

HSA 0018
CRITERIA 8

This package does not contain data for each DFAS site. Mr Wynne's draft memo on section criteria 8 states:

For those scenarios where the losing installation is lease property, the scenario proponent may assume, absent data to the contrary, that the owner of that property will continue to lease it for similar purposes, and as such, departing such a leased location will not adversely affect the environment. Furthermore, the owner of the leased property will become responsible for all environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance at the leased location upon termination of the lease, the scenario proponent may assume that the closure or realignment scenario will not result in costs related to environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance, unless the lease expressly requires such activities before the lease may be terminated (in which case these costs should be included in the summary). Absent any such lease requirements, the scenario proponent need only request that a summary of Scenario Environmental Impacts be completed by the Military Department or DLA for the gaining installation.

Additional guidance was requested from OSD about how to handle a gaining site that was being leased from GSA. OSD's response was that the above guidance would be modified to exclude the requirement for criteria 8 from a gaining site where the property is leased from a non Military Department or DLA.

Based on the above guidance, the following DFAS sites will not have to provide criteria 8 reports:

Indianapolis, IN
Limestone, ME
Kansas City, MO
St Louis, MO
Cleveland, OH
Dayton, OH
Charleston, SC
Seaside, CA
Orlando, FL
Rome, NY
Arlington, VA
Oakland, CA
San Antonio, TX
Patuxent River, MD
San Diego, CA
Lexington, KY
San Bernardino, CA

We expect to receive data from 9 DFAS sites.