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## Introduction

Even further projections of Gulf and Atlantic king and Spanish mackerels were conducted at the request of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (ref: Memorandum of W. Swingle to J. Powers, dated 5 February 2002). These projections build upon those presented to the 2001 meeting of the Gulf Council's Mackerel Stock Assessment Panel (Ortiz and Legault 2001) and are based on constant future F scenarios to contrast against the 10-year constant catch projections detailed in Ortiz and Legault (2001). For reference, material presented in Ortiz and Legault (2001) is also contained in this document.

Projections of the last full assessment results, conditioned on recent catch levels, for the four mackerel migratory groups were continued under a range of possible future catches to examine the potential for overfishing and the stock becoming overfished. The last full assessment was conducted in 1998 using data through the 1996/97 fishing year for three of the four migratory groups of mackerels: Atlantic king, Atlantic Spanish, and Gulf Spanish. Gulf king mackerel were reassessed in 2000 using data through the 1998/99 fishing year. The projections make use of recent catch level information and follow the methodology used in the 1999 update for the mackerel assessments and just continue the projections further into the future. The methods and proxies for defining overfishing and overfished levels based on maximum sustainable yield (MSY) and optimum yield (OY) fishing mortality rates and spawning stocks that were agreed upon at the 1999 mackerel stock assessment panel meeting were followed. The current total allowable catch (TAC), median MSY and median OY catches were projected into the future to examine the risks of overfishing or the stock becoming overfished, should catches equivalent to these levels be realized into the future. An examination of how quickly the stock can be reduced under low levels of recruitment was also conducted. The potential for monitoring, without full assessments, the stocks currently classified as neither overfished nor undergoing overfishing is also examined. Additionally, 10 -year future constant F projections were conducted for $\mathrm{F}_{40 \% \text { SPR }}, \mathrm{F}_{35 \% \text { SPR }}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ scenarios, at the Council's request.

## Methods

The stock assessment methods are described in Legault et al. (1998 and 2000) and the projection methods described in Legault (1999), and in Legault et al. (2000). Briefly, the stock assessment is based on tuned virtual population analysis (VPA). A mixed bootstrap/Monte Carlo simulation is used to incorporate uncertainty into the estimates of stock abundance and fishing mortality rates. The residuals over all tuning indices are bootstrapped and the natural mortality rate and catch (and bycatch) levels are chosen randomly through Monte Carlo simulation. Each bootstrap/Monte Carlo simulation is projected into the future based on total catches in the two sectors, commercial and recreational, which are not separated by age, and a bycatch fishing mortality rate from the shrimp trawl fleet (Gulf only). These projections assume bootstrap specific selectivity patterns for the commercial and recreational fisheries,
which are computed, based on partial catches by each gear and the estimated fishing mortality rates at age in the last five years of the VPA. The two fishing mortality rate multipliers, one commercial and one recreational, are calculated which match the desired catch in weight or number by each gear.

Future recruitment is drawn randomly from a lognormal distribution with mean and variance computed from recruitment estimates in specific years in each particular bootstrap: Atlantic king 89-96, Gulf king 8796, Atlantic Spanish 89-96, and Gulf Spanish 85-96. These years for defining the recruitment distribution were based on having tuning index information for either the spawning stock or recruitment values (MSAP 1999, MSAP 2000). An empirical stock recruitment relationship was formulated for each stock, which had recruitment decreasing linearly to the origin when stock size was below the average of the five smallest stock sizes within the years given above. The low recruitment scenario was formed for each bootstrap by setting the mean of the lognormal distribution to the average of the five lowest recruitment estimates over all years in that bootstrap. The same variance as the average recruitment scenario was used for the lognormal distribution. This model of stock recruitment relationship was continued with the break point calculated as in the average recruitment scenarios. The low recruitment values started in the year 2000 and used the same series of random deviates as the average recruitment scenario to facilitate comparison between the two scenarios.

Maximum sustainable yield (MSY) for each bootstrap was determined based on the yield per recruit (YPR) under a given fishing mortality rate proxy for FMSY and the average recruitment described above for that bootstrap. The FMSY proxy was F30\%SPR, the fishing mortality rate that generates $30 \%$ of the virgin spawning potential in equilibrium. The spawning stock at MSY (SSMSY) was calculated in a similar manner using the spawning stock per recruit at FMSY proxy multiplied by the average recruitment for each bootstrap. The estimated fishing mortality rate and spawning stock size in each projection year were compared to the corresponding MSY proxies. The probabilities of overfishing and being overfished were calculated as the fraction of bootstraps that resulted in that year's $F$ being above the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT) and that year's spawning stock being below the minimum stock size threshold (MSST), respectively. The MFMT was calculated for each bootstrap as the Fmsy proxy (F30\%SPR) for spawning stock sizes above the MSST with a linear decline to the origin for stock sizes below the MSST. The MSST was calculated for each bootstrap as the product of (1-M) and SSmsy. Both the MFMT and MSST are calculated according to the default control rule of Restrepo et al. (1998) and were used in the last two MSAP meetings (MSAP 1999, MSAP 2000). The optimum yield (OY) control rule was calculated in similar manner replacing F30\%SPR with F40\%SPR in the calculations based on the last two MSAP meetings as well (MSAP 1999, MSAP 2000).

## Results and Discussion

## Recent and Near-Future Catches:

For this analysis, catches through fishing year 1999 were based on available records from the normal sources were applied. Tables 1-4 present the estimates of landed catch used for each of the 4 migratory groups of mackerels. Likewise, Figures 1-4 show the time-series of catch in units of million pounds, over the time period by the commercial and recreational fishing sectors. Except where noted below, FY2000 landings were set equal to the most recent TAC for each migratory group.

For the Gulf group of King mackerel, the estimated FY99 commercial landings were based on the Accumulated Landings System (ALS) database (as available on 23 February 2001) and on the current quota monitoring (QM) files. For the western zone Hook\&Line (H\&L) fishery, landings in FY99 were taken as $1,143,114$ Lbs based on both the ALS and QM data. The landings data from TX, MS and AL are from the ALS; LA landings are from QM (from Josh Bennett/Mark Godcharles based on data from Keith Roberts), as the figures sent to us from LA and those on the ALS for LA are clearly incomplete. For the FL east coast H\&L fishery, 683,133 Lbs was used. This volume is based on current figures from the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC) and is lower than the lower end of the range of projection for FY99 made last year (877,000-1,053,000, see Legault et al. 2000). The optimistic projection about the weather and general fishing success during March-April, 2000, did not play out and the catch from this fishery was well below the allocation. For the FL west coast H\&L fishery, a value of

578,694 Lbs from FFWCC was used. While a value of 466,630 Lbs from QM files was used for the FL west coast gillnet fishery. The total estimated FY99 commercial landings for Gulf group king mackerel were thus $2,871,571 \mathrm{Lbs}$, about $85 \%$ of the commercial allocation for FY99.

For the estimated FY99 recreational catch of Gulf group king mackerel, complete catch estimates were not available from either the Headboat survey or from the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD). For these data sources, catches from the most recent 12-month period available were substituted for FY99 catches. For the Headboat catch, the most recent data came from Jan-Dec, 1998 (31,213 fish); for TPWD the most recent data came from Jan-Dec 1999 ( 33,014 fish). The balance of recreational harvest estimates came from MRFSS. For FY99 (July, 1999-June, 2000), the MRFSS estimate used was 397,860 fish ( 247,255 charter ("old method" - see below) $+30,568$ shore $+139,948$ priv/rental). The resulting total estimated FY99 recreational landings for Gulf group kings was thus about 4.5 million Lbs (see Table 1).

For Atlantic Group king mackerel and the Gulf and Atlantic Group Spanish mackerels, commercial landings for FY99 were as reported from cooperating states to the ALS. For the recreational sector, the old method (see below) estimates from MRFSS were applied. Headboat catches for calendar year 1998 were also used for FY99 and TXPWD estimates from calendar year 1999 were used in substitution for FY99 (as above).

## Projected FY00 Landings

For the purposes of projection of Atlantic king mackerel and both Spanish mackerel migratory groups, FY00 landings were assumed to be equal to the established FY00 TAC for each migratory group. In the case of Gulf group king mackerel, additional information on current year (FYOO) commercial landings is available through quota monitoring efforts. For Gulf group king mackerel, the Western zone H\&L fishery was closed on August 26, 2000, after quota monitoring data indicated that landings would likely exceed the allowable allocation if the fishery continued beyond that date. The total landings in FYOO from the Western zone H\&L fishery from the QM data files are 1,049,472 Lbs. For the FL east coast H\&L fishery in FYOO, current (incomplete) landings come from the QM data through January 2001. Through January, the fishery had landed 403,761 Lbs. The total volume of landings for the fishing year is not yet known since the fishing season has not ended. Based on FY99 performance and feedback from field agents, it is projected that landings for this fishery could fall within the range of $603,000-903,000$ Lbs. The future landings could be low if weather is bad in the balance of the fishing season or if the fish move off. As the recent weather has been favorable, the projection for February is on the order of 200,000 Lbs; the range of projection for March is between 0 and 200,000 Lbs. Overall, in FYO0 (as in FY99), the odds for the FL east coast H\&L fishery achieving the total allocation appears low. For the FL west, northern H\&L fishery, the fishery was closed in November 2000, with landings of 182,956 Lbs from the QM files. For the FL west, southern H\&L fishery, the QM files indicate that the fishery will likely land its allocation of 541,125 Lbs. For the FL west, southern gillnet fishery, the QM data indicated landings of 561,559 Lbs occurred. Based on these data and projections, the total projected commercial landed catch for Gulf group king mackerel in FY00 ranges from 2,938,112-3,238,112 Lbs. For the purposes of the projections described, a value of $3,088,112$ Lbs was used for the commercial component in FY 00 . For the recreational component of the all of the mackerel migratory group fisheries, the FYOO expected landed catch was set equal to the recreational TAC.

## MRFSS New Methodology.

After several years of testing, MRFSS instituted a new method for estimating charterboat catches. This new component of the recreational survey includes compiling and maintaining a directory of recreational fishing charter boats for all coastal states, conducting weekly telephone surveys of a random sample of the charter boat operators in each state, and conducting weekly dock-side sampling to validate the selfreported telephone data. In year 2000, this sampling was implemented in Gulf States, but not in Atlantic coastal states. A comparison of the "New Method" and "Old Method" MRFSS Charterboat estimates for calendar year 2000 are provided below by species and migratory group. In view of, as of yet, a lack of a suitable period of overlap in estimates by new and old methods, to provide a basis for correcting historic estimates, the "Old Method" estimates of charterboat catch are used in the current analyses.

## Charterboat mode (numbers $A+B 1$ ) for King Mackerel:

With new method MRFSS estimates for charterboats in 2000 (note: an error in SC, wave 5, on the web site has been corrected in Table 5 (see shaded cells); the correct number is the same as in the "Old method" table entry), the stratum-wise estimated catch from the Gulf migratory group of king mackerel is generally lower than estimates made with the old method. The predominate strata contributing to the difference are those for the Florida west coast (FLW, Table 5). This result is not surprising since FLW has the largest catches of this species and since the new method was not implemented for Atlantic coastal states in year 2000. The estimated charterboat catch of Gulf migratory group king mackerel in January through June (fishing year 99) was 78,201 fish (A+B1), compared to an estimate for the same time period of 88,482 fish based on the old methodology. While for the calendar year, the new method estimate of Gulf migratory group king mackerel is 149,748 fish while the old method estimate is 225,730 fish. Because the new method was not implemented for Atlantic coastal states in year 2000, no further comparison can be drawn.

Charterboat mode (numbers A+B1) for Spanish Mackerel:
With new method MRFSS estimates for charterboats in 2000, the estimated catch from the Gulf migratory group of Spanish mackerel is generally higher than estimates made with the old method. The predominate strata contributing to the difference are those for the Florida west coast (FLW, Table 6). The new method estimated charterboat catch of Gulf migratory group Spanish mackerel in January through June (fishing year 99) was 96,289 , nearly identical to the old method estimate of 96,322 fish (A+B1). While for the calendar year, the new method estimate of Gulf migratory group Spanish mackerel is 197,857 fish while the old method estimate is 160,636 fish. Because the new method was not implemented for Atlantic coastal states in year 2000, no further comparison can be drawn.

## Shrimp Bycatch estimates

For the Gulf groups of Spanish and king mackerel, updated estimates of shrimp bycatch were not available. For Gulf king projections assume the bycatch mortality reduction used in the 2000 assessment (MSAP 2000) of $50 \%$ from 1999 on. For Spanish mackerel, latest bycatch estimates (GLM method) were for 1999 (Table 7, GLM 2000). A comparison of bycatch estimates used in the 1998 assessment and those of 1999 are very similar. Bycatch reduction in 1997, 1998 and 1999 were compare to the average of 1994-96 years. By 1999 a $35 \%$ reduction was observed, which was used for the years 2000 and beyond.

## Short-term Projections:

Short-term projections were carried out, conditioned on recent estimated catch levels, to project expected catch levels in FY 2001 under $\mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}\right)$ and $\mathrm{F}_{40 \% \text { SPR }}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\text {OY }}\right)$ fishing rate levels. Figures $5-8$ show the projected stock and fishing rate status relative to MSY reference points ( $\mathrm{SS} / \mathrm{SS}_{\text {MSV }}, \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ ) for taking into account observed and projected catch through FY00, for each of the mackerel migratory groups. Also indicated in these Figures are the projected catch levels in FY01 under $\mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{40 \% \text { SPR }}$ fishing rates. Figures $9-12$ show time-trajectories of estimated and projected fishing mortality rates, stock biomass, and measures of SPR for each mackerel migratory group through FY00, conditioned on recent estimated and projected catch levels.

## Long-term Projections:

According to the control rules discussed at the most recent MSAP meetings (MSAP 1999, MSAP 2000), the Atlantic king and Spanish and the Gulf Spanish mackerel migratory groups would all be classified as not overfished and not undergoing overfishing (see, for example Figure 7 in MSAP 1999).

The recent total allowable catch (TAC) along with the median and $80 \%$ confidence intervals for the control rule parameters for each migratory group are given in Table 8. The recent TAC was set greater than both MSY and OY for the Spanish mackerels. As both migratory groups have estimated spawning stock sizes well above $\mathrm{SS}_{\text {Msr }}$, this means that fishing mortality rates corresponding to MSY or OY can produce larger catches than the MSY and OY values, but will cause the spawning stock size to decline towards $\mathrm{SS}_{\text {MSY }}$ and $\mathrm{SS}_{\text {oy. }}$. Thus, these catches are not sustainable indefinitely and will have to be reduced later if future recruitment follows the expectation used in the calculations of MSY and OY. It is notable that catches at the level of recent TAC have not been realized by the Gulf Spanish mackerel fisheries since FY86 and the has not been realized by the Atlantic Spanish mackerel fisheries since FY84 or earlier.

Projection of the three catches (TAC, MSY and OY) well into the future for the 400 bootstraps of each mackerel migratory group resulted in probabilities of exceeding targets and thresholds as summarized in Table 9 and Figure 13. In all cases, higher catches produced higher probabilities of exceeding the targets and thresholds (larger F and smaller spawning stock) as expected. Atlantic king and Spanish and Gulf Spanish mackerel all increase or remain level in the probabilities further into the future as the spawning stock size decreases under all three levels of catch. The probabilities associated with overfishing, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F}>F \mathrm{MSY})$, and being overfished, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{SS}<\mathrm{MSST})$, can be compared directly to the probability of achieving the target levels associated with optimum yield. The best case scenario would have a low probability of exceeding the overfishing and overfished thresholds and no more than a $50 \%$ probability of exceeding the target values. All three of the projected catches for Atlantic king mackerel meet these criteria, as do the OY projections for the two Spanish mackerel groups. However, the other projections for the Spanish mackerel groups results in higher, and increasing, probabilities of overfishing and being overfished in the future with lower probability of achieving the target.

The projection results can also be visualized as phase plots (see Figure 7 of MSAP 1999 and Figure 11 of MSAP 2000) each year. The spread of the points in the year 2010 forms the usual "banana" associated with constant catch projections. This shape is caused by positive feedback. A catch that is too high for a particular bootstrap causes a larger than desired $F$ which decreases the stock size. The next year the same catch then creates a larger F and decreases the stock size further. Similarly, a catch that is too small for a particular bootstrap causes a lower than desired F which increases the stock size. The next year the same catch then creates a smaller $F$ and increases the stock size further. The points in the phase plot thus spread towards the upper left and lower right. The movement towards the upper left, low spawning stock size and high F , is exacerbated by the stock recruitment relationship in this case. Once the spawning stock decreases below the break point in the two line model recruitment drops linearly with stock size. Thus, the bootstraps that get into a low stock size have a low chance of coming back out due to recruitment variability and a high probability of crashing (no longer able to produce the level of catch). This creates a problem for displaying the results because many of the points end up along the $y$-axis. To allow comparison of the spread of results in 2010, polygons were drawn around the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}$, and $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the bootstraps closest to the median results (Figure 14). As expected, lower catches move the polygons to the right and down on the phase plots. In all cases there are bootstraps above and below both the overfishing and overfished thresholds and thus the status of the stock could not be classified.

All of the above results depend upon future recruitment following a lognormal distribution with mean and variance from the estimated recruitments for each bootstrap that had tuning index information. In general, these recruitment values contain the highest estimates while excluding some of the lower estimates. This means that recruitment in the future would on average be better than has been estimated over the time frame available. In order to examine the sensitivity of the projections to this choice for future recruitment, a second set of projections was made using a lognormal distribution with mean equal to the average of the five lowest recruitment estimates for each bootstrap considering all years in the VPA. Note that this is not necessarily a recruitment failure scenario, but rather a level of recruitment that corresponds to values that have been estimated within the past two decades. As expected, the low recruitment scenario causes the probabilities of exceeding the targets and thresholds to be greater than the average recruitment scenario (Table 10 and Figure 13). Lower future recruitment would cause even higher probabilities while higher future recruitment would cause lower probabilities.

One way to detect low recruitment when full assessments are not conducted is through the currently used tuning indices for the migratory groups. While most of the tuning indices are for adult fish and cover many age groups, thus limiting their utility as a means to detect recruitment changes, each of the four mackerel migratory groups does have one tuning index for juvenile fish (Table 11 and Figure 15). These indices are based on scientific groundfish surveys and shrimp trawl bycatch measures and thus are independent of the directed fishery. These indices are true recruitment indices for the king mackerel groups, but contain multiple ages for the Spanish mackerel groups and thus will be a moving average of recruitment. The Gulf indices are complicated by the implementation of bycatch reduction devices (BRD). For now the GLMs are run without the BRD data and the expected number of fish that would have been caught had BRDs not been used is calculated. This hypothetical catch is then divided by the total effort to allow the values
to be compared with previous index values. Note that this procedure only address the difference in CPUE caused by BRD implementation and does not address any changes in fishing effort distribution. The 1999 Gulf bycatch estimates are more variable than previous years due to a lack of observer data (S. Nichols, pers. comm.).

Updates of indices of abundance used in the last assessments for all four migratory groups were also examined when available. The FDEP Florida trip ticket program provided updated indices for both king and Spanish mackerel migratory groups (Tables 12 and 13, courtesy of Dr. R. Muller of the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission). For Atlantic and Gulf king, no differences in trends were observed between the 1998 and 2000 standardized-scaled CPUE series. Although the absolute value for the South Atlantic king mackerel was much lower compared to 1998 values (Figure 16). For Gulf Spanish mackerel the trend was also similar between 1998 and 2000 standardized CPUE series (Figure 17). For the Atlantic Spanish, the trends varied considerably depending on whether or not the analysis was restricted to trips with a minimum of 500 lbs per trip. In the 1998 assessment (and in prior assessments) this restriction was not used for Atlantic Spanish mackerel.

## Constant F Projections.

Estimates of $\mathrm{F} 30 \% \mathrm{SPR}$ and $\mathrm{F} 40 \%$ SPR values used in constant future F projections requested by the Council for each migratory group are provided in Table 14 and Figure 18. Ten-year forward projection results for the various future F's projected are provided in Tables 15-18. Figures 19-22 provide graphics of the time-series future yields for the migratory groups projected. Figure 23 provides the projected median time-trend of SS/Ssmsy for the various F levels and migratory groups while Figure 24 provides the projected median (and historical estimates) estimate of transitional, weighted SPR values for each migratory group and projected F level.
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Table 1. Gulf group king mackerel harvest levels by fishing sector.

|  | Commercial |  | Recreational |  | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fishing Year | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs |
| $81 / 82$ | 654.3 | 5.6 | 298.5 | 2.9 | 952.8 | 8.5 |
| $82 / 83$ | 448.8 | 4.6 | 822.6 | 7.7 | 1271.4 | 12.3 |
| $83 / 84$ | 389.0 | 3.0 | 341.8 | 2.4 | 730.8 | 5.4 |
| $84 / 85$ | 326.2 | 3.2 | 397.8 | 3.1 | 724.1 | 6.3 |
| $85 / 86$ | 377.4 | 3.6 | 183.6 | 1.8 | 561.1 | 5.4 |
| $86 / 87$ | 172.4 | 1.5 | 442.2 | 3.3 | 614.6 | 4.7 |
| $87 / 88$ | 118.7 | 0.9 | 302.8 | 2.1 | 421.5 | 3.0 |
| $88 / 89$ | 121.8 | 1.4 | 525.5 | 5.3 | 647.3 | 6.7 |
| $89 / 90$ | 183.7 | 2.0 | 514.0 | 3.4 | 697.8 | 5.3 |
| $90 / 91$ | 217.0 | 1.8 | 501.8 | 4.0 | 718.8 | 5.8 |
| $91 / 92$ | 223.0 | 2.1 | 738.0 | 4.8 | 961.0 | 6.9 |
| $92 / 93$ | 410.3 | 3.6 | 631.9 | 6.3 | 1042.2 | 9.9 |
| $93 / 94$ | 266.9 | 2.6 | 685.2 | 6.1 | 952.1 | 8.7 |
| $94 / 95$ | 330.2 | 2.9 | 792.0 | 7.9 | 1122.2 | 10.8 |
| $95 / 96$ | 290.4 | 2.6 | 634.4 | 6.3 | 924.8 | 8.9 |
| $96 / 97$ | 369.4 | 2.9 | 662.8 | 6.9 | 1032.2 | 9.8 |
| $97 / 98$ | 401.3 | 3.5 | 713.8 | 6.7 | 1115.1 | 10.2 |
| $98 / 99$ | 393.4 | 3.9 | 568.6 | 5.4 | 744.4 | 9.3 |
| $99 / 00$ | 296.9 | 2.9 | 462.1 | 4.5 | 759.0 | 7.4 |
| $2000 / 01$ |  | 3.1 |  | 7.2 |  | 10.3 |

Notes: Estimated numbers of commercially landed fish and estimated weight of recreationally landed fish in 99/00 fishing year are based on calendar year 1998 average weights of king mackerel landed by each sector ( $9.7 \mathrm{lbs} /$ fish, and $9.7 \mathrm{lbs} /$ fish in the 1998 commercial and recreational sectors, respectively). Estimates for year 2000/01 are based on the Quota Monitoring system for the commercial sector, and the TAC allocation for the recreational sector, respectively.
Table 2. Atlantic group king mackerel harvest levels by fishing sector.

|  | Commercial |  | Recreational |  | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fishing Year | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs |
| $81 / 82$ | 275.5 | 2.4 | 496.6 | 4.4 | 772.2 | 6.8 |
| $82 / 83$ | 381.8 | 3.9 | 529.6 | 5.2 | 911.4 | 9.2 |
| $83 / 84$ | 234.9 | 2.4 | 671.1 | 6.3 | 905.9 | 8.7 |
| $84 / 85$ | 181.6 | 1.9 | 612.6 | 6.1 | 794.2 | 8.1 |
| $85 / 86$ | 232.9 | 2.5 | 818.3 | 7.1 | 1051.2 | 9.6 |
| $86 / 87$ | 277.2 | 2.8 | 700.0 | 6.0 | 977.2 | 8.8 |
| $87 / 88$ | 348.1 | 3.5 | 543.6 | 3.9 | 891.8 | 7.4 |
| $88 / 89$ | 340.1 | 3.1 | 556.4 | 4.9 | 896.5 | 8.0 |
| $89 / 90$ | 283.4 | 2.6 | 380.2 | 3.4 | 663.6 | 6.0 |
| $90 / 91$ | 310.0 | 2.7 | 439.5 | 3.7 | 749.5 | 6.4 |
| $91 / 92$ | 295.5 | 2.5 | 638.5 | 5.8 | 934.0 | 8.3 |
| $92 / 93$ | 269.8 | 2.2 | 672.7 | 6.3 | 942.5 | 8.5 |
| $93 / 94$ | 225.1 | 2.0 | 375.0 | 4.4 | 600.1 | 6.5 |
| $94 / 95$ | 225.9 | 2.2 | 381.7 | 3.7 | 607.6 | 5.9 |
| $95 / 96$ | 180.1 | 1.9 | 463.5 | 4.2 | 643.6 | 6.0 |
| $96 / 97$ | 314.8 | 2.7 | 382.3 | 4.0 | 697.1 | 6.7 |
| $97 / 98$ | 287.2 | 2.7 | 521.3 | 5.2 | 808.5 | 7.8 |
| $98 / 99$ | 285.0 | 2.5 | 438.4 | 4.3 | 723.5 | 6.9 |
| $99 / 00$ | 250.1 | 2.2 | 355.2 | 3.5 | 605.3 | 5.8 |
| $2000 / 01$ |  | 3.7 |  | 6.3 |  | 10.0 |

Notes: Estimated numbers of commercially landed fish and estimated weight of recreationally landed fish in 99/00 fishing year are based on calendar year 1998 average weights of king mackerel landed by each sector ( $8.9 \mathrm{lbs} /$ /ish, and $10.0 \mathrm{lbs} /$ fish in the 1998 commercial and recreational sectors, respectively). Estimates for year 2000/01 are based on the TAC allocation for the commercial and recreational sector, respectively.

Table 3. Gulf group Spanish mackerel harvest levels by fishing sector.

|  | Commercial |  | Recreational |  | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fishing Year | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs |
| $84 / 85$ | 1857.0 | 3.44 | 865.3 | 1.18 | 2722.2 | 4.62 |
| $85 / 86$ | 1706.0 | 3.30 | 1060.2 | 1.36 | 2766.2 | 4.65 |
| $86 / 87$ | 1250.0 | 2.05 | 6334.4 | 7.52 | 7584.4 | 9.57 |
| $87 / 88$ | 1488.2 | 2.58 | 1882.1 | 3.12 | 3370.3 | 5.71 |
| $88 / 89$ | 2466.4 | 3.90 | 1340.0 | 2.18 | 3806.4 | 6.08 |
| $89 / 90$ | 1100.9 | 2.15 | 1249.8 | 1.86 | 2350.7 | 4.00 |
| $90 / 91$ | 1123.9 | 2.07 | 1596.0 | 2.14 | 2719.9 | 4.21 |
| $91 / 92$ | 2075.0 | 4.16 | 2014.0 | 2.89 | 4089.0 | 7.05 |
| $92 / 93$ | 1804.2 | 3.11 | 2008.1 | 3.13 | 3812.2 | 6.24 |
| $93 / 94$ | 1431.8 | 2.61 | 1794.9 | 2.70 | 3226.6 | 5.31 |
| $94 / 95$ | 1528.7 | 2.55 | 1137.6 | 1.56 | 2666.3 | 4.12 |
| $95 / 96$ | 730.5 | 1.08 | 1092.4 | 1.57 | 1822.9 | 2.65 |
| $96 / 97$ | 316.4 | 0.62 | 1264.7 | 2.04 | 1581.0 | 2.66 |
| $97 / 98$ | 199.9 | 0.36 | 1200.2 | 2.45 | 1400.1 | 2.81 |
| $98 / 99$ | 254.1 | 1.07 | 1320.0 | 2.08 | 1574.1 | 3.15 |
| $99 / 00$ | 611.0 | 1.05 | 1862.6 | 3.35 | 2473.7 | 4.41 |
| $2000 / 01$ |  | 5.2 |  | 3.9 |  | 9.1 |

Notes: Estimated numbers of commercially landed fish and estimated weight of recreationally landed fish in 99/00 fishing year are based on calendar year 1998 average weights of Spanish mackerel landed by each sector ( $1.7 \mathrm{lbs} /$ fish, and $1.8 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{fish}$ in the 1998 commercial and recreational sectors, respectively). Estimates for year 2000/01 are based on the TAC allocation for the commercial and recreational sector, respectively.

Table 4. Atlantic group Spanish mackerel harvest levels by fishing sector.

|  | Commercial |  | Recreational |  | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fishing Year | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs | Fish (1000s) | Million Lbs |
| $84 / 85$ | 2184.0 | 3.29 | 942.0 | 1.31 | 3126.0 | 4.60 |
| $85 / 86$ | 2346.2 | 4.19 | 495.9 | 0.75 | 2842.1 | 4.94 |
| $86 / 87$ | 1906.7 | 2.57 | 797.7 | 1.20 | 2704.4 | 3.76 |
| $87 / 88$ | 2445.7 | 3.56 | 1052.7 | 1.47 | 3498.4 | 5.03 |
| $88 / 89$ | 2647.4 | 3.52 | 1726.0 | 2.74 | 4373.4 | 6.26 |
| $89 / 90$ | 2234.5 | 3.96 | 1103.0 | 1.57 | 3337.5 | 5.53 |
| $90 / 91$ | 2066.8 | 3.56 | 1323.5 | 2.07 | 3390.3 | 5.63 |
| $91 / 92$ | 2913.4 | 4.74 | 1463.7 | 2.29 | 4377.1 | 7.02 |
| $92 / 93$ | 2274.4 | 3.72 | 1210.0 | 2.00 | 3484.4 | 5.71 |
| $93 / 94$ | 2524.9 | 4.81 | 920.0 | 1.49 | 3444.8 | 6.31 |
| $94 / 95$ | 3169.1 | 5.23 | 1084.5 | 1.38 | 4253.6 | 6.61 |
| $95 / 96$ | 1475.6 | 2.01 | 784.6 | 1.09 | 2260.3 | 3.10 |
| $96 / 97$ | 2224.7 | 3.10 | 658.9 | 0.85 | 2883.6 | 3.95 |
| $97 / 98$ | 1960.7 | 3.06 | 1072.3 | 1.66 | 3033.0 | 4.72 |
| $98 / 99$ | 1801.6 | 3.27 | 689.2 | 0.82 | 2490.8 | 4.09 |
| $99 / 00$ | 1567.6 | 2.34 | 937.4 | 1.50 | 2505.1 | 3.84 |
| $2000 / 01$ |  | 3.52 |  | 3.52 |  | 7.04 |

Notes: Estimated numbers of commercially landed fish and estimated weight of recreationally landed fish in 99/00 fishing year are based on calendar year 1998 average weights of Spanish mackerel landed by each sector ( $1.7 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{fish}$, and $1.8 \mathrm{lbs} /$ fish in the 1998 commercial and recreational sectors, respectively). Estimates for year $2000 / 01$ are based on the TAC allocation for the commercial and recreational sector, respectively.

Table 5. Comparison of stratum-specific (wave and state) estimates of charterboat harvest (A+B1) in numbers of king mackerel for calendar year 2000.

| New Method | LA | AL/MS | FLW | FLE | SC | NC | ALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wave 1 | 14 |  | 7407 | 7045 |  |  | 14466 |
| 2 |  | 96 | 14345 | 13771 | 36 | 5736 | 33984 |
| 3 | 92 | 6477 | 35839 | 18742 | 806 | 2137 | 64093 |
| 4 | 308 | 6689 | 28187 | 24608 | 3016 | 3923 | 66731 |
| 5 |  | 1979 | 14443 | 18970 | 10881 | 10402 | 56675 |
| 6 |  | 82 | 8665 | 11194 | 3055 | 8605 | 31601 |
| ALL | 414 | 15323 | 108886 | 94330 | 17794 | 30803 | 267550 |
| Old Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wave 1 | 191 |  | 21737 | 7045 |  |  | 28973 |
| 2 |  | 300 | 14388 | 13772 | 36 | 5736 | 34232 |
| 3 | 59 | 4907 | 32729 | 18742 | 806 | 2137 | 59380 |
| 4 | 233 | 6230 | 59452 | 24608 | 3016 | 3923 | 97462 |
| 5 |  | 3094 | 37048 | 18970 | 10881 | 10402 | 80395 |
| 6 |  | 334 | 19903 | 11194 | 3055 | 8605 | 43091 |
| ALL | 483 | 14865 | 185257 | 94331 | 17794 | 30803 | 343533 |
| Difference (New minus O1d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wave 1 | -177 |  | -14330 |  |  |  | -14507 |
| 2 |  | -204 | -43 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -248 |
| 3 | 33 | 1570 | 3110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4713 |
| 4 | 75 | 459 | -31265 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -30731 |
| 5 |  | -1115 | -22605 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -23720 |
| 6 |  | -252 | -11238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11490 |
| ALL | -69 | 458 | -76371 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -75983 |

Notes: Shaded cells represent corrected values. Values reported on MRFSS website for these cells are incorrect.
Table 6. Comparison of stratum-specific (wave and state) estimates of charterboat harvest (A+B1) in numbers of Spanish mackerel for calendar year 2000.

| New Method | LA | AL/MS | FLW | ALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wave 1 |  |  | 2601 | 2601 |
| 2 | 365 | 9144 | 45388 | 54897 |
| 3 | 715 | 7989 | 30087 | 38791 |
| 4 | 594 | 19240 | 30447 | 50281 |
| 5 |  | 5828 | 34351 | 40179 |
| 6 |  | 311 | 10797 | 11108 |
| ALL | 1674 | 42512 | 153671 | 197857 |
| Old Method |  |  |  |  |
| Wave 1 |  |  | 5202 | 5202 |
| 2 | 52 | 19311 | 59098 | 78461 |
| 3 | 324 | 6580 | 5755 | 12659 |
| 4 | 238 | 16658 | 12495 | 29391 |
| 5 |  | 5814 | 19830 | 25644 |
| 6 |  | 689 | 8590 | 9279 |
| ALL | 614 | 49052 | 110970 | 160636 |
| Difference (New minus old) |  |  |  |  |
| Wave 1 |  |  | -2601 | -2601 |
| 2 | 313 | -10167 | -13710 | -23564 |
| 3 | 391 | 1409 | 24332 | 26132 |
| 4 | 356 | 2582 | 17952 | 20890 |
| 5 |  | 14 | 14521 | 14535 |
| 6 |  | -378 | 2207 | 1829 |
| ALL | 1060 | -6540 | 42701 | 37221 |

Table 7. Bycatch estimates in numbers of fish for Gulf Spanish and king mackerel from the GLM models. For Spanish mackerel percent of reduction for 1997-1999 years is relative to the average of 1994-1996 (shaded cells). Projections of Gulf Spanish mackerel used these values as percentages of bycatch reduction, for years 2000 and on, a $35 \%$ bycatch mortality reduction was assumed. Projections of Gulf king mackerel used the last assessment bycatch mortality reduction of $50 \%$ from 1999 on.

|  | Spanish Mackerel |  | King Mackerel |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | GLM 1998 | GLM 2000 | \% Reduction | GLM 2000 | \% Reduction

Table 8. Total allowable catch (TAC) in millions of pounds and the median and $80 \%$ confidence intervals for control rule parameter values for the Atlantic and Gulf migratory groups of king and Spanish mackerel based on the 1998 (Atlantic kings and Gulf \& Atlantic Spanish) and 2000 (Gulf kings) assessment bootstrap/Monte Carlo VPA results. MSY=maximum sustainable yield in millions of pounds, OY=optimum yield in millions of pounds, MFMT=maximum fishing mortality threshold per year, SSMSY=spawning stock at MSY in trillions of eggs ( $10^{12}$ ) for king mackerel and millions of pounds mature female biomass for Spanish mackerel, MSST=minimum stock size threshold in the same units as SSmsy. Gulf king and Spanish mackerel assume $50 \%$ and $25 \%$ reduction in shrimp trawl bycatch rates, respectively.

|  | Atlantic King |  |  | Gulf King |  |  | Atlantic Spanish |  |  | Gulf Spanish |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | 80\% CI |  | Median | 80\% CI |  | Median | 80\% CI |  | Median | 80\% CI |  |
| TAC | 10.0 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  | 7.04 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  |
| MSY | 10.4 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 13.4 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 9.7 |
| OY | 9.9 | 8.8 | 13.9 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 12.2 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 8.8 |
| MFMT | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.41 | 0.69 |
| SSmsy | 5.2 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 6.42 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 13.7 | 12.2 | 15.8 | 19.1 | 17.5 | 20.7 |
| MSST | 4.4 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 9.6 | 8.5 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 12.3 | 14.5 |

Table 9. Probabilities of the fishing mortality rate and spawning stock exceeding targets and threshold for projection of TAC and median values of MSY and OY under average recruitment conditions. See Table 7 for acronym definitions.

## Atlantic King Mackerel

|  | Current TAC 10.0 Mlbs |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSY } \\ \text { 10.4 Mlbs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | OY 9.9 MIbs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability F greater than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | Foy | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  | For | FMSY |  |  |
| 2001 | 39\% | 0\% |  | 47\% | 1\% |  | 36\% | 0\% |  |  |
| 2002 | 38\% | 1\% |  | 49\% | 2\% |  | 36\% | 0\% |  |  |
| 2003 | 39\% | 2\% |  | 49\% | 3\% |  | 36\% | 2\% |  |  |
| 2004 | 37\% | 3\% |  | 47\% | 4\% |  | 34\% | 2\% |  |  |
| 2005 | 41\% | 5\% |  | 47\% | 8\% |  | 38\% | 4\% |  |  |
| 2006 | 41\% | 6\% |  | 47\% | 11\% |  | 39\% | 5\% |  |  |
| 2007 | 40\% | 7\% |  | 48\% | 13\% |  | 38\% | 7\% |  |  |
| 2008 | 43\% | 9\% |  | 52\% | 16\% |  | 41\% | 7\% |  |  |
| 2009 | 45\% | 11\% |  | 54\% | 19\% |  | 42\% | 9\% |  |  |
| 2010 | 44\% | 11\% |  | 54\% | 20\% |  | 43\% | 11\% |  |  |
| Probability Spawning stock less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSmsy | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST |  |
| 2001 | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 15\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| 2002 | 19\% | 0\% | 0\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 19\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| 2003 | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 29\% | 0\% | 0\% | 24\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| 2004 | 29\% | 1\% | 0\% | 35\% | 2\% | 0\% | 27\% | 1\% |  | 0\% |
| 2005 | 32\% | 3\% | 0\% | 38\% | 4\% | 0\% | 31\% | 2\% |  | 0\% |
| 2006 | 34\% | 4\% | 1\% | 40\% | 6\% | 2\% | 33\% | 4\% |  | 1\% |
| 2007 | 36\% | 6\% | 2\% | 43\% | 10\% | 3\% | 34\% | 5\% |  | 1\% |
| 2008 | 39\% | 8\% | 2\% | 46\% | 13\% | 5\% | 37\% | 8\% |  | 2\% |
| 2009 | 40\% | 10\% | 3\% | 49\% | 15\% | 6\% | 36\% | 10\% |  | 3\% |
| 2010 | 41\% | 11\% | 4\% | 50\% | 17\% | 8\% | 38\% | 9\% |  | 3\% |

## Gulf King Mackerel

|  | Current TAC 10.6 Mlbs |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSY } \\ \text { 11.9 Mlbs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | OY <br> 10.6 Mlbs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability F greater than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | Foy | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  |
| 2001 | 93\% | 48\% |  | 98\% | 69\% |  | 93\% | 48\% |  |
| 2002 | 92\% | 48\% |  | 97\% | 72\% |  | 92\% | 48\% |  |
| 2003 | 86\% | 41\% |  | 95\% | 62\% |  | 86\% | 41\% |  |
| 2004 | 85\% | 39\% |  | 93\% | 62\% |  | 85\% | 39\% |  |
| 2005 | 85\% | 39\% |  | 94\% | 65\% |  | 85\% | 39\% |  |
| 2006 | 81\% | 36\% |  | 93\% | 62\% |  | 81\% | 36\% |  |
| 2007 | 79\% | 35\% |  | 92\% | 63\% |  | 79\% | 35\% |  |
| 2008 | 76\% | 31\% |  | 91\% | 61\% |  | 76\% | 31\% |  |
| 2009 | 75\% | 29\% |  | 91\% | 60\% |  | 75\% | 29\% |  |
| 2010 | 71\% | 28\% |  | 89\% | 60\% |  | 71\% | 28\% |  |
| Probability Spawning stock less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST |
| 2001 | 100\% | 73\% | 31\% | 100\% | 73\% | 31\% | 100\% | 73\% | 31\% |
| 2002 | 99\% | 69\% | 32\% | 100\% | 73\% | 36\% | 99\% | 69\% | 32\% |
| 2003 | 97\% | 63\% | 31\% | 98\% | 72\% | 38\% | 97\% | 63\% | 31\% |
| 2004 | 94\% | 58\% | 29\% | 97\% | 68\% | 38\% | 94\% | 58\% | 29\% |
| 2005 | 90\% | 54\% | 26\% | 95\% | 67\% | 39\% | 90\% | 54\% | 26\% |
| 2006 | 88\% | 47\% | 24\% | 93\% | 66\% | 39\% | 88\% | 47\% | 24\% |
| 2007 | 85\% | 45\% | 23\% | 91\% | 65\% | 39\% | 85\% | 45\% | 23\% |
| 2008 | 83\% | 41\% | 22\% | 90\% | 64\% | 39\% | 83\% | 41\% | 22\% |
| 2009 | 80\% | 37\% | 21\% | 90\% | 64\% | 36\% | 80\% | 37\% | 21\% |
| 2010 | 76\% | 35\% | 19\% | 89\% | 63\% | 36\% | 76\% | 35\% | 19\% |

Table 9. (continued ...)

Atlantic Spanish Mackerel

|  | Current TAC 7.04 Mlbs |  |  | MSY 6.4 MIbs |  |  | OY <br> 6.1 Mlbs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability F greater than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | For | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  |
| 2001 | 46\% | 15\% |  | 32\% | 6\% |  | 25\% | 5\% |  |
| 2002 | 51\% | 22\% |  | 35\% | 11\% |  | 29\% | 8\% |  |
| 2003 | 58\% | 27\% |  | 38\% | 14\% |  | 30\% | 10\% |  |
| 2004 | 61\% | 31\% |  | 43\% | 18\% |  | 32\% | 12\% |  |
| 2005 | 63\% | 36\% |  | 45\% | 19\% |  | 33\% | 15\% |  |
| 2006 | 66\% | 41\% |  | 45\% | 22\% |  | 37\% | 16\% |  |
| 2007 | 68\% | 44\% |  | 47\% | 26\% |  | 39\% | 18\% |  |
| 2008 | 70\% | 46\% |  | 48\% | 27\% |  | 40\% | 21\% |  |
| 2009 | 72\% | 49\% |  | 50\% | 29\% |  | 41\% | 22\% |  |
| 2010 | 75\% | 53\% |  | 54\% | 31\% |  | 42\% | 23\% |  |
| Probability Spawning stock less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSmsy | MSST |
| 2001 | 20\% | 3\% | 0\% | 15\% | 2\% | 0\% | 14\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2002 | 29\% | 7\% | 1\% | 23\% | 4\% | 1\% | 20\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| 2003 | 36\% | 14\% | 3\% | 31\% | 10\% | 1\% | 25\% | 7\% | 1\% |
| 2004 | 45\% | 20\% | 8\% | 32\% | 12\% | 2\% | 28\% | 10\% | 2\% |
| 2005 | 50\% | 25\% | 12\% | 37\% | 16\% | 6\% | 30\% | 12\% | 3\% |
| 2006 | 53\% | 31\% | 17\% | 40\% | 20\% | 8\% | 33\% | 14\% | 5\% |
| 2007 | 58\% | 35\% | 21\% | 42\% | 22\% | 11\% | 35\% | 16\% | 7\% |
| 2008 | 59\% | 40\% | 25\% | 43\% | 25\% | 15\% | 38\% | 20\% | 9\% |
| 2009 | 64\% | 43\% | 29\% | 46\% | 27\% | 17\% | 38\% | 22\% | 12\% |
| 2010 | 67\% | 46\% | 33\% | 50\% | 28\% | 18\% | 41\% | 22\% | 14\% |

## Gulf Spanish Mackerel

|  | Current TAC 9.1 Mlbs |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSY } \\ \text { 8.5 Mlbs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | OY <br> 7.6 Mlbs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability F greater than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | Foy | Fmsy |  | For | FMsY |  | Foy | FMsY |  |  |
| 2001 | 65\% | 21\% |  | 57\% | 17\% |  | 44\% | 10\% |  |  |
| 2002 | 69\% | 24\% |  | 60\% | 18\% |  | 45\% | 11\% |  |  |
| 2003 | 73\% | 27\% |  | 61\% | 19\% |  | 45\% | 12\% |  |  |
| 2004 | 74\% | 33\% |  | 62\% | 21\% |  | 45\% | 12\% |  |  |
| 2005 | 77\% | 35\% |  | 64\% | 24\% |  | 44\% | 13\% |  |  |
| 2006 | 78\% | 39\% |  | 65\% | 27\% |  | 43\% | 14\% |  |  |
| 2007 | 78\% | 41\% |  | 68\% | 27\% |  | 44\% | 13\% |  |  |
| 2008 | 79\% | 43\% |  | 68\% | 29\% |  | 43\% | 14\% |  |  |
| 2009 | 81\% | 45\% |  | 68\% | 30\% |  | 43\% | 14\% |  |  |
| 2010 | 82\% | 47\% |  | 68\% | 31\% |  | 44\% | 13\% |  |  |
| Probability Spawning stock less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST |  |
| 2001 | 48\% | 11\% | 2\% | 46\% | 10\% | 2\% | 41\% | 9\% |  | 1\% |
| 2002 | 52\% | 16\% | 3\% | 46\% | 13\% | 3\% | 41\% | 9\% |  | 2\% |
| 2003 | 58\% | 19\% | 5\% | 51\% | 15\% | 4\% | 38\% | 11\% |  | 2\% |
| 2004 | 60\% | 23\% | 7\% | 52\% | 17\% | 4\% | 38\% | 12\% |  | 2\% |
| 2005 | 64\% | 29\% | 10\% | 53\% | 20\% | 6\% | 40\% | 13\% |  | 2\% |
| 2006 | 66\% | 31\% | 12\% | 54\% | 23\% | 7\% | 40\% | 12\% |  | 3\% |
| 2007 | 68\% | 34\% | 15\% | 56\% | 22\% | 9\% | 40\% | 13\% |  | 4\% |
| 2008 | 71\% | 37\% | 16\% | 57\% | 25\% | 10\% | 39\% | 14\% |  | 4\% |
| 2009 | 72\% | 39\% | 20\% | 59\% | 28\% | 10\% | 39\% | 13\% |  | 4\% |
| 2010 | 71\% | 41\% | 21\% | 58\% | 30\% | 12\% | 40\% | 15\% |  | 5\% |

Table 10. Probabilities of the fishing mortality rate and spawning stock exceeding targets and threshold for projection of TAC and median values of MSY and OY under low recruitment conditions. See Table 7 for acronym definitions.

Atlantic King Mackerel: Iow recruitment scenario

|  | Current TAC 10.0 Mlbs |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSY } \\ \text { 10.4 Mlbs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | OY 9.9 Mlbs |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability F greater than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | For | Fmsy |  | For | Fmsy |  | For | FMSY |  |  |
| 2001 | 42\% | 1\% |  | 51\% | 1\% |  | 40\% | 1\% |  |  |
| 2002 | 51\% | 2\% |  | 58\% | 4\% |  | 48\% | 2\% |  |  |
| 2003 | 60\% | 9\% |  | 66\% | 17\% |  | 59\% | 8\% |  |  |
| 2004 | 65\% | 21\% |  | 70\% | 33\% |  | 62\% | 19\% |  |  |
| 2005 | 71\% | 40\% |  | 75\% | 51\% |  | 71\% | 37\% |  |  |
| 2006 | 78\% | 58\% |  | 80\% | 62\% |  | 77\% | 56\% |  |  |
| 2007 | 81\% | 67\% |  | 83\% | 72\% |  | 80\% | 64\% |  |  |
| 2008 | 86\% | 77\% |  | 88\% | 80\% |  | 86\% | 76\% |  |  |
| 2009 | 90\% | 83\% |  | 91\% | 85\% |  | 90\% | 82\% |  |  |
| 2010 | 92\% | 87\% |  | 93\% | 90\% |  | 92\% | 87\% |  |  |
| Probability Spawning stock less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSoy | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST |  |
| 2001 | 34\% | 0\% | 0\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| 2002 | 52\% | 1\% | 0\% | 46\% | 0\% | 0\% | 43\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| 2003 | 65\% | 8\% | 1\% | 64\% | 7\% | 0\% | 60\% | 5\% |  | 0\% |
| 2004 | 75\% | 32\% | 8\% | 75\% | 31\% | 7\% | 72\% | 21\% |  | 4\% |
| 2005 | 83\% | 56\% | 31\% | 84\% | 57\% | 32\% | 80\% | 50\% |  | 22\% |
| 2006 | 90\% | 70\% | 56\% | 90\% | 72\% | 58\% | 88\% | 67\% |  | 50\% |
| 2007 | 93\% | 82\% | 71\% | 93\% | 83\% | 73\% | 92\% | 79\% |  | 67\% |
| 2008 | 97\% | 89\% | 83\% | 97\% | 91\% | 84\% | 97\% | 88\% |  | 81\% |
| 2009 | 99\% | 94\% | 90\% | 99\% | 94\% | 91\% | 98\% | 93\% |  | 88\% |
| 2010 | 99\% | 97\% | 94\% | 99\% | 97\% | 95\% | 99\% | 97\% |  | 94\% |

Gulf King Mackerel: Iow recruitment scenario

|  | Current TAC 10.6 Mlbs |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSY } \\ \text { 11.9 Mlbs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | OY <br> 10.6 MIbs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability F greater than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | Foy | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  |
| 2001 | 93\% | 48\% |  | 98\% | 69\% |  | 93\% | 48\% |  |
| 2002 | 92\% | 48\% |  | 97\% | 72\% |  | 92\% | 48\% |  |
| 2003 | 87\% | 42\% |  | 95\% | 62\% |  | 87\% | 42\% |  |
| 2004 | 88\% | 46\% |  | 95\% | 68\% |  | 88\% | 46\% |  |
| 2005 | 89\% | 56\% |  | 97\% | 79\% |  | 89\% | 56\% |  |
| 2006 | 92\% | 63\% |  | 98\% | 85\% |  | 92\% | 63\% |  |
| 2007 | 96\% | 78\% |  | 99\% | 90\% |  | 96\% | 78\% |  |
| 2008 | 97\% | 84\% |  | 100\% | 94\% |  | 97\% | 84\% |  |
| 2009 | 98\% | 88\% |  | 100\% | 97\% |  | 98\% | 88\% |  |
| 2010 | 100\% | 95\% |  | 100\% | 100\% |  | 100\% | 95\% |  |
| Probability Spawning stock less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSmsY | MSST | SSOY | SSmsY | MSST |
| 2001 | 100\% | 73\% | 31\% | 100\% | 73\% | 31\% | 100\% | 73\% | 31\% |
| 2002 | 99\% | 69\% | 32\% | 100\% | 73\% | 36\% | 99\% | 69\% | 32\% |
| 2003 | 97\% | 64\% | 32\% | 98\% | 72\% | 38\% | 97\% | 64\% | 32\% |
| 2004 | 96\% | 64\% | 33\% | 98\% | 74\% | 43\% | 96\% | 64\% | 33\% |
| 2005 | 96\% | 66\% | 38\% | 98\% | 81\% | 51\% | 96\% | 66\% | 38\% |
| 2006 | 96\% | 77\% | 48\% | 99\% | 87\% | 62\% | 96\% | 77\% | 48\% |
| 2007 | 99\% | 86\% | 62\% | 100\% | 93\% | 76\% | 99\% | 86\% | 62\% |
| 2008 | 100\% | 93\% | 74\% | 100\% | 97\% | 85\% | 100\% | 93\% | 74\% |
| 2009 | 100\% | 97\% | 83\% | 100\% | 100\% | 93\% | 100\% | 97\% | 83\% |
| 2010 | 100\% | 99\% | 91\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97\% | 100\% | 99\% | 91\% |

Table 10. (continued ...)
Atlantic Spanish Mackerel: Iow recruitment scenario

|  | Current TAC <br> 7.04 Mlbs |  |  | MSY 6.4 MIbs |  |  | OY <br> 6.1 Mlbs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability F greater than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | Foy | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  |
| 2001 | 51\% | 17\% |  | 35\% | 9\% |  | 29\% | 6\% |  |
| 2002 | 65\% | 31\% |  | 48\% | 19\% |  | 39\% | 13\% |  |
| 2003 | 79\% | 48\% |  | 65\% | 31\% |  | 54\% | 23\% |  |
| 2004 | 89\% | 67\% |  | 76\% | 45\% |  | 69\% | 35\% |  |
| 2005 | 93\% | 76\% |  | 83\% | 57\% |  | 75\% | 44\% |  |
| 2006 | 97\% | 86\% |  | 90\% | 69\% |  | 85\% | 57\% |  |
| 2007 | 99\% | 93\% |  | 95\% | 82\% |  | 92\% | 70\% |  |
| 2008 | 99\% | 95\% |  | 97\% | 87\% |  | 94\% | 79\% |  |
| 2009 | 100\% | 98\% |  | 99\% | 92\% |  | 97\% | 87\% |  |
| 2010 | 100\% | 99\% |  | 99\% | 95\% |  | 98\% | 90\% |  |
| Probability Spawning stock less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSmsy | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST |
| 2001 | 20\% | 3\% | 0\% | 15\% | 2\% | 0\% | 14\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2002 | 40\% | 11\% | 1\% | 33\% | 8\% | 1\% | 28\% | 7\% | 1\% |
| 2003 | 62\% | 28\% | 8\% | 51\% | 18\% | 4\% | 45\% | 15\% | 2\% |
| 2004 | 77\% | 48\% | 20\% | 69\% | 33\% | 11\% | 63\% | 28\% | 8\% |
| 2005 | 89\% | 65\% | 35\% | 82\% | 49\% | 23\% | 77\% | 42\% | 15\% |
| 2006 | 95\% | 81\% | 52\% | 90\% | 67\% | 34\% | 86\% | 57\% | 27\% |
| 2007 | 98\% | 90\% | 70\% | 95\% | 81\% | 49\% | 93\% | 72\% | 39\% |
| 2008 | 99\% | 94\% | 83\% | 98\% | 90\% | 62\% | 95\% | 84\% | 52\% |
| 2009 | 99\% | 98\% | 90\% | 99\% | 92\% | 78\% | 98\% | 89\% | 63\% |
| 2010 | 100\% | 99\% | 95\% | 99\% | 96\% | 85\% | 99\% | 93\% | 76\% |

## Gulf Spanish Mackerel: Iow recruitment scenario

|  | Current TAC 9.1 Mlbs |  |  | MSY 8.5 MIbs |  |  | OY <br> 7.6 Mlbs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability F greater than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | For | FMSY |  | Foy | FMSY |  | For | FMSY |  |
| 2001 | 66\% | 21\% |  | 58\% | 18\% |  | 45\% | 11\% |  |
| 2002 | 73\% | 28\% |  | 64\% | 21\% |  | 49\% | 14\% |  |
| 2003 | 83\% | 41\% |  | 71\% | 28\% |  | 54\% | 17\% |  |
| 2004 | 88\% | 53\% |  | 81\% | 39\% |  | 60\% | 20\% |  |
| 2005 | 93\% | 63\% |  | 87\% | 50\% |  | 70\% | 29\% |  |
| 2006 | 95\% | 73\% |  | 91\% | 59\% |  | 75\% | 35\% |  |
| 2007 | 97\% | 80\% |  | 94\% | 67\% |  | 81\% | 42\% |  |
| 2008 | 98\% | 86\% |  | 97\% | 74\% |  | 85\% | 48\% |  |
| 2009 | 99\% | 91\% |  | 98\% | 80\% |  | 90\% | 53\% |  |
| 2010 | 99\% | 94\% |  | 98\% | 85\% |  | 91\% | 59\% |  |
| Probability Spawning stock less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FYear | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST | SSOY | SSMSY | MSST |
| 2001 | 48\% | 11\% | 2\% | 46\% | 10\% | 2\% | 41\% | 9\% | 1\% |
| 2002 | 61\% | 21\% | 4\% | 58\% | 19\% | 4\% | 51\% | 14\% | 3\% |
| 2003 | 79\% | 35\% | 11\% | 73\% | 27\% | 9\% | 63\% | 21\% | 4\% |
| 2004 | 86\% | 52\% | 19\% | 82\% | 43\% | 14\% | 76\% | 29\% | 9\% |
| 2005 | 93\% | 69\% | 30\% | 90\% | 57\% | 21\% | 83\% | 40\% | 14\% |
| 2006 | 96\% | 77\% | 40\% | 93\% | 70\% | 30\% | 88\% | 51\% | 19\% |
| 2007 | 98\% | 85\% | 52\% | 96\% | 76\% | 40\% | 91\% | 60\% | 22\% |
| 2008 | 99\% | 90\% | 64\% | 98\% | 83\% | 48\% | 95\% | 66\% | 30\% |
| 2009 | 99\% | 94\% | 73\% | 99\% | 90\% | 59\% | 97\% | 73\% | 36\% |
| 2010 | 99\% | 96\% | 81\% | 99\% | 92\% | 65\% | 97\% | 78\% | 42\% |

Table 11. Recruitment indices for the four mackerel migratory groups. The Atlantic indices come from Seamap frequency of occurrence, shaded areas represent updated values since last assessment. The Gulf indices come from total bycatch in the shrimp trawl fishery (GLM values) divided by total effort.

| Ages | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0-1 | 0-2 | 0-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Atl King | GLM <br> Gulf King | Delta Gulf King | Atl Spanish | GLM <br> Gulf Spanish | Delta Gulf Spanish |
| 1981 |  | 0.0907 | 0.1508 |  |  |  |
| 1982 |  | 0.0877 | 0.0783 |  |  |  |
| 1983 |  | 0.0796 |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  | 0.1137 | 0.3934 |  | 1.871 | 1.6308 |
| 1985 |  | 0.1071 | 0.1547 |  | 1.546 | 0.4728 |
| 1986 |  | 0.07 | 0.101 |  | 1.731 | 0.9763 |
| 1987 |  | 0.1448 | 0.251 |  | 2.144 | 0.4163 |
| 1988 |  | 0.1251 | 0.2374 |  | 2.708 | 1.4716 |
| 1989 | 0.164 | 0.2532 | 0.4764 | 0.255 | 2.916 | 1.5096 |
| 1990 | 0.294 | 0.1803 | 0.3513 | 0.454 | 2.645 | 1.3112 |
| 1991 | 0.152 | 0.2092 | 0.3524 | 0.496 | 2.908 | 1.2555 |
| 1992 | 0.123 | 0.1054 | 0.1549 | 0.471 | 2.744 | 1.7835 |
| 1993 | 0.112 | 0.2109 | 0.4284 | 0.283 | 3.156 | 2.8839 |
| 1994 | 0.130 | 0.2236 | 0.4615 | 0.397 | 2.044 | 1.0205 |
| 1995 | 0.256 | 0.2562 | 0.6033 | 0.419 | 2.018 | 0.984 |
| 1996 | 0.274 | 0.1348 | 0.2264 | 0.379 | 1.946 | 0.629 |
| 1997 | 0.132 | 0.1585 | 0.2191 | 0.231 | 1.689 | 0.3644 |
| 1998 | 0.204 | 0.1658 | 0.2346 | 0.313 | 2.052 | 0.6029 |
| 1999 | 0.217 | 0.1131 | 0.3022 | 0.332 | 1.648 | 0.1191 |
| 2000 | 0.143 |  |  | 0.458 |  |  |

Table 12. Updated indices of abundance for king mackerel migratory groups from the FDEP Florida trip ticket program (Provided by R. Muller) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Last column show the indices used in the latest stock assessment.

|  | King Mackerel FDEP CPUE indices |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Year | CPUE | Upp CI | Low CI | index |
| Gulf King | 1985 | 17.39 | 22.84 | 12.97 | 17.85 |
| Panhandle FL | 1986 | 21.25 | 28.21 | 15.65 | 26.57 |
|  | 1987 | 22.24 | 27.19 | 17.99 | 24.17 |
|  | 1988 | 18.54 | 22.29 | 15.28 | 18.77 |
|  | 1989 | 19.35 | 24.10 | 15.32 | 23.31 |
|  | 1990 | 26.37 | 31.58 | 21.83 | 28.63 |
|  | 1991 | 29.03 | 33.95 | 24.66 | 39.61 |
|  | 1992 | 38.38 | 44.99 | 32.52 | 38.29 |
|  | 1993 | 32.13 | 37.98 | 26.97 | 37.97 |
|  | 1994 | 38.25 | 44.59 | 32.60 | 44.26 |
|  | 1995 | 34.18 | 40.18 | 28.86 | 36.17 |
|  | 1996 | 55.09 | 63.97 | 47.16 | 67.14 |
|  | 1997 | 74.32 | 86.03 | 63.83 | 62.18 |
|  | 1998 | 46.02 | 53.97 | 38.98 |  |
|  | 1999 | 64.04 | 74.38 | 54.80 |  |
| Gulf King | 1985 | 36.77 | 42.79 | 31.40 | 31.39 |
| Southern FL | 1986 | 35.75 | 40.94 | 31.06 | 31.06 |
|  | 1987 | 48.42 | 54.90 | 42.52 | 42.30 |
|  | 1988 | 69.47 | 82.81 | 57.79 | 60.22 |
|  | 1989 | 65.70 | 73.70 | 58.36 | 56.47 |
|  | 1990 | 84.93 | 93.84 | 76.66 | 73.45 |
|  | 1991 | 82.52 | 91.66 | 74.06 | 72.08 |
|  | 1992 | 167.11 | 183.04 | 152.2 | 143.32 |
|  | 1993 | 103.81 | 114.61 | 93.78 | 88.78 |
|  | 1994 | 56.94 | 64.56 | 50.01 | 49.82 |
|  | 1995 | 83.83 | 93.97 | 74.53 | 76.35 |
|  | 1996 | 109.23 | 120.19 | 99.02 | 94.41 |
|  | 1997 | 85.48 | 95.12 | 76.57 |  |
|  | 1998 | 105.00 | 119.79 | 91.61 |  |
|  | 1999 | 57.09 | 65.52 | 49.48 |  |
| South Atlantic | 1985 | 68.87 | 72.23 | 65.63 | 217.69* |
| King (*1998 last | 1986 | 76.47 | 80.22 | 72.86 | 231.687 |
| SA) | 1987 | 76.10 | 79.85 | 72.47 | 256.312 |
|  | 1988 | 88.59 | 93.13 | 84.22 | 305.969 |
|  | 1989 | 85.50 | 89.87 | 81.30 | 267.018 |
|  | 1990 | 71.25 | 74.78 | 67.85 | 220.932 |
|  | 1991 | 66.66 | 69.87 | 63.57 | 195.676 |
|  | 1992 | 61.04 | 64.02 | 58.17 | 202.631 |
|  | 1993 | 61.40 | 64.36 | 58.54 | 202.508 |
|  | 1994 | 60.00 | 62.89 | 57.21 | 192.744 |
|  | 1995 | 57.11 | 59.94 | 54.38 | 192.336 |
|  | 1996 | 71.09 | 74.59 | 67.73 | 217.576 |
|  | 1997 | 71.23 | 74.64 | 67.94 | 223.916 |
|  | 1998 | 65.91 | 69.05 | 62.87 |  |
|  | 1999 | 68.21 | 71.55 | 65.00 |  |

Table 13. Updated indices of abundance for Spanish mackerel migratory groups from the FDEP Florida trip ticket program (Provided by R. Muller) with 95\% confidence intervals. Last column show the indices used in the latest stock assessment.

|  | Spanish Mackerel FDEP CPUE indices |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | CPUE | Upp CI | Low CI | $\begin{aligned} & 1998 \text { SA } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ |
| Gulf: Jul - Dec \& | 1985 | 1622.78 | 1844.46 | 1421.4 | 2121.13 |
| Trips >= 500 lbs | 1986 | 1063.26 | 1227.23 | 916.08 | 1013.14 |
| Trips >= 500 1bs | 1987 | 1733.22 | 2032.07 | 1468.1 | 1872.27 |
| Two areas | 1988 | 934.11 | 1050.06 | 827.92 | 885.87 |
| Escambia - Dixie | 1989 | 708.15 | 801.22 | 623.33 | 646.60 |
| and Levy - Monroe | 1990 | 1039.47 | 1170.27 | 919.81 | 973.10 |
|  | 1991 | 1463.13 | 1608.92 | 1327.3 | 1488.85 |
|  | 1992 | 1200.03 | 1325.66 | 1083.4 | 1231.75 |
|  | 1993 | 1268.82 | 1421.05 | 1129.0 | 1279.80 |
|  | 1994 | 1119.51 | 1238.15 | 1009.4 | 1142.13 |
|  | 1995 | 616.80 | 1077.27 | 321.02 | 626.19 |
|  | 1996 | 688.70 | 977.47 | 468.45 | 566.16 |
|  | 1997 | 890.76 | 1394.34 | 536.22 |  |
|  | 1998 | 1339.93 | 1740.71 | 1011.8 |  |
|  | 1999 | 625.78 | 1013.72 | 360.32 |  |
| Atlantic: All | 1985 | 2414.72 | 2719.93 | 2135.6 | 9.54 |
| months-counties \& | 1986 | 1631.23 | 1853.28 | 1429.5 | 12.80 |
| 500 lb minimum | 1987 | 1632.38 | 1838.10 | $1444.2$ | 17.82 |
| 5001 l minimum | 1988 | 1193.32 | 1350.93 | $1049.7$ | 18.26 |
|  | 1989 | 945.34 | 1058.01 | 841.80 | 21.92 |
|  | 1990 | 792.53 | 885.85 | 706.68 | 16.96 |
|  | 1991 | 798.45 | 890.95 | 713.26 | 14.12 |
|  | 1992 | 787.68 | 874.81 | 707.14 | 18.74 |
|  | 1993 | 676.24 | 749.00 | 608.84 | 30.07 |
|  | 1994 | 704.40 | 779.71 | 634.61 | 29.13 |
|  | 1995 | 849.75 | 947.18 | 759.93 | 25.66 |
|  | 1996 | 989.56 | 1102.52 | 885.39 | 44.30 |
|  | 1997 | 811.11 | 902.35 | 726.87 | 64.33 |
|  | 1998 | 924.47 | 1027.14 | 829.59 |  |
|  | 1999 | 797.47 | 891.25 | 711.20 |  |
| Atlantic: All | 1985 | 11.64 | 14.28 | 9.37 | 9.54 |
| months-counties \& | 1986 | 13.07 | 16.02 | 10.54 | 12.80 |
| NO minimum trio | 1987 | 15.12 | 18.55 | 12.19 | 17.82 |
|  | 1988 | 19.84 | 24.36 | 15.98 | 18.26 |
|  | 1989 | 19.88 | 24.38 | 16.03 | 21.92 |
|  | 1990 | 14.70 | 18.00 | 11.88 | 16.96 |
|  | 1991 | 13.43 | 16.43 | 10.86 | 14.12 |
|  | 1992 | 20.27 | 24.81 | 16.38 | 18.74 |
|  | 1993 | 26.66 | 32.63 | 21.54 | 30.07 |
|  | 1994 | 25.23 | 30.90 | 20.37 | 29.13 |
|  | 1995 | 36.49 | 45.09 | 29.16 | 25.66 |
|  | 1996 | 44.86 | 55.25 | 35.99 | 44.30 |
|  | 1997 | 35.95 | 44.16 | 28.92 | 64.33 |
|  | 1998 | 49.66 | 61.03 | 39.94 |  |
|  | 1999 | 40.74 | 50.34 | 32.57 |  |

Table 14. Estimates of $F_{x \% S P R}$ for the indicated migratory group. Median values and $80 \%$ confidence bounds are shown (see also Figure 18).

| Atlantic Kings | Median | Lower CI | Upper CI | Gulf Kings | Median | Lower CI | Upper CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F $30 \%$ SPR | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.48 | F $30 \%$ SPR | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.48 |
| F ${ }_{35 \% \text { SPR }}$ | 0.32 | 0.26 | 0.38 | F ${ }_{35 \% \text { SPR }}$ | 0.32 | 0.26 | 0.38 |
| F ${ }_{40 \% \text { SPR }}$ | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.31 | F ${ }_{40 \% \text { SPR }}$ | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.31 |


| Atlantic Spanish | Median | Lower CI | Upper CI | Gulf Spanish | Median | Lower CI | Upper CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F $30 \%$ SPR | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.42 | F $30 \% \mathrm{SPR}$ | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.74 |
| F ${ }_{35 \% \text { SPR }}$ | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.35 | F ${ }_{35 \% \text { SPR }}$ | 0.43 | 0.34 | 0.56 |
| F $40 \%$ SPR | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.30 | F $40 \%$ SPR | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.42 |

Table 15. King mackerel Atlantic group summary projections with constant F. Median yield (in million Ibs), with $80 \%$ confidence range (high, low); Median Spawning stock relative to SSmsy with $80 \%$ confidence range (high, low); Median Spawning stock relative to SS at MSST, taken as (1-M)*SSmsy, with 80\% confidence ranges (high, low); and Median transitional weighted SPR, with $80 \%$ confidence ranges (high, low) are shown.

| Year | Projection | F | Yield <br> MIbs | high | low | $\overline{\mathrm{SS} /}$ SSmsy | high | low | SS/ <br> MSST | high | Iow | SPR wgt | high | low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 12.876 | 19.449 | 10.509 | 1.50 | 1.92 | 1.20 | 1.76 | 2.26 | 1.41 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.49 |
| 2001 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 12.357 | 18.751 | 10.225 | 1.44 | 1.88 | 1.15 | 1.69 | 2.21 | 1.36 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.45 |
| 2002 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 11.946 | 18.038 | 10.059 | 1.39 | 1.84 | 1.10 | 1.64 | 2.17 | 1.30 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.42 |
| 2003 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 11.685 | 17.089 | 9.675 | 1.36 | 1.83 | 1.06 | 1.60 | 2.16 | 1.25 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.39 |
| 2004 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 11.561 | 16.800 | 9.534 | 1.32 | 1.80 | 1.02 | 1.55 | 2.12 | 1.20 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.36 |
| 2005 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 11.188 | 16.917 | 9.284 | 1.28 | 1.78 | 0.98 | 1.50 | 2.09 | 1.15 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.34 |
| 2006 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 10.946 | 16.202 | 8.928 | 1.25 | 1.73 | 0.96 | 1.47 | 2.04 | 1.13 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.32 |
| 2007 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 10.852 | 16.287 | 8.770 | 1.23 | 1.70 | 0.95 | 1.45 | 1.99 | 1.12 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.31 |
| 2008 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 10.458 | 15.419 | 8.512 | 1.21 | 1.66 | 0.92 | 1.42 | 1.96 | 1.08 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.30 |
| 2009 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 10.395 | 15.157 | 8.510 | 1.21 | 1.64 | 0.90 | 1.43 | 1.93 | 1.06 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.29 |
| 2010 | F35\%SPR | 0.32 | 10.254 | 15.082 | 8.563 | 1.20 | 1.64 | 0.89 | 1.42 | 1.93 | 1.05 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.29 |
| 2000 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 15.582 | 23.569 | 12.720 | 1.50 | 1.92 | 1.20 | 1.76 | 2.26 | 1.41 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.49 |
| 2001 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 14.327 | 21.537 | 11.901 | 1.38 | 1.81 | 1.10 | 1.62 | 2.13 | 1.30 | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.43 |
| 2002 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 13.414 | 20.403 | 11.330 | 1.29 | 1.70 | 1.02 | 1.52 | 2.00 | 1.21 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.39 |
| 2003 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 12.733 | 18.437 | 10.458 | 1.23 | 1.66 | 0.95 | 1.45 | 1.95 | 1.12 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.35 |
| 2004 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 12.270 | 17.858 | 10.135 | 1.17 | 1.60 | 0.88 | 1.38 | 1.89 | 1.04 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.31 |
| 2005 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 11.702 | 17.766 | 9.584 | 1.11 | 1.54 | 0.84 | 1.31 | 1.81 | 0.99 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.29 |
| 2006 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 11.287 | 16.524 | 9.076 | 1.07 | 1.49 | 0.81 | 1.26 | 1.75 | 0.95 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.27 |
| 2007 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 11.075 | 16.548 | 8.812 | 1.05 | 1.46 | 0.79 | 1.24 | 1.72 | 0.93 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.25 |
| 2008 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 10.663 | 15.890 | 8.600 | 1.04 | 1.46 | 0.77 | 1.22 | 1.71 | 0.90 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.25 |
| 2009 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 10.492 | 15.889 | 8.585 | 1.03 | 1.44 | 0.75 | 1.21 | 1.69 | 0.88 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.24 |
| 2010 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 10.403 | 15.904 | 8.461 | 1.03 | 1.41 | 0.74 | 1.21 | 1.66 | 0.87 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.24 |
| 2000 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 14.193 | 21.452 | 11.580 | 1.50 | 1.92 | 1.20 | 1.76 | 2.26 | 1.41 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.49 |
| 2001 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 13.356 | 20.176 | 11.068 | 1.41 | 1.84 | 1.13 | 1.66 | 2.17 | 1.33 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 0.44 |
| 2002 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 12.703 | 19.218 | 10.692 | 1.34 | 1.77 | 1.07 | 1.58 | 2.08 | 1.25 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.40 |
| 2003 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 12.236 | 17.794 | 10.099 | 1.29 | 1.75 | 1.00 | 1.52 | 2.06 | 1.18 | 0.42 | 0.48 | 0.37 |
| 2004 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 11.958 | 17.372 | 9.884 | 1.25 | 1.70 | 0.95 | 1.47 | 1.99 | 1.12 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.34 |
| 2005 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 11.489 | 17.435 | 9.487 | 1.20 | 1.64 | 0.91 | 1.41 | 1.93 | 1.07 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.31 |
| 2006 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 11.167 | 16.343 | 9.050 | 1.16 | 1.62 | 0.89 | 1.36 | 1.90 | 1.04 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.29 |
| 2007 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 10.968 | 16.254 | 8.810 | 1.13 | 1.57 | 0.87 | 1.34 | 1.85 | 1.02 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.28 |
| 2008 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 10.594 | 15.685 | 8.568 | 1.12 | 1.56 | 0.84 | 1.32 | 1.84 | 0.99 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.28 |
| 2009 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 10.505 | 15.544 | 8.524 | 1.12 | 1.54 | 0.82 | 1.32 | 1.81 | 0.97 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.27 |
| 2010 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 10.376 | 15.460 | 8.557 | 1.11 | 1.52 | 0.82 | 1.31 | 1.79 | 0.97 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.26 |

Table 15 King mackerel Atlantic group continuation....

| Year | Projection | F | Yield <br> MIbs | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SS/ } \\ \text { SSmsy } \end{gathered}$ | high | Iow | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS/ } \\ \text { MSST } \end{gathered}$ | high | Iow | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SPR } \\ \text { wgt } \end{gathered}$ | high | low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 13.484 | 20.376 | 11.005 | 1.50 | 1.92 | 1.20 | 1.76 | 2.26 | 1.41 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.49 |
| 2001 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 12.831 | 19.444 | 10.628 | 1.42 | 1.86 | 1.14 | 1.68 | 2.19 | 1.34 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.45 |
| 2002 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 12.309 | 18.597 | 10.343 | 1.37 | 1.81 | 1.09 | 1.61 | 2.13 | 1.28 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.41 |
| 2003 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 11.938 | 17.433 | 9.898 | 1.33 | 1.79 | 1.03 | 1.56 | 2.11 | 1.22 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.38 |
| 2004 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 11.769 | 17.081 | 9.697 | 1.29 | 1.75 | 0.98 | 1.51 | 2.06 | 1.16 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.35 |
| 2005 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 11.353 | 17.200 | 9.399 | 1.24 | 1.72 | 0.94 | 1.46 | 2.02 | 1.11 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.33 |
| 2006 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 11.062 | 16.318 | 8.989 | 1.20 | 1.68 | 0.93 | 1.42 | 1.98 | 1.09 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.31 |
| 2007 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 10.916 | 16.352 | 8.804 | 1.19 | 1.64 | 0.91 | 1.40 | 1.93 | 1.08 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.29 |
| 2008 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 10.529 | 15.556 | 8.543 | 1.17 | 1.62 | 0.88 | 1.37 | 1.90 | 1.04 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.29 |
| 2009 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 10.440 | 15.344 | 8.592 | 1.17 | 1.59 | 0.86 | 1.37 | 1.87 | 1.01 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.28 |
| 2010 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 10.321 | 15.193 | 8.571 | 1.16 | 1.58 | 0.86 | 1.36 | 1.86 | 1.01 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.28 |
| 2000 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 12.051 | 18.185 | 9.833 | 1.50 | 1.92 | 1.20 | 1.76 | 2.26 | 1.41 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.49 |
| 2001 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 11.718 | 17.763 | 9.668 | 1.46 | 1.90 | 1.17 | 1.71 | 2.24 | 1.37 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.46 |
| 2002 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 11.438 | 17.296 | 9.618 | 1.42 | 1.89 | 1.13 | 1.68 | 2.22 | 1.33 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.43 |
| 2003 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 11.279 | 16.561 | 9.344 | 1.40 | 1.88 | 1.10 | 1.65 | 2.22 | 1.29 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.41 |
| 2004 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 11.263 | 16.366 | 9.282 | 1.37 | 1.87 | 1.06 | 1.61 | 2.20 | 1.25 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.38 |
| 2005 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 10.957 | 16.584 | 9.122 | 1.34 | 1.85 | 1.03 | 1.57 | 2.17 | 1.21 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.36 |
| 2006 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 10.764 | 16.001 | 8.817 | 1.31 | 1.81 | 1.02 | 1.54 | 2.12 | 1.19 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.34 |
| 2007 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 10.735 | 16.014 | 8.704 | 1.29 | 1.79 | 1.01 | 1.52 | 2.11 | 1.19 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.33 |
| 2008 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 10.353 | 15.188 | 8.461 | 1.27 | 1.76 | 0.97 | 1.50 | 2.07 | 1.14 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.32 |
| 2009 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 10.268 | 15.040 | 8.462 | 1.27 | 1.73 | 0.96 | 1.50 | 2.03 | 1.13 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.31 |
| 2010 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 10.141 | 14.843 | 8.470 | 1.26 | 1.71 | 0.94 | 1.48 | 2.01 | 1.11 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.31 |
| 2000 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.581 | 15.943 | 8.630 | 1.50 | 1.92 | 1.20 | 1.76 | 2.26 | 1.41 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.49 |
| 2001 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.532 | 15.962 | 8.657 | 1.49 | 1.95 | 1.19 | 1.75 | 2.29 | 1.40 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.47 |
| 2002 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.473 | 15.825 | 8.785 | 1.48 | 1.97 | 1.18 | 1.74 | 2.31 | 1.39 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.45 |
| 2003 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.471 | 15.473 | 8.681 | 1.48 | 1.97 | 1.16 | 1.74 | 2.32 | 1.37 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.43 |
| 2004 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.679 | 15.441 | 8.760 | 1.46 | 2.00 | 1.14 | 1.72 | 2.35 | 1.34 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.41 |
| 2005 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.448 | 15.646 | 8.696 | 1.44 | 1.97 | 1.12 | 1.70 | 2.32 | 1.32 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.39 |
| 2006 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.363 | 15.356 | 8.474 | 1.43 | 1.98 | 1.11 | 1.68 | 2.33 | 1.30 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.37 |
| 2007 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.407 | 15.520 | 8.517 | 1.41 | 1.96 | 1.11 | 1.66 | 2.31 | 1.30 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.36 |
| 2008 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.049 | 14.829 | 8.224 | 1.40 | 1.90 | 1.07 | 1.65 | 2.23 | 1.26 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.36 |
| 2009 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 10.000 | 14.662 | 8.206 | 1.39 | 1.87 | 1.06 | 1.64 | 2.20 | 1.25 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.35 |
| 2010 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 9.888 | 14.510 | 8.294 | 1.39 | 1.87 | 1.06 | 1.64 | 2.20 | 1.25 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.35 |
| 2000 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 13.484 | 20.376 | 11.005 | 1.50 | 1.92 | 1.20 | 1.76 | 2.26 | 1.41 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.49 |

Table 16 King mackerel Gulf group summary projections with constant $F$. Median yield (in million Ibs), with $80 \%$ confidence range (high, low); Median Spawning stock relative to SSmsy with $80 \%$ confidence range (high, low); Median Spawning stock relative to SS at MSST, taken as (1-M)*SSmsy, with $80 \%$ confidence ranges (high, low); and Median transitional weighted SPR, with $80 \%$ confidence ranges (high, low) are shown.

| Year | Projection | F | Yield <br> Mlbs | high | Iow | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SSI } \\ \text { SSmsy } \end{gathered}$ | high | Iow | SS/ <br> MSST | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPR } \\ \text { wgt } \end{gathered}$ | high | Iow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 9.225 | 12.930 | 6.474 | 0.90 | 1.08 | 0.74 | 1.28 | 1.73 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| 2001 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 9.468 | 12.850 | 6.896 | 0.92 | 1.08 | 0.79 | 1.32 | 1.76 | 0.88 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.24 |
| 2002 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 9.697 | 12.607 | 7.351 | 0.94 | 1.10 | 0.81 | 1.35 | 1.80 | 0.93 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.25 |
| 2003 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 10.562 | 13.250 | 8.163 | 0.98 | 1.12 | 0.86 | 1.39 | 1.85 | 0.95 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.26 |
| 2004 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 10.967 | 13.351 | 8.761 | 1.01 | 1.17 | 0.89 | 1.43 | 1.88 | 0.99 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.26 |
| 2005 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 11.144 | 13.326 | 9.139 | 1.04 | 1.20 | 0.92 | 1.48 | 1.93 | 1.04 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.27 |
| 2006 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 11.537 | 13.570 | 9.504 | 1.08 | 1.23 | 0.95 | 1.54 | 1.97 | 1.09 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.28 |
| 2007 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 11.657 | 13.441 | 9.856 | 1.10 | 1.26 | 0.97 | 1.57 | 2.00 | 1.13 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.29 |
| 2008 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 11.995 | 13.654 | 10.308 | 1.13 | 1.30 | 0.99 | 1.60 | 2.04 | 1.16 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.29 |
| 2009 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 12.157 | 13.700 | 10.599 | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.63 | 2.07 | 1.18 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.30 |
| 2010 | F35\%SPR | 0.31 | 12.295 | 13.827 | 10.806 | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.01 | 1.64 | 2.08 | 1.19 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.30 |
| 2000 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 11.344 | 15.826 | 8.032 | 0.90 | 1.08 | 0.74 | 1.28 | 1.73 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| 2001 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 11.185 | 15.084 | 8.181 | 0.88 | 1.04 | 0.76 | 1.27 | 1.69 | 0.85 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.23 |
| 2002 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 11.097 | 14.280 | 8.454 | 0.87 | 1.02 | 0.75 | 1.25 | 1.67 | 0.85 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.23 |
| 2003 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 11.889 | 14.832 | 9.249 | 0.88 | 1.03 | 0.77 | 1.25 | 1.67 | 0.87 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.23 |
| 2004 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 12.056 | 14.551 | 9.745 | 0.89 | 1.05 | 0.78 | 1.27 | 1.68 | 0.88 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.23 |
| 2005 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 12.120 | 14.304 | 10.017 | 0.91 | 1.06 | 0.79 | 1.29 | 1.69 | 0.91 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.23 |
| 2006 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 12.429 | 14.398 | 10.326 | 0.93 | 1.08 | 0.81 | 1.32 | 1.71 | 0.94 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.24 |
| 2007 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 12.497 | 14.309 | 10.656 | 0.94 | 1.11 | 0.81 | 1.34 | 1.73 | 0.96 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.24 |
| 2008 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 12.751 | 14.472 | 11.040 | 0.96 | 1.14 | 0.83 | 1.36 | 1.76 | 0.97 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.25 |
| 2009 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 12.848 | 14.439 | 11.248 | 0.97 | 1.16 | 0.83 | 1.37 | 1.77 | 0.99 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.25 |
| 2010 | F30\%SPR | 0.39 | 12.951 | 14.497 | 11.410 | 0.98 | 1.17 | 0.84 | 1.38 | 1.79 | 1.00 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.25 |
| 2000 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 10.279 | 14.371 | 7.248 | 0.90 | 1.08 | 0.74 | 1.28 | 1.73 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| 2001 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 10.352 | 13.998 | 7.547 | 0.90 | 1.06 | 0.77 | 1.30 | 1.72 | 0.87 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.24 |
| 2002 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 10.451 | 13.520 | 7.937 | 0.90 | 1.06 | 0.79 | 1.30 | 1.74 | 0.89 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.24 |
| 2003 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 11.253 | 14.025 | 8.702 | 0.93 | 1.07 | 0.81 | 1.32 | 1.76 | 0.91 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.24 |
| 2004 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 11.523 | 14.023 | 9.217 | 0.95 | 1.11 | 0.83 | 1.35 | 1.77 | 0.94 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.25 |
| 2005 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 11.688 | 13.890 | 9.603 | 0.97 | 1.13 | 0.86 | 1.39 | 1.80 | 0.97 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.25 |
| 2006 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 12.015 | 13.993 | 9.941 | 1.00 | 1.16 | 0.88 | 1.42 | 1.83 | 1.01 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.26 |
| 2007 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 12.131 | 13.892 | 10.317 | 1.02 | 1.18 | 0.89 | 1.45 | 1.86 | 1.04 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.26 |
| 2008 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 12.416 | 14.125 | 10.719 | 1.04 | 1.22 | 0.90 | 1.48 | 1.90 | 1.06 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.27 |
| 2009 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 12.538 | 14.129 | 10.948 | 1.05 | 1.24 | 0.91 | 1.49 | 1.92 | 1.08 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.27 |
| 2010 | 0.90F30\% | 0.35 | 12.653 | 14.211 | 11.139 | 1.06 | 1.26 | 0.92 | 1.51 | 1.92 | 1.09 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.27 |

Table 16 King mackerel Gulf group continuation....

| Year | Projection | F | Yield <br> MIbs | high | low | $\overline{\mathbf{S S} /}$ <br> SSmsy | high | low | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SS/ } \\ & \text { MSST } \end{aligned}$ | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SPR } \\ \text { wgt } \end{gathered}$ | high | low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 9.738 | 13.631 | 6.850 | 0.90 | 1.08 | 0.74 | 1.28 | 1.73 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| 2001 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 9.904 | 13.423 | 7.216 | 0.91 | 1.07 | 0.78 | 1.31 | 1.74 | 0.88 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.24 |
| 2002 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 10.071 | 13.061 | 7.646 | 0.92 | 1.08 | 0.80 | 1.32 | 1.77 | 0.91 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.24 |
| 2003 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 10.904 | 13.640 | 8.429 | 0.95 | 1.10 | 0.83 | 1.35 | 1.81 | 0.93 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.25 |
| 2004 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 11.254 | 13.692 | 8.992 | 0.98 | 1.13 | 0.86 | 1.39 | 1.83 | 0.97 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| 2005 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 11.412 | 13.621 | 9.381 | 1.01 | 1.17 | 0.89 | 1.44 | 1.87 | 1.01 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.26 |
| 2006 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 11.770 | 13.798 | 9.725 | 1.04 | 1.20 | 0.92 | 1.48 | 1.90 | 1.05 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.27 |
| 2007 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 11.901 | 13.674 | 10.085 | 1.06 | 1.22 | 0.93 | 1.51 | 1.93 | 1.09 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.28 |
| 2008 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 12.215 | 13.883 | 10.517 | 1.08 | 1.26 | 0.94 | 1.54 | 1.96 | 1.11 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.28 |
| 2009 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 12.354 | 13.931 | 10.770 | 1.10 | 1.28 | 0.96 | 1.56 | 1.99 | 1.13 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.28 |
| 2010 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 12.477 | 14.023 | 10.984 | 1.11 | 1.30 | 0.97 | 1.58 | 2.00 | 1.14 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.28 |
| 2000 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 8.636 | 12.124 | 6.042 | 0.90 | 1.08 | 0.74 | 1.28 | 1.73 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| 2001 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 8.951 | 12.182 | 6.518 | 0.93 | 1.09 | 0.79 | 1.34 | 1.78 | 0.89 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.25 |
| 2002 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 9.251 | 12.076 | 6.989 | 0.96 | 1.12 | 0.83 | 1.38 | 1.83 | 0.94 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.25 |
| 2003 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 10.151 | 12.768 | 7.831 | 1.00 | 1.15 | 0.88 | 1.43 | 1.90 | 0.98 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.26 |
| 2004 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 10.606 | 12.923 | 8.462 | 1.04 | 1.20 | 0.92 | 1.48 | 1.94 | 1.03 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.27 |
| 2005 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 10.807 | 12.951 | 8.842 | 1.08 | 1.24 | 0.96 | 1.54 | 2.00 | 1.08 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.28 |
| 2006 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 11.234 | 13.250 | 9.225 | 1.12 | 1.28 | 1.00 | 1.60 | 2.06 | 1.13 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.29 |
| 2007 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 11.346 | 13.140 | 9.558 | 1.15 | 1.31 | 1.02 | 1.64 | 2.08 | 1.18 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.30 |
| 2008 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 11.716 | 13.370 | 10.048 | 1.18 | 1.35 | 1.04 | 1.69 | 2.12 | 1.22 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.31 |
| 2009 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 11.883 | 13.415 | 10.367 | 1.20 | 1.38 | 1.06 | 1.71 | 2.16 | 1.24 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.31 |
| 2010 | 0.75F30\% | 0.29 | 12.045 | 13.563 | 10.600 | 1.22 | 1.40 | 1.07 | 1.73 | 2.18 | 1.25 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.32 |
| 2000 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 7.511 | 10.580 | 5.218 | 0.90 | 1.08 | 0.74 | 1.28 | 1.73 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| 2001 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 7.933 | 10.892 | 5.765 | 0.95 | 1.12 | 0.81 | 1.37 | 1.81 | 0.91 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.25 |
| 2002 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 8.365 | 10.933 | 6.260 | 1.00 | 1.16 | 0.87 | 1.44 | 1.89 | 0.97 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.26 |
| 2003 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 9.298 | 11.760 | 7.140 | 1.06 | 1.21 | 0.93 | 1.51 | 1.99 | 1.04 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.28 |
| 2004 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 9.807 | 12.034 | 7.759 | 1.11 | 1.27 | 0.99 | 1.58 | 2.08 | 1.10 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.29 |
| 2005 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 10.092 | 12.198 | 8.213 | 1.17 | 1.33 | 1.04 | 1.66 | 2.14 | 1.17 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| 2006 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 10.575 | 12.466 | 8.619 | 1.22 | 1.38 | 1.09 | 1.74 | 2.23 | 1.22 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.32 |
| 2007 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 10.664 | 12.451 | 8.979 | 1.25 | 1.42 | 1.13 | 1.80 | 2.26 | 1.27 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.34 |
| 2008 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 11.094 | 12.712 | 9.470 | 1.29 | 1.45 | 1.16 | 1.85 | 2.32 | 1.33 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.34 |
| 2009 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 11.253 | 12.796 | 9.813 | 1.32 | 1.49 | 1.17 | 1.88 | 2.35 | 1.36 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.35 |
| 2010 | 0.65F30\% | 0.25 | 11.480 | 12.945 | 10.084 | 1.34 | 1.52 | 1.19 | 1.91 | 2.39 | 1.39 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.35 |
| 2000 | 0.85F30\% | 0.33 | 9.738 | 13.631 | 6.850 | 0.90 | 1.08 | 0.74 | 1.28 | 1.73 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.24 |

Table 17 Spanish mackerel Atlantic group summary projections with constant F . Median yield (in million lbs), with $80 \%$ confidence range (high, low); Median Spawning stock relative to SSmsy with $80 \%$ confidence range (high, low); Median Spawning stock relative to SS at MSST, taken as (1-M)*SSmsy, with $80 \%$ confidence ranges (high, low); and Median transitional weighted SPR, with $80 \%$ confidence ranges (high, low) are shown.

| Year | Projection | F | Yield <br> Mlbs | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS/ } \\ \text { SSmsy } \end{gathered}$ | high | Iow | SS/ <br> MSST | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPR } \\ \text { wgt } \end{gathered}$ | high | Iow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 8.846 | 12.730 | 6.330 | 1.89 | 2.81 | 1.35 | 2.70 | 4.01 | 1.92 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.43 |
| 2001 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 7.936 | 10.803 | 5.880 | 1.68 | 2.60 | 1.22 | 2.40 | 3.72 | 1.74 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.40 |
| 2002 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 7.375 | 9.709 | 5.575 | 1.53 | 2.37 | 1.12 | 2.19 | 3.38 | 1.60 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.38 |
| 2003 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 6.968 | 9.170 | 5.364 | 1.44 | 2.22 | 1.05 | 2.05 | 3.17 | 1.49 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.36 |
| 2004 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 6.671 | 8.802 | 5.293 | 1.37 | 2.15 | 1.00 | 1.95 | 3.07 | 1.43 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.35 |
| 2005 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 6.709 | 8.634 | 5.193 | 1.31 | 2.05 | 0.99 | 1.88 | 2.94 | 1.41 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.33 |
| 2006 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 6.556 | 8.230 | 5.123 | 1.28 | 2.03 | 0.95 | 1.83 | 2.90 | 1.35 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.33 |
| 2007 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 6.332 | 8.136 | 4.953 | 1.26 | 2.02 | 0.92 | 1.80 | 2.88 | 1.32 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.32 |
| 2008 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 6.328 | 8.112 | 4.925 | 1.25 | 1.91 | 0.92 | 1.78 | 2.72 | 1.31 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.32 |
| 2009 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 6.213 | 7.913 | 4.984 | 1.24 | 1.94 | 0.89 | 1.77 | 2.78 | 1.27 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.32 |
| 2010 | F35\%SPR | 0.34 | 6.209 | 7.893 | 4.982 | 1.23 | 1.89 | 0.90 | 1.76 | 2.70 | 1.29 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.31 |
| 2000 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 10.221 | 14.714 | 7.314 | 1.89 | 2.81 | 1.35 | 2.70 | 4.01 | 1.92 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.43 |
| 2001 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 8.794 | 12.077 | 6.488 | 1.60 | 2.48 | 1.16 | 2.28 | 3.54 | 1.65 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.38 |
| 2002 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 7.891 | 10.425 | 5.990 | 1.39 | 2.16 | 1.03 | 1.99 | 3.09 | 1.47 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.35 |
| 2003 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 7.255 | 9.627 | 5.607 | 1.28 | 1.97 | 0.93 | 1.82 | 2.81 | 1.33 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.32 |
| 2004 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 6.894 | 9.080 | 5.474 | 1.20 | 1.88 | 0.87 | 1.71 | 2.68 | 1.24 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.30 |
| 2005 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 6.883 | 8.868 | 5.229 | 1.14 | 1.77 | 0.84 | 1.63 | 2.53 | 1.20 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.29 |
| 2006 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 6.655 | 8.475 | 5.042 | 1.10 | 1.73 | 0.80 | 1.58 | 2.48 | 1.15 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.28 |
| 2007 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 6.426 | 8.310 | 4.821 | 1.08 | 1.71 | 0.78 | 1.54 | 2.45 | 1.11 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 2008 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 6.381 | 8.271 | 4.671 | 1.07 | 1.62 | 0.77 | 1.53 | 2.31 | 1.10 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.27 |
| 2009 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 6.244 | 8.060 | 4.766 | 1.05 | 1.63 | 0.74 | 1.51 | 2.33 | 1.05 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| 2010 | F30\%SPR | 0.40 | 6.195 | 8.023 | 4.688 | 1.05 | 1.60 | 0.73 | 1.50 | 2.28 | 1.05 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| 2000 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 9.337 | 13.440 | 6.682 | 1.89 | 2.81 | 1.35 | 2.70 | 4.01 | 1.92 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.43 |
| 2001 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 8.255 | 11.271 | 6.107 | 1.65 | 2.56 | 1.19 | 2.36 | 3.66 | 1.71 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.40 |
| 2002 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 7.582 | 9.978 | 5.737 | 1.48 | 2.29 | 1.09 | 2.12 | 3.28 | 1.55 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.37 |
| 2003 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 7.086 | 9.341 | 5.464 | 1.38 | 2.13 | 1.00 | 1.97 | 3.04 | 1.43 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.35 |
| 2004 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 6.774 | 8.925 | 5.372 | 1.30 | 2.06 | 0.96 | 1.86 | 2.94 | 1.37 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.33 |
| 2005 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 6.790 | 8.732 | 5.217 | 1.25 | 1.96 | 0.94 | 1.79 | 2.80 | 1.34 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.32 |
| 2006 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 6.614 | 8.336 | 5.140 | 1.21 | 1.92 | 0.89 | 1.73 | 2.74 | 1.28 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| 2007 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 6.381 | 8.218 | 4.973 | 1.19 | 1.91 | 0.87 | 1.70 | 2.72 | 1.25 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.30 |
| 2008 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 6.363 | 8.212 | 4.937 | 1.18 | 1.80 | 0.86 | 1.69 | 2.57 | 1.23 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.30 |
| 2009 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 6.260 | 7.993 | 4.992 | 1.17 | 1.81 | 0.84 | 1.67 | 2.59 | 1.19 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.30 |
| 2010 | 0.90F30\% | 0.36 | 6.254 | 7.949 | 4.979 | 1.17 | 1.78 | 0.84 | 1.67 | 2.55 | 1.21 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.29 |

Table 17 Spanish mackerel Atlantic group continuation ....

| Year | Projection | F | Yield <br> MIbs | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS/ } \\ \text { SSmsy } \end{gathered}$ | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSI } \\ \text { MSST } \end{gathered}$ | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPR } \\ \text { wgt } \end{gathered}$ | high | low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 8.887 | 12.788 | 6.359 | 1.89 | 2.81 | 1.35 | 2.70 | 4.01 | 1.92 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.43 |
| 2001 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 7.963 | 10.842 | 5.899 | 1.68 | 2.60 | 1.21 | 2.39 | 3.71 | 1.73 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.40 |
| 2002 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 7.391 | 9.732 | 5.589 | 1.53 | 2.36 | 1.12 | 2.18 | 3.37 | 1.60 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.38 |
| 2003 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 6.978 | 9.186 | 5.373 | 1.43 | 2.21 | 1.04 | 2.05 | 3.16 | 1.49 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.36 |
| 2004 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 6.678 | 8.816 | 5.300 | 1.36 | 2.14 | 1.00 | 1.94 | 3.06 | 1.43 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.35 |
| 2005 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 6.716 | 8.644 | 5.189 | 1.31 | 2.05 | 0.98 | 1.87 | 2.92 | 1.40 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.33 |
| 2006 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 6.562 | 8.240 | 5.126 | 1.28 | 2.02 | 0.94 | 1.82 | 2.89 | 1.35 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.32 |
| 2007 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 6.336 | 8.144 | 4.954 | 1.26 | 2.01 | 0.92 | 1.80 | 2.87 | 1.31 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.32 |
| 2008 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 6.334 | 8.119 | 4.927 | 1.24 | 1.90 | 0.91 | 1.77 | 2.71 | 1.30 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.32 |
| 2009 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 6.218 | 7.920 | 4.988 | 1.24 | 1.93 | 0.89 | 1.77 | 2.76 | 1.26 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| 2010 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 6.213 | 7.898 | 4.983 | 1.23 | 1.88 | 0.90 | 1.76 | 2.69 | 1.28 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| 2000 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 7.963 | 11.454 | 5.697 | 1.89 | 2.81 | 1.35 | 2.70 | 4.01 | 1.92 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.43 |
| 2001 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 7.347 | 10.044 | 5.446 | 1.73 | 2.69 | 1.25 | 2.47 | 3.84 | 1.79 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.42 |
| 2002 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 6.974 | 9.162 | 5.249 | 1.62 | 2.50 | 1.18 | 2.31 | 3.58 | 1.69 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.40 |
| 2003 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 6.660 | 8.747 | 5.148 | 1.54 | 2.38 | 1.13 | 2.21 | 3.40 | 1.61 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.39 |
| 2004 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 6.454 | 8.520 | 5.114 | 1.49 | 2.33 | 1.09 | 2.12 | 3.32 | 1.56 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.38 |
| 2005 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 6.518 | 8.369 | 5.060 | 1.44 | 2.24 | 1.08 | 2.05 | 3.20 | 1.55 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.37 |
| 2006 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 6.396 | 8.039 | 5.030 | 1.41 | 2.26 | 1.05 | 2.02 | 3.23 | 1.50 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.36 |
| 2007 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 6.203 | 7.931 | 4.831 | 1.39 | 2.25 | 1.03 | 1.98 | 3.22 | 1.47 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.36 |
| 2008 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 6.198 | 7.926 | 4.846 | 1.37 | 2.12 | 1.02 | 1.96 | 3.02 | 1.46 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.36 |
| 2009 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 6.083 | 7.739 | 4.913 | 1.38 | 2.14 | 1.00 | 1.96 | 3.06 | 1.42 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.35 |
| 2010 | 0.75F30\% | 0.30 | 6.121 | 7.737 | 4.965 | 1.36 | 2.07 | 1.01 | 1.94 | 2.96 | 1.45 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.35 |
| 2000 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 7.007 | 10.078 | 5.014 | 1.89 | 2.81 | 1.35 | 2.70 | 4.01 | 1.92 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.43 |
| 2001 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 6.651 | 9.144 | 4.939 | 1.78 | 2.78 | 1.30 | 2.55 | 3.96 | 1.85 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.43 |
| 2002 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 6.461 | 8.529 | 4.850 | 1.72 | 2.65 | 1.26 | 2.46 | 3.78 | 1.79 | 0.47 | 0.51 | 0.43 |
| 2003 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 6.265 | 8.238 | 4.845 | 1.67 | 2.57 | 1.22 | 2.38 | 3.67 | 1.75 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.42 |
| 2004 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 6.114 | 8.067 | 4.886 | 1.63 | 2.54 | 1.20 | 2.32 | 3.63 | 1.71 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.42 |
| 2005 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 6.216 | 8.007 | 4.860 | 1.59 | 2.47 | 1.20 | 2.27 | 3.53 | 1.71 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.41 |
| 2006 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 6.158 | 7.729 | 4.829 | 1.58 | 2.50 | 1.17 | 2.25 | 3.57 | 1.67 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.41 |
| 2007 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 5.990 | 7.585 | 4.653 | 1.55 | 2.52 | 1.15 | 2.21 | 3.60 | 1.64 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.40 |
| 2008 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 5.986 | 7.646 | 4.653 | 1.53 | 2.37 | 1.14 | 2.18 | 3.38 | 1.63 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.40 |
| 2009 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 5.881 | 7.496 | 4.760 | 1.54 | 2.42 | 1.12 | 2.20 | 3.45 | 1.60 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.40 |
| 2010 | 0.65F30\% | 0.26 | 5.917 | 7.466 | 4.821 | 1.52 | 2.34 | 1.14 | 2.17 | 3.34 | 1.62 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.40 |
| 2000 | 0.85F30\% | 0.34 | 8.887 | 12.788 | 6.359 | 1.89 | 2.81 | 1.35 | 2.70 | 4.01 | 1.92 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.43 |

Table 18 Spanish mackerel Gulf group summary projections with constant $F$. Median yield (in million lbs), with $80 \%$ confidence range (high, low); Median Spawning stock relative to SSmsy with $80 \%$ confidence range (high, low); Median Spawning stock relative to SS at MSST, taken as (1-M)*SSmsy, with 80\% confidence ranges (high, low); and Median transitional weighted SPR, with $80 \%$ confidence ranges (high, low) are shown.

| Year | Projection | F | Yield <br> Mlbs | high | Iow | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SSI } \\ \text { SSmsy } \end{gathered}$ | high | Iow | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SS/ } \\ \text { MSST } \end{gathered}$ | high | low | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPR } \\ & \text { wgt } \end{aligned}$ | high | low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 10.306 | 14.484 | 5.962 | 1.42 | 2.01 | 1.03 | 2.03 | 2.87 | 1.47 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.34 |
| 2001 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 9.269 | 12.518 | 5.898 | 1.35 | 1.82 | 1.03 | 1.92 | 2.61 | 1.47 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.34 |
| 2002 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 8.802 | 11.721 | 6.181 | 1.36 | 1.84 | 1.05 | 1.94 | 2.63 | 1.49 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.36 |
| 2003 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 8.924 | 11.599 | 6.745 | 1.39 | 1.85 | 1.09 | 1.99 | 2.64 | 1.56 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.37 |
| 2004 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 9.289 | 11.445 | 7.071 | 1.41 | 1.92 | 1.12 | 2.02 | 2.74 | 1.59 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.37 |
| 2005 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 9.290 | 11.457 | 7.341 | 1.44 | 1.95 | 1.11 | 2.06 | 2.79 | 1.58 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.38 |
| 2006 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 9.528 | 11.529 | 7.679 | 1.48 | 2.01 | 1.11 | 2.12 | 2.86 | 1.58 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.38 |
| 2007 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 9.574 | 11.711 | 7.736 | 1.47 | 2.02 | 1.11 | 2.10 | 2.88 | 1.58 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.38 |
| 2008 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 9.654 | 11.770 | 7.790 | 1.47 | 2.01 | 1.12 | 2.10 | 2.87 | 1.59 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.38 |
| 2009 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 9.759 | 11.484 | 7.808 | 1.47 | 1.98 | 1.13 | 2.10 | 2.82 | 1.62 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.38 |
| 2010 | F35\%SPR | 0.43 | 9.594 | 11.550 | 7.810 | 1.49 | 1.98 | 1.13 | 2.13 | 2.83 | 1.62 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.38 |
| 2000 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 13.157 | 18.257 | 7.702 | 1.42 | 2.01 | 1.03 | 2.03 | 2.87 | 1.47 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.34 |
| 2001 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 10.956 | 14.611 | 7.209 | 1.25 | 1.72 | 0.95 | 1.79 | 2.45 | 1.36 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.32 |
| 2002 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 9.789 | 12.877 | 7.069 | 1.22 | 1.66 | 0.94 | 1.74 | 2.37 | 1.34 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.32 |
| 2003 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 9.671 | 12.393 | 7.468 | 1.22 | 1.65 | 0.95 | 1.74 | 2.36 | 1.35 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.32 |
| 2004 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 9.842 | 12.101 | 7.776 | 1.22 | 1.71 | 0.96 | 1.75 | 2.44 | 1.37 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.32 |
| 2005 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 9.884 | 12.206 | 7.882 | 1.25 | 1.74 | 0.94 | 1.79 | 2.48 | 1.35 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.32 |
| 2006 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 9.978 | 12.201 | 7.964 | 1.27 | 1.76 | 0.92 | 1.82 | 2.52 | 1.31 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.32 |
| 2007 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 9.981 | 12.277 | 8.044 | 1.26 | 1.75 | 0.93 | 1.80 | 2.49 | 1.33 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.31 |
| 2008 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 10.053 | 12.135 | 8.103 | 1.24 | 1.75 | 0.94 | 1.77 | 2.50 | 1.34 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.32 |
| 2009 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 10.090 | 12.071 | 8.177 | 1.26 | 1.71 | 0.94 | 1.80 | 2.44 | 1.35 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.32 |
| 2010 | F30\%SPR | 0.56 | 9.901 | 11.993 | 8.196 | 1.26 | 1.72 | 0.95 | 1.80 | 2.46 | 1.35 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.31 |
| 2000 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 11.968 | 16.664 | 6.973 | 1.42 | 2.01 | 1.03 | 2.03 | 2.87 | 1.47 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.34 |
| 2001 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 10.317 | 13.781 | 6.725 | 1.29 | 1.76 | 0.99 | 1.85 | 2.51 | 1.41 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.33 |
| 2002 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 9.431 | 12.463 | 6.695 | 1.28 | 1.73 | 0.98 | 1.83 | 2.47 | 1.40 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.34 |
| 2003 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 9.441 | 12.114 | 7.215 | 1.29 | 1.73 | 1.00 | 1.84 | 2.47 | 1.43 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.34 |
| 2004 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 9.667 | 11.888 | 7.511 | 1.30 | 1.79 | 1.02 | 1.86 | 2.56 | 1.46 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.34 |
| 2005 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 9.659 | 11.912 | 7.668 | 1.33 | 1.83 | 1.01 | 1.90 | 2.61 | 1.44 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.34 |
| 2006 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 9.841 | 11.916 | 7.897 | 1.36 | 1.86 | 0.99 | 1.94 | 2.66 | 1.41 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.34 |
| 2007 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 9.874 | 12.082 | 7.928 | 1.34 | 1.86 | 1.00 | 1.91 | 2.66 | 1.42 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.34 |
| 2008 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 9.933 | 12.048 | 8.000 | 1.34 | 1.85 | 1.00 | 1.91 | 2.64 | 1.43 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.34 |
| 2009 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 9.945 | 11.835 | 8.082 | 1.35 | 1.82 | 1.02 | 1.92 | 2.60 | 1.46 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.34 |
| 2010 | 0.90F30\% | 0.50 | 9.789 | 11.785 | 8.101 | 1.35 | 1.83 | 1.02 | 1.93 | 2.62 | 1.45 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.34 |

Table 18 Spanish mackerel Gulf group continuation ....

| Year | Projection | F | Yield <br> MIbs | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SS/ } \\ \text { SSmsy } \end{gathered}$ | high | low | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SSI } \\ & \text { MSST } \end{aligned}$ | high | low | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPR } \\ \text { wgt } \end{gathered}$ | high | low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 11.345 | 15.843 | 6.591 | 1.42 | 2.01 | 1.03 | 2.03 | 2.87 | 1.47 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.34 |
| 2001 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.923 | 13.309 | 6.413 | 1.31 | 1.78 | 1.00 | 1.88 | 2.54 | 1.43 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.33 |
| 2002 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.205 | 12.208 | 6.491 | 1.31 | 1.77 | 1.00 | 1.87 | 2.53 | 1.44 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.34 |
| 2003 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.267 | 11.977 | 7.050 | 1.33 | 1.77 | 1.03 | 1.90 | 2.53 | 1.48 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.35 |
| 2004 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.539 | 11.733 | 7.382 | 1.34 | 1.83 | 1.05 | 1.91 | 2.62 | 1.50 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.35 |
| 2005 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.533 | 11.837 | 7.559 | 1.38 | 1.87 | 1.05 | 1.96 | 2.67 | 1.50 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.35 |
| 2006 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.748 | 11.802 | 7.854 | 1.41 | 1.91 | 1.03 | 2.01 | 2.72 | 1.48 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.36 |
| 2007 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.752 | 11.915 | 7.867 | 1.39 | 1.92 | 1.04 | 1.98 | 2.75 | 1.48 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.35 |
| 2008 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.846 | 11.971 | 7.922 | 1.38 | 1.91 | 1.04 | 1.98 | 2.73 | 1.49 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.36 |
| 2009 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.890 | 11.750 | 7.921 | 1.39 | 1.87 | 1.06 | 1.98 | 2.68 | 1.52 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.36 |
| 2010 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 9.719 | 11.697 | 7.990 | 1.41 | 1.89 | 1.06 | 2.01 | 2.70 | 1.51 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.35 |
| 2000 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 10.051 | 14.149 | 5.807 | 1.42 | 2.01 | 1.03 | 2.03 | 2.87 | 1.47 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.34 |
| 2001 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 9.112 | 12.312 | 5.782 | 1.35 | 1.84 | 1.03 | 1.93 | 2.62 | 1.48 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.34 |
| 2002 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 8.689 | 11.588 | 6.096 | 1.37 | 1.86 | 1.06 | 1.96 | 2.66 | 1.51 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.36 |
| 2003 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 8.834 | 11.528 | 6.663 | 1.41 | 1.87 | 1.10 | 2.01 | 2.67 | 1.58 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.38 |
| 2004 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 9.198 | 11.379 | 7.012 | 1.43 | 1.94 | 1.13 | 2.05 | 2.77 | 1.61 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.38 |
| 2005 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 9.242 | 11.401 | 7.281 | 1.46 | 1.98 | 1.13 | 2.09 | 2.82 | 1.61 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.39 |
| 2006 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 9.473 | 11.453 | 7.616 | 1.50 | 2.03 | 1.13 | 2.15 | 2.90 | 1.61 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.39 |
| 2007 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 9.509 | 11.653 | 7.697 | 1.49 | 2.04 | 1.13 | 2.13 | 2.92 | 1.61 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.39 |
| 2008 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 9.602 | 11.700 | 7.747 | 1.50 | 2.03 | 1.14 | 2.14 | 2.90 | 1.62 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.39 |
| 2009 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 9.699 | 11.409 | 7.745 | 1.49 | 2.00 | 1.15 | 2.12 | 2.86 | 1.64 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.39 |
| 2010 | 0.75F30\% | 0.42 | 9.558 | 11.506 | 7.762 | 1.52 | 2.00 | 1.15 | 2.17 | 2.86 | 1.64 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.38 |
| 2000 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 8.725 | 12.364 | 4.963 | 1.42 | 2.01 | 1.03 | 2.03 | 2.87 | 1.47 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.34 |
| 2001 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 8.246 | 11.202 | 5.184 | 1.39 | 1.89 | 1.06 | 1.99 | 2.70 | 1.52 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.36 |
| 2002 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 8.051 | 10.840 | 5.579 | 1.44 | 1.95 | 1.11 | 2.06 | 2.78 | 1.59 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.38 |
| 2003 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 8.284 | 10.897 | 6.135 | 1.49 | 1.97 | 1.18 | 2.13 | 2.81 | 1.69 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.41 |
| 2004 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 8.748 | 10.950 | 6.608 | 1.54 | 2.06 | 1.21 | 2.19 | 2.95 | 1.73 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.41 |
| 2005 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 8.865 | 10.962 | 6.920 | 1.57 | 2.11 | 1.21 | 2.24 | 3.02 | 1.73 | 0.48 | 0.54 | 0.42 |
| 2006 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 9.054 | 11.048 | 7.340 | 1.62 | 2.18 | 1.23 | 2.32 | 3.11 | 1.75 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.42 |
| 2007 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 9.170 | 11.151 | 7.356 | 1.62 | 2.19 | 1.23 | 2.31 | 3.13 | 1.76 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.42 |
| 2008 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 9.209 | 11.311 | 7.452 | 1.62 | 2.17 | 1.25 | 2.31 | 3.09 | 1.78 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.43 |
| 2009 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 9.352 | 10.998 | 7.376 | 1.61 | 2.17 | 1.25 | 2.30 | 3.10 | 1.78 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.43 |
| 2010 | 0.65F30\% | 0.36 | 9.254 | 11.047 | 7.491 | 1.64 | 2.15 | 1.24 | 2.34 | 3.07 | 1.77 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.42 |
| 2000 | 0.85F30\% | 0.47 | 11.345 | 15.843 | 6.591 | 1.42 | 2.01 | 1.03 | 2.03 | 2.87 | 1.47 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.34 |



Figure 1 Atlantic king mackerel harvest levels by fishing sector.

Figure 2 Gulf king mackerel harvest levels by fishing sector


Figure 3 Atlantic Spanish mackerel harvest levels by fishing sector.

Figure 4 Gulf Spanish mackerel harvest levels by fishing sector.

## ATLANTIC KING MACKEREL




Figure 5 Projected Atlantic king mackerel stock status in FY2000 conditional on the most recent assessment results and recent estimated catches. Also indicated are projected FY2001 landings under $F_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ and $F_{40 \% \text { SPR }}$ fishing rates.

## GULF KING MACKEREL





Figure 6 Projected Gulf king mackerel stock status in FY2000 conditional on the most recent assessment results and recent estimated catches. Also indicated are projected $F Y 2001$ landings under $F_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ and $F_{40 \% \text { SPR }}$ fishing rates.

## ATLANTIC SPANISH MACKEREL



Figure 7 Projected Atlantic Spanish mackerel stock status in FY2000 conditional on the most recent assessment results and recent estimated catches. Also indicated are projected FY2001 landings under $\mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{40 \% \text { SPR }}$

## GULF SPANISH MACKEREL





Figure 8 Projected Gulf Spanish mackerel stock status in FY2000 conditional on the most recent assessment results and recent estimated catches. Also indicated are projected FY 2001 landings under $\mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{40 \% \text { SPR }}$ fishing rates.

## ATLANTIC KING MACKEREL



Figure 9 Estimated and projected time series of fishing rate, stock biomass and spawning potential ratio indicators for Atlantic king mackerel. Projected values are indicated by the shaded area and to the right of the vertical dashed line

## GULF KING MACKEREL



Figure 10 Estimated and projected time series of fishing rate, stock biomass and spawning potential ratio indicators for Gulf king mackerel. Projected values are indicated by the shaded area and to the right of the vertical dashed line

## ATLANTIC SPANISH MACKEREL



Figure 11 Estimated and projected time series of fishing rate, stock biomass and spawning potential ratio indicators for Atlantic Spanish mackerel. Projected values are indicated by the shaded area and to the right of the vertical dashed line

GULF SPANISH MACKEREL


Figure 12 Estimated and projected time series of fishing rate, stock biomass and spawning potential ratio indicators for Gulf Spanish mackerel. Projected values are indicated by the shaded area and to the right of the vertical dashed line

## ATLANTIC KING MACKEREL



Figure 13 Probabilities of F and spawning stock exceeding thresholds and targets fro projections of TAC and median values of MSY and OY under average recruitment (dashed lines) and low recruitment (solid lines) scenarios. See Table 8 for acronym definitions

## GULF KING MACKEREL



Figure 13 (continued ...)
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Figure 13 (continued ...)

## GULF SPANISH MACKEREL







Figure 13 (continued ...)


Figure 14 Resulting $F$ and spawning stock ratios relative to MSY values for the four mackerel groups under three levels of projected catch in the year 2010. The lines enclose the $10^{\text {th }}$ (bold), $25^{\text {th }}$ (solid), and $50^{\text {th }}$ (dashed) percentiles of the 400 bootstraps that are closest to the median values (denoted by a cross).


Figure 15. Recruitment indices for the four mackerel migratory groups. The Atlantic indices come from Seamap frequency of occurrence. The Gulf indices come from total bycatch in the shrimp trawl fishery (GLM values) divided by the total effort. Values are standardized to the mean of the overlapping years between comparable series.


Figure 16. Indices of abundance for king mackerel migratory groups from the FDEP Florida trip ticket program. Left column shows standardized CPUE values and $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$, compared to the equivalent indices used in the last assessment. Right column shows the same indices but scaled to their respective mean for the overlapping years.


Figure 17 Indices of abundance for Spanish mackerel migratory groups from the FDEP Florida trip ticket program. Left column shows standardized CPUE values and $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$, compared to the equivalent indices used in the last assessment. Right column shows the same indices but scaled to their respective mean for the overlapping years.


Figure 18. Estimates of equilibrium fishing mortality rates for indicted SPR levels for each mackerel migratory group indicated. These frequency distributions are based on the bootstrapped VPA model outcomes and provide a basis for judging the uncertainty in and relative difference between the estimates.

## Atlantic King Projected Yields with constant F



Figure 19. Upper plate. Projected median yields from 2000-2010 for Atlantic group king mackerel for the constant F's indicated. Lower plate. Projected yields with $80 \%$ confidence ranges for the constant F's indicated.

Gulf King Projected Yields with constant F



Figure 20. Upper plate. Projected median yields from 2000-2010 for Gulf group king mackerel for the constant F's indicated. Lower plate. Projected yields with $80 \%$ confidence ranges for the constant F's indicated.

## Atlantic Spanish Projected Yields with constant F



Figure 21. Upper plate. Projected median yields from 2000-2010 for Atlantic group Spanish mackerel for the constant F's indicated. Lower plate. Projected yields with $80 \%$ confidence ranges for the constant F's indicated.

Gulf Spanish Projected Yields with constant F


Figure 22. Upper plate. Projected median yields from 2000-2010 for Gulf group Spanish mackerel for the constant F's indicated. Lower plate. Projected yields with $80 \%$ confidence ranges for the constant F's indicated.


Figure 23. Projected SS/Ssmsy (medians) for the migratory groups indicated over a range of constant $F$ projections, also as indicated.


Figure 24. Projected weighted transitional SPR for the migratory groups indicated over a range of constant $F$ projections, also as indicated.

