

FM TEAM
SCENARIO DATA CALL
OSD PORTAL DOCUMENTS
DFAS - CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT
AS OF 24 FEBRUARY 2005

AGENCY	Portal Document Name	Sent Checked in date	Portal Document Name	Received- Completed Checked in date	Non-OSD Database Updated/ Date	DFAS CERTIFICATION ON FILE (HSA JCSC)
DFAS	DFAS Scenario 1119.zip	11/19/2004 15:24	DFAS DCT Data Call 5 120104.zip	12/1/2004 16:05	YES/1 Dec 04	YES DTD -2/1/2005
DFAS	DoD Questions 6125 Thru 6132 Request for Clarification - 29 Nov 04.doc	11/29/2004 9:09	Complete - DoD Questions 6125 Thru 6132 Request for Clarification - 29 Nov 04.doc	12/1/2004 8:33	NO	NO
DFAS	DoD Questions 6125 Thru 6167 Request for Clarification - 29 Nov 04.doc	11/29/2004 9:10	Complete - DoD Questions 6125 Thru 6167 Request for Clarification - 29 Nov 04.doc	12/1/2004 8:37	NO	NO
DFAS	DoD Questions 6146 Thru 6166 FM Clarification - 24 Nov 04.doc	11/29/2004 9:10	Complete - DoD Questions 6146 Thru 6166 FM Clarification - 24 Nov 04.doc	12/1/2004 11:16	NO	NO
DFAS	DoD Questions 6132-6138 & 6169 Request for Clarification -1 Dec 04.doc	12/1/2004 11:40	Complete - DoD Questions 6132-6138 & 6169 Request for Clarification -1 Dec 04.doc	12/2/2004 12:40	NO	NO
DFAS	DoD Questions 6153-6159 & 6167 Clarification - 1 Dec 04.doc	12/1/2004 11:41	Complete - DoD Questions 6153-6159 & 6167 Clarification - 1 Dec 04.doc	12/2/2004 12:41	NO	NO
DFAS	New Scenario- Clarification Questions 6236 & 6237 -1 Dec 04.doc	12/1/2004 11:41	Complete - New Scenario- Clarification Questions 6236 & 6237 -1 Dec 04.doc	12/2/2004 12:42	NO	NO
DFAS	Optimz Data Call - COBRA Clarification #HSA084 - 1 Dec 04.doc	12/1/2004 15:37	Complete - Optimz Data Call - COBRA Clarification #HSA084 - 1 Dec 04.doc	12/2/2004 12:43	NO	NO
DFAS	FM TEAM CLARIFICATION REQUEST - New DA Work - 7 Dec 04.doc	12/6/2004 10:03	COMPLETE FM TEAM CLARIFICATION REQUEST - New DA Work - 7 Dec 04.doc	12/14/2004 14:42	NO	NO
DFAS	Scenario HSA0018 Clarification Questions- 23 Nov 04.doc	12/6/2004 12:24	Scenario HSA0018 Clarification Questions-23 Nov 04 Complete.doc	12/6/2004 17:23	NO	NO
DFAS	New Scenario HSA0018 Question -24 Nov 04.doc	12/6/2004 12:28	New Scenario HSA0018 Question Complete -24 Nov 04.doc	12/6/2004 17:23	NO	NO
DFAS	New Scenario HSA0018 Question -24 Nov 04.doc	12/6/2004 12:28	Complete - New Scenario HSA0018 Question -24 Nov 04.doc	12/2/2004 12:43	NO	NO

DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA

FM TEAM
SCENARIO DATA CALL
OSD PORTAL DOCUMENTS
DFAS - CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT
AS OF 24 FEBRUARY 2005

AGENCY	Portal Document Name	Sent Checked in date	Portal Document Name	Received- Completed Checked in date	Non-OSD Database Updated/ Date	DFAS CERTIFICATION ON FILE (HSA JCSG)
DFAS	Scenario Data Call - Military Programmed Personnel - Clarification Request 10 Dec 04.doc	12/13/2004 11:35	COMPLETE Scenario Data Call - Military Programmed Personnel - Clarification Request 10 Dec 04.doc	12/14/2004 16:16	NO	NO
DFAS	Mil Val Responses 1918 & 1902 - Clarification Request 10 Dec 04.doc	12/13/2004 11:37	Mil Val Responses 1918 & 1902 - Clarification Request 10 Dec 04 COMPLETE 2.doc	12/14/2004 12:21	NO	NO
DFAS	DoD 1946 Mechanicsburg PA.doc	1/14/2005 9:12	Mechanicsburg Mil Value DoD #1946 Clarif.doc	1/14/2005 10:11	NO	NO
DFAS	FM TEAM CLARIFICATION REQUEST - New DA Work - 7 Dec 04.doc	12/6/04 10:03	Complete - FM TEAM CLARIFICATION REQUEST - New DA Work - 7 Dec 04.doc	12/6/2004 12:05	NO	NO
DFAS	Document Handcarried on Compact Disk	17-Nov-05	Additional Scenario-Cobra Question - 17 Nov 04 Complete.doc	12/6/2004 17:22	NO	NO

NOTE: All items listed which have "NO" in "Non-DFAS Database Updated/Date" require DFAS Certification IAW DFAS Internal Control Plan.

RESPONSES REQUIRED NLT-0930, 1 DEC 04
SCENARIO DATA CALL CLARIFICATION #2

DOD Questions #6125 Thru #6132

29 NOV 04

A. The following narrative statements were provided by DFAS per the FM Team's Request. To ensure the COBRA model has correct data to complete Screen 3 - Movement Table, Screen 4 - Base Information (Static), and Screen 6 - Base Information (Personnel), additional clarification is required.

1. Question #6125 Cleveland - This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis. (Total of 2.10 Workyears outside Cleveland).

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DOD 6167 through DOD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data collection tool. Cleveland workyears were reduced and Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis workyears increased for Accounting Business Line Executive (Product Line Code ABX) 6167 (Arlington) only. Cleveland (6169), Columbus (6171), Denver (6173), and Indianapolis (6174) are not affected for relocation because the workyears will not move (Cleveland is not affected because the tool response for the year of relocation did not include the off-site workyears).

2. Question #6126 Cleveland - This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis. (Total of 5.00 Workyears outside Cleveland).

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DOD 6167 through DOD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data collection tool. Cleveland workyears were reduced and Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis workyears increased for Accounting Business Line Executive (Product Line Code ABX) 6167 (Arlington) only. Cleveland (6169), Columbus (6171), Denver (6173), and Indianapolis (6174) are not affected for relocation because the workyears will not move (Cleveland is not affected because the tool response for the year of relocation did not include the off-site workyears).

DOD Questions 6125 thru 6132 Request for Clarification - 29 Nov 04

3. Question #6127 Cleveland – This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis. (Total of 5.00 Workyears outside Cleveland).

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data collection tool. Cleveland workyears were reduced and Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis workyears increased for Accounting Business Line Executive (Product Line Code ABX) 6167 (Arlington) only. Cleveland (6169), Columbus (6171), Denver (6173), and Indianapolis (6174) are not affected for relocation because the workyears will not move (Cleveland is not affected because the tool response for the year of relocation did not include the off-site workyears).

4. Question #6128 Cleveland – This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis. (Total of 3.40 Workyears outside Cleveland).

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data collection tool. Cleveland workyears were reduced and Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis workyears increased for Accounting Business Line Executive (Product Line Code ABX) 6167 (Arlington) only. Cleveland (6169), Columbus (6171), Denver (6173), and Indianapolis (6174) are not affected for relocation because the workyears will not move (Cleveland is not affected because the tool response for the year of relocation did not include the off-site workyears).

5. Question #6129 Cleveland – This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis. (Total of 3.30 Workyears outside Cleveland).

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data collection tool. Cleveland workyears were reduced and Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis workyears increased for Accounting Business Line Executive (Product Line Code ABX) 6167 (Arlington) only. Cleveland (6169), Columbus (6171), Denver (6173), and Indianapolis (6174) are not affected for relocation because the workyears will not move (Cleveland is not affected because the tool response for the year of relocation did not include the off-site workyears).

6167 (Arlington) only. Cleveland (6169), Columbus (6171), Denver (6173), and Indianapolis (6174) are not affected for relocation because the workyears will not move (Cleveland is not affected because the tool response for the year of relocation did not include the off-site workyears).

6. Question #6130 Cleveland – This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis. (Total of 3.20 Workyears outside Cleveland).

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data collection tool. Cleveland workyears were reduced and Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis workyears increased for Accounting Business Line Executive (Product Line Code ABX) 6167 (Arlington) only. Cleveland (6169), Columbus (6171), Denver (6173), and Indianapolis (6174) are not affected for relocation because the workyears will not move (Cleveland is not affected because the tool response for the year of relocation did not include the off-site workyears).

7. Question #6131 Cleveland – This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis. (Total of 3.00 Workyears outside Cleveland).

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data collection tool. Cleveland workyears were reduced and Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis workyears increased for Accounting Business Line Executive (Product Line Code ABX) 6167 (Arlington) only. Cleveland (6169), Columbus (6171), Denver (6173), and Indianapolis (6174) are not affected for relocation because the workyears will not move (Cleveland is not affected because the tool response for the year of relocation did not include the off-site workyears).

B. To ensure the COBRA model has correct data to complete Screen 3 – Movement Table, Screen 4 – Base Information (Static), and Screen 6 – Base Information (Personnel), additional clarification is required.

1. Question #6125 – Cleveland. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 4 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool.

Cleveland workyears were reduced and Columbus workyears **increased** for the

Departmental Accounting workyears previously annotated in the **Cleveland** response.

Relocation question number 6169 (Cleveland) was adjusted to reflect the change.

Relocation question 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears

will not move.

2. Question #6126 – Cleveland. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 4

workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing &

gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool.

Cleveland workyears were reduced and Columbus workyears increased for the

Departmental Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Cleveland response.

Relocation question number 6169 (Cleveland) was adjusted to reflect the change.

Relocation question 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears

will not move.

3. Question #6127 – Cleveland. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 4

workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing &

gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool.

Cleveland workyears were reduced and Columbus workyears **increased** for the

Departmental Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Cleveland response.

Relocation question number 6169 (Cleveland) was adjusted to reflect the change.

Relocation question 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears

will not move.

4. Question #6128 – Cleveland. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 4

workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing &

gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool.

Cleveland workyears were reduced and Columbus workyears **increased** for the

Departmental Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Cleveland response.

Relocation question number 6169 (Cleveland) was adjusted to reflect the change.
Relocation question 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

5. Question #6129 – Cleveland. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 4 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool.
Cleveland workyears were reduced and Columbus workyears increased for the Departmental Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Cleveland response.
Relocation question number 6169 (Cleveland) was adjusted to reflect the change.
Relocation question 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

6. Question #6130 – Cleveland. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 4 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool.
Cleveland workyears were reduced and Columbus workyears increased for the Departmental Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Cleveland response.
Relocation question number 6169 (Cleveland) was adjusted to reflect the change.
Relocation question 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

7. Question #6131 – Cleveland. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 4 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool.
Cleveland workyears were reduced and Columbus workyears increased for the Departmental Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Cleveland response.
Relocation question number 6169 (Cleveland) was adjusted to reflect the change.
Relocation question 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

8. Question #6125 – Kansas City. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 2 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool. Kansas City workyears were decreased and Columbus workyears increased for the Field Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Kansas City response. Relocation question number 6175 (Kansas City) was adjusted to reflect the change. Relocation question number 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

9. Question #6126 – Kansas City. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 2 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool. Kansas City workyears were decreased and Columbus workyears increased for the Field Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Kansas City response. Relocation question number 6175 (Kansas City) was adjusted to reflect the change. Relocation question number 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

10. Question #6127 – Kansas City. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 2 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool. Kansas City workyears were decreased and Columbus workyears increased for the Field Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Kansas City response. Relocation question number 6175 (Kansas City) was adjusted to reflect the change, as the workyears will not move.

11. Question #6128 – Kansas City. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 2 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool. Kansas City workyears were decreased and Columbus workyears increased for the Field Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Kansas City response. Relocation question number 6175 (Kansas City) was adjusted to reflect the change. Relocation

question number 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

12. Question #6129 – Kansas City. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 2 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool. Kansas City workyears were decreased and Columbus workyears increased for the Field Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Kansas City response. Relocation question number 6175 (Kansas City) was adjusted to reflect the change. Relocation question number 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

13. Question #6130 – Kansas City. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 2 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool. Kansas City workyears were decreased and Columbus workyears increased for the Field Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Kansas City response. Relocation question number 6175 (Kansas City) was adjusted to reflect the change. Relocation question number 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

14. Question #6131 – Kansas City. Civilian Workyear numbers include approximately 2 workyears physically located at DFAS Columbus in the MOCAS area.

Clarification Question: Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Response has been changed in the Data Collection Tool. Kansas City workyears were decreased and Columbus workyears increased for the Field Accounting workyears previously annotated in the Kansas City response. Relocation question number 6175 (Kansas City) was adjusted to reflect the change. Relocation question number 6171 (Columbus) was not affected by the change, as the workyears will not move.

15. Question #6132 – Pensacola Sauley Field – Civilian Pay. FY 05 Work year figures for Sauley Field are included in the Pensacola Naval Air Station Figure.

Clarification Question: How many workyears are included in the Pensacola Naval Air Station Figure? Where are these workyears identified for relocation (losing & gaining)? Please provide relocation question number(s) (DoD 6167 through DoD 6194).

Clarification Response: Question 6132 is the only affected question and has been changed in the Data Collection Tool. The relocation questions (losing & gaining) were not affected by this FY 05 issue.

RESPONSES REQUIRED NLT-0930, 1 DEC 04
SCENARIO DATA CALL CLARIFICATION

PROGRAMMED PERSONNEL & PERSONNEL RELOCATION

29 NOV 04

To ensure the COBRA model has correct data to complete Screen 3 – Movement Table, Screen 4 – Base Information (Static), and Screen 6 – Base Information (Personnel), additional clarification is required.

1. The FM Team posted the following spreadsheets on the OSD Portal identifying disconnects between programmed personnel and personnel relocation numbers for various DFAS Locations:

- a. Programmed Personnel – Personnel Relocation Clarification 23 Nov 04
- b. Programmed Personnel FM-DFAS Workbook ON 23 Nov 04
- c. Relocation of Personnel Totals FM-DFAS Workbook ON 23 Nov 04

2. CLARIFICATION: Based on discussions between FM Team and DFAS on Wednesday, 24 Nov 04, corrected responses to those locations where programmed personnel and personnel relocation numbers do not match (paragraph 1a above) must be provided before the FM Team can run the COBRA model on Wednesday, 1 Dec 04.

Nov 24, 2004 6:19 PM 11/24/04 Request for Clarification - 29 Nov 04

Nov 30, 2004

MEMORANDUM FOR HSA JCSG – FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SUBGROUP

SUBJECT: Changes & Clarifications to Scenario Data Call Response

During our discussion on Wednesday, November 24, 2004 with the HSA JCSG Finance and Accounting Subgroup representative, several issues were raised concerning the DFAS response to Scenario Data Call questions. The following information is provided to resolve these issues and correct the data.

Required clarifications / justifications will be added to the appropriate responses in the "source" block in the DCT. All changes to answers will be provided to the appropriate Business Line Administrator for data correction in the DCT.

1. The following sites were identified as having cumulative movement that would result in a greater number of workyears moving than in the POM for the site closing year. Sites listed with differences are shown with multi-year movement from the site. Functions moving out of the site in earlier years will have a higher POM number which accounts for noted differences.

- a. **Kansas City, MO (Question DOD #6175)**
No Change. Cumulative movement of 6 more than programmed in 2010 due to multi-year movement with earlier year movement having higher programmed numbers for some functions.

- b. **Cleveland, OH (Question DOD #6169):**
No Change. Cumulative movement of 4 more than programmed in 2009 due to multi-year movement with earlier year movement having higher programmed numbers for some functions.

- c. **St. Louis, MO (Question DOD # 6194):**
No Change. Cumulative movement of 3 more than programmed in 2009 due to multi-year movement with earlier year movement having higher programmed numbers for some functions.

- d. **Lawton, OK (Question DOD # 6176)**
No Change. Cumulative movement of 6 more than programmed in 2009 due to multi-year movement with earlier year movement having higher programmed numbers for some functions.

- e. **Norfolk Naval Station, VA (Question DOD # 6179)**
No Change. Cumulative movement of 1 more than programmed in 2009 due to multi-year movement with earlier year movement having higher programmed numbers for some functions.

- f. **Orlando, FL (Question DOD # 6182)**
No Change. Cumulative movement of 4 more than programmed in 2009 due to multi-year movement with earlier year movement having higher programmed numbers for some functions.

- g. **Pacific, HI (Question DOD # 6183)**
No Change. Cumulative movement of 1 more than programmed in 2009 due to

- multi-year movement with earlier year movement having higher programmed numbers for some functions.
- h. Rock Island, IL (Question DoD # 6188)
No Change. Cumulative movement of 4 more than programmed in 2009 due to multi-year movement with earlier year movement having higher programmed numbers for some functions.
- i. Rome, NY (Question DoD # 6189)
No Change. Cumulative movement of 9 more than programmed in 2009 due to multi-year movement with earlier year movement having higher programmed numbers for some functions.

2. The following sites were identified by the HSA JCSCG as listing movement beyond FY09. Per the questions in the Scenario Data Call, justification is required for any movements beyond FY09. The following information will be added to the "source" block in the DCT for the appropriate data call question.
- a. Kansas City, MO (Question DoD # 6175):
Change: Add to the "source" block: "Functional movement out of DFAS Kansas City is scheduled from FY06-FY10 due to the need to realistically balance movement out with the flow into the receiving locations in the Scenario." Reason for change: "Clarification for questions from HSA JCSCG."

- b. Columbus, OH (Question DoD #6171):
Change: Add to the "source" block: "Final consolidation of General Counsel functions is scheduled for 2011 to ensure adequate functional support at main receiving sites through the BRAC process." Reason for change: "Clarification for questions from HSA JCSCG."
- c. Denver, CO (Question DoD #6173):
Change: Add to the "source" block: "Final consolidation of General Counsel functions is scheduled for 2011 to ensure adequate functional support at main receiving sites through the BRAC process." Reason for change: "Clarification for questions from HSA JCSCG."

3. The following sites were identified by the HSA JCSCG as having discrepancies comparing site movement numbers to programmed numbers for the same year. The following corrections / additions will be made in the DCT for the appropriate question.

- a. Seaside, CA (Question DoD #6193):
Discrepancy: Number of personnel left at location = 40 (not accounted for between POM year and function move responses)
Change: Add the following information in the appropriate blocks in question #6193. Reason for Change: "Correction for missed Field Accounting numbers in initial data entry."

Seaside Function(s) (Text)	FY of Relocation (#)	Military Officer (#)	Military Enlisted (#)	Civilian (#)	TO (Gaining Location) (Text)	Comments (Text)
Field Accounting	2006	0	10.1	29.5	Denver	No comment provided by Business Line

b. San Diego, CA (Question DoD #6192):

Discrepancy: Number of personnel left at location = 158 (not accounted for between POM year and function move responses). Additional functions total to 161, difference of 3 more of cumulative movement than the programmed number due to multi-year movement with earlier movement having higher programmed numbers for Disbursing function.
Change: Add the following information in the appropriate blocks in question #6192. Reason for Change: "Correction for missed Field Accounting and Disbursing numbers in initial data entry."

San Diego	FY of Relocation (#)	Military Officer (#)	Military Enlisted (#)	Civilian (#)	TO (Gaining Location) (Text)	Comments (Text)
Field Accounting	2008	1	2	151.90	Denver	No comment provided by Business Line
Disbursing	2006	0	0	5.90	Denver	No comment provided by Business Line

c. San Bernardino, CA (Question DoD #6191):

Discrepancy: Number of personnel left at location = 61 (not accounted for between POM year and function move responses). Additional functions total to 70.6, difference of 9.6 more of cumulative movement than the programmed number.
Change: Add the following information in the appropriate blocks in question #6191. Reason for Change: "Correction for missed Field Accounting, Disbursing, and Commercial Pay numbers in initial data entry."

San Bernardino	FY of Relocation (#)	Military Officer (#)	Military Enlisted (#)	Civilian (#)	TO (Gaining Location) (Text)	Comments (Text)
Field Accounting	2007	0	0	32.00	Denver	No comment provided by Business Line
FMS Accounting	2007	0	0	12.70	Denver	No comment provided by Business Line
Commercial Pay	2007	0	0	25.9	Columbus	FY 2007 POM

d. Charleston, SC (Question DoD #6168):

Discrepancy: Number of personnel left at location = 12 (not accounted for between POM year and function move responses).
Change: Change the total for Field Accounting to 157 (as listed below). Reason for Change: "Correction required to account for 12 Field Accounting workyears from Columbus."

Charleston	FY of Relocation (#)	Military Officer (#)	Military Enlisted (#)	Civilian (#)	TO (Gaining Location) (Text)	Comments (Text)
Field Accounting	2008	0	0	157	Indianapolis	Includes 145 from CL and 12 from CO

e. Pensacola (Question DoD #6185):

Discrepancy: Number of personnel left at location for Pensacola = 4 while number of personnel left for Pensacola Sauley Field = -4.
Change: For question #6185, change the total for Civilian Pay moving to Denver in 2007 from 86.4 to 82.9 (shown as below).

Pensacola NAS	FY of	0.0	Military	Military	82.9	Civilian (#)	TO (Gaining Location)	Comments (Text)
Function(s) (Text)	Relocation (#)	Officer (#)	Enlisted (#)		DE			DoD-Payroll

f. Pensacola Saufley Field (Question DoD #6186):
Discrepancy: Number of personnel left at location for Pensacola = 4 while
number of personnel left for Pensacola Saufley Field = -4.
Change: For question #6186, change the total for Civilian Pay moving to Denver
in 2007 from 29.5 to 33.4 (shown as below).

Pensacola Saufley	FY of	0.0	Military	Military	33.4	Civilian (#)	TO (Gaining Location)	Comments (Text)
Field Function(s)	Relocation (#)	Officer (#)	Enlisted (#)		DE			Systems
(Text)								

<<< signed >>>
Jim Chittick
BRAC Team Lead

Note: Signed by Phil Westcott
for Jim Chittick

CORRECTED RESPONSES REQUIRED NLT 0930 1 DEC 04

DFAS SCENARIO DATA CALL

FM CLARIFICATION FOR QUESTIONS DOD #6146 THRU #6166

24 NOV 04

Please provide corrected responses to Questions 6146 thru 6166 if duplication has occurred in 19 Nov 04 responses to COBRA-Scenario Data Call.

1. Reference Questions DOD #6146, 6147, 6148, 6149, 6150, 6151, and 6152 – FY 2005 through FY 2011 (respectively) Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – Corporate/Support Organizations.

Clarification for Amplification 1 – “Does not include DFAS Headquarters Element or Headquarters Liaison Functions” The intent of this statement was to ensure that programmed personnel work years for these questions (DOD #6146 through 6152) **SHOULD NOT** include programmed personnel work years for the DFAS Headquarters Element nor DFAS Headquarters Liaison. Please ensure that programmed personnel work years for Corporate/Support Organizations, DFAS Headquarters Liaison are not duplicated.

2. Reference Questions DOD #6153, 6154, 6155, 6156, 6157, 6158, and 6159 – FY 5005 through FY 2011 (respectively) Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – DFAS Headquarters Element.

Clarification for Amplification 1 – “Does not include DFAS Headquarters Liaison Functions” The intent of this statement was to ensure that programmed personnel work years for these questions (DOD #6153 through 6159) **SHOULD NOT** include programmed personnel work years for the DFAS Headquarters Liaison. Please ensure that programmed personnel work years for Corporate/Support Organizations, DFAS Headquarters Element, and DFAS Headquarters Liaison are not duplicated.

3. Reference Questions DOD #6160, 6161, 6162, 6163, 6164, 6165, and 6166 – FY 5005 through FY 2011 (respectively) Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – DFAS Headquarters Liaison.

Clarification for Amplification 1 – Please ensure that programmed personnel work years for Corporate/Support Organizations, DFAS Headquarters Element, and DFAS Headquarters Liaison are not duplicated.

Complete - All Questions 6146 Thru 6166 FM Amplification - 24 Nov 04

Dec 1, 2004

MEMORANDUM FOR HSA JCSG – FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SUBGROUP

SUBJECT: Changes & Clarifications to Scenario Data Call Response

1. During our discussion on Wednesday, November 24, 2004 with the HSA JCSG Finance and Accounting Subgroup representative, several issues were raised concerning the DFAS response to Scenario Data Call questions. One issue concerns the difference between programmed numbers for Arlington (when including Corporate Support Functions, HQ Element function, and HQ Liaison function) and the reported numbers for Personnel/Function movement out of Arlington. At the meeting, the HSA JCSG clarified their intent that the Corporate Support, HQ Element, and HQ Liaison functions were supposed to be added together and balance to the total movement from Arlington for 2006. This was not originally stated in the data call questions. DFAS answered these questions as separate groups understanding that there would be overlap between these functions, especially since the Corporate Support functions exist and are programmed, but the HQ Element and Liaison functions are not in existence or programmed and were mathematically developed to answer the scenario questions.

2. After further research, the problem with answering the questions as the HSA JCSG wanted was discussed during a teleconference on Nov 30, 2004 with the DFAS BRAC Team and the HSA JCSG. An agreement was reached that the HQ Element function would not be included in the attempt to balance the programmed numbers with the movement numbers. The following information is provided to resolve these issues and correct the data.
3. Required clarifications / justifications will be added to the appropriate responses in the "source" block in the DCT. All changes to answers will be provided to the appropriate Business Line Administrator for data correction in the DCT.

- a. DoD Question #6146, Arlington Corporate Support Functions, 2005
Change: Add the following 3 functions shown below.
Reason for Change: "Correction for missed functions discovered in discussion with HSA JCSG concerning COBRA database of DFAS answers."

Function	Programmed	Programmed	Programmed	Programmed	Comments
Corporate Communications	0.0	0.0	7.4	Correction for missed function not included in question.	
DFS-Defense Financial Systems PMO	0.0	0.0	17.9	Correction for missed function not included in question.	Update Arlington Accounting for missing information.
Accounting	0.0	0.0	40.5	Accounting for missing information.	

b. DoD Question #6147, Arlington Corporate Support Functions, 2006:
Change: Add the following 3 functions shown below.
Reason for Change: "Correction for missed functions discovered in discussion with HSA JCSCG concerning COBRA database of DFAS answers."

Function	Programmed	Programmed	Enlisted	Civilian	Comments
Corporate Communications	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
DFS-Defense Financial Systems PMO	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
Accounting	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.5	Update Arlington Accounting for missing information.

c. DoD Question #6148, Arlington Corporate Support Functions, 2007:
Change: Add the following 3 functions shown below.
Reason for Change: "Correction for missed functions discovered in discussion with HSA JCSCG concerning COBRA database of DFAS answers."

Function	Programmed	Programmed	Enlisted	Civilian	Comments
Corporate Communications	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
DFS-Defense Financial Systems PMO	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
Accounting	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.5	Update Arlington Accounting for missing information.

d. DoD Question #6149, Arlington Corporate Support Functions, 2008:
Change: Add the following 3 functions shown below.
Reason for Change: "Correction for missed functions discovered in discussion with HSA JCSCG concerning COBRA database of DFAS answers."

Function	Programmed	Programmed	Enlisted	Civilian	Comments
Corporate Communications	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
DFS-Defense Financial Systems PMO	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
Accounting	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.5	Update Arlington Accounting for missing information.

e. DoD Question #6150, Arlington Corporate Support Functions, 2009:
Change: Add the following 3 functions shown below.
Reason for Change: "Correction for missed functions discovered in discussion with HSA JCSG concerning COBRA database of DFAS answers."

Function	Programmed Officer	Programmed Enlisted	Programmed Civilian	Comments
Corporate Communications	0.0	0.0	5.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
DFS-Defense Financial Systems PMO	0.0	0.0	70.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
Accounting	0.0	0.0	40.5	Update Arlington Accounting for missing information.

f. DoD Question #6151, Arlington Corporate Support Functions, 2010:
Change: Add the following 3 functions shown below.
Reason for Change: "Correction for missed functions discovered in discussion with HSA JCSG concerning COBRA database of DFAS answers."

Function	Programmed Officer	Programmed Enlisted	Programmed Civilian	Comments
Corporate Communications	0.0	0.0	5.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
DFS-Defense Financial Systems PMO	0.0	0.0	70.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
Accounting	0.0	0.0	40.5	Update Arlington Accounting for missing information.

g. DoD Question #6152, Arlington Corporate Support Functions, 2011:
Change: Add the following 3 functions shown below.
Reason for Change: "Correction for missed functions discovered in discussion with HSA JCSG concerning COBRA database of DFAS answers."

Function	Programmed Officer	Programmed Enlisted	Programmed Civilian	Comments
Corporate Communications	0.0	0.0	5.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
DFS-Defense Financial Systems PMO	0.0	0.0	70.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.
Accounting	0.0	0.0	40.5	Update Arlington Accounting for missing information.

- h. DoD Question #6167, Personnel / Function Movement from Arlington, 2006:
Change: Add the following 2 functions shown below.
Reason for Change: "Correction for missed functions discovered in discussion with HSA JCSG concerning COBRA database of DFAS answers."

Function	Programmed Officer	Programmed Enlisted	Programmed Civilian	Comments
Accounting	0.0	0.0	42.5	Update Arlington Accounting for missing information. Additional 2 workyears are programmed in Cleveland but physically sit in Arlington.
DF-S-Defense Financial Systems PMO	0.0	0.0	70.0	Correction for missed function not included in question.

<<< signed >>>
Jim Chittick
BRAC Team Lead

Note: Signed by Phil Westcott
for Jim Chittick

Notice: This document may contain pre-decisional Department of Defense information that is provided to the addressees on a need-to-know basis. Do not forward outside of the Department of Defense and/or OSD BRAC channels without the expressed permission of the originator.

SCENARIO DATA CALL

FM CLARIFICATION DOD #6132 THRU DOD #6138 AND DOD #6169

1 DECEMBER 2004

1. Reference DOD #6132 thru DOD #6138 – FY 2005 – FY 2011 (Respectively)
Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers - Military and Civilian Pay
JCSG: HQS and Support
Function(s): DFAS
Question: For each question, all FYs, for DFAS-Cleveland, OH, Military Retired & Annuitant Pay Responses. In the comment block(s), please identify the programmed personnel number for the Continuing Government Activity (See Amplification). To ensure the FM Team is able to view the entire comment, please provide the comment when it is posted as completed on the OSD Portal.
Amplification: 1. For the purposes of this data call, the Continuing Government Activity programmed personnel numbers would exclude associated support functions, i.e., e-commerce, garnishments. 2. This information is necessary to run the Cost of Base Realignment Actions (COBRA) database; re: COBRA User's Manual, Para 3.6. Screen Six - Base Information (Personnel), page 35.
The following comment will be added to each of the applicable questions:
There are 19.2 CGA work years for FY's 05-11. Source is the FY05-11 POM/BES
2. Reference DOD #6169 -- Personnel/Function Relocation - Cleveland
Function(s): DFAS
Question: For DFAS-Cleveland, OH, Military Retired & Annuitant Pay Responses. In the comment block, please identify the number of Continuing Government Activity personnel that will remain with the Military Retired & Annuitant Pay Contractor (See Additional Amplifications). To ensure the FM Team is able to view the entire comment, please provide the comment on this document when it is posted as completed on the OSD Portal.
Additional Amplifications:
9. For the purposes of this data call, the Continuing Government Activity programmed personnel numbers would exclude associated support functions, i.e., e-commerce, garnishments.
10. Your responses to questions on programmed personnel work year (WY) numbers will be correlated to responses on personnel/function relocation. 11. This information is necessary to run the Cost of Base Realignment Actions (COBRA) database; re: COBRA User's Manual, Para 3.6. Screen Six - Base Information (Personnel), page 35.

Notice: This document may contain pre-decisional Department of Defense information that is provided to the addressees on a need-to-know basis. Do not forward outside of the Department of Defense and/or OSD BRAC channels without the expressed permission of the originator.

There are 19.2 CGA work years. This has also been added in the comments section of the master word doc question listing that was submitted to DFAS ARL, updated and submitted 12-01-04

SCENARIO DATA CALL
FM CLARIFICATION DOD 6153 THRU 6159 AND 6167
1 DECEMBER 2004

A. The FM Team has been contacted by DFAS BRAC Points of Contact concerning the questions in the recent scenario data call. Specifically, all questions regarding the DFAS Headquarters Element and DFAS Headquarters Liaison. These two organizations were identified during optimization data calls and subsequently considered in the scenario data call by the FM Team. It has come to the FM Team's attention, that these organizations are conceptual and not formally developed in the DFAS POM/BES FY2005-FY2011 Submission. As a result, the programmed personnel work year numbers requested for the DFAS Headquarters Element would be speculative and difficult to establish for the purposes of this scenario data call. However, the DFAS Headquarters Liaison is expected to be a very small number of personnel (6) and can be easily segregated and tracked. Based on FM Team and DFAS BRAC Points of Contact discussions, the FM Team is withdrawing the following questions from the scenario data call.

1. DOD #6153 – FY 2005 Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – DFAS Headquarters Element.
2. DOD #6154 – FY 2006 Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – DFAS Headquarters Element.
3. DOD #6155 – FY 2007 Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – DFAS Headquarters Element.
4. DOD #6156 – FY 2008 Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – DFAS Headquarters Element.
5. DOD #6157 – FY 2009 Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – DFAS Headquarters Element.
6. DOD #6158 – FY 2010 Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – DFAS Headquarters Element.
7. DOD #6159 – FY 2011 Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers – DFAS Headquarters Element.

DFAS RESPONSE: Per discussion with HSA JCSCG, DFAS will change all data cells for these questions to "0" in the Data Collection Tool. Until a new DFAS Scenario Development data call Access data file is transmitted to the JCSCG, all data in these questions should not be included in any analysis or calculations since the questions have been withdrawn. Changes will be made in the DFAS DCT on 12/2/04.

B. Clarification is provided for Question DOD #6167 – Personnel/Function Relocation – Arlington: Added Amplification 9 – Your responses to questions on Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers will be correlated to responses on Personnel/Function Relocation.

DFAS RESPONSE: Programmed numbers for Arlington Corporate Support functions now correlate with Arlington function movement numbers with the withdrawal of the HQ Element function.

Notice: This document may contain pre-decisional Department of Defense information that is provided to the addressees on a need-to-know basis. Do not forward outside of the Department of Defense and/or OSD BRAC channels without the expressed permission of the originator.

New Scenario Data Call Questions:

1. DoD#6236: Administrative Expansion Space at Indianapolis, IN.

JCSG: HQs and Support
Function(s): DFAS

Question: For DFAS-Indianapolis, identify the amount of additional administrative USF which is or will be available on or before FY09 (beyond assigned USF of 788,174 identified by clarification to Capacity Data Call) and level of confidence expressed as a percentage (See Amplification)

Amplification: 1. Confidence level should be expressed in form of percentage, i.e., 50%, 80%, 95%, etc. 2. This information is necessary to run the Cost of Base Realignment Actions (COBRA) model. 3. Do not use "not applicable" to respond to this question.

Response: Per the GSA Building Manager, there is approximately 100,000 usf of administrative space currently vacant and available in the MG Emmett J. Bean Center. Confidence level is 99%.

2. DoD#6237 - Military Retired and Annuitant Pay Services, Cleveland, OH.

JCSG: HQs and Support
Function(s): DFAS

Question: For DFAS-Cleveland, OH, for the Military Retired & Annuitant Pay Contract, provide the number of contractor FTE(s) for FY 2005. (See Amplification)

Amplification: 1. This information is necessary to run the Cost of Base Realignment Actions (COBRA) model. 2. Do not use "not applicable" to respond to this question.

Response: There are 435 LMIT contractor FTE(s)

Source: Emergency recall roster (LDRPS), as of 12-01-04, from the CGA (Ms. Debra Williams)

Notice: This document may contain pre-decisional Department of Defense information that is provided to the addressees on a need-to-know basis. Do not forward outside of the Department of Defense and/or OSD BRAC channels without the expressed permission of the originator.

New Scenario Data Call Questions:

1. DoD# 6236: Administrative Expansion Space at Indianapolis, IN.
JCSCG: HQs and Support
Function(s): DFAS
Question: For DFAS-Indianapolis, identify the amount of additional administrative USF which is or will be available on or before FY09 (beyond assigned USF of 788,174 identified by clarification to Capacity Data Call) and level of confidence expressed as a percentage (See Amplification)
Amplification: 1. Confidence level should be expressed in form of percentage, i.e., 50%, 80%, 95%, etc. 2. This information is necessary to run the Cost of Base Realignment Actions (COBRA) model. 3. Do not use "not applicable" to respond to this question.
Response: Per the GSA Building Manager, there is approximately 100,000 sq ft of administrative space currently vacant and available in the MG Emmett J. Bean Center. Confidence level is 99%.

2. DoD# 6237 - Military Retired and Annuitant Pay Services, Cleveland, OH.
JCSCG: HQs and Support
Function(s): DFAS
Question: For DFAS-Cleveland, OH, for the Military Retired & Annuitant Pay Contract, provide the number of contractor FTE(s) for FY 2005. (See Amplification)
Amplification: 1. This information is necessary to run the Cost of Base Realignment Actions (COBRA) model. 2. Do not use "not applicable" to respond to this question.
Response: There are 435 LMIT contractor FTE(s)

Source: Emergency recall roster (LDRPS), as of 12-01-04, from the CGA (Ms. Debra Williams)

Complete - New Scenario - Classification Question 6236 + 6237 1 Dec 04

OPTIMIZATION DATA CALL
FM CLARIFICATION HSA084
1 DECEMBER 2004

Reference HSA #084: DoD Organization(s) (Entity(ies) Co-Location with DFAS Business/Product Lines. Based on your responses to this question, the attached spreadsheet identifies the DoD Organization(s) (entities)/personnel that you identified as ones that should be co-located with DFAS for mission/customer support requirements/agreements.

Please identify gaining location(s) where these personnel should be located and FY they would relocate. If no change in location is anticipated please indicate "no change" in the comments block. Gaining locations are DFAS-Columbus, DFAS-Denver, and DFAS-Indianapolis.

Optim. Data Call - Column Clarification #15A084 - 1 Dec 04

Current LOCATION	BUSINESS/ PRODUCT LINE	DOD ORG CURRENTLY CO-LOCATED	DOD ORG SHOULD BE CO-LOCATED	DOD ORG POC	NUMBER OF DOD ORG CO-LOCATED	RATIONALE	GAINING LOCATION	FY	COMMENT
San Diego	Accounting Services	BUMED	Yes	Genetic Rea 858- 616-5656	2	BUMED funded liaison officer (military) and assistant (civilian) provide significant support in resolving BUMED issues and smoothing processes on behalf of both commands.	Denver	2008	This was omitted from the JCSCG initial data request. We added this line.
Charleston	Accounting Services	NAVSEA	Yes	Karen Devorscak 202-278- 2707	4	none	None	N/A	We reported in the tool that this organization need not be colocated with DFAS.
Denver	Accounting Services	Air Force Accounting and Finance Office	yes	Col. Patrick Coe 303 676 5853	12	none	DFAS- Denver	N/A	No Change
Denver	Security Assistance	Defense Security Cooperation Agency	yes	Mr. William Kramer 303 676 6178	6		DFAS- Denver	N/A	No Change

Indianapolis	Accounting Services	Army National Guard/Army Reserve Pay Support Office	yes	317-510-7134 317-510-2003	22		DFAS-Indianapolis	N/A	We changed the name of the organization to Army National Guard Financial Services Center and adjusted the number of employees to 11 in the DCT.
Indianapolis	Accounting Services	US Army Finance Command	yes	LTC McQuail 317-510-3016	24		DFAS-Indianapolis	N/A	No Change
Kansas City	DFAS Accounting	HQMC DFAS Liaison/Marine Corps Financial Mgmt Evaluation & Analysis Team (MCFEAT)	Yes	David Garza: 816-926-1418	8	MCDOS works with all Marine Corps Finance Operations and systems. Requires daily interactions w/DFAS personnel concerning MCTFS/ISO/Disbursing/Accounting to support the mission.	DFAS-Columbus	2007	The rationale on this line does not belong to this entity (MCFEAT), but it does belong to MCDOS, another entity co-located with DFAS KC.

Kansas City	DFAS Accounting	Marine Corps Disbursing Operation & Systems Section (MCDOSS)	Yes	Michael Spahalski: 816-926- 6921	8		DFAS- Columbus	20 07	The rationale listed for MCFEAT actually belongs to this entity, MCDOSS.
Omaha	Accounting Services	National Geospatial/Intelligence Agency (NGA)	yes	Mr. Chuck Arns DSN 490 5156 ext 130	1	Co-location would not be a DFAS requirement but a convenience to the customer.	DFAS- Denver	20 08	The rationale on this line does not belong to this entity (NGA). It belongs to Charleston (NAVSEA)
Columbus	Commercial Pay Business Line/Contract Pay Product Line	Air Force/SAF/FMP	Air Force/SAF/FMP	Melissa Torres 614-693- 1994	1				No change
St Louis	Accounting Services	United States Army Security Assistance Command	yes	(314) 260- 3318 Jerry Whelan	28	Provides Defense Contract Management Liaison	DFAS- Denver	20 08	The rationale on this line does not belong to this entity. We located it for Contract Pay in Columbus; Commercial Pay Business Line in the DCT.

Columbus	Commercial Pay Business Line/Contract Pay Product Line	Defense Contract Management Agency	Defense Contract Management Agency	John Heston 614-693- 4969	3					No change
TOTAL PERS					117					

FM TEAM CLARIFICATION REQUEST
ON
DFAS OPTIMIZATION DATA CALL RESPONSES 14 SEPTEMBER 04
QUESTIONS DOD #6029, #6030, AND #6031

Please provide updates to the following tables based on approved MID 914, 18 October 2004. If no change, so state in update/comments block.

WHS Realign From	Realign TO	Estimate d FY	Military Officer	Military Enlisted	Civilian	Contractor FTE	Justification/Rationale	DFAS - December 2004 Update/COMMENTS
Arlingto n, VA	Columbus, OH	2005	0	0	10	0	Capitalization by DFAS approved by MID 914	

DTRA Realign From	Realign TO	Estimated FY	Military Officer	Military Enlisted	Civilian	Contractor FTE	Justification/Rationale	DFAS - December 2004 Update/COMMENTS
Ft. Belvoir, VA	Indianapolis, IN	2005	0	0	5	0	DTRA Capitalization approved by MID 914	
Ft. Belvoir, VA	Indianapolis, IN	2006	0	0	14	0	DTRA Capitalization approved by MID 914	Changed from cumulative number
			0	0	0	0	None	
			0	0	0	0	None	
			0	0	0	0	None	
TOTAL			0	0	19	0		

DoDEA Realign From	Realign TO	Estimated FY	Military Officer	Military Enlisted	Civilian	Contractor FTE	Justification/Rati onale	DFAS - December 2004 Update/COMMENTS
Washington, DC	Indianapolis, IN	2005	0	0	11	0	DoDEA capitalization	

Complete - FM Team Clarification Request Nov 04 which 7 Dec 04

								authorized by MID 914	
								DoDEA capitalization authorized by MID 914	Changed from cumulative number
Washington, DC	Indianapolis, IN	2006	0	0	7	0			
TOTAL			0	0	18	0			

Q. 1. H/A 2018 Classification Questions - 23 May 04 - Complete

1. Question #6125 Denver-96 MSA "Civilian" workyears are included in this number, although they are located at Air Force sites throughout the US. MSAs are reported here because MSA locations are not reported in the Scenario Development data call but workyears are included in the Denver Air Force Accounting POM numbers.
2. Question # 6125 Indianapolis-Columbus Defense Agencies Staff. This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line
3. Question #6125 Cleveland-This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver, and Indianapolis. (Total of 2.10 Workyears outside Cleveland).
4. Question #6126 Denver-100 MSA "Civilian" workyears are included in this number, although they are located at Air Force sites throughout the US. MSAs are reported here because MSA locations are not reported in the Scenario Development data call but workyears are included in the Denver Air Force POM numbers.
5. Question #6126 Indianapolis- The workyears reported on this line are Columbus Defense Agency workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis. The following notes for other lines at Indianapolis also relate to Columbus Defense Agency workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis: DLA Field Accounting, Non-DLA Field Accounting, Defense Agencies Departmental Accounting.
6. Question #6126 Cleveland-This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial Workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver and Indianapolis. (Total of 5.00 Workyears outside Cleveland).
7. Question #6127 Denver-100 MSA "Civilian" workyears are included in this number, although they are located at Air Force sites throughout the US. MSAs are reported here because MSA locations are not reported in the Scenario Development data call but workyears are included in the Denver Air Force POM numbers.

8. Question #6127 Indianapolis-The workyears reported on this line are Columbus Defense Agency workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis. The following notes for other lines at Indianapolis also relate to Columbus Defense Agency workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis. The following
9. Question #6127 Indianapolis-This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial Workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Denver, and Indianapolis. (Total of 5.00 Workyears outside Cleveland).
10. Question #6128 Denver-100 MSA "Civilian" workyears are included in this number, although they are located at Air Force sites throughout the US. MSAs are reported here because MSA locations are not reported in the Scenario Development data call but workyears are included in the Denver Air Force POM numbers.
11. Question #6128 Indianapolis-The workyears reported on this line are Columbus Defense Agency workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis. The following notes for other lines at Indianapolis also relate to Columbus Defense Agency workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis: DLA Field Accounting, Non-DLA Field Accounting, Defense Agencies Departmental Accounting.
12. Question #6128 Cleveland-This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial Workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver and Indianapolis. (Total 3.40 Workyears outside Cleveland).
13. Question #6129 Denver-100 MSA "Civilian" workyears are included in this number, although they are located at Air Force sites throughout the US. MSAs are reported here because MSA locations are not reported in the Scenario Development data call but workyears are included in the Denver Air Force POM numbers.
14. Question #6129 Indianapolis-The workyears reported on this line are Columbus Defense Agency workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis. The following notes for other lines at Indianapolis also relate to Columbus Defense Agency

- workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis: DLA Field Accounting, Non-
DLA Field Accounting, Defense Agencies Departmental Accounting.
15. Question #6129 Cleveland-This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the
Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial
Workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver and
Indianapolis. (Total of 3.30 Workyears outside Cleveland).
16. Question #6130 Denver-100 MSA "Civilian" workyears are included in this
number, although they are located at Air Force sites throughout the US. MSAs are
reported here because MSA locations are not reported in the Scenario Development
data call but workyears are included in the Denver Air Force POM numbers.
17. Question #6130 Indianapolis- The workyears reported on this line are Columbus
Defense Agency workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis. The following
notes for other lines at Indianapolis also relate to Columbus Defense Agency
workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis: DLA Field Accounting, Non-
DLA Field Accounting, Defense Agencies Departmental Accounting.
18. Question #6130 Cleveland-This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the
Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial
Workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver and
Indianapolis. (Total of 3.20 Workyears outside Cleveland
19. Question #6131 Denver-100 MSA "Civilian" workyears are included in this
number, although they are located at Air Force sites throughout the US. MSAs are
reported here because MSA locations are not reported in the Scenario Development
data call but workyears are included in the Denver Air Force POM numbers.
20. Question #6131 Indianapolis- The workyears reported on this line are Columbus
Defense Agency workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis. The following
notes for other lines at Indianapolis also relate to Columbus Defense Agency
workyears physically located at DFAS Indianapolis: DLA Field Accounting, Non-
DLA Field Accounting, Defense Agencies Departmental Accounting.
21. Question #6131 Cleveland-This Product Line is not specifically mentioned in the
Amplification, but it is a separate Accounting Product Line. Includes partial

Workyears assigned to Cleveland but working in Arlington, Columbus, Denver and Indianapolis. (Total of 3.00 Workyears outside Cleveland).

22. Question #6170 Cleveland Bratenahl- The NA response for Cleveland Bratenahl

was entered in error. The correct response should have been zero. This was

corrected in the DFAS Data Collection Tool.

23. Question #6171 Indianapolis-Per Executive Decision 100 Contract Pay 176

Business Line level support Physical transfers may not actually occur between personnel currently located at Columbus and Indianapolis. This is a realignment of work to ensure continuity of operations. There will redundancy of CPBL operations with the exception of contract reconciliation, which will only be performed in Columbus.

24. Question #6174 Columbus-Per Executive Decision. Physical transfers may not

actually occur between personnel currently located at Columbus and Indianapolis.

This is a realignment of work to ensure continuity of operations. There will be

redundancy of CPBL operations with the exception of contract reconciliation, which

will only be performed in Columbus.

25. Question #6228 Arlington- The 10% personnel reduction is based on DFAS

experience with consolidation. The Agency consolidation of 300+ sites into 26 sites during the early 1990s recognized a manpower savings of 20%. Since the proposed consolidation under the current BRAC scenario involves significantly fewer

consolidating locations, DFAS considers 10% a viable estimate of anticipated

personnel savings from consolidating. DFAS included the 10% personnel savings in current Program Objective Memorandum (POM) numbers. Based on discussions with the HSA JCSCG, POM numbers will be adjusted upward to prevent counting projected BRAC savings twice. The HSA JCSCG has agreed to this approach.

26. Question #6213 The "none" response for the losing location was entered in error.

The correct response should have been Pensacola Saufley Field. This has been

corrected in the DFAS Data Collection Tool.

New Scenario Questions:

1. Reference # HSAXXX (DoD#6235): Operating Cost Per Square Foot Escalation

Rate (Percentage)

JCSG: HQs and Support

Function(s): DFAS

Question: For all DFAS locations, identify the one escalation rate (percentage) that should be used annually to adjust operating cost per square foot. If more than one rate, identify associated location(s) and provide comment(s).

Amplification: 1. Provide source/rationalale for your response under comments.
2. This information is necessary to run the Cost of Base Realignment Actions (COBRA) model.

Please fill in the following table, adding rows as necessary.

Escalation Rate (Percentage) (%)	Location (Text)	Comment (Text)
3.50%	Arlington	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Cleveland	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Cleveland - Bratenahl	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Columbus	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Denver	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Indianapolis	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Kansas City	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Charleston	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Dayton	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Lawton	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Lexington	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Limestone	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Norfolk	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Oakland	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Omaha	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Orlando	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Pacific	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Pensacola NAS	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
3.50%	Rock Island	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.

Final Scenario #15 AM18 Question - 24 Nov 04

		3.50%	Rome	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
		3.50%	San Antonio	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
		3.50%	San Bernardino	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
		3.50%	San Diego	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
		3.50%	Seaside	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
		3.50%	St. Louis	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
		3.50%	Patuxent River	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
		3.50%	Pensacola Saufley Fields	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.
		3.50%	Red River Army Depot	GSA FY 05 Rent Projections Report, September 2004.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
DFAS SCENARIO DATA CALL RESPONSES
AS OF 10 DECEMBER 2004

Reference Programmed Personnel Work Year (WY) Numbers & Personnel/Function Relocation Questions (DoD #6125 through DoD #6194)

- a. DoD #6125 through 6166 – DFAS provided program WY numbers for military officer(s), military enlisted, and civilian personnel.
- b. DoD #6167 through 6194 – DFAS provided personnel/function relocation for losing and gaining locations which included military officer(s), military enlisted, and civilian personnel.

INFORMATION REQUESTED: For all DFAS Military Officer and Military Enlisted personnel identified in responses to reference a & b above, please identify and explain, as appropriate, any initiatives/plans to return military personnel back to the respective Department or to convert any military positions to civilian positions.

RESPONSE:

For questions DoD #6125 through 6166 and DoD #6167 through 6194 the planned reductions in military officer and military enlisted personnel are the result of the Department-wide Defense Agency Manpower Review Process (DAMRP). These reductions are programmed through FY2007 and are outlined in the attached spreadsheet.

Complete Scenario Data Call - Military Programmed Personnel - Classification Request 10 Dec 04

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
DFAS MILITARY VALUE DATA CALL RESPONSES
AS OF 10 DECEMBER 2004

Reference Military Value Questions

- a. DoD #1918 - On a DoD owned installation? Yes/No. and
b. DoD #1902 - For each location, identify the terrorist threat assessment rating
(See Amplification) based on threat assessment intelligence and DSHARP analysis for
(a) personnel attacks, (B) conventional explosive attack, (c) arson, (d) hostage situation,
(e) weapons of mass destruction, (f) theft, and (g) other.

c. Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 4-010-01, DoD Minimum Antiterrorism
Standards for Buildings, dated 8 October 2003, paragraph 1-6.4 Leased Buildings: "DoD
personnel occupying leased buildings deserve the same level of protection as those in
DoD-owned buildings. Implementation of these standards is therefore mandatory for all
facilities leased for DoD use and for those buildings in which DoD receives a space
assignment from another government agency except as established below. This
requirement is intended to cover all situations, including General Services Administrative
space, privatized buildings, and host-nation and other foreign government buildings.
This requirement is applicable for all new leases executed on or after 1 October 2005 and
to renewal or extension of any existing lease on or after 1 October 2009. Leases executed
prior to the above fiscal years will comply with these standards where possible."

INFORMATION REQUESTED: For DFAS-Red River, based on responses to
references a & b above, this location is NOT on a DoD Installation and there was no
terrorist threat assessment rating performed. In accordance with reference c above,
please provide information on the DFAS Red River facility, i.e., does it meet DoD
minimum antiterrorism standards and if not what actions have been or will be taken to
comply with these standards by 1 October 2009.

RESPONSE: Red River Army Depot meets some of the criteria required - blast
mitigation film, controlled access, and alarms. The facility is on our Vulnerability
Assessment schedule for a visit in FY05. This visit will evaluate stand off areas as well
as what other security measures might be required. Deficiencies identified in the visit
will be prioritized and corrected as funds allow. Additional funding required will be
included in the mid-year budget requirements and out-year planning.

Information provided by Robert Kurkus, Director, Administrative Services, 12/13/04

This Value Response 1918 + 1902 - Classification Request to DoD 04 Complete - 2



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF, G-8
700 ARMY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON DC 20310-0700
HSA-JCSG-GC-PC-001

14 Jan 2005

Date: 14 Jan 2005

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Subject: DFAS Mechanicsburg, PA, Operating Cost Per Square Foot

References:

- a. Mil Value Data Call Question: DoD #1946 Operating Costs Per Square Foot
- b. Data Clarification Question: Inquiry #003, Distributed 8/25/200 - Operating Costs Per Square Foot for Mechanicsburg, PA. Question: Please provide the Operating Costs Per Square Foot (for Mechanicsburg). Response: There is no information available for Mechanicsburg. This is a Navy location. DFAS has only one (1) Technology Services Organization employee located at Mechanicsburg. No change in the Data Collection Tool is required.

Background: It has come to our attention that the reason that the reference b response indicates there is no information available for Mechanicsburg is that DFAS may not pay for this space. Rather that the Navy may provide the space without charge as part of the servicing agreement between Navy and DFAS.

Question: Please indicate whether or not DFAS pays Navy for space assigned to TSO employee located at Mechanicsburg. If DFAS does not pay for space at Mechanicsburg please provide a \$0 response and explain type of agreement, i.e. a formal (written) or informal (verbal) agreement.

Susan H. Bauer
Headquarters and Support Activities
Joint Cross-Service Group

[REDACTED]

RESPONSE: There is no formal agreement to support the one (1) DFAS employee at Mechanicsburg. Space is provided by the host at no cost---\$0.

James E Chittick
DFAS BRAC Team Lead

Please provide updates to the following tables based on approved MID 914, 18 October 2004. If no change, so state in update/comments block.

W/H/S Realign From	Realign TO	Estimate d FY	Military Officer	Military Enlisted	Civilian	Contractor FTE	Justification/Rationale	DFAS – December 2004 Update/COMMENTS
Arlington, VA	Columbus, OH	2005	0	0	10	0	Capitalization by DFAS approved by MID 914	No Change.

DTRA Realign From	Realign TO	Estimated FY	Military Officer	Military Enlisted	Civilian	Contractor FTE	Justification/Rationale	DFAS – December 2004 Update/COMMENTS
Ft. Belvoir, VA	Indianapolis, IN	2005	0	0	5	0	DTRA Capitalization approved by MID 914	No Change.
								No Change. This is a cumulative number that includes the 5 workyears transferred in 2005. A total of 19 DTRA Civilian workyears will move to Indianapolis.
Ft. Belvoir, VA	Indianapolis, IN	2006	0	0	19	0	DTRA Capitalization approved by MID 914	
			0	0	0	0	None	
			0	0	0	0	None	
			0	0	0	0	None	
TOTAL			0	0	24	0		

Completed 7m Dem Classification Request - New DA added - 9 Dec 04

DODEA Realign From	Realign TO	Estimated FY	Military Officer	Military Enlisted	Civilian	Contractor FTE	Justification/Ratio onale	DFAS - December 2004 Update/COMMENTS
Washington, DC	Indianapolis, IN	2005	0	0	11	0	DODEA capitalization authorized by MID 914	No Change.
Washington, DC	Indianapolis, IN	2006	0	0	18	0	DODEA capitalization authorized by MID 914	No Change. This is a cumulative number that includes the 11 workyears transferred in FY2005. A total of 18 DODEA workyears will move to Indianapolis.
TOTAL			0	0	29	0		

Additional Scenario - Cost Allocation - 17 Nov 04 Complete

Location (Text)	Escalation Rate (Percentage) (%)	Comments (Text)
DFAS-Columbus	3.4	Source: GSA Pricing Guide Chapt 3. Consumer Price Index Summary 11/18/04 Table A all items Compound Annual rate
DFAS-Denver	3.4	See Columbus above
DFAS-Indianapolis	3.4	See Columbus above

Please fill in the following table(s), adding rows as necessary

- database.
3. This information is necessary to run the Cost of Base Realignment Actions (COBRA)
 2. Provide source/rationale for your response under comments.
 - DFAS-Indianapolis. All other locations should be "N/A".
- question, information is ONLY requested for DFAS-Columbus, DFAS-Denver and use zero(s) in the response grid versus N/A when responding to this question. For this
- Amplification:** 1. To eliminate the need for clarification questions on "N/A" responses, square foot.
- escalation rate (percentage) that should be used annually to adjust operating cost per
- Question:** For DFAS-Columbus, DFAS-Denver and DFAS-Indianapolis, identify the
- Function(s):** DFAS
- JCSG:** HQs and Support
- Escalation Rate (Percentage)**
- Reference #HSA232 (DoD #6234) : Operating Cost Per Square Foot**