

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH: Docket No. FAA-2007-27974; Directorate Identifier 2007-CE-040-AD.

Comments Due Date

(a) We must receive comments by June 18, 2007.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to the following airplanes certificated in any category:

Model	Serial Nos.
DA 40	All serial numbers beginning with 40.006.
DA 40F ..	All serial numbers beginning with 40.F001. All serial numbers beginning with 40.FC001.

Subject

(d) Air Transport Association of America (ATA) Code 32: Landing Gear.

Reason

(e) The mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) states:

“A nose landing gear leg failed in area of the nose gear leg pivot axle. This airplane was mostly operated on grass runways and training operations. This failure was based on a fatigue crack developed in the pivot axle. Material inspections figured out that this crack may also develop on other serial No. pending the type of operation.”

The MCAI requires repetitively inspecting the nose landing gear leg for cracks and replacing the nose landing gear leg if cracks are found.

Actions and Compliance

(f) Unless already done, do the following actions:

(1) Within the next 100 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, inspect the nose landing gear leg for cracks. Repetitively inspect thereafter at intervals not to exceed 200 hours TIS.

(2) Before further flight after any inspection in which cracks are found, replace the nose landing gear leg. After replacement, continue with the repetitive inspection requirement specified in paragraph (f)(1) of this AD.

(3) Do the actions required in paragraphs (f)(1) and (f)(2) of this AD following Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Mandatory Service Bulletin No. MSB40-046/1, No. MSBD4-046/1, dated April 25, 2007, and the applicable maintenance manual.

FAA AD Differences

Note: This AD differs from the MCAI and/or service information as follows: No differences.

Other FAA AD Provisions

(g) The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, Standards Staff, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. *Send information to ATTN:* Sarjapur Nagarajan, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; *telephone:* (816) 329-4145; *fax:* (816) 329-4090. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) *Airworthy Product:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) *Reporting Requirements:* For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120-0056.

Related Information

(h) Refer to MCAI Austro Control AD No. A-2005-005, dated November 15, 2005; and Diamond Aircraft Industries GmbH Mandatory Service Bulletin No. MSB40-046/1, No. MSBD4-046/1, dated April 25, 2007, for related information.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 10, 2007.

Charles L. Smalley,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E7-9495 Filed 5-16-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

14 CFR Parts 217, 241, 248, 250, 291, 298 and 374a

[Docket No. OST 2006-26053]

RIN 2139-AA11

Submitting Airline Data via the Internet

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) is hosting a public meeting to discuss the submission of air carrier traffic, financial, and consumer reports via a secure internet connection. The public meeting was requested by the Air Transport Association. DOT staff will demonstrate e-filing procedures and be available to answer questions. During the meeting, the DOT will propose a pilot program for a limited number of air carriers to test the internet filing system prior to the system becoming operational. A cross section of major, national, regional, commuter and foreign air carriers will be invited to volunteer to participate in the pilot program.

DATES: The meeting will be held June 21, 2007, from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the new DOT headquarters building at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590. The room number will be announced at a later date. Persons attending the public meeting must pass through the building security; therefore, we are requesting that you register for attendance by e-mailing or calling Ms. Sharon Herman at Sharon.herman@dot.gov or (202) 366-9059.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bernie Stankus, Office of Airline Information, RTS-42, Research and Innovative Technology Administration, Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS), telephone number (202) 366-4387, fax number (202) 366-3383 or e-mail bernard.stankus@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published on December 20, 2006 (71 FR 76226). You may review comments to the NPRM at <http://www.dms.dot.gov>, Docket 26053.

Background

Receiving and processing aviation data is an essential business process for the DOT. To increase efficiency and reduce costs of the filing process to both the air carriers and the government,

DOT has proposed that all aviation data collected by the BTS be transmitted via the internet (e-filing). To the maximum extent practicable, the proposed e-filing system will be user friendly.

Automated, built-in data edits would alert filers of incomplete information, thus reducing filing errors and the need for corrective re-processing. E-filing is more secure than attaching files to e-mails. E-filing does not have the size limit constraints encountered by attachments to e-mail submissions. E-filing provides the submitters with immediate confirmation that the filing has been received by BTS. E-filing should eliminate the need for BTS to key punch hard copy records into its various data bases.

During this public meeting, DOT representatives will answer questions about the proposed system, the pilot program and gather additional public comments. A summary of the public meeting will be placed in the rulemaking docket.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 8, 2007.

Donald W. Bright,

*Assistant Director, Airline Information,
Bureau of Transportation Statistics.*

[FR Doc. E7-9210 Filed 5-16-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-HY-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

14 CFR Part 234

Reporting Requirements for Aircraft Gate Returns

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) is hosting a public meeting to discuss the reporting of on-time aviation data, specifically the reporting of gate-departure time when an aircraft returns to the gate after an initial gate departure, but before the wheels-off time, and the need to report gate-departure time when the flight is ultimately cancelled.

DATES: The meeting will be held June 20, 2007, from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the new DOT headquarters building at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20590. The room number will be announced at a later date. Persons attending the public meeting must pass through the building security; therefore, we are requesting that you register for attendance by e-mailing or calling Ms. Sharon Herman at

Sharon.herman@dot.gov or (202) 366-9059.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bernie Stankus, Office of Airline Information, RTS-42, Research and Innovative Technology Administration, Bureau of Transportation Statistics, telephone number (202) 366-4387, fax number (202) 366-3383 or e-mail *bernard.stankus@dot.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The long tarmac delays that occurred in late 2006 and early 2007 focused public attention on the DOT's Part 234 Airline Service Quality Performance Reports. In reviewing taxi-out times, it was brought to our attention that the air carriers were inconsistent in reporting gate-departure times when an aircraft returned to the gate. Some carriers were reporting the initial gate departure time while others were reporting the "second" gate departure time. There are advantages and disadvantages with both methods.

By reporting the first gate-departure time, the DOT knows the time interval from when the aircraft was ready to depart and when the aircraft actually departed the airport (wheels-off time). However, many times the air carrier is credited with an on-time departure, when in reality the aircraft returned to the gate only to depart well after the scheduled departure time. Also, the taxi-out time is miscalculated, as the time that the aircraft was parked at the gate awaiting re-boarding is counted in the taxi out time.

Reporting the second gate-departure time disguises inconveniences that the passengers endured by making it appear that they were on the aircraft for a much shorter duration before wheels-off time. Some have indicated that the taxi-out time for carriers reporting the second gate departure time is a more accurate assessment of taxi-out times.

During recent snowstorms in the northeast, many flights departed the boarding gates only to spend many hours on the tarmac being de-iced and waiting for improved weather conditions. When the weather deteriorated, flights were cancelled. Historically, carriers have not reported gate-departure times when the flight is later cancelled. During this public meeting, the Department will attempt to clarify the reporting requirements for aircraft that return to departure gates.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 8, 2007.

Donald W. Bright,

*Assistant Director, Airline Information,
Bureau of Transportation Statistics.*

[FR Doc. E7-9209 Filed 5-16-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-HY-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Parts 1910, 1915, 1917, and 1918

[Docket No. OSHA-2007-0044]

RIN 1218-AC08

Updating OSHA Standards Based on National Consensus Standards; Personal Protective Equipment

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: OSHA is proposing to revise the personal protective equipment (PPE) sections of its general industry, shipyard employment, longshoring, and marine terminals standards regarding the use of eye and face protective devices, head protection, and foot protection. OSHA is proposing to replace the existing references to specific consensus standards with performance language requiring PPE to be constructed in accordance with good design standards. The proposed revision includes guidance for determining what is a good design standard. In addition, OSHA is proposing to add non-mandatory appendices that list standards that constitute good design standards as used in the requirement.

OSHA is also proposing to delete a paragraph in its ventilation standard that requires safety shoes to comply with a specific American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard, and another paragraph in its welding, cutting and brazing standard that requires filter lenses and plates in eye protective equipment to meet a test for transmission of radiant energy prescribed in another specific ANSI standard. In proposing to delete these paragraphs, OSHA intends for this safety equipment to comply with the applicable PPE design provisions in Subpart I of the general industry standards.

These proposed revisions are a continuation of OSHA's effort to update or remove references to specific consensus and industry standards