

- (60) GORI, G.B., BOCK, F.G. (Editors). *Banbury Report 3—A Safe Cigarette?* Cold Spring Harbor, New York, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1980, p. 364.
- (61) GREEN, C.R., COLBY, D.A., COOPER, P.J., HECKMAN, R.A., LYERLY, L.A., THORNE, F.A. Advances in analytical methodology of leaf and smoke. *Recent Advances in Tobacco Science* 6: 123–183, 1980.
- (62) GUTHRIE, F.E. The nature and significance of pesticide residues on tobacco and in tobacco smoke. *Beiträge zur Tabakforschung* 4(6): 229–246, November 1968.
- (63) GUTHRIE, F.E., BOWERY, T.G. Pesticide residues on tobacco. *Residue Reviews* 19: 31–56, 1967.
- (64) HAMMOND, E.C., HORN, D. Smoking and death rates: Report on forty-four months of follow-up of 187,783 men. I. Total mortality. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 166(10): 1159–1172, March 8, 1958.
- (65) HAMMOND, E.C., SELIKOFF, I.J., SEIDMAN, H. Asbestos exposure, cigarette smoking and death rates. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 330: 473–490, 1979.
- (66) HARLEY, N.H., COHEN, B., TSO, T.C. Polonium-210: A questionable risk factor in smoking-related carcinogenesis. In: Gori, G.B., Bock, F.G. (Editors). *Banbury Report 3—A Safe Cigarette?* Cold Spring Harbor, New York, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1980, pp. 93–104.
- (67) HECHT, S.S., CARMELLA, S., MORI, H., HOFFMANN, D. A study of tobacco carcinogenesis. XX. Role of catechol as a major cocarcinogen in the weakly acidic fraction of smoke condensate. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 66(1): 163–169, January 1981.
- (68) HECHT, S.S., CHEN, C.B., HIROTA, N., ORNAF, R.M., TSO, T.C., HOFFMANN, D. A study of tobacco carcinogenesis. XVI. Tobacco specific nitrosamines: Formation from nicotine *in vitro* and during tobacco curing and carcinogenicity in strain A mice. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 60(4): 819–824, April 1978.
- (69) HECHT, S.S., CHEN, C.B., McCOY, G.D., HOFFMANN, D., DOMELLÖF, L.  $\alpha$ -Hydroxylation of N-nitrosopyrrolidine and N'-nitrosornicotine by human liver microsomes. *Cancer Letters* 8(1): 35–41, November 1979.
- (70) HECHT, S.S., CHEN, C.B., OHMORI, T., HOFFMANN, D. Comparative carcinogenicity in F-344 rats of the tobacco-specific nitrosamines, N'-nitrosornicotine and 4-(methyl-N-nitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone. *Cancer Research* 40(2): 298–302, February 1980.
- (71) HECHT, S.S., CHEN, C.B., ORNAF, R.M., JACOBS, E., ADAMS, J.D., HOFFMANN, D. Reaction of nicotine and sodium nitrite: Formation of nitrosamines and fragmentation of the pyrrolidine ring. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 43(1): 72–76, January 1978.
- (72) HECHT, S.S., LOY, M., MARONPOT, R.R., HOFFMANN, D. A study of chemical carcinogenesis: Comparative carcinogenicity of 5-methylchrysene, benzo[a]pyrene and modified chrysenes. *Cancer Letters* 1(3): 147–154, January 1976.
- (73) HECHT, S.S., McCOY, G.D., CHEN, C.B., HOFFMANN, D. The metabolism of cyclic nitrosamines. *American Chemical Society Symposium Series* 174: 49–75, 1981.
- (74) HECHT, S.S., YOUNG, R., CHEN, C.B. Metabolism in the F344 rat of 4-(N-methyl-N-nitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone, a tobacco specific carcinogen. *Cancer Research* 40(11): 4144–4150, November 1980.
- (75) HERNING, R.I., JONES, R.T., BACHMAN, J., MINES, A.H. Puff volume increases when low-nicotine cigarettes are smoked. *British Medical Journal* 283(6285): 187–189, July 18, 1981.

- (76) HILL, P., MARQUARDT, H. Plasma and urine changes after smoking different brands of cigarettes. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 27(5): 652-658, May 1980.
- (77) HOFFMANN, D., ADAMS, J.D. Carcinogenic tobacco specific N-nitrosamines in snuff and in the saliva of snuff dippers. *Cancer Research* 41: 4305-4308, 1981.
- (78) HOFFMANN, D., ADAMS, J.D., BRUNNEMANN, K.D., HECHT, S.S. Assessment of tobacco-specific N-nitrosamines in tobacco products. *Cancer Research* 39(7): 2505-2509, July 1979.
- (79) HOFFMANN, D., ADAMS, J.D., BRUNNEMANN, K.D., HECHT, S.S. Formation, occurrence and carcinogenicity of N-nitrosamines in tobacco products. *American Chemical Society Symposium Series* 174: 247-273, 1981.
- (80) HOFFMANN, D., ADAMS, J.D., PIADÉ, J.J., HECHT, S.S. Chemical studies on tobacco smoke. LXVII. Analysis of volatile and tobacco-specific nitrosamines in tobacco products. In: Walker, E.A., Castegnaro, M., Gričič, L., Borzónyi, M. (Editors). *N-Nitroso Compounds: Analysis, Formation and Occurrence*. IARC Scientific Publication No. 31, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1980, pp. 507-515.
- (81) HOFFMANN, D., ADAMS, J.D., WYNDER, E.L. Formation and analysis of carbon monoxide in cigarette mainstream and sidestream smoke. *Preventive Medicine* 8(3): 344-350, May 1979.
- (82) HOFFMANN, D., BRUNNEMANN, K.D., KLUS, H. Tobacco sidestream smoke and indoor pollution, in preparation.
- (83) HOFFMANN, D., BRUNNEMANN, K.D., RIVENSON, A., HECHT, S.S. N-Nitrosodiethanolamine: Analysis, formation in tobacco products and carcinogenicity in Syrian golden hamsters. *International Agency for Research on Cancer*, Scientific Publication, in press.
- (84) HOFFMANN, D., CASTONGUAY, A., RIVENSON, A., HECHT, S.S. Comparative carcinogenicity and metabolism of 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone and N'-nitrosornicotine in Syrian golden hamsters. *Cancer Research* 41(6): 2386-2393, June 1981.
- (85) HOFFMANN, D., RATHKAMP, G. Quantitative determination of nitrobenzenes in cigarette smoke. *Analytical Chemistry* 42(13): 1643-1647, November 1970.
- (86) HOFFMANN, D., RIVENSON, A., HECHT, S.S., HILFRICH, J., KOBAYASHI, N., WYNDER, E.L. Model studies in tobacco carcinogenesis with the Syrian golden hamster. *Progress in Experimental Tumor Research* 24: 370-390, 1979.
- (87) HOFFMANN, D., SCHMELTZ, I., HECHT, S.S., WYNDER, E.L. Chemical studies on tobacco smoke. XXXIX. On the identification of carcinogens, tumor promoters and cocarcinogens in tobacco smoke. In: Wynder, E.L., Hoffmann, D., Gori, G.B. (Editors). *Modifying the Risk for the Smoker*. Proceedings of the Third World Conference on Smoking and Health, New York, June 2-5, 1975. Volume 1. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, DHEW Publication No. (NIH)76-1221, 1976, pp. 125-145.
- (88) HOFFMANN, D., SCHMELTZ, I., HECHT, S.S., WYNDER, E.L. Tobacco carcinogenesis. In: Gelboin, H., T'so, P.O. (Editors). *Polycyclic Hydrocarbons and Cancer*. Volume 1. Academic Press, New York, 1978, pp. 119-130.
- (89) HOFFMANN, D., TSO, T.C., GORI, G.B. The less harmful cigarette. *Preventive Medicine* 9(2): 287-296, March 1980.
- (90) HOFFMANN, D., WYNDER, E.L. A study of tobacco carcinogenesis. XI. Tumor initiators, tumor accelerators, and tumor promoting activity of condensate fractions. *Cancer* 27(4): 848-864, April 1971.

- (91) HOLLAND, R.H., McCALL, M.S., LANZ, H.C. A study of inhaled arsenic-74 in man. *Cancer Research* 19(11): 1154-1156, December 1959.
- (92) HORTON, A.W., DENMAN, D.T., TROSSET, R.P. Carcinogenesis of the skin. II. The accelerating properties of aliphatic and related hydrocarbons. *Cancer Research* 17(8): 758-766, September 1957.
- (93) HSU, I., POIRIER, M.C., YUSPA, S.H., GRUNBERGER, D., WEINSTEIN, I.B., GOODRICH, G.R., YOLKEN, R.H., HARRIS, C.C. Measurement of benzo[a]pyrene-DNA adducts by enzymatic immunoassays and radioimmunoassay. *Proceedings: American Association for Cancer Research* 22: 86, March 1981. (AACR abstract No. 340).
- (94) INDEPENDENT SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE OF SMOKING AND HEALTH. *Developments in Tobacco Products and the Possibility of "Lower-Risk" Cigarettes*. London, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1979, p. 56.
- (95) INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR RESEARCH ON CANCER. Cadmium and cadmium compounds. *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Man*. Volume 2, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1973, pp. 74-99.
- (96) INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR RESEARCH ON CANCER. Nickel and nickel compounds. *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Man*. Volume 11, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1976, pp. 75-112.
- (97) INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR RESEARCH ON CANCER. Some N-Nitroso Compounds. *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans*. Volume 17, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1978, 365 pp.
- (98) INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR RESEARCH ON CANCER. Vinyl Chloride. *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans*. Volume 19, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1979, pp. 377-438.
- (99) INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR RESEARCH ON CANCER. Arsenic and arsenic compounds. *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans*. Volume 23, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1980, pp. 39-141.
- (99a) INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE FOR CIGAR SMOKE STUDY. Machine smoking of cigars. *CORESTA Information Bulletin* 1: 33-34, 1974.
- (100) INTERNATIONAL UNION AGAINST CANCER. Lung Cancer. *UICC Technical Report Series*. Volume 25, Report #3, Geneva, 1976, 170 pp.
- (101) IVANKOVIC, S., EISENBRAND, G., PREUSSMANN, R. Lung carcinoma induction in BD rats after a single intratracheal instillation of an arsenic-containing pesticide mixture formerly used in vineyards. *International Journal of Cancer* 24(6): 786-788, December 1979.
- (102) JARVIK, M.E. Tobacco smoking in monkeys. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 142(1): 280-294, March 1967.
- (103) KADLUBAR, F.F., MILLER, J.A., MILLER, E.C. Hepatic microsomal N-glucuronidation and nucleic acid binding of N-hydroxy arylamines in relation to urinary bladder carcinogenesis. *Cancer Research* 37(3): 805-814, March 1977.
- (104) KADLUBAR, F.F., MILLER, J.A., MILLER, E.C. Guanyl O<sup>6</sup>-arylation and O<sup>6</sup>-arylation of DNA by the carcinogen N-hydroxy-1-naphthylamine. *Cancer Research* 38(11): 3628-3638, November 1978.
- (105) KADLUBAR, F.F., UNRUH, L.E., BELAND, F.A., STRAUB, K.M., EVANS, F.E. Formation of DNA adducts by the carcinogen N-hydroxy-2-naphthylamine. *NCI Monograph No. 58*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, 1981, pp. 143-152.

- (106) KALLIANOS, A.G., MEANS, R.E., MOLD, J.D. Effect of nitrates in tobacco on the catechol yield in cigarette smoke. *Tobacco Science* 12: 125-129, 1968.
- (107) KEITH, C.H., TESH, P.G. Measurement of the total smoke issuing from a burning cigarette. *Tobacco Science* 9: 61-64, 1965.
- (108) KENNEDY, A.R., LITTLE, J.B. Respiratory system differences relevant to lung carcinogenesis between Syrian hamsters and other species. *Progress in Experimental Tumor Research* 24: 302-314, 1979.
- (109) KERR, W.K., BARKIN, M., LEVERS, P.E., WOO, S.H.C., MENOYK, Z. The effect of cigarette smoking on bladder carcinogenesis in man. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 93(1): 1-7, July 3, 1965.
- (110) KLINGER, W., MULLER, D. Developmental aspects of xenobiotic transformation. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 18: 13-23, December 1976.
- (111) KLUS, H., KUHN, H. Die Bestimmung von nitrophenolen im tabakrauchkondensat. [Determination of nitrophenols in tobacco smoke condensate.] *Fachliche Mitteilungen der Austria Tabakwerke A.G.* 15: 275-288, 1974.
- (112) KOZLOWSKI, L.T., FRECKER, R.C., KHOUW, V., POPE, M.A. The misuse of 'less hazardous' cigarettes and its detection: Hole blocking of ventilated filters. *American Journal of Public Health* 70(11): 1202-1203, November 1980.
- (113) KOZLOWSKI, L.T., FRECKER, R.C., LEI, H. Nicotine yields of cigarettes, plasma nicotine in smokers and public health. *Preventive Medicine* 11(3): 1982.
- (114) KURATSUNE, M., KOHCHI, S., HORIE, A., NISHIZUMI, M. Test of alcoholic beverages and ethanol solutions for carcinogenicity and tumor promoting activity. *Gann* 62(5): 395-405, October 1971.
- (115) LANE, B.P. In vitro studies. In: Harris, C.C. (Editor). *Pathogenesis and Therapy of Lung Cancer. Lung Biology in Health and Disease*. Volume 10. New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1978, pp. 419-441.
- (116) LAVOIE, E.J., BEDENKO, V., HIROTA, N., HECHT, S.S., HOFFMANN, D. A comparison of the mutagenicity, tumor initiating activity and complete carcinogenicity of polynuclear, aromatic hydrocarbons. In: Jones, P.W., Leber, P. (Editors). *Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons*. Ann Arbor, Michigan, Ann Arbor Scientific Publications, 1979, pp. 705-721.
- (116a) LAVOIE, E.J., HECHT, S.S., HOFFMANN, D., WYNDER, E.L. The less harmful cigarette and tobacco smoke flavors. In: Gori, G.B., Bock, F.G. (Editors). *Banbury Report 3—A Safe Cigarette?* Cold Spring Harbor, New York, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1980, pp. 251-260.
- (117) LAVOIE, E.J., TULLEY-FREILER, L., BEDENKO, V., HOFFMANN, D. Mutagenicity, tumor initiating activity and metabolism of methylphenanthrenes. *Cancer Research* 41(9): 3441-3447, 1981.
- (118) LEONARD, A., LAUWERYS, R.R. Carcinogenicity, teratogenicity and mutagenicity of arsenic. *Mutation Research* 75(1): 49-62, January 1980.
- (119) LEUCHTENBERGER, C., LEUCHTENBERGER, R. Differential response of Snell's and C57 black mice to chronic inhalation of cigarette smoke. *Oncology* 29(2): 122-138, 1974.
- (120) LEVIN, M.L., GOLDSTEIN, H., GERHARDT, P.R. Cancer and tobacco smoking: A preliminary report. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 143(4): 336-338, May 1950.
- (121) LEVIN, W., CONNEY, A.H., ALVANES, A.P., MERKATO, I., KAPPOS, A. Induction of benzo[a]pyrene hydroxylase in human skin. *Science* 176(4033): 419-420, April 28, 1972.
- (122) LIJINSKY, W., LOSIKOFF, A.M., SANSONE, E.B. Penetration of rat skin by N-nitrosodiethanolamine and N-nitrosomorpholine. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 66(1): 125-127, January 1981.

- (123) LIJINSKY, W., REUBER, M.D., MANNING, W.B. Potent carcinogenicity of nitrosodiethanolamine in rats. *Nature* 288: 589-590, 1980.
- (124) LITTLE, J.B., O'TOOLE, W.F. Respiratory tract tumors in hamsters induced by benzo[a]pyrene and <sup>210</sup>Po α-radiation. *Cancer Research* 34(11): 3026-3039, November 1974.
- (125) MacEWEN, J.D., McCONNELL, E.E., BACK, K.C. The effects of 6-month chronic low level inhalation exposures to hydrazine on animals. In: *Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Conference of Environmental Toxicology*, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1974, pp. 225-235.
- (126) MADDOX, W.L., CREASIA, D.A., DALBEY, W.E., GUERIN, M.R., STOKELY, J.R., KENDRICK, J.A. A tobacco smoke inhalation exposure device for rodents. *Archives of Environmental Health* 33(2): 64-71, March-April 1978.
- (127) MAGEE, P.N., MONTESANO, R., PREUSSMANN, R. N-Nitroso compounds and related carcinogens. In: Searle, C.E. (Editor). *Chemical Carcinogens*. American Chemical Society Monograph 173, 1976, pp. 491-625.
- (128) MARTELL, E.A. Radioactivity of tobacco trichomes and insoluble cigarette smoke particles. *Nature* 249(5454): 215-217, May 17, 1974.
- (129) MASUDA, Y., MORI, K., KURATSUNE, M. Studies on bladder carcinogens in the human environment. I. Naphthylamines produced by pyrolysis of amino acids. *International Journal of Cancer* 2(5): 489-493, September 1967.
- (130) MAXWELL, J.C., Jr. Reaching a plateau. *Tobacco Reporter* 107(3): 41-43, 1980.
- (130a) McCORMICK, A., NICHOLSON, M.J., BAYLIS, M., UNDERWOOD, J.G. Nitrosamines in cigarette smoke condensate. *Nature* 244(5413): 237-238, July 27, 1973.
- (131) McCOY, G.D., HECHT, S.S., KATAYAMA, S., WYNDER, E.L. Differential effect of chronic ethanol consumption on the carcinogenicity of N-nitrosopyrrolidine and N'-nitrosornicotine in male Syrian golden hamsters. *Cancer Research* 41(7): 2849-2854, July 1981.
- (132) McGANDY, R.B., KENNEDY, A.R., TERZAGLIC, M., LITTLE, J.B. Experimental respiratory carcinogenesis: Interaction between α-radiation and benzo[a]pyrene in the hamster. In: Karbe, E., Park, J.F. (Editors). *Experimental Lung Cancer. Carcinogenesis and Bioassays*. New York, Springer Verlag, 1974, pp. 485-491.
- (133) METTLIN, C., GRAHAM, S., SWANSON, M. Vitamin A and lung cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 62(6): 1435-1438, June 1979.
- (134) MILLER, J.E. Determination of the components of pipe tobacco and cigar smoke by means of a new smoking machine. *Proceedings of the Third World Tobacco Scientific Congress*, Salisbury, Southern Rhodesia, 1963. Salisbury, Printers, Ltd., 1964, pp. 584-595.
- (135) MIRVISH, S.S. The carcinogenic action and metabolism of urethan and N-hydroxyurethan. *Advances in Cancer Research* 11: 1-42, December 1968.
- (136) MISRA, P.S., LEFEVRE, A., ISHII, H., RUBIN, E., LIEBER, C.S. Increase of ethanol, meprobamate and phenobarbitol metabolism after chronic ethanol administration in man and in rats. *American Journal of Medicine* 51: 346-351, September 1971.
- (137) MOHR, U., REZNIK, G. Tobacco Carcinogenesis. In: Harris, C.C. (Editor). *Pathogenesis and Therapy of Lung Cancer. Lung Biology in Health and Disease*. Volume 10. New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1978, pp. 263-367.
- (138) MONTESANO, R., SAFFIOTTI, U. Carcinogenic response of the respiratory tract of Syrian golden hamsters to different doses of diethylnitrosamines. *Cancer Research* 28: 2197-2210, November 1968.
- (139) MORIE, G.P., SLOAN, C.H. Determination of N-nitrosodimethylamine in the smoke of high-nitrate tobacco cigarettes. *Beiträge zur Tabakforschung* 7(2): 61-66, June 1973.

- (140) MOROSCO, G.J., GOERINGER, G.C. Pancreatic elastase and serum  $\alpha_1$ -antitrypsin level in beagle dogs smoking high- and low-nicotine cigarettes: Possible mechanism of pancreatic cancer in cigarette smokers. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health* 5(5): 879-890, September 1979.
- (141) NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES. Committee on Medical and Biologic Effects of Environmental Pollutants, "Nickel." Washington, D.C., National Academy of Sciences, 1975, p. 277. (Abstract)
- (142) NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES. Committee on Medical and Biologic Effects of Environmental Pollutants, "Arsenic." Washington, D.C., National Academy of Sciences, 1977, p. 332. (Abstract)
- (143) NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE. Smoking and Health Program. Report No. 5. *Toward Less Hazardous Cigarettes. Summary: Four Skin Painting Bioassays Using Condensate from Experimental Cigarettes*. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, September 1980, p. 20.
- (144) NERY, R. Acylation of cytosine by ethyl N-hydroxycarbamate and its acyl derivatives and the binding of these agents to nucleic acids and proteins. *Journal of the Chemical Society Section C, Organic*, (14): 1860-1865, 1969.
- (145) NEUMANN, H.G. Significance of metabolic activation and binding to nucleic acids of aminostilbene derivatives in vivo. *NCI Monograph No. 58*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, 1981, pp. 165-171.
- (146) NORMAN, V. The effect of perforated tipping paper on the yield of various smoke components. *Beiträge zur Tabakforschung* 7(5): 282-287, September 1974.
- (147) ONG, J.T.H., RUTHERFORD, B.S., WICH, A.G. Formation of N-nitrosodiethanolamine from peroxidation of diethanolamine. *Journal of the Society of Cosmetic Chemists* 32(2): 75-85, March/April 1981.
- (148) OSDENE, T.S. Reaction mechanisms in the burning cigarette. In: Fina, N.J. (Editor). *The Recent Chemistry of Natural Products, Including Tobacco*. Proceedings of the Second Philip Morris Science Symposium, Richmond, Virginia, October 30, 1975. New York, Philip Morris, Inc., 1976, pp. 42-59.
- (149) PARKES, H.G. The epidemiology of the aromatic amine cancers. In: Searle, C.E. (Editor). *Chemical Carcinogens*. American Chemical Society Monograph 173, 1976, pp. 462-480.
- (150) PATRIANAKOS, C., HOFFMANN, D. Chemical studies on tobacco smoke. LXIV. On the analysis of aromatic amines in cigarette smoke. *Journal of Analytical Toxicology* 3(4): 150-154, July-August 1979.
- (151) PEGG, A.E., PERRY, W. Alkylolation of nucleic acids and metabolism of small doses of dimethylnitrosamine in the rat. *Cancer Research* 41(8): 3128-3132, August 1981.
- (152) PILLSBURY, H.C., BRIGHT, C.C., O'CONNOR, K.J., IRISH, F.W. Tar and nicotine in cigarette smoke. *Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists* 52(3): 458-462, May 1969.
- (153) PREUSSMANN, R., WÜRTELE, G., EISENBRAND, G., SPIEGELHALDER, B. Urinary excretion of N-nitrosodiethanolamine administered orally to rats. *Cancer Letters* 4(4): 207-209, April 1978.
- (154) RADFORD, E.P., HUNT, V.R. Polonium 210: A volatile radioelement in cigarettes. *Science* 143(3603): 247-249, January 17, 1964.
- (155) RADOMSKI, J.L. The primary aromatic amines: Their biological properties and structure-activity relationships. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology* 19: 129-157, 1979.
- (156) RICE, J.M. Prenatal effects of chemical carcinogens and methods for their detection. In: Kimmel, C.A., Buelke-Sam, J. (Editors). *Developmental Toxicity*, New York, Raven Press, 1980.

- (156a) ROGERS, W.R., BASS, R.L. III, JOHNSON, D.E., KRUSKI, A.W., McMAHAN, C.A., MANTEL, M.M., MATT, G.E., WILBUR, R.L., McGILL, H.C., Jr. Atherosclerosis-related responses to cigarette smoking in the baboon. *Circulation* 61(6): 1188-1193, 1980.
- (157) ROTHMAN, K.J. The proportion of cancer attributable to alcohol consumption. *Preventive Medicine* 9(2): 174-179, March 1980.
- (158) RUEHL, C., ADAMS, J.D., HOFFMANN, D. Chemical studies on tobacco smoke. LXVI. Comparative assessment of volatile and tobacco specific N-nitrosamines in the smoke of selected cigarettes from the U.S.A., West Germany and France. *Journal of Analytical Toxicology* 4(5): 255-259, September-October 1980.
- (159) RUSSELL, M.A.H. The case for medium nicotine, low tar, low carbon monoxide cigarettes. In: Gori, G.B., Bock, F.G. (Editors). *Banbury Report 3—A Safe Cigarette?* Cold Spring Harbor, New York, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1980, pp. 297-325.
- (160) RUSSELL, M.A.H., JARVIS, M., IYER, R., FEYERABEND, C. Relation of nicotine yield of cigarettes to blood nicotine concentrations in smokers. *British Medical Journal* 280: 972-976, April 5, 1980.
- (161) SCHAEFER-RIDDER, M., ENGELHARDT, U. Synthesis of *trans*-3,4-dihydroxy-3,4-dihydrobenz[a]- and -[c]acridines, possible proximate carcinogenic metabolites of polycyclic azaarenes. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 46(14): 2895-2899, July 1981.
- (162) SCHLOTZHAUER, W.S., MARTIN, R.M., SEVERSON, R.F., CHORTYK, O.T. Pyrolytic determinations of the effect of levels of catechol and other smoke phenols. *34th Tobacco Chemist's Research Conference*, Richmond, Virginia, October 27-29, 1980, p. 5. (Abstract)
- (163) SCHLOTZHAUER, W.S., WALKERS, D.B., SNOOK, M.E., HIGMAN, H.E. Characterization of catechols, resorcinols and hydroquinones in an acidic fraction of cigarette smoke condensate. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 26(6): 1277-1281, 1978.
- (164) SCHMELTZ, I., HOFFMANN, D. Nitrogen-containing compounds in tobacco and tobacco smoke. *Chemical Reviews* 77(3): 295-311, June 1977.
- (165) SCHMELTZ, I., TOSK, J., HILFRICH, J., HIROTA, N., HOFFMANN, D., WYNDER, E.L. Bioassays of naphthalene and alkylnaphthalenes for co-carcinogenic activity. Relation to tobacco carcinogenesis. In: Jones, P.W., Freudenthal, R.I. (Editors). *Volume 3: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons*, New York, Raven Press, 1978, pp. 47-60.
- (166) SCHMELTZ, I., TOSK, J., JACOBS, G., HOFFMANN, D. Redox potential and quinone content of cigarette smoke. *Analytical Chemistry* 49(13): 1924-1929, November 1977.
- (167) SCRIBNER, N.K., SCRIBNER, J.D. Reactions of the carcinogen N-acetoxy-4-acetamidostilbene with polynucleotides in vitro. *Chemico-Biological Interactions* 26(1): 47-55, June 1979.
- (168) SCRIBNER, N.K., SCRIBNER, J.D., SMITH, D.L., SCHRAM, K.H., McCLOSKEY, J.A. Reactions of the carcinogen N-acetoxy-4-acetamidostilbene with nucleosides. *Chemico-Biological Interactions* 26(1): 27-46, June 1979.
- (169) SHEETS, T.J., LEIDY, R.B. Influence of insecticides and nematicides on the chemistry of tobacco. *Recent Advances in Tobacco Science* 5: 83-131, 1979.
- (170) SHUBIK, P. Medical Iatrogenic Cancer. In: *Environment and Cancer; a collection of papers* Baltimore, Maryland, Williams and Wilkins Co., 1972, pp. 142-156.
- (171) SKERFVING, S., KORSGAARD, R., STIKSA, G., SIMONSSON, B.G. AHH-inducibility in Swedish workers exposed to asbestos. *I.R.C.S. Medical Science: Social and Occupational Medicine* 8(7/9): 532-555, July-September 1980.

- (172) SNOOK, M.E., SEVERSON, R.F., ARRENDALE, R.F., HIGMAN, H.C., CHORTYK, O.T. The identification of high molecular weight polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons in a biologically active fraction of cigarette smoke condensate. *Beiträge zur Tabakforschung* 9(2): 79-101, June 1977.
- (173) SON, O.S., EVERETT, D.W., FIALA, E.S. Metabolism of o-(methyl-<sup>14</sup>C) toluidine in the F344 rat. *Xenobiotica* 10(7/8): 457-468, July-August 1980.
- (174) SPFARS, A.W., LASSITER, C.W., BELL, J.H. Quantitative determination of alkanes in cigarette smoke. *Journal of Gas Chromatography* 1(6): 34-37, April 1963.
- (175) SPORN, M.B., DUNLOP, N.M., NEWTON, D.L., SMITH, J.M. Prevention of chemical carcinogenesis by vitamin A and its synthetic analogs (retinoids). *Federation Proceedings* 35(6): 1332-1338, May 1, 1976.
- (176) STAEBLY, E.E. Some considerations of metal carbonyl in tobacco smoke. *Chemistry and Industry* 13: 620-623, July 7, 1973.
- (177) STENBÄCK, F. The tumorigenic effect of ethanol. *Acta Pathologica et Microbiologica Scandinavica* 77(2): 325-326, 1969.
- (178) STUART, B.O., PALMER, R.F., FILIPY, R.E., DAGLE, G.E., McDONALD, K.E. *Respiratory Tract Carcinogenesis in Large and Small Experimental Animals Following Daily Inhalation of Radon Daughters and Uranium Ore Dust*. U.S. Department of Energy, Technical Information Center, Publication No. BNWL-SA-5910, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 1977, 5 pp.
- (179) SUNDERMAN, F.W., Jr., DONNELLY, A.J., WEST, B., KINCAID, J.F. Nickel poisoning. IX. Carcinogenesis in rats exposed to nickel carbonyl. *American Medical Association Archives of Industrial Health* 20(1): 36-41, July 1959.
- (180) SUNDERMAN, F.W., Jr., MAENZA, R.M., HOPFER, S.M., MITCHELL, J.M., ALLPASS, P.R., DAMJANOV, I. *Induction of renal carcinomas by intrarenal injection of nickel subsulfide in rats*. Proceedings: American Association for Cancer Research and American Society of Clinical Oncology 19: 127, 1978.
- (181) SUNDERMAN, F.W., Jr., ROSZEL, N.O., CLARKE, R.J. Gas chromatography of nickel carbonyl in blood and breath. *Archives of Environmental Health* 16: 836-843, 1968.
- (182) SWENBERG, J.A., KERNS, W.D., MITCHELL, R.I., GRALLA, E.J., PAVKOV, K.L. Induction of squamous cell carcinoma of the rat nasal cavity by inhalation exposure to formaldehyde vapor. *Cancer Research* 40(9): 3398-3402, September 1980.
- (183) SZADKOWSKI, D., SCHULTZE, H., SCHALLER, K.-H., LEHNERT, G. Zur Oekologischen Bedeutung des Schwermetallgehaltes von Zigaretten. Blei-, Cadmium- und Nickelanalysen des Tabaks sowie der Gas- und Partikelphase. [On the ecological significance of the heavy metal content of cigarettes. Lead, cadmium, and nickel analyses of tobacco as well as of the gas and particle phase.] *Archiv für Hygiene und Bakteriologie* 153(1): 1-8, February 1969.
- (184) TJAELVE, H., HANSSON, E., SCHMITERLOW, C.G. Passage of <sup>14</sup>C-nicotine and its metabolites into mice fetuses and placentae. *Acta Pharmacologica et Toxicologica* 26: 539-555, 1968.
- (185) TOUEY, G.P., MUMPOWER, R.C. II. Measurement of the combustion-zone temperature of cigarettes. *Tobacco Science* 1: 33-37, 1957.
- (186) TSO, T.C. *Physiology and Biochemistry of Tobacco Plants*. Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross, Inc., 1972, 393 pp.
- (187) TSO, T.C., HARLEY, N., ALEXANDER, L.T. Source of lead-210 and polonium-210 in tobacco. *Science* 153(3738): 880-882, August 19, 1966.



- (188) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. *The Changing Cigarette: A Report of the Surgeon General*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health, Office on Smoking and Health, DHHS Publication No. (PHS)81-50156, 1981, 252 pp.
- (189) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE. *Smoking and Health: A Report of the Surgeon General*. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health, Office on Smoking and Health, DHEW Publication No. (PHS)79-50066, 1979, 1136 pp.
- (190) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE. *The Health Consequences of Smoking for Women. A Report of the Surgeon General*. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health, Office on Smoking and Health, 1980, 359 pp.
- (191) U.S. FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION. *Report of Tar, Nicotine and Carbon Monoxide of the Smoke of 187 Varieties of Cigarettes*. Washington, D.C., March 1981, p. 20.
- (192) VAN DUUREN, B.L. Tumor-promoting and cocarcinogenic agents in chemical carcinogenesis. In: Searle, C.E. (Editor). *Chemical Carcinogens*. American Chemical Society Monograph 173, Washington, D.C., American Chemical Society, 1976, pp. 24-51.
- (193) VAN DUUREN, B.L. Carcinogens, cocarcinogens, and tumor inhibitors in cigarette smoke condensate. In: Gori, G.B., Bock, F.G. (Editors). *Banbury Report 3—A Safe Cigarette?* Cold Spring Harbor, New York, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1980, pp. 105-112.
- (194) VAN DUUREN, B.L., KATZ, C., GOLDSCHMIDT, B.M. Brief communication: Cocarcinogenic agents in tobacco carcinogenesis. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 51(2): 703-705, August 1973.
- (195) VAN DUUREN, B.L., SIVAK, A., LANGSETH, L., GOLDSCHMIDT, B.M., SEGAL, A. Initiators and promoters in tobacco carcinogenesis. *NCI Monograph No. 28*. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, June 1968, pp. 173-180.
- (196) WADDELL, W.J., MARLOWE, C. Localization of [<sup>14</sup>C]nitrosonor nicotine in tissues of the mouse. *Cancer Research* 40(10): 3518-3523, October 1980.
- (197) WALD, N., DOLL, R., COPELAND, G. Trends in tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide of U.K. cigarettes manufactured since 1934. *British Medical Journal* 282(6266): 763-765, March 7, 1981.
- (198) WALD, N., IDLE, M., BOREHAM, J., BAILY, A. Low serum-vitamin A and subsequent risk of cancer. Preliminary results of a prospective study. *Lancet* 2(8199): 813-815, October 18, 1980.
- (199) WARTMAN, W.B., COGBILL, E.C., HARLOW, E.S. Determination of particulate matter in concentrated aerosols. Application to analysis of cigarette smoke. *Analytical Chemistry* 31(10): 1705-1709, October 1959.
- (200) WINN, D.M., BLOT, W.J., SHY, C.M., PICKLE, L.W., TOLEDO, M.A., FRAUMENI, J.F., Jr. Snuff dipping and oral cancer among women in the Southern United States. *New England Journal of Medicine* 304(13): 745-749, March 26, 1981.
- (201) WYNDER, E.L., GRAHAM, E.A. Tobacco smoking as a possible etiologic factor in bronchogenic carcinoma. A study of six hundred and eighty-four proved cases. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 143(4): 329-336, May 27, 1950.
- (202) WYNDER, E.L., HOFFMANN, D. *Tobacco and Tobacco Smoke. Studies in Experimental Carcinogenesis*. New York, Academic Press, 1967, 730 pp.

- (203) WYNDER, E.L., WRIGHT, G. A study of tobacco carcinogenesis. I. The primary fractions. *Cancer* 10(2): 255-271, March-April 1957.
- (204) YUILE, C.L., BERKE, H.L., HULL, T. Lung cancer following Polonium-210 inhalation in rats. *Radiation Research* 31(4): 760-763, August 1967.
- (205) ZAJDELA, F., CROISY, A., BARBIN, A., MALAVEILLE, C., TOMATIS, L., BARTSCH, H. Carcinogenicity of chloroethylene oxide, an ultimate reactive metabolite of vinyl chloride, and bis(chloromethyl)ether after subcutaneous administration and in initiation-promotion experiments in mice. *Cancer Research* 40(2): 352-356, February 1980.

**PART IV. INVOLUNTARY SMOKING  
AND LUNG CANCER**

## INVOLUNTARY SMOKING AND LUNG CANCER

### Introduction

The social pressure to limit smoking in public places (6) reflects concern for protecting nonsmokers from the annoyances of others' cigarette smoke, as well as concern about the possible adverse health effects of involuntary smoking, or secondhand exposure to others' cigarette smoke.

A recent publication presented the scientific evidence linking involuntary smoke exposure to adverse health effects (44). Children of smoking parents had more bronchitis and pneumonia during the first year of life (17); and acute respiratory disease accounted for a higher number of restricted activity days (1.1 days) and bed disability days (0.8 day) in children whose families smoked than in those whose families did not (3). A reduction in exercise tolerance with exposure to sidestream cigarette smoke has been demonstrated in patients with angina pectoris (1), and a decrease in small airway function of the lung equivalent to that observed in light smokers (1 to 10 cigarettes a day) has been reported in adults who never smoked themselves nor lived with smokers, but who were exposed to cigarette smoking in the workplace (49).

Only recently has attention focused on the possibility that lung cancer may be caused by involuntary inhalation of tobacco smoke. This concern is based upon: (1) the occurrence of similar chemical constituents in sidestream smoke (smoke released from the cigarette between active puffs) and mainstream smoke (smoke actively inhaled); (2) the established dose-response relationship between voluntary cigarette smoking and lung cancer, and the absence of evidence establishing a threshold for effect; and (3) the recent epidemiologic studies that examined lung cancer mortality in nonsmoking spouses of cigarette smokers.

### Smoke Constituents

The average person spends most of the time indoors where there may be significant exposure to tobacco smoke generated by others (31). For various reasons, the exposure of nonsmokers is more difficult to quantitate than that of the smoker. The constituents of the particulate and gas (vapor) phases of tobacco smoke have been quantitatively analyzed in several studies (8, 22, 37, 38). As is shown in Table 1, many of the chemical constituents of mainstream smoke are also found in sidestream smoke. Some constituents occur in markedly higher concentrations in sidestream than in mainstream smoke (note SS to MS ratio); however, sidestream smoke is released into the ambient air, resulting in dilution of constituents. The resulting concentration of smoke is dependent upon the amount of

**TABLE 1.—Constituents of cigarette smoke.<sup>1</sup> Ratio of sidestream smoke (SS) to mainstream smoke (MS)**

| A. GAS PHASE         | MS         | SS/MS |                                    | MS         | SS/MS |
|----------------------|------------|-------|------------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Carbon Dioxide       | 20-60 mg   | 8.1   | Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> ) |            |       |
| Carbon Monoxide      | 10-20 mg   | 2.5   | Ammonia                            | 80 µg      | 73    |
| Methane              | 1.3 mg     | 3.1   | Hydrogen cyanide                   | 430 µg     | 0.25  |
| Acetylene            | 27 µg      | 0.8   | Acetonitrile                       | 120 µg     | 3.9   |
| Propane Propene      | 0.5 mg     | 4.1   | Pyridine                           | 32 µg      | 10    |
| Methylchloride       | 0.65 mg    | 2.1   | 3-Picoline                         | 24 µg      | 13    |
| Methylfuran          | 20 µg      | 3.4   | 3-Vinylpyridine                    | 23 µg      | 28    |
| Propionaldehyde      | 40 µg      | 2.4   | Dimethylnitrosamine                | 10-65 µg   | 52    |
| 2-Butanone           | 80-250 µg  | 2.9   | Nitrosopyrrolidine                 | 10-35 µg   | 27    |
| Acetone              | 100-600 µg |       |                                    |            |       |
| B. PARTICULATE PHASE | MS         | SS/MS |                                    | MS         | SS/MS |
| "Tar"                | 1-40 mg    | 1.7   | Quinoline                          | 1.7 µg     | 11    |
| Water                | 1-4 mg     | 2.4   | Methylquinolines                   | 0.7 µg     | 11    |
| Toluene              | 108 µg     | 5.6   | Aniline                            | 360 ng     | 30    |
| Stigmasterol         | 53 µg      | 0.8   | 2-Naphthylamine                    | 2 ng       | 39    |
| Total Phytosterols   | 130 µg     | 0.8   | 4-Aminobiphenyl                    | 5 ng       | 31    |
| Phenol               | 20-150 µg  | 2.6   | Hydrazine                          | 32 ng      | 3     |
| Catechol             | 130-280 µg | 0.7   | N'-Nitrososornicotine              | 100-500 ng | 5     |
| Napthalene           | 2.8 µg     | 16    | NNK <sup>2</sup>                   | 80-220 ng  | 10    |
| Methylnapthalene     | 2.2 µg     | 28    | Nicotine                           | 1-2.5 mg   | 2.7   |
| Pyrene               | 50-200 µg  | 3.6   |                                    |            |       |
| Benzo(a)pyrene       | 20-40 µg   | 3.4   |                                    |            |       |

<sup>1</sup>Nonfilter cigarette

<sup>2</sup>NNK = 4-(N-methyl-N-nitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (tobacco specific carcinogenic nitrosamine)

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (44).

smoke generated, the volume of ambient air, and the type and amount of the ventilation of that space (2, 4, 24, 34, 44). In addition, the chemical composition of smoke changes with the passage of time (24a). Further complicating factors include the continuous low-dose exposure of involuntary smokers contrasted with the intermittent high-dose exposure of the active smoker. Thus, many factors complicate the theoretical extrapolation of machine measurements of smoke constituents to the biologic effects to be expected with exposure of nonsmokers.

The actual absorption of smoke constituents by nonsmokers in smoke-filled spaces has not been completely characterized. A few studies have examined the absorption of carbon monoxide by measuring carboxyhemoglobin levels in exposed nonsmokers (44); however, the absorption of most other constituents has not been studied. Furthermore, the pattern of involuntary inhalation probably differs from that of voluntary inhalation of smoke by the smoker, affecting the pattern and amount of deposition or absorption of

chemical constituents in nonsmokers compared to smokers. Differences in the carcinogenicity of sidestream and mainstream smoke may also exist; sidestream smoke condensate is more tumorigenic per unit weight in mouse skin assays than is mainstream smoke condensate (50).


Some evidence exists that suggests, however, that involuntary exposure to cigarette smoke does result in deposition or absorption of constituents. Involuntary inhalation of cigarette smoke has been shown to produce tracheobronchial epithelial metaplasia and dysplasia in animals (23). The applicability of these data to human exposures is not clear, however, since the levels of smoke exposure used in this animal study were substantially higher than those normally encountered by humans in enclosed spaces where smoking is allowed (38). In a smoke-filled, unventilated, unoccupied room, the concentrations of several smoke constituents, including several volatile gases, total particulate matter, and nicotine, remained constant and were higher than when humans were present. Further, several vapor phase constituents such as nitrogen oxide, acrolein, and aldehydes were observed to decrease continuously over 3 hours when humans were placed in the room, despite fresh sidestream smoke being generated to keep the ambient carbon monoxide level stable (24). The difference in absolute levels and the continuing decrease in constituent concentrations despite the continuing addition of smoke to the environment suggest absorption by humans, although the actual site(s) of deposition has not been determined.

### **Dose-Response Relationships**

Examination of the dose-response relationship for voluntary smokers suggests an increased risk with any level of regular cigarette smoking (43). No threshold level of exposure for the development of lung cancer has been established and, therefore, any level of exposure is of concern. Figure 1 reflects the data that led to the scientific consensus that there is no threshold level. This absence of a clear threshold level of exposure raises the issue of whether the levels of exposure reached through involuntary smoking may also produce an increased risk of lung cancer.

### **Epidemiologic Studies**

The use of epidemiologic techniques to search for an association between involuntary smoke exposure and lung cancer has a number of methodologic difficulties.



**FIGURE 1.—Mortality ratios of deaths from lung cancer in men. Data from four large prospective studies**

British Physicians  
 Canadian Veterans  
 U.S. Veterans  
 U.S. men in 25 states

SOURCE: Royal College of Physicians of London (35).

## **Exposure**

An individual's actual smoke exposure dose is difficult to quantify, even for an acute exposure. For the longer exposure periods, as in chronic disease epidemiologic studies, the exposure quantification problems are magnified. Dosage is dependent upon the amount of smoking by those around the nonsmoker, the spatial distance between the nonsmoker and smoker, the duration and frequency of exposure, and a number of other factors that complicate the quantification of involuntary smoke exposure in either retrospective or prospective studies. Several studies have used the smoking habits of the spouse of the nonsmoker as a means of identifying two groups (nonsmokers with smoking or nonsmoking spouses). This estimate of exposure is subject to misclassification, as the nonsmoker may be a former smoker. This may be true for either the nonsmoker being followed or the nonsmoking spouse in the control group. In addition, in societies with a high rate of divorce or multiple marriages, the smoking habits of the current spouse may not approximate the actual exposure. Further, there is a demonstrable correlation between the smoking habits of spouses that decreases the proportion of couples available for study who are discordant for smoking.

## **Long Latency Periods**

Lung cancer follows exposures experienced over decades and, therefore, it is necessary to observe nonsmokers over an extended time in order to estimate their actual exposure.

## **Other Carcinogenic Exposures**

Exposure to cigarette smoke may occur in conjunction with exposure to other occupational or environmental carcinogens. Epidemiologic studies should control for or investigate possible interactions with other environmental exposures as far as possible, but limitations clearly exist here as well. Accurately assessing lifetime exposures and attempting to control for such exposures are difficult, if not impossible.

## **Current Epidemiologic Evidence**

To date, three epidemiologic studies have been published that examine the lung cancer risk of involuntary smoking. Two of these studies (19, 42) were conducted in the relatively traditional societies of Greece and Japan; the third analysis was conducted in the United States by Garfinkel (12), based on data originally collected by Hammond (14).

Trichopoulos et al. used the case-control method of study over the period of September 1978 through June 1980. They identified 51



Caucasian female lung cancer patients and 163 adult female orthopedic patients in Athens. All subjects were questioned on their personal smoking habits, and husbands were classified as nonsmokers (never smoked or quit more than 20 years prior), ex-smokers (stopped smoking 5 to 20 years prior), and current smokers (currently smoking or smoked within 5 years prior to interview). Single women were classified with the group having nonsmoking husbands. The cases and controls did not differ in age, duration of marriage, occupation, education, or place of residence, although specific matching on these characteristics was not performed. Involuntary exposure of the wife was estimated from her husband's daily consumption, from the date of marriage until their divorce, her husband's death, or change in his smoking habits; multiple marriages were also considered.

Excluding 11 voluntary smokers from the 51 female lung cancer cases, and 14 smokers from the 163 controls, the remaining 40 nonsmoking lung cancer patients and 149 nonsmoking control women were compared by their husband's current smoking status, and estimated total cigarettes smoked by the husband by the time of interview. The results are shown in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. Compared with the control group, at interview the lung cancer cases showed 1.8-fold greater probability of being married to an ex-smoker; 2.4-fold greater odds of being married to a light or moderate smoker (20 or fewer cigarettes per day); and 3.4-fold greater odds of being married to a heavy smoker (more than 20 cigarettes per day). The trend observed in these findings was statistically significant, with a p value less than 0.02. Exclusion of single women from this analysis modified the relative risks only slightly. Table 3 shows a similar trend of increasing relative risks in nonsmoking wives with increasing (estimated) total number of cigarettes smoked by the husband prior to the interview.

Some limitations and strengths of this study were recognized and discussed by the authors. Among the limitations were: the number of cases was small; 35 percent of the tumors lacked histologic confirmation; controls were chosen from a different hospital than were the cases; a single unblinded interviewer was used for both cases and controls. On the other hand, the authors suggested that the conservative social setting for this study may be less subject to errors of misclassification resulting from the exposure of nonsmoking wives of nonsmokers to the smoke of others outside the home. The number of cases of adenocarcinoma that were excluded from the analysis is not given. Analysis including such cases would be of interest (16), as many investigators have found cigarette smoking to be a cause of adenocarcinoma of the lung as well as of other histologic types of lung cancer (45). Additional control groups for comparison to the cases might have enhanced the findings of this study.

**TABLE 2.—Smoking habits of husbands of nonsmoking women with lung cancer and of nonsmoking control women**

| Diagnostic group | Nonsmokers | Ex-smokers | Cigarettes per day (current smokers) |       |       |     | Total |
|------------------|------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
|                  |            |            | 1-10                                 | 11-20 | 21-30 | 31+ |       |
| Lung cancer      | 11         | 6          | 2                                    | 13    | 4     | 4   | 40    |
| Controls         | 71         | 22         | 9                                    | 32    | 6     | 9   | 149   |
| RR <sup>a</sup>  | 1.0        | 1.8        | 2.4                                  |       | 3.4   |     |       |
| RR <sup>b</sup>  | 1.0        | 1.5        | 2.0                                  |       | 3.0   |     |       |

<sup>a</sup> Relative risk—the ratio of the risk of lung cancer among women whose husbands belong to a particular smoking category to that among women whose husbands are nonsmokers.  $X^2 = 6.45$ ,  $p(2\text{-tail}) = 0.02$ .

<sup>b</sup> Analysis excluding single women arbitrarily classified as nonsmokers.  $X^2(\text{linear trend}) = 4.6$ ,  $p < 0.03$ .

SOURCE: Trichopoulos et al. (42).

**TABLE 3.—Distribution of nonsmoking women with lung cancer and of nonsmoking control women according to the estimated total number of cigarettes smoked by their husbands by the time of the interview**

| Diagnostic group | Total number of cigarettes (in thousands) |      |         |         |         |      | Total |
|------------------|---|------|---------|---------|---------|------|-------|
|                  | 0   | 1-99 | 100-199 | 200-299 | 300-399 | 400+ |       |
| Lung cancer      | 8   | 4    | 6       | 9       | 6       | 7    | 40    |
| Controls         | 56  | 21   | 26      | 16      | 12      | 18   | 149   |
| RR <sup>a</sup>  | 1.0                                       | 1.3  | 2.5     |         | 3.0     |      |       |

<sup>a</sup> Relative risk—the ratio of the risk of lung cancer among women whose husbands belong to a particular smoking category to that among women whose husbands are nonsmokers.  $X^2 = 6.50$ ,  $p(2\text{-tail}) < 0.02$ .

SOURCE: Trichopoulos et al. (1981).

Hirayama (19) used a prospective design in 29 health districts in Japan over 14 years, from 1966 to 1979, in which 91 to 99 percent of the census population was interviewed. He analyzed interview data from 265,118 adults aged 40 years and older, and found that 72.3 percent of the couples had data on the smoking habit of both spouses. Among 91,540 married women, 245 deaths from lung cancer were recorded, of which 174 were nonsmokers. He reported a statistically significant excess rate of lung cancer among nonsmoking wives of smokers as compared to nonsmoking wives of nonsmokers. Table 4 shows the standardized mortality rates for lung cancer in nonsmoking wives, adjusted for age and occupation. There is an apparent dose-response relationship in each of the analyses presented. Certain methodologic details (e.g., the definition of an ex-smoker

husband, the method of age and occupation standardization, and the technique or extent of histologic confirmation) were not presented. Hirayama also examined the effects of voluntary smoking in relationship to involuntary exposure and nonexposure. The standardized annual mortality rate for nonsmokers who were not involuntarily exposed was 8.7 per 100,000. For women who reported being exposed to cigarette smoke only involuntarily, the standardized annual mortality rate was 15.5 per 100,000. For women who voluntarily smoked, the standardized annual mortality rate was 32.8 per 100,000. He concluded that the effect of involuntary smoking was approximately one half to one third that of active or voluntary smoking.

The age and occupation standardized risk ratios in this population failed to show any statistically significant effect of spousal smoking on nonsmoking women's standardized risk ratios for deaths from other causes, including emphysema (although the trend in relative risk was in the same direction as for lung cancer mortality), cervical cancer, stomach cancer, or ischemic heart disease (Table 5); no significant role of spousal alcohol consumption was demonstrated for any of the above diseases.

The public press has reported a possible error in Hirayama's computation of the chi square test of statistical significance (33). However, the scientist to whom this finding was attributed has subsequently stated that he raised questions about the study but denied reaching any conclusion (29a).

Harris and DuMouchel (18) recalculated the chi square using the originally presented data of Hirayama by combining Tables 1 and 2. The calculated chi square of 8.09 yielded a statistically significant two-sided p value of 0.0004.

In a subsequent, more detailed tabular presentation, Hirayama (21a) confirmed the statistically significant excess in lung cancer death rates in wives of smokers when adjusted for husband's age, occupation and smoking habits. In this subsequent analysis, Hirayama restricted his analysis to data from one prefecture for a possible dose-response relationship of involuntary smoking and lung cancer mortality. The exposure of nonsmoking wives was calculated by multiplying the hours of the day the husband was at home by the number of cigarettes smoked per hour, assuming that the number of cigarettes smoked per hour remained constant over waking hours. There was a clear dose-response observed (Table 6) for each of three categories for length of hours and for number of cigarettes smoked per day. The risk of death from lung cancer in nonsmoking women increased with either the time of exposure or increasing daily number of cigarettes. In that set of analyses, the relative mortality risk (as measured by the standardized mortality ratio) observed

**TABLE 4.—Standardized mortality for lung cancer in women by age, occupation, and smoking habit of the husband (patient herself a nonsmoker)**

| Husband's smoking habit                     | Nonsmoker | Ex-smoker<br>or 1-19/day | ≥ 20/day |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|----------|
| <i>Husband's age: 40-59 years</i>           |           |                          |          |
| Population of wives                         | 14,020    | 30,676                   | 20,584   |
| No. of deaths from lung cancer              | 11        | 40                       | 36       |
| Occupation-standardized mortality/100,000   | 5.64      | 9.34                     | 13.14    |
| <i>Husband's age: ≥ 60 years</i>            |           |                          |          |
| Population of wives                         | 7,875     | 13,508                   | 4,877    |
| No. of deaths from lung cancer              | 21        | 46                       | 20       |
| Occupation-standardized mortality/100,000   | 15.79     | 24.44                    | 29.60    |
| Standardized risk ratio for all ages        | 1.00      | 1.61                     | 2.08     |
| <i>Husband working in agriculture</i>       |           |                          |          |
| Population of wives                         | 10,406    | 20,044                   | 9,391    |
| No. of deaths from lung cancer              | 17        | 52                       | 24       |
| Age-standardized mortality/100,000          | 9.54      | 17.02                    | 18.40    |
| <i>Husband working elsewhere</i>            |           |                          |          |
| Population of wives                         | 11,489    | 24,140                   | 16,070   |
| No. of deaths from lung cancer              | 15        | 34                       | 32       |
| Age-standardized mortality/100,000          | 9.13      | 10.46                    | 17.78    |
| Standardized risk ratio for all occupations | 1.00      | 1.43                     | 1.90     |

SOURCE: Hirayama (19).

among nonsmoking wives of smoking husbands was markedly lower than that observed for women who actively smoked (Figure 2).

The observed differences between wives of smokers and wives of nonsmokers were evident for each of the four socioeconomic status classes.

Hirayama's article has stimulated much discussion, which has been published as Letters to the Editor of the *British Medical Journal* (5, 13, 25a, 27, 27a, 30, 36, 40, 42a). In three replies to the same journal (20, 21, 21a), the reader is referred to the specific issues raised and responded to in these letters.

**TABLE 5.—Age-occupation standardized risk ratio for selected causes of death in women by smoking habit of the husband (patient herself a nonsmoker)**

| Cause of death                    | Husband's smoking habit |                        |          | p value * |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|
|                                   | Nonsmoker               | Ex-smoker, or 1-19/day | ≥ 20/day |           |
| Lung cancer (n = 174)             | 1.00                    | 1.61                   | 2.08     | 0.0001    |
| Emphysema, asthma (n = 66)        | 1.00                    | 1.29                   | 1.49     | 0.474     |
| Cancer of cervix (n = 250)        | 1.00                    | 1.15                   | 1.14     | 0.249     |
| Stomach cancer (n = 716)          | 1.00                    | 1.02                   | 0.99     | 0.720     |
| Ischaemic heart disease (n = 406) | 1.00                    | 0.97                   | 1.03     | 0.393     |

\* (X<sup>2</sup> linear trend).

SOURCE: Hirayama (19).

**TABLE 6.—How often wives with smoking husbands inhale cigarette smoke passively in Japan (calculation based on a study in Aichi Prefecture, Japan)**


| No. cigarettes smoked by husband/day | Length of contact in a day |  |               |  |               |  |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------|--|---------------|--|
|                                      | 1.5 h                      |  | 4 h           |  | 15.0 h        |  |
|                                      | Frequency (%)              | No. cigarettes to which they were exposed* | Frequency (%) | No. cigarettes to which they were exposed* | Frequency (%) | No. cigarettes to which they were exposed* |
| 1-19 (average 10)                    | 11.8                       | (0.88)                                     | 14.2          | (2.55)                                     | 6.8           | (8.82)                                     |
| 20-29 (average 25)                   | 19.8                       | (2.21)                                     | 25.4          | (5.88)                                     | 8.6           | (22.06)                                    |
| 30-60 (average 45)                   | 5.6                        | (3.97)                                     | 5.2           | (10.59)                                    | 2.6           | (39.71)                                    |

\* Length of contact multiplied by number smoked in an hour (number smoked in an hour equals average number of cigarettes smoked in a day divided by total hours awake).

SOURCE: Hirayama (21).

Nonetheless, the applicability of such results to the U.S. population remains to be established.

Garfinkel (12) published an analysis of data from the American Cancer Society's prospective study conducted from 1960 through 1972. He reported results on 176,739 nonsmoking women who were then married (a) to men who never smoked, (b) to men who currently smoked less than 20 cigarettes per day, or (c) to men who currently smoked 20 or more cigarettes per day. In an analysis that did not attempt to control for possible confounding variables, the observed to expected lung cancer mortality ratio (expected numbers were derived from the lung cancer rates of women married to nonsmokers by 5-year age groups) was 1.27 for women married to smokers of less than 20 cigarettes per day and 1.10 for women married to smokers of 20 or more cigarettes per day. These increases in mortality ratios over those of wives of nonsmokers were reported to be not statistical-



**FIGURE 2.—Active and passive smoking and standardised mortality rates for lung cancer: relative risks (RR) with 95 percent confidence intervals—prospective study, 1966–1979, Japan**

\*Includes occasional smokers and ex-smokers  
SOURCE: Hirayama (21a).

**TABLE 7.—Observed versus expected\* lung cancer deaths among nonsmoking women with cigarette-smoking husbands, ACS study, 1960–1972\*\***

| Parameter       | Husband did not smoke | Husband smoked < 20 cigarettes per day | Husband smoked ≥ 20 cigarettes per day |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Observed deaths | 65                    | 39                                     | 49                                     |
| Expected deaths | 65.00                 | 30.67                                  | 44.67                                  |
| Mortality ratio | 1.00                  | 1.27                                   | 1.10                                   |

\*Expected deaths are based on the lung cancer rates by 5-year age groups in women with nonsmoking husbands applied to the person-years of women with smoking husbands.  
\*\*The 95 percent confidence limits for women with husbands smoking < 20 cigarettes/day were 0.85 and 1.89; for women with husbands smoking ≥ 20 cigarettes/day, they were 0.77 and 1.61.  
SOURCE: Garfinkel (72).

ly significant (p value not specified) (Table 7), and no dose-response effect was evident.

The same three groups of nonsmoking women were compared in another analysis. In an attempt to eliminate possible confounding

**TABLE 8.—Matched group study: Adjusted lung cancer deaths among women with nonsmoking husbands matched\* with women with smoking husbands**

| Group                              | Number of adjusted lung cancer deaths | Ratio | p <sup>a</sup> |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Nonsmoking husband                 | 25.6                                  | 1.00  |                |
| Husband smoked < 20 cigarettes/day | 35.0                                  | 1.37  | NS             |
| Nonsmoking husband                 | 34.5                                  | 1.00  |                |
| Husband smoked ≥ 20 cigarettes/day | 35.8                                  | 1.04  | NS             |

\*Matched on the basis of (a) wife's 5-year age group, (b) husband's occupational exposure, (c) highest educational level of husband or wife, (d) race, (e) urban-rural residence, and (f) absence of serious disease at the start of the study.

<sup>a</sup>NS = not significant.

SOURCE: Garfinkel (12).

variables, pairs of women were matched on multiple factors. The number of deaths in each matched diad was "adjusted" as described in a prior publication (15). The results of this analysis are shown in Table 8. Neither group of nonsmoking wives of smokers showed a statistically significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ); there is no dose-response pattern apparent. The actual size and composition of the matched study population, however, were not shown. The author concluded that any effect passive smoking had on lung cancer mortality would be small.

The author presented the limitations of this analysis. The study was not designed to examine the question of effects of passive smoking and, therefore, there were difficulties with the accurate assessment of exposure. The appropriateness of this analysis of the ACS data has been questioned (16) for this reason. The difficulties include the measurement of involuntary exposure to smoke from persons other than the husband, and an inability to adjust for changes in husband's smoking subsequent to actual interview or for exposure(s) from previous husbands. A study should be specifically designed to measure exposure, as neither the Japanese (19) nor the ACS study met that criterion. Additionally, among 564 cases of lung cancer in nonsmoking women, the husband's smoking status was available for only 153 (27 percent).

Thus, each of the three epidemiologic studies published to date shows an increased risk of lung cancer with involuntary smoke exposure (Table 9). The results were statistically significant in two of the three studies, which also found a dose-response effect. The evidence currently available suggests that involuntary smoke exposure may increase the risk of lung cancer in nonsmokers, but