Appendix A Data Tables

Haziness Index in U.S. National Parks for the Clearest Days, 1990 - 1999: Average of Best 20 percent days, in deciviews (dv)

Haziness Index in U.S. National Parks for the Haziest Days, 1990 -1999: Average of Worst 20 percent days, in deciviews (dv)

Precipitation-Weighted Mean Sulfate Ion Concentration in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Annual Average in μeq/liter

Sulfate Ion Wet Deposition in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Annual Average in kilograms/hectare

Precipitation-Weighted Mean Nitrate Ion Concentration in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Annual Average in μeq/liter

Inorganic Nitrogen Wet Deposition From Nitrate and Ammonium in U.S. National Parks, 1990 -1999: Annual Average in kilograms/hectare

Ozone Levels in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Average of the Daily 1-hour Maximum, May-September, in ppb

Ozone Levels in U.S. National Parks, 1990 - 1999: Annual 4th Highest 8-hour Average, in ppb

19	Haziness Index in U.S. National Parks for the Clearest Days 1990 – 1999: Average of Best 20 percent days, in deciviews (dv)													
Park Yea		1991		1993	1994	1995	1996	1997		1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, dv/yr
Acadia, ME	10.6	10.7	10.2	10.6	9.8	9.6	9.1	9.7	9.3	8.7	9.8	۲	¥	-0.20
Badlands, ND	7.6	7.4	7.2	7.4	7.9	6.6	7.9	7.1	7.4	6.6	7.3	0	Û	-0.07
Bandelier, NM	=	-	-	7	6.7	5.9	6.0	6.3	6.8	6.7	6.5	0	仓	+0.00
Big Bend, TX	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.7	8.5	7.8	-	6.9	9.3	8.8	8.1	\odot	仓	+0.06
Bryce Canyon, UT	4.9	5.0	5.7	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.7	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.07
Canyonlands, UT	5.9	6.2	6.3	6	6.5	5.7	4.9	6.0	5.8	5.8	5.9	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.04
Chiricahua, AZ	-	6.8	6.6	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.4	6.7	6.6	6.4	6.6	0	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.02
Crater Lake, OR	-	-	5.1	5.1	-	3.7	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.4	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.14
Denali, AK	-	3.5	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.2	3.7	4.1	3.1	3.2	3.5	•	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.03
Glacier, MT	8.0	9.8	8.9	9.0	8.5	7.9	8	7.9	8.3	7.5	8.4	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.20
Grand Canyon, AZ	-	-	-	5.7	5.3	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.8	5.2	5.1	٠	-	+0.00
Great Basin, NV	5.1	5.5	-	5.1	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.0	5.0	5.3	4.8	•	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.02
Great Sand Dunes, CO	6.6	6.7	6.3	6.1	5.4	4.8	4.9	5.3	6.6	5.5	5.8	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.17
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	15.3	13.8	13.6	14.4	13.8	13.5	15.3	15.1	14.4	15.2	14.4	•	仓	+0.09
Guadalupe Mts., TX	-	-	7.3	8.0	7.5	8.3	7.8	7.2	7.5	7.6	7.7	0	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.01
Lassen Volcanic, CA	4.5	4.3	4.7	5.1	4.4	3.9	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.4	٠	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.06
Mammoth Cave, KY	-	-	16.3	17.3	_	15.5	16	16.8	16.2	16.1	16.3	•	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.03
Mesa Verde, CO	5.5	6.1	5.6	5.7	6.3	4.9	5.0	-	5.9	5.7	5.6	\odot	仓	+0.01
Mt. Rainier, WA	_	7.0	7.2	7.5	6.3	5.0	5.4	5.5	5.0	5.3	6.0	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.28
Petrified Forest, AZ	-	8.0	7.6	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.7	0	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.10
Pinnacles, CA	9.4	9.3	9.1	8.7	9.4	8.3	8.0	8.9	-	8.7	8.9	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.12
Point Reyes, CA	9.1	8.8	8.6	9.5	8.1	7.9	8.1	-	8.7	8.9	8.6	\odot	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.08
Redwood, CA	6.7	6.8	6.9	6.7	6.3	6.6	5.3	6.1	5.5	6.2	6.3	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.10
Rocky Mountain, CO	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.5	5.0	4.3	3.9	4.2	4.8	3.9	4.3	٠	_	+0.00
Shenandoah, VA	14.1	13.4	12.6	14.2	12.3	12.8	14.2	13.5	11.8	11.9	13.1	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.15
Tonto, AZ	-	8.2	-	7.7	7.2	7.7	7.7	7.6	7.0	8.1	7.7	0	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.04
Yellowstone, WY	-	_	5.9	5.2	4.7	4.8	5	-	-	3.8	4.9	۲	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.23
Yosemite, CA	5.4	5.6	4.8	4.8	4.5	5.3	4.6	5.5	4.7	5.0	5.0	•	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.02
Average	ə 7.7	7.5	7.6	7.5	6.9	6.8	6.8	7.2	7.1	7.0	7.2			
Symbols:			"—" ind	icates	insuffic	ient or ı	no data	, or no	trend					
Park Air Quality Stat	us								<u>Trend</u>					
Much Worse than NPS A	verage		٠				Si	gnificar	nt Impro	vemen	t**		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	
Worse than NPS Ave	rage		\odot					Imp	provem	ent			①	
NPS Average			0					De	gradati	on			仓	
Better than NPS Aver	age		\odot				Si	ignifica	nt Degr	adatior	**		1	
Much Better than NPS A	verage		۲					N	lo Tren	d			_	
	**Statistically significant at a=0.15													

Haziness Index in U.S. National Parks for the Haziest Days 1990 – 1999: Average of Worst 20 percent days, in deciviews (dv)

Park Year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, dv/yr
Acadia, ME	24.9	24.8	26.2	26.2	27.4	23.5	24.0	23.1	23.9	24.2	24.8	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.15
Badlands, ND	17.9	18.1	18.4	17.3	18.2	17.2	17.3	17.0	19.0	17.1	17.8	0	Û	-0.09
Bandelier, NM	-	-	-	13.1	12.5	13.0	12.7	13.1	14.4	12.8	13.1	\odot	仓	+0.05
Big Bend, TX	16.2	17.1	16.3	16.8	17.4	17.5	_	17.3	20.9	19.3	17.6	0	1	+0.35
Bryce Canyon, UT	11.4	11.5	11.2	12.1	11.5	11.1	12.9	12.4	11.5	11.7	11.7	٠	仓	+0.04
Canyonlands, UT	12.9	14.1	13.2	12.5	11.9	11.2	12.8	11.9	12.2	11.8	12.5	٠	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.17
Chiricahua, AZ	_	13.1	13.2	13.7	14.0	14.1	13.4	12.9	15.1	13.0	13.6	\odot	仓	+0.06
Crater Lake, OR	-	-	13.3	13.8	-	12.8	15.6	12.1	13.4	13.5	13.5	\odot	仓	+0.02
Denali, AK	-	12.3	9.2	11.2	10.4	9.4	9.5	12.1	8.2	9.3	10.2	٠	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.35
Glacier, MT	19.5	19.6	19.1	19.0	19.6	18.1	17.9	17.4	20.4	19.4	19.0	\odot	Û	-0.17
Grand Canyon, AZ	13.5	11.7	-	11.9	11.8	11.8	12.1	11.3	12.6	12.1	12.1	٠	仓	+0.01
Great Basin, NV	-	-	=	12.0	11.4	10.8	12.9	11.0	11.6	11.9	11.7	٠	仓	+0.05
Great Sand Dunes, CO	13.9	12.7	11.4	12.1	15.3	11.8	12.5	11.9	13.2	12.5	12.7	•	Û	-0.02
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	32.8	29.6	30.7	30.9	31.6	30.6	31.2	30.9	31.8	30.5	31.1	٠	-	+0.00
Guadalupe Mts., TX	-	-	14.7	15.4	16.2	16.2	15.2	16.6	17.8	18.1	16.3	0	1	+0.46
Lassen Volcanic, CA	13.3	13.0	13.5	13.3	13.6	12.8	13.4	12.1	15.4	20.7	14.1	\odot	仓	+0.10
Mammoth Cave, KY	-	—	30.7	31.5	-	30.3	30.5	29.9	30.5	29.6	30.4	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.16
Mesa Verde, CO	12.6	11.5	11.2	12.0	11.8	11.9	12.7	-	12.2	13.9	12.2	٠	1	+0.12
Mt. Rainier, WA	_	21.0	20.7	20.0	20.2	18.7	18.9	18.6	20.3	19.7	19.8	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.26
Petrified Forest, AZ	-	13.6	13.0	12.6	12.3	13.0	12.6	12.7	13.7	13.4	13.0	\odot	仓	+0.02
Pinnacles, CA	19.5	19.1	19.0	18.3	17.7	18.5	17.9	17.7	_	19.3	18.6	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.20
Point Reyes, CA	20.8	21.1	21.1	20.9	20.4	20.2	20.1	-	19.6	21.8	20.7	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.15
Redwood, CA	19.7	18.9	19.7	18.0	17.3	18.5	18.0	18.9	16.7	20.1	18.6	\odot	Û	-0.15
Rocky Mountain, CO	13.9	13.1	13.1	12.9	13.4	13.3	13.3	12.4	13.4	12.4	13.1	\odot	Û	-0.09
Shenandoah, VA	30.9	32.4	31.3	32.6	31.9	30.4	29.3	29.9	30.3	28.4	30.7	٠	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.30
Tonto, AZ	-	14.2	—	15.3	13.8	15.2	14.8	14.2	14.9	15.4	14.7	0	仓	+0.08
Yellowstone, WY	_	_	13.2	11.9	14.8	11.7	14.9	-	-	11.8	13.1	\odot	Û	-0.02
Yosemite, CA	16.3	16.1	17.3	15.1	16.8	17.5	19.6	15.7	15.7	22.0	17.2	0	仓	+0.23
Average	18.2	17.2	17.5	16.9	16.7	16.5	16.9	16.5	17.3	17.3	16.9			
Symbols:			"—" ind	icates	insuffici	ient or r	no data	, or no	trend					
Park Air Quality Statu	<u>s</u>								Trend					
Much Worse than NPS Av	erage		٠				Si	gnificar	nt Impro	vemen	t**		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	
Worse than NPS Avera	ge		\odot					Imp	oroveme	ent			Û	
NPS Average			0					De	gradati	on			仓	
Better than NPS Avera	ge		\odot				Si	gnifica	nt Degr	adation	**		1	
Much Better than NPS Ave	erage		٠					N	o Treno	t			_	
							**S	tatistica	ally sign	ificant	at a=0.	15		

	1990 – 1999: Annual Average in μeq/liter													
Park Year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, µeq/l/yr
Acadia, ME	30.9	24.1	30.9	23.7	23.0	23.1	20.3	29.4	25.1	19.4	25.0	٠	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.72
Bandelier, NM	16.6	13.9	15.4	14.5	13.7	13.4	12.1	16.5	_	14.7	14.5	0	Û	-0.28
Big Bend, TX	_	16.4	16.6	14.3	27.9	29.6	22.7	22.4	23.4	20.2	21.5	\odot	仓	+0.76
Bryce Canyon, UT	14.3	—	—	-	14.0	11.9	—	15.2	10.8	9.2	12.5	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.56
Buffalo River, AR	-	-	25.9	23.1	20.8	23.5	23.2	24.3	21.9	19.5	22.8	\odot	4	-0.56
Cape Cod, MA	33.8	-	32.0	31.2	-	31.4	_	-	-	27.1	31.1	•	_	-
Capulin Volcano, NM	14.8	14.1	16.9	15.1	15.0	13.4	17.8	_	10.1	13.5	14.5	0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.26
Craters of the Moon, ID	12.9	11.4	10.7	9.6	8.2	8.6	4.9	6.5	7.2	6.8	8.7	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.71
Denali, AK	3.5	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.8	2.5	2.4	3.5	2.3	2.2	3.2	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.14
Everglades, FL	15.2	14.0	-	_	15.8	14.5	15.4	_	16.8	13.4	15.0	0	仓	+0.04
Gila Cliff Dwellings, NM	21.3	15.6	19.0	20.5	18.4	16.8	18.1	22.8	17.7	19.3	18.9	\odot	Û	-0.13
Glacier, MT	7.2	7.1	8.1	7.8	7.6	5.4	4.9	7.0	6.1	5.3	6.6	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.22
Grand Canyon, AZ	14.6	_	10.2	10.2	12.4	8.8	11.0	_	9.0	11.5	10.9	\odot	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.22
Great Basin, NV	14.8	11.8	16.5	_	12.4	11.0	10.1	14.3	10.1	-	12.6	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.51
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	32.0	36.1	30.1	33.9	24.3	20.9	25.0	30.2	28.6	24.0	28.5	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.99
Guadalupe Mts., TX	_	13.7	24.1	22.7	26.8	20.1	36.6	23.4	27.9	25.1	24.5	•	1	+1.14
Indiana Dunes, IN	51.3	59.6	66.8	57.0	48.3	56.2	47.3	47.1	50.1	49.2	53.3	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.98
Isle Royale (Chassell), MI	26.8	25.6	29.9	22.4	21.4	21.0	18.4	16.5	18.9	19.2	22.0	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-1.27
Little Big Horn, MT	16.4	12.7	14.6	13.9	13.8	11.1	12.6	13.3	12.8	10.6	13.2	0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.44
Mesa Verde, CO	27.3	21.1	18.7	16.0	21.2	18.1	20.6	16.7	18.6	20.9	19.9	\odot	Û	-0.28
North Cascades, WA	6.1	6.8	6.3	6.5	_	4.4	5.2	5.0	4.2	4.9	5.5	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.24
Olympic, WA	-	4.5	5.0	5.2	5.0	4.7	—	5.3	4.3	5.7	4.9	•	仓	+0.07
Organ Pipe Cactus, AZ	16.8	16.9	10.8	7.6	11.9	16.6	28.5	16.8	-	14.5	15.6	0	仓	+0.09
Rocky Mountain, CO	13.7	14.6	14.8	11.5	16.1	12.8	13.1	10.5	13.5	11.6	13.2	0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.25
Sequoia, CA	10.2	5.7	8.0	5.2	5.2	3.9	2.4	2.9	4.9	-	5.4	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.66
Shenandoah, VA	31.2	34.5	23.0	30.9	29.2	—	28.4	29.3	-	27.7	29.3	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.39
Theo. Roosevelt, ND	24.0	16.8	18.4	17.3	20.0	16.7	15.8	-	-	14.7	18.0	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.54
Yellowstone, WY	12.0	11.0	8.1	8.6	9.7	5.8	4.8	6.9	6.7	7.2	8.1	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.57
Yosemite, CA	_	5.2	3.5	-	4.5	2.7	2.3	2.8	4.6	3.6	3.6	•	①	-0.16
Average	19.5	16.7	18.1	17.3	16.7	15.3	16.3	16.2	14.8	15.6	16.7			
Symbols:			"	-" indic	ates in	sufficier	nt or no	data						
Park Air Quality Status									Trend					
Much Worse than NPS Ave	rage		•				Sig	gnificar	nt Impro	vemen	t**		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	
Worse than NPS Averag	e		\odot					Imp	orovem	ent			饣	
NPS Average			0					De	gradati	on			仓	
Better than NPS Averag	е		\odot	 Significant Degradation^{**} 								1		

No Trend

**Statistically significant at a=0.15

_

Precipitation-Weighted Mean Sulfate Ion Concentration in U.S. National Parks 1990 – 1999: Annual Average in μeg/liter

Much Better than NPS Average

	Sulfate Ion Wet Deposition in U.S. National Parks 1990 – 1999: Annual Average in kilograms/hectare													
Park Year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994		1996		1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, Kg/ha/yr
Acadia, ME	22.7	16.5	18.1	16.9	15.9	16.5	15.0	16.2	17.7	12.5	16.8	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.51
Bandelier, NM	3.2	3.7	2.6	3.3	2.9	2.1	2.0	4.0	—	2.8	3.0	0	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.09
Big Bend, TX	_	3.9	3.0	2.3	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.2	2.7	2.1	3.0	0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.14
Bryce Canyon, UT	2.4	_	_	_	2.2	2.2	.—.	3.3	2.7	1.5	2.4	\odot	Û	-0.04
Buffalo River, AR	-	_	12.7	13.0	12.1	11.3	13.1	10.1	10.8	8.9	11.5	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.56
Cape Cod, MA	16.3	-	16.4	19.6	_	16.4	_	-	_	13.5	16.4	•	_	_
Capulin Volcano, NM	3.3	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.4	3.8	4.3	-	2.3	3.5	3.6	0	Û	-0.03
Craters of the Moon, ID	1.8	1.7	1.0	2.0	0.9	1.9	0.8	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.3	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.08
Denali, AK	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.6	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.06
Everglades, FL	9.1	10.8	-	-	13.0	12.1	9.2	-	13.3	9.9	11.1	\odot	仓	+0.09
Gila Cliff Dwellings, NM	3.8	3.3	4.3	4.6	3.4	2.5	3.2	4.7	2.4	2.7	3.5	0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.12
Glacier, MT	3.9	2.4	2.8	3.1	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.7	2.6	0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.15
Grand Canyon, AZ	3.0	-	2.2	2.1	1.8	2.1	1.7	-	1.9	1.9	2.1	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.06
Great Basin, NV	2.7	1.9	1.8	_	2.1	2.0	1.8	2.6	1.9	_	2.1	\odot	Û	-0.01
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	24.7	28.0	22.2	25.9	22.4	14.5	23.6	27.2	22.4	16.7	22.8	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.72
Guadalupe Mts., TX	_	4.2	5.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	8.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.9	\odot	仓	+0.06
Indiana Dunes, IN	34.4	28.1	25.1	33.7	19.5	22.7	25.6	20.4	23.6	17.6	25.1	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-1.31
Isle Royale (Chassell), MI	10.5	11.0	10.1	7.8	6.9	8.9	7.8	5.4	6.5	8.0	8.3	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.52
Little Big Horn, MT	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.1	1.5	2.0	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.07
Mesa Verde, CO	5.6	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.7	3.7	4.5	4.1	3.8	2.9	4.3	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.22
North Cascades, WA	8.4	6.3	5.1	4.8	—	5.0	5.6	6.4	3.9	5.5	5.7	\odot	Û	-0.20
Olympic, WA	-	7.2	7.1	6.1	8.2	6.9	_	10.9	8.1	11.5	8.3	\odot	1	+0.42
Organ Pipe Cactus, AZ	2.6	1.7	2.2	1.1	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.8	—	1.5	1.8	•	$\hat{\mathbf{U}}$	-0.08
Rocky Mountain, CO	3.1	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.7	3.3	2.3	2.5	2.8	3.0	2.7	0	仓	+0.01
Sequoia, CA	2.6	1.9	2.8	2.8	2.1	2.9	1.8	1.2	3.6	—	2.4	\odot	仓	+0.02
Shenandoah, VA	23.6	17.8	18.7	22.4	19.3	_	23.4	17.8	-	18.8	20.2	•	Û	-0.07
Theo. Roosevelt, ND	3.5	2.9	2.8	3.8	4.2	3.9	2.8	-	_	2.1	3.3	0	$\hat{\Gamma}$	-0.09
Yellowstone, WY	2.1	2.4	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.1	1.0	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.6	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.12
Yosemite, CA	-	2.5	1.5	-	1.8	2.5	2.1	0.9	3.8	1.7	2.1	\odot	Û	-0.01
Average	8.2	7.4	6.8	7.5	6.6	6.2	6.9	6.9	6.5	5.7	6.7			
Symbols:		1	"—" indi	cates ir	nsufficie	ent or n	o data,	or no t	rend					
Park Air Quality Status									<u>Trend</u>					
Much Worse than NPS Ave	rage		•				Sig	gnificar	nt Impro	vemen	t**		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	
Worse than NPS Average	e		\odot					Imp	orovem	ent			Û	
NPS Average			0					De	gradati	on			仓	
Better than NPS Averag	е		\odot				Si	gnifica	nt Degr	adation	** 1		1	
Much Better than NPS Ave	rage		٠					Ν	lo Tren	d			-	
							**Si	tatistica	ally sigr	ificant	at a=0.	15		

		19	90 -	1999:	Annu	al Ave	erage	in μe	q/liter					
Park Year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope µeq/l/y
Acadia, ME	15.3	11.1	16.4	12.3	10.2	11.5	11.3	15.9	12.7	10.8	12.7	\odot	Û	-0.19
Bandelier, NM	12.5	12.2	12.3	12.2	13.8	16.7	11.6	17.0	_	17.4	14.0	0	1	+0.60
Big Bend, TX	_	10.3	10.2	10.7	17.7	15.5	13.5	13.3	16.8	13.1	13.5	0	仓	+0.47
Bryce Canyon, UT	15.4	-	_	_	14.1	10.7	_	15.4	11.4	15.0	13.7	0	Û	-0.04
Buffalo River, AR	-	_	14.2	14.1	12.4	14.4	14.8	15.4	13.8	13.2	14.0	0	-	0.00
Cape Cod, MA	15.2	-	17.2	13.6	—	19.5		-	-	13.4	15.8	\odot	-	—
Capulin Volcano, NM	13.5	12.7	15.8	14.9	16.4	14.0	17.5	-	12.1	15.1	14.7	\odot	仓	+0.23
Craters of the Moon, ID	9.8	11.6	12.5	9.8	14.3	10.7	6.8	11.1	11.5	10.7	10.9	\odot	-	0.00
Denali, AK	1.8	4.2	2.3	2.4	2.8	1.4	1.4	3.3	1.3	2.0	2.3	•	Û	-0.07
Everglades, FL	9.6	8.4	-	-	9.3	8.2	8.5	-	8.4	8.0	8.6	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.15
Gila Cliff Dwellings, NM	12.9	9.3	9.9	11.2	12.2	11.8	14.2	13.5	12.6	17.1	12.5	\odot	1	+0.64
Glacier, MT	5.8	6.3	6.8	6.3	7.4	5.1	5.7	7.7	5.9	5.7	6.3	٠	Û	-0.01
Grand Canyon, AZ	16.9	-	11.9	10.7	15.9	10.4	15.0	-	10.2	18.5	13.7	0	Û	-0.11
Great Basin, NV	20.0	15.2	19.9	_	16.9	12.2	14.7	17.6	15.1	-	16.4	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.46
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	13.3	14.1	14.9	15.8	12.1	13.2	13.2	15.3	15.4	13.0	14.0	0	仓	+0.03
Guadalupe Mts., TX	_	8.7	15.1	13.6	18.0	14.2	11.8	14.4	15.5	18.2	14.4	\odot	1	+0.54
Indiana Dunes, IN	21.6	29.4	31.2	26.3	28.2	33.3	26.7	29.7	27.6	28.3	28.2	•	仓	+0.26
Isle Royale (Chassell), MI	16.7	17.0	18.2	16.5	19.2	18.5	17.6	17.4	17.2	17.8	17.6	•	仓	+0.08
Little Big Horn, MT	13.1	11.4	10.8	10.9	11.8	10.2	13.6	14.9	14.8	12.7	12.4	\odot	1	+0.25
Mesa Verde, CO	19.4	14.3	14.1	11.9	17.8	14.1	19.5	15.4	14.7	21.9	16.3	\odot	仓	+0.23
North Cascades, WA	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.7	-	3.8	4.9	4.8	4.3	4.6	4.8	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.06
Olympic, WA	_	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.8	_	1.8	1.3	1.2	1.6		Û	-0.04
Organ Pipe Cactus, AZ	15.8	11.6	9.4	4.5	8.2	12.8	23.1	14.4	—	19.0	13.2	0	仓	+0.97
Rocky Mountain, CO	15.8	16.3	17.1	14.2	20.9	16.5	17.2	15.1	18.7	16.7	16.8	٠	仓	+0.15
Sequoia, CA	22.0	8.4	13.0	7.6	11.2	6.3	3.4	6.7	8.2	_	9.6	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.96
Shenandoah, VA	12.9	15.0	10.0	13.2	14.1	_	15.9	14.7	_	12.7	13.6	0	仓	+0.19
Theo. Roosevelt, ND	14.2	14.7	13.3	12.1	16.1	15.0	15.7	-	_	14.6	14.4	\odot	仓	+0.17
Yellowstone, WY	11.6	9.7	8.5	8.1	10.3	7.7	6.7	9.5	8.1	9.7	9.0	\odot	Û	-0.23
Yosemite, CA	-	6.8	6.1	_	7.8	4.0	2.8	5.7	9.6	7.5	6.3	•	仓	+0.14
Average	13.7	11.4	12.5	11.1	13.1	11.9	12.6	13.5	12.4	13.2	12.5			
Symbols:			".	–" indic	ates in	sufficier	nt or no	data						
Park Air Quality Status	17								Trend					
Much Worse than NPS Ave	erage	Significant Improvement ^{**}										$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
Worse than NPS Average	je	 Improvement 									$\hat{\Gamma}$			
NPS Average	O Degradation 1										仓			
Better than NPS Average		\odot	Significant Degradation *											
Much Better than NPS Ave		٠					N	o Tren	d			_		
							**St	tatistica	ally sign	ificant	at a=0.	15		

Precipitation-Weighted Mean Nitrate Ion Concentration in U.S. National Parks 1990 – 1999: Annual Average in μeq/liter

1990 – 1999: Annual Average in kilograms/hectare														
Park Year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, Kg/ha/yr
Acadia, ME	4.9	3.1	4.2	3.6	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.6	2.9	3.7	\odot	Û	-0.08
Bandelier, NM	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.1	2.0	—	1.5	1.4	0	仓	+0.03
Big Bend, TX	_	1.4	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.3	0.8	1.1	0	Û	-0.04
Bryce Canyon, UT	1.3	-	_	_	1.0	0.9	_	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.2	0	Û	-0.01
Buffalo River, AR	—		3.6	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.7	3.2	3.9	3.2	3.8	•	Û	-0.04
Cape Cod, MA	3.1	_	3.5	3.5	_	4.4	_	_	_	2.7	3.4	\odot	_	_
Capulin Volcano, NM	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.5	-	1.5	2.4	2.1	0	Δ.	+0.05
Craters of the Moon, ID	0.9	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.9	1.4	0.7	1.0	1.5	0.8	1.0	\odot	-	0.00
Denali, AK	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.02
Everglades, FL	3.0	2.6	_	_	4.0	3.4	2.4	-	4.1	2.8	3.2	\odot	仓	+0.03
Gila Cliff Dwellings, NM	1.2	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.3	0.8	1.1	1.1	\odot	Û	-0.01
Glacier, MT	1.7	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.2	0	Û	-0.04
Grand Canyon, AZ	1.7	_	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.0	_	1.0	1.5	1.2	0	坾	-0.02
Great Basin, NV	1.9	1.2	1.2	-	1.5	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.4	-	1.4	0	_	0.00
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	5.4	5.4	4.7	5.6	5.5	4.6	5.8	6.7	5.9	4.3	5.4	•	仓	+0.07
Guadalupe Mts., TX	_	1.4	2.0	1.5	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.0	1.7	0	仓	+0.01
Indiana Dunes, IN	8.0	7.4	6.3	8.3	6.2	7.4	8.4	6.5	7.1	5.3	7.1	•	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.14
Isle Royale (Chassell), MI	4.1	3.9	3.4	3.1	3.4	4.4	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.9	3.7	\odot	Û	-0.02
Little Big Horn, MT	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1	\odot	1	+0.02
Mesa Verde, CO	1.7	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.7	1.4	2.1	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.5	0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.02
North Cascades, WA	3.2	1.8	1.6	1.8	_	1.8	2.4	2.4	1.4	1.9	2.0	0	Û	-0.01
Olympic, WA	_	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	_	1.5	1.0	1.3	1.2	0	1	+0.05
Organ Pipe Cactus, AZ	1.6	0.6	1.1	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	1.2	1.1	0.9	•	仓	+0.02
Rocky Mountain, CO	2.0	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.8	2.2	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.4	1.9	0	1	+0.07
Sequoia, CA	3.7	1.8	3.7	2.9	2.9	3.1	1.7	1.6	4.3	_	2.8	\odot	Û	-0.06
Shenandoah, VA	5.3	4.1	4.3	5.1	5.0	-	6.6	4.6	_	4.6	4.9	•	仓	-0.02
Theo. Roosevelt, ND	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.6	2.2	2.5	1.9	_	-	1.3	1.7	0	仓	+0.05
Yellowstone, WY	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.7	1.0	1.0		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.02
Yosemite, CA	—	1.6	1.5	-	1.7	2.3	1.4	1.0	5.0	2.3	2.1	\odot	仓	0.08
Average	2.6	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.2			
Symbols:			"—" indio	cates ir	sufficie	ent or no	o data,	or no t	rend					
Park Air Quality Status									Trend					
Much Worse than NPS Ave	rage		٠				Się	gnificar	it Impro	vemen	t**		$\mathbf{\Psi}$	
Worse than NPS Averag	e		\odot					Imp	oroveme	ent			Û	
NPS Average			0					De	gradati	on			仓	
Better than NPS Averag	е		\odot				Si	gnifica	nt Degra	adation	**		Ϋ́	
Much Better than NPS Ave	rage		٠					N	o Treno	b			-	
							**St	atistica	ally sign	ificant a	at a=0.	15		

Inorganic Nitrogen Wet Deposition From Nitrate and Ammonium in U.S. National Parks 1990 – 1999: Annual Average in kilograms/hectare

1990 – 1999: Average of the Daily 1-hour Maximum, May–September, in ppb															
Park Y	'ear	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope ppb/y
Acadia, ME		50	52	47	46	49	49	40	45	57	53	49	۲	Û	-0.1
Big Bend, TX		—	—	47	47	56	_	46	46	52	45	49	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.3
Canyonlands, UT		-	—		55	58	56	63	56	61	62	59	\odot	1	+0.7
Cape Cod, MA		55	64	56	54	57	56	57	64	58	55	57	0	仓	+0.3
Chamizal, TX		_	_	54	44	_	60	55	58	_	58	55	0	仓	+0.9
Chiricahua, AZ		55	55	54	56	59	_	57	54	57	55	56	0	仓	+0.2
Channel Islands, CA		_	_	55	_	_	_	49	44	47	45	48	\odot	_	_
Congaree Swamp, SC		64	_	42	51	42	54	53	49	63	61	53	0	仓	+1.7
Cowpens, SC		59	60	62	68	62	63	64	70	73	68	65	\odot	1	+1.2
Craters of the Moon, ID)	_	_	_	48	57	51	56	51	56	57	54	0	1	+1.3
Denali, AK		32	32	32	32	32	31	33	33	34	34	32	•	1	+0.2
Death Valley, CA		-	_	_	_	67	_	62	61	66	67	65	\odot	_	_
Everglades, FL		32	29		30	—	31	29	28	35	35	31	•	仓	+0.3
Glacier, MT		44	43	42	36	45	38	45	33	45	42	41	\odot	Û	-0.2
Grand Canyon, AZ		51	52	51	53	56	59	60	57	60	58	56	0	1	+1.1
Great Basin, NV		_	_	_	_	56	54	59	56	58	59	57	0	1	+1.0
Great Smoky Mts., TN/I	NC	67	61	59	69	66		71	72	77	78	69	•	•	+1.9
Joshua Tree, CA		74	83	85	_	94	84	89	85	76	82	84	•	仓	+0.04
Lassen Volcanic, CA		54	53	53	51	62	55	59	52	57	63	56	0	1	+0.6
Mammoth Cave, KY		60	56	53	55	60	64	64	60	70	_	60	\odot	1	+1.4
Mesa Verde, CO		_	_	_	_	54	54	56	53	58	58	56	0	1	+1.0
Mount Rainier, WA		_	_	_	37	45	41	41	28	28	40	37	•	Û	-1.1
Olympic, WA		29	29	30	28	29	32	32	27	29	28	29	•	Û	-0.1
Pinnacles, CA		64	66	65	64	63	65	70	63	63	63	65	\odot	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	-0.2
Rocky Mountain, CO		47	56	57	59	62	59	62	58	63	58	58	۲	•	+1.0
Saguaro, AZ		62	62	63	65	69	65	60	65	65	60	64	\odot	Û	-0.02
Sequoia, CA		79	76	83	85	86	73	84	75	74	79	79	•	Û	-0.3
Shenandoah, VA		62	68	60	64	62	67	64	63	74	71	66	\odot	1	+0.9
Theo. Roosevelt, ND		46	48	45	42	47	47	49	50	_	47	47	\odot	仓	+0.3
Voyageurs, MN		34	34	39	36	39	43	44	45	44	40	40	\odot	1	+1.2
Yellowstone, WY		38	47	47	46	53	51	52	49	52	56	49	\odot	1	+1.1
Yosemite, CA		_	_	_	_	74	69	73	61	70	71	70	•	Û	-0.6
Aver	age	53	54	53	51	57	54	56	53	57	56	55		200	
Symbols:				"—" in	dicates	insuffic	cient or	no data	a, or no	trend					
Park Air Quality Statu	<u>IS</u>								Tre	end					
Much Worse than NPS	S Ave	erage		•				Signit	ficant Ir	nprover	nent ^{**}			$\mathbf{\Psi}$	
Worse than NPS Av	verag	ge		\odot					Improv	vement				\hat{U}	
NPS Average	Э			0					Degra	dation				仓	
Better than NPS Av	verag	e		\odot				Signi	ficant D	Degrada	tion ^{**}			1	
Much Better than NPS	S Ave	rage		٠					No T	rend				-	

**Statistically significant at a=0.15

Ozone Levels in U.S. National Parks 1990 – 1999: Annual 4 th Highest 8-hour Average, in ppb _.														
Park Year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Avg	Status	Trend	Slope, ppb/yr
Acadia, ME	89	95	80	80	74	92	73	77	88	92	84	\odot	Û	-0.7
Big Bend, TX	_	57	61	63	69	65	73	63	70	64	65	\odot	1	+1.2
Canyonlands, UT	_	-	60	63	68	63	74	67	71	73	67	\odot	1	+1.8
Cape Cod, MA	97	111	96	88	88	105	96	100	84	101	97	•	Û	-0.5
Chamizal, TX	_	-	72	59	75	84	78	71	88	71	75	0	仓	+1.5
Chiricahua, AZ	69	71	65	68	71	69	72	65	67	72	69	0	仓	+0.1
Channel Islands, CA	56	80	81	_	_	_	75	63	66	69	70	0	Û	-1.0
Congaree Swamp, SC	88	59	66	62	64	76	74	65	81	80	72	0	1	+1.7
Cowpens, SC	74	77	85	82	82	84	80	90	96	94	84	\odot	1	+2.0
Craters of the Moon, ID	-	—	42	55	63	57	64	60	65	68	59	•	1	
Denali, AK	48	49	50	48	49	53	53	51	54	54	51		1	+0.7
Death Valley, CA	-	-	-	-	84	67	78	77	82	79	78	\odot	仓	+0.3
Everglades, FL	60	58	61	64	64	58	63	66	72	67	63	\odot	1	+1.0
Glacier, MT	50	51	51	44	55	43	57	40	53	50	49		_	+0.0
Grand Canyon, AZ	65	72	68	64	69	69	73	72	72	76	70	0	1	+1.0
Great Basin, NV	-	—	-	51	69	67	74	74	70	72	68	0	1	+1.7
Great Smoky Mts., TN/NC	92	79	88	88	93	99	88	98	110	106	94	٠	1	+2.6
Joshua Tree, CA	95	107	106	92	112	103	109	117	110	101	105	•	仓	+0.8
Lassen Volcanic, CA	78	66	66	64	78	74	73	67	78	84	73	0	1	+1.2
Mammoth Cave, KY	83	78	73	72	75	88	82	85	97	98	83	\odot	1	+2.5
Mesa Verde, CO	-	-		58	62	63	72	62	68	69	65	\odot	1	+1.5
Mount Rainier, WA	-	-	-	55	67	65	65	40	51	64	58	•	む	-0.6
Olympic, WA	46	41	46	42	41	44	46	45	41	43	44	٠	-	+0.0
Pinnacles, CA	83	84	84	82	78	83	94	76	88	82	83	\odot	Û	-0.1
Rocky Mountain, CO	57	76	71	71	76	76	72	70	80	74	72	0	仓	+0.5
Saguaro, AZ	75	73	74	82	80	83	76	79	76	69	77	\odot	_	+0.0
Sequoia, CA	96	97	102	106	106	95	105	97	94	97	100	•	Û	-0.2
Shenandoah, VA	86	83	77	83	83	87	81	89	107	93	87	•	1	+1.5
Theo. Roosevelt, ND	62	60	57	55	57	58	59	71	56	58	59	•	Û	-0.2
Voyageurs, MN	52	50	63	58	60	70	67	71	67	74	63	\odot	1	+2.4
Yellowstone, WY	54	57	63	53	61	60	61	61	66	70	61	\odot	A	+1.3
Yosemite, CA	78	98	91	-	94	91	90	81	94	85	89	•	Û	-1.7
Average	72	73	71	67	73	74	75	72	77	77	73			
Symbols:		Nur		n <mark>RED</mark> e indicate						ndard				
Park Air Quality Status			_	nuicate	a maun		no uala	i, or no <u>Tre</u>						
Much Worse than NPS Av	erage		•				Signif	ficant In	nproven	nent ^{**}			$\mathbf{\Psi}$	
Worse than NPS Avera	age		\odot				_	Improv	•				Û	
NPS Average			0					Degra	dation				仓	
Better than NPS Avera	ge		\odot				Signi	ificant D	egradat	tion ^{**}			1	
Much Better than NPS Av	erage		٠					No T	rend				—	
						1	**Statisti	cally sigr	nificant a	t a=0.15				