+ + + +

MEETING

+ + + +

THURSDAY,
JULY 28, 2006

+ + + +

The Commission was convened in Room 540 of 624 Ninth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. at 12:00 p.m., Gerald A. Reynolds, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS Chairman

ABIGAIL THERNSTROM Vice Chairman

JENNIFER C. BRACERAS Commissioner, (via telephone)

PETER N. KIRSANOW Commissioner

ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR. Commissioner

MICHAEL YAKI Commissioner

KENNETH L. MARCUS Staff Director

STAFF PRESENT:

DAVID BLACKWOOD

TERESA BROOKS

TYRO BEATTY

CHRISTOPHER BYRNES

DEBRA CARR, Associate Deputy Staff Director

RANITA CARTER

IVY DAVIS, Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit

DEREK HORNE

PATRICIA JACKSON, Chief, Budget and Finance

MAHA JWEIED

SOCK-FOON MacDOUGALL

TINALOUISE MARTIN, Director of Management

EMMA MONROIG, Solicitor/Parliamentarian

AUDREY WRIGHT

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS PRESENT:

CHRISTOPHER JENNINGS LISA NEUDER KIMBERLY SCHULD, via telephone RICHARD SCHMECHEL

A-G-E-N-D-A

PAGE.
I. Approval of Agenda 4
II. Approval of Minutes of July 5, 2006 Meeting
III. Management and Operations 7
Fiscal Year 2008 Budget
Strategic Planning
Proposed Policy of Peer Review for Draft Reports
Proposed Regulation on Outside Employment
IV. State Advisory Committee Issues
Recharter Package for Connecticut State Advisory Committee
V. Program Planning
Proposed Briefing on the United States Naval Academy's Use of Religious and Racial Preferences in Placement of Cadets with Local Sponsors
Briefing Report on Campus Anti-Semitism
Proposed Poster for Campus Anti-Semitism Public Education Campaign
VI. Staff Director's Report 44
Adiourn

NEAL R. GROSS

_	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	12:01 P.M.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, folks, we're
4	going to start up now.
5	APPROVAL OF AGENDA
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The first item on the
7	agenda is the approval of the agenda. May I have a
8	motion to approve the agenda?
9	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: so Moved.
-0	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I thought we were
L1	going to change one thing. Okay, then I'm fine with
_2	it.
_3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor?
L4	(Off the record comments.)
L5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor?
L6	(Chorus of ayes.)
L7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? Any
L8	abstentions? Okay, it is passed unanimously.
L9	I move to amend the agenda to add a
20	subitem labeled proposed August 2006 business meeting
21	immediately after the subitem labeled proposed
22	regulation on outside employment under the general
23	item labeled management and operations. Oh, it's
24	going to get complicated.

There will be a series of amendments.

1	Including one that is near and dear to your heart.
2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Can't you just do it
3	all at one time?
4	Do it as a package.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That would be more
6	difficult than this painful approach.
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, all in favor,
9	please say aye.
LO	(Chorus of ayes.)
L1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections,
L2	abstentions? It passes unanimously.
L3	Okay, I move to amend the agenda to delete
L4	the item labeled announcements and have the discussion
L5	of the item labeled program planning and its
L6	associated subitems take place right after the State
L7	Advisory Committee Issues and have the discussion of
L8	the item labeled Staff Director's Report take place
L9	after Program Planning and its associated subitems,
20	but before discussion of Future Agenda Items and this
21	is purposefully convoluted so no one will object.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I don't even
23	understand it.
24	(Laughter.)
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's good. All in

1	favor, say aye, please.
2	(Chorus of ayes.)
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? Okay,
4	no abstentions. It passed unanimously.
5	Approval of the July 5, 2006 meeting
6	minutes. May I have a motion?
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I want to amend
8	the agenda.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Actually, you raised a
LO	good point.
L1	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I simply want to
L2	amend the agenda to include an item that I will rush
L3	to the Commission a document by Dr. Richard Sander
L4	related to the Commission that he and Professor
L5	Wentworth have proposed at the last meeting.
L6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We may not have time.
L7	We have a half an hour basically.
L8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I have a proposal
L9	and I'm going to share it all with you by email.
20	That's all I wanted to say.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, done deal.
22	Also, I move that the recharter discussion with
23	respect to the California SAC be tabled.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, all in favor say
J	

Any

1 aye. (Chorus of ayes.) 2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any in opposition? Any 3 Passed unanimously. 4 abstentions? Okay. 5 APPROVAL OF THE JULY 5, 2006 MEETING MINUTES CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: May I have a motion to 6 7 approve the minutes of the July 5, 2006 meeting? VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: So moved. 8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second. 9 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor? 11 (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 12 13 abstentions? Passes unanimously. 14 Okay, into the meat. MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS 15 16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, the next item on the agenda is the consideration of the 2008 budget 17 Pursuant to OMB guidance, 18 request from OMB. 19 Commission is requesting a budget of \$8,992,000 for 20 Fiscal year '08. By comparison, the Commission's appropriations for 2006 is \$8,932,439. 21 The budget 22 will incorporate our decisions from this

> approval, this budget Upon your will

NEAL R. GROSS

planning meeting, current plans for '08 operations and

projections from Agency managers.

23

24

25

year's

1	become the basis for the Commission's 2008 budget
2	request to OMB. May I have a motion to approve the
3	budget?
4	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: So moved, but
5	do we have any choice about this? I don't really get
6	this.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Our budget?
8	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I don't get
9	I mean we're voting on something that we don't have
LO	any choice but to say yes to.
L1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, well, sometimes
L2	in life we don't have a choice. That was explained to
L3	me at the briefing earlier.
L4	(Laughter.)
L5	This is another example. So I have a
L6	second, all in favor?
L7	(Chorus of ayes.)
L8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any in opposition?
L9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I don't know. You are
20	pretty emphatic about individual choices.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I choose to support
23	this. How about that?
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Do you whole heartedly
25	choose to support it?

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, not wholeheartedly 2 but --3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm not too sure. 4 Maybe I'll abstain. No, I'm just kidding. 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This motion passes unanimously. 6 7 Next up we have our strategic goals and 8 objectives. The working group on strategic planning prepared a draft of these goals for our consideration 9 10 which was distributed on July 20th. These goals and 11 objectives will provide guidance to the working group 12 on strategic planning and Commission staff as they 13 continue congressional outreach, prepare appropriate metrics, prepare a proposed final strategic plan and 14 15 work to integrate the preliminary strategic planning 16 documents into our '08 budget submission which is due 17 in September. Commissioner Kirsanow, would you care to 18 19 update the Commission? 20 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: First of all, I 21 want to thank all the members of the working group, those who are here and not here, for their work in 22 23 putting together this strategic plan. I think this captures precisely what not only the working group 24 25 tried to accomplished, but what was the charge of the

2	plan had been sent back to us for revision.
3	You have them in front of you. I think
4	you do, and they're self explanatory. They've been
5	distilled. The strategic plan itself, as you know, is
6	about 35 pages long. We've distilled down for your
7	consideration the four goals and subcomponents to
8	those goals that are the essence of the strategic
9	plan. And the working group on reform recommends
10	passage or adoption of this strategic goal.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Was that
12	unanimously
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I don't know. We
14	didn't get a vote from Commissioner Melendez because
15	he's not on the line. Does anyone know whether or not
16	Commissioner Melendez is on board with this?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: He has not
18	communicated
19	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Well, of those who
20	participated there was unanimous agreement. That
21	included Commissioner Braceras, Chairman Reynolds,
22	
	myself.
23	mysell. VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: So do we need a
23	

entire Commission as a whole after the first strategic

1	are you finished?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right, I move that
4	we approve the proposed strategic goals and objectives
5	that were distributed to Commissioners on July 20th.
6	Approval of this motion does not constitute approval
7	of the strategic plan as these goals and objectives
8	are preliminary.
9	Is there a second?
10	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I feel I had no
13	choice.
14	(Laughter.)
15	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: You don't.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right, all in
17	favor say aye.
18	(Chorus of ayes.)
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any in opposition?
20	Any abstentions? The motion passes unanimously.
21	The next item is to establish a policy for
22	Commissioner review of national office projects
23	pursuant to the most recent set of GAO
24	recommendations. I need a motion that with respect to
25	national office projects the Commissioners will be

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	asked to review these projects from the project
2	concept paper to draft report stages for several
3	factors including the consistency with the project
4	concept, proposal, outline and discovery plan, the
5	existence of objectivity, the quality and breadth of
6	the research, the strength of the reasoning and
7	analysis, and the strength of the evidence supporting
8	the findings, conclusions and recommendations.
9	This list of factors is not intended to be
10	exhaustive. Commissioners have traditionally reviewed
11	national office projects using these factors, so I've
12	asked the Staff Director who has been doing, why do we
13	have to waste time doing this, but he says that we
14	should and I defer to his better judgment.
15	This motion shall formalize a policy what
16	Commissioners have always done informally in practice,
17	when reviewing national projects, is there a second?
18	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in
20	favor?
21	(Chorus of ayes.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any in opposition? Any
23	abstentions?
24	The motion passes unanimously.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The Staff Director has

recommended the adoption of a policy of peer review for national office reports. The GAO in its May 2006 report recommended that the Commission strengthen the objectivity and the integrity of the Commission's written work product. This peer-review policy would be included in our implementation plan in which we will submit to GAO by July 31, 2006. Under this peer-review process, a draft national office report would be reviewed to determine whether it is objective and academically sound.

The Commission plans to use small balanced panel of either uncompensated state advisory committee members with appropriate skills background aided by our new selection criteria which emphasizes social science, legal and statistical training and technical writing skills and/or compensated outside professionals working expedited time frame of up to one week to conduct the peer review. The to which peer-review extent recommendations were resolved may be considered by the Commissioners during their vote to approve the final draft report for publication.

This process would apply to national office statutory reports and other national office reports containing findings and recommendations.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Is there a second?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Could you repeat
3	that?
4	(Laughter.)
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
8	Commissioner Yaki.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: A question about the
10	peer review panels as they are selected. Is the goal
11	of the peer review panel to be how shall I put
12	this? Ideologically diverse for the purposes of
13	reviewing the report or is simply going to be all the
14	people who believe in what the report is saying to
15	provide the report?
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, it's clear that
17	whatever we do someone is going to be happy, someone
18	around this table is going to be unhappy, but to
19	answer your question, there will be a diversity of
20	views, but
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: What's the
22	critical mass?
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: This is eating up time
24	here, folks.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I have a

1	serious question. It's the same question as Michael
2	Yaki's really. I am extremely concerned about the
3	composition of these peer review panels, how they're
4	going to be picked, how I mean how are we going to
5	decide on who is really trained and scholarly?
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And a lot of the
7	issues that we deal with, it seems to me that on
8	certain pieces it makes sense, for example, did we get
9	our facts right? Is our methodological approach
10	sound.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: We just had a
12	panel in which nobody people couldn't agree on
13	basic facts.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, the point that I
15	was making is that the subject matter that we deal
16	with is controversial and there are different first
17	principles and I see limited value in having our
18	reports reviewed by so-called experts, but that's why
19	we're having this conversation.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: And what are we
21	going to do with the reviews when they come in and
22	they're, you know, shoddy pieces of work and or
23	questionable to some of us, but not to others. This
24	process it seems to me is a mine field.

1	so you can joint me.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Good, I'm
3	voting no.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I move to table.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Table? Don't you want
6	to vote against it?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Sure.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, good.
9	(Laughter.)
10	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Mr. Chairman, may
11	I speak to the issue of tabling, if that is a serious
12	issue?
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, it's not, it's
14	not.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I withdraw the motion
16	to table.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, any other
18	comments?
19	All in favor, please say aye.
20	(No response.)
21	All in opposition.
22	(Chorus of nos.)
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, this motion
24	fails and we have received the vote
25	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: May I make just an

NEAL R. GROSS

1	observation, just for the information of the
2	Commissioners. The reason that the motion had been
3	put out is that this was one of the recommendations of
4	the GAO. Our Corrective Action Plan is due on Monday.
5	So if we are not doing a peer review, then the
6	ramification of this vote is that we will have to
7	indicate that we are not implementing in full and that
8	there is at least one recommendation that we have
9	declined.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: That we have
11	rejected.
12	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: That's right.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: We have
14	rejected one of their recommendations.
15	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We have the
16	authority to do that, but that will be the statement
17	that we will have to make to GAO.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: That's fine.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We have accepted many
20	of their recommendations and we've accepted them not
21	because they made the suggestion but because what they
22	suggested was sound and needed to be done. And in
23	this particular case, that's not the case. So let the
24	record reflect that there were five votes against the

motion.

1	Okay, the Commission, with the concurrence
2	of the Office of Government Ethics is issuing these
3	proposed regulations for employees of the Commission
4	that would supplement the standards of ethical conduct
5	for employees of the Executive Branch, issued by OGE.
6	The first proposed regulation would require employees
7	of the Commission to obtain prior approval before
8	engaging in outside employment. The second proposed
9	regulation would remove the Commission's existing
10	conduct regulation which is inconsistent with OGE's
11	standard and replace it with a reference to the
12	current OGE standard.
13	OGE published a new Executive Branch
14	Standards of Ethical Conduct regulation
15	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Gerry, give us
16	the bottom line here.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The bottom line is if
18	you would like to if employees would like to get
19	other employment while working here, they would have
20	to get permitting from their supervisor. That is to
21	ensure that their outside work is not having an
22	adverse effect on their jobs here.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Okay.
24	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This would mirror
25	what everybody else in Federal Government

1	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Just you,
2	Michael.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Just the first piece.
4	And the second piece has to do with
5	apparently, our standards we want to make sure that
6	our standards are consistent with the new regulations
7	that have been issued by OGE. So that's the purpose
8	of the second
9	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Fine.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor.
11	(Aye.)
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would anyone like to
13	join him?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It doesn't apply to
15	us.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's correct.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, the motion
19	passes unanimously.
20	Okay, next item on the agenda is a motion
21	to hold a Commission business meeting on August 18,
22	2006. May I have a motion that the Commission hold a
23	business meeting at its headquarters in Washington
24	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: So moved.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, is there a
1	1

1	second?
2	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
4	Commissioner Yaki?
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Due to a Court thing
6	where actually I'm a lawyer, I will not probably
7	not be able to attend in person. And it is dubious
8	that I will be able to attend via phone.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would you like to give
10	me a proxy?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Absolutely.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Will we at that
13	meeting be able to cover the ground that we can't
14	cover today?
15	(Off the record comments.)
16	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: That's not the
17	notice that was sent out. It was an afternoon
18	meeting.
19	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Oh, that was an
20	afternoon I forgot. I thought that was the
21	September meeting. No?
22	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: The September
23	meeting is in Omaha.
24	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Right, but I
25	thought that was in the afternoon.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: No, it can't
2	be.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That was one of the
4	options and we chose the 18th of August.
5	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I don't recall
6	that, for the 18th it was 1 o'clock. Of course it's
7	being said right now, so it could be set at 1 rather
8	than 5.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I got some sort
LO	of notice that was an afternoon meeting. It can't be
L1	the Omaha one.
L2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, but the question
L3	on the table though is do you believe that what we are
L4	unable to finish here today we'll be able to squeeze
L5	in the August business meeting.
L6	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: If it's from 1
L7	until 5, then I think we have a good chance of
L8	finishing everything that's left over from here. Now
L9	there are other things that I would hope to have ready
20	for a vote then and whether we can get through all of
21	that, all I can say is we'll do the best we can.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Are folks amenable to
23	starting earlier, to starting at 9 o'clock, if that's
24	required?
25	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: We can start

Farouk

	22
1	earlier.
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And in fact, we'll
3	have to finish this up at a later point, but I think
4	that we need to consider starting at 9
5	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Whatever.
6	Let's wrap things up.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, all right, we
8	had our discussion. All in favor, please say aye.
9	(Chorus of ayes.)
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? Any
11	abstentions? The motion passes unanimously.
12	STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, first up we have
14	okay. There's a motion to recharter the
15	Connecticut State Advisory Committee. Under the
16	motion the Commission appoints the following
17	individuals to that Committee, based on the
18	recommendations of the Staff Director: Patrick
19	Johnson, William Alpert, Darlene Alvarado-Canales,
20	Lewis Andrews, Leroy Bailey, Brian Brown, Brian

VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. Wasn't there a motion to approve the --

Freeman, Gerald Gunderson, Brian Langdon, Theophilus

Werner

Metzger,

Rhymaun, Edward Sabaria and Karen Torre.

Meekins,

David

21

22

23

24

25

Oyandel,

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It's in here
2	somewhere.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Okay, that came
4	before the budget OMB.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hold on. Okay, I have
6	it in a different order.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: That's all
8	right. That's fine.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, and finally
10	Karen Torre. With this motion, the Commission
11	appoints Patrick Johnson as chair of the newly
12	rechartered Connecticut State Advisory Committee.
13	These members will serve as uncompensated government
14	employees and the Commission appreciates the hard work
15	that they will no doubt contribute to the State
16	Advisory Committee. Under this motion, the Commission
17	authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
18	appropriate paperwork for the appointment.
19	Is there a second.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion. All in
22	favor, please say aye.
23	(Ayes.)
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any opposition?
25	Abstentions.

1	Please let the record reflect that
2	Commissioner Kirsanow abstains from the vote. And the
3	remaining voted in favor.
4	In order to give an opportunity to anyone
5	interested in providing information on the briefing we
6	had this morning, the record should be kept open for
7	30 days. Is there a second?
8	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I second that,
9	although I thought there was some discussion of more
10	than that.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: During the discussion,
12	I expect Commissioner Yaki to
13	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I want 60 days.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So there's a second.
15	Discussion.
16	Commissioner Yaki?
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I want 60 days.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Why?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Because there's a lot
20	of stuff.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: He's going to
22	do a document.
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: There's a lot of
24	stuff. I think that this is I think in terms of
25	the number of people who are out there who we could

1	outreach to, who might want to provide some of the
2	studies that were talked about, at least four or five
3	different studies were thrown out there. These people
4	tend to want to polish it, make it prettier or
5	whatever. That may reaching them, doing that,
6	editing or whatever, probably more than 30 days.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I am going to
8	ask the Staff director a question. We certainly want
9	to have a completed document in published form, it
10	seems to me before the argument in November on these
11	two cases.
12	How does the 60 days affect that?
13	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Well, if we're
14	getting complicated articles and materials in late
15	September, trying to analyze them to get a final draft
16	voted on against the argument in November
17	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Not possible.
18	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: It would be
19	difficult and I'm not sure that it's possible.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Is it possible
21	if we have a 30-day window?
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: With a 30 day we
23	have a fighting shot at it.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I mean,
25	Commissioner Yaki, can't we get this stuff in 30 days?

	I don't want to cut off the marketprace of ideas here
2	to close it, but 30 days is a long time.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I want 60. Let's vote
4	on it.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Let's vote on
6	it.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other comments?
8	All in favor of the motion as read into the record,
9	please say aye.
10	(Ayes.)
11	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: For 30 days?
12	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: That's correct.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I oppose.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions. The
16	motion passes. Please let the record reflect that
17	Commissioner Yaki voted against the motion.
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: With extreme
19	prejudice. As always.
20	PROGRAM PLANNING
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On July 11th an
22	article was published in <u>The Washington Post</u> regarding
23	the United States Naval Academy's use of
24	questionnaires in which potential sponsors were asked
25	their religious and racial preferences in connection
l	

with the placement of cadets. We thought this was a topic appropriate for briefing.

Staff Director, please describe the newspaper article and the concept paper on this topic that was sent to the Commissioners.

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Certainly, Mr. and the article was sent around. Very briefly, it was disclosed that the Naval Academy has a program under which people in the Annapolis area are able to sponsor midshipmen to provide them a place to stay during their recreational hours. The paper reported that it was primarily for midshipmen to watch television. Sometimes the sponsors would have other amenities like swimming pools where the midshipmen would have an opportunity to enjoy recreational time. questionnaire that is used the The by Academy apparently asks for sponsoring families to indicate various preferences that they might have with respect to midshipmen.

Among the preferences, they're asked whether they would want midshipmen who were interested in various sports or smokers or nonsmokers or members of one gender or the other and also whether they have a preference in the race of the midshipmen or of the religion of the midshipmen.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	The indication of the article is that the
2	Naval Academy takes this into account or tries to take
3	this into account in order to place midshipmen with
4	sponsoring families. There's not much of this that is
5	in the literature. There is a questionnaire that has
6	been on the internet which appears to have questions
7	of this sort.
8	The Naval Academy currently has maintained
9	that this is intended to help provide the minority
10	midshipmen with mentors but it's not clear whether
11	that is the purpose and one of the issues in briefing
12	is the reason for this policy, whether it is the
13	policy and how it impacts midshipmen of various races
14	and religions.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I move to have the
16	Staff conduct a briefing in Annapolis on the United
17	States Naval Academy's use of religious and racial
18	preferences in placement of cadets with local
19	sponsors, as soon as feasible, in 2007. This briefing
20	would be based on the concept paper that was
21	distributed on July 21st. Is there a second?
22	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
24	Commissioner Yaki?

COMMISSIONER YAKI:

25

I'm just concerned

	that we keep on adding briefings as we're pushing
2	other briefings back.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That is a concern that
4	I share. But I guess the challenge is what do we do
5	when we have these events unfold? Do we respond?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would say that two
7	of the briefings that I proposed that were voted on
8	and are scheduled also had were the result of
9	events that had unfolded and yet they keep on getting
10	pushed further and further back.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Which ones are those?
12	COMMISSIONER YAKI: One was the Patriot
13	Act one. The other one was the but the more
14	important one was the domestic eavesdropping.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, if I recall, we
16	could not get a hold of
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Forgetting about the
18	Patriot Act, when we did the revote, for the next set
19	of briefings, like for example, the domestic
20	eavesdropping scored 9.5. It was in the top four, top
21	five that was done that should be there among the top
22	five done in the next set. That also is timely. That
23	also is very current. This comes along and it is
24	timely and current, but it just bumps other ones back.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, I propose that

1 we have further discussion on whether this should be put into the queue, but not at this point though. 2 3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: When you say the words 4 "as soon as feasible" that's what brought to mine. 5 CHAIRMAN **REYNOLDS:** But. we haven't selected month yet. We haven't had further 6 discussions. 7 8 Commissioner Thernstrom? 9 VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Clearly, those 10 further discussions have to involve, once again, 11 setting our priorities in terms of these briefings. 12 think we can't just kind of mindlessly bump things so 13 the rest of the briefings that have 14 scheduled are in the order they were before. So Commissioner Yaki, it seems to me that 15 question is -- will be, has to be on the table of the 16 17 relative importance and the shelf life of various 18 issues. You know, there are issues that don't need to be -- we don't need to decide immediately, but I'm not 19 20 saying yours is one of them, but anyway, let us go through the priorities here once again. 21 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Taylor? COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I don't have the 23 list of the briefings we've agreed upon and 24

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

schedule, but it's my sense that this is not, in my

view, not as important as the other items we've already identified. It seems rather narrow.

I would like to get some more information from the Academies, the Coast Guard Academy included which means about similar programs, but I don't sense this is something that's worthy of the full blown briefing and bumping what we currently have on the schedule.

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: If I may, Commissioner Taylor, we've informally inquired in at least one of those academies that appears to be using similar criteria and I believe it's the Coast Guard. So apparently the Coast Guard is using a similar program. As for the Merchant Marine, I don't believe that we were able to determine that they are using that.

of sending them a request by asking them to submit in writing to us what exactly they're doing and what other policies they may have and whatever practices they employ on this issue, but again, I don't -- it's not my sense at least without having the information in front of me that this is worthy of bumping that we currently have in the agenda.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Why don't we vote on

1	the motion in front of us with the understanding that
2	we will have further discussions about whether this
3	particular project should bump anything in 2007.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I don't
5	understand voting on in it then. If we're if a
6	question is open of whether we're going to have a
7	briefing at all on this, then we should just table
8	this motion.
9	I mean what you've just agreed to is to
10	discuss the question of whether this is of sufficient
11	importance to hold a briefing on.
12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I have a substitute
13	motion.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's hear it.
	COMMISSIONED EAVIOR Dechars to see
15	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Perhaps we can
	gather the information I discussed from all the
16	
15 16 17 18	gather the information I discussed from all the
16 17	gather the information I discussed from all the relevant academies and then put this motion back on
16 17 18	gather the information I discussed from all the relevant academies and then put this motion back on the table. So that would be to table this motion
16 17 18	gather the information I discussed from all the relevant academies and then put this motion back on the table. So that would be to table this motion VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Or not.
16 17 18 19	gather the information I discussed from all the relevant academies and then put this motion back on the table. So that would be to table this motion VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Or not. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Right, as we have
16 17 18 19 20	gather the information I discussed from all the relevant academies and then put this motion back on the table. So that would be to table this motion VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Or not. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Right, as we have the additional information and then discuss whether or
116 117 118 119 120 221	gather the information I discussed from all the relevant academies and then put this motion back on the table. So that would be to table this motion VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Or not. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Right, as we have the additional information and then discuss whether or not this motion would be appropriate.

1 All in favor? (Chorus of ayes.) 2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 3 The 4 motion passes unanimously. 5 Next up, a report was produced by Staff reflecting Commissioner and panelists input on the 6 7 briefing the Commission held on November 18, 2005 on 8 campus anti-Semitism along with any concurring and dissenting statements submitted by any Commissioner by 9 10 August 11, 2006. This report was distributed in draft 2006. 11 to Commissioners on July 21st, Ιt 12 contained a summary of the discussion and public 13 comments received. A summary of the responses to 14 defame and degrade letters sent out pursuant to AI's 1 through 6 and -- well, 1-6 and 7-1. 15 16 The Commission's findings and recommendations previously approved on April 3, 2006, 17 18 and witness statements. May I have a motion to 19 approve the publication of this campus anti-Semitism 20 briefing report, together with any concurring or 21 dissenting statements received within two weeks of 22 today? 23 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So moved. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second? 24

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I'll second it

1 then.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? All in favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? Let the record reflect that the Chairman abstains and the remaining Commissioners voted in favor of it.

On January 20, 2006, the Commissioners voted unanimously to have the Staff Director develop proposals to communicate with colleges about their remedies when faced with anti-Semitic rights and harassment. Αt that meeting, the Staff Director described some proposals for the public education campaign consistent with our funding constraints. These included an electronic document available on the Commission's website providing in-depth information on rights available to students under federal discrimination laws and a poster to complement the website providing general information and directing students to the website for more detailed information.

Staff Director, will you please provide us with an update on what's happened with this campaign?

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Certainly, Mr. Chairman. As the Commissioners will see in the side of the room, we have a poster, a proposed poster which

the Government Printing Office has prepared working in conjunction with the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation and Public Affairs Unit which is a suggestion for a part of the campaign. During a prior Commission meeting we discussed a proposal to have a combination -- several pages on our website which would provide information to students, as well as a poster that would be circulated which, among other things, would direct students to the website and let them know what is available there.

In addition to that, more recently, Staff has suggested that we consider looking into the possibility of a brochure also to provide further information, particularly with respect to the availability of information on our website. For now though, what is available is this proposed poster. As you can see, the main language on it "Hate has an Ally: Silence, speak out against anti-Semitism."

Now there are a couple of changes that I would recommend making and so I think part of the motion is to approve this with some changes. One is I think we need to add a word like "campus" perhaps "campus anti-Semitism." There's also the word "crime" in the small print, asking students to speak if they're aware of crimes and I think we need to broaden

_	It so that it's not just about crime, but it is about
2	college campuses.
3	Does anyone need me to read the small
4	print at the bottom of the poster?
5	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: No, but I have
6	a general comment here. The poster would be clearer
7	if it's to students and it says silence is an ally of
8	hate. It makes the point more directly, more simply.
9	I think there are going to be students who are going
LO	to look at this and say what?
L1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, any other
L2	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: There is one other
L3	point I would make and I don't know if there needs to
L4	be discussion first of the Vice Chair's comment or if
L5	I should just continue with the update and then there
L6	could be discussion of the
L7	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: All right, I'm
L8	sorry.
L9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's take a look at
20	the I can't read the
21	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I'll go up to the
22	front and read the language at the bottom.
23	And as I do this, I'd also like to thank
24	Sock-Foon MacDougall and Manuel Alba for their good
25	work in preparing this. "Hate has an Ally: Silence.

1	Speak out against anti-Semitism. Ready to take
2	action? We can help. To report an anti-Semitic crime
3	against you or someone you know, call the U.S.
4	Commission on Civil Rights at" and it provides a phone
5	number for our complaint line, "or visit our website
6	at" and it will provide a URL address.
7	I indicated the change would be something
8	like the following: "Ready to take action? We can
9	help to report an anti-Semitic incident on your campus
10	of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights."
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So the proposed
12	changes, one would be deleting crime and replacing it
13	with something broader.
14	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: That's right.
15	Instead of crime, it would say "incident" and instead
16	of simply saying "against you or someone you know" it
17	would say "on your campus" and make some connection
18	with colleges because this is a campus anti-Semitism
19	public education campaign.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And as to Vice Chair
21	Thernstrom's comment, well first, do the other
22	Commissioners share Vice Chair Thernstrom's views?
23	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: It would be
24	simpler to say "Hate is an ally of silence" or

"Silence has an ally of hate" something a little more

1	direct. Maybe I'm being an idiot, but I looked at
2	this before I completely got it. It seems a little
3	convoluted to me.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Does anyone have
5	strong feelings about this?
6	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Maybe I was
7	being a little thick headed, I don't know.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I guess one
9	(Off the record comments.)
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I guess my one comment
11	is silence. It just fades into the background there
12	and maybe this is just a function of my poor vision
13	and maybe that was unintended. Maybe that's some type
14	of effect, but in any event, I just make that comment.
15	I'm not making any suggestions, I'm not suggesting any
16	changes.
17	Okay, does Mr. Kirsanow realize that he is
18	needed for a quorum?
19	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Is Commissioner
20	Braceras on the line right now?
21	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: And in two
22	seconds, you're not going to have a Thernstrom to
23	vote.
24	Let's get Kirsanow back.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And then we can wind

1	up.
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Hello? I'm here.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, good, we have a
4	quorum.
5	(Laughter.)
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: In about 15
7	minutes I have to go to a different phone.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: No, no, no. It
9	will be done.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Jennifer, right now we
11	have the proposed anti-Semitism poster. You can't see
12	it. I don't know if you were able
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I saw it when it
14	came over the computer.
15	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: That's the one.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We're just about to
17	vote on it. Do you have any comments or concerns?
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No, I'm all set to
19	approve it.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor?
21	(Ayes.)
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is that an aye?
23	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I'd like to see
24	it a little changed, but whatever. Okay.
25	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What are your

1	changes?
2	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Instead of
3	"Hate has an ally: silence" I'd rather have a more
4	direct statement "Silence is an ally of hate." And a
5	couple of students in the audience said basically
6	voted for my suggestion.
7	I just think it's
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: They have no choice in
9	this matter.
10	(Laughter.)
11	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I just think
12	it's
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: What's involved in
14	making a change in the poster at this point?
15	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I don't think
16	there's going to be a problem. After all, there are
17	some other changes we have to make. I think we've got
18	one set of changes that they're contractually
19	obligated to make with additional I think that we
20	could get this done, either without any additional
21	money or a very small amount.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Does anyone object to
23	Vice Chair Thernstrom's suggested revision?
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay

1	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Just to be clear,
2	the language would be "Silence is an ally of hate."
3	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, all in favor for
5	the motion, as revised, including the Vice Chair's
6	revisions, please say aye.
7	(Chorus of ayes.)
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? Yes?
9	Very good. The motion passes unanimously. Thank you,
LO	folks. We covered everything in the agenda, except
L1	the Staff Director's report.
L2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wait, hold on.
L3	How did we cover everything on the agenda? I thought
L4	I was being brought in at the beginning of the
L5	business meeting?
L6	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: You didn't come
L7	in at the beginning of the business meeting.
L8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Why?
L9	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: I don't know.
20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I've been sitting
21	here at my desk all morning, waiting to be brought in.
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We thought you
23	were in at one point.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN THERNSTROM: We thought you
25	were here for the briefing and we called on you for

1	questions.
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I was not at that
3	point.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Jennifer, I
5	apologize.
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: This is the first
7	time I'm coming in, I mean the first time I'm coming
8	in to participate in the business portion of the
9	meeting.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: You weren't on for the
11	briefing?
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I was on for part
13	of the briefing. And then I had to go to take care of
14	some things. So I hung up.
15	And I contacted Kim and asked her to plug
16	me back into the beginning of the business meeting.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I instructed Staff to
18	call you before we began the business meeting and
19	unfortunately, the individual that I spoke with is not
20	present.
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's fine.
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Commissioner
23	Braceras, I am sorry. Staff had been under the
24	impression that you were on the line, so I don't know
25	what the snafu was and whether it was with the phone

1	call
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Did you have a
3	quorum? Because I wasn't there.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, we did.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. And you
6	were able to vote on everything you wanted to vote on.
7	I just wanted the record to reflect that I did not
8	vote on anything because I didn't in case you
9	thought I did.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, let's be clear.
11	Let the record reflect that Commissioner Braceras did
12	not vote on she didn't vote on anything
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Anything other
14	than the poster. Although I would have liked to. I
15	was prepared to discuss and vote on all of these
16	things on the agenda. I've been sitting here waiting.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Sorry about
18	that, Jennifer.
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's all right.
20	Maybe somebody can call me or send me an email and let
21	me know how the vote turned out or if there's anything
22	I need to know.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, Ken will give you
24	a call.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay.

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Are any of the Commissioners interested in a Staff Director's Report? We don't need a quorum for that. We have, I believe, four Commissioners to the extent that Commissioner Braceras is on.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Fine with me.

STAFF DIRECTOR'S REPORT

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Well, let me just provide you with a little bit of information. I'll make it a little bit briefer, but there may be some things that you'd be interested to know.

First of all, let me just publicly indicate as some of you know that we do now have a Mr. David General Counsel who was just appointed, Blackwood. David Blackwood joined the Agency General Counsel on July 10. He was previously Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. He has over 20 years of experience in EEOC, HUD and other civil rights areas.

I'd also -- I'm not sure if Tyro Beatty is still here, but I'm pleased that we have a new Director of Human Resources who has just joined us July 10. He worked as Director of Human Resources for nine years for a company called NCG, Inc. He's also

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

done work for the Department of the Navy and he was recently the head of a consulting firm he founded called Bizm, B-I-Z-M, Corporation. I'd also like to recognize that Maha Jweied has joined the Office of General Counsel as an Attorney Advisor on June 21. She was previously an associate with the law firm of Arent Fox.

Also, Ι would like to express our appreciation for the Department of Housing and Urban Development which in addition to giving us our new General Counsel, is also providing us with a detail of Fatima Johnson who is here in the Office of Staff Director for the summer, working both on the strategic planning and also on this briefing and associated briefing report. At the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, she is the special assistant to the Assistant Secretary for Deputy Operations and Management.

I'd also like to recognize some of the students in the back who weighed in, who have been for the Office of interns the Staff as Director and the Office of General providing terrific research and analysis over course of the summer. Eric Daleo is not here now, but he is a law student at Rutgers who served for quite

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

some time. Ms. Bridget Fay has been here as a summer just finished her second year intern. She at Washington Lee University School of Law. Ryan Pardue is here. He is a summer intern now. He just finished his second year at Seton Hall Law School. Within Office of General Counsel, I believe we still have Adam Evans in the back. He's a law student at William and Mary School of Law. Mr. James Chiu, who provided input on the public education campaign, is a rising third year student at Georgetown University Law School and I think Ryan Kinder is also in the back here. He's with the Office of General Counsel this summer, second year law student at Wake Forest.

Second, I'd like to say just a word about the upcoming financial audit. As you know, we've just gotten a first full-scale audit we had from Williams and Adley. We've now been able this year to move forward and get ready for our second full-scale audit. This time we were much more advanced in terms of getting the procurement done quickly, thanks to the work of Pam Dunston. In February, the Commission contracted with Walker and Company, LLP, to perform a full-scale audit of its financial statements. Walker and Company is a full service financial and advisory firm. Some of its clients include the Federal Transit

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Administration, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Corporation for National and Community Service and various Washington, D.C. law firms.

I'd also like to say a word about the OPM audit. I mentioned in a prior Staff Director's Report that the Office of Personnel Management conducted an audit of the Agency. This is a routine audit which it does every few years. The reason it's doing an audit of this agency is simply that we were on the list and hadn't been here in a few years. It completed its audit. It found a number of issues for which it had either recommended or required changes. In our assessment and our understanding of theirs, the number of items was not unusual, relative to other agencies, particularly with its size.

With respect to their recommended and required action items, we have developed a plan for addressing all of them. We have recently completed a response to that audit and we are in the process of implementing it. Some of the implementation is done. Some of it is under way.

Also, with respect to this year's budget,

I have mentioned in prior meetings that while we as an

Agency are typically very cash constrained, this

fiscal year, as a result of some of the late hires, some of the people that I mentioned, for instance, came in late in the year, as well as senior staff departures, we are in a sound position. In fact, we're able as a result of lower than anticipated payroll expenses as a result of attrition, to make some additional purchases that haven't been on the budget. So for instance, we are prioritizing State Advisory Committee travel and travel by the regional staff for purposes of recruiting staff members. We are able to do that now over the course of the summer and early fall.

We're also looking at some technology purchases including computers and software, other equipment and furniture and we're able to provide some limited staff training that would otherwise not have been available.

I think I ought to say just a few words about a new AI-1-6 national project that we are planning to issue as part of our response to the GAO. Some of the key items were reduced to motions that we have -- that we have acted on over the course of the meeting today. I would want to focus in on a few issues though in the new AI.

First, Section 1B provides that we will

NEAL R. GROSS

ensure objectivity in national office work products and foundational documents, such as proposals, outlines and discovery plans and define objective as reflecting in consideration of varied and opposing views, opinions and perspectives.

Second, Section 14.03 creates criteria for the Staff Director to use when directing a program office to incorporate Commissioner comments into the draft report. This responds specifically to some comments made in the GAO audit. The criteria would include the following: they should be supported by the existing research, including any supplemental research which may be reasonably conducted within the existing time constraints, viewed as strengthening the quality and objectivity of the report consistent with the approved scope and purpose of the project as reflected in the Commissioner approved concept paper proposal outlined, discovery plan and any subsequent modifications approved by the majority of the Commissioners and consistent with the structure and thrust of the report.

Third, Section 4.04 will create factors that Commissioners are asked to consider while reviewing reports and those are the factors that the Commission voted on today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 The AI would have also created a peerreview process that portion of the AI will be stripped 2 out as a result of the vote taken today. 3 Those are my Staff Director's comments. 4 5 I'd be happy to respond to any questions. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I need to hang up 6 7 at this time, but if somebody could email me or call me later in the day to debrief me on this meeting 8 which I was not plugged into. I would appreciate 9 10 that. 11 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I'll be happy to 12 call you. 13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay, all right, 14 bye. 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay, there being no 16 questions posed, the meeting is adjourned. (Whereupon, at 12:56 p.m., the meeting was concluded.) 17 18