstrong, D. Atwood, and M. Rath. 1991. Threatened, endangered, and sensitive species of the Intermountain Region. U.S. Forest Service, Ogden, UT.
- Spencer, W.D., R.H. Barrett, and W.J. Zielinski. 1983. Marten (*Martes americana*), habitat preferences in the northern Sierra-Nevada, U.S.A. *Journ. Wildl. Manage.* 47:1181-1186.
- Spomer, R. 1987. In sharp decline: the Columbian sharp-tailed grouse. *The Nature Conservancy Magazine* 37(4):13-17.
- Stasiak, R. 2006a. Lake chub (*Couesius plumbeus*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Stasiak, R. 2006b. Northern redbelly dace (*phoxinus eos*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Stasiak, R. and G.R. Cunningham. 2006. Finescale dace (*Phoxinus neogaeus*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Stasiak, R. 2007. Southern redbelly dace (*Phoxinus erythrogaster*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Stevens, RM and S.H. Anderson. (2005, January 21). Swift fox (*Vulpes velox*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Steventon, J.D. 1979. Influence of timber harvesting upon winter habitat use by martens. Unpubl. M.S. Thesis, Univ. Maine, Orono, ME. 30 pgs.
- Steventon, J.D. and J.T. Major. 1982. Marten use of habitat in a commercially clear-cut forest. *Journ. Wildl. Manage.* 46:175-182.
- Switalski, T.A., J.A. Bissonette, T.H. DeLuca, and M.A. Madej. 2004. Benefits and impacts of road removal. *Frontiers in Ecology and Environment* 2(1):21-28.
- Toelle, D. 1/26/2006. Conversation regarding the projected severity of fire use fires in the Wildland Fire Use Plan Area. Fire Ecologist, USDI Bureau of Land Management/USDA Forest Service. Cañon City, CO.
- Torretta, R., and J. Valledares. 2006 and 2000. USFS, pers. obs. Black swift observations .
- Torretta, R., and D. Bressan. 2006. USFS, pers. obs. Boreal owl observation.
- Torretta, R., and J. Javernick. 2006. USFS, pers. obs. (flamulated owl observation).
- Torretta, R. 2005. USFS, pers. obs. (olive-sided flycatcher observation).
- Torretta, R. 2003. USFS, pers. obs. (white-tailed ptarmigan observation).
- USDA, NRCS. 2007. The PLANTS Database (<http://plants.usda.gov>). [On-line] National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA. [accessed , Jan. 4, 2007].
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service . 1991a. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; final rule listing the Uncompahgre fritillary butterfly as endangered. *Fed. Reg.* 56 28712-17. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service . 1991b. Mexican spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis lucida*) Status Review. Endangered species report 20. Albuquerque, NM.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). 1992. FWE/CO:ES:Plants–*Spiranthes diluvialis* (Ute ladies-tresses orchid). Letter to agencies outlining survey requirements.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service . 1993. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; final rule to list the Mexican spotted owl as threatened. *Federal Register.* 58 14248-71. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994. Uncompahgre fritillary butterfly recovery plan. Department of the Interior, Denver, CO. 20 pgs.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1995. Recovery plan for the Mexican spotted owl: Vol. I. Albuquerque, NM. 172 pgs.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1998. Pawnee Montane Skipper Butterfly (*Hesperia leonardus* Montana) Recovery Plan. Denver, Colorado. 16 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service . 1998. Greenback cutthroat trout Recovery Plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver, CO.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999a. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; proposed rule to remove the bald eagle in the lower 48 states from list of endangered and threatened wildlife; proposed rule. Federal Register. 64 36454-64. Dept. of Interior, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999b. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; final rule to remove the American Peregrine Falcon from the endangered and threatened wildlife list and to remove the similarity of appearance provision for free-flying peregrines in the conterminous United States. Final Rule. Federal Register. August 25, 1999. Vol.64, No. 164, pp. 46542-46558. Dept. of Interior, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; final rule to list the contiguous U.S. distinct population segment of the Canada lynx as threatened. Federal Register. 58 16051-86. Dept. of Interior, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2001. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; final designation of critical habitat for the Mexican spotted owl. From the Federal Register [On-line] via GPO Access [wais.access.gpo.gov]: February 1, 2001. Vol. 66, No. 22, pp. 8530-8553.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. Candidate and listing priority assignment form for the Gunnison sage grouse. Ecological Services Colorado Field Office, Dept. of Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. April 12, 2001. Lakewood, CO.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2005. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Revised 12-month finding for the Southern Rocky Mountain Distinct Population Segment of the boreal toad (*Bufo boreas boreas*). Federal Register. Sept. 29, 2005. Vol.70, No. 188, pp. 56880-56884. Dept. of Interior, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006. Federally listed and candidate species and their status in Colorado (by county). Ecological Services Colorado Field Office. Department of Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. December, 2006. Lakewood, CO.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006b. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Post-delisting monitoring results for the American Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrines anatum*), 2003. Federal Register. October 13, 2006. Vol.71, No 198, pg 60563. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006c. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; final listing determination for the Gunnison sage-grouse as threatened or endangered; final rule. Federal Register. Vol.71, No. 74. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. 1998. Endangered Species Act Consultation Handbook: Procedures for conducting section 7 consultations and conferences.
- U.S. Forest Service. 1983. Pike - San Isabel National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement, and Appendices. Pueblo, CO.
- U.S. Forest Service. 1991. Pawnee montane skipper surveys for FSR 550 Improvement Project. South Platte Ranger District, Jefferson County, CO.
- U.S. Forest Service. 2001. Canada lynx population and habitat data for the Pike and San Isabel National Forests. Unpubl. rpt. Pueblo, CO.
- U.S. Forest Service. 2007. Region 2 Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List. FSM 2600 Wildlife, Fish and Sensitive Plant Habitat Management. Chapter 2670-Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Plants and Animals. Suppl. No. 2600-2006-1. April 30, 2007. Denver, CO.
- Udvardy, M.D.F., ed. 1977. The Audubon Society field guide to North American birds. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, NY. 855 pgs.
- Valdez, R. and P.R. Krausman. 1999. Description, distribution, and abundance of mountain sheep in North America. Pgs. 3-22. In R.Valdez and P.R. Krausman, eds. Mountain sheep of North America. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ.
- Veblen, T.T., P.M. Brown, and J. Donnegan. 2000. Historical range of variability assessment for forest vegetation on the Pike and San Isabel National Forests, Colorado. University of Colorado, Boulder: USDA Forest Service. 137 pgs.
- Verner, J. 1994. Current management situation of flammulated owls. In Flammulated, boreal, and great gray owls in the United States (G.D. Hayward, ed.): a technical conservation assessment. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rpt. 253.
- Vitousek, P.M., C.M. D'Antonio, L.L. Loope, and R. Westbrooks. 1996. Biological invasions as global environmental change. American Scientist 84:468-478.
- Ward, J.P. Jr. and W.M. Block. 1995. Mexican spotted owl prey ecology. In Mexican spotted owl recovery plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Albuquerque, NM.
- Weber, W.A., and R.C. Wittmann. 1996. Colorado Flora: eastern slope. Univ. Press of Colorado, Niwot, CO.
- Weber, W.A. 2000. Rare cryptogams in Colorado. Unpublished report to USDA Forest Service, Region2. 18pp.

- Whittemore, A.T. 1997. *Aquilegia*. In: Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 1993. Flora of North America North of Mexico. 12 vols. New York and Oxford. Vol. 3.
- Wiggins, D. 2004. American Three-toed Woodpecker (*Picoides dorsalis*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments/> [January 18, 2007].
- Wiggins, D. 2005. Yellow-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments/>
- Wiggins, D. 2006. American Bittern (*Botaurus lentiginosus*): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments/>
- Wilcove, D.S., C.H. McLellan, and A.P. Dobson. 1986. Habitat fragmentation in the temperate zone. Pgs 237-256. In M.E. Soule (ed.), Cons. Biology: the science of scarcity and diversity. Sinauer Assoc., Sutherland, MA.
- Willey, D.W. 1993. Home range characteristics and juvenile dispersal ecology of Mexican spotted owls in southern Utah. Final Report 1992-93. Utah Division of Wildlife Resources Contract No. 91-2577, Amendment Unit 1.
- Wilson, D.E. 1982. Wolverine *Gulo gulo*. Pp. 644-652, In Wild mammals in North America (J.A. Chapman and G.A. Feldhamer, eds.). Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD. 1147 pgs.
- Wolf, E.C., E. Gage, and D.J. Cooper. 2006a, December 14). *Drosera anglica* Huds. (English sundew): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line]. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Wolf, E. E. Gage, and D.J. Cooper. 2006b. *Drosera rotundifolia* L. (roundleaf sundew): a technical conservation assessment. [On-line] USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments>
- Woodling, John. 1985. Colorado's Little Fish. A guide to the minnows and other lesser-known fishes in the state of Colorado. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Denver, CO. 77 pgs.
- Wrigley, M. 2002-2007. Personal observation of bald eagles using upland areas in Fremont, Chaffee counties in Colorado and in Arizona.
- Young, K.E., R. Valdez, P.J. Zwank, and W.R. Gould. 1998. Density and roost site characteristics of spotted owls in Sierra Madre Occidental, Chihuahua, Mexico. Condor 100:732-736.
- Young, M.K. 1995. Colorado River cutthroat trout. Pgs. 16-23. In: M. K. Young, tech. ed. Conservation assessment for inland cutthroat trout. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Re. RM-GTR-256 pp. iv + 61.
- Young, M.K. and A.L. Harig. 2001. A critiques of the recovery of greenback cutthroat trout. Conservation Biology 15:1575-1584.
- Federal Register. February 4, 2005. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; 90-day finding on a petition to list *Ptilagrostis porteri* (Porter feathergrass) as threatened or endangered. 70(23)5959-5962.

5.0 APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

R2 Regional Forester's Sensitive Species (as of April 30, 2007)

ANIMALS

MAMMALS

<i>Conepatus leuconotus</i>	common hog-nosed skunk
<i>Cynomys gunnisoni</i>	Gunnison's prairie dog
<i>Cynomys leucurus</i>	white-tailed prairie dog
<i>Cynomys ludovicianus</i>	black-tailed prairie dog
<i>Euderma maculatum</i>	spotted bat
<i>Gulo gulo</i>	wolverine
<i>Lontra canadensis</i>	river otter
<i>Martes americana</i>	American marten
<i>Microtus richardsoni</i>	water vole
<i>Myotis thysanodes</i>	fringed myotis
<i>Ovis canadensis canadensis</i>	Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep
<i>Ovis canadensis nelsoni</i>	Desert bighorn sheep
<i>Plecotus townsendii</i>	Townsend's big-eared bat
<i>Sorex hoyi</i>	pygmy shrew
<i>Thomomys clusius</i>	Wyoming pocket gopher
<i>Vulpes macrotis</i>	kit fox
<i>Vulpes velox</i>	swift fox

BIRDS

<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	northern goshawk
<i>Aegolius funereus</i>	boreal owl
<i>Aimophila cassinii</i>	Cassin's sparrow
<i>Ammodramus savannarum</i>	grasshopper sparrow
<i>Amphispiza belli</i>	sage sparrow
<i>Asio flammeus</i>	short-eared owl
<i>Athene cunicularia</i>	burrowing owl
<i>Botaurus lentiginosus</i>	American bittern
<i>Buteo regalis</i>	ferruginous hawk
<i>Calcarius mccownii</i>	McCown's longspur
<i>Calcarius ornatus</i>	chestnut-collared longspur
<i>Centrocerus minimus</i>	Gunnison sage-grouse
<i>Centrocerus urophasianus</i>	greater sage-grouse
<i>Charadrius montanus</i>	mountain plover
<i>Chlidonias niger</i>	black tern
<i>Circus cyaneus</i>	northern harrier
<i>Coccyzus americanus</i>	yellow-billed cuckoo
<i>Contopus cooperi</i>	olive-sided flycatcher
<i>Cygnus buccinator</i>	trumpeter swan
<i>Cypseloides niger</i>	black swift
<i>Falco peregrinus anatum</i>	American peregrine falcon
<i>Histrionicus histrionicus</i>	harlequin duck
<i>Lagopus leucurus</i>	white-tailed ptarmigan
<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>	loggerhead shrike
<i>Melanerpes lewis</i>	Lewis' woodpecker
<i>Numerius americanus</i>	long-billed curlew

<i>Otus flammeolus</i>	flamulated owl
<i>Picoides arcticus</i>	black-backed woodpecker
<i>Picoides dorsalis</i>	American three-toed woodpecker
<i>Progne subis</i>	purple martin
<i>Spizella breweri</i>	Brewer's sparrow
<i>Pediocetes phasianellus columbianus</i>	Columbian sharp-tailed grouse
<i>Tympanuchus cupido</i>	greater prairie-chicken
<i>Tympanuchus pallidicinctus</i>	lesser prairie-chicken

AMPHIBIANS

<i>Bufo boreas boreas</i>	boreal toad
<i>Rana blairi</i>	Plains leopard frog
<i>Rana luteiventris</i>	Columbia spotted frog
<i>Rana pipiens</i>	northern leopard frog
<i>Rana sylvatica</i>	wood frog

REPTILES

<i>Sistrurus catenatus</i>	massasauga
<i>Storeria occipitomaculata pahasapae</i>	Black Hills redbelly snake

FISHES

<i>Catostomus discobolus</i>	bluehead sucker
<i>Catostomus latipinnis</i>	flannelmouth sucker
<i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i>	mountain sucker
<i>Catostomus plebeius</i>	Rio Grande sucker
<i>Couesius plumbeus</i>	lake chub
<i>Gila pandora</i>	Rio Grande chub
<i>Gila robusta</i>	roundtail chub
<i>Hybognathus placitus</i>	Plains minnow
<i>Macrhybopsis gelida</i>	sturgeon chub
<i>Margariscus margarita</i>	pearl dace
<i>Nocomis biguttatus</i>	hornyhead chub
<i>Oncorhynchus clarki pleuriticus</i>	Colorado River cutthroat trout
<i>Oncorhynchus clarki virginialis</i>	Rio Grande cutthroat trout
<i>Oncorhynchus clarki bouvieri</i>	Yellowstone cutthroat trout
<i>Phoxinus eos</i>	northern redbelly dace
<i>Phoxinus erythrogaster</i>	southern redbelly dace
<i>Phoxinus neogaeus</i>	finescale dace
<i>Platygobio gracilis</i>	flathead chub

INSECTS

<i>Hesperia ottoe</i>	Ottoe skipper
<i>Ochrotrichia susanae</i>	
<i>Somatochlora hudsonica</i>	Hudsonian emerald
<i>Speyeria idalia</i>	regal fritillary
<i>Speyeria nokomis nokomis</i>	Nokomis fritillary, or Great Basin silverspot

MOLLUSCS

<i>Acroloxus coloradensis</i>	Rocky Mountain capshell
<i>Oreohelix strigosa cooperi</i>	Cooper's Rocky Mountain snail

PLANTS**NON-VASCULAR****MOSSES***Sphagnum angustifolium***VASCULAR****FERNS & ALLIES**

Botrychium ascendens
Botrychium campestre
Botrychium furcatum
Botrychium lineare
Botrychium paradoxum
Lycopodium complanatum
Selaginella selaginoides

MONOCOTS

Amerorchis rotundifolia
Calochortus flexuosus
Carex alopecoidea
Carex diandra
Carex livida
Cypripedium montanum
Cypripedium parviflorum
Eleocharis elliptica
Epipactis gigantea
Eriophorum altaicum var. *neogaeum*
Eriophorum chamissonis
Eriophorum gracile
Festuca hallii
Kobresia simpliciuscula
Liparis loeselii
Malaxis brachypoda
Platanthera orbiculata
Ptilagrostis porteri
Schoenoplectus hallii
Triteleia grandiflora

DICOTS

Aquilegia chrysantha var. *rydbergii*
Aquilegia laramiense
Armeria maritima ssp. *sibirica*
Asclepias uncialis
Astragalus barrii
Astragalus leptaleus
Astragalus missouriensis var. *humistratus*
Astragalus proximus
Astragalus ripleyi
Astragalus wetherillii
Braya glabella
Chenopodium cycloides
Cirsium perplexans
Descurainia torulosa
Draba exunguiculata

Draba grayana
Draba smithii
Drosera anglica
Drosera rotundifolia
Eriogonum brandegeei
Eriogonum exilifolium
Eriogonum visherii
Gilia sedifolia
Ipomopsis aggregata ssp. *weberi*
Ipomopsis globularis
Ipomopsis polyantha
Lesquerella fremontii
Lesquerella pruinosa
Machaeranthera coloradoensis
Mimulus gemmiparus
Neoparrya lithophila
Oreoxis humilis
Oenothera harringtonii
Parnassia kotzebuei
Penstemon absarokensis
Penstemon caryi
Penstemon degeneri
Penstemon harringtonii
Phacelia scopulina var. *submutica*
Physaria didymocarpa var. *lanata*
Physaria pulvinata
Potentilla rupincola
Primula egaliksensis
Pyrrocoma carthamoides var. *subsquarrosa*
Pyrrocoma clementis var. *villosa*
Pyrrocoma integrifolia
Ranunculus karelinii
Rubus arcticus ssp. *acaulis*
Salix arizonica
Salix barrattiana
Salix candida
Salix myrtillifolia
Salix serissima
Sanguinaria canadensis
Shoshonea pulvinata
Thalictrum heliophilum
Townsendia condensata var. *anomala*
Utricularia minor
Viburnum opulus var. *americanum*
Viola selkirkii

APPENDIX B

Threatened, endangered, candidate/proposed, and FS sensitive species with the potential to occur on the San Isabel National Forest. This table will be used as a baseline in future Biological Evaluations/Assessments that analyze at the Forest, District, or project area scale.

¹**Status Codes:** **E**=federally listed endangered; **T**=federally listed threatened; **C**= federally proposed/candidate for listing; and **S**=Forest Service sensitive

²**Exclusion Rationale Codes:** **ODR**=outside known distributional range of the species; **HAB**=no habitat present in analysis area; **ELE**=outside of elevational range of species; **SEA**=species not expected to occur during the season of use/impact; and **INV**=presence of non-native salmonids.

SPECIES COMMON AND SCIENTIFIC NAME	STATUS ¹	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR?	RATIONALE FOR EXCLUSION ²	BRIEF HABITAT DESCRIPTION AND RANGE IN COLORADO
PLANTS				
<i>Aquilegia chrysantha</i> var. <i>rydbergii</i> Golden columbine	S			along streams and in rocky ravines in mountains; (5,500 ft?) 8,000-9,800 ft; El Paso and Fremont counties.
<i>Armeria maritima</i> ssp. <i>sibirica</i> Siberian sea thrift	S			grassy tundra slopes, on wet, sandy, or spongy organic soils; 11,900-13,000 ft; Park & Summit counties.
<i>Asclepias uncialis</i> Dwarf milkweed	S			plains, short-grass prairie, outwash mesas and gravelly side-slopes; 4,000-6,500 ft; Baca, Fremont, Huerfano, Las Animas, and Pueblo counties.
<i>Astragalus leptaleus</i> Park milkvetch	S			moist swales and meadows; South Park to the Wet Mountain Valley; 7,500-10,000 ft; Park, Fremont, and Custer counties.
<i>Botrychium campestre</i> Iowa moonwort	S			dry, gravelly hillsides, 3,700-10,800 ft; Yuma and Clear Creek counties
<i>Botrychium furcatum</i> Forkleaved moonwort	S			disturbed and stabilized subalpine areas, found in Chaffee Co and other NFS in CO
<i>Botrychium lineare</i> Narrow-leaved moonwort	C, S			disturbed sites, grassy slopes among medium height grasses, along edges of streamside forests, alpine areas & aspen forests; 7,900-9,500 ft; Boulder & El Paso counties
<i>Braya glabella</i> Smooth northern-rockcress	S			sparsely vegetated slopes above timberline, especially on calcareous substrates; 12,000-13,000 ft; Chaffee, Gunnison, Park, and Pitkin counties.
<i>Carex diandra</i> Lesser panicled sedge	S			wet meadows and subalpine willow carrs; 7,400-9,000 ft; Boulder, Grand, Jackson, and Larimer counties.
<i>Carex livida</i> Livid sedge	S			fens and wetlands; 9,000-10,000 ft; Jackson, Larimer, and Park counties.
<i>Cypripedium parviflorum</i> Lesser yellow lady's slipper	S			moist forests and aspen groves; 7,400-8,500 ft; Clear Creek, Custer, El Paso, Huerfano, Jefferson, Las Animas, Park, Pueblo, and Teller counties.
<i>Draba exunguiculata</i> Clawless draba	S			alpine on rocky and gravelly slopes or fell fields, usually on granitic substrates; 12,000-14,000 ft; north-central Colorado including Lake, Park, and Summit counties.
<i>Draba grayana</i> Gray's peak whitlow-grass	S			alpine and subalpine on tundra, gravelly slopes or fell fields; 11,500-14,000 ft; central Colorado, including Chaffee, Clear Creek, Huerfano, and Park counties.

SPECIES COMMON AND SCIENTIFIC NAME	STATUS ¹	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR	RATIONALE FOR EXCLUSION ²	HABITAT DESCRIPTION AND RANGE IN COLORADO
<i>Draba smithii</i> Smith whitlow-grass	S			upper montane, subalpine and alpine, 8,000-11,000 ft; Custer, Las Animas, Mineral, and Saguache counties.
<i>Drosera rotundifolia</i> Roundleaf sundew	S			amongst <i>Sphagnum</i> on the margins of ponds, fens, and floating peat mats; 9,100-9,800 ft; Gunnison and Jackson counties, also, a recent collection from "North Park".
<i>Epipactis gigantea</i> Giant helleborine, stream orchid	S			seeps, springs, riparian areas and wetlands; 4,800-8,000 ft; western Colorado, also Chaffee, El Paso, Fremont, and Park counties.
<i>Eriogonum brandegeei</i> Brandegee's buckwheat	S			piñon -juniper or sagebrush, often on grayish limestone soils; 5,700-7,600 ft; Chaffee, El Paso, Fremont, and Park counties.
<i>Eriophorum altaicum</i> var. <i>neogaeum</i> Altai cottongrass	S			alpine wetlands; 9500-14,000 ft; Eagle, Gunnison, Hinsdale, La Plata, Park, Saguache, and San Juan counties.
<i>Eriophorum chamissonis</i> Chamisso's cottongrass	S			alpine wetlands; 10,400 ft; the Colorado distribution of this species is not known, partially due to taxonomic issues (the species is often confused with <i>Eriophorum altaicum</i> var. <i>neogaeum</i>).
<i>Eriophorum gracile</i> Slender cottongrass	S			montane and subalpine wetlands, wet meadows and pond edges; 8,100-12,000 ft; Jackson, Las Animas, and Park counties.
<i>Eutrema penlandii</i> Penland alpine fen mustard	T			alpine areas, downslope from persistent snowfields providing year round moisture, bogs that are wet with a constant source of flowing water; 12,000-12,800 ft; known to occur on the leeward side of the crest of the Mosquito Range, from Hoosier Pass to Mount Sherman, Park and Summit counties
<i>Festuca hallii</i> Hall or plains rough fescue	S			alpine and subalpine grasslands and meadows; 11,000-12,000 ft; Huerfano and Larimer counties.
<i>Ipomopsis globularis</i> Globe gilia	S			alpine ridgetops, and gravelly, calcareous soils; 12,000-14,000 ft; Lake, Park, and Summit counties.
<i>Kobresia simpliciuscula</i> Simple bog sedge	S			alpine areas including tundra, fens, moist gravel, and glacial outwash; Park and Clear Creek counties.
<i>Machaeranthera coloradoensis</i> Colorado tansy-aster	S			mountain parks, slopes & rock outcrops & dry tundra; 8,500-12,500 ft; Gunnison, Hinsdale, La Plata, Lake, Mineral, Park, Pitkin, Saguache, & San Juan counties.
<i>Malaxis brachypoda</i> White adder's-mouth orchid	S			riparian areas, amongst mosses; 7,200-8,000 ft; El Paso & Jefferson counties.

SPECIES COMMON AND SCIENTIFIC NAME	STATUS ¹	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR	RATIONALE FOR EXCLUSION ²	HABITAT DESCRIPTION AND RANGE IN COLORADO
<i>Mimulus gemmiparus</i> Weber's monkeyflower	S			granitic seeps, slopes, and alluvium in open sites within spruce-fir and aspen forests; 8,500-10,500 ft; Grand, Jefferson, Larimer, and Park counties.
<i>Neoparrya lithophila</i> Rock-loving neoparrya	S			piñon/juniper woodlands, rocky places, montane grasslands and openings, and sometimes on Dry Union formation; 7,000-10,000 ft; Chaffee, Conejos, Fremont, Huerfano, Mineral, Rio Grande, and Saguache counties.
<i>Oenothera harringtonii</i> Colorado Springs evening primrose	S			grasslands; 4,700-6,100 ft; El Paso, Fremont, Huerfano, Las Animas, and Pueblo counties.
<i>Parnassia kotzebuei</i> Kotzebue's grass of parnassus	S			alpine and subalpine, in wet rocky areas, amongst moss mats and along streamlets; 10,000-12,000 ft; north-central and southwestern Colorado, including Park and Summit counties.
<i>Penstemon degeneri</i> Degener's beardtongue	S			piñon/juniper, ponderosa pine woodlands, & montane grasslands with coarse gravelly or rocky reddish soil with igneous bedrock, rock slab cracks; 6,000-9,500 ft; Fremont & Custer counties
<i>Potentilla rupincola</i> Rocky Mountain cinquefoil	S			subalpine or montane granitic outcrops amongst ponderosa or limber pine; 6,900-10,500 ft; Boulder, Clear Creek, Larimer, and Park counties.
<i>Primula egaliksensis</i> Greenland primrose	S			wet meadows, streambanks, willow carrs, fens, and on hummocks; 9000-10,000 ft; Park County.
<i>Ptilagrostis porteri</i> Porter feathergrass	S			hummocks in fens and willow carrs; 9,200-12,000 ft; El Paso, Lake, Park, and Summit counties.
<i>Ranunculus karelinii</i> Tundra buttercup	S			alpine slopes and summits amongst rocks and scree; 12,000-14,100 ft; central Colorado, including Chaffee, Clear Creek, Gunnison, Lake, Park, & Summit counties
<i>Rubus arcticus</i> ssp. <i>acaulis</i> Northern blackberry	S			wetlands in willow carrs and mossy streambanks; 8,600-9,700 ft; Clear Creek and Park counties.
<i>Salix arizonica</i> Arizona willow	S			meadows, springs, seeps, riparian areas and wetlands; 8,300-10,800 ft; Conejos county
<i>Salix candida</i> Sageleaf willow	S			fens and pond and stream edges in foothill/montane wetlands; 8,800-10,600 ft; Gunnison, Hinsdale, Lake, La Plata, Larimer, and Park counties.
<i>Salix myrtilifolia</i> Blueberry willow	S			in fens from foothills to alpine; 9,300 ft; Park County.
<i>Salix serissima</i> Autumn willow	S			wetland areas including marshes, fens, and bogs; 7,800-10,200 ft; Custer, Park, Larimer, and Routt counties.

SPECIES COMMON AND SCIENTIFIC NAME	STATUS ¹	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR	RATIONALE FOR EXCLUSION ²	HABITAT DESCRIPTION AND RANGE IN COLORADO
<i>Sphagnum angustifolium</i> Narrowleaf peatmoss	S			acidic fens with high concentrations of iron and other ions
<i>Utricularia minor</i> Lesser bladderwort	S			shallow water of subalpine ponds; 5,500-9,000 ft; north-central and west-central Colorado; little is known about the Colorado distribution of this easily overlooked plant.
<i>Viola selkirkii</i> Selkirk's violet	S			forests from montane to subalpine; 6,000-9,100 ft; Douglas, El Paso, and Larimer counties.
INVERTEBRATES				
Caddisfly <i>Ochrotrichia susanae</i>	S			springs and seeps found in Chaffee and Park Counties
Hudsonian emerald <i>Somatochlora hudsonica</i>	S			seven known locations in Colorado, all within a 40-mile radius of Boulder. Boggy wetlands, springs, & ponds with muddy bottoms are potential breeding sites
Rocky mountain capshell snail <i>Acroloxus coloradensis</i>	S			littoral zone of oligotrophic and mesotrophic mountain lakes with neutral to slightly alkaline water and high dissolved oxygen content; 8,800-9,800 ft.
Uncompahgre fritillary butterfly <i>Boloria acrocneuma</i>	E			known to only occur above timberline on Mt. Uncompahgre, laying eggs on snow willow (<i>Salix nivalis</i>); potentially occurring in Custer and Saguache counties.
FISH				
Greenback trout <i>Oncorhynchus clarki stomias</i>	T			well-oxygenated headwaters of mountain streams, restricted to 7 drainages on Pike-San Isabel NF; found in Custer, Douglas, El Paso, Huerfano, Lake, Park, and Pueblo counties.
AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES				
Boreal toad <i>Bufo boreas boreas</i>	S			breeds in ponds & over winter in refugia within lodgepole pine, spruce-fir forests, & alpine meadows; 7,500-12,000 ft.
Northern leopard frog <i>Rana pipiens</i>	S			banks & shallow portions of marshes, ponds, lakes, reservoirs, beaver ponds & streams, especially those with rooted aquatic vegetation up to 11,000 ft.
Plains leopard frog <i>Rana blairi</i>	S			margins of streams, natural and artificial ponds, reservoirs, creek pools, irrigation ditches and other water bodies in plains grassland, sandhills, stream valleys, or canyon bottoms; elevations below 6,000 ft.
BIRDS				
American peregrine falcon <i>Falco peregrinus anatum</i>	S			wide variety of habitats, selects cliff ledges or rock outcroppings for nesting, preferring high, open cliff faces that dominate the surrounding area.

SPECIES COMMON AND SCIENTIFIC NAME	STATUS ¹	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR	RATIONALE FOR EXCLUSION ²	HABITAT DESCRIPTION AND RANGE IN COLORADO
American three-toed woodpecker <i>Picoides dorsalis</i>	S			mature or old-growth spruce-fir forest, but also occurs in ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir, & lodgepole pine forests with abundant snags and insect populations are present due to outbreaks from disease or fire.
Bald eagle <i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	T			near open water including rivers, streams & lakes, nesting & roosting in large ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir, or cottonwood trees in proximity to open water and rivers.
Black swift <i>Cypseloides niger</i>	S			nests on cliffs near or behind high waterfalls.
Boreal owl <i>Aegolius funereus</i>	S			high elevation, subalpine mature & old-growth coniferous woodlands, including mature Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir or spruce/fir-lodgepole pine forests, interspersed with meadows, nesting in cavities in trees larger than 15 inches dbh.
Brewer's sparrow <i>Spizella breweri</i>	S			Sagebrush, mountain meadows, and mountain shrub habitat in CO.
Flammulated owl <i>Otus flammeolus</i>	S			old-growth or mature ponderosa pine, ponderosa pine, & Douglas-fir forests, often mixed with mature aspen, nesting in cavities, feeding on insects.
Gunnison sage grouse <i>Centrocercus minimus</i>	S			tall dense stands of sagebrush near wet meadows with tall grasses for hiding; occurring primarily in SW & W CO, but also including Saguache & S Chaffee County.
Lewis' woodpecker <i>Melanerpes lewis</i>	S			lowland & foothill riparian forests, agricultural areas, urban areas with tall deciduous trees, & foothills including Wet Mountains & grasslands
Loggerhead shrike <i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>	S			open riparian areas, montane meadows, agricultural areas, grasslands, shrublands, & piñon/juniper woodlands in western valleys in E CO
Mexican spotted owl <i>Strix occidentalis lucida</i>	T			steep-sided canyons with old-growth mixed conifer forests, nesting on cliff ledges or caves along canyon walls in shady/cool canyons of the piñon/juniper zone; SW CO
Northern goshawk <i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	S			primarily forest habitat, especially in mountains, nesting in lower portions of mature Douglas-fir, ponderosa pine, lodgepole pine, or aspen canopies; prefers mature or old-growth forest structure.
Northern harrier <i>Circus cyaneus</i>	S			spring & fall migrant in western valleys mountain parks, and eastern plains in CO inhabiting grasslands, agricultural areas, marshes & tundra in fall; 3,500-13,000 ft.
Olive-sided flycatcher <i>Contopus cooperi</i>	S			mature spruce-fir & Douglas-fir forests, especially on steep slopes or near cliffs, near bogs & meadows during the summer, 10,000-11,000 ft.

SPECIES COMMON AND SCIENTIFIC NAME	STATUS ¹	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR	RATIONALE FOR EXCLUSION ²	HABITAT DESCRIPTION AND RANGE IN COLORADO
Purple martin <i>Progne subis</i>	S			old-growth & aspen forests near parks, generally near water; 6,500-10,000 ft in the summer, nesting in colonies in tree cavities or man made structures
White-tailed ptarmigan <i>Lagopus leucurus</i>	S			Inhabit alpine tundra with moist, low-growing alpine vegetation, particularly willows (<i>Salix</i> spp.), with boulders, in proximity of water.
Yellow-billed cuckoo (eastern subspecies) <i>Coccyzus americanus</i>	S			Eastern subspecies: riparian forests along the Arkansas River & urban areas with tall trees; a rare to uncommon spring & fall migrant & summer resident of E CO & SW KS
MAMMALS				
American marten <i>Martes americana</i>	S			spruce-fir & lodgepole pine mature to old-growth forests with moderate to high density canopy closures & abundant snags & logs; 8,000- 13,000 ft.
Canada lynx <i>Lynx canadensis</i>	T			dense spruce-fir, Douglas-fir, early seral lodgepole pine, mature lodgepole pine with developing understory of spruce-fir & aspen in subalpine zone & timberline, using caves, rock crevices, banks, logs for denning, closely associated with snowshoe hare.
Common hog-nosed skunk <i>Conepatus leuconotus</i>	S			grasslands & foothills, prefers partly wooded, brushy, rocky area; SE & south-central CO.
Fringed myotis <i>Myotis thysanodes</i>	S			rocky outcroppings in mid-elevation ponderosa pine, piñon/juniper, oak, & mixed conifer woodlands, grasslands, deserts, & shrublands; Baca, El Paso, Huerfano, Las Animas, Otero, & Pueblo counties.
Gunnison's prairie dog <i>Cynomys gunnisoni</i>	S			shrub-grassland habitats in SW CO in mesic plateaus and intermountain valleys, benches, and arid lowlands.
Pygmy shrew <i>Sorex hoyi</i>	S			occupies a wide variety of habitats in the mountains of CO at elevations above 9,600 ft., such as subalpine forests, edges of meadows, bogs, willow thickets, aspen-fir forests, and parklands.
Rocky mountain bighorn sheep <i>Ovis canadensis canadensis</i>	S			prefers semi-open, precipitous terrain characterized by a mixture of steep and gentle slopes, broken cliffs, rocky outcrops, and canyons
Townsend's big-eared bat <i>Plecotus townsendii</i>	S			typically associated with caves & abandoned mines for day roosts & hibernacula, will also use abandoned buildings in western shrubland, piñon/juniper woodlands, & open montane forests in elevations up to 9,500 ft.
Wolverine <i>Gulo gulo</i>	S			alpine & subalpine mature/intermediate timbered areas around natural openings, including cliffs, slides, basins, & meadows, dependant on ungulates, historically in CO, extending the length of the Rocky Mts.